

Improved Low Crosstalk, High Transmission and Quality Factor Eight Channel Demultiplexer Based on Photonic Crystal

Mohammad Azadi

Shahid Rajaei Teacher Training University

Masoud Mohammadi

Shahid Rajaei Teacher Training University

Saeed Olyaei

Shahid Rajaei Teacher Training University

Mahmood Seifouri (✉ mahmood.seifouri@srut.ac.ir)

Shahid Rajaei Teacher Training University

Research Article

Keywords: All-optical, demultiplexers, crosstalk, transmission and quality factor

Posted Date: January 31st, 2022

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1297878/v1>

License:  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Due to the importance of all-optical demultiplexers (DEMUXs) in optical communication networks, this study aims to design and simulate a novel type of DEMUX using 2D photonic crystals (2D-PhCs). The proposed structure consists of one input waveguide and eight output channels considering linear and point defects. To design this DEMUX, silicon dielectric rods with the refractive index of 3.45 are employed in the air background, the radius of which is equal to $R=0.21a$ ($a = 0.522\mu\text{m}$) where a is the lattice constant. The photonic band gap (PBG) and output spectrum of the structure are analyzed by the plane wave expansion (PWE) and finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) methods, respectively. The simulation results indicate the average quality factor and transmission efficiency are 3,602 and 98%, respectively, and inter-channel crosstalk ranges from -60.5 to -25.5 dB.

Introduction

Fiber-optic communication systems transmit data with lower attenuation over long distances than radio frequency (RF) communication systems [1-2]. Recent advances in modern technologies and high speed of light are among the important factors influencing the development of integrated optical devices. This approach gradually leads to the replacement of classical electronic systems with next-generation optical systems. In optical communication networks, light waves transmit data over very long distances with excellent speed [3-6]. In these networks, light waves travel inside optical fibers which are designed in the infrared frequency range at the wavelength of 0.8-1.65 μm and transmit data across the ultra-wideband of about 1 THz [7-10].

Optical networks become easier and cheaper when they are routed through SMF for a large number of users. Wavelength division multiplexing (WDM) technology along with SMF is applied to send a number of unique light waves through the same SMF. At the receiver's end, the light emitted from the SMF is distributed to the user separately by DEMUX [11-15].

To construct ultra-compact optical DEMUXs, the structures should be able to control the propagation of light waves inside very small space and waveguides [16-18]. Due to their small size, excellent performance in optical sensors, high-speed data processing and optical networks, photonic crystals (PhCs) have provided new opportunities for designing all-optical devices required for realizing all the integrated optical circuits, communication networks and data processing systems [19-22]. Photonic crystals are composed of alternating layers of insulating materials. PBG, as one of their unique properties [23-25], is defined as a specific frequency (or wavelength) range, in which the propagation of electromagnetic waves is prohibited in any direction and can be controlled by different structural parameters such as lattice constant, dielectric constant of the material and rod radius [26-29]. Electromagnetic waves propagate inside the PBG when a defect is formed.

There are usually two types of defects, namely linear and point, for PBG. Linear defect occurs by removing or changing structural parameters (lattice constant, refractive index and rod radius) of the entire

row of rods in the PhC structure. Point defect occurs by completely removing or changing structural parameters of the rod. Designing PhC structures requires the introduction of one or both types of defects [30-34]. These structures are applied in various optical devices such as design and fabrication of optical waveguides, optical lenses, optical filters, optical MUXs/DEMUXs, optical splitters, photonic sensors, optical converters, all-optical logic gates, optical modulators/demodulators and other types of optical devices. Various PhC-based devices have been proposed so far [35-38]. Significant advances in the field of PhCs have raised hopes for having more all-optical systems and replacing electrons with photons [39-40].

PhC-based DEMUXs play a key role in WDM techniques and can be used as the basic block in realizing some other optical digital devices [41-43]. Hence, different methods have been presented for designing PhC-based optical DEMUXs. Nowadays, PhC-based DEMUXs have received great attention from researchers due to their intrinsic properties such as low energy consumption, very small size, low loss, better performance, etc. [44-46]. The number of output channels, transmission efficiency, quality factor, crosstalk and inter-channel spacing are among the most important properties of PhC-based DEMUXs [47-48]. Most of the studies have been conducted on 4-channel DEMUXs. The efficiency of DEMUX technologies depends on the number of optical channels existing inside the optical fiber. To increase the number of channels inside the optical fiber, it is necessary to design optical DEMUXs considering more output channels. Therefore, designing 8-channel DEMUXs is more useful than 4-channel DEMUXs.

Various types of 8-channel optical DEMUXs have been designed by researchers so far, which have provided acceptable results in the third telecommunication window. Alipour et al. (2013) designed a PhC-based 8-channel DEMUX and achieved the average quality factor of 2,955, maximum crosswalk of 8 dB and transmission efficiency of 56% [49]. Mehdizadeh et al. (2016) presented an 8-channel DEMUX with PhC-based ring nano-resonator and reported the maximum quality factor, transmission efficiency and maximum inter-channel crosstalk as 2,200, 94-99% and -11.2 dB, respectively [50]. Venkatachkam et al. (2016) proposed a PhC-based 8-channel DEMUX and achieved the quality factor of 825 and transmission efficiency of 80% [51]. Talebzadeh et al. presented PhC-based 6- and 8-channel DEMUXs. The lowest transmission efficiency and quality factor as well as the highest inter-channel crosstalk of the 8-channel DEMUX were obtained as 91%, 3,716 and -11 dB, respectively [52]. Moharrami et al. (2019) designed an 8-channel DEMUX based on a photonic crystal with dimensions of 18×77 . The average quality factor and transmission coefficient of this structure were obtained as 1,319 and 98%, respectively. The crosstalk ranged from -40 to -16 dB [53]. Rakhshani (2020) presented an 8-channel DEMUX based on 2D-PhCs and reported the quality factor, transmission coefficient and average inter-channel crosstalk of 1,000, 96% and -35 dB, respectively [54]. Reviewing the reported DEMUXs, this paper aims to address the shortcomings of previous structures such as transmission efficiency, quality factor and inter-channel crosstalk. Therefore, this study presents a novel structure of PhC-based DEMUX. The rest of this paper is organized as follows:

Section 2 examines PBG using PWE method and presents the final structure of the proposed DEMUX. Section 3 presents the simulation results using FDTD method and compares results of this work with those of previous studies. Section 4 provides the conclusion.

Proposed Demux

This study aims to present a PhC-based 8-channel DEMUX. For this purpose, the 63×31 array of dielectric rods was used in X and Z directions in the air background. The structure lengths were 33 μm and 16 μm in X and Z directions, respectively. The area of the proposed structure was considered to be 528 μm².

The design of PhC structures depends on parameters such as lattice constant (a), radius of dielectric rods (R), refractive index of dielectric rods (n) and material of dielectric rods. The desired PBG could be achieved by changing these parameters. The radius of dielectric rods (R) was considered as R=0.21a (a = 0.522μm), where a is the lattice constant, i.e., all the four dielectric rods were located at square corners, the side length of which was equal to 0.522 μm. The dielectric rods were made of silicon with the refractive index of 3.45 (n_{si} = 3.45).

The structure should have the appropriate PBG within the desired wavelength range in order to conduct light waves in photonic crystals. For this purpose, PBG was calculated by PWE method. The PWE method follows Maxwell’s equations, and calculates TE and TM band gaps as follows [8, 52]:

$$\Delta \times \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon(r)} \Delta \times E(r) \right) = \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} E(r) \quad [1]$$

where ε(r) is the dielectric constant, E(r) is the frequency-dependent electric field vector, ω is the angular frequency and c is the light speed in vacuum. Fig. 1 indicates PBG diagram of the proposed structure. The PBG was in the range of 1.29μm < λ < 1.86μm, which was suitable for optical communications in the third telecommunication window.

Choosing the structure wavelength was the most important part in designing the PhC-based DEMUX. The input waveguide was created by removing 55 dielectric rods from the central row of the structure. Then, four output waveguides were created both at the top and bottom of the structure by removing and changing the radius of dielectric rods. At each output, several dielectric rods were removed and the radius of the dielectric rod was changed. Using different radii for the eight outputs provided different wavelengths for them. Table 1 presents the defect rod radius related to the proposed DEMUX structure. Fig. 2 indicates the final structure of the proposed DEMUX.

Table 1
Defect rod radius (rod/a)

| | Ch1 | Ch2 | Ch3 | Ch4 | Ch5 | Ch6 | Ch7 | Ch8 |
|------------------------|-----|-------|-----|-------|-----|-------|-----|-------|
| R ₁ /a (nm) | 105 | 105.5 | 106 | 106.5 | 107 | 107.5 | 108 | 108.5 |
| R ₂ /a (nm) | 142 | 148 | 149 | 150 | 156 | 152 | 152 | 156 |

Simulation Results

The simulation was performed using FDTD method in order to investigate the optical behavior of the proposed DEMUX and the output spectrum was plotted in terms of wavelength. To use this method, accurate meshing and time calculations were required. The following equation was applied [55]:

$$\Delta t \leq \frac{1}{c \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{\Delta x^2} - \frac{1}{\Delta z^2}\right)}} [2]$$

where Δx and Δz denote mesh sizes of the structure, Δt represents time steps of the structure and c is the light speed in open space.

The 8-channel DEMUX is a key process in data transmission. In this study, the main goal was to design an optical DEMUX with 8 output channels at very low bandwidth in the third telecommunication window due to low losses. The lattice constant (Λ) had to be changed in order to place the output channels in the range of 1.55 μm in the proposed structure. The output spectrum of the structure tended toward lower wavelengths by reducing the lattice constant, so that the output spectrum of the structure was in the wavelength range of C-band at the lattice constant of 0.522 μm . Then, the appropriate output spectrum was obtained for the eight output channels in terms of quality factor, transmission efficiency and crosstalk by managing the refractive index and radius of dielectric rods of the structure and performing the trial and error of defect rods in the output path.

Figure 3 illustrates the output spectrum of the proposed DEMUX, which included 8 channels with the central wavelengths of $\lambda_1 = 1.5271 \mu\text{m}$, $\lambda_2 = 1.5337 \mu\text{m}$, $\lambda_3 = 1.5395 \mu\text{m}$, $\lambda_4 = 1.5446 \mu\text{m}$, $\lambda_5 = 1.55 \mu\text{m}$, $\lambda_6 = 1.5542 \mu\text{m}$, $\lambda_7 = 1.5587 \mu\text{m}$ and $\lambda_8 = 1.5632 \mu\text{m}$, all of which were in the C-band telecommunication window.

As shown in Fig. 3, the average overall efficiency and channel spacing were 98% and 5 nm, respectively.

Quality factor (QF) is another important parameter in DEMUX. The quality factor of DEMUX for each channel was calculated as follows:

$$Q = \frac{\lambda_0}{\Delta\lambda} [3]$$

where QF is the quality factor, λ_0 is the central wavelength and $\Delta\lambda$ is the channel bandwidth. According to the above equation, lower bandwidth was associated with a higher quality factor. Therefore, in the proposed structure, the minimum and maximum quality factors were 2,739 and 4,277, respectively. Table 2 presents details of the output spectrum of each channel such as central wavelength, bandwidth, quality factor and transmission efficiency.

Table 2
The output characteristics of the eight PhC DEMUX

| | Ch1 | Ch2 | Ch3 | Ch4 | Ch5 | Ch6 | Ch7 | Ch8 |
|--------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|--------|--------|--------|
| Central wavelength (μm) | 1.5271 | 1.5337 | 1.5395 | 1.5446 | 1.55 | 1.5542 | 1.5587 | 1.5632 |
| Band width (nm) | 0.36 | 0.56 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.52 | 0.48 | 0.42 | 0.38 |
| Transmission | 96% | 98% | 99% | 98% | 98.5% | 95.5% | 98% | 99.5% |
| Quality Factor | 4242 | 2739 | 4277 | 3511 | 2981 | 3238 | 3712 | 4114 |

Figure 4 (a-h) indicates the electric field distribution of the proposed DEMUX in channels 1-8, respectively. All the input signals reached channel 1 at $\lambda_1 = 1.5271 \mu\text{m}$. Therefore, the signal power of channel 1 reached the maximum value at the desired wavelength. Similarly, outputs of channels 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 received the highest input signals at $\lambda_2 = 1.5337 \mu\text{m}$, $\lambda_3 = 1.5395 \mu\text{m}$, $\lambda_4 = 1.5446 \mu\text{m}$, $\lambda_5 = 1.55 \mu\text{m}$, $\lambda_6 = 1.5542 \mu\text{m}$, $\lambda_7 = 1.5587 \mu\text{m}$ and $\lambda_8 = 1.5632 \mu\text{m}$.

The degree of interference between adjacent channels (crosstalk) is another important indicator for evaluating the performance of the PhC-based DEMUX. The effect of crosstalk on optical communications is serious because the signal sent to a channel can create an adverse effect on another channel. In designing DEMUXs, there is less effort to achieve crosstalk. According to the output spectrum of the structure (dB) shown in Fig. 5, Table 3 presents the crosstalk. The inter-channel crosstalk ranged from -60.5 to -25.5 dB, which was very lower than previous similar works.

Table 3
Inter-channel crosstalk of the 8 outputs of the proposed DEMUX

| Channel | Ch1 | Ch2 | Ch3 | Ch4 | Ch5 | Ch6 | Ch7 | Ch8 |
|---------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Ch1 | — | -33.3 dB | -48 dB | -45.2 dB | -37 dB | -52 dB | -59 dB | -56 dB |
| Ch2 | -26.6 dB | — | -30 dB | -39.5 dB | -30 dB | -46 dB | -51.5 dB | -51 dB |
| Ch3 | -39 dB | -37.5 dB | — | -33 dB | -34.6 dB | -60.5 dB | -44.5 dB | -47 dB |
| Ch4 | -46 dB | -36 dB | -28.5 dB | — | -32.5 dB | -44 dB | -45 dB | -42 dB |
| Ch5 | -48.5 dB | -40 dB | -34 dB | -28.5 dB | — | -31.5 dB | -40.5 dB | -42.5 dB |
| Ch6 | -44.6 dB | -40 dB | -42.5 dB | -40.4 dB | -25.5 dB | — | -33 dB | -46.6 dB |
| Ch7 | -47 dB | -49.8 dB | -41.7 dB | -49 dB | -30.4 dB | -30.5 dB | — | -41.5 dB |
| Ch8 | -50 dB | -54 dB | -51 dB | -39.7 dB | -32.4 dB | -39.2 dB | -30 dB | — |

Table 4 compares the obtained results with those of previous works in order to value the present paper. The proposed structure had higher quality factor and transmission efficiency as well as lower crosstalk

than previous works.

Table 4
Comparing results of this paper with similar previous works

| Reference | Number of channels | Channel spacing (nm) | Spectral width(nm) | Quality Factor | Transmission (%) | Crosstalk (dB) |
|----------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------|------------------|--------------------|
| [4] | 4 | — | 0.217 | 7358.5 | 99.25 | -9.79 up to -46.68 |
| [15] | 8 | 4 | 1.2 | 1577.7 | 94.5 | -8 up to -48.3 |
| [26] | 5 | — | 0.22 | 6236 | 95.98 | -18.04 up to -50.2 |
| [49] | 8 | — | 0.65 | 2955 | 56 | -8 up to -40 |
| [50] | 8 | 2.1 | 0.67 | 2300 | 94-99 | -11.2 up to -40 |
| [51] | 8 | 4.2 | 1.8 | 825 | 80 | — |
| [52] | 8 | — | 0.59 | 4320 | 93 | -11 up to -46 |
| [53] | 8 | 3 | 1.2 | 1319 | 98 | -16 up to -40 |
| [54] | 8 | 2.25 | 1.5 | 1000 | 96 | -35 up to -160 |
| Proposed DEMUX | 8 | 5 | 0.44 | 3602 | 98 | -25.5 up to -60.5 |

Conclusion

This study designed and simulated an 8-channel DEMUX based on 2D-PhCs with a square structure using linear and point defects. This structure consisted of one input waveguide and eight output waveguides. The wavelength of the output channels was in the range of the third telecommunication window by setting the lattice constant. The appropriate output spectrum was obtained in terms of quality factor, transmission efficiency and crosstalk via managing the refractive index and radius of dielectric rods of the structure, and changing the radius of defect rods in the path of the output channels. One of the important properties of our proposed structure was that a very regular output spectrum could be achieved without moving the rods, which was very effective in the manufacturing process. The transmission efficiency, inter-channel spacing, bandwidth and quality factor of the proposed DEMUX were 98%, 5 nm, 0.44 nm and 3,602. Moreover, inter-channel crosstalk ranged from -60.5 to -25.5 dB, which improved compared to previous similar works. The functional properties of the proposed DEMUX could meet the

expectations of CWDM systems. Furthermore, the area of the proposed structure was about $528 \mu\text{m}^2$, which was very small. Therefore, it could be implemented for photonic integrated circuits.

References

1. Azadi, M. Seifouri, S. Olyaei "Low crosstalk four-channel photonic crystal demultiplexer" *Sadhana*. 46(173) (2021)
2. Mohammadi, M. Seifouri "Numerical simulation of all optical demultiplexer based on pillar photonic crystal ring resonators" *Int. J. Numer Model*. 32(2) (2018) 1-11
3. R. Balaji, M. Murugan, S. Robinson, G. Hegde "Design and Analysis of Waveguide Taper for Photonic Crystal Demultiplexer" *Silicon13* (2020) 3777–3793
4. Mohammadi, V. Fallahi, M. Seifouri "Ultracompact all-optical full adders using an interference effect based on 2D photonic crystal nanoring resonators" *J. Comput. Electron*. 20(1) (2020) 409-418
5. Manzacca, D. Paciotti, A. Marchese, M. S. Moreolo, G. Cincotti "2D photonic crystal cavity-based WDM multiplexer" *Photon. Nanostruct. Fundam. Appl*, 5(4) (2006) 233-236
6. Mohammadi, M Seifouri "A new proposal for a high-performance 4-channel demultiplexer based on 2D photonic crystal using three cascaded ring resonators for applications in advanced optical systems" *Opt. Quant. Electron*. 51(11) (2019) 1-15
7. Zahedi, F. Parandin, M. M. Karkhanehchi, H. H. Shams, S. Rajamand "Design and simulation of optical 4-channel demultiplexer using photonic crystals" *J. Opt. Commun*.40(1) (2019) 17–20
8. R. Balaji, M. Murugan, S. Robinson, R. Nakkeeran "Design and optimization of photonic crystal based eight channel dense wavelength division multiplexing demultiplexer using conjugate radiant neural network" *Opt. Quant. Electron*.49(198) (2017)
9. Mohammadi, F. Moradiani, S. Olyaei, M. Seifouri "The design and 3D simulation of a new high-speed half adder based on graphene resonators" *Opt. Laser. Technol*. 142(35) (2021) 1072–1080
10. Fallahi, M. Seifouri "A new design of a 4-channel optical demultiplexer based on photonic crystal ring resonator using a modified Y-branch" *Optica Applicata*, 48(2) (2018) 191-200
11. Mohammadi, M. Seifouri "Numerical investigation of photonic crystal ring resonators coupled bus waveguide as a highly sensitive platform" *Photon. Nanostruct. Fundam. Appl*. 34 (2019) 11-18
12. Alipour-Banaei, S. Serajmohammadi, F. Mehdizadeh "Optical wavelength demultiplexer based on photonic crystal ring resonators" *Photon. Netw. Commun*.29 (2015) 146–150
13. Naghizade, S. Mohammadi "Optical four-channel demultiplexer based on air-bridge structure and graphite-type ring resonators" *Photon. Netw. Commun*.40(1) (2020) 40–48
14. Ghezali, F. Tayeboun, K. A. Meradi "Nine channels wavelength division demultiplexer based upon two dimensional photonic crystal" *Progress In Electromagnetics Research* 69 (2018) 107–114
15. Fallahi, M. Mohammadi, M. Seifouri "Design of Two 8-Channel Optical Demultiplexers Using 2D Photonic Crystal Homogeneous Ring Resonators" *Fiber. Int. Opt*. 38(5) (2019) 271-284

16. Moradi, M. Mohammadi, S. Olyaei, M Seifouri "Design and Simulation of a Fast All-Optical Modulator Based on Photonic Crystal Using Ring Resonators" *Silicon* (2020) 1-7
17. Rostami, H. A. Banaei, F. Nazari, A. Bahrami "An ultra compact photonic crystal wavelength division demultiplexer using resonance cavities in a modified Y-branch structure" *Opt. - Int. J. Light Electron Opt.* 122(16) (2011) 1481–1485
18. Alipour-Banaei, F. Mehdizadeh, S. Serajmohammadi "A novel 4-channel demultiplexer based on photonic crystal ring resonators" *Optik* 124(23) (2013) 5964–5967
19. V. Rajarajan, M. Mahalingam, S. Robinson "Two-dimensional photonic crystal assisted DWDM demultiplexer with uniform channel spacing" *Dig. J. Nanomater. Biostruct.* 11(4) (2016) 1125–1134
20. L. Babu, T. Sreenivasulu "4-Channel DWDM demultiplexer on silicon photonic crystal slab" *Sadhana.* 46(1) (2021) 1–4
21. R. Balaji, M. Murugan, S. Robinson, G. Hegde "A Novel Hybrid Channel DWDM Demultiplexer Using Two Dimensional Photonic Crystals Meeting ITU Standards" *Silicon.* (2021) 1–12
22. Absalan "A four-channel optical demultiplexer using photonic crystal-based resonant cavities" *J. Opt. Commun.* 39(4), (2018) 369–373
23. Delphi, S. Olyaei, M. Seifouri, A. Mohebzadeh-Bahabady "Design of an add filter and a 2-channel optical demultiplexer with high quality factor based on nano-ring resonator" *J. Comput. Electron.* 18(3) (2019) 1372–1378
24. Masilamani, S. Punniakodi "Photonic crystal ring resonator based optical MUX/DEMUX design structures: a survey and comparison study" *J. Opt.* 49 (2020) 168–177
25. Mehdizadeh, M. Soroosh, H. Alipour-Banaei "An optical demultiplexer based on photonic crystal ring resonators" *Optik. Int. J. Light Electron Opt.* 127(20) (2016) 8706–8709
26. Mohammadi, M. Seifouri, S. Olyaei, M. Karamirad "Optimization and realization all-optical compact five-channel demultiplexer using 2D photonic crystal based hexagonal cavities" *J. Comput. Electron.* 20(2) (2021) 984-992
27. Zhuang, K. Ji, W. Zhou, H. Chen "Design of a DWDM multi/demultiplexer based on 2-D photonic crystals" *IEEE Photon. Technol. Lett.* 28(15) (2016) 1669–1672
28. A. Mansouri-Birjandi, M. R. Rakhshani "A new design of tunable four port wavelength demultiplexer by photonic crystals ring resonators" *Optik* 124 (2013) 5923–5926
29. Ahmed, M.M Khan, R.A. Aoni, A. Ahad "Design, simulation & optimization of 2D photonic crystal power splitter" *Opt. Photon. J.* 3(02) (2013) 13–19
30. Veisi, M. Seifouri, S. Olyaei, "A novel design of all-optical high speed and ultra-compact photonic crystal and logic gate based on the kerr effect" *Appl. Phys. B.* 127(70) (2021)
31. Jindal, H.J. Kaur "Different Configurations of Photonic Crystal Power Splitters for PIC's-A Review" *Int. J. Appl. Eng. Res.* 13(11) (2018) 9500–9508
32. B. Saral, S. Robinson, R. Arunkumar "Two-Dimensional Photonic Crystal Based Compact Power Splitters" *Int. J. Photon. Optical Technol.* 2(4) (2016) 1–5

33. Dharchana, A. Sivanantharaja, S. Selvendran "Design of Pressure Sensor Using 2D Photonic Crystal" *Adv. Nat. Appl. Sci.* 11(7) (2017) 26–30
34. M. Gupta, S. Medhekar, "A versatile optical junction using photonic band-gap guidance and self collimation" *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 105(13) (2014) 131104-131104.4
35. Danaie, R. Nasiri Far, A. Dideban "Design of a high-bandwidth Y-shaped photonic crystal power splitter for TE modes" *Int. J. Opt. Photon.* 12(1) (2018) 33–42
36. Y. Mahmoud, G. Bassou, F. Metehri "Channel drop filter using photonic crystal ring resonators for CWDM communication systems" *Optik* 125(2) (2004) 4718–4721
37. Haq Shaik, N. Rangaswamy "Multi-mode interference-based photonic crystal logic gates with simple structure and improved contrast ratio" *Photon. Netw. Commun.* 34(1) (2017) 140–148
38. Rezaee, M. Zavvari, H. Alipour-Banaei "A novel optical filter based on H-shape photonic crystal ring resonators" *Optik. Int. J. Light Electron Opt.* 126(20) (2015) 2535-2538
39. Liu, D. S. Citrin, S. Hu "Compact high-performance polarization beam splitter based on a silicon photonic crystal heterojunction" *Opt. Mater.* 109 (2020)
40. Moumeni, A. Labbani "Very high efficient of 1×2 , 1×4 and 1×8 Y beam splitters based on photonic crystal ring slot cavity" *Opt. Quant. Electron.* 53(129) (2021)
41. Singh, K. Singh "Design of an integrated multi-arm power splitter using photonic crystal waveguide" *Optik* 145 (2017) 495–502
42. Talebzadeh, F. Mehdizadeharhad, A. Naseri "4-channel tunable optical demultiplexer based on nonlinearity phenomenon in 2D resonant cavity photonic crystals" *Frequenz* 74(1–2) (2020) 9–15
43. Sreenivasulu, B. Kaustav, A. S. Shafeek, T. R. Yadunath, T. Badrinarayana, H. Gopalkrishna, T. Srinivas "Photonic crystal based four channel DWDM de-multiplexer on SOI platform: design and analysis" *Opt. Eng.* 57(4) (2018)
44. Robinson, R. Nakkeeran "Photonic crystal ring resonator-based add drop filters: a review" *Opt. Eng.* 52(6) (2013)
45. Granpayeh, H. Habibiyani, P. Parvin "Photonic crystal directional coupler for all-optical switching, tunable multi/demultiplexing and beam splitting applications" *J. Mod. Opt.* 66(4) (2018) 1-8
46. Bernier, X. Le Roux, A. Lupu, D. Marris-Morini, L. Vivien, E. Cassan, "Compact, low cross-talk CWDM demultiplexer using photonic crystal superprism" *Opt. Express* 16(22) (2008) 17209–17214
47. Zhang, Q. Liao, T. Yu, N. Liu, Y. Huang "Novel ultra compact wavelength division demultiplexer based on photonic band gap" *Opt. Commun.* 285(3) (2012) 274–276
48. Boumami, R. Naoum "New version of seven wavelengths demultiplexer based on the microcavities in a two-dimensional photonic crystal" *Optik. Int. J. Light Electron Opt.* 125(23) (2014) 7072-7074
49. Alipour-Banaei, F. Mehdizadeh, M. Hassangholizadeh-Kashtiban "A novel proposal for all optical PhC-based demultiplexers suitable for DWDM applications" *Opt. Quant. Electron.* 45(10) (2013) 1063-1075

50. Mehdizadeh, M. Soroosh, "A new proposal for eight-channel optical demultiplexer based on photonic crystal resonant cavities" *Photon. Netw. Commun.* 31 (2016) 65-70
51. Venkatachalam, D. Sriram Kumar, S. Robinson, "Investigation on 2D photonic crystal-based eight-channel wavelength-division demultiplexer" *Photon. Netw. Commun.* 34 (2017) 100-110
52. Talebzadeh, M. Soroosh, Y. S. Kavian, F. Mehdizadeh "All-optical 6- and 8-channel demultiplexers based on photonic crystal multilayer ring resonators in Si/C rods" *Photon. Netw. Commun.* 34 (2017) 248–257
53. Mohammadi, M. Soroosh, A. Kavsarian. Y. Seifi Kavian "Improving the transmission efficiency in eight-channel all optical Demultiplexers" *Photon. Netw. Commun.* 38(1) (2019) 115-120
54. R. Rakhshani "Compact eight-channel wavelength demultiplexer using modified photonic crystal ring resonators for CWDM applications" *Photon. Netw. Commun.* 39 (2020) 143-151
55. A. Delphi, S. Olyae, M. Seifouri "Software-based teaching of optical demultiplexers by using photonic crystals with presenting the syllabus for MSc students in electronic engineering major" *Tech. Edu. J.* 13(4) (2019) 762-774

Figures




Figure 1

The band structure diagram of the proposed photonic crystal




Figure 2

The schematic diagram of the proposed 8-channel PhC DEMUX




Figure 3

Output spectrum of the proposed 8-channel DEMUX




Figure 4

Electric field distribution of the proposed DEMUX in channels 1 (a), channel 2 (b), channel 3 (c), channel 4 (d), channel 5 (e), channel 6 (f), channel 7 (g) and channel 8 (h)




Figure 5

Output spectrum of the proposed 8-channel DEMUX (dB)