

Gravidity, Parity and Knee Breadth at Midlife – a Population-based Cohort Study

Juho-Antti Junno (✉ juho-antti.junno@oulu.fi)

Oulu University Hospital and University of Oulu

Asla Keisu

Oulu University Hospital and University of Oulu

Maarit Niinimäki

Oulu University Hospital and University of Oulu

Jaakko Niinimäki

Oulu University Hospital and University of Oulu

Petri Lehenkari

Oulu University Hospital and University of Oulu

Petteri Oura

University of Helsinki

Research Article

Keywords: Epidemiology, birth cohort study, knee breadth, tibial plateau, parity, gravidity, pregnancy, women

Posted Date: March 2nd, 2022

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1335380/v1>

License:  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Introduction: Gestation increases the biomechanical loading of lower extremities. Gestational loading may influence anthropometrics of articular surfaces in similar means as bone diaphyseal properties. This study aimed to investigate whether gravidity (i.e. number of pregnancies) and parity (i.e. number of deliveries) is associated with knee breadth among middle-aged women.

Methods: The study sample comprised 815 women from the Northern Finland Birth Cohort 1966. At the age of 46, questionnaires were used to enquire gravidity and parity, and knee radiographs were used to obtain two knee breadth parameters (tibial plateau mediolateral breadth (TPML) and femoral condylar mediolateral breadth (FCML)) as representatives of articular size. The associations of gravidity and parity with knee breadth were analyzed using general linear models with adjustments for height, weight, leisure-time physical activity, smoking, and education years.

Results: In the fully adjusted models, gravidity and parity showed positive associations with knee breadth. Each pregnancy was associated with 0.11–0.14% or 0.08–0.10 mm larger knee breath ($p \leq 0.045$), and each delivery accounted for an increase of 0.20% or 0.14 mm in knee breadth ($p \leq 0.008$). Between-group comparisons showed that multiparous women had 0.68–1.01% or 0.49–0.71 mm larger knee breath than nulli- and primiparous women ($p \leq 0.021$).

Conclusions: Pregnancies and deliveries seem to increase the mediolateral breadth of the knee. This increase is potentially associated with increased biomechanical loadings during gestation.

Introduction

Gestation is associated with a physiological increase in body weight, changes in hormone status, and gait alterations (Foti et al. 2003, McCrory 2014, Abrams et al. 1994, Salari et al. 2014). Voerman and colleagues (2019) reported that the median gestational weight gain in their study population was 14.0 kg. Gestation and lactation periods as well as first postnatal years in general thus significantly increase the biomechanical loading on the weight-bearing articular surfaces of a female. Biomechanical load combined with hormonal changes and gait alterations potentially stimulate the knee joint to alter its shape, size, and other properties.

Studies on the skeletal effects of gravidity and parity have mostly addressed bone mineral density (BMD) at various skeletal sites, but have not been able to demonstrate long-term effects on maternal BMD (e.g. Drinkwater & Chesnut 1991, Møller et al. 2012). However, gestation and lactation periods are found to be associated with short-term changes in bone mass (Ward et al. 2005). These changes are often generated as the combined effect of biomechanical and hormonal alterations in the female body.

Generally, biomechanical loading has an effect on bone strength by influencing bone size and BMD (e.g. Havill et al. 2007). The importance of biomechanical loading for skeletal health is widely recognized. In light of the connection between loading, activity and bone geometry, weight-bearing joints could be

assumed to be adaptable in a similar way to long bone shafts. However, factors that are associated with articular surface size are yet to be completely understood. In fact, articular surface size is commonly understood to remain relatively stable throughout adulthood (e.g. Ruff et al 1991). Major lifetime factors such as physical activity level seem to have minimal effect on joint size (Lieberman et al. 2001, Trinkaus et al 1994).

In the present study, we aimed to investigate whether gravidity (i.e. number of pregnancies) and parity (i.e. number of deliveries) were associated with knee size among middle-aged women. The material of the study was constituted by a large sample of Northern Finnish women at the age of 46 years. We hypothesized that gestational loading would potentially influence anthropometrics of articular surfaces by increasing the knee breadth. We believed that this specific sample could reveal the potential effects of gestation and lactation periods as it included a relatively high number of multiparous and grand multiparous women.

Materials And Methods

Study population

This study utilized a subsample of prospective, population-based Northern Finland Birth Cohort 1966 (NFBC1966) (University of Oulu, 1966; Nordström et al. 2021). Initially, NFBC1966 comprised pregnant women living in Northern Finland (i.e. the provinces of Oulu and Lapland) whose expected delivery dates fell between Jan 1 and Dec 31, 1966. The cohort included 12,068 mothers and 12,231 children, with a coverage of 96% of all births during 1966 in Northern Finland. Prospective data collection began in the 16th gestational week, and the NFBC1966 participants have been followed ever since. Broad questionnaires and clinical examinations have been used to gather information on the participants' health status and lifestyle habits.

At the age of 46, a total of 5,861 NFBC1966 participants responded to postal questionnaires and attended clinical examinations. In addition, 1946 individuals underwent radiography of the knee joint. A total of 1131 individuals were excluded due to 1) male sex, 2) missing reproductive or confounder data, 3) previous knee surgery, 4) bone pathologies in the radiographs (mostly osteoarthritic changes), or 5) technically inadequate radiographs. Thus, the final sample of this study comprised 815 women.

Knee measurements

Knee breadth measurements were taken from digital radiographs of the right knee joint by an author of the study (A.K.). A detailed description of the procedure has been given in a previous publication (Keisu et al 2019). Radiographs were accessed and measured using neaView Radiology software version 2.31 (Neagen Oy, Oulu, Finland). Posteroanterior radiographs were utilized, with individuals positioned in fixed flexion view (Kan et al., 2017; Niinimäki et al. 2010).

The following measurements were taken from each radiograph: 1) mediolateral breadth of the articular surface of the femoral condyles (FCML), and 2) mediolateral breadth of the articular surface of the tibial

plateau (TPML). FCML was measured by drawing a line tangential to the inferiormost points of the femoral condyles; this line was transposed to the widest part between the femoral condyles. TPML was measured as close to the border of the tibial plateau as possible. Measurements were recorded to the nearest 0.1 mm. The initial measurements were converted into true sizes with the help of a metal calibration disc of 30 mm in diameter attached on the participant's right leg. The technical error of measurement (TEM) and relative technical error of measurement (rTEM) were reported by Keisu and colleagues (2019), and the repeatability was high for all the measurements (TEM 0.1–0.5 mm, rTEM 0.1–0.6%).

Reproductive history

In the 46-year follow-up questionnaire, women were asked about the number of deliveries, ectopic pregnancies, miscarriages and abortions they had undergone during their lifetime. As described in a previous publication (Oura et al 2018), gravidity was calculated as the overall number of pregnancies, and parity as the number of deliveries of each woman. Women with no deliveries were classed as 'nulliparous', those with one delivery as 'primiparous', and those with a history of several deliveries were classed as 'multiparous'. Those with ≥ 5 deliveries were classed as 'grand multiparous'.

Confounders

In the clinical examination at the age of 46, a study nurse systematically measured the height and weight of each individual. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight divided by height squared.

Education, smoking history and leisure-time physical activity were elicited in the 46-year follow-up questionnaire. Education years, a proxy for socioeconomic status, was determined by asking: 'What is your basic education? 1) Less than nine years of elementary school, 2) elementary school, 3) matriculation examination'; and 'What is your vocational education? 1) None, 2) occupational course, 3) vocational school, 4) vocational college, 5) polytechnic, 6) university, 7) other, 8) unfinished course'. The responses were classed according to the Finnish education system as follows: < 9 years, 9–12 years, or > 12 years.

Smoking history was elicited using two questions: 1) 'Have you ever smoked cigarettes (yes/no)?' and 2) 'Do you currently smoke (yes/no)?'. Individuals were classed as non-smokers, former smokers, or current smokers.

Leisure-time physical activity was elicited by asking: 'How often do you participate in brisk physical activity/exercise [defined as causing at least some sweating and breathlessness] during your leisure time? 1) Daily, 2) 4–6 times a week, 3) 2–3 times a week, 4) Once a week, 5) 2–3 times a month, 6) Once a month or less often.' The responses were regrouped as follows: < 1 times/week, 1–3 times/week, or ≥ 4 times/week.

Statistical analysis

SPSS software (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA) version 27, 64-bit edition was used for the statistical analyses. P values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Means with standard deviations (SDs), medians with interquartile ranges (IQRs) and percentages with frequencies were used as descriptive statistics. Characteristics of the sample were presented before and after stratification by parity.

The associations of gravidity and parity with knee breadth (i.e. FCML and TPML in mm) were analyzed using general linear models. Beta coefficients, 95% confidence intervals (CIs) and P values were documented from the output. Models were first constructed without adjustments (unadjusted models), and then confounder variables were added (adjusted models). Gravidity and parity were modelled as continuous variables (where beta coefficients are interpreted relative to one pregnancy/delivery), and by comparing groups with each other (e.g. multiparous vs. others, where beta coefficients represent mean difference between groups).

Ethical considerations

The study adhered to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki, with voluntary participation and signed informed consent. Sensitive details were replaced by anonymous identification codes. The Ethics Committee of the Northern Ostrobothnia Hospital District approved the study.

Results

The sample consisted of 815 women whose characteristics are presented in Table 1. Most women were never-smokers, physically active several times per week, and had attended school 9–12 years. As regards reproductive history, most women had delivered at least twice, and the sample included 47 women who had delivered ≥ 5 times.

Table 1
Main characteristics of the study population.

	All women		Stratified by parity							
	(n = 815)		Nulliparous (n = 115)		Primiparous (n = 119)		Multiparous (n = 581)		Grand multiparous (n = 47)	
Anthropometry										
Height ¹ (cm)	164.8	5.7	165.9	6.1	165.1	6.1	164.5	5.5	165.0	5.0
Weight ¹ (kg)	69.7	12.4	69.7	14.0	69.8	11.6	69.6	12.2	71.5	12.1
Body mass index ¹ (kg/m ²)	25.7	4.4	25.4	5.1	25.6	4.2	25.7	4.4	26.4	5.0
Leisure-time physical activity										
< 1 time/week ²	24.2	197	20.0	23	26.1	31	24.6	143	21.3	10
1–3 times/week ²	59.0	481	56.5	65	57.1	68	59.9	348	66.0	31
≥ 4 times/week ²	16.8	137	23.5	27	16.8	20	15.5	90	12.8	6
Smoking history										
Never-smoker ²	59.1	482	60.9	70	47.9	57	61.1	355	74.5	35
Former smoker ²	24.2	197	17.4	20	30.3	36	24.3	141	14.9	7
Current smoker ²	16.7	136	21.7	25	21.8	26	14.6	85	10.6	5
Educational history										
< 9 years ²	2.7	22	1.7	2	2.5	3	2.9	17	8.5	4
9–12 years ²	69.1	563	66.1	76	75.6	90	68.3	397	66.0	31
> 12 years ²	28.2	230	32.2	37	21.8	26	28.7	167	25.5	12
Knee breadth										

¹Values are means with standard deviations.

²Values are percentages with frequencies.

³Values are medians with interquartile ranges.

	All women (n = 815)	Stratified by parity								
		Nulliparous (n = 115)		Primiparous (n = 119)		Multiparous (n = 581)			Grand multiparous (n = 47)	
Femoral condylar mediolateral breadth ¹ (mm)	71.6	3.3	71.7	3.4	71.4	3.7	71.7	3.3	72.2	3.1
Tibial plateau mediolateral breadth ¹ (mm)	70.3	3.1	70.2	3.3	69.8	3.5	70.4	3.0	70.8	2.6
Reproductive history										
Gravidity count ³	3	2–4	0	0–1	1	1–2	3	2–4	9	6–11
Parity count ³	2	1–3	0	0–0	1	1–1	2	2–3	7	5–9
Nulliparous ²	14.1	115	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Primiparous ²	14.6	119	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Multiparous ²	71.3	581	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grand multiparous ²	5.8	47	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

¹Values are means with standard deviations.

²Values are percentages with frequencies.

³Values are medians with interquartile ranges.

The associations of gravidity and parity with knee breadth are shown in Table 2. The unadjusted analyses did not show significant associations between gravidity, parity and knee breadth. However, after incorporating adjustments in the models, gravidity and parity showed positive associations with knee breadth. When modelled linearly, each pregnancy was associated with 0.11–0.14% or 0.08–0.10 mm larger knee breath ($p \leq 0.045$), and each delivery accounted for an increase of 0.20% or 0.14 mm in knee breadth ($p \leq 0.008$). Between-group comparisons showed that multiparous women had 0.68–1.01% or 0.49–0.71 mm larger knee breath than nulli- and primiparous women ($p \leq 0.021$).

Table 2
Association between gravidity, parity and knee breadth dimensions among the study population. Beta coefficients from general linear models.

Predictor	Outcome: FCML (in mm)				Outcome: TPML (in mm)			
	Unadjusted beta (95% CI)	P	Adjusted beta ¹ (95% CI)	P	Unadjusted beta (95% CI)	P	Adjusted beta ¹ (95% CI)	P
Gravidity count ²	0.05 (-0.06; 0.15)	0.374	0.08 (0.01; 0.17)	0.045	0.06 (-0.03; 0.16)	0.199	0.10 (0.02; 0.18)	0.014
Parity count ²	0.10 (-0.02; 0.23)	0.104	0.14 (0.04; 0.24)	0.008	0.11 (-0.01; 0.23)	0.060	0.14 (0.04; 0.24)	0.005
Multiparous vs. others ³	0.13 (-0.37; 0.64)	0.605	0.49 (0.08; 0.91)	0.021	0.40 (-0.07; 0.88)	0.098	0.71 (0.32; 1.10)	< 0.001
Grand multiparous vs. others ³	0.61 (-0.37; 1.59)	0.224	0.53 (-0.28; 1.34)	0.200	0.50 (-0.43; 1.42)	0.290	0.40 (-0.37; 1.17)	0.313
Multiparous vs. nulliparous ³	-0.04 (-0.69; 0.62)	0.913	0.44 (-0.11; 0.99)	0.119	0.18 (-0.44; 0.79)	0.570	0.61 (0.09; 1.13)	0.022
Grand multiparous vs. nulliparous ³	0.50 (-0.62; 1.62)	0.380	1.04 (0.04; 2.03)	0.041	0.53 (-0.55; 1.61)	0.332	0.91 (-0.07; 1.88)	0.068

¹Adjusted for height, weight, leisure-time physical activity, smoking, and education years.

²Modelled linearly as a continuous variable. Beta coefficients are interpreted relative to one pregnancy/delivery.

³Modelled as a binary variable. Beta coefficients represent mean difference between groups.

CI = Confidence interval. FCML = Femoral condylar mediolateral breadth. P = P value. TPML = Tibial plateau mediolateral breadth. Vs. = versus.

Discussion

Utilizing a population-based middle-aged cohort sample, we investigated whether gravidity and parity were associated with knee breadth. We detected a clear positive association between gravidity, parity and knee breadth, confirming our initial hypothesis. We believe that this is the first population-based study to address the association between reproductive history and knee dimensions. Our results suggest that obstetric factors such as increasing gestational load, gait alterations or hormonal influences may affect

knee breadth. However, the present work was cross-sectional study and thus only enabled us to investigate the association between reproductive history and knee breadth.

Gestation and lactation have clear effects on bone metabolism and structure (Naylor et al. 2000). However, most clinical and animal studies suggest that they have no long-term effects on bone strength (Liu et al. 2019). Studies about the effects of obstetric load on bone geometric properties in particular are sparse.

Although parity has been positively associated with lumbar lordosis (Been & Kalichman 2014), our previous study among Northern Finnish women suggested that obstetric factors such as increased and altered biomechanical loads or hormonal factors did not seem to affect vertebral geometry (Oura et al. 2018). As such, the present association between gravidity, parity and knee breadth was clearly distinct in light of the previous findings. Potentially, as knee joint supports most of the body weight, the effects of biomechanical loading during gestation and lactation periods are more pronounced in the knee than the lumbar spine. Our finding could also be associated with the observed sex differences in the alignment of lower extremities (Katsumi et al. 2018).

The main strength of this study was the relatively large sample size of 815 women. General characteristics of the sample indicate that they represent the typical Northern Finnish population (Oura et al 2018, Saari et al., 2011). Importantly, a clear majority of the women had delivered at least twice, and up to 5% were grand multiparous. Other strengths were the homogeneous age as all were born in 1966 and thus secular trends did not confound our results. We also excluded individuals with pathologies in the knee and measured the knee dimensions from the radiographs with high reliability and low measurement error.

The study had several limitations. As the radiographs were taken in one timepoint at 46 years of age, we were unable to study the potential longitudinal change in knee breadth. The confounding effects of duration of lactation, hormonal factors, infertility or other comorbidities could not be fully ruled out.

We conclude that gravidity and parity are associated with knee breadth among middle-aged women. Our findings suggest that gestation contributes to larger knee joint size, potentially via increased biomechanical loading. Future studies are needed to confirm the present findings, and to further characterize the roles of biomechanical, hormonal and gait changes during gestation and lactation in the context of skeletal response.

Declarations

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:

We thank all NFBC1966 members and researchers who participated in the 46-year follow-up. We also wish to acknowledge the work of the NFBC project center.

FUNDING:

NFBC1966 received financial support from:

- University of Oulu Grant no. 24000692
- Oulu University Hospital Grant no. 24301140
- ERDF European Regional Development Fund Grant no. 539/2010 A31592

Conflict of interest:

None.

Data availability statement:

The datasets generated and/or analysed during the current study are not publicly available due to confidential and ethical reasons but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

References

1. Foti T, Davids JR, Bagley A. A biomechanical analysis of gait during pregnancy. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2000 May;82(5):625-32. PMID: 10819273.
2. McCrory JL, Chambers AJ, Daftary A, Redfern MS. The pregnant "waddle": an evaluation of torso kinematics in pregnancy. *J Biomech.* 2014 Sep 22;47(12):2964-8. doi: 10.1016/j.jbiomech.2014.07.009. Epub 2014 Jul 30. PMID: 25108664.
3. Abrams, B. Carmichael, S. Selvin, S. 1994 Factors associated with the pattern of maternal weight gain during pregnancy. *Obstetrics & Gynecology* 86:170-176
4. Salari P, Abdollahi M. 2014 The influence of pregnancy and lactation on maternal bone health: a systematic review. *J Family Reprod Health.* 2014;8(4):135-148.
5. Voerman E, Santos S, Patro Golab B, et al. 2019 Maternal body mass index, gestational weight gain, and the risk of overweight and obesity across childhood: An individual participant data meta-analysis. *PLoS Med.* 2019 Feb 11;16(2):e1002744.
6. Drinkwater, B. Chesnut, C. 1991 Bone density changes during pregnancy and lactation in active women: a longitudinal study. *Bone and Mineral* 14:153-160
7. Møller, U.K., við Streym, S., Mosekilde, L. et al. 2012 Changes in bone mineral density and body composition during pregnancy and postpartum. A controlled cohort study. *Osteoporos Int* 23, 1213–1223 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00198-011-1654-6>
8. Ward K, Adams J, Mughal M. 2005 Bone status during adolescence, pregnancy and lactation. *Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol* 2005; 17:435–439.
9. Havill, L.M., Mahaney, M.C., L Binkley, T. and L Specker, B. (2007), Effects of Genes, Sex, Age, and Activity on BMC, Bone Size, and Areal and Volumetric BMD. *J Bone Miner Res*, 22: 737-746.
10. Lieberman D. E., Devlin M. J., & Pearson O. M. (2001). Articular area responses to mechanical loading: effects of exercise, age, and skeletal location. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, 116(4),

266–277. doi:10.1002/ajpa.1123

11. Trinkaus, E., Churchill, S. E., & Ruff, C. B. (1994). Postcranial robusticity in Homo. II: Humeral bilateral asymmetry and bone plasticity. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, 93(1), 1–34. doi:10.1002/ajpa.1330930102
12. Squyres, N. and Ruff, C.B. (2015), Body mass estimation from knee breadth, with application to early hominins. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.*, 158: 198-208.
13. Keisu, A, Oura, P, Niskanen, M, et al. The association between knee breadth and body mass: The Northern Finland Birth Cohort 1966 case study. *Am J Phys Anthropol.* 2019; 170: 196– 206.
14. Kan, H., Arai, Y., Kobayashi, M., Nakagawa, S., Inoue, H., Hino, M., ... Kubo, T. (2017). Radiographic measurement of joint space width using the fixed flexion view in 1,102 knees of Japanese patients with osteoarthritis in comparison with the standing extended view. *Knee Surgery & Related Research*, 29(1), 63–68. doi:10.5792/ksrr.16.046
15. Niinimäki, T., Ojala, R., Niinimäki, J., & Leppilahti, J. (2010). The standing fixed flexion view detects narrowing of the joint space better than the standing extended view in patients with moderate osteoarthritis of the knee. *Acta Orthopaedica*, 81(3), 344–346. doi:10.3109/17453674.2010.483989
16. Lee, R., Kean, W.F. 2012 Obesity and knee osteoarthritis. *Inflammopharmacol* 20, 53–58.
17. Saari, A., Sankilampi, U., Hannila, M.-L., Kiviniemi, V., Kesseli, K., & Dunkel, L. (2011). New Finnish growth references for children and adolescents aged 0 to 20 years: Length/height-for-age, weight-for-length/height, and body mass index-for-age. *Annals of Medicine*, 43(3), 235–248.
18. Naylor KE, Iqbal P, Fledelius C, Fraser RB, Eastell R. 2000 The effect of pregnancy on bone density and bone turnover. *J Bone Miner Res.* 15(1):129-37.
19. Liu XS, Wang L, de Bakker CMJ, Lai X. Mechanical Regulation of the Maternal Skeleton during Reproduction and Lactation. *Curr Osteoporos Rep.* 2019;17(6):375-386. doi:10.1007/s11914-019-00555-5
20. Oura P, et al. Gravidity, Parity, and Vertebral Dimensions in the Northern Finland Birth Cohort 1966 Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2018;43(18):E1102-E1108. doi: 10.1097/BRS.0000000000002640.
21. Been E, Kalichman L. Lumbar lordosis. *Spine J.* 2014;14(1):87-97.
22. Katsumi R, Mochizuki T, Sato T, Kobayashi K, Watanabe S, Tanifuji O, Endo N. 2018 Contribution of sex and body constitution to three-dimensional lower extremity alignment for healthy, elderly, non-obese humans in a Japanese population. *J Exp Orthop.* 22;5(1):32.