Early decompression promotes motor recovery after cervical spinal cord injury in rats with chronic cervical spinal cord compression

Takeo Furuya (✉ furuya-takeo@chiba-u.jp)

Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Chiba University Graduate School of Medicine,

Sho Okimatsu

Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Chiba University Graduate School of Medicine,

Masataka Miura

Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Chiba University Graduate School of Medicine,

Yuki Shiratani

Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Chiba University Graduate School of Medicine,

Atsushi Yunde

Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Chiba University Graduate School of Medicine,

Takaki Inoue

Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Chiba University Graduate School of Medicine,

Satoshi Maki

Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Chiba University Graduate School of Medicine,

Seiji Ohtori

Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Chiba University Graduate School of Medicine,

Research Article

Keywords: spinal cord injury, radiographic abnormality, animal model, decompression surgery

Posted Date: February 28th, 2022

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1288670/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background

The number of elderly patients with spinal cord injury without radiographic abnormalities (SCIWORA) has been increasing in recent years and is true of most cervical spinal cord injuries. There is no agreement on the efficacy of decompression in these populations. However, basic research has shown the effectiveness of early decompression after spinal cord injury on the spinal cord without stenosis; no studies have reported the efficacy of decompression in models with spinal cord compressive lesions. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the effects of decompression surgery after mild spinal cord injury in rats with chronic spinal cord compressive lesions.

Methods

A water-absorbent polymer sheet (Aquaprene DX, Sanyo Chemical Industries) was inserted dorsally into the 4-5th cervical sublamina space in 8-week-old Sprague Dawley rats to create a rat model with a chronic spinal compressive lesion. At the age of 16 weeks, 30 mildly myelopathic or asymptomatic rats with a Basso, Beattie, and Bresnahan score (BBB score) of 19 or higher were subjected to spinal cord compression injuries. The rats were divided into three groups: an immediate decompression group (decompress immediately after injury), a sub-acute decompression group (decompress one week after injury), and a non-decompression group. Behavioral and histological evaluations were performed four weeks after the injury.

Results

At 20 weeks of age, the BBB score and FLS (Forelimb Locomotor Scale) of both the immediate and the sub-acute decompression groups were significantly higher than those of the non-decompression group. There was no significant difference between the immediate decompression group and the sub-acute decompression group. TUNEL (transferase-mediated dUTP nick end labeling) staining showed significantly fewer positive cells in both decompression groups compared to the non-decompression group. LFB (Luxol fast blue) staining showed significantly more demyelination, and GAP-43 (growth associated protein-43) staining tended to show fewer positive cells in the non-decompression group.

Conclusions

Decompression surgery in the acute or sub-acute phase of injury is effective after mild spinal cord injury in rats with chronic compressive lesions. There was no significant difference between the immediate decompression and sub-acute decompression groups.

1. Background

Aging of the population has resulted in more elderly patients with cervical spinal cord injury (SCI) without bone and disc injury.[1] SCIWORA, a spinal cord injury without radiographic abnormality, was first

proposed by Pang et al. and its pathogenesis has been receiving much attention.[2] Recent studies have suggested that relatively slight external force can cause cervical spinal cord injury in elderly patients who have spinal canal stenosis associated with cervical spondylotic changes.[1] Chikuda et al. reported that in a randomized control trial of 72 patients with SCIWORA there was no difference in motor score after one year between patients with decompression within 24 hours after spinal cord injury and decompression two weeks after injury.[3] However, there is still no consensus on treating spinal cord injury. In the present study, we used rats with cervical cord stenosis lesions created using a water-absorbent sheet as in previous reports.[4][5] The purpose of this study was to reveal the therapeutic effect behaviorally and histologically of decompression surgery after cervical spinal cord injury in rats with stenosis.

2. Materials And Methods

All methods below were carried out in accordance with ARRIVE guidelines and relevant regulations.

2.1 Surgical Procedure

Sheet insertion: asymptomatic spinal canal stenosis model

Thirty adult female Sprague-Dawley rats (8 weeks, 180-200 g; Japan SLC, Inc., Hamamatsu, Japan) were provided with sufficient food and water in individual cages. Rats were anesthetized with sevoflurane and fixed in the prone position. An incision was made in the midline behind the neck. The C4 to C7 laminae were exposed, and the C6 laminae were removed. A 0.3 mm-thick sheet of expandable water-absorbing polyurethane elastomer Aquaprene Dx (Sanyo Chemical Industries, Kyoto, Japan) was inserted under the C4-C5 laminae using a surgical microscope. This polymer expands in volume by 200% after insertion. (Kim et al., 2004) [5] A computed tomography (CT) scan was taken immediately to confirm that stenosis was created after surgery (Fig. 1).

Cervical SCI: contusion model

Eight weeks after the sheet insertion procedure, mild myelopathy or asymptomatic rats with a Basso, Beattie, and Bresnahan (BBB) score [6] of 19 or higher were identified. Animals with a score of 18 or less that developed myelopathy were excluded from the study. The rats were anesthetized with sevoflurane inhalation, and an incision was made in the posterior cervical vertebrae. The C4 vertebral arch was removed, confirming the sheet was just posterior to the spinal cord. A contusion injury (75 Kdyn) to the sheet at the C4-5 level was made using the Infinite Horizon Impactor (Precision Systems & Instrumentation LLC, Notting Hill.) from 1 mm above the spinal cord. This contusion force is recognized as a mild contusion force in the rat spinal cord injury model.

2.2 Group design

Thirty rats were divided randomly into three groups of 10 rats each:

- (1) Immediate decompression after SCI (immediate decompression group)
- (2) Decompression one week after SCI (sub-acute decompression group)
- (3) No decompression after SCI (non-decompression group)

Decompression was defined as the resection of the remaining C5 lamina and the absorbing sheet posterior to the spinal cord. To equalize the surgical invasion in each group, the immediate decompression and non-decompression groups underwent surgery under inhalation anesthesia one week after the SCI.

2.3 Behavioral evaluations

Forelimb function was assessed using the Forelimb Locomotor Scale (FLS).[7] Hindlimb motor function was evaluated using BBB scores composed of 21 different criteria for movement of the hindlimb as previously reported. (Basso et al., 1995) The scale is based on the accurate observation of coordination, joint movements, and hindlimb stepping for two min. by two observers blinded to the experimental conditions. The assessments were performed in an open field at 0, 1, 3, 7, 14, 21, 28, 35, 42, 49, and 56 days after the sheet-insertion procedure, and 0, 1, 3, 7, 14, 21, and 28 days after cervical SCI.

Mechanical withdrawal thresholds were evaluated using the Von Frey hair employing a previously reported protocol. [8] The von Frey hair was applied in ascending order of force, and the median withdrawal threshold was calculated from the values following one descending and two ascending trials.

2.4 Histological analysis

Tissue preparation

Four weeks after SCI, the rats were sacrificed by transperitoneal injection with a triple mixture of 7.5µg /100µl of Domitor™ (medetomidine, Orion Corporation, Espoo, Finland), 40µg /100µl of Dormicum™ (midazolam, Maruishi Pharma, Osaka, Japan), and 50µg /100µl of Betolphar™ (Butorphanol Tartrate, Meiji Seika, Tokyo, Japan). The cervical spinal cord was then removed and fixed overnight in PBS with 4% formaldehyde and stored in PBS with 20% sucrose at 4° C. Finally, the cervical spinal cord was encapsulated in an optimal cutting temperature compound (Sakura Fine Technical, Tokyo, Japan). Cryoprotected samples were frozen at -80° C.

Histological evaluation

A 2 cm long section of the cervical spinal cord centered on the C4/C5 spinal cord injury area was sectioned in horizontal (n=5) and sagittal (n=5) planes at a thickness of 16 μ m. LFB (Luxol fast blue) staining was performed to measure the percentage of demyelinated cells in the myelin sheath of the pyramidal tract at the C7 level and to calculate the cross-sectional area of the cavity formation at the spinal cord injury. Transferase-mediated dUTP nick end labeling (TUNEL) staining was performed using an in situ Apoptosis Detection kit (Takara, Kusatsu, Japan). The number of TUNEL-positive cells in the bilateral anterior horns at the C4/5 level was counted. Tissue sections were co-incubated with growth-associated protein 43 (GAP-43, sc-10786, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., Dallas, US) (1:800) at 4° C overnight followed by co-incubation with fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-labeled goat anti-rabbit IgG for one hr. at room temperature. We calculated the density of GAP43-positive cells in the immediate caudal part of the cavity formation normalized by area. All histological images were taken using an all-in-one microscope, BZ-X800, and analyzed using a BZ-X analyzer (Keyence, Osaka, Japan). The hybrid cell count function was used to calculate the number of positive cells and the percentage of demyelination to the cross-sectional area.

2.5 Statistical and data analysis

All computations were done using the statistical package JMP (version 13.2.0.; SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC). Statistical differences in BBB score, FLS score, number of TUNEL-positive cells in the anterior horn, LFB- positive area, and the number of GAP43-positive cells were compared among the three groups using Tukey's honest significant difference test. A p-value of < 0.05 was considered significant using two-sided tests of statistical inference.

3. Results

3.1 Contusion force

The mean contusion force (+/- SD) creating spinal cord injury in the immediate decompression, sub-acute decompression, and non-decompression groups were 78.6 (+/- 2.7), 79.8 (+/- 2.3), and 78.8 (+/- 3.8) Kdyn, respectively (Fig.2). Equal force was applied in each group, although not directly to the spinal cord but to the sheet. The original data of contusion force is shown in supplementary Table 1.

3.2 FLS score

Eight weeks after sheet insertion, there was no difference between the three groups in FLS score (Fig. 3). The immediate decompression group had significantly higher scores than the non-decompression group at all time points three days after SCI. The sub-acute decompression group had significantly higher scores than the non-decompression group at three and four weeks after SCI. There was no difference in

scores between the immediate decompression group and the sub-acute decompression group at the final observation. The original data of FLS score is shown in supplementary Table 2.

3.3 BBB score

There was no significant difference in BBB score among the three groups eight weeks after the insertion of the sheet (Fig. 4). Eight weeks after the sheet insertion the mean $\pm$ SD BBB scores of the immediate decompression, sub-acute decompression, and non-decompression groups were 19 ± 0.5 , 19 ± 0.5 and 19.2 ± 0.4 , respectively. After cervical spinal cord injury, there was a difference in the recovery speed of the BBB score among three groups. The immediate decompression group had significantly higher BBB scores than the non-decompression group at all time points more than one week after SCI. The sub-acute decompression group had significantly higher BBB scores than the non-decompression group two and four weeks after SCI. Four weeks after injury, there was no difference between BBB scores of the immediate decompression group (17.5 ± 1.2) and that of the sub-acute decompression group (17.1 ± 1.2). The original data of BBB score is shown in supplementary Table 3.

3.4 TUNEL staining

The number of TUNEL-positive cells in the bilateral anterior horns of the spinal cord at C4/5 in the non-decompression group was 90 ± 24 , significantly higher than that of the other two groups. There were no differences in TUNEL-positive cells between the immediate decompression group (9.3 ± 2.5) and the sub-acute decompression group (13 ± 5.5) (Fig. 5.A, 5.B). The original data of TUNEL staining is shown in supplementary Table 4.

3.5 Demyelination

The demyelinated area divided by the total area of the posterior cord was measured to calculate the percentage of the demyelinated area of the pyramidal tract at C7. The percentage of demyelination in the non-decompression group was 10 ± 1.0 %, significantly higher than that in the immediate decompression and sub-acute decompression groups, (3.8 ± 1.8 % and 4.6 ± 0.74 %, respectively) (Fig. 6.A, 6.B). The original data of demyelination of the pyramidal tract is shown in supplementary Table 5.

3.6 Sensory test: Von Frey

Throughout the entire observation period, allodynia was not significantly different among the three groups (Fig. 7). The original data of Von Frey test is shown in supplementary Table 6.

3.7 Cavity formation

There was no significant difference in the formation of cavities coincident with SCI among the three groups at the injury site, or 0.5 mm and 1 mm away from the epicenter of the damage (Fig. 8). The original data of cavity formation is shown in supplementary Table 7.

3.8 GAP-43

The density of GAP-43-positive cells in the area just caudal to the cavity was calculated in sagittal sections. Although there was no significant difference among the three groups, there was a trend toward fewer GAP-43-positive cells in the non-decompression group (Fig. 9.A, 9.B). The original data of GAP-43 is shown in supplementary Table 8.

4. Discussion

Decompression surgery at both acute and sub-acute phases of SCI was effective in rats with SCIWORA. Although there was no significant difference in motor function four weeks after injury, the speed of recovery was faster in the immediate decompression group than in the group decompressed in the subacute phase. In addition, the decompression itself was effective regardless of the timing of decompression surgery in this rat model of mild contusion injury.

The efficacy of early decompression for SCI on the normal spinal cord has been reported previously. (Batchelor et al., 2013) The novelty of this study is that the model of spinal cord injury used in rats with compressive lesions more closely mimics clinical practice. Moreover, there has been no prior report of a spinal cord injury model at the stenotic spinal lesion of rats. The findings in this model are similar to those reported previously in which early decompression enabled motor function to recover more quickly in humans. [9]

Decompression of the spinal cord itself was effective regardless of the timing of decompression surgery. In the current study, although the immediate decompression group recovered motor function more quickly than the sub-acute decompression group, there was no significant difference in motor or sensory function between the two decompression groups four weeks after cervical spinal cord injury. Both groups performed better than the non-decompression group based on BBB and FLS scores. Aarabi et al. reported that the timing of surgery does not affect the prognosis measured by the AIS (American spinal cord injury association Impairment Scale) if the spinal cord is well decompressed. [10] The finding of no difference in motor function regardless of the timing of decompression is contrary to the conclusion of Fehlings et al.[11]. In their study, about half of the patients had AIS A to B at the time of acute injury, and the post-injury pathophysiology may be different from the mild spinal cord injury model used in the present study. Batchelor et al. suggested that the duration of compression is an essential factor in determining the outcome, but only in relation to the compressive pressure.[9] A mild injury may be less time-dependent to achieve positive results from decompression surgery than a severe injury. The current model is a relatively mild to moderate rat spinal cord injury with a 75Kdyn spinal cord contusion.

In the non-decompression group, the BBB and FLS scores were significantly lower, the number of TUNEL-positive cells in the spinal cord injury area was significantly higher, and the area of demyelination in the C7 level posterior cord was significantly larger than in the decompression groups. There was a correlation between the number of TUNEL-positive cells, the degree of demyelination in the posterior cord and motor function of the limb. As there was no difference between acute decompression and sub-acute decompression, the difference in motor function between the sub-acute decompression group and the non-decompression group was possibly influenced by inflammation and cell necrosis within one week after the injury. It has been reported that prolonged inflammation leads to ongoing damage after spinal cord injury.[12] In a comparison of the three groups, although the immediate decompression group showed the most rapid functional improvement, there was no significant difference between the sub-acute decompression group and the immediate decompression group by four weeks. Clinically, in patients with mild to moderate spinal cord injury, the results suggest that decompression itself may lead to improvement in motor function, regardless of the timing of decompression surgery.

There are several limitations to this study. First, the observation period in this study was only four weeks after SCI, and no long-term observation was conducted. It is unclear whether the degree of improvement in motor paralysis is different more than four weeks after spinal cord injury. Second, the neurological deficits caused by contusion injury to the posterior aspect of the spinal cord could differ in rats and humans because the pyramidal tract of a rat is located in the posterior spinal cord whereas in humans it is located in the lateral spinal cord. Hopefully, further studies will be conducted that change the compression force and timing of decompression.

5. Conclusion

Decompression surgery after SCIWORA in rats with asymptomatic canal stenosis provided better motor function than in the non-decompressed group, regardless of the timing of decompression.

Abbreviations

AIS, American spinal cord injury association Impairment Scale; BBB, Basso, Beattie, and Bresnahan; CT, computed tomography; FLS, forelimb locomotor scale; GAP-43, growth associated protein-43; LFB, luxol fast blue; PBS, phosphate-buffered saline; RNA, ribonucleic acid; SCIWORA, spinal cord injury without radiographic abnormality; SCI, spinal cord injury; TUNEL, transferase-mediated dUTP nick end labeling

Declarations

Data availability

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article and its supplementary information files.

Funding

This research was supported by Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) KAKENHI Grant Number JP21K09119.

Acknowledgments

We deeply appreciate Ms. Ikumi Morita for her important technical assistance during the present research.

Ethics approval

The study was approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Chiba University Graduate School of Medicine (approval number 2-393).

Consent for publications

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

1. Wenzel LR, Vrooman A, Hammill HA. Acute spinal cord injury. Crit Care Obstet. 2018;28:369–89.
2. Pang D, Wilberger JE. Spinal cord injury without radiographic abnormalities in children. J Neurosurg. 1982;57:114–29.
3. Investigation O. Effect of Early vs Delayed Surgical Treatment on Motor Recovery in Incomplete Cervical Spinal Cord Injury With Preexisting Cervical Stenosis A Randomized Clinical Trial. 2021;4:1–13.
4. Kim P, Haisa T, Kawamoto T, Kirino T, Wakai S. Delayed Myelopathy Induced by Chronic Compression in the Rat Spinal Cord. Ann Neurol. 2004;55:503–11.
5. Ijima Y, Furuya T, Koda M, Matsuura Y, Saito J, Kitamura M, et al. Experimental rat model for cervical compressive myelopathy. Neuroreport. 2017;28:1239–45.
6. Basso DM, Beattie MS, Bresnahan JC. A Sensitive and Reliable Locomotor Rating Scale for Open Field Testing in Rats. J Neurotrauma. 1995;12:1–21.

7. Cao Y, Shumsky JS, Sabol MA, Kushner RA, Strittmatter S, Hamers FPT, et al. Nogo-66 receptor antagonist peptide (NEP1-40) administration promotes functional recovery and axonal growth after lateral funiculus injury in the adult rat. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair*. 2008;22:262–78.
8. Zhang YQ, Guo N, Peng G, Han M, Raincrow J, Chiu C hua, et al. Role of SIP30 in the development and maintenance of peripheral nerve injury-induced neuropathic pain. *Pain*. 2009;146:130–40. doi:10.1016/j.pain.2009.07.011.
9. Batchelor PE, Wills TE, Skeers P, Battistuzzo CR, Macleod MR, Howells DW, et al. Meta-Analysis of Pre-Clinical Studies of Early Decompression in Acute Spinal Cord Injury: A Battle of Time and Pressure. *PLoS One*. 2013;8:1–12.
10. Aarabi B, Akhtar-Danesh N, Chryssikos T, Shanmuganathan K, Schwartzbauer GT, Simard JM, et al. Efficacy of Ultra-Early (< 12 h), Early (12-24 h), and Late (>24-138.5 h) Surgery with Magnetic Resonance Imaging-Confirmed Decompression in American Spinal Injury Association Impairment Scale Grades A, B, and C Cervical Spinal Cord Injury. *J Neurotrauma*. 2019;10:1–10.
11. Badhiwala JH, Wilson JR, Witiw CD, Harrop JS, Vaccaro AR, Aarabi B, et al. The influence of timing of surgical decompression for acute spinal cord injury: a pooled analysis of individual patient data. *Lancet Neurol*. 2021;20:117–26. doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(20)30406-3.
12. Kwiecien JM, Dabrowski W, Dąbrowska-Bouta B, Sulkowski G, Oakden W, Kwiecien-Delaney CJ, et al. Prolonged inflammation leads to ongoing damage after spinal cord injury. *PLoS One*. 2020;15:1–22.

Figures

Figure 1

A CT taken just after the sheet insertion surgery.

A C6 laminectomy was performed and a sheet was inserted on the dorsal spinal cord at the C4-C5 level.

Figure 2

Contusion force of spinal cord injury

The contusion force of injury was not significantly different among the three groups.

Figure 3

FLS score

There were no significant differences among groups before spinal cord injury. After spinal cord injury, each group improved forelimb motor function. The speed of recovery was faster in the acute decompression group than in the other groups. Four weeks after spinal cord injury, the non-decompression group had significantly lower scores than the other two groups.

FLS score; Forelimb Locomotor Scale score

Fig. 4

Figure 4

BBB score

Prior to the spinal cord injury, there were no significant differences among the groups. After spinal cord injury, each group improved hindlimb motor function. The speed of recovery was faster in the acute decompression group than in the other groups. Four weeks after SCI, the non-decompression group had a significantly lower score than the other two groups.

BBB score; Basso, Beattie and Bresnahan score

Figure 5

A/B TUNEL staining

The number of TUNEL-positive cells in the anterior horn at the level of the spinal cord injury was significantly greater in the non-decompression group.

TUNEL; terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase dUTP nick end labeling

Figure 6

A/B Luxol Fast Blue staining

There was no significant difference between the immediate decompression group and the subacute decompression group in the percentage of demyelination of the posterior spinal cord at C7.

Figure 7

Von Frey

There were no significant differences among the groups either before or after spinal cord injury.

Cavity formation

Fig. 8

Figure 8

Cavity formation

In the immediate decompression group, cavity formation tended to be smaller away from the epicenter of the spinal cord injury, but there were no significant differences among groups.

Figure 9

A/B GAP-43 staining

The number of GAP-43 positive cells located just caudal to the cavity formation tended to be lower in the non-decompressed group, but there were no significant differences among groups.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [SupplementaryTable1.xlsx](#)
- [SupplementaryTable2.xlsx](#)
- [SupplementaryTable3.xlsx](#)
- [SupplementaryTable4.xlsx](#)
- [SupplementaryTable5.xlsx](#)
- [SupplementaryTable6.xlsx](#)
- [SupplementaryTable7.xlsx](#)
- [SupplementaryTable8.xlsx](#)