Multifrequency controlled synchronization of four inductor motors by the fixed frequency ratio method in a vibration system

Lei Jia (✉ jjaleizsq@126.com)

Shenyang Ligong University <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1376-1103>

Ziliang Liu

Shenyang Ligong University

Chun Wang

Shenyang Ligong University

Research Article

Keywords: Controlled synchronization, Multifrequency synchronization, Fuzzy PID method, Dynamical model, Self-synchronization

Posted Date: March 7th, 2022

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1294717/v1>

License: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Multifrequency controlled synchronization of four inductor motors by the fixed frequency ratio method in a vibration system

Lei Jia, born in 1986, is currently a lecturer at School of Mechanical Engineering, Shenyang Ligong University, *China*. He received his doctor degree from *Northeastern University, China*, in 2020. His research interests include vibration synchronization and dynamic.

Tel: +18940283663; E-mail: jialeizsq@126.com

Zi-Liang Liu, born in 1984, is currently a lecturer at School of Mechanical Engineering, Shenyang Ligong University, *China*. He received his doctor degree from *Northeastern University, China*, in 2018. His research interests include rotor dynamics and vibration control.

Chun Wang, born in 1994, is currently a postgraduate student in Shenyang Ligong University, *China*. He received his bachelor degree from Jinling Institute of Science and Technology, China, in 2021. His research interests include dynamic and active control. E-mail: wangchun016050@163.com

First author: Lei Jia E-mail: jialeizsq@163.com

Corresponding author: Zi-liang Liu E-mail: abagnale_liu@163.com

Multifrequency controlled synchronization of four inductor motors by the fixed frequency ratio method in a vibration system

Lei Jia¹, Ziliang Liu^{*1}, Chun Wang¹

¹School of Mechanical Engineering, Shenyang Ligong University, Shenyang 110159, China.

Abstract: This article illustrates the phenomenon that multifrequency self-synchronization of four induction motors in a vibration system can't be realized and addresses this problem with controlled synchronization by the fixed frequency ratio method. Through establishing the dynamical model of the vibration system, the stability of the vibration system is analyzed with the small parameter method and the non-coupled feature with different frequency of inductor motors is investigated. To achieve the purpose of multifrequency controlled synchronization, a fuzzy PID controlling method is introduced and the stability of the controlling system is certified by the Lyapunov criterion. An arbitrariness of the proposed controlling method which is applied to the vibration system is presented. Some simulations are given by Matlab/Simulink. Aimed at the verification of the theoretical and numerical results, a vibrating test bench is constructed and some experiments are implemented. With the results in this article, some characteristic analyses are discussed.

Keywords: Controlled synchronization; Multifrequency synchronization; Fuzzy PID method; Dynamical model; Self-synchronization.

1. Introduction

With the development of the economy, the pursuit of interest appears to be particularly important in the industry production. For achieving this target, many technologies corresponding to it are presented. In the meanwhile, vibrating machines as a branch in the industry are investigated for benefits on the agriculture, for example, the vibrating screen, the vibrator feeder and so on [1-4]. These kinds of vibrating machines are usually structured by two patterns in the industry. One type as a forced synchronization is realized by belts, gears etc. They can implement the same or different speeds among inductor motors. The other type is based on the self-synchronization theory which is firstly proposed by Blekhman [5, 6]. In their research, the dynamic model is combined with the multiscale method which is an asymptotic analytic method based on the average method. By utilizing different time scales, they divide the vibrating motion into two kinds of processes which are respective fast and slow processes. The fast one is relative to the motor speed and the slow one is relative to the phase. Thus, two eccentric rotors (ERs) driven by induction motors realize the self-synchronization on the opposite directions. Obviously, the vibrating machines can be realized with more simple structure and fewer cost by the self-synchronization theory. Based on the former results, many researchers are attracted in this field and it acquires a rapid development. Wen et al. [7] analyses the feature of vibrating system based on a high coupling dynamical model. In addition, they derived synchronous and stability conditions of the vibrating system with the Hamilton criterion. Zhao et al. [8, 9] establish the electromechanical coupling dynamic model and converts the problem of synchronous condition into an existence of the eigenvalue with the small parameter average method. They not only realize the self-synchronization motion of two motors in the opposite directions but also in the same directions. The researches above are established in one single rigid body. Zhang et al. [10-14] present the theory of self-synchronization with multi motors (more than two motors). In their research, the dynamical model is established based on a rigid body. With the synchronization condition and synchronization criteria of self-synchronization, the characteristic analysis of dynamical model is given. Their research results present that the self-synchronization of vibrating system with three motors can't obtain a superposed amplitude and this phenomenon don't realize the zero

differences among three motors. The synchronization problems above are all based on the same frequency of motors. The synchronization problem with different frequency is presented by Inoue Junkichi [15]. In their work, four motors are symmetrically installed on a vibrostand along the vertical axis rather than horizontal axis. And they use this asymmetrical feature to realize the multi frequency synchronization. However, the method in Ref. [15] can only realize one type of synchronization state with the fixed dynamical model. This result can't satisfy the needs of industry.

The self-synchronization motion which can realize the zero difference between two motors should satisfy the synchronization condition and synchronization criteria. And this result depends on the dynamical feature of the vibrating system. To solve this problem, controlling methods are introduced in the vibrating system. Kong et al. [16, 17] introduce the controlling strategy and method into self-synchronization motion and realize the controlled synchronization motion. In their research, a master-slave controlling strategy and an adaptive sliding mode controlling method is presented to be used in the dynamical model of the vibrating system. With this method, the differences of motors which are not zero in the self-synchronization can be realized to zero finally. Besides the method above, Ishizaki et al. [18] use the cross-coupling controlling method to realize the synchronization with dual servo systems. Aiming at the dual linear motor system, Lin et al. [19] apply intelligent complementary sliding mode controlling method to implement cross-coupled synchronous. Attention to different frequency of motors in the synchronization motion, Jia et al. [20, 21] propose the adaptive fuzzy PID method and realize the multifrequency controlled synchronization motion. Tian et al. [22] illustrate the fast and robust estimation for positions and velocities by using a generalized modulating functions method.

From illustrations above, the purpose of realizing the synchronization motions is to increase the amplitudes of the vibrating system based on the dynamical feature. And this result can be converted to realize the zero differences between motors. However, the multi-frequency synchronization can only be realized with integer frequency (2 or 3 times). For solving this problem, multifrequency controlled synchronization of four inductor motors by fixed

frequency ratio method is proposed and the main structure in this article is provided. In section 2, the dynamical model of the vibrating system with four motors is established. And then synchronization condition and criteria of the vibrating system are both obtained in the least common multiple cycle with the small parameter method. In section 3, the adaptive fuzzy PID controlling method is introduced in the vibrating system based on a master-slave controlling strategy and the stability of the controlling system is certified by Lyapunov theory. For a legible illustration, some numerical simulations and experiments are shown in section 4 and the conformity between simulation and experiment are listed. In section 5, some conclusions about this article are given.

2. Mathematical model and synchronization analysis

2.1 Mathematical model of the vibrating system

In this section, the mathematical model of the vibrating system is shown in fig.1, which is established from bottom to the top. All the symbols are listed in table 3. One rigid frame is connected on the foundation with four springs which are symmetrically distributed along coordinate axis. Four squirrel-cage inductor motors divided into two groups are fixed on the frame symmetrically. Motor 1 and 4 as one group have the same frequency and the other group are consisted of motor 2 and 3 of which frequency are different from the front group. Each motor is installed by four bolts through circular holes on the frame.

As shown in fig.1, o is center point of the frame and o_i ($i=1,2,3,4$) are respectively shaft points of four inductor motors. $oo_i = l_i$ ($i=1,2,3,4$) are respectively the distances between center point of the frame o and shaft points of four inductor motors o_i . m is the quality of the frame and four inductor motors. m_0 is the full quality of each ERs and m_i ($i=1,2,3,4$) are respectively the actual quality of four ERs. r is the radius of four motors. φ_i ($i=1,2,3,4$) are initial phase angle of inductor motors. θ_i ($i=1,2,3,4$) are position angle of four ERs. J_p is rotational inertia of the frame. ψ is the swing angle of the vibrating system. Thus, the differential equation of the vibrating system based on the Lagrange equation can be expressed as

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\mathbf{q}}} \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{q}} = \mathbf{Q} \quad (1)$$

Where $L = T - V$. L is the Lagrange function. T and V are respectively the kinetic energy and potential energy. $\mathbf{Q}$ and $\mathbf{q}$ respectively represent generalized force and generalized coordinates.

$$\mathbf{Q} = (-f_x \dot{x}, -f_y \dot{y}, -f_\psi \dot{\psi}, T_{e1} - f_1 \dot{\phi}_1, T_{e2} - f_2 \dot{\phi}_2, T_{e3} - f_3 \dot{\phi}_3, T_{e4} - f_4 \dot{\phi}_4)^T, \quad \mathbf{q} = (x, y, \psi, \phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3, \phi_4)^T.$$

$$T = m(\dot{x} + \dot{y})^2 / 2 + J_p \dot{\psi}^2 / 2 + \sum_{i=1}^4 m_0 \dot{\mathbf{x}}_i^T \dot{\mathbf{x}}_i / 2 + \sum_{i=1}^4 J_i \dot{\phi}_i^2 / 2 \quad (2)$$

In equation (2), $\mathbf{x}_i = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \cos \psi & -\sin \psi \\ \sin \psi & \cos \psi \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} l_i \cos \theta_i + \tau_i r \cos \phi_i \\ l_i \sin \theta_i + r \sin \phi_i \end{pmatrix}.$

$$V = k_x x^2 / 2 + k_y y^2 / 2 + k_\psi \psi^2 / 2 \quad (3)$$

Combined equation (1) with equations (2) and (3), the electromechanical coupling dynamical model of the vibrating system can be obtained.

$$\begin{aligned} M\ddot{x} + f_x \dot{x} + k_x x &= \sum_{i=1}^4 \tau_i m_i r (\dot{\phi}_i^2 \cos \phi_i + \ddot{\phi}_i \sin \phi_i) \\ M\ddot{y} + f_y \dot{y} + k_y y &= \sum_{i=1}^4 m_i r (\dot{\phi}_i^2 \sin \phi_i - \ddot{\phi}_i \cos \phi_i) \\ J\ddot{\psi} + f_\psi \dot{\psi} + k_\psi \psi &= \sum_{i=1}^4 m_i r l_i [\dot{\phi}_i^2 \sin(\phi_i - \tau_i \theta_i) - \ddot{\phi}_i \cos(\phi_i - \tau_i \theta_i)] \\ J_i \ddot{\phi}_i + f_i \dot{\phi}_i &= T_{ei} - T_{Li} \end{aligned} \quad , \quad \tau_i = \begin{cases} +1, & i = 1, 2 \\ -1, & i = 3, 4 \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Where, $M = m + \sum_{i=1}^4 m_i$ is the total mass of the vibrating system, $J = M l_e^2 \approx J_p + \sum_{i=1}^4 m_i (l_i^2 + r^2)$ is the

equivalent rotational inertia of the vibrating system. l_e is the equivalent rotational radius. $J_i = m_i r^2 (i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$

are respectively rotational inertia of four motors. f_x , f_y and f_ψ are respectively the damping coefficients of

vibrating system in x , y and ψ directions, $f_\psi = f_x l_y^2 + f_y l_x^2$. k_x , k_y and k_ψ are respectively the stiffness

coefficients of vibrating system in x , y and ψ directions, $f_\psi = f_x l_y^2 + f_y l_x^2$. $f_i (i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$,

$T_{ei} (i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$, and $T_{Li} (i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ are respectively damping coefficients, electromagnetic torques and load

torques of four motors. The item T_L in equation (4) can be derived as equation (5).

$$T_{Li} = m_i r [\ddot{y} \cos \phi_i - \tau_i \ddot{x} \sin \phi_i + l_i \ddot{\psi} \cos(\phi_i - \tau_i \theta_i) + \tau_i l_i \dot{\psi}^2 \sin(\phi_i - \tau_i \theta_i)] \quad (5)$$

Aiming to illustrate the item T_e in the electromechanical coupling dynamical model, the model of inductor motor is given. In this article, the ERs are driven by squirrel-cage inductor motors. With the feature of this kind of inductor motor, its inner rotor windings short-circuit. Thus, $u_{rd} = u_{rq}$. When the motor is at a stable state, $\phi_{rd} = \text{constant}$ and $\dot{\phi}_{rq} = 0$. According to document [23], the model of the inductor motor can be expressed as equation (6).

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{ks} di_{sd} / dt &= u_{sd} - R_{ks} i_{sd} + R_r L_m / L_r^2 \phi_{rd} + \omega_s L_{ks} i_{sq} \\
L_{ks} di_{sq} / dt &= u_{sq} - R_{ks} i_{sq} - L_m / L_r \phi_{rd} \omega - \omega_s L_{ks} i_{sd} \\
d\phi_{rd} / dt &= 1 / T_r (L_m i_{sq} - \phi_{rd}) \\
d\theta / dt &= L_m i_{sq} / T_r \phi_{rd} + \omega \\
T_e &= 3 L_m \phi_{rd} i_{sq} / 2 L_r
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Where, s and r respectively represent stator and rotor. d and q represent d -axis and q -axis in the rotating coordinate frame. i , u and R respectively represent current, voltage and resistance. L_s and L_r are respectively represent self-inductance of the stator and rotor. L_m is mutual inductance of the stator and rotor. T_r is rotor time constant, $T_r = L_r / R_r$. L_{ks} is leakage inductance of the stator, $L_{ks} = L_s - L_m^2 / L_r$. R_{ks} is equivalent resistance of the stator, $R_{ks} = R_s + L_m^2 / L_r^2 R_r$. θ represents the synchronous flux-linkage angle, $\theta = \int (\omega + \omega_s) dt$. ω represents the mechanical angular velocity. ω_s represents the synchronous electrical angular velocity, $\omega_s = L_m i_{sq} / \phi_{rd} T_r$.

To realize the controlled synchronization, the vector control method is introduced in the controlling system. And the rotor flux-oriented control (RFOC) is shown in fig.2 to illustrate the controlling system. In fig.2, signs with “*” are initial values and in equation (5), L_m and ϕ_{rd} are given values. i_{sd} can be calculated by formulation $i_{sd} = \phi_{rd} / L_m$. Therefore, the item T_e is related with the feedback values i_{sq} .

2.2. Synchronization analysis of the multifrequency self-synchronization

To show the stability analysis of the vibrating system clearly, the illustration of the dynamic model should be given firstly. In this model, motor 1 and motor 4 realize the self-synchronization motion in the opposite direction, and this condition can be expressed as $\varphi_1 - \varphi_4 = 0$ according to the non-linear dynamical theory. Motor 2 and motor 3 finish the same self-synchronization as the condition above and it can be expressed as $\varphi_2 - \varphi_3 = 0$. Motor 1 and 4

realize the multifrequency synchronization with the fixed frequency ratio method which can be presented as

$p\dot{\phi}_2 - q\dot{\phi}_3 = 0$. Where, p and q are prime numbers, $p/q=n$. Thus, the speeds of four motors can be presented as

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_1 &= \int_0^{T_1} \dot{\phi}_1(t) dt / T_1 \\ \omega_2 &= \int_0^{T_2} \dot{\phi}_2(t) dt / T_2 \\ \omega_3 &= \int_0^{T_3} \dot{\phi}_3(t) dt / T_3 \\ \omega_4 &= \int_0^{T_4} \dot{\phi}_4(t) dt / T_4\end{aligned}\quad (7)$$

Taking equation (7) into equation (4), responses in three directions of the vibrating system can be derived as the equation (8).

$$\begin{aligned}x &= -r_m r [\eta_1 \cos(\varphi_1 + \gamma_{x1}) / \mu_{x1} + \eta_2 \cos(\varphi_2 + \gamma_{x2}) / \mu_{x2} - \eta_3 \cos(\varphi_3 + \gamma_{x3}) / \mu_{x3} \\ &\quad - \eta_4 \cos(\varphi_4 + \gamma_{x4}) / \mu_{x4}] \\ y &= -r_m r [\eta_1 \sin(\varphi_1 + \gamma_{y1}) / \mu_{y1} + \eta_2 \sin(\varphi_2 + \gamma_{y2}) / \mu_{y2} + \eta_3 \sin(\varphi_3 + \gamma_{y3}) / \mu_{y3} \\ &\quad + \eta_4 \sin(\varphi_4 + \gamma_{y4}) / \mu_{y4}] \\ \psi &= -(r_m r / l_e) [\eta_1 r_{l1} \sin(\varphi_1 - \theta_1 + \gamma_{\psi1}) / \mu_{\psi1} + \eta_2 r_{l2} \sin(\varphi_2 - \theta_2 + \gamma_{\psi2}) / \mu_{\psi2} \\ &\quad + \eta_3 r_{l3} \sin(\varphi_3 + \theta_3 + \gamma_{\psi3}) / \mu_{\psi3} + \eta_4 r_{l4} \sin(\varphi_4 + \theta_4 + \gamma_{\psi4}) / \mu_{\psi4}]\end{aligned}\quad (8)$$

Where, $\omega_x^2 = k_x / M$, $\omega_y^2 = k_y / M$, $\omega_\psi^2 = k_\psi / J$, $\zeta_x = f_x / (2\sqrt{k_x M})$, $\zeta_y = f_y / (2\sqrt{k_y M})$, $\zeta_\psi = f_\psi / (2\sqrt{k_\psi J})$, $\mu_{xi} = 1 - \omega_x^2 / \omega_i^2$, $\mu_{yi} = 1 - \omega_y^2 / \omega_i^2$, $\mu_{\psi i} = 1 - \omega_\psi^2 / \omega_i^2$, $r_{li} = l_i / l_e$, $\tan \gamma_{xi} = 2\zeta_x \omega_x / (\mu_{xi} \omega_i)$, $\tan \gamma_{yi} = 2\zeta_y \omega_y / (\mu_{yi} \omega_i)$, $\tan \gamma_{\psi i} = 2\zeta_\psi \omega_\psi / (\mu_{\psi i} \omega_i)$, $r_m = m_0 / M$, $\eta_i = m_i / m_0 (i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$.

With the small parameter method, the small parameter ε is introduced in equation (4). And then, equation (4) can be converted to equation (9).

$$\begin{aligned}J_1 \dot{\bar{\varepsilon}}_1 \omega_0 + f_1 \omega_0 (p + \bar{\varepsilon}_1) &= \bar{T}_{e1} - \bar{T}_{L1} \\ J_2 \dot{\bar{\varepsilon}}_2 \omega_0 + f_2 \omega_0 (q + \bar{\varepsilon}_2) &= \bar{T}_{e2} - \bar{T}_{L2} \\ J_3 \dot{\bar{\varepsilon}}_3 \omega_0 + f_3 \omega_0 (q + \bar{\varepsilon}_3) &= \bar{T}_{e3} - \bar{T}_{L3} \\ J_4 \dot{\bar{\varepsilon}}_4 \omega_0 + f_4 \omega_0 (p + \bar{\varepsilon}_4) &= \bar{T}_{e4} - \bar{T}_{L4}\end{aligned}\quad (9)$$

Where, ω_0 is the average speed of motors in the self-synchronization. The calculation method of

$\bar{T}_{ei} = T_{e0i} - k_{e0i} \bar{\varepsilon}_i (i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ can be obtained from Ref. [16]. Assuming $\dot{\phi}_1 = (p + \varepsilon_1) \omega_0$, $\dot{\phi}_2 = (q + \varepsilon_2) \omega_0$,

$\dot{\phi}_3 = (q + \varepsilon_3)\omega_0$, $\dot{\phi}_4 = (p + \varepsilon_4)\omega_0$, $\ddot{\phi}_1 = \dot{\varepsilon}_1\omega_0$, $\ddot{\phi}_2 = \dot{\varepsilon}_2\omega_0$, $\ddot{\phi}_3 = \dot{\varepsilon}_3\omega_0$, $\ddot{\phi}_4 = \dot{\varepsilon}_4\omega_0$, the average load torques can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{T}_{L1} &= m_0 r^2 \omega_0 (a_{11} \dot{\bar{\varepsilon}}_1 + a_{14} \dot{\bar{\varepsilon}}_4 + b_{11} \bar{\varepsilon}_1 + b_{14} \bar{\varepsilon}_4 + \kappa_1) \\ \bar{T}_{L2} &= m_0 r^2 \omega_0 (a_{22} \dot{\bar{\varepsilon}}_2 + a_{23} \dot{\bar{\varepsilon}}_3 + b_{22} \bar{\varepsilon}_2 + b_{23} \bar{\varepsilon}_3 + \kappa_2) \\ \bar{T}_{L3} &= m_0 r^2 \omega_0 (a_{32} \dot{\bar{\varepsilon}}_2 + a_{33} \dot{\bar{\varepsilon}}_3 + b_{32} \bar{\varepsilon}_2 + b_{33} \bar{\varepsilon}_3 + \kappa_3) \\ \bar{T}_{L4} &= m_0 r^2 \omega_0 (a_{41} \dot{\bar{\varepsilon}}_1 + a_{44} \dot{\bar{\varepsilon}}_4 + b_{41} \bar{\varepsilon}_1 + b_{44} \bar{\varepsilon}_4 + \kappa_4)\end{aligned}\quad (10)$$

The coefficient and constant items are all listed in the appendix A.

From the appendix A, it can be known that when two inductor motors are with the same frequency (self-synchronization), the coupling effect exists. Otherwise, there is no coupling effect between two motors. Because motor 1 and motor 4 realize self-synchronization motion, the average phase difference can be expressed as $\varphi_1 - \varphi_4 = 2\alpha_1$. Based on the same theory, the average phase difference between motor 2 and motor 3 can be expressed as $\varphi_2 - \varphi_3 = 2\alpha_2$. Take items T_e and T_L into equation (10) and expand it at α_1 and α_2 respectively. In the meanwhile, omit the higher order non-linear terms and assume ε_5 and ε_6 to be respectively the small parameter perturbation of two groups of inductor motors. Equation (11) can be obtained.

$$\mathbf{A} \dot{\bar{\varepsilon}} = \mathbf{B} \bar{\varepsilon} + \mathbf{v} \quad (11)$$

$$\text{Where, } \mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} a'_{11} & 0 & 0 & a'_{14} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a'_{22} & a'_{23} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a'_{32} & a'_{33} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a'_{41} & 0 & 0 & a'_{44} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{B} = \begin{pmatrix} b'_{11} & 0 & 0 & b'_{14} & b'_{15} & 0 \\ 0 & b'_{22} & b'_{23} & 0 & 0 & b'_{26} \\ 0 & b'_{32} & b'_{33} & 0 & 0 & b'_{36} \\ b'_{41} & 0 & 0 & b'_{44} & b'_{45} & 0 \\ \omega_0/2 & 0 & 0 & -\omega_0/2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_0/2 & -\omega_0/2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\dot{\bar{\varepsilon}} = (\dot{\bar{\varepsilon}}_1 \quad \dot{\bar{\varepsilon}}_2 \quad \dot{\bar{\varepsilon}}_3 \quad \dot{\bar{\varepsilon}}_4 \quad \dot{\bar{\varepsilon}}_5 \quad \dot{\bar{\varepsilon}}_6)^T, \bar{\varepsilon} = (\bar{\varepsilon}_1 \quad \bar{\varepsilon}_2 \quad \bar{\varepsilon}_3 \quad \bar{\varepsilon}_4 \quad \bar{\varepsilon}_5 \quad \bar{\varepsilon}_6)^T, \mathbf{v} = (\nu_1 \quad \nu_2 \quad \nu_3 \quad \nu_4 \quad 0 \quad 0)^T.$$

a'_{ij} , b'_{ij} and $\nu_i (i=1,2,3,4)$ are listed in the appendix B.

When the vibrating system reaches the stable synchronous state, the small parameters $\varepsilon = 0$ and $\dot{\varepsilon} = 0$. Thus, the synchronous condition of four ERs can be expressed as equation (12).

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{e01} &= f_1 p \omega_0 + m_0 r^2 \omega_0 \kappa_1, |T_{e01}| \leq T_{eN1} \\
T_{e02} &= f_2 q \omega_0 + m_0 r^2 \omega_0 \kappa_2, |T_{e02}| \leq T_{eN2} \\
T_{e03} &= f_3 q \omega_0 + m_0 r^2 \omega_0 \kappa_3, |T_{e03}| \leq T_{eN3} \\
T_{e04} &= f_4 p \omega_0 + m_0 r^2 \omega_0 \kappa_4, |T_{e04}| \leq T_{eN4}
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Where, $T_{eNi} (i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ are respectively the rated electromagnetic torques of four inductor motors. Because of the stable synchronous state, the result of $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ can be obtained. Take $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ into equation (12), and then equation (13) can be acquired.

$$\mathbf{A} \dot{\bar{\mathbf{e}}} = \mathbf{B} \bar{\mathbf{e}} \tag{13}$$

As shown in equation (11), because the matrix $\mathbf{A}$ is a non-singular matrix and the determinant $|\mathbf{A}| \neq 0$, the matrix $\mathbf{A}$ is invertible. Then, equation (13) can be expressed as equation (14).

$$\dot{\bar{\mathbf{e}}} = \mathbf{D} \bar{\mathbf{e}} \tag{14}$$

Where, $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{B}$. Because of $|\lambda \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{D}| = 0$, the characteristic equation of the matrix can be represented as

$$\lambda^6 + d_1 \lambda^5 + d_2 \lambda^4 + d_3 \lambda^3 + d_4 \lambda^2 + d_5 \lambda + d_6 = 0 \tag{15}$$

Where, $d_j (j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6)$ and λ are respectively coefficients and characteristic values of the characteristic equation. When the characteristic equation meets the condition of Hurwitz criterion, the synchronous state of the vibrating system is stable. Otherwise, it is unstable.

3. Design of the controlling system

3.1 Design of the electromechanical coupling system

In this section, the controlling system of the vibrating system is shown as fig.3. The master-slave controlling strategy is introduced in the controlled structure and the fuzzy PID method is used in the vector controlling method of the inductor motors [24, 25]. Motor 1 is considered as the master motor of the system. Motor 2 and 4 are both considered as the slave motors of motor 1. In the meanwhile, motor 3 is considered as the slave motor of motor 2.

To certify the feasibility of the controlling system, fig.3 should be illustrated. ω_l as a target speed is given firstly, and then through the fuzzy PID method, the speed of motor 1 ω_1 can be obtained with RFOC 1. There are three

functions of ω_1 . One is transferred to motor 1 as a feedback value. Another is given to motor 2 as an input value. The other is used to obtain φ_1 through the integral method. With the same frequency, the controlling system is traced through the phase, while it is traced through the speed with the fixed frequency ratio method. Thus, the speeds and phases of motor 2, 3 and 4 can be acquired.

3.2 The stability analysis of the controlling system

Because there are two tracing situations in figure 3 which are respectively the speed tracing and phase tracing, the stability of the controlling system should be discussed separately. In the speed tracing situation, the speed of motor is set as the state variable, $\omega = \dot{\varphi}$. Taking $\omega = \dot{\varphi}$ into equation (4), thus equation (4) can be expressed as

$$J_i \dot{\omega}_i + f \omega_i = K_{Ti} u_i + W_i (i=1,2,3,4) \quad (16)$$

Where, $K_{Ti} = L_{mi} \phi_{rdi} / L_{ri} (i=1,2,3,4)$, u_i as the controlling variable represents i_{qsi}^* , $i=1,2,3,4$. $W_i = -T_{Li} (i=1,2,3,4)$ represents the uncertain loads. $J_i (i=1,2,3,4)$ respectively represents the rotational inertia of four motors. Combined the given target speed ω_t in fig.3 with the actual speed ω , the speed error of the motor can be expressed as

$$e = \omega_t - \omega \quad (17)$$

Then, the tracing error of the system can be represented as $E = [e, \dot{e}]^T$. According to equation (16), the control law of the system can be designed as

$$u = J / K_T [-\hat{f}(x | \theta_f) + \dot{\omega}_t + K^T E + (f\omega - W) / J] \quad (18)$$

Where, $K = [k_p, k_i]^T$. The function $\hat{f}(x)$ can be expressed as $\hat{f}(x | \theta_f) = \theta_f^T \zeta(x)$ which is based on the weight coefficient θ_f . Thus, the adaptive law of controlling system can be designed as equation (19).

$$\dot{\theta}_f = -\gamma E^T P b \zeta(x) \quad (19)$$

Where, P is a positive definite matrix. Considering Ω_f as a convex set to assure the optimal weight coefficient θ_f^* belongs to it and the weight coefficient θ_f is bounded. And then, θ_f^* can be structured as

$$\theta_f^* = \arg \min_{\theta_f \in \Omega_f} [\sup | \hat{f}(x | \theta_f) - f(x) |] \quad (20)$$

Taking equation (18) into equation (16), the closed loop equation of the controlling system is designed as

$$\dot{\mathbf{E}} = \mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{b}[\hat{f}(x|\theta_f) - f(x)] \quad (21)$$

Where, $\mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $\mathbf{\Lambda} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -k_p & -k_i \end{pmatrix}$.

As illuminated in section 3.1, because the controlling system is a feedback system, the speed error and phase error should be considered. Thus, equation (22) can be converted to (23) which is the approximate error equation with equation (18) and (19).

$$\dot{\mathbf{E}} = \mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{b}[(\theta_f - \theta_f^*)^T \boldsymbol{\zeta}(\mathbf{x}) + \Gamma] \quad (23)$$

Where, $\Gamma = \hat{f}(x|\theta_f^*) - f(x)$ is the minimum approximate error.

To acquire the minimum values of $\mathbf{E}$ and $\theta_f - \theta_f^*$, a Lyapunov function is constructed as equation (24).

$$\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{E}^T \mathbf{P} \mathbf{E} / 2 + (\theta_f - \theta_f^*)^T (\theta_f - \theta_f^*) / (2\zeta) \quad (24)$$

Where, ζ is a positive real number. $\mathbf{Q}$ as a positive definite matrix is introduced to satisfy the stability of the Lyapunov equation.

$$\mathbf{\Lambda}^T \mathbf{P} + \mathbf{P} \mathbf{\Lambda} = -\mathbf{Q} \quad (25)$$

Set $\mathbf{V}_1 = \mathbf{E}^T \mathbf{P} \mathbf{E} / 2$, the derivative $\dot{\mathbf{V}}_1 = -\mathbf{E}^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{E} / 2 + (\theta_f - \theta_f^*)^T \mathbf{E}^T \mathbf{P} \mathbf{b} \boldsymbol{\zeta}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{E}^T \mathbf{P} \mathbf{b} \Gamma$. Similarly, set $\mathbf{V}_2 = (\theta_f - \theta_f^*)^T (\theta_f - \theta_f^*) / (2\zeta)$, and then the derivative $\dot{\mathbf{V}}_2 = (\theta_f - \theta_f^*)^T \dot{\theta}_f / \zeta$. Based on the Lyapunov criterion, the derivative of the equation can be expressed as $\dot{\mathbf{V}} = \dot{\mathbf{V}}_1 + \dot{\mathbf{V}}_2$, bring $\mathbf{V}_1$ and $\mathbf{V}_2$ into $\mathbf{V}$, it can be derived as $\dot{\mathbf{V}} = -\mathbf{E}^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{E} / 2 + \mathbf{E}^T \mathbf{P} \mathbf{b} \Gamma$. When the values of Γ which can satisfy the condition $\dot{\mathbf{V}} \leq 0$ exist, the system is stable.

With the same method above, the stability certification of speed error and phase error with the other motors can be obtained.

4. Numerical and experimental results with discussions

In this section, some representative numerical simulation examples are given based on the Matlab/Simulink. The

results show that demonstrate that the multifrequency self-synchronization can't be realized. However, the multifrequency controlled synchronization can be realized through the fuzzy PID method. And then, the same results are given with the experiments. The parameters in the simulations and experiments are listed in table 1 and 2.

4.1 Results of numerical simulation

Based on the model of fig.1, the frequency of motor 1 and 4 are set as 30Hz and the frequency of motor 2 and 3 are both 45Hz. The simulation results in fig.4 (a) represent that the speeds of four motors reach the given speeds. From (b), it represents that the phase between motor 1 and 4 approximately equals to zero. This result shows that two motors rotated in a opposite direction with the same frequency can reach the stable synchronization state. Similarly, the phase between motor 2 and 3 also trends to zero in (c). With the non-linear theory, when the system can achieve $p\dot{\phi}_1 - q\dot{\phi}_2 = 0$ and $p\phi_1 - q\phi_2 = \text{constant}$, the multifrequency self-synchronization can be realized. However, the phase difference in figure (d) is a monotonic curve and $p\phi_1 - q\phi_2 \neq \text{constant}$. This result represents that the multifrequency self-synchronization of the vibration system can't be realized. (e) and (f) are respectively the responses in three directions. The results in fig.4 are consistent with the dynamical model.

With the results above, the multifrequency self-synchronization can't be realized. Thus, the fixed frequency ratio method is introduced in the vibrating system. As shown in fig.5, (a) is the speeds of four motors. The given speed of motor 1 is 60 rad/s, the speeds of motor 2, 3 and 4 respectively attain 90 rad/s, 90 rad/s and 60 rad/s with the controlling method. (b) is the torque load of four inductor motors. The values of torque loads are between -2 to 2, so the phenomenon of locked-rotor and overload with motors can't appear. All the inductor motors can operate smoothly. In (c), the phase between motor 1 and 4 nearly equals zero, which illustrates motor 1 and 4 reach the stable synchronous state. (d) is phase differences between motor 1 with motor 2 and 3. The phase differences both equal to constants. This result shows that the controlled synchronization is realized with the fixed frequency ratio method. (e) and (f) are responses in the three directions. In (e), two groups of motors both rotate in the opposite direction. Thus, the forces in the y direction counteract with each other and the amplitude in the y direction is nearly to zero, while the

amplitudes in the x direction are superimposed. This result accords with the proposed dynamical theory. In (f), the response in the ψ direction tends to zero. This phenomenon shows that there is no swing in the vibrating system. Through the fig.5, it can be known that the vibrating system reaches the stable synchronous state and the controlled synchronization is realized with the fixed frequency ratio method. To guarantee the arbitrariness of the parameter n , another simulation of which the parameter is altered from 1.5 to 1.2 is presented in fig.6. In fig.6, the torque loads of four motors still satisfy the operation requirements based on the values between -1 to 1. Through the speeds and phase differences in (a), (c) and (d), the results represent that the vibrating system can realize the controlled synchronization with the parameter $n=1.2$. Although the response of the y direction in (e) is different from the response in fig.5 because of the different parameter n , the responses of three directions are still consistent with the dynamical model. So, the vibrating system can realize the stable controlled synchronization. This result demonstrates the arbitrariness of the parameter only if conditions of torque loads are satisfied.

4.2 Results of experimental verification

To validate the accuracy of the theory proposed, the main experiment equipment are listed in fig.7 firstly. The frequencies of four inductor motors are set by four convertors of which type are Siemens MM440. The PLC (Programmable Logic controller) are connected with the convertors. The three acceleration sensors are stucked on the vibrating testing bench and connected with the DASP (Data acquisition & signal processing) of which another port is connected with a computer. And then, the experiment of multifrequency self-synchronization is given based on $n=1.2$ to comparison with the simulation in fig.4. As shown in fig.8, the frequencies of four motors are respectively set as 30Hz, 36Hz, 36Hz and 30Hz. From (a), the speeds are stable and reach preset value. Although the difference in (b) is between -5 to -12, motor 1 and 4 can be recognized to realize the self-synchronization motion due to the experiment error. Similar result is in figure (c). Compared with the result in (d) of fig.4, (d) in fig.8 shows the same result that the curve of the phase difference between motor 1 and 2 is also monotonous. So, the multifrequency self-synchronization can't be realized. The responses of three directions in (e) and (f) are in accordance with the simulation and theory

result. This experiment indicates that the multifrequency self-synchronization can't be realized whatever n equals to.

In fig.9, the experiment of controlled synchronization is illustrated based on the parameter $n=1.5$. From (a) to (c), motor 1 with 4 and motor 2 with 3 both realize the controlled synchronization. In (d), the phase difference between motor 1 and 2 trends to a constant, which confirms that the controlled synchronization is realized. In (e), the response is obviously smaller than the response in (g), so this result indicates that the vibrating system realize the stable synchronous state. The response curve of (e) in fig.9 is similar with the curve of (e) in fig.5 and this experimental result is correspond with the simulation.

5. Conclusions

1. The article investigates the multifrequency controlled synchronization of four inductor motors with the fixed frequency ratio method based on a mass-spring rigid body. Through the dynamical model is derived, the stability and synchronization conditions of the vibrating system are both obtained.

2. This result indicates that although the self-synchronization with the same frequency can be realized, the multifrequency self-synchronization of vibrating system can't be realized in the dynamical model of fig.1.

3. Some numerical simulations and experiments are given to certify the consistency of the result. Via introducing the proposed the fixed frequency ratio method in the controlling system, the multifrequency controlled synchronization is realized. Through the robustness analysis, the stability of the controlling system is certified to illuminate the feasibility of the controlling method. The conformity of the theory by the simulations and experiments is illustrated.

4. The result indicates that only if the conditions of torque loads can be satisfied, the arbitrariness of the fixed frequency parameter can be realized with the proposed method.

5. Additionally, the multifrequency controlled synchronization method provides a novel way to address the problem of advanced transport in the transport belt of the vibrating equipment.

6. Declaration

Acknowledgment

The authors sincerely thanks to Professor Bang-chun Wen of Northeastern University for his critical discussion and reading during manuscript preparation.

Funding

The author's research is supported by Liaoning Provincial Department of Education Basic Research Project (LG201919) and 2021 Scientific research support for high-level talent from Shenyang Ligong University (1010147001001).

Availability of data and materials

The datasets supporting the conclusions of this article are included within the article.

Authors' contributions

The authors' contributions are as follows: Lei Jia was in charge of the whole trial; Lei Jia wrote the manuscript; Zi-liang Liu and Chun Wang assisted with sampling and laboratory analyses.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

References

- [1] Zhang X.L., Wen B.C., Zhao C.Y.. Synchronization of three homodromy coupled exciters in a non-resonant vibrating system of plane motion[J]. *Acta Mechanica Sinica*, 2012, 28(5): 1424-1435.
- [2] Zhao C.Y., Zhu H.T., Zhang Y.M., Wen B.C.. Synchronization of two coupled exciters in a vibrating system of spatial motion[J]. *Acta Mechanica Sinica*, 2010, 26(3): 477-493.
- [3] Wen B.C., Fan J., Zhao C.Y., Xiong W.L.. Vibratory synchronization and controlled synchronization in engineering[M]. Beijing: Science Press, 2009.
- [4] Xiangxi Kong, Xueliang Zhang, Xiaozhe Chen, Bangchun Wen, Bo Wang. Phase and speed synchronization control of four eccentric rotors driven by induction motors in a linear vibratory feeder with unknown time-varying load torques using adaptive sliding mode control algorithm. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 370 (2016) 23-42.
- [5] Blekhman I.I.. Self-synchronization of vibrators in some types of vibrational machines[J]. *Inzhenerny Sbornik*, 1953, 16(2): 49-72, (in Russian).
- [6] Blekhman I.I., Sorokin V.S.. On the separation of fast and slow motion in mechanical system with high-frequency modulation of the dissipation coefficient[J]. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 2010, 329(3): 4936-4949.
- [7] B. Wen, H. Zhang, S. Liu, Q. He, C. Zhao, Theory and Techniques of Vibrating Machinery and Their Applications, Science Press, 2010.
- [8] Zhao C.Y., Zhu H.T., Wang R.Z., Wen B.C.. Synchronization of two non-identical coupled exciters in a non-resonant vibrating system of linear motion. Part I: Theoretical analysis[J]. *Shock and Vibration*, 2009, 16(5): 505-515.
- [9] Zhao C.Y., Zhu T.T., Bai T.J., Wen B.C.. Synchronization of two non-identical coupled exciters in a non-resonant vibrating system of linear motion. Part II: Numeric analysis[J]. *Shock and Vibration*, 2009, 16(5): 517-528.
- [10] Zhang X.L., Wen B.C., Zhao C.Y.. Synchronization of three homodromy coupled exciters in a non-resonant vibrating system of plane motion[J]. *Acta Mechanica Sinica*, 2012, 28(5): 1424-1435.
- [11] Zhang X.L., Wen B.C., Zhao C.Y.. Theoretical, numerical and experimental study on synchronization of three identical exciters in a vibrating system[J]. *Chinese Journal of Mechanical Engineering*, 2013, 26(4): 746-757.
- [12] Zhang X.L., Wen B.C., Zhao C.Y.. Synchronization of three non-identical coupled exciters with the same rotating directions in a far-resonant vibrating system[J]. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 2013, 332(9): 2300-2317.
- [13] Zhang X.L., Wen B.C., Zhao C.Y.. Experimental investigation on synchronization of three co-rotating non-identical coupled exciters driven by three motors, *Journal of Sound and Vibration*[J]. 2014, 333(13): 2898-2908.
- [14] Zhang X.L., Wen B.C., Zhao C.Y.. Vibratory synchronization and coupling dynamic characteristics of multiple unbalanced rotors on a mass-spring rigid base[J]. *International Journal of Non-Linear Mechanics*, 2014, 60(0): 1-8.
- [15] Inoue Junki chi. On the Self-Synchronization of Mechanical Vibrators: Part 5, Shock Vibrator[J], *Journal of the Japan Society of Mechanical Engineers*, 1967, 70(576): 35-42.
- [16] Xiangxi Kong, Xueliang Zhang, Bangchun Wen, Bo Wang. Synchronization analysis and control of three eccentric rotors in a vibration system using adaptive sliding mode control algorithm. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 72-73 (2016) 432-450.
- [17] Xiangxi Kong, Changzheng Chen, Bangchun Wen. Composite synchronization of three eccentric rotors driven by induction motors in a vibration system. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 102 (2018) 158-179.
- [18] Ishizaki K., Sencer B., Shamoto E.. Cross coupling controller for accurate motion synchronization of dual servo systems[J]. *International Journal of Automation Technology*, 2013, 7(5): 514-522.
- [19] Lin F.J., Chou P.H., Chen C.S., Lin Y.S.. DSP-based cross-coupled synchronous control for dual linear motors via intelligent complementary sliding mode control[J]. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, 2012, 59(2): 1061-1073.
- [18] Lei Jia, Kong XX, Zhang JQ, Liu YS, Wen BC. Multiple-frequency controlled synchronization of two homodromy eccentric rotors in a vibratory system. *Shock and Vibration*, Volume (2018), 101-125.
- [20] Lei Jia, Kong XX, Zhang JQ, Liu YS, Wen BC. Multiple-frequency controlled synchronization of two homodromy eccentric rotors in a vibratory system. *Shock and Vibration*, Volume (2018), 101-125.

- [21] Lei Jia, JQ Zhang, LH Zhou, BC Wang. Multifrequency-controlled synchronization of three eccentric rotors driven by induction motors in the same direction, *Journal of Low Frequency Noise, Vibration and Active Control*, 2018, Vol:38, pp: 615-632.
- [22] Yang Tian. YQ Wei. DY Liu. Driss Boutat, Fast and robust estimation for positions and velocities from noisy accelerations using generalized modulating functions method. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 133 (2019) 106270.
- [23] Chen J. Mathematical model and speed adjustment system of alternating motors. China: *National Defense Industry Press*, 1989.
- [24] LX Wang. YJ Wang, *A course in Fuzzy systems and control*, Prentice hall international editions, 2003.
- [25] E.B.Priyanka. C.Maheswari, Online Monitoring and Control of Flow rate in Oil Pipelines Transportation System by using PLC based Fuzzy-PID Controller. *Flow Measurement and Instrumentation*, 62 (2018) 144-151.

Biographical notes

Lei Jia, born in 1986, is currently a lecturer at School of Mechanical Engineering, Shenyang Ligong University, *China*. He received his doctor degree from *Northeastern University, China*, in 2020. His research interests include vibration synchronization and dynamic.

Tel: +18940283663; E-mail: jialeizsq@126.com

Zi-Liang Liu, born in 1984, is currently a lecturer at School of Mechanical Engineering, Shenyang Ligong University, *China*. He received his doctor degree from *Northeastern University, China*, in 2018. His research interests include rotor dynamics and vibration control.

Chun Wang, born in 1994, is currently a postgraduate student in Shenyang Ligong University, *China*. He received his bachelor degree from Jinling Institute of Science and Technology, China, in 2021. His research interests include dynamic and active control. E-mail: wangchun016050@163.com

Appendix A

$$a_{11} = -\eta_1^2 [r_m \cos \gamma_{x1} / \mu_{x1} + r_m \cos \gamma_{y1} / \mu_{y1} + r_m r_{l1}^2 \cos \gamma_{\psi1} / \mu_{\psi1}] / 2 \quad (\text{A.1})$$

$$a_{14} = \eta_1 \eta_4 [r_m \cos(2\alpha_1 - \gamma_{x4}) / \mu_{x4} - r_m \cos(2\alpha_1 - \gamma_{y4}) / \mu_{y4} - r_m r_{l1} r_{l4} \cos(2\alpha_1 - \theta_4 - \theta_1 - \gamma_{\psi4}) / \mu_{\psi4}] / 2 \quad (\text{A.2})$$

$$b_{11} = \eta_1^2 \omega_0 p [r_m \sin \gamma_{x1} / \mu_{x1} + r_m \sin \gamma_{y1} / \mu_{y1} + r_m r_{l1}^2 \sin \gamma_{\psi1} / \mu_{\psi1}] \quad (\text{A.3})$$

$$b_{14} = \eta_1 \eta_4 \omega_0 p [r_m \sin(2\alpha_1 - \gamma_{x4}) / \mu_{x4} - r_m \sin(2\alpha_1 - \gamma_{y4}) / \mu_{y4} - r_m r_{l1} r_{l4} \sin(2\alpha_1 - \theta_4 - \theta_1 - \gamma_{\psi4}) / \mu_{\psi4}] \quad (\text{A.4})$$

$$a_{22} = -\eta_2^2 [r_m \cos \gamma_{x2} / \mu_{x2} + r_m \cos \gamma_{y2} / \mu_{y2} + r_m r_{l2}^2 \cos \gamma_{\psi2} / \mu_{\psi2}] / 2 \quad (\text{A.5})$$

$$a_{23} = \eta_2 \eta_3 [r_m \cos(2\alpha_2 - \gamma_{x3}) / \mu_{x3} - r_m \cos(2\alpha_2 + \gamma_{y3}) / \mu_{y3} - r_m r_{l2} r_{l3} \cos(2\alpha_2 - \theta_2 - \theta_3 - \gamma_{\psi3}) / \mu_{\psi3}] / 2 \quad (\text{A.6})$$

$$b_{22} = \eta_2^2 \omega_0 q [r_m \sin \gamma_{x2} / \mu_{x2} + r_m \sin \gamma_{y2} / \mu_{y2} + r_m r_{l2}^2 \sin \gamma_{\psi2} / \mu_{\psi2}] \quad (\text{A.7})$$

$$b_{23} = \eta_2 \eta_3 \omega_0 q [r_m \sin(2\alpha_2 - \gamma_{x3}) / \mu_{x3} - r_m \sin(2\alpha_2 - \gamma_{y3}) / \mu_{y3} - r_m r_{l2} r_{l3} \sin(2\alpha_2 - \theta_2 - \theta_3 - \gamma_{\psi3}) / \mu_{\psi3}] \quad (\text{A.8})$$

$$a_{32} = \eta_2 \eta_3 [r_m \cos(2\alpha_2 + \gamma_{x2}) / \mu_{x2} - r_m \cos(2\alpha_2 + \gamma_{y2}) / \mu_{y2} - r_m r_{l2} r_{l3} \cos(2\alpha_2 - \theta_2 - \theta_3 + \gamma_{\psi2}) / \mu_{\psi2}] / 2 \quad (\text{A.9})$$

$$a_{33} = -\eta_3^2 [r_m \cos \gamma_{x3} / \mu_{x3} + r_m \cos \gamma_{y3} / \mu_{y3} + r_m r_{l3}^2 \cos \gamma_{\psi3} / \mu_{\psi3}] / 2 \quad (\text{A.10})$$

$$b_{32} = -\eta_2 \eta_3 \omega_0 q [r_m \sin(2\alpha_2 + \gamma_{x2}) / \mu_{x2} - r_m \sin(2\alpha_2 + \gamma_{y2}) / \mu_{y2} - r_m r_{l2} r_{l3} \sin(2\alpha_2 - \theta_2 - \theta_3 + \gamma_{\psi 2}) / \mu_{\psi 2}] \quad (\text{A.11})$$

$$b_{33} = \eta_3^2 \omega_0 q [r_m \sin \gamma_{x3} / \mu_{x3} + r_m \sin \gamma_{y3} / \mu_{y3} + r_m r_{l3}^2 \sin \gamma_{\psi 3} / \mu_{\psi 3}] \quad (\text{A.12})$$

$$a_{41} = \eta_1 \eta_4 [r_m \cos(2\alpha_1 + \gamma_{x1}) / \mu_{x1} - r_m \cos(2\alpha_1 + \gamma_{y1}) / \mu_{y1} - r_m r_{l1} r_{l4} \cos(2\alpha_1 - \theta_4 - \theta_1 + \gamma_{\psi 1}) / \mu_{\psi 1}] / 2 \quad (\text{A.13})$$

$$a_{44} = \eta_4^2 [r_m \cos \gamma_{x4} / \mu_{x4} + r_m \cos \gamma_{y4} / \mu_{y4} + r_m r_{l4}^2 \cos \gamma_{\psi 4} / \mu_{\psi 4}] / 2 \quad (\text{A.14})$$

$$b_{41} = -\eta_1 \eta_4 \omega_0 p [r_m \sin(2\alpha_1 + \gamma_{x1}) / \mu_{x1} - r_m \sin(2\alpha_1 + \gamma_{y1}) / \mu_{y1} - r_m r_{l1} r_{l4} \sin(2\alpha_1 - \theta_4 - \theta_1 + \gamma_{\psi 1}) / \mu_{\psi 1}] \quad (\text{A.15})$$

$$b_{44} = \eta_4^2 \omega_0 p [r_m \sin \gamma_{x4} / \mu_{x4} + r_m \sin \gamma_{y4} / \mu_{y4} + r_m r_{l4}^2 \sin \gamma_{\psi 4} / \mu_{\psi 4}] \quad (\text{A.16})$$

$$\kappa_1 = \frac{1}{2} p^2 \omega_0 [\eta_1^2 (r_m \sin \gamma_{x1} / \mu_{x1} + r_m \sin \gamma_{y1} / \mu_{y1} + r_m r_{l1}^2 \sin \gamma_{\psi 1} / \mu_{\psi 1}) + \eta_1 \eta_4 (r_m \sin(2\alpha_1 - \gamma_{x4}) / \mu_{x4} - r_m \sin(2\alpha_1 - \gamma_{y4}) / \mu_{y4} - r_m r_{l1} r_{l4} \sin(2\alpha_1 - \theta_4 - \theta_1 - \gamma_{\psi 4}) / \mu_{\psi 4})] \quad (\text{A.17})$$

$$\kappa_2 = \frac{1}{2} q^2 \omega_0 [\eta_2^2 (r_m \sin \gamma_{x2} / \mu_{x2} + r_m \sin \gamma_{y2} / \mu_{y2} + r_m r_{l2}^2 \sin \gamma_{\psi 2} / \mu_{\psi 2}) + \eta_2 \eta_3 (r_m \sin(2\alpha_2 - \gamma_{x3}) / \mu_{x3} - r_m \sin(2\alpha_2 - \gamma_{y3}) / \mu_{y3} - r_m r_{l2} r_{l3} \sin(2\alpha_2 - \theta_2 - \theta_3 - \gamma_{\psi 3}) / \mu_{\psi 3})] \quad (\text{A.18})$$

$$\kappa_3 = \frac{1}{2} q^2 \omega_0 [-\eta_2 \eta_3 (r_m \sin(2\alpha_2 + \gamma_{x2}) / \mu_{x2} - r_m \sin(2\alpha_2 + \gamma_{y2}) / \mu_{y2} - r_m r_{l2} r_{l3} \sin(2\alpha_2 - \theta_2 - \theta_3 + \gamma_{\psi 2}) / \mu_{\psi 2}) + \eta_3^2 (r_m \sin \gamma_{x3} / \mu_{x3} + r_m \sin \gamma_{y3} / \mu_{y3} + r_m r_{l3}^2 \sin \gamma_{\psi 3} / \mu_{\psi 3})] \quad (\text{A.19})$$

$$\kappa_4 = \frac{1}{2} p^2 \omega_0 [-\eta_1 \eta_4 (r_m \sin(2\alpha_1 + \gamma_{x1}) / \mu_{x1} - r_m \sin(2\alpha_1 + \gamma_{y1}) / \mu_{y1} - r_m r_{l1} r_{l4} \sin(2\alpha_1 - \theta_4 - \theta_1 + \gamma_{\psi 1}) / \mu_{\psi 1}) + \eta_4^2 (r_m \sin \gamma_{x4} / \mu_{x4} + r_m \sin \gamma_{y4} / \mu_{y4} + r_m r_{l4}^2 \sin \gamma_{\psi 4} / \mu_{\psi 4})] \quad (\text{A.20})$$

Appendix B

$$a'_{11} = \eta_1 + a_{11}, \quad a'_{14} = a_{14}, \quad b'_{11} = -(f_1 / m_0 r^2 + k_{e01} / m_0 r^2 \omega_0 + b_{11}), \quad b'_{14} = -b_{14} \quad (\text{B.1-B.4})$$

$$b'_{15} = \eta_1 \eta_4 \omega_0 p [r_m \cos(2\alpha_1 - \gamma_{x4}) / \mu_{x4} - r_m \cos(2\alpha_1 - \gamma_{y4}) / \mu_{y4} - r_m r_{l1} r_{l4} \cos(2\alpha_1 - \theta_4 - \theta_1 - \gamma_{\psi 4}) / \mu_{\psi 4}] \quad (\text{B.5})$$

$$a'_{22} = \eta_2 + a_{22}, \quad a'_{23} = a_{23}, \quad b'_{22} = -(f_2 / m_0 r^2 + k_{e02} / m_0 r^2 \omega_0 + b_{22}), \quad b'_{23} = -b_{23} \quad (\text{B.6-B.9})$$

$$b'_{26} = \eta_2 \eta_3 \omega_0 q [r_m \sin(2\alpha_2 - \gamma_{x3}) / \mu_{x3} - r_m \sin(2\alpha_2 - \gamma_{y3}) / \mu_{y3} - r_m r_{l2} r_{l3} \sin(2\alpha_2 - \theta_2 - \theta_3 - \gamma_{\psi 3}) / \mu_{\psi 3}] \quad (\text{B.10})$$

$$a'_{32} = a_{32}, \quad a'_{33} = \eta_3 + a_{33}, \quad b'_{32} = -b_{32}, \quad b'_{33} = -(f_3 / m_0 r^2 + k_{e03} / m_0 r^2 \omega_0 + b_{33}) \quad (\text{B.11-B.14})$$

$$b'_{36} = -\eta_2 \eta_3 \omega_0 q [r_m \cos(2\alpha_2 + \gamma_{x2}) / \mu_{x2} - r_m \cos(2\alpha_2 + \gamma_{y2}) / \mu_{y2} - r_m r_{l2} r_{l3} \cos(2\alpha_2 - \theta_3 - \theta_2 + \gamma_{\psi 2}) / \mu_{\psi 2}] \quad (\text{B.15})$$

$$a'_{41} = a_{41}, \quad a'_{44} = \eta_4 + a_{44}, \quad b'_{41} = -b_{41}, \quad b'_{44} = -(f_4 / m_0 r^2 + k_{e04} / m_0 r^2 \omega_0 + b_{44}) \quad (\text{B.16-B.19})$$

$$b'_{45} = -\eta_1 \eta_4 \omega_0 p [r_m \cos(2\alpha_1 + \gamma_{x1}) / \mu_{x1} - r_m \cos(2\alpha_1 + \gamma_{y1}) / \mu_{y1} - r_m r_{l1} r_{l4} \cos(2\alpha_1 - \theta_4 - \theta_1 + \gamma_{\psi 4}) / \mu_{\psi 1}] \quad (\text{B.20})$$

$$\nu_1 = T_{e01} / m_0 r^2 \omega_0 - f_1 p / m_0 r^2 - \kappa_1, \quad \nu_2 = T_{e02} / m_0 r^2 \omega_0 - f_2 p / m_0 r^2 - \kappa_2 \quad (\text{B.21-B.22})$$

$$\nu_3 = T_{e03} / m_0 r^2 \omega_0 - f_3 q / m_0 r^2 - \kappa_3, \quad \nu_4 = T_{e04} / m_0 r^2 \omega_0 - f_4 p / m_0 r^2 - \kappa_4 \quad (\text{B.23-B.24})$$

List of table captions

Table 1 The parameters in the vibration system

Table 2 The parameters of four motors

Table 3 The nomenclature table of the symbols

Tables:

Table1. The parameters in the vibration system

Parameters	Values
M /kg	304
J_p /(kg.m ²)	44.5
k_x /(N/m)	129332
k_y /(N/m)	105334
k_ψ /(Nm/rad)	30715
f_x /(Ns/m)	615.5
f_y /(Ns/m)	618
f_ψ /(Nsm/rad)	180.2
$\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4$ /(°)	30, 150, 210, 330
m_0 /kg	6
r /m	0.05
l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4 /m	0.45, 0.45, 0.45, 0.45

Table2. The parameters of four motors

Parameters	Motor1	Motor2	Motor3	Motor4
P /kW	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
n_p	3	3	3	3
f_0 /Hz	50	50	50	50
U /V	220	220	220	220
n /(r/min)	950	950	950	950
R_s / Ω	40.5	40.5	40.5	40.5
R_r / Ω	12	12	12	12
L_s /H	1.21275	1.21275	1.2175	1.21275
L_r /H	1.222	1.222	1.225	1.222
L_m /H	1.116	1.116	1.116	1.116
λ_{dr}^* /Wb	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98
$f_{1,2,3,4}$ /(Nms/rad)	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005

Table3. The nomenclature table of the symbols

symbol	explanation
m_i	the mass of each ERs
J_p	the moment of inertia of the rigid body
J	the moment of inertia of the vibration system
k_x, k_y, k_ψ	the damping coefficients of the vibration system in the x , y and ψ directions
r	the eccentric radius of the four motors
M	the mass of the total vibration system
f_x, f_y, f_ψ	the stiffness coefficients of the vibration system in the x , y and ψ directions
l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4	the distance between the center of the body and the rotating center of motors
J_i	the moment of inertia of the inductor motor
d, q	the d - and q - axes in rotor field-oriented coordinate
L_s	self-inductance of the stator
L_r	self-inductance of the rotor
Subscript s	stator
Subscript r	rotor
L_m	mutual inductance of the stator and rotor
ϕ_{sd}	the flux linkages of the stator in the d - axis
ϕ_{sq}	the flux linkages of the stator in the q - axis
ϕ_{rd}	the flux linkages of the rotor in the d - axis
ϕ_{rq}	the flux linkages of the rotor in the q - axis
R_s	the stator resistance
R_r	the rotor resistance
i_{sd}	the current of stator in the d - axis
i_{sq}	the current of stator in the q - axis
i_{rd}	the current of rotor in the d - axis
i_{rq}	the current of rotor in the q - axis
ω	the mechanical speed
ω_s	the synchronous electric angular speed
$\dot{\phi}_{sd}, \dot{\phi}_{sq}, \dot{\phi}_{rd}, \dot{\phi}_{rq}$	derivation of $\phi_{sd}, \phi_{sq}, \phi_{rd}, \phi_{rq}$
σ	the leakage factor
T_r	a rotor time constant
u_{sd}	the voltage of stator in the d - axis
u_{sq}	the voltage of stator in the q - axis
u_{rd}	the voltage of rotor in the d - axis
u_{rq}	the voltage of rotor in the q - axis
n_p	the number of pole-pairs of the induction motor
$\bullet^*$	the given values or obtained from the given values
$\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \omega_4$	the speeds of four motors
$\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_4$	the phases of four motors
θ	synchronization electric angle

List of figure captions

Fig.1 mathematical model of the vibrating system

Fig.2 RFOC: rotor flux-oriented control

Fig.3 Framework diagram of the controlling system

Fig.4 Multifrequency self-synchronization with four ERs, $\alpha_0=0$, $n=1.5$.

Fig.5 Controlled synchronization with four ERs, $\alpha_0=0$, $n=1.5$, $\eta = 50\%$.

Fig.6 Controlled synchronization with four ERs, $\alpha_0=0$, $n=1.2$, $\eta = 50\%$.

Fig.7 Experiment equipment of the vibrating system.

Fig.8 Experiment of multifrequency self-synchronization with four ERs, $\alpha_0=0$, $n=1.2$, $\eta = 50\%$.

Fig.9 Experiment of controlled synchronization with four ERs, $\alpha_0=0$, $n=1.5$, $\eta = 50\%$.

Figures:

Fig.1 mathematical model of the vibrating system

Fig.2 RFOC: rotor flux-oriented control

Fig.3 Framework diagram of the controlling system

(a)

(b)

(c)

(d)

(e)

(f)

Fig.4 Multifrequency self-synchronization with four ERs, $\alpha_0=0$, $n=1.5$. (a) speed, (b) phase difference between motor 1 and 4, (c) phase differences between motor 2 and 3, (d) phase differences between motor 1 and 2, (e) response in the x and y direction, (f) response in the ψ direction.

(a)

(b)

(c)

(d)

(e)

(f)

Fig.5 Controlled synchronization with four ERs, $\alpha_0=0$, $n=1.5$, $\eta=50\%$. (a) speeds, (b) load torques, (c) phase difference between motor 1 and 4, (d) phase differences between motor 1 with motor 2 and 3, (e) responses in the x and y direction, (f) response in the ψ direction.

(a)

(b)

(c)

(d)

(e)

(f)

Fig.6 Controlled synchronization with four ERs, $\alpha_0=0$, $n=1.2$, $\eta=50\%$. (a) speeds, (b) load torques, (c) phase difference between motor 1 and 4, (d) phase differences between motor 1 with motor 2 and 3, (e) responses in the x and y direction, (f) response in the ψ direction.

(a)

(b)

(c)

(d)

(e)

(f)

Fig.7 Experiment equipment of the vibrating system. (a) The vibrating testing bench, (b) The Data acquisition & signal processing, (c) The the acceleration sensors, (d) The Hall magnetic switch, (e) The Programmable Logic controller, (f) The convertors

Fig.8 Experiment of multifrequency self-synchronization with four ERs, $\alpha_0=0$, $n=1.2$, $\eta=50\%$. (a) speeds, (b) phase difference between motor 1 and 4, (c) phase differences between motor 2 and 3, (d) phase differences between motor 1 and 2, (e) response in

the x direction, (f) response in the y_1 direction, (g) response in the y_2 direction

Fig.9 Experiment of controlled synchronization with four ERs, $\alpha_0=0$, $n=1.5$, $\eta=50\%$. (a) speeds, (b) phase difference between motor 1 and 4, (c) phase differences between motor 2 and 3, (d) phase differences between motor 1 and 2, (e) response in the x

direction, (f) response in the y_1 direction, (g) response in the y_2 direction