

Relationship Between Moral Sensitivity And The Quality Of Nursing Care For The Elderly With Covid-19

Shima Nazari

Tehran University of Medical Sciences

Sarieh Poortaghi

Tehran University of Medical Sciences

Farshad Sharifi

Tehran University of Medical Science

Shaghayegh Gorzin

Tehran University of Medical Sciences

Pouya Farokhnezhad Afshar (✉ farokhnezhad.p@iums.ac.ir)

Iran University of Medical Sciences

Research Article

Keywords: COVID-19, Nurses, Quality of Care, Moral Status.

Posted Date: February 28th, 2022

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1338112/v1>

License:   This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background: The quality of care has a significant impact on the condition of elderly patients. Many factors affect the quality of care, including ethical considerations. Ethical considerations, such as moral sensitivity, change in times of crisis. The present study was conducted to assess the relationship between moral sensitivity and quality of nursing care for the elderly with Covid-19.

Methods: This was a cross-sectional descriptive correlational study. The participants included 445 nurses that were selected by quota sampling method from hospitals admitting COVID-19 patients. The data were collected using the Moral Sensitivity Questionnaire (MSQ) and Quality Patient Care Scale (QUALPAC) as self-report. We used the SPSS software v.16 for statistical analysis.

Results: The total score of moral sensitivity and quality of care was 52.29 ± 16.44 and 2.83 ± 0.23 , respectively. Modifying autonomy, interpersonal orientation, and experiencing moral conflict predicted $\beta = 0.10$ of the psychosocial aspect of quality of care. Structural moral meaning and expressing benevolence predicted the changes in the physical dimension of quality of care ($\beta = 0.02$). Modifying autonomy explained the changes in the communicational dimension ($\beta = 0.003$).

Conclusion: The quality of care had a significant inverse correlation with moral sensitivity. Multiple regression analysis showed that modifying autonomy, interpersonal orientation, and experiencing moral conflict could predict the psychosocial dimensions. Structuring moral meaning could predict the physical dimension.

1. Background

Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) started in 2019 and soon became a global pandemic [1]. This disease affects all populations, especially vulnerable groups like the elderly [2]. Studies have shown that older age groups are at a higher risk for infection due to comorbid conditions like diabetes, hypertension, cardiovascular disease, and cerebrovascular disease [3–6]. Therefore, these conditions can be a challenge for health care providers, especially nurses, which require special attention [7].

The World Health Organization (WHO) defines the quality of care as “the degree to which care services for patients increase the likelihood of optimal health outcomes” [8]. The quality of care may decrease in certain situations [9]. Poor quality of care can lead to patient dissatisfaction, prolonged stay, and failure to achieve desired clinical outcomes [10]. About 15% of deaths in low- and middle-income countries are due to poor quality care [11]. One of the reasons for the reduced quality of care in pandemics is the high rate of transmission, which underlines the speed of healthcare provision. For example, Fazaeli et al. found a marked reduction in the quality of care compared to before the COVID-19 pandemic [9]. The nurses are usually under a great deal of physical and mental stress due to the high workload and continuous contact with vulnerable people in different stages of pandemic response. Studies have shown that elderly caregivers face several moral challenges in their everyday activities [12]. One of the factors that may have a pivotal role in their quality of care is moral sensitivity [13]. Moral sensitivity is a fundamental concept in the field of

ethics that increases the nurses' attention to ethical considerations in the hospital and also improves their ability to identify ethical problems and make ethical decisions [14].

Moral sensitivity is defined as awareness and attention to the existing contradictory moral values in a situation and individual self-awareness to the role and duty in that specific situation [15]. In 2020, Borges et al. studied moral injury among healthcare providers during the COVID-19 pandemic and found that healthcare providers were experiencing potential moral injuries that could affect their moral values [16].

Moral sensitivity is an element to identifying moral situations, reaching the stage of making sound moral decisions, and providing moral services to patients. However, it is not clear whether nurses can possess moral sensitivity during the COVID-19 pandemic; moreover, its relationship with quality of care is not well understood. Therefore, the present study was conducted to assess the relationship between moral sensitivity and quality of nursing care for the elderly with Covid-19.

2. Materials And Methods

This was a cross-sectional descriptive correlational study. The study population comprised nurses in Covid-19 wards of hospitals affiliated with Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences.

2.1. Participants

The sample size was calculated using the formula $N = [(Z_{\alpha} + Z_{\beta})/C]^2 + 3$, where $C = 0.5 * \ln [(1 + r)/(1 - r)]$. The r (correlation coefficient) was 0.14 according to a previous study ($\beta = 0.2$, $\alpha = 0.05$) [17]. The sample size was 398 nurses. We considered 10% more sampling for the possibility of incomplete completion of questionnaires by participants ($n = 445$). The participants were selected using quota sampling in hospitals of Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences as central hospitals admitting COVID-19 patients, including Razi Hospital, Qaem Shahr (120 nurses), Imam Khomeini Hospital, Sari (107 nurses), Imam Khomeini Hospital, Amol (108 nurses), and Ibn Sina Hospital, Sari (110 nurses).

2.2. Data Collection

Data were collected using a demographic questionnaire (age, sex, marital status, degree, and work experience), the Moral Sensitivity Questionnaire (MSQ), and the Quality Patient Care Scale (QUALPAC) in a self-report manner. We explained the objectives of the study to all participants and informed consent was obtained from them.

The **Moral Sensitivity Questionnaire** (MSQ) was developed by Lutzen et al (1994). It first contained 30 questions, which was reduced to 25 in subsequent modifications. It evaluates the nurses' moral decision-making while providing clinical care in six dimensions, including "modifying autonomy" (items 10, 12, 13), "interpersonal orientation" (items 1, 2, 3, 4, 17), structuring moral meaning (items 16, 24), "experiencing moral conflict" (items 9, 11, 15), reliance on medical authority (items 6, 8, 14, 18, 20), and "expressing benevolence" (items 5, 7, 19, 21, 22, 23, 25) [18]. The items are scored on a 5-point Likert scale from completely agree (4) to completely disagree (0). The total score of the questionnaire ranges between 0 and

100. A score in the range of 0–50, 50–75, and 75–100 indicates low, moderate, and high moral sensitivity, respectively. The reliability and validity of the MSQ were previously confirmed in Iran (Cronbach's alpha = 0.83) [19]. A Cronbach's alpha was 0.83 in the present study.

The **Quality Patient Care Scale** (QUALPAC) is a 68-item scale used to evaluate the social (items 1–32), physical (items 33–55), and communicational aspects (items 56–68) of nursing care. The items are scored on a 4-point Likert scale from always (4) to never (1). The total score of the QUALPAC ranges between 68 and 272 (range for psychosocial aspect: 32–128, physical aspect: 23–92, communicational aspect: 13–52). The total score of the QUALPAC is divided by the total number of questions and the result is used to categorize the quality of care as undesirable (score of 0-1.89), relatively desirable (1.90–2.63), and desirable (2.64-4). In the original version, Content Validity Index (CVI) and Content Validity Ratio (CVR) were used to assess the content validity quantitatively (CVR = 0.81, CVI = 0.83); moreover, the scale showed excellent test-retest reliability (ICC = 0.966, $r = 0.875$) [20]. The validity and reliability of the QUALPAC were evaluated in Iran, and a Cronbach's alpha of 0.80 was obtained [21]. In this study, Cronbach's alpha was 0.89.

2.3. Data Analysis

Descriptive data are presented as frequency and frequency percentage. The relationship between quality of nursing care and moral sensitivity in nurses was evaluated using Pearson's correlation coefficient and multiple regression analysis with the Enter method. The SPSS software version 16 was used for data analysis ($\alpha = 0.05$).

2.4. Ethical consideration

This study has been approved by the research ethical committee of Tehran University of Medical Sciences (Ref: IR.TUMS.FNM.REC.1400.005). We explained the objectives of the study to the participants and written informed consent was obtained from them.

3. Results

The response rate was 100%. The mean age of the participants was 39.41 ± 9.61 years (range: 23–57 years). Moreover, 50.6% of the nurses were female and 49.4% were male, 48.8% were married, 31.5% were single, 15.3% were widows/widowers, and 4.5% were divorced. As for the education level, 21.6% had an associate degree, 36.4% had a bachelor's degree, 23.8% had a master's degree, and 18.2% had a Ph.D. The mean work experience of the nurses was 2.28 ± 1.08 years (range: 1–4 years).

The total score of moral sensitivity and quality of care was 52.29 ± 16.44 and 2.83 ± 0.23 , respectively. According to the results, 55.5% of the nurses caring for the elderly patients with COVID-19 had low, 25.6% had moderate, and 19.9% had high levels of moral sensitivity.

Gender and marital status had no effects on moral sensitivity and quality of care ($P = n.s$). No significant correlation was found between age and moral sensitivity ($P = 0.28$, $r = 0.51$) or quality and care ($P = 0.36$, $r = 0.04$). Work experience had no significant correlation with the quality of care ($P = 0.70$, $r = -0.01$) while its correlation with moral sensitivity was significant ($P = 0.03$, $r = 0.10$). A significant inverse correlation was

found between the total scores of moral sensitivity and quality of care ($P = 0.001$, $r = -0.16$). Table 1 presents the correlation of different dimensions of moral sensitivity and quality of care aspects.

Table 1
Correlation of moral sensitivity and quality of patient care

		Quality Patient Care		
		Psychosocial aspects	Physical aspects	Communicational aspects
Moral Sensitivity	Mean \pm SD	89.01 \pm 12.63	66.38 \pm 6.78	38.27 \pm 5.34
	Modifying autonomy	6.45 \pm 2.82	-0.243**	-0.099* 0.014
	Interpersonal orientation	13.48 \pm 3.36	-0.282**	-0.088 0.030
	Reliance on a medical authority	2.86 \pm 2.16	-0.237**	-0.098* 0.038
	Experiencing moral conflict	6.15 \pm 2.51	-0.187**	-0.069 0.050
	Structuring moral meaning	10.95 \pm 2.16	-0.211**	-0.124** 0.057
	Expressing benevolence	12.37 \pm 4.72	-0.230**	-0.060 0.024
*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01				

According to multiple regression analysis, the dimensions of “modifying autonomy”, “interpersonal orientation”, and “experiencing moral conflict” explained 0.10 of the total changes in the psychosocial aspect of care; the dimensions of “structural moral meaning” and “expressing benevolence” explained 0.02 of the total changes in the physical aspect of care, and “modifying autonomy” explained 0.003 of the changes in the communicational aspect of care (Table 2). In total, different dimensions of moral sensitivity explained 0.06 of the changes in the quality of care ($P < 0.001$).

Table 2
Multiple regression analysis of quality of patient care dimensions and moral sensitivity

		Quality Patient Care								
		Psychosocial aspects			Physical aspects			Communicational aspects		
		B	β	P	B	β	P	B	β	P
Moral Sensitivity	Modifying autonomy	-1.87	-0.42	0.004	-0.55	-0.23	0.13	-0.59	-0.31	0.05
	Interpersonal orientation	-2.28	-0.61	< 0.001	-0.07	-0.03	0.84	-0.001	-0.001	0.99
	Reliance on a medical authority	0.15	0.02	0.87	-0.67	-0.21	0.19	0.15	0.06	0.71
	Experiencing moral conflict	2.04	0.40	0.006	0.74	0.27	0.07	0.65	0.30	0.06
	Structuring moral meaning	.36	0.06	0.46	-0.60	-0.19	0.02	0.277	0.11	0.20
	Expressing benevolence	0.76	0.28	0.06	0.40	0.28	0.06	-0.13	-0.11	0.45
Constant		105.59		69.81		36.24				
F		9.34		2.63		1.25				
R²		0.11		.035		0.02				
Adjusted R Square		0.10		0.02		0.003				

4. Discussion

The objective of this study was to determine the relationship between moral sensitivity and quality of nursing care for the elderly with Covid-19. The results showed that the psychosocial aspect of care had a significant inverse correlation with all dimensions of moral sensitivity and the physical aspect of care had a significant inverse correlation with “modifying autonomy”, “reliance on medical authority”, and “structuring moral meaning”. However, the communicational aspect of care had no significant correlation with dimensions of moral sensitivity. Moreover, multiple regression analysis showed that “modifying autonomy”, “interpersonal orientation”, and “experiencing moral conflict” could predict the psychosocial aspect of care, “structuring moral meaning” and “expressing benevolence” could predict the physical aspect of care, and “modifying autonomy” could predict the communicational aspect of care. In 2019, Amiri et al found an indirect relationship between the dimensions of moral sensitivity and the quality of nursing care [12]. COVID-11 affects moral issues more in the elderly [22] due to the presence of discrimination and negative attitudes about aging as well as a worse prognosis of the disease in this age group. The nurses’ attitude towards the

elderly is contradictory and a negative attitude may affect all aspects of care [23]. Moral challenges also have a negative influence on the quality of care [24]. A possible reason for an indirect correlation between the quality of care and moral sensitivity could be that the nurses are forced to make decisions and shoulder duties that cause contradictions between personal values and professional values and codes during the COVID-19 pandemic. The present study found a moderate level of moral sensitivity in nurses caring for elderly COVID-19 patients, while another study reported a high range of moral sensitivity in nurses [25]. We believe that a moderate level of moral sensitivity is acceptable since the quality of care was desirable despite the high workload of the nurses during the pandemic, which could be due to clinical governance and meticulous monitoring of the nursing activities in Iran that were maintained during the COVID-19 pandemic as well. This study found a significant correlation between work experience and moral sensitivity, which was consistent with a previous study [26] and may indicate that the nursing profession is associated with learning and knowledge expansion resulting in increased moral sensitivity. The results suggest that the formulation of clear guidelines regarding moral sensitivity can guarantee the quality of care. One study reported that “following the rules” and “reliance on medical authority” received the highest scores (16), which confirm the effect of guidelines on the quality of care. Nonetheless, several studies have shown the complexity of factors affecting the quality of care [12, 17, 22]; therefore, it is necessary to identify the barriers and facilitators.

5. Conclusion

The present study found a moderate level of moral sensitivity and a desirable quality of nursing care in nurses caring for elderly patients with COVID-19. Quality of care had a significant inverse correlation with moral sensitivity. Multiple regression analysis showed that “modifying autonomy”, “interpersonal orientation”, and “experiencing moral conflict” could predict the psychosocial aspect of care, “structuring moral meaning” and “expressing benevolence” could predict the physical aspect of care, and “modifying autonomy” could predict the communicational aspect of care. It is necessary to provide solutions and strategies to resolve the challenges that nurses face in the process of caring for older patients, especially during pandemics, because it seems that the nurses may experience moral tensions due to the contradictions between personal and professional values while making moral decisions, which could affect the quality of nursing care and make them indifferent to moral care if not managed properly.

Limitations and strengths

We tried to create diversity by sampling from different hospitals. One of the limitations of the present study was that the patients’ views on the quality of care were not assessed due to the special conditions of patients and hospitals during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Abbreviations

COVID-19: Coronavirus Disease; MSQ: Moral Sensitivity Questionnaire; QUALPAC: Quality Patient Care Scale; WHO: World Health Organization; CVI: Content Validity Index; CVR: Content Validity Ratio.

Declarations

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank all nurses that participated in this study.

Authors' contributions

SN, SP, and SG were involved in the original conception and design of the study. FS, PFA and SG data collection and statistical analysis. FS and PFA prepared the initial. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

There was no specific funding for this study.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets generated and/or analysed during the current study are not publicly available due to privacy and ethical concerns but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

We confirm that all methods were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations in your manuscript or Declaration of Helsinki. This study has been approved by the ethical committee of the School of Nursing and Midwifery of Tehran University of Medical Sciences (Ref: IR.TUMS.FNM.REC.1400.005). We explained the objectives of the study to the participants and written informed consent was obtained from them. All participants were reassured that their information would be kept confidential.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

1. Zuin M, Guasti P, Roncon L, Cervellati C, Zuliani G: **Dementia and the risk of death in elderly patients with COVID-19 infection: Systematic review and meta-analysis.** *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry* 2021, **36**(5):697-703.
2. Papadopoulos V, Li L, Samplaski M: **Why does COVID-19 kill more elderly men than women? Is there a role for testosterone?** *Andrology* 2021, **9**(1):65-72.

3. Guan W-j, Ni Z-y, Hu Y, Liang W-h, Ou C-q, He J-x, Liu L, Shan H, Lei C-l, Hui DSC *et al*: **Clinical Characteristics of Coronavirus Disease 2019 in China**. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2020, **382**(18):1708-1720.
4. Li JY, You Z, Wang Q, Zhou ZJ, Qiu Y, Luo R, Ge XY: **The epidemic of 2019-novel-coronavirus (2019-nCoV) pneumonia and insights for emerging infectious diseases in the future**. *Microbes and infection* 2020, **22**(2):80-85.
5. Liu Y, Gayle AA, Wilder-Smith A, Rocklöv J: **The reproductive number of COVID-19 is higher compared to SARS coronavirus**. *Journal of travel medicine* 2020, **27**(2).
6. Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, Zhu F, Liu X, Zhang J, Wang B, Xiang H, Cheng Z, Xiong Y *et al*: **Clinical Characteristics of 138 Hospitalized Patients With 2019 Novel Coronavirus-Infected Pneumonia in Wuhan, China**. *JAMA* 2020, **323**(11):1061-1069.
7. Koh WC, Naing L, Chaw L, Rosledzana MA, Alikhan MF, Jamaludin SA, Amin F, Omar A, Shazli A, Griffith M *et al*: **What do we know about SARS-CoV-2 transmission? A systematic review and meta-analysis of the secondary attack rate and associated risk factors**. *PLOS ONE* 2020, **15**(10):e0240205.
8. Institute of Medicine Committee to Design a Strategy for Quality R, Assurance in M: **Overview Of The Study To Design A Strategy For Quality Review And Assurance In Medicare**. In: *Medicare: A Strategy for Quality Assurance: Volume 1*. edn. Edited by Lohr KN. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US) Copyright © 1990 by the National Academy of Sciences.; 1990.
9. Fazaeli S, Yousei M, Arfa Shahidi N, Behboudifar A: **Comparison of quality standards of public care in the emergency, infectious and non-infectious wards of Imam Reza Hospital in Mashhad: Before and after Covid 19 pandemic onset**. *Journal of Modern Medical Information Sciences* 2020, **6**(2):40-50.
10. Eriksson J, Gellerstedt L, Hillerås P, Craftman Å G: **Registered nurses' perceptions of safe care in overcrowded emergency departments**. 2018, **27**(5-6):e1061-e1067.
11. **Quality of care** [https://www.who.int/health-topics/quality-of-care#tab=tab_1]
12. Amiri E, Ebrahimi H, Vahidi M, Asghari Jafarabadi M, Namdar Areshtanab H: **Relationship between nurses' moral sensitivity and the quality of care**. *Nursing ethics* 2019, **26**(4):1265-1273.
13. Escolar-Chua RL: **Moral sensitivity, moral distress, and moral courage among baccalaureate Filipino nursing students**. *Nursing ethics* 2018, **25**(4):458-469.
14. Katsarov J, Christen M, Mauerhofer R, Schmocker D, Tanner C: **Training Moral Sensitivity Through Video Games: A Review of Suitable Game Mechanisms**. *Games and Culture* 2019, **14**(4):344-366.
15. McCarthy J, Deady R: **Moral distress reconsidered**. *Nursing ethics* 2008, **15**(2):254-262.

16. Borges LM, Barnes SM, Farnsworth JK, Bahraini NH, Brenner LA: **A commentary on moral injury among health care providers during the COVID-19 pandemic.** *Psychological trauma : theory, research, practice and policy* 2020, **12**(S1):S138-s140.
17. Amiri E, Ebrahimi H, Namdar Areshtanab H, Vahidi M, Asghari Jafarabadi M: **The Relationship between Nurses' Moral Sensitivity and Patients' Satisfaction with the Care Received in the Medical Wards.** *Journal of caring sciences* 2020, **9**(2):98-103.
18. Lützén K, Nordström G, Evertzon M: **Moral sensitivity in nursing practice.** *Scand J Caring Sci* 1995, **9**(3):131-138.
19. Hassanpoor M, Hosseini M, Fallahi Khoshknab M, Abbaszadeh A: **Evaluation of the impact of teaching nursing ethics on nurses' decision making in Kerman social welfare hospitals in 1389.** *Medical Ethics and History of Medicine* 2011, **4**(5):58-64.
20. Irurita VF: **Factors affecting the quality of nursing care: the patient's perspective.** *International journal of nursing practice* 1999, **5**(2):86-94.
21. Neishabory M, Raeisdana N, Ghorbani R, Sadeghi T: **Nurses' and patients' viewpoints regarding quality of nursing care in the teaching hospitals of Semnan University of Medical Sciences, 2009.** *Koomesh journal* 2011, **12**(2):134-143.
22. Martínez-Sellés D, Martínez-Sellés H, Martinez-Sellés M: **Ethical Issues in Decision-making Regarding the Elderly Affected by Coronavirus Disease 2019: An Expert Opinion.** *Eur Cardiol* 2020, **15**:e48-e48.
23. Rush KL, Hickey S, Epp S, Janke R: **Nurses' attitudes towards older people care: An integrative review.** *Journal of clinical nursing* 2017, **26**(23-24):4105-4116.
24. Hamric AB, Blackhall LJ: **Nurse-physician perspectives on the care of dying patients in intensive care units: collaboration, moral distress, and ethical climate.** *Critical care medicine* 2007, **35**(2):422-429.
25. Zahednezhad H, shokrollahi N, Gheshlagh RG, Afshar PF: **Does heavy mental workload affect moral sensitivity among critical care unit nursing professionals? a cross-sectional study.** *BMC Nursing* 2021, **20**(1):140.
26. Lützén K, Blom T, Ewalds-Kvist B, Winch S: **Moral stress, moral climate and moral sensitivity among psychiatric professionals.** *Nursing ethics* 2010, **17**(2):213-224.