

Engineering Oleaginous Yeast *Rhodospiridium Toruloides* for Overproduction of Fatty Acid Ethyl Esters

Yang Zhang

Beijing University of Chemical Technology

Jie Peng

Beijing University of Chemical Technology

Huimin Zhao

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign Everett-Dirksen-Adlai Stevenson Institute for International Policy Studies: University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

Shuobo Shi (✉ shishuobo@mail.buct.edu.cn)

Beijing University of Chemical Technology <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0261-3105>

Research

Keywords: Fatty acid ethyl esters, wax ester synthase, *R. toruloides*, enzyme engineering, biodiesel, metabolic engineering

Posted Date: December 21st, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-131804/v1>

License:   This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background

Production of biofuels and green chemicals by microbes is currently of great interest due to the increasingly limited reserves of fossil fuels. Biodiesel, especially fatty acid ethyl esters (FAEEs), is considered as an attractive alternative because of its similarity with petrodiesel and compatibility with existing infrastructures. Cost-efficient bio-production of FAEEs requires a highly lipogenic production host that is suitable for large-scale fermentation. As a non-model oleaginous yeast that can be cultured to an extremely high cell density and accumulate over 70 % biomass as lipids, *Rhodospiridium toruloides* represents an attractive host for FAEEs production.

Results

We first constructed the FAEE biosynthetic pathways in *R. toruloides* by introducing various wax ester synthase genes from different sources, and the bifunctional wax ester synthase /acyl-CoA-diacylglycerol acyltransferase (WS/DGAT) gene from *Acinetobacter baylyi* was successfully expressed, leading to a production of 826 mg/L FAEEs in shake-flask fermentation. We then mutated this bifunctional enzyme to abolish the DGAT activity, and further improved the titer to 1.02 g/L. Finally, by fed-batch fermentation in a 1-L fermenter, the titer of FAEEs reached 9.2 g/L. It is worth mentioning that most of the produced FAEEs were secreted out of the cell, which should greatly reduce the cost of downstream processing.

Conclusion

We achieved the highest FAEEs production in yeast with a final titer of 9.2 g/L and demonstrated that the engineered *R. toruloides* has the potential to serve as a platform strain for efficient production of fatty acid derived molecules.

Background

The global energy demand and environmental concerns have attracted worldwide attention to green and sustainable energy sources [1]. As one of the most promising alternative energy sources, biodiesel has high energy density, and is compatible with current infrastructure [2]. Moreover, it showed several advantages over petrodiesel, such as higher lubricity, and lower tailpipe emissions [3, 4]. Biodiesel consists of long-chain alkyl esters, mainly fatty acid methyl esters (FAMES) and fatty acid ethyl esters (FAEEs) [5]. Currently, commercial strategies for biodiesel production mainly use plant oils or animal fats as feedstock to produce FAMES through chemical transesterification [6, 7]. However, these strategies compete for lands and materials required for food production and also cause environmental problems [8, 9]. Therefore, it is desirable to use renewable plant biomass as a feedstock to produce FAEEs by microbial fermentation [10].

Over the past decades, various microbial hosts such as *Escherichia coli*, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, and *Yarrowia lipolytica* have been engineered to produce FAEEs. In *E. coli*, the production of FAEEs was achieved by heterologously expressing genes encoding the pyruvate decarboxylase (PDC), alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH), and wax ester synthase/acyl-CoA-diacylglycerol acyltransferase (WS/DGAT) gene from *A. baylyi* [5]. The maximum FAEEs titer reached 19 g/L by adding exogenous oleic acids in fed-batch pilot scale fermentation [11]. Röttig and coworkers showed that use of a mutant AbWS from *A. baylyi* (Ile355Gly) led to a higher FAEEs titer than the wild-type AbWS in *E. coli* [12]. In *S. cerevisiae*, Shi and coworkers constructed a similar FAEE biosynthetic pathway and demonstrated the WS from *Marinobacter hydrocarbonsticus* led to the highest FAEEs titer of 6.3 mg/L [13]. Later, Yu and coworkers improved the titer to 0.52 g/L FAEEs by adding exogenous fatty acids [14]. In both *E. coli* and *S. cerevisiae*, the production of FAEEs was regarded to be limited by the supply of lipid precursors, and the addition of exogenous oleic acids can improve the final titer significantly [11, 14], which suggests that use of host strains with robust lipid producing capacity such as oleaginous yeasts may be advantageous. Indeed, Xu and coworkers engineered a representative oleaginous yeast *Y. lipolytica* to produce FAEEs and found that the subcellular localization of WSs was essential for the production of FAEEs in the strain [15]. Cytosolic expression of WS from *A. baylyi* ADP1 only resulted in marginal production at 7.1 mg/L, and when WS was targeted to the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), the FAEEs titer was improved significantly to 136.5 mg/L. In a further study, Gao and coworkers revealed that the expression of WS from *M. hydrocarbonsticus* led to the highest FAEEs titer of 0.4 g/L with additional exogenous ethanol, and through pathway optimization for supplying cytosolic acyl-CoA, the production titer reached 1.18 g/L [16].

In addition to *Y. lipolytica*, *R. toruloides* is another promising oleaginous yeast. Compared with *Y. lipolytica*, *R. toruloides* produces a higher titer of lipids [17], and can naturally utilize a variety of carbon sources derived from plants, including xylose and cellobiose [18], thereby exhibiting a broader industrial application prospect in the production of lipid related products. However, metabolic engineering of *R. toruloides* was hindered by the lack of efficient genetic manipulation tools [17, 19], and only limited metabolic engineering efforts were reported [17, 18]. To date, there is no report using this strain to produce biodiesels using a metabolic engineering approach.

In the present study, we explored the potential of *R. toruloides* to produce FAEEs. Five WSs previously reported in *S. cerevisiae* were codon optimized and introduced to *R. toruloides* [13]. However, only the AbWS from *A. baylyi* was successfully expressed, and the recombinant strain successfully produced FAEEs by adding exogenous ethanol to the culture. Then, the AbWS was engineered to improve the production of FAEEs by abolishing its side activity. Finally, by carrying out fed-batch fermentation in a 1-L fermenter, the production reached a maximum of 9.2 g/L FAEEs (including both extracellular and intracellular titers). To the best of our knowledge, this engineered cell factory possessed the highest FAEEs production levels in a yeast host. Notably, the FAEEs produced by *R. toruloides* were mainly secreted outside the cell, which should greatly reduce the cost in the subsequent downstream processes.

Results

Overexpression of WSs for FAEEs production in *R. toruloides*

WS catalyzes esterification of fatty acyl coenzyme A (fatty acyl-CoA) with alcohols of various chain lengths for synthesizing fatty esters. WSs derived from different sources have a wide range of substrate preferences, leading to different catalytic efficiencies. When it is expressed in a heterologous host, the source of the enzyme is a key factor to affect the catalytic efficiency.

To compare the efficiency of various WSs in *R. toruloides*, five previously reported wax ester synthase genes [13] were selected and codon optimized. They were MhWS from *M. hydrocarbonoclasticus* DSM 8798 (GenBank ID: EF219377.1), AbWS from *A. baylyi* ADP1 (GenBank ID: AF529086), RoWS from *Rhodococcus opacus* PD630 (GenBank ID:GQ923886), MmWS from *Mus musculus* C57BL/6 (GenBank ID: AY611032), and PaWS from *Psychrobacter arcticus* 273-4 (GenBank ID: YP_263530). A Flag tag was added at the start of each gene and subcloned into plasmid pKOCAR2. The resulting plasmids were transformed to *R. toruloides* $\Delta ku70$, yielding the recombinant strains named $\Delta ku70$ -MhWS, $\Delta ku70$ -AbWS, $\Delta ku70$ -RoWS, $\Delta ku70$ -MmWS and $\Delta ku70$ -PaWS (Table 1). The expression of WSs was examined by Western blot method. It was found that only AbWS with a molecular weight of 53.78 kD was successfully expressed (Fig. 1a), with a band around 53 kDa obtained. Therefore, the recombinant $\Delta ku70$ -AbWS was used as the candidate for further research.

Since *R. toruloides* is unable to produce ethanol under lab conditions, we added exogenous ethanol to the fermentation medium for FAEEs production. Extracellular FAEEs were extracted to the dodecane layer and detected by Gas Chromatography–Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) (Fig. 1b). The composition of FAEEs produced by $\Delta ku70$ -AbWS was mainly composed of myristic acid ethyl ester (C14:0), palmitic acid ethyl ester (C16:0), stearic acid ethyl ester (C18:0), oleic acid ethyl ester (C18:1), and linoleic acid ethyl ester (C18:2). The ratio of each component resembled that of total lipid in the same strain (Fig. S1).

Exploration of the optimal exogenous ethanol concentration for FAEEs production

Although ethanol is required for FAEEs production, its toxicity may affect cell growth and lead to a decreased FAEEs yield. Therefore, we sought to determine an optimal ethanol concentration for both minimizing the substrate cost and maximizing the production titer. Ethanol was added to the medium at a final concentration of 1%, 3%, 5%, and 7%, respectively. Figure 2a showed the effect of different ethanol concentrations on cell growth of the recombinant $\Delta ku70$ -AbWS strain in shake-flask fermentation. As expected, ethanol had an inhibitory effect on cell growth. When the ethanol concentration was 1%, the effect on cell growth was inconspicuous. But when the ethanol concentration was above 3%, the effect on cell growth was significant. The addition of ethanol also had a similar effect on the final biomass. Figure 2b showed the effect of different ethanol concentrations on biomass formation and glucose consumption. When the ethanol concentration was above 3%, the final biomass almost decreased by half, and the glucose consumption was significantly reduced.

The extracellular and intracellular FAEEs were extracted by different methods, and the production titers were shown in Fig. 3. When the ethanol concentration was 1% and 3%, the extracellular FAEEs titers were

significantly lower than that of 5% and 7%. A similar trend was also noted for the production of intracellular lipids analyzed by thin layer chromatography (TLC) (Fig. S2). When the ethanol concentration was 1% and 3%, the fatty acid precursor was mainly stored in the triglycerides (TAG) form, and when the ethanol concentration rose to 5% and 7%, most of the fatty acid precursor flowed to the synthesis of FAEEs. Taken together, the maximum FAEEs titer was 826 mg/L, including 625 mg/L extracellular and 201 mg/L intracellular titers, under the 5% exogenous ethanol condition (Fig. 3). When the ethanol concentration was further increased to 7%, the FAEEs titer did not show a further increase. Thus, 5% is considered as the optimal ethanol concentration for FAEEs production. It is worth mentioning that the background strain $\Delta ku70$ also appeared to produce a small amount of extracellular FAEEs after adding exogenous ethanol (Fig. S3). This is possibly due to the existence of native lipases in the strain, which can catalyze the esterification process [20].

Modification of the AbWS enzyme to improve the FAEEs production

As reported previously, a point mutation of AbWS at residue 355 from glycine to isoleucine led to a shifted substrate selectivity toward shorter chain alcohols and an impaired DGAT activity [12]. Guided by this finding, we created the same mutant AbWS* (Fig. 4a) and introduced it to the $\Delta ku70$ strain, yielding a recombinant $\Delta ku70$ -AbWS* strain. The extracellular and intracellular FAEEs were measured respectively, and the production titers were shown in Fig. 4b. Compared with the wild-type AbWS, the mutant showed higher efficiency in producing FAEEs. The maximum FAEEs titer reached 1024 mg/L under the addition of 5% exogenous ethanol, including 810 mg/L extracellular and 214 mg/L intracellular titers. When ethanol concentration varied from 1% to 7%, compared to $\Delta ku70$ -AbWS, the recombinant $\Delta ku70$ -AbWS* strain tended to synthesize more palmitic acid ethyl ester and less linoleic acid ethyl ester (Fig. 3 and Fig. 4b). Figure 4c displayed the proportion of FAEE components under 5% ethanol concentration in both $\Delta ku70$ -AbWS and $\Delta ku70$ -AbWS* strains. This result might indicate a preference of the AbWS* towards palmitoyl-CoA, although it has not been reported in previous studies.

Fed-batch fermentation in a 1-L fermenter for overproduction of FAEEs

To evaluate its performance in a bioreactor, we cultivated the $\Delta ku70$ -AbWS* strain in a 1-L bioreactor under aerobic fed-batch fermentation. The glucose concentration, biomass, and FAEEs concentration were all monitored over time. As shown in Fig. 5, after 48 hours of fermentation, 50 mL of glucose with a concentration of 500 g/L was added to the bioreactor to avoid carbon limitation. The FAEEs concentration continuously increased with fermentation and reached a maximum of 9.2 g/L, including 7.9 g/L extracellular and 1.3 g/L intracellular titers. During the whole fermentation process, a total of 47.5 g glucose was consumed, and 15.9 g biomass was formed.

Discussion

Biodiesel can contribute significantly to the development of sustainable transportation fuels in the future [1], and the development of “third-generation” biodiesels calls for alternative biosources, such as oil-accumulating microalgae, bacteria and yeasts. *R. toruloides*, a non-model oleaginous yeast, is an ideal

organism to produce biodiesel because of its robust ability to accumulate single cell oils (SCOs) and capability to utilize various carbon sources [17]. Previously, this organism was used to produce biodiesel esters consisting of FAMES through a “microbial oil process”, which involves the production of bio-oil by microbial cells and an additional transesterification reaction [21]. Moreover, a whole-cell catalyst technology was reported to convert 73% total lipids into FAEEs in the strain [20]. However, the catalytic mechanism was unclear and it was only hypothesized that the lipases on the membrane of lipid droplets might play an important role in the synthesis of FAEEs. All these reported processes had to lyse the cells for lipids extraction, which increased the production costs.

Recently, the emerging use of WSs highlighted the rational construction of microbial cell factories for directly producing FAEEs by fermentation in various hosts [5, 11–16, 22]. Inspired by these studies, we engineered a recombinant *R. toruloides* strain $\Delta ku70$ -AbWS* capable of directly producing FAEEs by fermentation. Compared with the previous studies [20, 21], which needed to separate the oil-accumulation and the esterification processes, the strategy reported here synchronized such two courses, thus avoiding additional esterification procedure. Moreover, it will be accessible to control the synthesis of FAEEs through metabolic engineering, which is not available in previous reports [20, 21].

Through fed-batch fermentation in a 1-L fermenter, we obtained a maximal FAEEs production of 9.2 g/L. This is to date the highest production titer in any yeast including the commonly used oleaginous yeast *Y. lipolytica* [16]. It is worth mentioning that the FAEEs produced by the engineered strain were mainly secreted outside the cell (7.9 g/L extracellular FAEEs were obtained). This is possibly due to its ability to assimilate hydrophobic carbon sources as substrates using an unknown transport system [17], which can work bidirectionally to secrete the product of FAEEs. For example, the transporter FATP1 can not only assimilate fatty acids, but also work as a fatty acid exporter [23]. The secretion may greatly reduce the production cost by avoiding product extraction as reported in *S. cerevisiae* [13–14, 24]. Therefore, the engineered cell factory serves as a potential platform for the industrial production of FAEEs. In the future, genetic manipulation strategies such as overexpressing genes related to acetyl-CoA accumulation and eliminating competing pathways (peroxisome β -oxidation and TAG biosynthesis) are expected to further improve the production level.

While we have demonstrated that *R. toruloides* has great potential for large-scale production of biodiesels during this study, a major bottleneck to implement an economically feasible process is the additional cost associated with providing exogenous ethanol. Although it was reported that *R. toruloides* contained ethanol synthesis genes encoding PDC and ADH [25], it failed to produce ethanol under current experimental conditions (data not shown). Also there has been no report that *R. toruloides* has the ability to produce ethanol. To avoid the need to feed ethanol, two heterologous genes that encode PDC1 [26] and ADH4 [27] from *S. cerevisiae* were introduced to *R. toruloides* $\Delta ku70$, with an aim to create an aerobic ethanol biosynthetic pathway. Unfortunately, the two corresponding enzymes could not be solubly expressed in the engineered strain based on Western blot (data not shown). In the future, more ethanol biosynthetic pathway genes from different ethanologenic organisms need to be evaluated and introduced to our engineered *R. toruloides* strain to construct an endogenously ethanol-producing pathway. Recently,

this proposed strategy was demonstrated to be feasible in the oleaginous yeast *Y. lipolytica* for the synthesis of FAEEs without the addition of ethanol, but the titer of produced FAEEs was quite low (0.3 mg/L) due to the limited supply of ethanol [28]. Thus, further efforts to increase the production of ethanol is required. If successful, it will greatly promote the industrial development of biodiesel synthesis in the oleaginous yeasts.

Conclusions

In this study, *R. toruloides* was engineered to produce FAEEs by fermentation for the first time by heterologously expressing the WS from *A. baylyi* ADP1. The optimal ethanol concentration for FAEEs production was proved to be 5%, which led to a maximum FAEEs titer of 0.82 g/L. To further improve the FAEEs production, the AbWS enzyme was modified by site-directed mutagenesis to change its substrate preferences, which significantly increased the production titer to 1.02 g/L. Finally, by carrying out fed-batch fermentation in a 1-L fermenter, the engineered strain was able to produce FAEEs up to a titer of 9.2 g/L. This is to date the highest FAEEs production level in a yeast host. Furthermore, the FAEEs produced by the strain were mainly secreted outside the cell, which can greatly save the costs for FAEE extraction. Overall, *R. toruloides* has the potential to become an excellent platform organism for industrial production of biodiesels and other fatty acid derived green fuels or chemicals.

Methods

Strains and media

The parent strain in this study was *R. toruloides* $\Delta ku70$, a derivative of *R. toruloides* IFO0880 with a deletion in the non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) gene, *ku70* [29]. *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* AGL-1 strain was used for transformation experiments [18, 30]. The *E. coli* DH5 α was used for plasmid construction.

R. toruloides strains were routinely grown in liquid or solid Yeast Extract–Peptone–Dextrose (YPD) medium (10 g/L of yeast extract, 20 g/L of peptone, 20 g/L glucose) at 30 °C for strain construction and activation, and in fermentation medium (glucose 60.0 g/L, peptone 15.7 g/L, yeast extract 15.7 g/L) for both shake-flask batch fermentation and bioreactor fed-batch fermentation. *E. coli* strains were routine grown in Luria-Bertani broth (LB) medium at 37 °C. *A. tumefaciens* was grown at 28 °C in 2YT medium (16 g/L tryptone, 10 g/L yeast extract, 5 g/L NaCl). Kanamycin, ampicillin, hygromycin, cefotaxime and rifampicin were supplemented to the medium to a final concentration of 50 $\mu\text{g/mL}$, 100 $\mu\text{g/mL}$, 100 $\mu\text{g/mL}$, 300 $\mu\text{g/mL}$, and 25 $\mu\text{g/mL}$, respectively. Strain information used in this study are listed in Table 1.

Table 1
Strains and Plasmids used in this study

Strains or plasmids	Relevant characteristics	Source or Reference
Strains		
$\Delta ku70$	<i>R. toruloides</i> IFO0880 deficient in <i>ku70</i>	[31]
$\Delta ku70$ - <i>AbWS</i>	$\Delta ku70$ strain harboring the <i>AbWS</i> cassette	This study
$\Delta ku70$ - <i>AbWS*</i>	$\Delta ku70$ strain harboring the <i>AbWS*</i> cassette	This study
$\Delta ku70$ - <i>MmWS</i>	$\Delta ku70$ strain harboring the <i>MmWS</i> cassette	This study
$\Delta ku70$ - <i>MhWS</i>	$\Delta ku70$ strain harboring the <i>MhWS</i> cassette	This study
$\Delta ku70$ - <i>PaWS</i>	$\Delta ku70$ strain harboring the <i>PaWS</i> cassette	This study
$\Delta ku70$ - <i>RoWS</i>	$\Delta ku70$ strain harboring the <i>RoWS</i> cassette	This study
AGL-1	<i>A. tumefaciens</i> strain AGL0 <i>recA::bla pTiBo542</i> Δ T Mop ⁺ CbR	[16]
DH5 α	<i>E. coli</i> (<i>supE44 lacU169 hsdR17 recA1 endA1 gyrA96 thi-I relA1</i>)	Laboratory storage
Plasmids		
pKOCAR2	Harboring the hygromycin selection cassette for target gene incorporation at the specific site in <i>CAR2</i> gene	[29]
p101	Harboring the <i>MhWS</i> cassette	This study
p102	Harboring the <i>AbWS</i> cassette	This study
p103	Harboring the <i>RoWS</i> cassette	This study
p104	Harboring the <i>MmWS</i> cassette	This study
p105	Harboring the <i>PaWS</i> cassette	This study
p1022	Harboring the <i>AbWS*</i> cassette	This study

Plasmid Construction And Yeast Transformation

Standard genetic manipulations were performed as previously described [32]. pKOCAR2 plasmid was used as the gene expression vector for yeast transformation [29]. The WS genes were codon optimized and synthesized by Nanjing GeneScript Biotech Co., Ltd (Nanjing, China). They were amplified and ligated

to the pKOCAR2 plasmid using the NEBuilder HiFi Assembly kit (New England Biolabs, USA), yielding plasmids p101, p102, p103, p104, and p105, respectively. The optimized gene sequences and primers used in this study were listed in Tables S1 and S2, respectively.

For enzyme engineering of AbWS, overlap-extension PCR was used for site-directed mutagenesis [33]. Two pairs of primer were designed to amplify the upstream and downstream DNA fragments, respectively. The modified nucleic base was contained in the primers. The mutated *AbWS* gene was ligated to the pKOCAR2 plasmid, yielding recombinant plasmid p1022. All plasmids used in this study were listed in Table 1.

The recombinant plasmids were transformed into *R. toruloides* by ATMT as described previously [34]. The pKOCAR2-derived plasmids were firstly electroporated into *A. tumefaciens* AGL-1. Then the *A. tumefaciens* strain harboring the corresponding plasmid was co-cultured with the *R. toruloides* $\Delta ku70$, and the mixture was spread on YPD solid plate supplemented with hygromycin and cefotaxime for colony screening. Colonies appeared after 2 days.

Fermentation Conditions

Shake-flask batch fermentation was conducted in a 50-ml Erlenmeyer flask containing 10 ml of fermentation medium. The culture was inoculated with 10% seed culture, and performed in an orbital shaker at a rotary rate of 250 rpm at 30 °C. After culturing for 24 h, exogenous ethanol was added to the culture to a final concentration of 1%, 3%, 5%, 7%, respectively. To facilitate the extraction process, 10% dodecane was added to the culture as reported previously [16]. Heptadecanoic acid ethyl ester was used as the internal standard.

Fed-batch fermentation was conducted in a 1-liter stirred bioreactor (Eppendorf, Germany). Culture pH was monitored in real-time by a pH meter (Mettler-Toledo, Switzerland), and dissolved oxygen was monitored in real-time by an oxygen probe (Mettler-Toledo, Switzerland). The initial volume was 500 mL. The cultivation conditions were the same with what was previously described [35]. The glucose and ethanol concentrations were determined by High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC). The glucose concentration was kept above 10 g/L by feeding 50 mL glucose with a concentration of 500 g/L. 50 mL 50% ethanol was pumped to the fermenter at a flow rate of 1 mL/min to a final concentration of 5% after inoculation of 24 hours. 50 mL dodecane together with ethyl heptadecanoate (C17:0) internal standard were injected to the fermenter through a syringe.

Analytical Methods

The growth curves of the *R. toruloides* strains were determined by measuring the cell density at 600 nm using a Genesys 20 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fischer Scientific Inc., USA). The dry cell weight (DCW) was determined by the previously described method [5]. The concentrations of glucose and ethanol were

monitored by a LC-20A HPLC instrument (Shimadzu Inc., Japan) equipped with a RID detector as previously reported [16].

Total Lipids And Faees Extraction And Analysis

For extracellular FAEEs, the concentration was determined by directly injecting the dodecane layer to a SHIMADZU Japan GCMS-QP2010 PLUS mass spectrometer coupled with a SHIMADZU gas chromatograph (Shimadzu Inc., Japan). The heptadecanoic acid ethyl ester was added to the medium as the internal standard. The FAEEs dissolved in the dodecane layer were separated using a SHIMADZU 5MS capillary column (30 m × 0.25 mm I. D., 0.25 µm film thickness) as previously described [36].

For intracellular FAEEs, the concentration was measured by the following procedure. First, the total lipids were extracted. Cells were harvested and washed twice with double-distilled water (ddH₂O), and then freeze-dried until the samples were dry. Lipids were extracted from the lyophilized cells as previously reported [37]. Heptadecanoic acid ethyl ester was added as an internal standard. Second, lipids were separated and fractionated. FAEE components in the total lipid extracts were separated by thin layer chromatography using TLC Silica gel 60 F254 plates as previously reported [13] (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). The FAEE components were then scraped from the TLC plate and extracted by an organic solvent containing 3 mL hexane, 2 mL methanol and 2 mL ddH₂O and vigorously vortexed for 1 h at room temperature. After centrifuging at 3000 rpm for 5 min, the organic phase was transferred to a new glass tube and dried under nitrogen. The residues were dissolved in 200 µL methanol: chloroform (95: 5) for GC-MS analysis.

To determine the fatty acid composition, total lipids were transmethylated and analyzed according to a previously reported method [38].

Western Blot

Western blot

The cells were sampled in the exponential growth period, and homogenized using a FastPrep instrument (MP biomedical, Solon, OH, USA) for 6 cycles at a speed of 6.0 m/s for 30 s each, with 5 minutes of interval. The suspensions were centrifuged at 15, 000 g for 30 min, and the supernatants were collected [31]. Then the total proteins were detected by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) with 12% polyacrylamide gels, which were then immediately transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane (BIO-RAD, USA). A Flag tag was added in the 5' end of the *AbWS* gene. A monoclonal anti-FLAG M2 antibody was used for Western blot analysis as previously described [39], and the membrane was covered with electrochemiluminescence (ECL) plus solution for color reaction. Finally, the membrane was exposed to the Gel Imager System (Azure, USA) by the chemiluminescence mode.

Abbreviations

GC-MS: Gas Chromatography–Mass Spectrometry; TAG: triglycerides; HPLC: High-Performance Liquid Chromatography; LB: Luria-Bertani; ATMT: *A. tumefaciens* mediated transformation; DCW: dry cell weight; TLC: thin layer chromatography; PVDF: polyvinylidene difluoride.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

All authors agree to submit the work to the journal.

Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article and its additional files.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interest.

Funding

This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (21878013 and 2191101491), National Key Research and Development Program of China (2018YFA0900100), the Foundation of Key Laboratory of Biomass Chemical Engineering of Ministry of Education, Zhejiang University (No. 2018BCE004), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, and Beijing Advanced Innovation Center for Soft Matter Science and Engineering.

Authors' contributions

SBS, HZ, and YZ designed the experiments. YZ and JP performed the experimental work. YZ analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript. HZ and SBS revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Prof. Zongbao K Zhao in the Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics (Dalian, China) and Prof. Lianghui Ji in the Temasek Life Sciences Laboratory (Singapore) for providing the strains used in this study.

Author details

¹Beijing Advanced Innovation Center for Soft Matter Science and Engineering, College of Life Science and Technology, Beijing University of Chemical Technology, Beijing 100029, China. ²Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL 61801, USA

References

1. Ma F, Hanna M. Biodiesel production: a review. *Bioresour Technol.* 1999;70(1):1–15.
2. Hill J, Nelson E, Tilman D, Polasky S, Tiffany D. Environmental, economic, and energetic costs and benefits of biodiesel and ethanol biofuels. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2006;103(30):11206–10.
3. Zheng M, Mulenga MC, Reader GT, Wang MP, Ting DSK, Tjong J. Biodiesel engine performance and emissions in low temperature combustion. *Fuel.* 2008;87(6):714–22.
4. Knothe G, Steidley KR. Lubricity of components of biodiesel and petrodiesel. The origin of biodiesel lubricity. *Energy Fuels.* 2005;19(3):1192–200.
5. Kalscheuer R, Stolting T, Steinbuchel A. Microdiesel. *Escherichia coli* engineered for fuel production. *Microbiol.* 2006;152(9):2529–36.
6. Bhuiya M, Rasul M, Khan M, Ashwath N, Azad A. Prospects of 2nd generation biodiesel as a sustainable fuel—Part: 1 selection of feedstocks, oil extraction techniques and conversion technologies. *Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev.* 2016:1109–1128.
7. Fukuda H, Kondo A, Noda H. Biodiesel fuel production by transesterification of oils. *J Biosci Bioeng.* 2001;92(5):405–16.
8. Santori G, Nicola G, Moglie M, Polonara F. A review analyzing the industrial biodiesel production practice starting from vegetable oil refining. *Appl Energy.* 2012;92(4):109–32.
9. Hill J, Nelson E, Tilman D, Polasky S, Tiffany D. Environmental, economic, and energetic costs and benefits of biodiesel and ethanol biofuels. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2006;103(30):11206–10.
10. Du J, Shao ZY, Zhao HM. Engineering microbial factories for synthesis of value-added products. *J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol.* 2011;38(8):873–90.
11. Elbahloul Y, Steinbuchel A. Pilot-scale production of fatty acid ethyl esters by an engineered *Escherichia coli* strain harboring the p(Microdiesel) plasmid. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2010;76(13):4560–5.
12. Rottig A, Zurek P, Steinbuchel A. Assessment of bacterial acyltransferases for an efficient lipid production in metabolically engineered strains of *E. coli*. *Metab Eng.* 2015;32:195–206.
13. Shi S, Vallerodriguez J, Khoomrung S, Siewers V, Nielsen J. Functional expression and characterization of five wax ester synthases in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and their utility for biodiesel production. *Biotechnol Biofuels.* 2012;5(1):7–7.
14. Yu K, Jung J, Kim S, Park C, Han S. Synthesis of FAEEs from glycerol in engineered *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* using endogenously produced ethanol by heterologous expression of an unspecific bacterial acyltransferase. *Biotechnol Bioeng.* 2012;109(1):110–5.

15. Xu P, Qiao K, Ahn WS, Stephanopoulos G. Engineering *Yarrowia lipolytica* as a platform for synthesis of drop-in transportation fuels and oleochemicals. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2016;113(39):10848–53.
16. Gao Q, Cao X, Huang Y, Yang J, Chen J, Wei L, Hua Q. Overproduction of fatty acid ethyl esters by the oleaginous yeast *Yarrowia lipolytica* through metabolic engineering and process optimization. *ACS Synth Biol*. 2018;7(5):1371–80.
17. Park Y, Nicaud J, Ledesmaamaro R. The engineering potential of *Rhodospiridium toruloides* as a workhorse for biotechnological applications. *Trends Biotechnol*. 2017;36(3):304–17.
18. Zhang S, Skerker J, Rutter C, Maurer M, Arkin A, Rao C. Engineering *Rhodospiridium toruloides* for increased lipid production. *Biotechnol Bioeng*. 2016;113(5):1056–66.
19. Fatma Z, Schultz JC, Zhao HM. Recent advances in domesticating non-model microorganisms. *Biotechnol Prog*. 2020; 36(5).
20. Jin G, Zhang Y, Shen H, Yang X, Xie H, Zhao ZK. Fatty acid ethyl esters production in aqueous phase by the oleaginous yeast *Rhodospiridium toruloides*. *Bioresour Technol*. 2013;150(Complete):266–70.
21. Soccol C, Neto C, Soccol V, Sydney E, Vandenberghe L. Pilot scale biodiesel production from microbial oil of *Rhodospiridium toruloides* DEBB 5533 using sugarcane juice: Performance in diesel engine and preliminary economic study. *Bioresour Technol*. 2017;223:259–68.
22. Marella ER, Holkenbrink C, Siewers V, Borodina I. Engineering microbial fatty acid metabolism for biofuels and biochemicals. *Curr Opin Biotechnol*. 2018;50:39–46.
23. Hu Y, Zhu Z, Nielsen J, Siewers V. Heterologous transporter expression for improved fatty alcohol secretion in yeast. *Metab Eng*. 2017:S1096717617302951.
24. Vallerodriguez J, Shi S, Siewers V, Nielsen J. Metabolic engineering of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* for production of fatty acid ethyl esters, an advanced biofuel, by eliminating non-essential fatty acid utilization pathways. *Appl Energy*. 2014;115(4):226–32.
25. Zhu Z, Zhang S, Liu H, Shen H, Lin X, Yang F, Zhou Y, Jin G, Ye M, Zou H. A multi-omic map of the lipid-producing yeast *Rhodospiridium toruloides*. *Nat Commun*. 2012;3(1):1112–2.
26. Lee J, Chi W, Hong S, Yang J, Chang Y. Bioethanol production by heterologous expression of Pdc and AdhII in *Streptomyces lividans*. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol*. 2013;97(13):6089–97.
27. Drewke C, Ciriacy M. Overexpression, purification and properties of alcohol dehydrogenase IV from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Gene Struct Expression*. 1988;950(1):54–60.
28. Yu A, Zhao Y, Li J, Li S, Xiao D. Sustainable production of FAEE biodiesel using the oleaginous yeast *Yarrowia lipolytica*. *MicrobiologyOpen*. 2020; 9(2).
29. Koh C, Liu Y, Moehninsi, Du M, Ji L. Molecular characterization of KU70 and KU80 homologues and exploitation of a KU70-deficient mutant for improving gene deletion frequency in *Rhodospiridium toruloides*. *BMC Microbiol*. 2014;14(1):50–0.
30. Zhu Z, Ding Y, Gong Z, Yang L, Zhang S, Zhang C, Lin X, Shen H, Zou H, Xie Z, et al. Dynamics of the lipid droplet proteome of the oleaginous yeast *Rhodospiridium toruloides*. *Eukaryotic Cell*.

- 2015;14(3):252–64.
31. Liu Y, Koh C, Ngoh S, Ji L. Engineering an efficient and tight d-amino acid-inducible gene expression system in *Rhodospiridium/ Rhodotorula* species. *Microb Cell Fact*. 2015;14(1):170–0.
 32. Wood E. *Molecular Cloning. A Laboratory Manual*. Biochem Educ. 1983;11(2):82.
 33. Xiao YH, Yin MH, Hou L, Luo M, Pei Y. Asymmetric overlap extension PCR method bypassing intermediate purification and the amplification of wild-type template in site-directed mutagenesis. *Biotechnology Letters*. 2007;29(6):925–30.
 34. Lin X, Wang Y, Zhang S, Zhu Z, Zhou Y, Yang F, Sun W, Wang X, Zhao Z. Functional integration of multiple genes into the genome of the oleaginous yeast *Rhodospiridium toruloides*. *Fems Yeast Res*. 2014;14(4):547–55.
 35. Li Y, Zhao Z, Bai F. High-density cultivation of oleaginous yeast *Rhodospiridium toruloides* Y4 in fed-batch culture. *Enzyme Microb Technol*. 2007;41(3):312–7.
 36. Holtzapple E, Schmidtdannert C. Biosynthesis of Isoprenoid Wax Ester in *Marinobacter hydrocarbonoclasticus* DSM 8798: Identification and Characterization of Isoprenoid Coenzyme A Synthetase and Wax Ester Synthases. *J Bacteriol*. 2007;189(10):3804–12.
 37. Gu Z, Valianpour F, Chen S, Vaz F, Hakkaart G, Wanders R, Greenberg M. Aberrant cardiolipin metabolism in the yeast taz1 mutant: a model for Barth syndrome. *Mol Microbiol*. 2003;51(1):149–58.
 38. Ferreira R, Teixeira P, Siewers V, Nielsen J. Redirection of lipid flux toward phospholipids in yeast increases fatty acid turnover and secretion. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2018;115(6):1262–7.
 39. Kaishima M, Ishii J, Matsuno T, Fukuda N, Kondo A. Expression of varied GFPs in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: codon optimization yields stronger than expected expression and fluorescence intensity. *Sci Rep*. 2016;6(1):35932.

Figures





Figure 1

Overexpression of wax synthase genes from different sources in *R. toruloides*. a, Detection of protein expression by Western blot. “WT”, “AbWS”, “MmWS”, “RoWS”, “PaWS” and “MhWS” represent the protein samples extracted from *R. toruloides* $\Delta ku70$, $\Delta ku70$ - AbWS, $\Delta ku70$ - MmWS, $\Delta ku70$ - RoWS, $\Delta ku70$ - PaWS and $\Delta ku70$ - MhWS, respectively. b, GC-MS analysis of the FAEEs produced in the recombinant $\Delta ku70$ -AbWS strain (the blue line) and the parental strain *R. toruloides* $\Delta ku70$ (the red line). The components of C14:0, C16:0, C18:0, C18:1 and C18:2 stand for myristic acid ethyl ester, palmitic acid ethyl ester, stearic acid ethyl ester, oleic acid ethyl ester, and linoleic acid ethyl ester, respectively.




Figure 2

The effect of ethanol on cell growth of the recombinant strain $\Delta ku70$ -AbWS. a, Growth curves of $\Delta ku70$ -AbWS under different ethanol concentrations. b, Effects of different ethanol concentrations on the final biomass formation and the glucose consumption.




Figure 3

FAEE production by the $\Delta ku70$ -AbWS strain with the addition of exogenous ethanol. The left panel shows extracellular FAEEs titers and the right panel shows intracellular FAEEs titers. C14:0, C16:0, C18:0, C18:1

and C18:2 stand for myristic acid ethyl ester, palmitic acid ethyl ester, stearic acid ethyl ester, oleic acid ethyl ester and linoleic acid ethyl ester, respectively.




Figure 4

Modification of the AbWS enzyme for improving the FFAEs production. a, Point mutation of AbWS by substituting the glycine to isoleucine at position 355. b, FFAEs yield of the $\Delta ku70$ -AbWS* strain under various ethanol concentrations. c, FFAE profiles in $\Delta ku70$ -AbWS and $\Delta ku70$ -AbWS* strains under 5%

ethanol condition. C14:0, C16:0, C18:0, C18:1 and C18:2 stand for myristic acid ethyl ester, palmitic acid ethyl ester, stearic acid ethyl ester, oleic acid ethyl ester and linoleic acid ethyl ester, respectively.




Figure 5

FAEEs production by $\Delta ku70$ -AbWS* during fed-batch fermentation. Δ , glucose concentration; \square , biomass; \square , total FAEE concentration including both extracellular and intracellular concentrations.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [supplement.docx](#)