Lifestyle factors and reversion to normoglycaemia by prediabetes type in PREDAPS study.

Carolina Giráldez-García

Hospital Universitario Infanta Elena

LUCIA CEA SORIANO (✉ luciaceife@gmail.com)

Universidad Complutense de Madrid Facultad de Medicina <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7051-0730>

Romana Albadalejo

Universidad Complutense de Madrid

Josep Franch-Nadal

Universitat de Barcelona Facultat de Medicina i Ciències de la Salut

Manel Mata-Cases

redGDPS

Javier Díez-Espino

red GDPS

Sara Artola

redGDPS

Rosario Serrano

redGDPS

Enrique Regidor

Universidad Complutense de Madrid

Research article

Keywords: Prediabetes; reversion to normoglycaemia; lifestyle factors; cohort study; HbA1c; fasting plasma glucosa.

Posted Date: April 17th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.2.22844/v2>

License: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background: Healthy lifestyle interventions and drug therapies are proven to have a positive preventative influence on normal glucose regulation in prediabetes; however, there is little evidence to support the role of these factors according to the various stage of the prediabetes state

Aims: This study aims to investigate the role of lifestyle factors on the reversion to normal glucose regulation according to the different stage of the prediabetes state based on most up-to-date American Diabetes Association (ADA) guidelines.

Design and Setting: Observational prospective cohort study. The Cohort study in Primary Health Care on the Evolution of Patients with Prediabetes from 2012-2015

Methods: A total of 1184 individuals aged 30 to 74 years old were included and classified based on the ADA in three mutually exclusive groups using either fasting plasma glucose (FPG) levels (from 100-125 mg/dl, FPG group), HbA_{1c} (5.7%–6.4%, HbA1c group) or both impaired parameters group. Information on lifestyle factors and biochemical parameters were collected at baseline. Reversion to normal glucose regulation was calculated at third year of follow-up. Relationship of lifestyle factor and type of prediabetes with reversion were estimated using odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) adjusting by different groups of confounders.

Results: Proportion of reversion rates were 31% for FPG group, 31% for HbA1c group and 7.9% for both altered parameters group, respectively. Optimal life style factors such as BMI<25 kg/m²[OR (95% CI): 1.90 (1.20-3.01)], high adherence to Mediterranean diet 1.78 (1.21-2.63) and absence of abdominal obesity 1.70 (1.19-2.43) were the strongest predictors for reversion to normal glucose. ORs of reversion to normal glucose were 4.87 (3.10-7.65) for FPG group and 3.72 (2.39-5.78) for HbA1c group, taking as reference those with both impaired parameters. These estimates remained almost the same after further adjustment for biochemical parameters and lifestyle factors.

Conclusions: Although optimal lifestyle factors showed to be a positive predictor for reversion to normal glucose regulation, they do not seem to explain the differences according to the type of prediabetes.

Background

The global prevalence of diabetes among adults aged 18 and beyond, has risen from 4.7% in 1980 to 8.5% in 2014, representing almost 422 million people by 2014 [1]. In Spain the prevalence of diabetes in 2010 we 13.6% [2]. Moreover, the mortality of diabetes has scaled up to be positioned in the eight causes of dead rank worldwide [3]. Risk factors for developing diabetes type 2 have been well established and characterized [4-6], which has allowed to implement interventive measures to reduce the burden of this disease across different healthcare systems. As an example, adherence to a healthy lifestyle (including healthy diet, smoking cessation, increase in physical activity, reduction in alcohol consumption, and reduction in body mass index (BMI)) at age 50-75), has been associated with six to ten years increase in

life years and a significant improvement of quality of life. These implementations also resulted in a reduction in prevalence of major chronic diseases (including cancer, cardiovascular diseases and diabetes) compare to individuals with no vast improvement in lifestyle [7-8].

Prediabetes status has been associated with a higher risk of developing diabetes type 2. This stage is characterized by either an impaired fasting plasma glucose (IFG) or impaired glucose tolerance (IGT), or elevated glycated haemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) depending on the established diagnostic criteria [9]. Prior research on this topic highlights how optimal lifestyle factors and drug therapies (majority oral antidiabetic medications) implementations are effective predictive positive factors to conversion to normal glucose regulation in subjects with prediabetes [5, 10-11]. However, those interventions do not seem to play the same role depending on the type of prediabetes. For example, some studies have found a better response to glucose regulation after applying lifestyle modifications only in individuals with IGT [12]. In addition, a recent study observed how individuals with HbA1c levels in range of prediabetes were less likely to revert to normal glycaemia after physical activity recommendations [13].

This apparent heterogeneous association, between optimal lifestyles and reversion to normal glycaemia regulation according prediabetes type, could be the cornerstone for individualized prevention strategies in subjects with prediabetes. However, there is little evidence on how healthy lifestyle factors can explain the variation in the proportion of patients reverting to normal glucose regulation according to type of prediabetes. In order to develop a better understanding of the following matter, this study aims to evaluate the key role of lifestyle factors on predicting reversion to normal glycaemia by type of prediabetes using a prospective cohort of individuals with prediabetes followed up by primary care physicians in Spain.

Methods

Study design

The Cohort study in Primary Health Care on the Evolution of Patients with Prediabetes (PREDAPS Study) is a prospective study encompassing two cohorts of patients: those with prediabetes status and those free of prediabetes and diabetes. Details on information and design published previously by the same authors [14]. Briefly, this prospective study conducted at the primary care setting, started in 2012. To be member of the prediabetes cohort individuals aged 30 to 74 years old were included when meeting the following prediabetes criteria based on the definition established by American Diabetes Association [15] using FPG and HbA1c parameters. First group, namely i) isolated FPG group, included all individuals with HbA1c <39 mmol/mol (<5.7%) and FPG 100–125 mg/dl, ii) isolated elevated HbA1c group, included all individuals with HbA1c 39-47 mmol/mol (5.7%–6.4%) and FPG<100 mg/dl and iii) both altered parameters group, included all individuals with HbA1c 39-47 mmol/mol (5.7%–6.4%) and FPG 100–125 mg/dl. Participants aged 30 to 74 years old with HbA1c <39 mmol/mol (<5.7%) and FPG <100 mg/dl were assigned to the normoglycaemia cohort. Individuals with the following criteria were excluded (to be members) from the study cohort: diabetes, terminal disease, pregnancy, surgery, or hospital admission in

the previous 3 months at study entry, or any hematologic disease, which could alter HbA1c values. A total of 2022 individuals gave their written informed consent for participation: 1184 subjects with prediabetes and 838 without impaired glucose metabolism.

The present study analyzed the relationship between lifestyle and other variables (i.e. including lifestyle factors and metabolic conditions) measured at baseline and the situation of reversion to normal glycaemia in the third year of follow-up among the cohort of subjects with prediabetes. Thus, out of 1184 subjects with prediabetes, a total of 948 (80.1%) attended their third follow up visit and were retained to be members of the final cohort, therefore remaining patients were excluded. The main causes of exclusion were the administrative assignment of the participants to another general practitioner, change of their place of residence and refusal to continue in the study. Reversion to normal glucose regulation, was considered if FPG and HbA1c values were FPG <100 mg /dl and HbA1c <39 mmol/mol (<5.7%), respectively, at third year of follow up.

Data Collected

At baseline, information on biographical data, family and personal history of diabetes, smoking, alcohol consumption, diet, physical activity, drug treatment, social support and socio-economic position was obtained from each participant's clinical history and from the personal interview conducted by the physician at their practices. During the medical visit a physical examination was performed, which included anthropometry and determination of blood pressure. Similarly, blood and urine analyses were requested to determine FPG, HbA1c, lipid profile, transaminases, blood count, iron levels and renal function. Of note, all variables were treated as categorical data

Smoking habit was classified into three mutually exclusive categories: current smoker, former smoker, and non- smoker. Individuals were asked to state which of the following alternatives best reflected their alcohol consumption frequency: never drinker, former drinker, occasional drinker, or daily drinker. For the present analysis individuals were classified into non-drinkers, occasional drinkers and daily drinkers. Physical activity data were collected based on the frequency – number of times in the last two weeks- and amount – mean time in minutes for each session as well as different types of physical activity, and, on the basis of the data collected, the minutes per week of physical activity performed by each participant were estimated. Subjects were classified into two categories to their compliance with the World Health Organization (WHO) physical activity recommendations -accumulate at least 150 minutes per week of moderate aerobic activity or 75 minutes per week of vigorous aerobic activity-, or an equivalent combination of moderate and vigorous physical activity [16].

Diet information was obtained by a simplified 20-item food frequency questionnaire, based on validated instrument which included standard portions of foods [17-18]. and several response categories: daily consumption, ≥ 3 times / week, 1-2 times / week, <1 time / week, never or almost never. The foods were: dairy products, meats, cold meats and sausages, fish, eggs, legumes, potatoes, vegetables, fruit, rice and pasta, bread, cakes or sweets, olive oil, other oils, animal fat, fried foods, ready meals, preserved food, nuts, bag snacks. Adherence to the Mediterranean diet was estimated through an adaptation of the score

used by *Panagiotakos* in the ATTICA study [19]. A score of 0 was considered minimum adherence, compared to 80, which would be maximum adherence. Adherence to the Mediterranean diet was grouped into three categories low (0-53 points), medium (54-59 points) and high (60-80 points)

Overweight and general obesity was defined as a Body Mass Index (BMI) $\geq 25 \text{g/m}^2$, and abdominal obesity as a waist circumference $\geq 102 \text{ cm}$ in men and $\geq 88 \text{ cm}$ in women. Hypertension was defined as systolic blood pressure $\geq 140 \text{ mmHg}$, or diastolic blood pressure $\geq 90 \text{ mmHg}$, or current use of antihypertensive treatment or having a personal history of hypertension. Hypercholesterolemia was defined as total serum cholesterol $\geq 250 \text{ mg/dl}$, high-density cholesterol level (HDL-C) of as $< 40 \text{ mg/dl}$ in men and $< 50 \text{ mg/dl}$ in women, and hypertriglyceridemia as serum level of triglycerides $\geq 200 \text{ mg/dl}$

Statistical analysis

A descriptive analysis of the distribution of demographic characteristics, lifestyle variables, obesity, and hypertension and biochemical parameters, according to type of prediabetes was performed using the chi-square test, Pearson's chi square (categorical variables), p values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Then it was calculated the percentage of subjects who reverted to normal glycaemia according to these variables and types of prediabetes. Multivariate logistic regression was used to estimate odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) to quantify the association between demographic characteristics, lifestyle variables, obesity, hypertension and biochemical parameters with reversion to normal glycaemia after adjustment for age and sex. ORs of reversion to normal glycaemia associated with type of prediabetes was estimated using three sequential models of adjustment: adjusting for age and sex (Model A); hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, HDL levels and triglycerides (Model B), and alcohol consumption, smoking, BMI, abdominal obesity, physical activity, adherence to Mediterranean diet (Model C). Confounders were included in each model based on its significant association ($p < 0.05$) or based on established knowledge of acting a risk factor biological mechanism of action (i.e. BMI). Each successive model included the factors from the previous model. Finally, prediabetes was further subdivided using as cut-off levels FPG < 110 and $\geq 110 \text{ mg/dl}$ and HbA1c < 42 and $\geq 42 \text{ mmol/mol}$ (< 6 and $\geq 6\%$), respectively, and the relationship between subtype of prediabetes and the reversion to normal glycaemia was also estimated by models A, B y C. Statistical analyses were performed using the STATA package version 12.0 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA).

Results

Baseline characteristics

Among our cohort of prediabetes, mean age was 58.7 years (median: 60 years). Amongst them, 21% of patients were classified as having isolated impaired FPG, 27.6% had isolated elevated HbA1c levels and 50.9% had both altered parameters. **Table 1** shows the baseline characteristics of study cohort according to type of prediabetes. There was an inverse proportion of men and women according to each prediabetes criteria, while 61% of those with isolated impaired FPG were men, corresponding percentages

by isolated elevated HbA1c and both parameters were 38.9% and 50.3%, respectively ($p<0.001$). Distribution of age was similar within groups, although those with isolated IFG tended to be younger. In terms of alcohol consumption, the proportion of daily drinkers were 29% among those with both altered parameters compared to 19.5% among those with isolated elevated HbA1c and 34% among those with isolated impaired FPG. Those with both altered parameters had higher proportions of BMI >25 kg/m² and abdominal obesity. There were no differences in distribution of remaining lifestyle factors as smoking, physical activity and adherence to diet. Those with both altered parameters had a higher frequency of hypertension and triglycerides levels and there were no differences in distribution of hypercholesterolemia or HDL levels.

Reversion rates according to type of prediabetes

At third year of follow up, there were a total of 165 (17.4%) patients who reverted to normal glucose regulation. When stratifying by type of prediabetes, the proportion of patients reverting to normal glycaemia defined by both -HbA1c and FPG criteria had the lowest proportion of reversion (7.9%) compared with those defined with isolated impaired FPG (31.0%) or isolated elevated HbA1c (24.4%), respectively (Figure 1). We subdivided the diagnostic criteria of prediabetes using as cut-off levels FPG <110 and >110 mg/dl and HbA1c <42 and ≥ 42 mmol/mol (<6 and $\geq 6\%$), respectively. Individuals with HbA1c levels ≥ 42 mmol/mol ($\geq 6\%$) had the lowest reversion rates (3.2% for those with FPG: 100-125 mg/dl and 8.7% with FPG <100 mg/dl), while those with isolated FPG <110 mg/dl and isolated HbA1c <42 mmol/mol ($<6\%$) obtained the highest reversion rates (40.7% and 32.9%) (Figure 2).

Factors associated with the reversion to normoglycemia

Table 2 shows the percentage of reversion according to each baseline characteristic factor as well as the OR of reversion. We did not observe any association with sex. There was a trend towards a decreased likelihood of reversion with the increase in age. Lifestyle factors such as BMI <25 kg/m² [OR 1.90 (95% CI:1.20-3.01)] compared to BMI >25 , absence of abdominal obesity [OR 1.70 (95% CI 1.19-2.43)] compared to having a waist circumference ≥ 102 cm in men and ≥ 88 cm in women, a high adherence to Mediterranean diet [OR 1.78 (95% CI 1.21-2.63)] compared to having low/median adherence and following the WHO recommendations on physical activity [OR 1.48 (95% CI:1.04-2.10)] compared to not following them showed to be positive predictive factors associated with reversion to normal glycaemia. Not having hypertension shown to be associated with reversion to normoglycaemia, and there was no association with biochemical parameters such as hypercholesterolemia, HDL low levels or hypertriglyceridemia

Role of Lifestyle factors on reversion according to type of prediabetes

Compared with participants with both FPG and HbA1c criteria, when adjusting by age and sex, the OR of reversion of prediabetes was 4.87 (95% CI: 3.10-7.65) among those with isolated impaired FPG and 3.72 (95% CI: 2.39-5.78) for those with isolated elevated HbA1c. When adding biochemical parameters as well as hypertension (Model B), OR remained almost constant: 4.78 (95% CI: 3.03-7.55) and 3.59 (95% CI:

2.30-5.60), respectively. Finally, when including lifestyle factors (Model C), OR did remain almost the same: 4.52 (95% CI: 2.84-7.18) for isolated impaired FPG group and 3.43 (95% CI: 2.17-5.42) for isolated elevated HbA1c group (Table 3). Also, when subdividing prediabetes cohort according to levels of FPG and HbA1c, the OR in de Model C which was similar to OR in the Model B. Taking as reference those with HbA1c levels ≥ 42 mmol/mol ($\geq 6\%$) and FPG 100-125 mg/dl, the OR for reversion after adjusting for all factors (Model C) were as follows: isolated FPG < 110 mg/dl: 18.21 (95% CI: 8.08-41.06), isolated FPG ≥ 110 mg/dl: 5.75 (95% CI: 2.30-14.37), isolated HbA1c < 42 mmol/mol ($< 6\%$): 13.34 (95% CI: 6.03-29.52), isolated HbA1c ≥ 42 mmol/mol ($\geq 6\%$): 2.70 (95% CI: 0.97-7.51), and HbA1c < 42 mmol/mol ($< 6\%$) and FPG 100-125 mg/dl: 4.36 (95% CI: 1.94-9.80).

Discussion

Main Findings

Reversion to normal glucose regulation at the third year of follow-up was almost four times higher in subjects with isolated impaired HbA1c and almost five times higher in subjects with isolated impaired FPG, compared with subjects with both altered parameters. Adjustment for lifestyles did not modify markedly the magnitude of association between type of prediabetes and reversion to normoglycemia

Comparison with existing literature

Previous studies evaluating the proportion of reversion to normoglycaemia were heterogeneous in design, duration of follow-up and criteria definition, yielding a broad range of reversion rates [20-24]. And only few did it according to prediabetes criteria [13, 25]. A Japanese study similar to ours observed a greater proportion of reversion rates among those with elevated HbA1c levels [25]. Our results showed an opposite trend resulting in lower rates for individuals with HbA1c levels $\geq 6.0\%$ regardless FPG levels, similar to the results provided by a British study [13]. Using the same prediabetes criteria than ours, other study observed that individuals who reverted to normoglycaemia had a low insulin resistance and optimal beta-cell function at baseline [26]. Therefore, it is probable that subject of our study, with HbA1c $\geq 6\%$ at baseline, had an increased insulin resistance and/or a decreased beta cell function, which might explain the lowest reversion rates found.

Baseline characteristics such as age less than 50 years, normal weight, absence of abdominal obesity, physical activity, adherence to Mediterranean diet and absence of hypertension have been associated with a higher likelihood to normal glucose regulation. There are studies in subjects with prediabetes that evaluate reversion to normoglycemia through intervention trials focusing on optimal lifestyle actions. The vast majority, although not all [13, 27], draw similar conclusions than ours [4, 20-21, 23-24, 28]. Several trials that compared diet and physical activity promotion programs versus usual care in person with prediabetes reported reversion to normoglycemia between 20% and 52% [29]. Those findings have been confirmed in an intervention trial among persons with high cardiovascular risk [30].

Evidence suggests larger changes in weight with better alignment with lifestyle intervention. Both, obesity and body fat distribution are critical factors to decrease insulin sensitivity and B cells function [31]. And physical activity causes increased glucose uptake into active muscles balanced by hepatic glucose production and it improves insulin action [32]. There is also evidence that Mediterranean diet improves insulin sensitivity and prevents from diabetes [33]. It is probable that individuals reverting to normoglycemia followed an optimal lifestyle behaviour long time before baseline state.

Strengths and limitations

This is the first study evaluating the role of optimal lifestyle factors in the reversion to normoglycemia according type of prediabetes. Our study highlights the feasibility of conducting a prospective study, with data collected nation-wide by primary care physicians during routine clinical practice. Analytical determinations were performed at different laboratories. This fact could result in some source of misclassification. Since each subject was assigned to the same laboratory during the follow-up, this limitation should be minor and non-differential in relation to the outcome, as it is unlikely the relation between the methods employed by specific laboratories and reversion.

A potential source of misclassification when classifying subjects according to FPG levels cannot be ruled out. HbA1c reflects average plasma glucose over the previous eight to 12 weeks [34], while FPG is subjected to daily variation levels [35]. If any substantial impact of misclassification, we would not be able to found the important observed differences to reversion according isolated impaired FPG levels.

Although 24-h dietary recall and dietary records have been used to measure usual dietary intake, both instruments are expensive and unrepresentative of usual intake and therefore, inadequate for the assessment of past dietary intake [36-37]. The food frequency questionnaire is the most commonly used instrument to assess past dietary intake in epidemiological studies. While the questionnaire of other Spanish study (PREDIMED study) used 14 item food, the PREDAPS Study used 20 in order to include some foods that are eaten as substitutes for the foods that are part of the Mediterranean diet. Therefore, the subjects with high adherence to the Mediterranean diet in the present analysis are strict adherents to this diet, since in the calculation of the score the absence of consumption of these substitute foods has been weighted more. Perhaps this explains that in these subjects the reversion to normoglycemia is 78% higher than in the rest of the subjects. On the other hand, an overestimation of physical activity cannot be excluded due to a possible memory bias of the activities carried out in the last two weeks. However, it is unlikely that this overestimation is differential with respect to the type of prediabetes of the subjects.

Researchers were unable to determine a time-dependent variable. However, the vast majority of the factors considered in the present study are chronic conditions or long-term lifestyle factors not susceptible to a fast variation within the follow-up during the study period. Likewise, we did not include in the analyses the existence or not of pharmacological treatment, as antihypertensive agents and lipid lowering drugs. In any case, there no was association between pharmacological treatment and reversion to normal glycemia (data not shown).

Finally, since reversion to normoglycemia might not be a permanent condition (i.e. some subjects might change from first visit to third) we decided to use as a cutoff point the third visit of follow up to ensure a minimum time-lapse to measure the reversion. The subjects who did not reach the third visit were excluded. When evaluating the baseline characteristics of the dropped-out subjects, there were no significant substantial differences with respect to the subjects who remained in the study. Therefore, a possible selection bias should be ruled out.

Implications for research and/or practice

The criteria of prediabetes are a controversial topic [38-39]. On the other hand, our findings suggest that beyond optimal lifestyles, FPG and HbA1c could be in themselves key markers to revert to normal glycaemia in subjects with prediabetes. Since 41% and 33% of the subjects with isolated FPG <110 mg/dl and isolated HbA1c <6% reverted to normoglycemia, respectively, this might lead into an overdiagnosis of prediabetes. Perhaps those subjects would not be the specific target for intensification of optimizing lifestyle factors and other actions such as initiating antidiabetic therapy. Further studies evaluating the role of optimal lifestyles in prognostic results, among subjects with prediabetes classified with different criteria, will be very useful to harmonize definitions on prediabetes and to better identify specific subjects with a low and high probability of normalizing glycaemia levels.

Conclusion

In conclusion, optimal lifestyle factors showed to be a positive factor to reversion to normoglycaemia after three years of follow up in our prediabetes cohort however, they do not seem to explain differences in the reversion to normal glucose regulation according type of prediabetes.

List Of Abbreviations

ADA: American Diabetes Association

BMI: Body Mass Index

CI: Confidence Intervals

FPG: Fasting Plasma Glucose

HDL-C: High-density Cholesterol Level

IFG: Impaired Fasting Plasma Glucose

IGT: Impaired Glucose Tolerance

OR: Odds Ratios

WHO World Health Organization

Declarations

Competing Interest

Ethics approval and consent to participate: The study was classified by the Spanish Drug and Health Product Agency as a Non-Interventional (Observational) Post-Authorization Study, and the protocol was approved by the Parc de Salut Mar Clinical Research Ethics Committee in Barcelona

Consent to publish: Non-applied

Availability of data and materials: Data will be available upon request

Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Funding: Sanofi and Novartis had no role in the study design, analysis and interpretation of data, writing of the manuscript, nor the decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Authors' Contributions: CG-G and LC-S originated and designed the study, contributed to the analysis of the data and to the drafting of the paper. FJ-N, MM, FJS, JD-ES, SA and RS collected data of the study and contributed to the interpretation of the results and to the drafting of the paper. FJGS and RS collected data of the study and coordinated the writing of the article. RA, and ER contributed to the analysis of the data and to the drafting of the paper. All authors contributed to the final version of the article. All authors have seen and approved the final version. ER is the guarantor of the study.

Acknowledgements: The other members of the PREDAPS Study Group are: Margarita Alonso (CS De la Eria, Asturias), Beatriz Álvarez (CS Andrés Mellado, Madrid), Fernando Álvarez (CS La Calzada 2 , Asturias), J Carlos Álvarez (CS Eras de Renueva, León), M^a del Mar Álvarez (CS Hereza, Madrid), J Joaquín Antón (CS Murcia-Centro, Murcia), Oriol Armengol (EAP Poblenou, Barcelona), Luis Ávila (Consultorio Almachar, Málaga), Carmen Babace (CS Rodríguez Paterna, La Rioja), Lourdes Barutell (CS Andrés Mellado, Madrid), M^a Jesús Bedoya (CS Hereza, Madrid), Belén Benito (EAP Raval Sud , Barcelona), Beatriz Bilbeny (EAP Raval Sud, Barcelona), Marti Birules (EAP Poblenou , Barcelona), Concepción Blanco (CS Sada, A Coruña), M^a Isabel Bobé (EAP La Mina, Barcelona), Carmen Boente (CS Porriño, Pontevedra), Antonia Borrás (CS Canal Salat, Baleares), Remei Bosch (EAP Girona 2 , Girona), M^a Jesús Brito (CS La Matanza, Baleares), Pilar Buil (EAP Azpilagaña, Navarra), J José Cabré (EAP Reus-1 , Tarragona), Ainoha Cambra (CS Arrabal, Zaragoza), Francisco Carbonell (CS Mislata, Valencia), Francisco Carramiñana (CS San Roque, Badajoz), Lourdes Carrillo (CS La Victoria de Acentejo, Santa Cruz de Tenerife), Ana Casorrán (CS Fuente de San Luis, Valencia), Rafael Colas (CS Santoña, Cantabria), Blanca Cordero (CS Sta. María de Benquerencia, Toledo), Xavier Cos (EAP Sant Martí de Provençals, Barcelona), Gabriel Cuatrecasas (CAP de Sarrià, Barcelona), Cristina De Castro (CS Sta. María de Benquerencia, Toledo), Manuel De la Flor (CS Ntra. Sra. de Gracia , Sevilla), Carlos De la Sen (Consultorio San Gabriel, Alicante), Rosa Mar De Miguel (EAP Pubillas Casas, Barcelona), A María De Santiago (Unidad Docente de Atención Familiar y Comunitaria, Guadalajara), Mercedes Del Castillo (CS Andrés Mellado, Madrid), M^a Carmen Durán (CS Lavadores Vigo, Pontevedra), Patxi Ezkurra (CS Zumaia,

Guipúzcoa), Paula Gabriel (EAP Badia del Vallès , Barcelona), Javier Gamarra (CS Medina del Campo Rural, Valladolid), Francisco García (CS Don Benito Este, Badajoz), Luis García-Giralda (CS Murcia Centro, Murcia), F Javier García-Soidán (CS Porriño, Pontevedra), M^a Teresa Gijón (CS Los Yébenes, Madrid), Albert Goday (Endocrinología Hospital del Mar, Barcelona), Ángel Gómez (CS Lasarte, Guipúzcoa), María del Carmen Gómez (CS Vélez-Málaga Norte, Málaga), J Carles González (Girona 3, Girona), María González (CS Alcantarilla Sangonera, Murcia), Esteban Granero (CS Vista Alegre Murcia , Murcia), Ángela Trinidad Gutiérrez (CS El Calero, Las Palmas), Félix Gutiérrez (CS Bombarda-Monsalud, Zaragoza), Luisa Gutiérrez (CS Beraun, Guipúzcoa), M Ángel Gutiérrez (CS Ávila Sur Oeste, Ávila), Ana M^a Hernández (CS El Calero, Las Palmas), Mercedes Ibáñez (CS Vandel, Madrid), Rosario Iglesias (CS Lain Entralgo, Madrid), Dimas Igual (CS Manuel Encinas, Cáceres), Jaime Innenaraty (CS Hereza Leganes, Madrid), Yon Iriarte (CS Aizarnazabal-Getaria, Guipúzcoa), Ángeles Jurado (CS Salvador Caballero, Granada), Rafael Llanes (Villanueva de la Cañada, Madrid), Flora López (EAP Martorell , Barcelona), Riánsares López (CS Artilleros, Madrid), Ángela Lorenzo (CS Alcalá de Guadaira, Madrid), Carmen Losada (UGC Adoratrices, Huelva), Ramón Macía (CS Roces Montevil, Asturias), Fernando Malo (CS Ares, A Coruña), José Mancera (CS Ciudad Jardín, Málaga), M^a José Mansilla (CS Martín de Vargas , Madrid), M^a Teresa Marín (CS General Ricardos, Madrid), José Luis Martín (CS Salvador Caballero, Granada), F Javier Martínez (CS Federica Monseny, Madrid), Juan Martínez (CS Yecla, Murcia), Rosario Martínez (CS Oñati, Guipúzcoa), M^a Soledad Mayayo (CS Martín de Vargas , Madrid), J Javier Mediavilla (CS Burgos Rural, Burgos), Luis Mendo (CS Cadreita, Navarra), J Manuel Millaruelo (CS Torrero La Paz, Zaragoza), Alicia Monzón (CS Vecindario, Las Palmas), Ana Moreno (CAP San Roque, Badajoz), Pedro Muñoz (Unidad Docente de Medicina Familiar y Comunitaria, Cantabria), Xavier Mundet (EAP Carmel, Barcelona), Teresa Mur (CAP Terrasa Sud, Barcelona), Emma Navarro (CS Añaza, Santa Cruz de Tenerife), Jorge Navarro (CS Salvador Pau, Valencia), Pedro Nogales (CS Las Águilas, Madrid), J Carlos Obaya (CS Chopera, Madrid), Francisco Javier Ortega (CS Campos-Lampreana, Zamora), Francisca Paniagua (CS Ciudad Jardín, Málaga), José Luis Pardo (CS Orihuela I, Alicante), Francisco Carlos Pérez (CS Martín de Vargas, Madrid), Pedro P Pérez (CS Mallen, Sevilla), Neus Piulats (EAP Raval Sud , Barcelona), Raquel Plana (CS Pontearreas, Pontevedra), Nuria Porta (CAP Terrassa Sud , Barcelona), Santiago Poveda (CS Jumilla, Murcia), Luis Prieto (CS Cáceres-La Mejostilla, Cáceres), Ramón Pujol (EAP Tremp, Lleida), Jazmín Ripoll (CS Fuente de San Luis, Valencia), Antonio Rodríguez (EAP Anglès, Girona), J José Rodríguez (CS Villaviciosa de Odón, Madrid), M^a Angeles Rollán (CS Los Yébenes, Madrid), Laura Romera (EAP Raval Nord, Barcelona), Jóse Félix Rubio (CS Lasarte, Guipúzcoa), Antonio Ruiz (CS Pinto, Madrid), Irene Ruiz (EAP La Torrassa, Barcelona), Manuel Antonio Ruiz (CS Agost, Alicante), Isabel Sáenz (CS Espronceda, Madrid), Julio Sagredo (CS Los Rosales, Madrid), Alejandro Salanova (CS Fuente de San Luis, Valencia), L Gabriel Sánchez (CS Carballada, Zamora), Manuel Sánchez (CS Vista Alegre Murcia, Murcia), Gloria Sanz (CS San José centro, Zaragoza), Mateu Seguí (UBS Es Castell, Baleares), Dulce Suárez (CS El Calero, Las Palmas), Eduard Tarragó (EAP Bellvitge, Barcelona), Jesús Torrecilla (CS Bombarda-Monsalud, Zaragoza), José Luis Torres (CS Rodríguez Paterna, La Rioja), Merè Villaró (CAP Terrassa sud, Barcelona), Carmen Yuste (EAP Badia del Vallès , Barcelona).

References

1. NCD Risk Factor Collaboration (NCD-RisC). Worldwide trends in diabetes since 1980: a pooled analysis of 751 population-based studies with 4.4 million participants. *Lancet* 2016; 387:1513-1530.
2. Soriguer F, Goday A, Bosch-Comas A, Bordiú E, Calle-Pascual A, Carmena R, Casamitjana R, Castaño L, Castell C, Catalá M, Delgado E, Franch J, Gaztambide S, Gírbés J, Gomis R, Gutiérrez G, López-Alba A, Martínez-Larrad MT, Menéndez E, Mora-Peces I, Ortega E, Pascual-Manich G, Rojo-Martínez G, Serrano-Rios M, Valdés S, Vázquez JA, Vendrell J. Prevalence of diabetes mellitus and impaired glucose regulation in Spain: the Di@bet.es Study. *Diabetologia*. 2012 Jan;55(1):88-93.
3. Mathers CD, Loncar D. Projections of global mortality and burden of disease from 2002 to 2030. *PLoS med* 2006; 3:e442.
4. Perreault L, Kahn SE, Christophi CA, et al. Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group. Regression from prediabetes to normal glucose regulation in the diabetes prevention program. *Diabetes Care* 2009;32:1583-1588.
5. Knowler WC, Barrett-Connor E, Fowler SE, et al. Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group. Reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes with lifestyle intervention or metformin. *N Engl J Med* 2002; 346:393-403.
6. Meigs JB, Muller DC, Nathan DM, et al. Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging. The natural history of progression from normal glucose tolerance to type 2 diabetes in the Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging. *Diabetes* 2003; 52:1475-1484.
7. Li Y, Schoufour J, Wang DD, Dhana K, Pan A, Liu X, Song M, Liu G, Shin HJ, Sun Q, Al-Shaar L, Wang M, Rimm EB, Hertzmark E, Stampfer MJ, Willett WC, Franco OH, Hu FB. Healthy lifestyle and life expectancy free of cancer, cardiovascular disease, and type 2 diabetes: prospective cohort study. *BMJ*. 2020 Jan 8;368:l6669.
8. Stenholm S, Head J, Kivimäki M, Kawachi I, Aalto V, Zins M, Goldberg M, Zaninotto P, Magnuson Hanson L, Westerlund H, Vahtera J. Smoking, physical inactivity and obesity as predictors of healthy and disease-free life expectancy between ages 50 and 75: a multicohort study. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2016 Aug;45(4):1260-1270.
9. American Diabetes Association. Classification and diagnosis of diabetes: standards of medical care in diabetes-2018. *Diabetes Care* 2018; 41:S13-S27.
10. DREAM (Diabetes REduction Assessment with ramipril and rosiglitazone Medication) Trial Investigators, Gerstein HC, Yusuf S, Bosch J, et al. Effect of rosiglitazone on the frequency of diabetes in patients with impaired glucose tolerance or impaired fasting glucose: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2006; 368:1096-1105.
11. Ramachandran A, Snehalatha C, Mary S, et al. The Indian Diabetes Prevention Programme shows that lifestyle modification and metformin prevent type 2 diabetes in Asian Indian subjects with impaired glucose tolerance (IDPP-1). *Diabetologia* 2006; 49:289-297.
12. Engberg S, Glümer C, Witte DR, et al. Differential relationship between physical activity and progression to diabetes by glucose tolerance status: the Inter99 Study. *Diabetologia* 2010; 53:70-78.
13. Færch K, Witte DR, Brunner EJ, et al. Physical activity and improvement of glycemia in prediabetes by different diagnostic criteria. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2017; 102:3712-3721.
14. Serrano R, García-Soidán FJ, Díaz-Redondo A, et al; Grupo de Estudio PREDADS Cohort Study in Primary Health Care on the Evolution of Patients with Prediabetes (PREDAPS): basis and methodology. *Rev Esp Salud Publica* 2013; 87:121-135.
15. American Diabetes Association. Diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Care* 2011; 34:S62–S69.
16. World Health Organization. Global recommendations on physical activity for health. World Health Organization 2010; Geneva.
17. Martín-Moreno JM, Boyle P, Gorgojo L, Maisonneuve P, Fernandez-Rodriguez JC, Salvini S, Willett WC. Development and validation of a food frequency questionnaire in Spain. *Int J Epidemiol*. 1993;22:512–519.
18. Fernández-Ballart JD, Piñol JL, Zazpe I, Corella D, Carrasco P, Toledo E, Perez-Bauer M, Martínez-González MA, Salas-Salvadó J, Martín-

Moreno JM. Relative validity of a semi-quantitative food-frequency questionnaire in an elderly Mediterranean population of Spain. *Br J Nutr*. 2010;103:1808–1816 19. Panagiotakos DB, Pitsavos C, Stefanadis C. Dietary patterns: a mediterranean diet score and its relation to clinical and biological markers of cardiovascular disease risk. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* 2006; 16:559-568 20. Wong MS, Gu K, Heng D, et al. The Singapore impaired glucose tolerance follow-up study: does the ticking clock go backward as well as forward?. *Diabetes Care* 2003; 26:3024-3030. 21. Janghorbani M, Amini M. Normalization of glucose intolerance in first-degree relatives of patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2010; 88:295-301. 22. Tabák AG, Herder C, Rathmann W, et al. Prediabetes: a high-risk state for diabetes development. *Lancet* 2012; 379:2279-2290. 23. Perreault L, Pan Q, Mather KJ, et al. Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group. Effect of regression from prediabetes to normal glucose regulation on long-term reduction in diabetes risk: results from the Diabetes Prevention Program Outcomes Study. *Lancet* 2012; 379:2243-2251. 24. Guo VY, Yu EY, Wong CKh, et al. Validation of a nomogram for predicting regression from impaired fasting glucose to normoglycaemia to facilitate clinical decision making. *Fam Pract* 2016; 33:401-407. 25. Heianza Y, Arase Y, Fujihara K, et al. Screening for pre-diabetes to predict future diabetes using various cut-off points for HbA(1c) and impaired fasting glucose: the Toranomon Hospital Health Management Center Study 4 (TOPICS 4). *Diabet Med* 2012; 29:e279-e285. 26. Kim CH, Kim HK, Kim EH, et al. Longitudinal changes in insulin resistance, beta-cell function and glucose regulation status in prediabetes. *Am J Med Sci* 2018; 355:54-60. 27. Kowall B, Rathmann W, Heier M, et al. Impact of weight and weight change on normalization of prediabetes and on persistence of normal glucose tolerance in an older population: the KORA S4/F4 study. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 2012; 36:826-833. 28. Alvarsson M, Hilding A, Ostenson CG. Factors determining normalization of glucose intolerance in middle-aged Swedish men and women: a 8-10-year follow-up. *Diabet Med* 2009; 26:345-353. 29. Balk EM, Earley A, Raman G, Avendano EA, Pittas AG, Remington PL. Combined Diet and Physical Activity Promotion Programs to Prevent Type 2 Diabetes Among Persons at Increased Risk: A Systematic Review for the Community Preventive Services Task Force. *Ann Intern Med*. 2015;163(6):437–451. doi:10.7326/M15-0452 30. Salas-Salvadó J, Bulló M, Estruch R, Ros E, Covas MI, Ibarrola-Jurado N, Corella D, Arós F, Gómez-Gracia E, Ruiz-Gutiérrez V, Romaguera D, Lapetra J, Lamuela-Raventós RM, Serra-Majem L, Pintó X, Basora J, Muñoz MA, Sorlí JV, Martínez-González MA. Prevention of diabetes with Mediterranean diets: a subgroup analysis of a randomized trial. *Ann Intern Med*. 2014 Jan 7;160(1):1-10 31. Al-Goblan AS, Al-Alfi MA, Khan MZ. Mechanism linking diabetes mellitus and obesity. *Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes* 2014; 7:587-591 32. Colberg SR, Sigal RJ, Yardley JE, et al. Physical activity/exercise and diabetes: a position statement of the American Diabetes Association. *Diabetes Care* 2016; 39:2065-2079 33. Kirpitch AR, Maryniuk MD. The 3 R's of glycemic index: recommendations, research, and the real world. *Clinical Diabetes* 2011; 29:155-159 34. World Health Organization. Use of glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) in the diagnosis of diabetes mellitus: abbreviated report of a WHO consultation. World Health Organization 2011; Geneva 35. Mooy JM, Grootenhuys PA, de Vries H, et al. Intra-individual variation of glucose, specific insulin and proinsulin concentrations measured by two oral glucose tolerance tests in a general Caucasian population: the Hoorn Study. *Diabetologia* 1996; 39:298-305 36. Grandjean AC. Dietary intake data collection: challenges and limitations. *Nutr Rev*. 2012;70(Suppl 2):S101–4 37. Willett W.

Nutritional epidemiology. In: Rothman KJ, Greenlands S. Modern Epidemiology. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 1998: 623-642

Tables

Table 1 Baseline characteristics of study cohort individuals according type of prediabetes.

Characteristics	Isolated impaired FPG FPG:100-125 mg/dl N= 316		Isolated elevated HbA1c HbA1c:39-47 mmol/mol N= 254		Both altered parameters FPG:100-125 mg/dl and HbA1c:39-47 mmol/mol N=614		<i>p value group1 vs group 2</i>	<i>p value group1 vs. group 3</i>	<i>p value group 2 vs. group 3</i>
	N	%	N	N	N	%			
Sex							<0.001	0.014	0.003
Women	79	38.9	240	240	240	49.7			
Men	124	61.1	243	243	243	50.3			
Age							0.18	<0.001	0.13
30-49 years	46	22.7	60	60	60	12.4			
50-64 years	104	51.2	241	241	241	49.9			
65+ years	53	26.1	182	182	182	37.7			
Smoking							0.03	0.05	0.40
Current smoker	28	13.8	77	77	77	15.9			
Former smoker	96	47.3	180	180	180	37.3			
Never smoker	79	38.9	229	229	229	46.8			
Alcohol consumption							0.001	0.12	0.02
Daily drinker	69	34	140	140	140	29			
Occasionally drinker	84	41.4	187	187	187	38.7			
Never drinker	50	24.6	156	156	156	32.3			
MI							0.52	<0.001	<0.001
Overweight/Obese (≥25 kg/m ²)	169	83.3	448	448	448	83.3			
Normal weight (up to 25 kg/m ²)	34	16.7	35	35	35	16.7			
Obesity abdominal							0.54	<0.001	<0.001
Waist ≥ 88/102 cm	119	58.6	362	362	362	74.9			
Waist <88/102 cm	84	41.4	121	121	121	25.1			
Physical Activity							0.83	0.44	0.28
Do not follow WHO recommendations	251	55.2	112	112	112	52.0			
Follow WHO recommendations	91	44.8	232	232	232	48			
Adherence to Mediterranean diet							0.01	0.93	0.03
Low	51	25.1	125	125	125	25.9			
Medium	98	48.3	236	236	236	48.9			
High	54	26.6	122	122	122	25.2			
Hypertension							0.16	0.01	<0.001
Yes	130	64.0	359	359	359	74.3			
No	73	36.0	124	124	124	25.7			
Hypercholesterolemia							0.06	0.20	0.38
Yes	112	55.2	292	292	292	60.5			
No	91	44.8	191	191	191	39.5			
Low HDL levels							0.68	0.04	0.08
Yes	38	18.7	125	125	125	25.9			
No	165	81.3	358	358	358	74.1			
Hypertriglyceridemia							0.87	0.01	0.002
Yes	47	23.2	161	161	161	33.3			

No	156	76.8	322	322	322	66.7
----	-----	------	-----	-----	-----	------

*Chi square of heterogeneity

Table 2 Percentage of reversion to normal glucose regulation and odds ratio (OR) according to the characteristics of the subjects

Characteristics, N=	Percentage of reversion	Odds ratio (95% confidence interval)*
Sex		
Women	16.7	1.00
Men	18.1	1.06 (0.75-1.49)
Age		
30-49 years	31.5	2.92 (1.82-4.69)
50-64 years	15.6	1.17 (0.78-1.76)
65+ years	13.6	1.00
Smoking		
Current smoker	17.2	1.00
Former smoker	17.8	1.23 (0.74-2.04)
Never smoker	17.1	1.19 (0.71-1.99)
Alcohol consumption		
Daily drinker	14.6	1.00
Occasionally drinker	19.9	1.33 (0.85-2.07)
Never drinker	16.6	1.10 (0.66-1.82)
BMI		
Overweight/Obese (>25 kg/m ²)	16.2	1.00
Normal weight (up to 25 kg/m ²)	26.1	1.90 (1.20-3.01)
Physical Activity		
Do not follow WHO recommendations	14.9	1.00
Follow WHO recommendations	19.4	1.48 (1.04-2.10)
Adherence to Mediterranean diet		
Low/Medium	17.9	1.00
High	17.2	1.78 (1.21-2.63)
Obesity abdominal		
Waist >= 88/102 cm	14.8	1.00
Waist <88/102 cm	22.9	1.70 (1.19-2.43)
Hypertension		
Yes	14.7	1.00
No	23.1	1.53 (1.06-2.19)
Hypercholesterolemia		
Yes	17.3	1.00
No	17.5	1.02 (0.72-1.45)
Low HDL levels		
Yes	18.5	1.00
No	17.1	0.98 (0.65-1.47)
Hypertriglyceridemia		
Yes	14.6	1.00
No	18.5	1.38 (0.93-2.05)

* Sex and age adjusted odds ratio, except the odds ratios according sex and age

All variables were considered and treated as categorical variables

Table 3 Reversion to normal glucose regulation. Odds ratio (and 95% confidence interval) according prediabetes type and according prediabetes subtype.

Prediabetes Cohort, N=984	Model A	Model B	Model C
Prediabetes type			
Both altered parameters	1.00	1.00	1.00
Isolated elevated HbA1c	3.72 (2.39-5.78)	3.59 (2.30-5.60)	3.43 (2.17-5.42)
Isolated IFG	4.87 (3.10-7.65)	4.78 (3.03-7.55)	4.52 (2.84-7.18)
Prediabetes subtype			
HbA1C ≥42 mmol/mol and FPG 100-125 mg/dl	1.00	1.00	1.00
HbA1c<42 mmol/mol and FPG 100-125 mg/dl	4.54 (2.03-10.17)	4.46 (1.99-9.99)	4.36 (1.94-9.80)
Isolated HbA1c≥42 mmol/mol	2.81 (1.02-7.74)	2.75 (0.99-7.61)	2.70 (0.97-7.51)
Isolated HbA1c <42 mmol/mol	14.65 (6.73-31.91)	14.11 (6.44-30.94)	13.34 (6.03-29.52)
Isolated FPG≥110 mg/dl	6.18 (2.50-15.30)	6.03 (2.43-14.98)	5.75 (2.30-14.37)
Isolated FPG<110 mg/dl	19.76 (8.84-44.15)	19.28 (8.60-43.25)	18.21 (8.08-41.06)

Model A: Adjusted by sex and age

Model B: Model A plus hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, HDL levels and Triglycerides

Model C: Model B plus alcohol consumption, smoking, BMI, abdominal obesity, physical activity, adherence to Mediterranean diet

All variables were considered and treated as categorical variables

Figures

Figure 1

Proportion of patients reverting from prediabetes to normal glycaemia stratified by type of prediabetes.

Figure 2

Proportion of patients reverting from prediabetes to normal glycaemia stratified by subtype of prediabetes

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [SupplementalTable1.docx](#)