

# When Host Populations Move North, but Disease Moves South: Counter-intuitive Impacts of Climate Warming on Disease Spread

E. Joe Moran

Memorial University of Newfoundland

Maria Martignoni (✉ [mmartignonim@mun.ca](mailto:mmartignonim@mun.ca))

Memorial University of Newfoundland <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0192-8228>

Nicolas Lecomte

Universite de Moncton

Patrick Leighton

University of Montreal: Universite de Montreal

Amy Hurford

Memorial University of Newfoundland

---

## Research Article

**Keywords:** climate change, spillover, reaction-diffusion equations, thermal niche, poleward dispersal, disease spread, susceptible-infected model, rabies, arctic foxes, extinction debt

**Posted Date:** March 7th, 2022

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1306312/v1>

**License:**  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

---

1       When host populations move north, but disease moves south:  
2       counter-intuitive impacts of climate warming on disease spread

3       E. Joe Moran<sup>1</sup>, Maria M. Martignoni <sup>\*2</sup>, Nicolas Lecomte<sup>3,4</sup>, Patrick Leighton<sup>5</sup>, and Amy Hurford<sup>1,2</sup>

4       <sup>1</sup>Department of Biology, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John's, Canada, [ejm6168@psu.edu](mailto:ejm6168@psu.edu),  
5       [ahurford@mun.ca](mailto:ahurford@mun.ca)

6       <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John's, Canada,  
7       [mmartignonim@mun.ca](mailto:mmartignonim@mun.ca), [ahurford@mun.ca](mailto:ahurford@mun.ca)

8       <sup>3</sup>Canada Research Chair in Polar and Boreal Ecology, University of Moncton, Moncton, Canada,  
9       [nicolas.lecomte@umoncton.ca](mailto:nicolas.lecomte@umoncton.ca)

10       <sup>4</sup>Center for Northern Studies, University of Moncton, Moncton, Canada

11       <sup>5</sup>Epidemiology of Zoonoses and Public Health Research Group (GREZOSP), Department of Pathology and  
12       Microbiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Université de Montréal, Saint-Hyacinthe, Canada,  
13       [patrick.a.leighton@umontreal.ca](mailto:patrick.a.leighton@umontreal.ca)

14       January 31, 2022

15       **Abstract**

16       Empirical observations and mathematical models show that climate warming can  
17       lead to the northern (or, more generally, poleward) spread of host species ranges and  
18       their corresponding diseases. Here, we explore an unexpected possibility whereby cli-  
19       mate warming induces disease spread in the opposite direction to the directional shift  
20       in the host species range. To test our hypothesis, we formulate a reaction-diffusion  
21       equation model with a Susceptible-Infected (SI) epidemiological structure for two host  
22       species, both susceptible to a disease, but spatially isolated due to distinct thermal  
23       niches, and where prior to climate warming the disease is endemic in the northern  
24       species only. Previous theoretical results show that species' distributions can lag behind  
25       species' thermal niches when climate warming occurs. As such, we find that climate  
26       warming, by shifting both species' niches forward, may increase the overlap between  
27       northern and southern host species ranges, due to the northern species lagging behind  
28       its thermal tolerance limit, thus facilitating a southern disease spread. As our model is  
29       general, our findings may apply to viral, bacterial, and prion diseases that do not have  
30       thermal tolerance limits and are inextricably linked to their hosts' distributions, such  
31       as the spread of rabies from arctic to red foxes.

32       **Keywords:** climate change; spillover; reaction-diffusion equations; thermal niche; pole-  
33       ward dispersal; disease spread; susceptible-infected model; rabies; arctic foxes; extinction  
34       debt.

---

35       \*Corresponding author

## Introduction

Many studies have observed shifts in disease range in the same direction as climate warming-induced shifting thermal isoclines (Bellard et al., 2013; Patz et al., 1996; Short et al., 2017). Diseases may spread poleward, or upwards in altitude, when pathogen fitness closely tracks environmental temperature shifts, either due to host or vector responses to temperature (e.g., Lyme disease (Brownstein et al., 2005)), or due to pathogen life stages that are exposed to the environment (e.g., chytrid fungus (Pounds, 2001)). There are many studies investigating the poleward spread of between-host and vector-borne diseases, including malaria (Martens et al., 1995), dengue fever (Hales et al., 2002), bluetongue (Purse et al., 2005), woolly adelgid beetle in hemlocks (Paradis et al., 2008), or beech bark disease (Stephanson and Ribarik Coe, 2017). There are currently no examples of climate-induced disease spread in the opposite direction of climate warming. We hypothesize that when uninfected, susceptible, populations disperse poleward (to where the climate is now warmer), and meet infected populations living at higher latitude, contact between the two populations can facilitate an anti-poleward wave of disease.

Our hypothesis arises as in a multi-host system, where disease can spread to another host species given sufficient between-species contact rates, differences in host ranges can prevent disease transmission, by reducing the contact rates between species. However, climate warming can affect niches geographical extent and, consequently, species distributional area, thereby facilitating disease spread into susceptible populations that have previously been isolated. Indeed, both empirical studies (Menéndez et al., 2006; Talluto et al., 2017) and mathematical models (Hurford et al., 2019; Zhou and Kot, 2011) have shown that in response to climate warming, species may lag behind their shifting thermal tolerance limits. This suggests that for two host species occupying distinct niches along a thermal gradient (for convenience here assumed to be a latitudinal temperature gradient in the Northern Hemisphere) the northern species may lag behind its warm tolerance limit in the South; and as the southern species spreads into the northern limit of its range, the area where the two species overlap increases, thus facilitating disease spread from the northern population to the southern population.

To test whether, and under which conditions, southward disease spread can occur in response to climate warming, we formulate a reaction-diffusion equation model that accounts for disease dynamics for directly transmitted pathogens between spatially structured host populations in a moving habitat. Moving habitat models (Harsch et al., 2014) have been formalized as either reaction diffusion equations (Berestycki et al., 2009; Potapov and Lewis, 2004), or their discrete time analogue: Integrodifference Equations (IDE; Zhou and Kot (2011)), and have been used to study how the speed of climate change impacts population persistence and how population densities respond to shifting habitats. More recently, moving habitat models have been expanded to incorporate infectious agents and species interactions (Leung and Kot, 2015; Kura et al., 2019). Our model incorporates species growth, diffusion, and interaction in a moving-habitat framework, to investigate how the population densities of two host species are affected by a climate-induced shift in the location of their thermal tolerance limits, and how a possible overlap of their expansion ranges may facilitate disease spread.

Our study is not bound to a specific disease, and focuses on systems consisting of two populations with a common pathogen, which are spatially isolated but sufficiently close in space to raise concerns about a possible overlap due to niche shift. We assume that prior

82 to climate warming, there exists an infected northern population, and an uninfected, but  
83 susceptible, southern population. The arctic rabies system, for example, lends itself to this  
84 formulation of our pre- and post-climate warming scenarios. Indeed, historically, rabies has  
85 been endemic in Arctic foxes (*Vulpes lagopus*) (i.e., the “northern population”), while red  
86 foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*) (i.e., the “southern population”) have remained disease-free with only  
87 sporadic outbreaks (Mørk and Prestrud, 2004; Tabel et al., 1974). The movement of red foxes  
88 northward, facilitated by climate change and anthropogenic disturbance, has already led to  
89 an increase in overlap among the two species which can be observed in most arctic areas  
90 (Gallant et al., 2012, 2020; Savory et al., 2014), and might constitute a threat for potential  
91 fast spread of rabies to the south, given the vast distribution of red foxes across Eurasia,  
92 North America, part of North Africa and in most of Australia (Hoffmann and Sillero-Zubiri,  
93 2021), with major consequences for human and animal health. Additionally, if rabies is spread  
94 southward, rabies’ disease range may overlap with more host species, specifically skunks and  
95 raccoons (Finnegan et al., 2002), opening up new transmission pathways. It is therefore  
96 imperative to understand how climate warming can contribute to the risk of the southern  
97 spread of diseases, for the prevention and management of rabies, as well as other prion and  
98 viral diseases.

## 99 Model and Methods

100 We formulate a temperature-driven moving habitat model based on a reaction-diffusion  
101 framework (Cantrell and Cosner, 2004) to understand disease dynamics for directly trans-  
102 mitted pathogens in a warming climate, and in spatially structured host populations. Our  
103 model combines disease dynamics with the reproduction, survival, and dispersal of two host  
104 populations (i.e., the northern population, characterized by the sub index “n”, and the south-  
105 ern population, with sub index “s”) in a landscape consisting of a thermal gradient, such that  
106 each population occupies a distinct region in the North or in the South. We assume that the  
107 dynamics characterizing the northern and the southern host populations are identical, except  
108 for the thermal tolerance limits of the two populations.

109 **Spatio-temporal dynamics:** Susceptible and infected individuals disperse by random mo-  
110 tion, where the dispersal ability is quantified by the diffusion coefficient  $D_n$  (for the northern  
111 species) and  $D_s$  (for the southern species). We assume that susceptible populations exhibit  
112 logistic growth, with a temperature-dependent reproductive rate  $r_n(T(x, t))$  or  $r_s(T(x, t))$   
113 (described below, see Eq. (2)), and density-dependent mortality rate  $\mu_n$  or  $\mu_s$ . We assume  
114 that infectious individuals do not reproduce, and die with a density-dependent mortality rate  
115  $\nu_n$  or  $\nu_s$ . Susceptible individuals can become infected by contacting infected individuals in  
116 northern or in southern populations alike, where disease transmission occurs at rate  $\beta_{nn}$ ,  $\beta_{ss}$ ,  
117  $\beta_{ns}$  or  $\beta_{sn}$ , depending on whether the contact has been between two individuals of the same  
118 population (northern or southern) or of different populations.

The system of equation describing the spatio-temporal dynamics of the northern and

southern populations is given by:

$$\partial_t S_n(x, t) = \underbrace{D_n \partial_x^2 S_n}_{\text{Dispersal}} + \underbrace{r_n(T) S_n - \mu_n S_n^2}_{\text{Logistic growth}} - \underbrace{\beta_{nn} S_n I_n + \beta_{sn} S_n I_s}_{\text{Infection}}, \quad (1a)$$

$$\partial_t I_n(x, t) = \underbrace{D_n \partial_x^2 I_n}_{\text{Dispersal}} + \underbrace{\beta_{nn} S_n I_n + \beta_{sn} S_n I_s}_{\text{Infection}} - \underbrace{\nu_n I_n^2}_{\text{Mortality}}, \quad (1b)$$

$$\partial_t S_s(x, t) = D_s \partial_x^2 S_s + r_s(T) S_s - \mu_s S_s^2 - \beta_{ss} S_s I_s - \beta_{ns} S_s I_n, \quad (1c)$$

$$\partial_t I_s(x, t) = D_s \partial_x^2 I_s + \beta_{ss} S_s I_s + \beta_{ns} S_s I_n - \nu_s I_s^2. \quad (1d)$$

119 where  $S_n(x, t)$ ,  $I_n(x, t)$ ,  $S_s(x, t)$  and  $I_s(x, t)$  represent the densities of susceptible and infected  
 120 individuals in the northern and southern populations respectively, at time  $t$  and at location  
 121  $x$ . Although, for application to a specific host-parasite system, the modelling of population  
 122 growth, disease dynamics, and dispersal may require a more complex framework than that  
 123 provided in Eq. (1), in order to emphasize the broad validity of our findings we aimed for  
 124 the simplest possible formulation of the population dynamics, which relies on very minimal  
 125 assumptions. Possible extensions of the model will be discussed later in this manuscript.

126 **Temperature, species niches and climate warming:** In Eqs. (1a) and (1c), the birth  
 127 rates  $r_n(T)$  and  $r_s(T)$  are represented as functions of temperature  $T = T(x, t)$ , which de-  
 128 pends on the location  $x$  and on time  $t$ . Specifically, we assume that birth rates are constant  
 129 and greater than zero within the species' thermal tolerance range, identified as the species  
 130 "thermal" or "fundamental niche", and zero outside of the thermal tolerance range. We write:


$$131 \quad r_n(T(x, t)) = \begin{cases} r_n > 0 & \text{for } T_m < T(x, t) < T_M, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

133 where,  $T_m$  and  $T_M$  are the lowest and highest temperatures that a species can reproduce at,  
 134 and an analogous expression can be written for  $r_s(T)$ . While a more gradual change in the  
 135 net reproduction rate along the temperature gradient may be more realistic ([Amarasekare  
 136 and Savage, 2012](#)), our assumption of a rectangular niche shape represents the least favorable  
 137 conditions for the northern population to lag behind the southern limit of its thermal toler-  
 138 ance, and therefore, the least favorable conditions for a warming-induced southern wave of  
 139 infection. Therefore, we expect that if southward disease spread is possible for the rectangu-  
 140 lar niche shape, this spread will also occur when both species' niches are assumed to change  
 141 more continuously as a function of temperature.

142 Species' thermal tolerance limits translate into a hospitable region in space (the species  
 143 niche) where the temperature range is within the indicated limits. We assume the spatial  
 144 location  $x = [-L, L]$  to be a one-dimensional domain corresponding to a temperature gradi-  
 145 ent in the Northern Hemisphere, where the temperature decreases gradually from "-L" (the  
 146 "south") to "L" (the "north") (Fig. 1). We choose  $L = 150$  km, and a temperature range prior  
 147 to climate change extending from  $15^\circ\text{C}$  at  $-L$  to  $-15^\circ$  at  $L$  over 300 km. The default ther-  
 148 mal tolerance limits of the northern species are assumed to range from  $-15^\circ\text{C}$  to  $-1^\circ\text{C}$ , while  
 149 the thermal tolerance limits of the southern species range from  $1^\circ\text{C}$  to  $15^\circ\text{C}$ . The impact of  
 150 varying those limits will be investigated as described in the next subsection.

151 Climate warming, beginning at time  $t = 0$ , causes an increase in temperature by  $0.1^\circ\text{C}$   
 152 per year ([Pachauri et al., 2014](#)), which in our simulations correspond to a northern shift of  
 153 1 km per year. Therefore, climate change causes a shift in the thermal tolerance limits (and

154 thus in the fundamental niche) of each species northwards, at a constant rate, and equally  
 155 at all points in space.



**Figure 1:** Hypothesized south-to-north temperature gradient as a function of location  $x$  prior to climate warming (solid black line,  $t = 0$ ) and after 25, 50 and 75 years of climate warming (dashed black lines,  $t = 25, 50, 75$ ). The temperature is assumed to increase  $0.1^\circ\text{C}$  per year, which corresponds to a yearly 1 km shift to the north. The location of the fundamental thermal niches of the northern (in blue, color online) and southern (red, color online) populations prior and after climate warming are represented as horizontal solid lines (for  $t = 0$ ) and dashed lines (for  $t = 25, 50, 75$ ). The tolerated temperature ranges of each species prior to climate change are indicated on the vertical axis, where the northern species tolerates lower temperatures (ranging from  $-15^\circ$  to  $-1^\circ$ ), and the southern species tolerates higher temperatures (ranging from  $1^\circ$  to  $15^\circ$ ). The impact of varying the Euclidean distance between niches, and thus the thermal tolerance limits of each species, is discussed in Fig. 3b.

156 **Simulations:** We will focus on the situation where, prior to climate change, species dis-  
 157 tributions has reached endemic equilibrium, where the disease is present in the northern  
 158 population only. Although the southern population is also susceptible, it is spatially isolated  
 159 due to the distinct thermal niche, and thus disease-free. We assume a numerical cutoff value  
 160 of 0.001, below which population densities are considered to be zero.


161 As climate change occurs, the temperature gradient is uniformly increased, which results  
 162 in a spatial shift in the thermal niches of both species. We simulate 75 years of climate  
 163 warming, and investigate how the time needed for the disease to reach the southern population  
 164 is affected by the dispersal ability, reproduction, mortality, and disease transmission rate of  
 165 the two populations, and by variation in the thermal tolerance limits, affecting the Euclidean  
 166 distance between fundamental niches. Simulations are run in MATLAB R2020b and the  
 167 computer code is available at <https://figshare.com/s/60caec76973c3da640d0>.

## 168 Results


169 Our numerical simulations show that climate warming may induce the southward spread of  
 170 disease when host species' ranges shift northwards (Fig. 2). Prior to climate change, the  
 171 disease reaches endemic equilibrium in the northern population and, because of the spatial  
 172 isolation arising from the distinct thermal niches, the disease does not spread into the southern

173 population (Fig. 2a). After 25 years of continuous climate warming, the thermal niches of both  
174 populations have moved northwards, as have their population densities, but these densities  
175 now lag behind their thermal tolerance limits (Fig. 2b). The infected northern individuals  
176 (b; blue dashed line) shown south of  $x = 35$  km occupy habitat that is too warm, and will  
177 ultimately go extinct even if no further climate warming occurs; however, extinction takes  
178 time and disease spread to the southern population is enabled via this transient persistence.  
179 Indeed, the lag of the northern infected population behind its southern thermal tolerance limit  
180 is sufficient to “bridge the gap” to the northern limit of the southern susceptible population (b;  
181 right-most red dashed line), allowing the disease to be transmitted to the previously isolated  
182 and uninfected southern species. Once disease establishes in the southern population, we  
183 observe a wave of infection, which moves southward in space (Fig. 2c and d).

184 A climate-induced southern spread of the disease is observed only if the thermal tolerance  
185 limits, and thus fundamental niches, of the two host species are far enough to be spatially  
186 separated before climate warming occurs, but close enough to allow disease spread after  
187 climate warming begins. When a southern spread is observed, the Euclidean distance between  
188 niches greatly affects the number of years of climate warming needed before disease spread  
189 between populations is observed (Fig. 3a). Additionally, southern disease spread requires  
190 a high dispersal ability and birth rate of the southern species (Fig. 3b and c), and it is  
191 more likely to be observed when the mortality rate of northern infected individuals is low  
192 (Fig. 3d). Other model parameters, such as the disease transmission rates and the dispersal  
193 ability, mortality, and birth rate of susceptible individuals in the northern population, do not  
194 greatly affect the number of years needed till southern spread is observed (see supplementary  
195 information, Fig. S.1).



**Figure 2:** Population dynamics (a) prior to climate change ( $t = 0$ ), and after (b) 25 years, (c) 50 years, and (d) 75 years of climate warming. The southern and northern population densities as a function of the location  $x$  are represented in blue and red respectively (color online), with dashed lines representing susceptible individuals and dotted lines representing infectious individuals. Thick blue and red horizontal lines indicate the fundamental niches of the northern and southern species respectively. Prior to climate change, the disease is endemic in the northern population, while the southern population is disease free. Climate change induces a gradual northern shift of both fundamental niches, and disease spread from the northern to the southern population. For visual purposes, the density of the infected populations have been multiplied by 4. Parameters used for the simulation are:  $r_n = r_s = 1.5$ ,  $\mu_n = \mu_s = 0.5$ ,  $\nu_n = \nu_s = 3.5$ ,  $D_n = D_s = 0.3$ ,  $\beta_{nn} = \beta_{ss} = \beta_{ns} = \beta_{sn} = 0.4$ .



**Figure 3:** Years of climate warming elapsing before the spread of the disease in a southern population is observed, as a function of (a) the dispersal ability of the southern species ( $D_s$ ), (b) the thermal tolerance limits of the northern and southern species, determining the Euclidean distance between their fundamental niches prior to climate warming, (c) the birth rate of susceptible individuals of the southern population ( $r_s$ ), and (d) the mortality rate of the northern infected population ( $\nu_n$ ). Other parameter values are given in Fig. 2.

## Discussion

We find that climate warming can induce the spread of infectious diseases in the direction opposite to host species range shifts. This occurs when lagging species distributions, induced by climate change, connect previously isolated populations, facilitating a southern (or anti-poleward) disease spread. The lag of species distribution behind their thermal tolerance limits has previously been noted for single species moving habitat models (Berestycki et al., 2009; Zhou and Kot, 2011). Specifically, as the niche shifts northwards in response to climate warming, individuals that do not track with their thermal niches do not immediately go extinct in habitat that has recently become inhospitable, but exhibit exponential decay (Amarasekare and Savage, 2012). The area where the population is eventually expected to go extinct has been termed “extinction debt”, and has been demonstrated both empirically (Menéndez et al., 2006; Talluto et al., 2017) and theoretically (Hurford et al., 2019; Zhou and Kot, 2011). Here we propose that “extinction debt” areas can facilitate disease spread in the opposite direction of climate warming, via this transient persistence of infected individuals.

We note that the conditions required for the southern spread of disease may be restrictive: 1) there must exist a spatially isolated susceptible, but uninfected population in the south; and 2) the southern population must not be so isolated that individuals cannot disperse into the regions occupied by the lagging infected northern population (made recently suitable for the southern species due to climate warming). High dispersal ability and birth rate of the southern species, as well as a small death rate of infected individuals in the North can also largely determine whether southern spread of disease will be observed, and after how many years of climate warming the spread will occur.

Our counter-intuitive results have implications for epidemic readiness in regions adjacent to areas where disease is endemic. Arctic rabies is an example of a disease system which po-

220 tentially exhibits the necessary prerequisites for warming-induced southward disease spread.  
221 Other host-host disease systems may include arctic fox and raccoon dogs in Europe, which  
222 exhibit similar latitudinal distribution and interactions to the arctic - red fox system (Mørk  
223 and Prestrud, 2004), or bovine tuberculosis and brucellosis: bacterial pathogens that are en-  
224 demic diseases in northern bovids, such as the woodland bison of northern Canada (Joly and  
225 Messier, 2005; Nishi et al., 2006), and might may spread in southern ungulate populations  
226 given climate induced range shifts.

227 In addition to host-host systems, our model can also apply to host-parasite systems,  
228 if a free-living parasite is long-lived and able to withstand warmer temperatures than the  
229 host. In such systems, if the pathogen is shed, and the climate later warms, the distribution  
230 of the pathogen can lag behind the warm tolerance limit of the host. This can be the  
231 case for *Echinococcus multilocularis* for instance, an intestinal parasite endemic to northern  
232 latitudes which causes Alveolar echinococcosis disease in carnivorous animals, and can remain  
233 infectious in the environment for over 1.5 years (Veit et al., 1995). The presence of multiple  
234 hosts of the parasite (such as foxes, wolves, coyotes, or even domestic dogs) raises concerns  
235 on whether climate change may contribute to its possible southward movement (Massolo  
236 et al., 2014). Also Chronic Wasting Disease (CWD), spread by infectious prions, can persist  
237 for more than 2 years in the environment (Miller et al., 2004), and prions from comparable  
238 animal diseases (e.g., scrapie disease in sheeps) can persist for up to 16 years (Georgsson  
239 et al., 2006).

240 Our model, despite its simplicity, provides an important first step in raising awareness  
241 around the risk of southern disease spread due to climate change. Future modelling efforts  
242 should consider different dispersal patterns (Sutherland et al., 2000), different niche struc-  
243 tures (Barton et al., 2019), and different temperature dependence of birth and mortality rates  
244 (Amarasekare and Savage, 2012; Hurford et al., 2019). The impact that competition between  
245 host species might have on reducing the disease transmission risk (Tannerfeldt et al., 2002)  
246 should also be quantified. Additionally, host species may experience large year-to-year fluc-  
247 tuations in their population densities (Simon et al., 2019), which may affect disease spread.  
248 Models would be needed to show how the spread speed may change under various scenarios,  
249 such as higher or lower year-to year variations. Specific parametrization and and/or adapta-  
250 tion of the model to real existing systems, such as those described in the previous paragraphs,  
251 can provide useful quantitative insights into when and whether southern disease spread might  
252 occur, to support decisions on where to focus disease monitoring efforts.

## 253 Statements and Declarations

254 **Funding:** AH, PL and NL were supported by the National Sciences and Engineering Re-  
255 search Council (NSERC) Discovery Grant (AH: 2014-05413; PL: 2014-03793; NL: 2014-  
256 03043). AH and PL were supported by the One Health Modelling Network for Emerging  
257 Infections (OMNI). AH was supported by the Emerging Infectious Disease Modelling Con-  
258 sortium. NL was supported by the Canada Research Chair Program, by the Canadian Inno-  
259 vation Fund, and by the Université de Moncton.

260 **Conflicts of Interests:** The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests  
261 to disclose. The authors have no competing interests to disclose.

262 **Ethics approval:** The study did not require ethics approval.

263 **Availability of data and material:** No data was used for this study.

264 **Code availability:** Simulations were performed in MATLAB R2020b. The computer code  
265 novel and publicly available at <https://figshare.com/s/60caec76973c3da640d0>.

266 **Author Contributions:** MM and EJM wrote the manuscript. MM wrote the code and  
267 completed the analysis, building on earlier code and analysis by EJM. PL, NL and AH  
268 motivated the research question and revised the manuscript. AH, MM, and EJM conceived  
269 of the analysis.

## 270 References

271 Priyanga Amarasekare and Van Savage. A framework for elucidating the temperature de-  
272 pendence of fitness. *The American Naturalist*, 179(2):178–191, 2012.

273 Madeleine G Barton, Susana Clusella-Trullas, and John S Terblanche. Spatial scale, topog-  
274 raphy and thermoregulatory behaviour interact when modelling species’ thermal niches.  
275 *Ecography*, 42(2):376–389, 2019.

276 Celine Bellard, Wilfried Thuiller, Boris Leroy, Piero Genovesi, Michel Bakkenes, and Franck  
277 Courchamp. Will climate change promote future invasions? *Global change biology*, 19(12):  
278 3740–3748, 2013.

279 Henri Berestycki, Odo Diekmann, Cornelis J Nagelkerke, and Paul A Zegeling. Can a species  
280 keep pace with a shifting climate? *Bulletin of Mathematical Biology*, 71(2):399, 2009.

281 John S Brownstein, Theodore R Holford, and Durland Fish. Effect of climate change on lyme  
282 disease risk in north america. *EcoHealth*, 2(1):38–46, 2005.

283 Robert Stephen Cantrell and Chris Cosner. *Spatial ecology via reaction-diffusion equations*.  
284 John Wiley & Sons, 2004.

285 Christopher J Finnegan, Sharon M Brookes, Nicholas Johnson, Jemma Smith, Karen L Mans-  
286 field, Victoria L Keene, Lorraine M McElhinney, and Anthony R Fooks. Rabies in North  
287 America and Europe. *Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine*, 95(1):9–13, 2002.

288 Daniel Gallant, Brian G Slough, Donald G Reid, and Dominique Berteaux. Arctic fox versus  
289 red fox in the warming Arctic: four decades of den surveys in north Yukon. *Polar Biology*,  
290 35(9):1421–1431, 2012.

291 Daniel Gallant, Nicolas Lecomte, and Dominique Berteaux. Disentangling the relative influ-  
292 ences of global drivers of change in biodiversity: A study of the twentieth-century red fox  
293 expansion into the Canadian Arctic. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 89(2):565–576, 2020.


294 Gudmundur Georgsson, Sigurdur Sigurdarson, and Paul Brown. Infectious agent of sheep  
295 scrapie may persist in the environment for at least 16 years. *Journal of General Virology*,  
296 87(12):3737–3740, 2006.

- 297 Simon Hales, Neil De Wet, John Maindonald, and Alistair Woodward. Potential effect of  
298 population and climate changes on global distribution of dengue fever: an empirical model.  
299 *The Lancet*, 360(9336):830–834, 2002.
- 300 Melanie A Harsch, Ying Zhou, Janneke HilleRisLambers, and Mark Kot. Keeping pace with  
301 climate change: stage-structured moving-habitat models. *The American Naturalist*, 184  
302 (1):25–37, 2014.
- 303 M Hoffmann and C Sillero-Zubiri. *Vulpes vulpes* (amended version of 2016 assessment). *The*  
304 *IUCN Red List of Threatened Species*, 2021.
- 305 Amy Hurford, Christina A Cobbold, and Péter K Molnár. Skewed temperature dependence  
306 affects range and abundance in a warming world. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, 286  
307 (1908):20191157, 2019.
- 308 Damien O Joly and Francois Messier. The effect of bovine tuberculosis and brucellosis on  
309 reproduction and survival of wood bison in Wood Buffalo National Park. *Journal of Animal*  
310 *Ecology*, pages 543–551, 2005.
- 311 Klodeta Kura, Doran Khamis, Claire El Mouden, and Michael B Bonsall. Optimal control  
312 for disease vector management in SIT models: an integrodifference equation approach.  
313 *Journal of Mathematical Biology*, 78(6):1821–1839, 2019.
- 314 M-R Leung and M Kot. Models for the spread of white pine blister rust. *Journal of Theoretical*  
315 *Biology*, 382:328–336, 2015.
- 316 WJ Martens, Louis W Niessen, Jan Rotmans, Theo H Jetten, and Anthony J McMichael. Po-  
317 tential impact of global climate change on malaria risk. *Environmental Health Perspectives*,  
318 103(5):458–464, 1995.
- 319 Alessandro Massolo, Stefano Liccioli, Christine Budke, and Claudia Klein. *Echinococcus*  
320 *multilocularis* in North America: the great unknown. *Parasite*, 21, 2014.
- 321 Rosa Menéndez, Adela González Megías, Jane K Hill, Brigitte Braschler, Stephen G Willis,  
322 Yvonne Collingham, Richard Fox, David B Roy, and Chris D Thomas. Species richness  
323 changes lag behind climate change. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*,  
324 273(1593):1465–1470, 2006.
- 325 Michael W Miller, Elizabeth S Williams, N Thompson Hobbs, and Lisa L Wolfe. Environ-  
326 mental sources of prion transmission in mule deer. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, 10(6):  
327 1003, 2004.
- 328 Torill Mørk and Pål Prestrud. Arctic rabies—a review. *Acta Veterinaria Scandinavica*, 45(1):  
329 1–9, 2004.
- 330 John S Nishi, Todd Shury, and Brett T Elkin. Wildlife reservoirs for bovine tuberculosis  
331 (*Mycobacterium bovis*) in Canada: strategies for management and research. *Veterinary*  
332 *Microbiology*, 112(2-4):325–338, 2006.

- 333 Rajendra K Pachauri, Myles R Allen, Vicente R Barros, John Broome, Wolfgang Cramer,  
334 Renate Christ, John A Church, Leon Clarke, Qin Dahe, Purnamita Dasgupta, et al. *Cli-*  
335 *mate change 2014: synthesis report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the*  
336 *fifth assessment report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Ipc, 2014.
- 337 Annie Paradis, Joe Elkinton, Katharine Hayhoe, and John Buonaccorsi. Role of winter  
338 temperature and climate change on the survival and future range expansion of the hemlock  
339 woolly adelgid (*Adelges tsugae*) in eastern North America. *Mitigation and Adaptation*  
340 *Strategies for Global Change*, 13(5):541–554, 2008.
- 341 Jonathan A Patz, Paul R Epstein, Thomas A Burke, and John M Balbus. Global climate  
342 change and emerging infectious diseases. *Jama*, 275(3):217–223, 1996.
- 343 Alex B Potapov and Mark A Lewis. Climate and competition: the effect of moving range  
344 boundaries on habitat invasibility. *Bulletin of Mathematical Biology*, 66(5):975–1008, 2004.
- 345 J Alan Pounds. Climate and amphibian declines. *Nature*, 410(6829):639–640, 2001.
- 346 Bethan V Purse, Philip S Mellor, David J Rogers, Alan R Samuel, Peter PC Mertens, and  
347 Matthew Baylis. Climate change and the recent emergence of bluetongue in europe. *Nature*  
348 *Reviews Microbiology*, 3(2):171–181, 2005.
- 349 GA Savory, CM Hunter, MJ Wooller, and DM O’Brien. Anthropogenic food use and diet  
350 overlap between red foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*) and arctic foxes (*Vulpes lagopus*) in Prudhoe  
351 Bay, Alaska. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, 92(8):657–663, 2014.
- 352 Erica E Short, Cyril Caminade, and Bolaji N Thomas. Climate change contribution to  
353 the emergence or re-emergence of parasitic diseases. *Infectious Diseases: Research and*  
354 *Treatment*, 10:1178633617732296, 2017.
- 355 Audrey Simon, Olivia Tardy, Amy Hurford, Nicolas Lecomte, Denise Bélanger, and Patrick  
356 Leighton. Dynamics and persistence of rabies in the Arctic. *Polar Research*, 2019.
- 357 Christopher Alexander Stephanson and Natalie Ribarik Coe. Impacts of beech bark disease  
358 and climate change on American beech. *Forests*, 8(5):155, 2017.
- 359 Glenn D Sutherland, Alton S Harestad, Karen Price, and Kenneth P Lertzman. Scaling of  
360 natal dispersal distances in terrestrial birds and mammals. *Conservation ecology*, 4(1),  
361 2000.
- 362 H Tabel, AH Corner, WA Webster, and CA Casey. History and epizootiology of rabies in  
363 Canada. *The Canadian Veterinary Journal*, 15(10):271, 1974.
- 364 Matthew V Talluto, Isabelle Boulangeat, Steve Vissault, Wilfried Thuiller, and Dominique  
365 Gravel. Extinction debt and colonization credit delay range shifts of eastern north american  
366 trees. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, 1(7):1–6, 2017.
- 367 Magnus Tannerfeldt, Bodil Elmhagen, and Anders Angerbjörn. Exclusion by interference  
368 competition? The relationship between red and arctic foxes. *Oecologia*, 132(2):213–220,  
369 2002.

- 370 P Veit, B Bilger, V Schad, J Schäfer, W Frank, and R Lucius. Influence of environmental  
371 factors on the infectivity of *Echinococcus multilocularis* eggs. *Parasitology*, 110(1):79–86,  
372 1995.
- 373 Ying Zhou and Mark Kot. Discrete-time growth-dispersal models with shifting species ranges.  
374 *Theoretical Ecology*, 4(1):13–25, 2011.

## Supplementary information



**Figure S.1:** Years of climate warming elapsing before the spread of the disease in a southern population is observed, as a function of (a) the dispersal ability of the northern species ( $D_s$ ), (b) the birth rate of the northern species ( $r_n$ ), (c) the mortality rate of the northern species ( $\mu_n$ ), (d) the mortality rate of the southern species ( $\mu_s$ ), (e) the interspecific transmission rate ( $\beta_{sn}$  and  $\beta_{ns}$ ), (f) the intraspecific transmission rate ( $\beta_{nn}$  or  $\beta_{ss}$ ). Other parameter values are given in Fig. 2.