

# Intravenous Calcium Gluconate Alleviates Lead-Induced Abdominal Pain, A Randomized Clinical Trial

**Masoud Mayel**

Kerman University of Medical Sciences

**Saleh Hamzeh**

Kerman University of Medical Sciences

**Salile Shahabi Shahabi Rabori**

Kerman University of Medical Sciences

**Sareh Ghasemirad**

Kerman University of Medical Sciences

**Nasim Zamani**

Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences School of Medicine

**Hossein Hassanian-Moghaddam** (✉ [hassanian@sbmu.ac.ir](mailto:hassanian@sbmu.ac.ir))

Shaheed Beheshti University of Medical Sciences <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4370-0544>

---

## Research article

**Keywords:** Lead, Poisoning, Abdominal Pain, Treatment, Calcium

**Posted Date:** March 11th, 2020

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.2.22406/v3>

**License:** © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

---

**Version of Record:** A version of this preprint was published at BMC Pharmacology and Toxicology on March 17th, 2020. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40360-020-00403-8>.

# Abstract

**Background :** In 2016, in a lead poisoning outbreak in Iran, physicians reported thousands of opium users who presented to emergency departments (EDs) with intractable severe abdominal pain which did not respond to any narcotic medication. During the same period of time, we investigated the efficacy of intravenous calcium gluconate in alleviating lead-induced abdominal pain.

**Methods:** In a single-center, single blinded, randomized controlled trial, a convenient sample of adult opium-addicted patients who presented to an academic ED with abdominal pain and had an initial diagnosis of lead poisoning were included and randomly subjected to two treatment groups receiving conventional treatment (morphine 0.1 mg/kg + normal saline; group 1) and conventional treatment plus 1 gram of intravenous calcium gluconate (group 2) to alleviate their abdominal pain. The visual analogue scale (VAS) was determined by each patient (0 to 100 mm) before treatment, and 15, 30, and 60 minutes after intervention.

**Results:** A total of 50 patients (25 in each group) were enrolled. Blood lead levels, VAS scores before treatment, and mean administered dose of morphine were similar between the two groups. After treatment, mean VAS score dropped to  $64.7 \pm 10.4$  vs.  $67.1 \pm 10.9$  at 15 minutes ( $P=0.437$ ),  $64.6 \pm 10.9$  vs.  $58.0 \pm 11.2$  at 30 minutes ( $P= 0.041$ ), and  $63.8 \pm 10.7$  vs.  $53.6 \pm 10.9$  at 60 minutes ( $P= 0.002$ ) in groups 1 and 2, respectively.

**Conclusion:** Intravenous calcium gluconate administration along with morphine can improve abdominal pain in lead poisoning due to the ingestion of lead-contaminated opium. Further interventional studies are recommended to see if response to calcium salts in suspected lead-induced abdominal pain can rule in lead toxicity.

**Trial registration:** IRCT20171009036661N2. Registered 27 May 2018 - Retrospectively registered, <http://apps.who.int/trialsearch/Trial2.aspx?TrialID=IRCT20171009036661N2>

## Background

Abdominal pain is one of the major chief complaints in patients who refer to toxicologists due to lead poisoning [1]. The crampy and diffuse abdominal pain may accompany with constipation or diarrhea; however, the pathogenesis of lead colic remains unclear. This presentation may mimic a variety of surgical and nonsurgical diseases [2]. Changes in the visceral smooth muscle tone through lead action on the visceral autonomic nervous system, alterations in sodium transport in the small intestinal mucosa, lead-induced interstitial pancreatitis, and spasmodic contractions of intestinal wall smooth muscles have been suggested as the most possible causes of abdominal pain in lead-poisoned patients [3].

It is generally said that severe colicky pain is rare in lead-poisoned patients [2]. However, during the recent lead poisoning outbreak in Iran in 2016 (due to ingestion of lead-contaminated opium), physicians anecdotally reported thousands of opium users referring to emergency departments (EDs) throughout the

country with severe abdominal pain that did not respond to routine treatments of abdominal pain including narcotics [4]. Abdominal pain was so severe in some cases which necessitated surgical exploration for possible acute abdomen [5]. In fact, abdominal pain was so severe and resistant to routine treatments in these patients which made us think about other possible adjuvant treatments.

It is generally advocated that some of the lead poisoning signs and symptoms are due to its chemical similarity to divalent cations calcium, magnesium, and zinc. Lead interferes with numerous calcium-mediated metabolic pathways particularly in mitochondria and in second-messenger systems regulating cellular energy metabolism [6]. Lead also functions as an antagonist or agonist of calcium-dependent processes [7]. Although these changes have been mentioned in neurologic pathways, they can theoretically be involved in any calcium-mediated metabolic pathway throughout the body.

With such concept, we tried to evaluate the efficacy of intravenous (IV) calcium gluconate in treatment of lead-induced abdominal pain which was the main goal of the current study.

## **Methods**

In a randomized, single-center, single-blinded, parallel group trial (1:1 allocation ratio), we compared the efficacy of morphine versus morphine plus IV calcium gluconate in reversal of abdominal pain due to lead poisoning in the patients who had referred with lead-contaminated opium induced lead poisoning. The study was performed in a single academic center in Kerman, Iran, between September 2016 and March 2018. The trial was submitted to and approved by Iranian Clinical Trial Registry (IRCT20171009036661N2). All patients provided informed consents before inclusion.

### ***Trial Population***

BLLs had been measured before ED presentation in medical clinics in an attempt to find the cause of anemia, weakness, constipation, neurological symptoms, or previous chronic abdominal discomfort using atomic absorption technique. Thus, the initial impression was lead toxicity in ED, unless an acute abdomen was detected by emergency physician and general surgeons.

Patients had to be on regular opium or its natural derivatives consumption for at least three months. They were excluded from the trial if they had been suffering from mental retardation, neuropathic diseases (i.e. diabetes), chronic pain syndromes, hypertension and cardiac disorders, hypochondriasis, contraindications for calcium gluconate injection (i.e. hypersensitivity to this group of drugs), kidney stones, hypercalcemia, hypophosphatemia, pregnancy, asthma, obstructive bowel obstruction, acute abdominal symptoms and acute abdomen, any confirmed diagnosis other than lead poisoning, using psychoactive drugs, and chronic severe history of morphine sensitivity (CONSORT Diagram; Figure 1). Patients were characterized at baseline by age, sex, visual analogue scale (VAS) score, and BLL (Table 1).

### ***Sample Size:***

To provide 90% power at a 0.05 significance level (2-sided test) and to detect a VAS score difference in the primary endpoint of 10 mm (from mean of 65 to 55) on the 100-mm VAS with a standard deviation (SD) of 10 mm in a paired sample analysis, 22 patients were measured for each group. Considering 20% dropout, 25 patients were recruited in each arm.

### ***Trial design***

A flow diagram of the trial is shown in Figure 1. Our study adheres to CONSORT guidelines. The trial consisted of three time frames; an enrollment period, a blind treatment period, and 15-, 30-, and 60-minute follow-ups. During the enrollment period, patients' eligibility including high BLL, abdominal pain, and the VAS score was assessed.

Patients were randomized on admission to ED by first author using a block randomization list to two treatment arms: a slow IV morphine arm (0.1 mg/kg as the basic analgesic dose; group 1) and the same morphine dose plus 10 mL intravenous calcium gluconate 10% (intervention group; group 2). These doses were chosen based on data from previous studies [1,4]. VAS score was checked and recorded on admission and 15, 30, and 60 minutes after treatments were given in the two groups by co-authors. Changes in the VAS scores were then compared between the groups.

There was no changes to methods after trial commencement.

### ***Measurement, efficacy and safety assessment***

The VAS score was determined by each patient (0 to 100 mm) before treatment, and 15, 30 and 60 minutes after the administration of the trial medications. Safety assessment was done using cardiac monitoring, pulse oximetry, and evaluating vital signs during ED stay. There was no change to trial outcomes after the trial commenced.

### ***Statistical Analysis***

The data was analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS; IBM Corp., Armonk, N.Y., USA) software version 25 by application of Kolmogorov Smirnov, paired-samples t-test in different time intervals, One-way ANOVA, Mann Whitney U test, and chi square test. Considering significant reduction in VAS pairwise comparison in each time interval (Zero versus 15, 15 versus 30, and 30 versus 60 minutes post intervention) as the censored in event status, a log rank test (Kaplan-Meier) was run to determine if there were differences in the VAS score distribution by adding calcium to opioid prescriptions. A P value less than 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

## **Results**

A total of 25 patients were evaluated in each group. All were male and mean age was  $48.2 \pm 10.5$  years. On arrival characteristics are shown in Table 1 with no significant difference between the two groups. Severity of pain was also similar between the groups on presentation.

The VAS score decreased to  $67.1 \pm 10.9$ ,  $58.0 \pm 11.2$ , and  $53.6 \pm 10.9$  at 15, 30 and 60 minutes after administration of morphine plus IV calcium gluconate in group 2, while these measures were  $64.7 \pm 10.4$ ,  $64.6 \pm 10.9$ , and  $63.8 \pm 10.7$  in the abovementioned time frames in group 1 which received solely morphine (all  $P < 0.001$ , Figure 2). Analysis of the variance of VAS scales between the two groups at 15-, 30-, and 60- minute intervals after treatment is also shown in Table 2.

Pairwise comparison of each group in three subgroups is mentioned in Table 3. Comparing the VAS distributions after adding calcium gluconate to conventional opioid analgesic program showed to have dramatic effect on alleviating the patients' abdominal pain ( $\chi^2[2] = 106.802$ ,  $P < .0001$ ).

## Discussion

Lead-induced abdominal pain was first introduced by Hippocrates in 370 B.C. Through the Middle Ages, outbreaks of lead-induced abdominal pain due to contaminated cooking utensils occurred in Europe. Lead-induced abdominal pain was noted to be common among lead workers and particularly severe after inhalation of lead fumes. Lead colic is often the symptom that brings the lead-poisoned patient to a physician [9].

The amount of lead exposure or blood lead level that causes abdominal pain is unknown but it seems that abdominal pain due to lead starts to occur at blood lead levels ranging from 40 and 80 mg/100 mL [10]. Our study showed that even less BLLs may cause abdominal pain.

Administration of calcium to alleviate abdominal pain is somehow an old method of treatment that is not currently advocated in textbooks and recent articles. Shelling mentioned that lead colic might be alleviated, almost instantly after the intravenous administration of calcium chloride which was thought to add additional evidence that calcium "drives" lead into the bones [11]. However, the later studies of Aub and coworkers discredited such an assumption since the alleviation of pain is too rapid to be due to precipitation of lead in the bone tissue. Aub and his coworkers were, therefore, inclined to believe that the action of calcium in this instance is to relax the intestinal tract [12]. We also believe that this effect may be due to the effects of calcium on the intestinal cells which make these cells more relaxed. Our study also confirms the rapid onset of action of calcium in lead toxicity as many of our patients report improvement in their abdominal pain in one hour following initiation of the treatment.

Another recent animal study mentioned that succimer used alone could reduce lead levels in blood and bone and reverse activities of aminolevulinic acid dehydratase in blood; however, a better therapeutic efficiency in mobilizing bone lead could be achieved by succimer used with calcium and ascorbic acid [13]. Our results are in accordance with these studies although again, the exact mechanism of alleviation of abdominal pain by calcium is not clear. Our study showed that although opioids were useful in alleviating pain, their sole administration might not be efficient enough in reversal of abdominal pain in these patients. The pain is better and faster managed by combination of IV morphine and calcium gluconate within the 15, 30 and 60 minutes post intervention. This is while with opioid administration

alone, severity of pain does not change significantly after 15 minutes. In general, it seems that adding calcium to the analgesic regimen results in continuing relief of pain in different time intervals.

### **Limitations:**

Our limited sample size is definitely a major shortcoming of the current study. On the other hand, we were unable to follow the patients in the ward to see if they needed more pain killers in the subsequent hours after admission. The patients were not provided with their maintenance equivalent dose of opioid to avoid withdrawal syndrome and this could increase their pain; however, this was similar between the two groups of the study.

## **Conclusions**

Intravenous calcium gluconate administration along with morphine can improve abdominal pain in lead poisoning due to the ingestion of lead-contaminated opium. Further studies on larger sample sizes are warranted to better elucidate this effect. Also, interventional studies are recommended to see if response to calcium salts in suspected lead-induced abdominal pain can rule in lead toxicity.

## **Abbreviations**

ANNOVA: analysis of variance; BLL: blood lead level; CONSORT: consolidated standards of reporting trials; ED: emergency departments; ER: emergency room; IV: intravenous; SAS: Statistical Analysis Software; SPSS: Statistical Package for Social Sciences; VAS: visual analogue scale

## **Declarations**

### *Ethics approval and consent to participate*

This trial was approved by the local ethics committee at Kerman University of Medical Sciences (IR.KMU.REC.1396.2116). Informed written consent was taken from all participants.

### *Consent for publication*

Not applicable

### *Availability of data and materials*

All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article

### *Competing interests*

Co-author Hossein Hassanian-Moghaddam is a member of Editorial Board of BMC Pharmacology and Toxicology

## *Funding*

Kerman University of Medical Sciences fund this study with no role in the design of the trial and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript.

## *Authors' contributions*

HHM is the guarantor of integrity of the entire study. MM and HHM gave the study concepts and designed the study. SH, SSR, SG and NZ did the literature research. HHM performed the data analysis. HHM performed the statistical analysis. NZ and HHM prepared the manuscript draft and NZ did edit the final manuscript. All co-authors approved final submitted manuscript.

## *Acknowledgements*

This manuscript is based on the thesis of Dr. Saleh Hamzeh

## **References**

- 1- Zamani N, Hassanian-Moghaddam H, Bahrami-Motlagh H, Ahmadi S, Phillips S. Lead poisoning due to ingestion of lead-contaminated opium: A diagnostic study on patients' imaging findings. *J Trace Elem Med Biol.* 2019 Sep;55:26-32.
- 2- Jongnarangsin K, Mukherjee S, Bauer MA. An unusual cause of recurrent abdominal pain. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 1999 Dec;94(12):3620-2.
- 3- Shiri R, Ansari M, Ranta M, Falah-Hassani K. Lead poisoning and recurrent abdominal pain. *Ind Health.* 2007 Jun;45(3):494-6.
- 4- Ghane T, Zamani N, Hassanian-Moghaddam H, Beyrami A, Noroozi A. Lead poisoning outbreak among opium users in the Islamic Republic of Iran, 2016–2017. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization.* 2018;96(3):165-172.
- 5- Shabani M, Hadeiy SK, Parhizgar P, et al. Lead Poisoning; a Neglected Potential Diagnosis in Abdominal Pain. Unpublished data.
- 6- Lidsky TI, Schneider JS. Lead neurotoxicity in children: basic mechanisms and clinical correlates. *Brain.* 2003 Jan;126(Pt 1):5-19. Review
- 7- Barltrop D, Meek F. Absorption of different lead compounds. *Postgrad Med J.* 1975 Nov;51(601):805-9.
- 8- Ziaaddini H, Ziaaddini MR. The household survey of drug abuse in Kerman, Iran. *Journal of Applied Sciences.* 2005 Feb;5(2):380-2.
- 9- Dahlgren J. Abdominal Pain in Lead Workers. *Arch Environment Health.* 1978; 156-10- Anonymous. Diagnosis of inorganic lead poisoning: a statement. *Br Med J.* 1968 Nov 23;4(5629):501.

11- Shelling D. Effect of Dietary Calcium and Phosphorus on Toxicity of Lead in the Rat: Rationale of Phosphate Therapy. *Experimental Biology and Medicine*. 1932;30(2):248–254.

12- Auh, JC, Fairliill LT, Xinot AS, Reznikoff P. *Medicine*. 1925;4:1.

13- Jin Y, Yu F, Liao Y, Liu S, Liu M, Xu J, Yang J. Therapeutic efficiency of succimer used with calcium and ascorbic acid in the treatment of mild lead-poisoning. *Environ Toxicol Pharmacol*. 2011 Jan;31(1):137-42.

## Tables

Table 1: On-arrival characteristics of lead-poisoned patients with abdominal pain (N=50)

Variable	Group 1 (n=25)	Group 2 (n=25)	P
Mean ± SD age (y) (min, max)	48.5 ± 11 (29, 73)	47.9 ± 10.3 (28, 70)	0.843*
Median [IQR] Daily opium (gr) (min, max)	8 [7.7, 10.5] (7, 12.5)	9 [7.7, 10.5] (7, 12.5)	0.569†
Mean ± SD Blood Lead Level (µg/dL) (min, max)	59.2 ± 22.2 (25, 92)	56.8 ± 16.6 (29, 91)	0.673*
Median [IQR] Morphine (gr) administered (min, max)	7 [6.5, 9] (6, 10)	7.5 [6.7, 9] (6, 10)	0.569†
Median [IQR] Amylase (min, max)	80 [46, 88] (6, 130)	70 [42, 88] (22, 102)	0.443†
Mean ± SD MCH (min, max)	24.5 ± 1.6 (22, 27)	25.2 ± 3 (18.9, 30)	0.324*
Mean ± SD MCV (min, max)	81 ± 5.4 (70, 97)	82.5 ± 8 (69.5, 103.5)	0.449*
Median [IQR] Hgb (min, max)	10 [9, 10.6] (8, 15.9)	10 [8.7, 11.4] (7.2, 16.8)	0.655†
Mean ± SD WBC (min, max)	6868 ± 1344 (4400, 9400)	7172 ± 1603 (4200, 9800)	0.471*
Mean± SD VAS Score (min, max)	67 ± 10.3 (50, 83)	69.3 ± 11.6 (50, 90)	0.464*

\*Student T-test, †Mann Whitney U test

Table 2: Analysis of Variance in different time intervals comparing the effects of morphine vs. calcium + morphine

		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig
0	Between Groups	64.980	1	64.98	.544	0.464
	Within Groups	5730.400	48	119.38		
	Total	5795.380	49			
15 Minutes	Between Groups	69.620	1	69.62	.614	0.437
	Within Groups	5442.880	48	113.39		
	Total	5512.500	49			
30 Minutes	Between Groups	537.920	1	537.92	4.420	0.041
	Within Groups	5842.160	48	121.71		
	Total	6380.080	49			
60 Minutes	Between Groups	1300.500	1	1300.50	11.167	0.002
	Within Groups	5590.000	48	116.46		
	Total	6890.500	49			

Table 3: Pairwise comparison of 2 different arms of abdominal pain treatment in patients with lead toxicity (n=50)

## Figures




Figure 1

CONSORT Flow Diagram

Group			Mean	N	Std. Deviation	Mean Paired Difference	95% CI of difference	Sig
<b>A (Morphine 0.1 mg/Kg)</b>	Pair 1	Zero minute	67.04	25	10.261	2.32	1.86, 2.78	>.001
		Fifteen minute	64.72	25	10.414			
	Pair 2	Zero minute	67.04	25	10.261	2.48	1.71, 3.25	>.001
		Thirty minute	64.56	25	10.901			
	Pair 3	Zero minute	67.04	25	10.261	3.24	2.11, 4.37	>.001
		Sixty minute	63.80	25	10.661			
	Pair 4	Fifteen minute	64.72	25	10.414	0.16	-0.53, 0.85	.637
		Thirty minute	64.56	25	10.901			
	Pair 5	Fifteen minute	64.72	25	10.414	0.92	-0.23, 2.07	.111
		Sixty minute	63.80	25	10.661			
	Pair 6	Thirty minute	64.56	25	10.901	0.76	-0.49, 2.01	.222
		Sixty minute	63.80	25	10.661			
<b>B (Morphine 0.1 mg/Kg+ Calcium Gluconate)</b>	Pair 1	Zero minute	69.32	25	11.553	2.24	1.06, 3.42	>.001
		Fifteen minute	67.08	25	10.878			
	Pair 2	Zero minute	69.32	25	11.553	11.32	9.95, 12.69	>.001
		Thirty minute	58.00	25	11.162			
	Pair 3	Zero minute	69.32	25	11.553	15.72	14.26, 17.18	>.001
		Sixty minute	53.60	25	10.920			
	Pair 4	Fifteen minute	67.08	25	10.878	9.08	8.51, 9.65	>.001
		Thirty minute	58.00	25	11.162			
	Pair 5	Fifteen minute	67.08	25	10.878	13.48	12.85, 14.11	>.001
		Sixty minute	53.60	25	10.920			

	minute						
Pair 6	Thirty minute	58.00	25	11.162	4.40	3.85, 4.95	>.001
	Sixty minute	53.60	25	10.920			




Figure 2

Visual Analogue Scale comparison of Abdominal Pain Relief in Two Different Regimen (n=50)

## Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [CONSORTChecklistBMCLead.doc](#)