Genome-wide association studies revealed complex genetic architecture and breeding perspective of maize ear traits

Mita Khatun

Zhejiang University

Md Mamun Monir

Zhejiang University

Xiangyang Lou

University of Florida

Jun Zhu

Zhejiang University

Haiming Xu (✉ hmxu@zju.edu.cn)

Zhejiang University <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0675-4087>

Research Article

Keywords: Maize ear traits, Mixed model, Dominance, Epistasis, Gene × environment interactions, Superior genotypes

Posted Date: February 28th, 2022

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1283032/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Genome-wide association studies revealed complex genetic architecture and breeding perspective of maize ear traits

Mita Khatun¹, Md Mamun Monir¹, Xiangyang Lou², Jun Zhu¹, Haiming Xu^{1*}

¹ Institute of Crop Science and Institute of Bioinformatics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, 310058, China

² Department of Biostatistics, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611, USA

* Corresponding authors. E-mail: hmxu@zju.edu.cn (Xu H)

Abstract

Background: Maize (*Zea Mays*) is one of the world's most important crops. Hybrid maize lines resulted a major improvement in corn production in the previous and current centuries. Understanding the genetic mechanisms of the corn production associated traits greatly facilitate the development of superior hybrid varieties.

Result: In this study, four ear traits associated with corn production of Nested Association Mapping (NAM) population were analyzed using a full genetic model, and further, optimal genotype combinations and total genetic effects of current best lines, superior lines, and superior hybrids were predicted for each of the traits at four different locations. The analysis identified 21-34 highly significant SNPs ($-\log_{10}P > 5$), with an estimated total heritability of 37.31-62.34%, while large contributions to variations was due to dominance, dominance-related epistasis, and environmental interaction effects ($h^2_{D+} \triangleq 14.06\% \sim 49.28\%$), indicating these factors contributed significantly to phenotypic variations of the ear traits. Environment-specific genetic effects were also discovered to be crucial for maize ear traits. There were four pleiotropic SNPs found for three ear traits: two for ear length and weight, and two for ear row number and length. Using the Enumeration method and the stepwise tuning technique, optimum multi-locus genotype combinations for superior lines were identified based on the information obtained from GWAS.

Conclusions: Predictions of genetic breeding values showed that different genotype combinations in different geographical regions may be better, and hybrid-line variety breeding with homozygote and heterozygote genotype combinations may have a greater potential to improve ear traits.

Keywords: Maize ear traits; Mixed model; Dominance; Epistasis; Gene $\times$ environment interactions; Superior genotypes

Background

The indigenous peoples of southern Mexico were the first to domesticate maize about 10,000 years ago, which has since become a staple food in many parts of the world [1, 2]. Maize is grown for corn oil, animal feed, corn starch, corn syrup, purslane corn, pod corn, popcorn, flour, and other corn-related products. Corn production has increased eight-fold in the last century as a result of advancement of hybrid varieties [3]. Genome-wide association studies

(GWASs) of various experimental populations, such as the maize NAM population and large association panels, revealed the genetic architecture of several complex traits [4, 5], which provided important first insights into genetic mechanism. High chromosomal resolution of the NAM population promotes the identification of genes associated with complex traits, which could accelerate up marker-assisted breeding and help breeders improve hybrid corn production.

Maize complex traits such as days to silk and leaf traits are controlled by polygenes that exhibit additive, dominance, epistasis, and gene-environment interaction effects [6, 7]. Many statistical methods, such as the additive model approach and the full genetic model approach, have been developed to uncover plant complex traits. However, most genetic association studies simply focus on additive effects, ignoring non-additive, epistasis, and gene-environment interaction effects, leading to a missing heritability problem that could have a large impact on phenotypic variability explanation. Phenotypic variations of complex traits largely vary across multiple locations that might also be cause of missing heritability problem. Genetic effects of complex traits are different in multiple environments and the differences depend on geographical position, weather, soil, water etc. The full genetic model approach analyzes the factors to overcome the missing heritability problem. Genome-wide association study (GWAS) is a powerful tool that has been used to analyze complex traits of plants [8, 9]. By accounting for these underlying factors that may have significant impacts on complex traits of maize as in previous studies [10, 11], in this study, a GWAS is performed to dissect genetic architecture of four ear traits and further evaluate the potential of breeding improvements [11, 12]. By partitioning phenotypic variation into additive, dominance, epistasis, and gene $\times$ environment interactions, a mixed linear model approach implemented with *QTXNetwork*, was employed to dissect the genetic architecture of four maize ear traits (weight, length, rank number, and row number).

Maize ear traits were previously studied by various experimental crossings and multiple genes associated with them were discovered. No previous studies, however, examined dominance and dominance-related epistasis effects on ear traits. Similar to the previous studies conducted for days to silk [11] and leaf traits [10] of maize NAM population, dominance and dominance-related epistasis effects were considered to evaluate impacts of these genetic factors on ear traits. Based on genetic effects estimated from the association study, the expected superior hybrid lines were designed and predicted in four different locations, Urbana, Aurora, Clayton, and Homestead of the United States.

Results

Phenotypic distributions of maize ear traits

Location-specific distributions of maize ear traits are plotted to show the phenotypic differences of plant lines cultivated in different geographical locations. The phenotypic distributions of ear traits were found highly diverse across multiple locations (Fig. 1 A-D). In comparison to the other three places, Urbana, Aurora, and Clayton, all of the four ear traits in Homestead had lower phenotypic means. The phenotypic means in two places, Aurora and Clayton, were almost similar. In addition, phenotypic means in Urbana were larger than phenotypic means in Homestead but smaller than phenotypic means in Aurora and Clayton. On the map, three locations, Urbana, Aurora, and Clayton, are relatively closer, while Homestead is much further away (Fig. 1-E).

Geographical locations had a substantial impact on the ultimate productions (Fig. 1 A-D). The estimated heritability showed that gene $\times$ environment interaction effects intensively controlled phenotypic variations of the traits (Fig. 1F). Therefore, gene $\times$ environment interactions could be a major factor leading to phenotypic differences in plant line in different locations.

Estimated heritability for ear traits

The heritability of additive, dominance, epistasis, and gene $\times$ environmental interaction effects were calculated and tabulated for the four ear traits (Table 1). The total heritability was estimated to be 37.31 to 62.34%. Among these traits, the total heritability of ear row number was the largest (h^2_T A 62.34%) and the total heritability of ear weight was the smallest (h^2_T A 37.31%). Association analyses revealed significant impacts of dominance, dominance-related epistasis, and environmental interaction effects on heritability. Epistasis effects had large impacts on the phenotypic variations of three ear traits, length, rank number, and row number (Fig. 1F). Dominance, dominance-related epistasis, and dominance-related environmental interaction effects largely contributed to phenotypic variations (14.06 ~ 49.28%), as compared with additive, additive related epistasis, and additive related environmental interaction effects. Heritability due to dominance effects was large for ear length (12.45%) and rank number (10.07%), while heritability due to dominance $\times$ dominance epistasis effects was large for ear row number (23.80%) and rank number (20.23%). For ear length, rank number, and row number, heritability attributable to additive and additive $\times$ additive epistasis effects was 11.57%, 7.26%, and 10.41%, respectively. It suggests that heterozygous genotypes play very important role for phenotypic performance of ear length, rank number, and row number. Estimated dominance $\times$ additive epistasis heritability was large for ear length (h^2_{DA} A 14.18%).

Heritability due to gene by environment interaction effects (h^2_{GE}) was comparatively large for four ear traits (16.28% for weight, 11.74% for length, 12.39% for row number, and 10.39% for rank number) suggesting that genetic effects of several SNPs could be very sensitive to different geographical locations. Ear weight and length had high heritability due to additive $\times$ environment interaction effects (11.65% and 10.32%, respectively), demonstrating that these factors could largely influence phenotypic variations. Heritability due to dominance $\times$ environment interaction effects was also found to be relatively large for ear weight, and heritability due to additive $\times$ dominance $\times$ environment interaction effects was large only for ear row number. Moreover, heritability due to dominance by environment interaction effects had a large influence on the phenotypic variation of these traits. Therefore, estimating gene-environment interaction effects are necessary to understand the complexity of the genetic architecture of ear traits.

Identified SNPs with no gene $\times$ environment interactions

Gene by environment (G $\times$ E) interaction measures the variations of SNPs effects across multiple environments or locations. It was observed that G $\times$ E interaction effects of several identified SNPs were not highly significant (Fig. 2 and Supplementary Fig. S1, Table 1). Such SNPs will be important for breeding environmentally stable varieties. Ear weight is an important trait that measures ultimate maize productions. Association analysis identified eighteen SNPs with highly significant effects ($-\log_{10}P > 5$) associated with ear weight, which had no significant G $\times$ E interaction effects. Identified SNPs were located on seven chromosomes out of ten chromosomes. Among them, seventeen SNPs

had additive effects, and one SNP had additive and dominance effects. SNP S5_10493718 had the most significant and largest positive additive effect ($a = 1.96$, $-\log_{10}P = 21.75$), which accounted for 0.84% of phenotypic variations. This SNP is near the protein kinase superfamily gene *Zm00001d013405*, which plays a key role in disease resistance system of plants in tropical maize [13]. Therefore, this gene may be involved in increasing maize production by protecting plants from diseases. SNP S5_206846565 had also positive additive effect ($a = 1.44$, $-\log_{10}P = 11.88$), which is near a variant of Dof zinc finger protein (DOF2.1) gene *Zm00001d017788*, and this gene is involved in many processes during plant growth and comprising at least 14 sub-families or types. Another SNP S3_18999799 had the largest highly significant negative additive effect ($a = -1.90$, $-\log_{10}P = 20.32$), which is close to the Ribosomal protein L26 gene *Zm00001d039908* and contributed to 0.79% of phenotypic variations. Ribosomal protein L26 involved in van der Waal's, serves to organize, stabilize the rRNA tertiary structure. In the mature form, proteins have 103 to 150 amino-acid residues (IPR005756). Negative additive effect of SNP S5_30296160 of gene *Zm00001d014039* (non-intrinsic ABC protein 4) contributed to 0.67% of phenotypic variations. SNP S3_35554763 had highly significant positive additive effect ($a = 1.45$, $-\log_{10}P = 12.25$) and negative dominance effect ($d = -4.62$, $-\log_{10}P = 5.83$). This variant contributed to 0.46% of phenotypic variation by homozygous genotypes and 2.34% of phenotypic variation by heterozygote genotype, and is near the topless-related protein gene *Zm00001d040279*. Most identified SNPs (12 out of 18 SNPs) are located on three chromosomes (1, 3, and 5).

For ear length, seventeen SNPs were found on eight chromosomes with highly significant ($-\log_{10}P > 5$) genetic main effects. Six SNPs were detected on chromosome 1. Fifteen SNPs had highly significant additive effects and two SNPs had dominance effects. Fifteen SNPs with highly significant additive effects were detected which explained approximately 22.49% of phenotypic variations. Therefore, larger portions of estimated heritability was due to highly significant additive effects, including negative additive effects of five SNPs ($h^2_{A-} = 8.31\%$) and positive additive effects of ten SNPs ($h^2_{A+} = 14.18\%$). SNP S1_25374552 had most significant and largest negative additive effect ($a = -3.07$, $-\log_{10}P = 67.76$), which accounted for around 1.79% of phenotypic variations. This SNP is near FRIGIDA-like protein 1 gene *Zm00001d028173*. FRIGIDA-like protein 1 gene controls the regulation of flowering time. It is known to increase RNA levels of flowering locus C. Allelic variation at the FRIGIDA locus is a major determinant of natural variation in flowering time [14]. SNP S10_108059601, variant near the protein XRI1 gene *Zm00001d025182*, had the largest positive additive effect ($a = 2.62$, $-\log_{10}P = 50.36$) that contributed to 1.30% of phenotypic variations. Protein XRI1 of this gene involved in male and female meiotic nuclear division (Uniport: B4FGL0). Meiotic nuclear division refers to a cell cycle process by which the cell nucleus divides as part of a meiotic cell cycle in male or female germ line. Association analysis identified two SNPs (S1_31249633 and S1_253269479) with highly significant dominance effects, whereas the proportions of variation due to their heterozygous genotypes were 0.84 ~ 1.32%. SNP S1_253269479 had highly significant positive dominance effects ($d = 2.97$, $-\log_{10}P = 5.31$) which is a variant near the mitochondrial import receptor subunit TOM5 homolog gene *Zm00001d033186*. SNP S1_31249633, a variant near gene *Zm00001d028339*, had highly significant negative dominance effect ($d = -3.73$, $-\log_{10}P = 5.27$). Two pairs of epistasis interactions were identified, and estimated effects of the epistasis were relatively large as compared with the main effects. Highly significant positive additive $\times$ additive ($aa = 1.64$, $-\log_{10}P = 19.59$) and dominance $\times$ additive

(*da* A 6.25, $-\log_{10}P = 15.04$) epistasis interaction effects were identified between the variants S1_25374552 of gene *Zm00001d028173* and S1_31249633 of gene *Zm00001d028339*. Another epistasis pair, S2_108869506 of gene *Zm00001d004396* and S4_24978845 of gene *Zm00001d049295*, were identified with highly significant positive additive $\times$ additive (*aa* A 1.30, $-\log_{10}P = 12.33$), negative dominance $\times$ additive (*da* A -5.96 , $-\log_{10}P = 21.76$), and dominance $\times$ dominance (*dd* A -7.21 , $-\log_{10}P = 5.61$) interactions effects. These two epistasis pairs explained 8.44% and 12.34% of phenotypic variations, respectively. A number of individual SNPs were identified to contribute small effects but highly significantly identified epistasis SNPs had relatively large effects on ear length, while dominance and dominance related epistasis interactions were revealed as important contributors to phenotypic variations of this trait.

Ear rank number is another important trait of maize. Association analysis identified twenty-four SNPs with highly significant genetic main effects ($-\log_{10}P > 5$) for ear rank number, including twenty-four SNPs with individual genetic effects and two pairs of SNPs with epistasis interactions. Among the highly significantly identified SNPs, twenty-three SNPs had additive effects (positive for 13 SNPs and negative for 10 SNPs), one SNP had only positive dominance effect and two SNPs had negative epistasis interaction effect. Several SNPs were identified with highly significant genetic main effects. For example, SNP S5_59431884, the variant of AIG2-like protein gene *Zm00001d014692* was identified with highly significant negative additive effect (*a* A -0.3678 , $-\log_{10}P = 15.259$), that could explain 0.44% of phenotypic variations of rank number. AIG2 differentiate between resistance responses mediated by the RPS2 and RPM1 disease resistance genes [15]. SNP S2_208617113, the variant of UDP-glycosyltransferase 71B1 gene *Zm00001d006450*, was also identified with highly significant positive additive effect (*a* A 0.3615, $-\log_{10}P = 14.356$) that could explain 0.42% phenotypic variations of rank number (Supplementary Table S1). Ear rank number associated with UDP-glycosyltransferases catalyze which transfers the sugar moiety from the uridine-diphosphate activated monosaccharide molecule to the specific acceptor and plays various functions in plant cells, such as high-energy donors, or signaling molecules, and are involved in biosynthesis of cell walls [16]. SNP S8_113290875, the variant of unknown gene *Zm00001d010408*, was identified with highly significant positive dominance effect (*d* A 1.2083, $-\log_{10}P = 5.725$) that could explain 2.36% of phenotypic variations for increasing ear rank number by heterozygous genotype.

Two pairs of epistasis SNPs were identified, in which one pair had highly significant additive $\times$ additive epistasis effect and another pair had dominance $\times$ dominance epistasis effect. Epistasis SNPs S2_132572194 and S3_145178596, the variants of F-box protein interaction domain containing protein gene *Zm00001d004708* and the unknown gene *Zm00001d041953* had highly significant negative dominance $\times$ dominance epistasis effect (*dd* A -3.5378 , $-\log_{10}P = 18.03$, h^2 A 20.23%) and accounted for 20.23% of phenotypic variations. This epistasis SNPs could dramatically decrease ear rank number by their heterozygous genotypes. Another epistasis pair S2_12602445 $\times$ S2_101662934 had highly significant negative additive $\times$ additive (*aa*) epistasis effect (*aa* A -0.2348 , $-\log_{10}P = 6.158$). The genetic mechanism of ear rank number is similar to the genetic mechanism of ear length, whereas the dominance and dominance related interaction effects are important components of variation of these traits.

The number of ear rows is another important attribute of corn production. The analysis discovered highly significant genetic main effects for twenty-four individual SNPs and three pairs of epistasis SNPs. A large portion of total heritability was due to dominance and dominance-related epistasis effects (h^2_{D+} A 49.28%) for ear row number (Table 1). The genetic effects of individual SNPs were relatively small as compared with epistasis interactions. The dominant related epistasis interactions are recognized to have large effects. The heritability due to heterozygous loci were 1.13 ~ 2.59% for the SNPs, which were identified with highly significant dominance effects; while, the heritability due to heterozygous genotypes were 6.18 ~ 20.18% for the SNPs, which had dominance related epistasis effects (Table S1). Therefore, only a small portion of individuals had heterozygous genotypes with large impacts due to dominance and dominance-related epistasis effects. SNP S5_21351199, the variant of Tryptophan N-monooxygenase 2 gene *Zm00001d013818*, had most highly significant positive additive effect (a A 0.2616, $-\log_{10}P = 48.879$) and SNP S3_219197847 a variant near the Zn-dependent exopeptidases superfamily protein gene *Zm00001d044102*, had second most highly significant positive additive effect (a A 0.2042, $-\log_{10}P = 30.425$). SNP S8_168279628 had largest highly significant negative additive effect (a A -0.2052, $-\log_{10}P = 30.854$) was estimated for, which is the variant of E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase RGLG1 gene *Zm00001d012101*. Two SNPs (S2_222962641 and S5_83861266) had highly significant additive and dominance effects; whereas, SNP S8_168279628, a variant near the heavy metal transport/detoxification superfamily protein gene *Zm00001d007130*, had negative additive and dominance effects (a A -0.1606, $-\log_{10}P = 19.316$ and d A -0.5609, $-\log_{10}P = 8.231$); whereas, the dominance effects of this SNP could explain 2.59% phenotypic variations and ear row number could be decreased by heterozygous genotype. SNP S5_83861266, the variant of probable arabinose 5-phosphate isomerase gene *Zm00001d015306*, had positive additive and dominance effects (a A 0.2263, $-\log_{10}P = 36.838$ and d A 0.3703, $-\log_{10}P = 5.413$); whereas, the dominance effects of this SNP could explain 1.13% of phenotypic variations and its heterozygous genotype could increase row number. Among three epistasis pairs, two pairs had dominance-related epistasis effects. Epistasis of S2_222962641 $\times$ S5_3145164 was identified with highly significant positive dominance $\times$ dominance epistasis effect (dd A 1.5657, $-\log_{10}P = 15.302$), which are variants near the heavy metal transport/detoxification superfamily protein genes *Zm00001d007130* and DNA gyrase subunit A chloroplastic/mitochondrial gene *Zm00001d013006*, respectively. Another epistasis of S1_25374551 $\times$ S5_3145164 was identified with highly significant positive dominance $\times$ additive epistasis effect (da A 0.6127, $-\log_{10}P = 15.873$), which are variants near the FRIGIDA-like protein 1 gene *Zm00001d028173* and DNA gyrase subunit A chloroplastic/mitochondrial gene *Zm00001d013006*, respectively. Epistasis of S3_219197847 $\times$ S5_83861266 was identified with highly significant negative additive $\times$ additive epistasis effect (aa A -0.1177, $-\log_{10}P = 10.288$). These epistasis SNPs are variants near genes *Zm00001d044102* (Heavy metal transport/detoxification superfamily protein) and *Zm00001d015306* (Probable arabinose 5-phosphate isomerase), respectively. Several individual SNPs were identified with small effects, but several pairs of epistasis interactions had large effects on the number of ear rows in the maize NAM population, while dominant and dominant related epistasis interactions were revealed as important contributors to phenotypic variations.

Identified SNPs with highly significant gene × environment interaction

Location-specific genetic effects were discovered as important variants for four maize ear traits. It was observed that twenty-four individual SNPs had highly significant ($-\log_{10}P > 5$) location specific genetic effects for four ear traits (Fig. 2, Supplementary Table S2). Three SNPs had highly significant G×E ($-\log_{10}P > 5$) effects associated with ear weight (Table S2). SNP S5_58007416, had highly significant positive additive (a A 3.47, $-\log_{10}P = 63.52$) and dominance effects (d A 3.72, $-\log_{10}P = 6.12$). Additive effect was smaller in location Aurora (ae_2 A -2.65, $-\log_{10}P = 10.01$) and larger in location Clayton (ae_3 A 2.57, $-\log_{10}P = 10.09$). This SNP is the variant near the protein chloroplast import apparatus 2 gene *Zm00001d014664* that contributed to 2.63%, 1.51%, and 1.49% of phenotypic variations by additive effect, dominance effect, and additive × environmental epistasis effects, respectively, in two locations (Aurora and Clayton). SNP S7_169254965, the variant of LRR receptor-like serine/threonine-protein kinase RPK2 gene *Zm00001d022066*, had a highly significant negative additive effect (a A -0.95, $-\log_{10}P = 5.54$). However, the additive effect of this SNP largely varied across locations, minimum in Aurora ($a + ae_2$ A -4.18) and maximum in Clayton ($a + ae_3$ A 0.97). A leucine-rich repeat (LRR) is a protein structural motif that forms an α/β horseshoe fold which composed of repeating 20 ~ 30 amino acid stretches that are unusually rich in the hydrophobic amino acid leucine [17]. SNP S1_161708627, the variant of cycloartenol synthase gene *Zm00001d030813*, had only negative additive × environmental interaction effect (ae_1 A -2.99, $-\log_{10}P = 13.31$) in the Urbana. Gene *Zm00001d030813* that is a plant enzyme that catalyzes the cyclization of (S)-2,3-epoxysqualene to cycloartenol [18].

Association analysis identified fifteen SNPs with highly significant location specific genetic effects for ear length (Table S2). SNP S7_168746883 had highly significant additive effect (a A 1.71, $-\log_{10}P = 22.77$), and additive by environmental interaction effect in the locations Urbana, Aurora, and Clayton (ae_1 A 2.67, $-\log_{10}P = 13.85$; ae_2 A -1.81, $-\log_{10}P = 6.39$; and ae_4 A -1.58, $-\log_{10}P = 5.61$) that accounted for 1.19% of phenotypic variations. This SNP is near the SNF2 domain-containing protein gene *Zm00001d022046*. The SNF2 domain-containing proteins associated with ear length that includes transcriptional regulation, chromosome stability during mitosis, and various aspects of processing of DNA damage, including nucleotide excision repair, recombination pathways and post-replication daughter strand gap repair [19]. Variants of four genes *Zm00001d053008*, *Zm00001d013575*, *Zm00001d049034*, and *Zm00001d016693* had larger positive additive effects in the location Urbana ($a + ae_1$ A 3.93, 2.58, 1.49, and 0.91 respectively). Seven SNPs had only location specific additive effects (S1_259219744, S2_8291660, S2_58766499, S2_84678243, S4_36026805, S6_159381559 and S10_59877496), indicating that these SNPs interact with environment to control ear length.

For ear rank number, there were only three Aurora specific additive effect of SNPs identified (Table S2). SNP S2_101662934, the variant of E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase MBR2 gene *Zm00001d004300*, had positive additive × environment interactions effect (ae_2 A 0.42, $-\log_{10}P = 5.47$). Various expression patterns indicated that this gene play crucial roles in the response of plants to stress and involved in various physiological and developmental processes in maize [20]. SNP S1_179980347, the variant of pathogenesis-related protein 5 gene *Zm00001d031158*, and

S5_25548909, the variant of unknown gene *Zm00001d013944*, had negative additive by environment interaction effects (ae_2 A -0.4703, $-\log_{10}P = 6.447$ and ae_2 A -0.4268, $-\log_{10}P = 5.418$).

For ear row number, three SNPs (S2_55445579, S6_150466027, and S4_44134120) were identified with highly significant environment-specific additive effects. SNP S2_55445579, near the variant of unknown gene *Zm00001d003706*, had negative additive effect (a A -0.08, $-\log_{10}P = 5.20$). However, additive effects of this SNP largely varied across environments, maximum in location Urbana ($a + ae_1$ A 0.112) and minimum in location Aurora ($a + ae_2$ A -0.249). SNP S6_150466027, near the variant of Ubiquitin-associated/translation elongation factor EF1B protein gene *Zm00001d038171*, had highly significant negative additive and positive additive by environment interaction effects (a A -0.112, $-\log_{10}P = 9.844$ and ae_4 A 0.1862, $-\log_{10}P = 7.534$). Again, SNP S4_44134120, the variant of BTB/POZ and MATH domain-containing protein 1 gene *Zm00001d049774*, had only a highly significant environment-specific positive additive effects (ae_4 A 0.302, $-\log_{10}P = 17.638$).

Annotation and function prediction of candidate genes

It was observed that some candidate genes are members of well-known gene families that have vital functions in plant life. SNP S2_14940618 is the variant near the Probable L-type lectin-domain containing receptor kinase S.5 gene *Zm00001d002536*. The lectin-domain is connected in plant growth, development and stress tolerance [21]. SNP S1_262183867 is the variant of transcription factor bHLH28 of gene *Zm00001d033407*. The bHLH28 transcription factor proteins play controlling roles in uplftment B73 reference genome in maize [22]. We collected the GO terms corresponding to the identified genes from Maize GAMER database [23]. We drew a tree map of biological process of the genes using R-script generated from Revigo (Supplementary Fig S1). It was observed that large number of GO terms were reduced to the only several numbers of GO terms, including cell development, cytokine metabolisms, response to chemical, etc. Cell development is comprised of many others GO terms including pollen development, xylem development, seed germination, positive regulation of flower, leaf senescence, cell growth, female meiotic division etc. A large set of genes are involved in cytokine metabolism and play important roles in various physiological functions in the plant [24]. A group of genes are response to chemicals. Some genes are response to salt stress, oxidative stress, cold acclimation, bacterium, freezing, UV-B, water deprivation, organic substance, carbohydrate, ethylene, detection biotic stimulus etc. Effects of these genes could be varied in different geographical locations due to different stress conditions. We performed gene expression analysis of the candidate genes facilitated by maize inflorescence database (Supplementary Fig S2) [25]. We chose tip of the ear (ear_tip), middle of the ear (ear_mid), base of the ear (ear_base), tassel at stage 1 (tassel_stg1), tassel at stage 2 (tassel_stg2), and tassel at stage 3 (tassel_stg3) from experiments in ear and tassel development series; wild type ear at 2mm (wt_2mm_ear) from experiments in fasciated ear (fea4); and wild type ear 2-5 mm from experiments in knotted1. Analysis results showed that many of the identified genes highly express in ear and tassel. Therefore, it can be concluded that the identified genes might play important role in controlling ear traits.

Pleiotropic SNPs of the maize ear traits

Some identified SNP had significant effects on at least two maize ear traits, as shown in Table 2. Four SNPs were associated with two of three ear traits weight, length, and row number. Of these sets of pleiotropic SNPs, SNP S2_8291660, the variant of F-box only protein 7 gene *Zm00001d002211*, had negative dominance effect on ear row number (d A -0.14 , $-\log_{10}P = 15.52$) and location Urbana specific negative additive effect (ae_1 A -1.754 , $-\log_{10}P = 6.504$) on ear length. Identified F-box proteins are involved in many plant vegetative growth and reproductive development. For example, F-box protein-FOA1 involved in abscisic acid (ABA) signaling to affect the seed germination [26]. ACRE189/ACIF1 can regulate cell death and defense when the pathogen is recognized in the Tobacco and Tomato plant [27]. SNP S4_36026805, the variant of myb-like transcription factor family protein gene *Zm00001d049581*, had positive additive effect (a A 0.13) for ear row number and location Homestead specific negative additive effect (ae_4 A -2.001) for ear length.

Another pleiotropic SNPs S1_31249633, variant of gene *Zm00001d028339*, had positive additive effect (a A 1.33 , $-\log_{10}P = 10.34$) on ear weight but negative dominance effect (d A -3.73 , $-\log_{10}P = 5.27$) on ear length, indicating that dominance effects of this pleiotropic gene decreased ear length. SNP S5_206846565 of Dof zinc finger protein DOF2.1 gene *Zm00001d017788* had positive additive effects both for ear weight and length (a A 1.44 , 1.90 ; $-\log_{10}P = 11.88$, 27.09 , respectively), showing that this pleiotropic gene *Zm00001d017788* could simultaneously increase ear weight and length.

Breeding potential of predicted lines

To further improve corn production, genetic information obtained from association studies could be used to design superior lines (SL) and superior hybrids (SH), listed in Table 3 and Supplementary Table S3. Based on the predicted superior genotypes, the total genetic effects of individual line can be estimated. The predicted total genotypic values of hybrid lines with only heterozygous genotypes in all the identified SNP (Qq) were positive for four traits. The predicted genotypic value of heterozygotes (Qq) combination was larger than that of homozygote (QQ) combination for ear weight, rank number, and row number, but smaller for ear length. It is suggested that heterozygous genotypes at these loci may potentially increase the breeding value of ear weight, rank number, and ear row number, but not for ear length. There were slight differences in total genotypic value between the best line and the predicted superior line for four traits. However, the total genetic effects of location-specific best lines and the predicted superior lines differ greatly for two traits (weight and length). Based on genetic effects of the identified SNPs, it can be suggested that pure-line breeding may only have limited potential to improve ear rank number and row number over current best lines of NAM population. For ear weight and length, there are large differences in total genotypic value between the best line and the predicted superior hybrid line. Therefore, it can be suggested that breeding with combination of homozygous and heterozygous genotypes may have larger potential to improve ear traits.

For ear weight, overall total genetic values of the non-B73 allele homozygous (QQ) combinations were $-0.67 \sim 17.89$ in four locations, with the smallest in Urbana. Therefore, non-B73 allele homozygous (QQ) combinations for the identified SNPs could perform poorly in Urbana. The predicted total genetic effect for F_1 hybrid (13.71) was larger than non-B73 allele homozygous (QQ) genotypes in all the four locations. Maximum total genetic effects across locations was revealed for line Z004E0030 (25.55) called as the best line (BL) across locations, whereas location

specific BLs were Z022E0143 (31.18) in Urbana, Z016E0049 (32.81) in Aurora, Z014E0113 (37.60) in Clayton, and Z012E0108 (27.98) in Homestead. Environment-specific overall genetic effects were large in three locations (Urbana, Aurora, and Clayton) compared to the total genetic effect of Homestead. Comparison between existing line Z004E0030 and superior hybrid (SH) across the identified SNPs showed that genotypes of 9 highly significant SNPs were different to each other, whereas genotypes of two SNPs (S4_204960226 and S5_58007416) had heterozygous genotypes for SH.

For ear length, the overall genetic effects of the non-B73 allele homozygous (*QQ*) combinations were $-1.67 \sim 26.10$ across four different locations. Total genetic effects for non-B73 allele homozygous (*QQ*) combinations were negative in Aurora (-0.795) and Homestead (-1.67), but positive in Urbana (26.997) and Clayton (14.972). The huge difference was due to the influence of additive $\times$ environment interaction. The predicted total genetic effects of F_1 hybrids (1.39) were smaller than that of non-B73 allele homozygous (*QQ*) genotype. Line Z006E0233 (27.20) revealed as the BL across environments, and location-specific BLs were Z007E0007 (48.18) in Urbana, Z006E0150 (26.42) in Aurora, Z003E0140 (28.43) in Clayton, and Z010E0164 (24.44) in Homestead. Environment-specific total genetic effects were large for Urbana, Aurora, and Clayton, compared to total genetic effects in Homestead. Difference between existing line Z006E0233 and SH was due to the genotypes of eighteen highly significant SNPs, whereas genotypes of four SNPs (S1_253269479, S4_208812132, S5_14608995, and S6_129436296) were heterozygote for SH.

For ear rank number, overall total genetic effects of the non-B73 allele homozygous (*QQ*) combinations was 0.34, which varied $-1.24 \sim 0.71$ in four different locations. The predicted total genetic effect of F_1 hybrid (1.72) was larger than that of non-B73 allele homozygous (*QQ*) genotype. The line Z016E0164 (5.43) revealed as BL across environments, whereas environment specific BLs were Z016E0164 in three locations (6.08 in Urbana, 5.74 in Clayton, and 6.75 in Homestead) and Z012E0179 (6.45) in location Aurora. Location-specific total genetic effects were large for Aurora and Clayton and smaller for Urbana and Homestead. The predicted superior positive line [superior line (+)] could provide insight for crop improvement along with the optimum homozygous genotypes (*QQ*, *qq*) combination. Total genetic effect of the predicted SL had ear rank number 4.80, which is mostly like the existing BL (Z016E0164), suggesting that using only homozygous genotypes combination would have very little scope for further improvement. Difference between existing line Z016E0164 and SH was due to the genotypes of nine highly significant SNPs, whereas genotypes of four SNPs (S3_145178596, S5_164655502, S6_89412349, and S8_113290875) had heterozygote for SH. For ear row number, the total genetic effect of the non-B73 allele homozygous (*QQ*) combinations was -0.48 , which varied $-0.38 \sim -0.65$ in four different locations. For ear row number existing line Z019E0115 revealed as the BL across environments and in Aurora. Z026E0166 line identified as BL in two locations, Clayton and Homestead, and Z019E0158 identified as BL in Urbana. Total genetic effects were large for Urbana, Aurora, and Clayton but smaller in Homestead. As similar to other ear traits, the total genetic effect of the SH was larger than existing lines for ear rank number, indicating that further improvement is possible with the optimum homozygous and heterozygous combinations.

Discussions

Maize (*Zea mays*) is one of the important cereal crops. Since the release of the B73 reference genome, many GWASs of complex traits of maize have been performed to discover the genetic mechanism of complex traits for further breeding improvement [3, 11, 28], to meet the ever-increasing demands for corn production. Economically important traits of maize are frequently inherited in a numerical way, where genetic mechanism are functional to the interactions between multiple genes and gene $\times$ environment interactions [29]. From phenotypic distribution, it was observed that phenotypic means of four ear traits largely varied in four different locations (Fig. 1A-D). Since maize was grown in Urbana, Aurora, and Clayton in summer, and grown in Homestead in winter, season could be a factor for smaller ear traits in this location. Heritability estimation using the full genetic model approach showed a large heritability due to gene $\times$ environment interaction effects (Fig. 1F). Consequently, the phenotypic distinction in multiple locations could be held due to gene $\times$ environment interaction effects. It was also noticed that phenotypic variances depend on the distances and direction of the locations. For example, Clayton and Urbana positioned in different directions from Aurora. Three locations look like corner points of a triangle on the map (Fig. 1E). Phenotypic distributions were more like Aurora and Clayton than Aurora and Urbana. It could be due to geographical locations and gene-environment interactions.

Similar to our recent study on maize leaf traits [10] and days to silk [11], a large portion of phenotypic variation was estimated for dominance and dominance-related epistasis effects of multiple SNPs for four ear traits (h_{D+}^2 : A 14.06% for weight, 34.40% for length, 37.86% for rank number, and 49.28% for row number). However, many dominance and dominance-related epistasis effects were not highly significant, that could be due to the lower frequency of the heterozygote genotypes in the NAM population.

The previous study of maize ear traits with different experimental cross identified 17 ~ 34 SNPs [7]. Similar numbers of individual SNPs were identified in this study. Moreover, this study identified several locations of specific SNPs and pairs of epistasis SNPs. There are 21 ~ 34 highly significant SNPs with genetic main effects, epistasis, and environmental interaction effects identified for four ear traits. It was observed that G $\times$ E effects were not highly significant for 17 ~ 24 SNPs. Selecting individual lines for the breeding program based on these stable effects of SNPs may provide similar yields in multiple locations for traits of interest.

However, if breeders expect higher productions at specific locations, they also need to consider SNPs with highly significant G $\times$ E effects. From Supplementary Table S2, it was observed that for each of the three traits (ear weight, rank number, and row number) only three SNPs had highly significant G $\times$ E effects. Therefore, ignoring these SNPs may not largely influence the expected outcomes for these traits. However, for ear length, fifteen SNPs had highly significant G $\times$ E effects. Therefore, ignoring the G $\times$ E effects of these fifteen SNPs may produce unexpected average ear lengths at multiple locations. Although the ear traits are phenotypically correlated, only four pleiotropic SNPs were identified. Therefore, improving maize ear needed to consider all the SNPs which are associated with different ear traits.

Genetic effects estimated from the association analysis were used to predict SHs and observed a large scope of further improvements by optimum homozygote and heterozygote combination. However, in order to improve each ear trait, it is necessary to modify the genotypes of several SNPs. As compared to the current best lines predicted superior hybrids were different for 9 ~ 20 SNPs.

The G×E interaction effect is revealed as one of the major causes for the difference in phenotypes at multiple locations. Estimated breeding values for ear traits suggest, there has a large scope for further improving the maize ear traits. This study reflects that, in order to improve the maize ear, it is necessary to consider the additive, dominance, epistasis, and G×E interaction effects of the associated SNPs. RNAseq analyses revealed that identified SNPs might play important role in controlling ear traits. Moreover, it was observed that different genotypes combinations of multiple SNPs could be better for different environments. We have tabulated the multi-locus genotypes for optimum production based genetic materials of NAM population in different locations. Breeders may consider this information for improving future lines.

Conclusions

Use of appropriate statistical genetic model play a crucial role in robust identification of associated SNPs for complex trait association analysis, which is the fundamental to enriching knowledge about genetic architecture. We employed full genetic model approach considering different mode of genetic effects and environmental interactions to explore underlying genetic architecture of Maize ear traits to facilitate marker assisted breeding. This study suggests different genotype combinations in different geographical regions may have a greater potential to improve Maize ear traits.

Methods

Genotype and phenotype data

The genotype and phenotype data sets were downloaded from the panzea database (<http://www.panzea.org/>). For GWAS, SNPs from the maize HapMap project were used. Maize ear traits from the NAM population were investigated in this study. This population was cultivated in the United States, which consists of 5,000 lines from 25 families, developed by crossing 25 different inbred lines with the B73 reference line, and then self-pollinated [28, 30]. Ear traits collected in four locations (Urbana, Aurora, Clayton, and Homestead) in 2006 were analyzed by the software of *QTXNetwork* [31]. Maize was grown in Urbana, Aurora, and Clayton in summer (planting dates: 08-05-2006, 09-05-2006, and 01-05-2006, respectively), and grown in Homestead in winter (planting dates: 22-09-2006). Therefore, both geographical location and season could be factors that influence phenotypic traits. In this study, geographical locations and seasons were treated as environmental factors. Total non-missing phenotypic observations were 14,811 for ear weight, 15,932 for ear length, 14,972 for ear rank number, and 15,441 for ear row number. The quality of genotype and phenotype data was checked and the outliers of phenotype data were removed. Initially we removed phenotypic outliers based on quartile and interquartile range. Phenotypic data larger than $Q3 + 1.5 \times IQR$ and smaller than $Q1 - 1.5 \times IQR$ were removed, where $Q1$ is the first quartile, $Q3$ is the third quartile, and IQR is the inter-quartile range. The phenotypes were also filtered according to a distribution-based outlier detection of residuals ($|\varepsilon - \mu_\varepsilon| / \sigma_\varepsilon > 3$). SNPs with $MAF < 0.05$ and call rate $< 90\%$ were discarded.

Statistical methods and analysis

Statistical analysis was implemented with *QTXNetwork* for dissecting genetic architecture of *maize* ear traits. Generalized multi-factor dimensionality reduction (GMDR) method was used to scan 472,469 SNPs by 1D for main

effects, 2D and 3D for epistasis interactions using the GMDR-GPU module of *QTXNetwork* [32]. SNPs detected after GMDR filtering included: 767 for ear length, 713 for ear rank number, 777 for ear row number, and 729 for ear weight. Then, QTS module of *QTXNetwork* was used for association analysis on the detected SNPs. Genetic main effects (a , d) and epistasis effects (aa , ad , da , dd) of SNPs are considered as fixed; environment (e) and gene $\times$ environment interaction effects (ae , de , aae , ade , dae , dde) are considered as random. The phenotypic values of the k -th line in the h -th location (y_{hk}) can be expressed by the following mixed linear full genetic model:

$$y_{hk} = \mu + \sum_i a_i x_{A_{ik}} + \sum_i d_i x_{D_{ik}} + \sum_{i < j} aa_{ij} x_{AA_{ijk}} + \sum_{i < j} ad_{ij} x_{AD_{ijk}} + \sum_{i < j} da_{ij} x_{DA_{ijk}} + \sum_{i < j} dd_{ij} x_{DD_{ijk}} + e_h + \sum_i ae_{ih} u_{AE_{ihk}} + \sum_i de_{ih} u_{DE_{ihk}} + \sum_{i < j} aae_{ijh} u_{AAE_{ijhk}} + \sum_{i < j} ade_{ijh} u_{ADE_{ijhk}} + \sum_{i < j} dae_{ijh} u_{DAE_{ijhk}} + \sum_{i < j} dde_{ijh} u_{DDE_{ijhk}} + \varepsilon_{hk}$$

where μ is the population mean; a_i is the additive effect of the i -th locus with coefficient $x_{A_{ik}}$ (1 for QQ , 0 for Qq , -1 for qq); d_i is the dominance effect of the i -th locus with coefficient $x_{D_{ik}}$ (1 for Qq , 0 for QQ and qq); aa_{ij} , ad_{ij} , da_{ij} , and dd_{ij} , are the digenic epistasis effects with coefficients $x_{AA_{ijk}}$ (1 for $QQ \times QQ$ and $qq \times qq$, -1 for $QQ \times qq$ and $qq \times QQ$, and 0 for others), $x_{AD_{ijk}}$ (1 for $QQ \times Qq$, -1 for $qq \times Qq$, and 0 for others), $x_{DA_{ijk}}$ (1 for $Qq \times QQ$, -1 for $Qq \times qq$, and 0 for others) and $x_{DD_{ijk}}$ (1 for $Qq \times Qq$, and 0 for others); e_h is the effect of the h -th location (1 for Urbana, 2 for Aurora, 3 for Clayton, and 4 for Homestead); ae_{ih} is the additive $\times$ location interaction effect of the i -th locus in the h -th location with coefficient $u_{AE_{ihk}}$; de_{ih} is the dominance $\times$ location interaction effect of the i -th locus in the h -th location with coefficient $u_{DE_{ihk}}$; aae_{ijh} , ade_{ijh} , dae_{ijh} , and dde_{ijh} are the digenic epistasis $\times$ location interaction effects in the h -th location with coefficient $u_{AAE_{ijhk}}$, $u_{ADE_{ijhk}}$, $u_{DAE_{ijhk}}$, and $u_{DDE_{ijhk}}$; and ε_{hk} is the residual effect of the k -th individual in the h -th location.

Henderson method III [33] was used to construct the F-statistic test for association analysis. Permutation test was conducted by a total of 2,000 times for calculating the critical F -value to control the experiment-wise type I error (< 0.05). The QTS effects were estimated by using the MCMC (Markov Chain Monte Carlo) algorithm with 20,000 Gibbs sample iterations [31, 34-36]. The critical experiment-wise P value (P_{EW} -value) was thus calculated for controlling the experiment-wise type I error ($P_{EW} < 0.05$).

Annotation of candidate genes of identified SNPs

B73 maize genome reference sequence was used to identify putative candidate genes based on SNPs which significantly associated with the four ear traits. Candidate genes corresponding to the maize ear SNPs were collected from the GRAMENE database [37]. The function of candidate genes was collected from the database UniProt [38], where the number of genes collected from the GRAMENE database was kept synchronization. The NCBI gene database and Google's literature are very useful database for searching identified SNPs and their associated gene

450 functions. As in the previous publication [10], the Pfam website was used for searching the domain name of the
451 candidate genes [39].

452 **Breeding design using SNP markers**

453 The method designing superior line and the superior hybrid was developed by [40]. This approach can be used in
454 predicting potential of improving plants traits based on SNP-based association analysis. The optimum multi-locus
455 combinations of all the identified SNPs were predicted using Enumeration algorithm and stepwise tuning algorithm.

456

457 **List of abbreviations**

458 **GWAS:** Genome-wide association study

459 **SNP:** Single nucleotide polymorphism

460 **QTS:** Quantitative trait SNP

461 **NAM:** Nested association mapping

462 **GMDR:** Generalized multifactor-factor dimensionality reduction

463 **GPU:** Graphical processing unit

464 **GO:** Gene ontology

465 **SL:** Superior line

466 **SH:** Superior hybrid line

467 **GSL:** General superior line

468 **GE:** Gene by environment interaction

469 **Declarations**

470 **Ethics approval and consent to participate**

471 Not applicable

472 **Consent for publication**

473 Not applicable

474 **Availability of data and materials**

475 The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author
476 on reasonable request.

477 **Competing interests**

478 The authors declare no competing interests.

479 **Funding**

The study was supported in part by grants from the Key Research and Development Program of Zhejiang Province (2022C02032), the National Science Foundation of China (31871707, 31671570), 111 project (BP2018021), and the National Science Foundation grant DMS2002865.

Authors' contributions

MK designed the study and wrote manuscript. MM and XL checked and improved manuscript. HX and JZ developed the methods, analyzed the data, and revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The datasets used for the analyses described in this manuscript were obtained from panzea at <http://www.panzea.org/data>. The genotypes and phenotypes were generated by research funding of US National Science Foundation grants (DBI-0820619, 0321467, 0703908 and 0638566) and USDA-ARS.

References

1. Benz BF: **Archaeological evidence of teosinte domestication from Guila Naquitz, Oaxaca.** *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 2001, **98**(4):2104-2106.
2. Gore MA, Chia JM, Elshire RJ, Sun Q, Ersoz ES, Hurwitz BL, Peiffer JA, McMullen MD, Grills GS, Ross-Ibarra J *et al*: **A first-generation haplotype map of maize.** *Science* 2009, **326**(5956):1115-1117.
3. Schnable PS, Ware D, Fulton RS, Stein JC, Wei F, Pasternak S, Liang C, Zhang J, Fulton L, Graves TA *et al*: **The B73 maize genome: complexity, diversity, and dynamics.** *Science* 2009, **326**(5956):1112-1115.
4. Davis EL, Hussey RS, Mitchum MG, Baum TJ: **Parasitism proteins in nematode-plant interactions.** *Current opinion in plant biology* 2008, **11**(4):360-366.
5. Flint J, Eskin E: **Genome-wide association studies in mice.** *Nature reviews Genetics* 2012, **13**(11):807-817.
6. Murcray CE, Lewinger JP, Gauderman WJ: **Gene-environment interaction in genome-wide association studies.** *Am J Epidemiol* 2009, **169**(2):219-226.
7. Xiao Y, Tong H, Yang X, Xu S, Pan Q, Qiao F, Raihan MS, Luo Y, Liu H, Zhang X *et al*: **Genome-wide dissection of the maize ear genetic architecture using multiple populations.** *The New phytologist* 2016, **210**(3):1095-1106.
8. Chen B-r, Wang C-y, Wang P, Zhu Z-x, Xu N, Shi G-s, Yu M, Wang N, Li J-h, Hou J-m *et al*: **Genome-wide association study for starch content and constitution in sorghum (Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench).** *Journal of Integrative Agriculture* 2019, **18**(11):2446-2456.
9. Xia N, Yan W-b, Wang X-q, Shao Y-p, Yang M-m, Wang Z-k, Zhan Y-h, Teng W-l, Han Y-p, Shi Y-g: **Genetic dissection of hexanol content in soybean seed through genome-wide association analysis.** *Journal of Integrative Agriculture* 2019, **18**(6):1222-1229.
10. Monir MM, Zhu J: **Dominance and Epistasis Interactions Revealed as Important Variants for Leaf Traits of Maize NAM Population.** *Frontiers in plant science* 2018, **9**:627.
11. Monir MM, Zhu J: **Association analysis revealed importance of dominance effects on days to silk of maize nested association mapping (NAM) population.** *Journal of Zhejiang University (Agric & Life Sci)* 2017, **43**(2):146~152.

- 521 12. Luo X, Ding Y, Zhang L, Yue Y, Snyder JH, Ma C, Zhu J: **Genomic Prediction of Genotypic Effects**
522 **with Epistasis and Environment Interactions for Yield-Related Traits of Rapeseed (*Brassica***
523 ***napus* L.). *Frontiers in genetics* 2017, **8**:15.**
- 524 13. Zheng H, Chen J, Mu C, Makumbi D, Xu Y, Mahuku G: **Combined linkage and association**
525 **mapping reveal QTL for host plant resistance to common rust (*Puccinia sorghi*) in tropical**
526 **maize. *BMC plant biology* 2018, **18**(1):310.**
- 527 14. Johanson U, West J, Lister C, Michaels S, Amasino R, Dean C: **Molecular analysis of FRIGIDA, a**
528 **major determinant of natural variation in *Arabidopsis* flowering time. *Science* 2000,**
529 **290(5490):344-347.**
- 530 15. Reuber TL, Ausubel FM: **Isolation of *Arabidopsis* genes that differentiate between resistance**
531 **responses mediated by the RPS2 and RPM1 disease resistance genes. *Plant Cell* 1996, **8**(2):241-**
532 **249.**
- 533 16. King CD, Rios GR, Green MD, Tephly TR: **UDP-glucuronosyltransferases. *Curr Drug Metab* 2000,**
534 **1(2):143-161.**
- 535 17. Kobe B, Deisenhofer J: **The leucine-rich repeat: a versatile binding motif. *Trends Biochem Sci***
536 **1994, **19**(10):415-421.**
- 537 18. Poralla K, Hewelt A, Prestwich GD, Abe I, Reipen I, Sprenger G: **A specific amino acid repeat in**
538 **squalene and oxidosqualene cyclases. *Trends Biochem Sci* 1994, **19**(4):157-158.**
- 539 19. Eisen JA, Sweder KS, Hanawalt PC: **Evolution of the SNF2 family of proteins: subfamilies with**
540 **distinct sequences and functions. *Nucleic Acids Res* 1995, **23**(14):2715-2723.**
- 541 20. Jue D, Sang X, Lu S, Dong C, Zhao Q, Chen H, Jia L: **Genome-Wide Identification, Phylogenetic**
542 **and Expression Analyses of the Ubiquitin-Conjugating Enzyme Gene Family in Maize. *PLoS One***
543 **2015, **10**(11):e0143488.**
- 544 21. Vaid N, Pandey PK, Tuteja N: **Genome-wide analysis of lectin receptor-like kinase family from**
545 ***Arabidopsis* and rice. *Plant Mol Biol* 2012, **80**(4-5):365-388.**
- 546 22. Jiang Y, Zeng B, Zhao H, Zhang M, Xie S, Lai J: **Genome-wide transcription factor gene prediction**
547 **and their expressional tissue-specificities in maize. *J Integr Plant Biol* 2012, **54**(9):616-630.**
- 548 23. Wimalanathan K, Friedberg I, Andorf CM, Lawrence-Dill CJ: **Maize GO Annotation—Methods,**
549 **Evaluation, and Review (maize-GAMER). *Plant Direct* 2018, **2**(4):e00052.**
- 550 24. Dwivedi-Burks S: **Cytokinin Metabolism. In: *Phytohormones and Abiotic Stress Tolerance in***
551 ***Plants*. Edited by Khan NA, Nazar R, Iqbal N, Anjum NA. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin**
552 **Heidelberg; 2012: 157-168.**
- 553 25. **The Maize Inflorescence Project [<http://www.maizeinflorescence.org>]**
- 554 26. Peng J, Yu D, Wang L, Xie M, Yuan C, Wang Y, Tang D, Zhao X, Liu X: ***Arabidopsis* F-box gene**
555 **FOA1 involved in ABA signaling. *Sci China Life Sci* 2012, **55**(6):497-506.**
- 556 27. van den Burg HA, Tsitsigiannis DI, Rowland O, Lo J, Rallapalli G, Maclean D, Takken FL, Jones JD:
557 **The F-box protein ACRE189/ACIF1 regulates cell death and defense responses activated during**
558 **pathogen recognition in tobacco and tomato. *Plant Cell* 2008, **20**(3):697-719.**
- 559 28. Tian F, Bradbury PJ, Brown PJ, Hung H, Sun Q, Flint-Garcia S, Rocheford TR, McMullen MD,
560 Holland JB, Buckler ES: **Genome-wide association study of leaf architecture in the maize nested**
561 **association mapping population. *Nat Genet* 2011, **43**(2):159-162.**
- 562 29. Xiao Y, Liu H, Wu L, Warburton M, Yan J: **Genome-wide Association Studies in Maize: Praise and**
563 **Stargaze. *Mol Plant* 2017, **10**(3):359-374.**
- 564 30. Buckler ES, Holland JB, Bradbury PJ, Acharya CB, Brown PJ, Browne C, Ersoz E, Flint-Garcia S,
565 Garcia A, Glaubitz JC *et al*: **The genetic architecture of maize flowering time. *Science* 2009,**
566 **325(5941):714-718.**
- 567 31. Zhang FT, Zhu ZH, Tong XR, Zhu ZX, Qi T, Zhu J: **Mixed Linear Model Approaches of Association**
568 **Mapping for Complex Traits Based on Omics Variants. *Scientific reports* 2015, **5**:10298.**

32. Zhu Z, Tong X, Zhu Z, Liang M, Cui W, Su K, Li MD, Zhu J: **Development of GMDR-GPU for gene-gene interaction analysis and its application to WTCCC GWAS data for type 2 diabetes.** *PLoS One* 2013, **8**(4):e61943.
33. Searle SR, Casella G, McCulloch CE: **Variance components**, vol. 391: John Wiley & Sons; 2009.
34. Yang J, Zhu J, Williams RW: **Mapping the genetic architecture of complex traits in experimental populations.** *Bioinformatics* 2007, **23**(12):1527-1536.
35. Yang J, Hu C, Hu H, Yu R, Xia Z, Ye X, Zhu J: **QTLNetwork: mapping and visualizing genetic architecture of complex traits in experimental populations.** *Bioinformatics* 2008, **24**(5):721-723.
36. Qi T, Jiang B, Zhu Z, Wei C, Gao Y, Zhu S, Xu H, Lou X: **Mixed linear model approach for mapping quantitative trait loci underlying crop seed traits.** *Heredity* 2014, **113**(3):224-232.
37. **GRAMENE Maize Database** [https://ensembl.gramene.org/Zea_mays/Info/Index]
38. **The UniProt Knowledgebase (UniProtKB)** [<https://www.uniprot.org/uniprot/>]
39. **The Pfam database** [<http://pfam.xfam.org/>]
40. Yang J, Zhu J: **Methods for predicting superior genotypes under multiple environments based on QTL effects.** *Theor Appl Genet* 2005, **110**(7):1268-1274.

Tables

Table 1 Estimated heritability (%) of genetic effects for ear traits of maize

Trait	h_A^2	h_D^2	h_{AA}^2	h_{AD}^2	h_{DA}^2	h_{DD}^2	h_{AE}^2	h_{DE}^2	h_{AAE}^2	h_{ADE}^2	h_{DAE}^2	h_T^2	h_{D+}^2	h_{GE}^2
Weight	11.6	9.43	—	—	—	—	11.65	4.63	—	—	—	37.31	14.06	16.28
Length	9.91	12.45	1.66	—	14.18	6.69	10.32	1.08	0.34	—	—	56.63	34.40	11.74
Rank Number	6.90	10.07	0.36	—	2.27	20.23	6.63	1.79	0.47	3.50	—	52.22	37.86	12.39
Row Number	9.82	8.71	0.59	1.56	7.47	23.8	2.65	1.18	—	—	6.56	62.34	49.28	10.39

h_A^2 = heritability of additive effects; h_D^2 = heritability of dominance effects; h_{AA}^2 = heritability of additive × additive epistasis; h_{AD}^2 = heritability of additive × dominance epistasis; h_{DA}^2 = heritability of dominance × additive epistasis; h_{DD}^2 = heritability of dominance × dominance epistasis; h_{AE}^2 = heritability of additive × environment interaction effects; h_{DE}^2 = heritability of dominance × environment interaction effects; h_{AAE}^2 = heritability of additive-additive epistasis × environment interaction effects; h_{ADE}^2 = heritability of additive-dominance epistasis × environment interaction effects, h_{DAE}^2 = heritability of dominance-additive epistasis × environment interaction effects, h_T^2 = total heritability; h_{D+}^2 = sum of dominance related heritability. h_{GE}^2 = sum of gene × environment interactions related heritability.

597 **Table 2** Identified pleiotropic SNPs for the maize ear traits

SNPs	Gene	Traits	Gene Descriptions
S2_8291660	<i>Zm00001d002211</i>	Row, Length	F-box only protein 7
S4_36026805	<i>Zm00001d049581</i>	Row, Length	myb-like transcription factor family protein
S1_31249633	<i>Zm00001d028339</i>	Weight, Length	Whole genome shotgun sequence of line PN40024 scaffold_7.assembly12x (Fragment)
S5_206846565	<i>Zm00001d017788</i>	Weight, Length	Dof zinc finger protein DOF2.1

598

599 **Table 3** Prediction of the total genotypic values of four ear traits in maize

Entry	G	G+GE1	G+GE2	G+GE3	G+GE4
Weight	$\mu = 68.88$	$\mu = 70.94$	$\mu = 78.07$	$\mu = 78.41$	$\mu = 48.11$
<i>QQ</i>	10.54	-0.67	10.50	17.89	14.19
<i>qq</i>	-10.54	0.67	-10.50	-17.89	-14.19
F₁	13.71	13.71	14.56	17.37	10.74
Best Line (+)	25.55 (Z004E0030)	31.18 (Z022E0143)	32.81 (Z016E0049)	37.60 (Z014E0113)	27.97 (Z012E0108)
Superior Line (+)	26.15	39.95	36.44	36.10	34.41
Superior Hybrid (+)	39.18	50.16	53.29	53.43	46.45
Length	$\mu = 126.73$	$\mu = 128.64$	$\mu = 138.62$	$\mu = 139.42$	$\mu = 100.29$
<i>QQ</i>	11.13	26.10	-0.795	14.97	-1.67
<i>qq</i>	-5.25	-21.12	6.67	-9.094	7.55
F₁	1.39	1.39	1.39	0.77	1.39
Best Line (+)	27.10 (Z006E0233)	48.18 (Z007E0007)	26.42 (Z006E0150)	28.43 (Z003E0140)	24.44 (Z010E0164)
Superior Line (+)	27.75	54.92	33.76	33.83	32.83
Superior Hybrid (+)	40.94	63.80	58.41	49.07	47.29
Rank Number	$\mu = 22.63$	$\mu = 21.65$	$\mu = 24.98$	$\mu = 24.92$	$\mu = 18.97$
<i>QQ</i>	0.34	-1.24	0.67	0.03	0.70
<i>qq</i>	-0.34	1.24	-0.67	-0.03	-0.71
F₁	1.72	1.72	1.72	0.25	1.72
Best Line (+)	5.43 (Z016E0164)	6.08 (Z016E0164)	6.45 (Z012E0179)	5.74 (Z016E0164)	6.75 (Z016E0164)
Superior Line (+)	4.80	7.67	7.65	5.12	7.05

Superior Hybrid (+)	10.68	12.53	13.06	9.80	13.11
Row Number	$\mu = 14.56$	$\mu = 14.22$	$\mu = 15.29$	$\mu = 14.80$	$\mu = 13.94$
QQ	-0.48	-0.38	-0.65	-0.35	-0.54
qq	0.24	0.15	0.41	0.12	0.31
F₁	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05	0.67
Best Line (+)	2.25	2.67	2.42	2.31	2.11
	(Z019E0115)	(Z019E0158)	(Z019E0115)	(Z026E0166)	(Z026E0166)
Superior Line (+)	2.82	3.72	2.99	2.95	2.78
Superior Hybrid (+)	4.31	5.39	4.47	4.43	4.39

Mean, estimated average genotypic value; E1 (Urbana), E2 (Aurora), E3 (Clayton), and E4 (Homestead). *QQ*, predicted line with only *QQ* homozygous genotypes of all significant SNPs; *qq*, predicted line with only *qq* homozygous genotypes of all significant SNPs; *F₁*, predicted line with only *Qq* heterozygous genotypes; Best line (+), maximum positive genetic value of existing line; Superior line (+), predicted line with maximum positive genetic value by combining homozygous genotypes (*QQ*, *qq*) for all significant SNPs; Superior hybrid (+), predicted line with maximum positive genetic value by combining homozygous (*QQ*, *qq*) and heterozygous (*Qq*) genotype for all significant SNPs.

Figure legends

Fig. 1 Phenotypic distributions, cultivating locations, and heritability estimation of maize ear traits. (A) Weight: ear weight, (B) Length: ear length, (C) Rank number: ear rank number, (D) Row number: ear row number, (E) Location map, and (F) Estimated heritability due to different genetic effect for each of the maize ear traits. E1: Urbana, E2: Aurora, E3: Clayton, E4: Homestead. P-values obtained from multiple pairwise-comparisons between the means of groups (locations) using Tukey Honest Significant Differences (Tukey HSD) were given in (A)-(D). Red points in (E) are the experimental sites, where the NAM population was cultivated.

Fig. 2 Genetic architecture of maize ear traits. The bottom axis is the SNP IDs. Three shapes, circle, square, and line, indicate additive, dominance and epistasis effects, respectively, while four colors, red, green, blue and black, indicate existence of main effects only, location-specific effect only, both effects and no both effects, respectively. The different combination of shape and color indicate different condition on effect type and their interaction with location; thus, red circle (square, line): SNP with additive (dominance or epistasis) effects but no location-specific additive (dominance or epistasis) effects; green circle (square, line): SNP without additive (dominance or epistasis) effect but with location-specific additive (dominance or epistasis) effect, blue circle: SNP with both additive (dominance or epistasis) and location-specific additive (dominance or epistasis) effects; black circle (square): SNP without additive (dominance) effects but with epistasis effect.

Supplementary Information

Text S1 Details about the statistical models used for analyses.

Table S1 Highly significant SNPs identified by using the full genetic model with no G×E effects for four maize ear traits. Effect: a = additive effect, d = dominance effect, aa = additive × additive interaction effect, dd = dominance × dominance interaction effect. SE: standard error of estimated effect/predicted effect. $-\log_{10}P$: minus $\log_{10}$ (P -value), h^2 : estimated heritability.

Table S1 Highly significant SNPs identified by using the full genetic model with G×E effects for four maize ear traits. Effect: a = additive effect, d = dominance effect, ae_1 = additive by environment 1 (Urbana) specific effect, ae_2 = additive by environment 2 (Aurora) specific effect, ae_3 = additive by environment 3 (Clayton) specific effect, ae_4 = additive by environment 4 (Homestead) specific effect. SE: standard error of predicted effect. $-\log_{10}P$: minus $\log_{10}$ (experiment-wise P -value), h^2 : estimated heritability.

Table S2 Superior lines and hybrids predicted by using full genetic model for four ear traits of maize. GSL= general superior line for four locations, SL (+)1= superior line for Urbana, SL (+)2= superior line for Aurora, SL (+)3= superior line for Clayton, SL (+)4= superior line for Homestead; GSH= general superior hybrid line for four locations, SH (+)1= superior hybrid for Urbana, SH (+)2= superior hybrid for Aurora, SH (+)3= superior hybrid for Clayton, SH (+)4= superior hybrid four Homestead; (+)= positive genotypic value.

Fig. S1 Tree map of gene ontology terms of the identified genes. Tree map was plotted using the generated R-script from Revigo.

Fig S2 Expression of the identified genes in Ear and Tassel.

Figures

Figure 1

Phenotypic distributions, cultivating locations, and heritability estimation of maize ear traits. (A) Weight: ear weight, (B) Length: ear length, (C) Rank number: ear rank number, (D) Row number: ear row number, (E) Location map, and (F) Estimated heritability due to different genetic effect for each of the maize ear traits. E1: Urbana, E2: Aurora, E3: Clayton, E4: Homestead. P-values obtained from multiple pairwise-comparisons between the means of groups (locations) using Tukey Honest Significant Differences (Tukey HSD) were given in (A)-(D). Red points in (E) are the experimental sites, where the NAM population was cultivated.

Figure 2

Genetic architecture of maize ear traits. The bottom axis is the SNP IDs. Three shapes, circle, square, and line, indicate additive, dominance and epistasis effects, respectively, while four colors, red, green, blue and black, indicate existence of main effects only, location-specific effect only, both effects and no both effects, respectively. The different combination of shape and color indicate different condition on effect type and their interaction with location; thus, red circle (square, line): SNP with additive (dominance or epistasis) effects but no location-specific additive (dominance or epistasis) effects; green circle (square, line): SNP without additive (dominance or epistasis) effect but with location-specific additive (dominance or epistasis) effect, blue circle: SNP with both additive (dominance or epistasis) and location-specific additive (dominance or epistasis) effects; black circle (square): SNP without additive (dominance) effects but with epistasis effect.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [MaizeEarSupBMCplantbiology.docx](#)