Needs, Aggravation, and Degree of Burnout in Informal Care Providers of Patients with Chronic CVD

Elżbieta Szlenk-Czyczerska (✉ ela6035@onet.pl)

Panstwowa Medyczna Wyższa Szkoła Zawodowa w Opolu <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8145-6768>

Marika Guzik

Medical and Diagnostic Centre (MDC), Siedlce, Poland

Dorota Emilia Bielska

Department of Family Medicine, Medical University of Białystok, Poland

Anna Ławnik

Pope John Paul State School in Biała Podlaska, Faculty of Health Sciences and Social Sciences, Poland

Piotr Polański

Family physician's, Non-Public Healthcare Center, Mieroszów, Poland

Donata Kurpas

Department of Family Medicine, Wrocław Medical University, Poland

Research article

Keywords: informal caretaker, met and unmet needs, growing burnout

Posted Date: February 10th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.2.22958/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background. A growing number of patients with chronic cardiovascular disease (CVD) creates a growing demand for homecare. Personal and professional limitations, health issues, and lack of systemic support of informal care providers are major causes of insufficient care models for chronically ill patients in home environments. This study aimed to identify the sociodemographic variables that are associated with the needs and increasing occupational burnout observed among home care providers.

Methods. This study reports on 161 informal home care providers of patients with CVDs. The research was conducted in the homes of patients, using the Camberwell Assessment of Need Short Appraisal Schedule (CANSAS), the Maslach Burnout Inventory (MBI), and a structured interview questionnaire developed by the authors. Spearman's rank correlation coefficient test and logistic regression were used for analyses.

Results. The majority of the participants were female (70.2%; $n=113$). We found that younger care providers were less likely to report unmet needs ($p = 0.011$), and less likely to report burnout as measured by the MBI Emotional Exhaustion ($p = 0.010$) and Depersonalization ($p = 0.009$) subscales. Care providers with primary education were more likely to report burnout on the MBI Depersonalization subscale ($p = 0.028$). In addition, care providers who worked more often reported higher levels of met needs ($p = 0.022$), and burnout as measured on the MBI Depersonalization ($p = 0.005$) and Emotional Exhaustion ($p = 0.018$) subscales. Subjects residing in urban areas were more likely to report unmet needs ($p = 0.007$), and were also more likely to report burnout as measured on the MBI Emotional Exhaustion ($p = 0.006$) subscale.

Conclusion. Older care providers who are unemployed and reside in cities and unemployed should be targeted with directed programmes to determine the category of unmet needs, and for personalized support. Care providers with these demographic characteristics should be targeted for occupational burnout prevention programmes.

Background

The care of chronically ill and/or elderly patients is a priority in most countries, and a patient's home is considered to be the preferable location for providing care [1]. As the number of elderly and/or chronically ill individuals continues to grow globally, the role of 'informal care providers' becomes more relevant. Informal care providers are most often family members or friends who work for free and provide support to those in need [2].

In Europe, there are an estimated 100 million informal care providers. The estimated care contribution of these providers exceeds the financial expenses of formal nursing care. In England and Wales alone, an estimated 950 000 residents over the age of 65 are thought to be informal care providers, and 65% of these individuals (aged 60-94) experience long term health problems or disabilities themselves [3].

In Poland, it is clear that the majority of chronically ill and/or disabled individuals in need receive help from informal family care providers [4]. According to the Central Office of Statistics, three out of four care providers look after their own family members, are male, and reside in urban areas. In contrast, females and those who reside in cities provide support to patients who family members, as well as, individuals outside of their family. The latter feature applies to every fifth caregiver. A very small group of individuals (16%) was found to provide care to individuals both in and outside of their family, at least once per week [5].

Previous research clearly demonstrates that informal care providers play a substantial role in homecare [6]. Despite the increasing awareness of the key role of care providers, there is a lack of knowledge on how to support them. Further, the support of elderly, chronically ill, and/or disabled informal care providers requires more attention and recognition. There is a strong need for access to different forms of support services that care providers might be able to choose from [7,8].

One previous study among care providers of patients recovering from a cerebrovascular incident examined the impact of caregiver support on quality of life and perceived burden [8]. This study showed that, if not supported by health services, care providers showed a deterioration in their own health. Further, females and subjects under 60 were most frequently exposed to stress. Previous research has also demonstrated that care providers suffer more mental distress and the overload is more severe when they do not have an additional assistant, or when they have their own health issues [9]. Current recommendations for the homecare of elderly and/or chronically ill patients should be sustained; however, the needs, expectations, and health condition of care providers should be taken into much greater consideration [6].

In Poland, the reality is that most chronically ill patients and those in the end-of-life phase remain at home and health care is provided by family members and other informal care providers. There is, however, a lack information regarding these informal forms of care [6].

In particular, there is a paucity of data in the literature regarding the relationship between sociodemographic factors and the needs and severity of burnout in care of CVD patients.

Present study

The present study aimed examine the impact of sociodemographic variables on informal care providers of chronically ill patients, and determine the relationship between these variables and the needs and severity of burnout. Results of this study may help to identify the individuals and families who need support in taking care of patients who are not self-reliant patients. Results may also inform the creation of evaluation tools to assess the quality of care, and the needs and expectations of care, from somatic, mental, social, and environmental perspectives.

Methods

Study Design

The present study is a part of a larger study that aims to determine a more accurate and effective home care model for chronically ill patients with CVDs, and to assess the quality of life of informal care providers, as well as, the severity of caregiver burnout.

Setting

The study was carried out in eight primary healthcare units in Opolskie, Lower Silesian, Masovian, Lublin, and Podlachian Voivodeships. The subjects were informal Polish care providers who support chronically ill CVD patients at their homes. Care providers were considered eligible for the study only if their patients consented to participate, as well. Care providers were invited to take part in the research study during the visit of a family nurse to the home of a CVD patient. Care providers had to visit their patient regularly, but were not required to reside in the patient's home. The questionnaires were completed in the care providers' home environment. Patients were provided family nurse services, and both patients and their care providers were given two sets of questionnaires that were identically marked. Additionally, the nurse was instructed to fill out the questionnaire regarding the same patient (i.e., paired survey). The nurses collected data between March 2016 and January 2017.

Participants

To be considered eligible for the study, care providers were required to be (1) over the age of 18 years, and (2) providing care for a patient with CVD who stays at home and is assisted by a family nurse. Potential participants with cognitive disorders, mental disorders, and other factors that may confound the results (e.g., vision disorders, non-Polish nationality) were excluded from the study.

Variables

This study analysed 36 variables, ranging from sociodemographic variables (e.g., sex, age, marital status, education, place of residence, the degree of relation to the patient, employment, period of care given), as well as, variables relating to the degree of burden experienced among home care providers. Somatic, mental, social, and environmental aspects of burden were assessed using the Camberwell Assessment of Need Short Appraisal Schedule (CANSAS) and the Maslach Burnout Inventory (MBI).

Research tools

Needs of informal home care providers of CVD patients were assessed with the CANSAS. The CANSAS consists of 24 questions that cover 22 different problem areas related to the somatically and chronically ill. Of note, the questionnaire is for individuals who do not display severe mental disorders. Participants rate the different needs as either unmet (coded 0) or met (coded 1). We computed the total number of needs (N) that participants reported as unmet and met. Of note, missing data (i.e., participant nonresponses) were omitted from analysis. In addition, we computed the Camberwell index, which was calculated according to the formula $1-M/N$. The consistency for the CANSAS questionnaire (i.e., Cronbach's alpha) is 0.82 [10].

The present study also administered the Maslach Burnout Inventory (MBI), which was developed by Maslach and Jackson in 1981. The MBI provide insight into three components of the burnout syndrome, which are divided into subscales, namely: (1) emotional exhaustion (EE), (2) depersonalization (DE), and (3) a decreased level of personal achievement (PA). The MBI questionnaire contains 22 test items that are divided into the three burnout subscales, listed above. Nine of the 22 items correspond to the emotional exhaustion subscale, five items to the DE subscale, and eight items correspond to decreased PA. The test items in emotional exhaustion and depersonalization subscales are formulated negatively, whereas items from the decreased PA subscale are positive. Each question is structured affirmatively and relates to the attitude and feelings that a participant may experience and rates on a 7-point scale (where 0 means never, and 6 means every day). The results are calculated separately for each subscale. A high level of burnout is characterized by high scores on the EE and DE subscales and low scores on the decreased level of PA subscale. The MBI questionnaire is frequently applied in research on occupational burnout. According to Maslach and Jackson, the MBI can be used in professions that require contacting other people. It was, however, indicated, that after the adaptation, the questionnaire might be applied more broadly by changing some words, but the meaning of the scale remains the same [12].

To assess the sociodemographic aspects of the care providers, an interview questionnaire was administered that assessed sex, age, marital status, education, place of residence, degree of relation to the patient, employment, and the period of care provided.

Study size

The study was carried out among 200 CVD patients and 180 informal care providers (20 patients did not receive care). The final sample size was influenced by the availability of the participants. Indeed, the major reason provided for non-participation was lack of time. 161 informal care providers accepted the invitation to participate and ultimately completed the study. Of note, there were missing data from care providers for the following variables: age, marital status, employment, and period of caretaking. Thus, the numbers provided in the columns do not sum up to 161. Of note, for three cases, a non-family caregiver was both a neighbour and an informal partner. Although some small fluctuations in numbers can be found, the results are believed to be accurate.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study was approved by the Bioethical Commission at Medical University in Wroclaw (No KB -86/2016). Participation in the study was voluntary and anonymous. All participants were informed about the study aims, methods, and the ability to withdrawal participation at any stage of the examination.

Statistical methods

The results of the study were subject to statistical analysis with the use of R statistical package (version 3.4.0).

For the quantitative variables, the arithmetic mean, standard deviation, first quartile (Q.25%), second quartile (Q.50%) of the median, third quartile (Q.75%), minimum, and maximum were calculated. For qualitative variables, frequency (i.e., percent) was determined. The Shapiro-Wilk test showed that only two qualitative variables (i.e., age, decreased level of PA on the MBI) showed a normal distribution. The other included variables diverged from the normal distribution. Chi-square test was used to assess the qualitative variables.

The relationship between sociodemographic variables and the assessment of needs and severity of burnout was analysed using Spearman's rank correlation coefficient, which does not require normal distribution of the variables. The null hypothesis (H0) is tested wherein the Spearman's rank correlation coefficient equals 0. The alternative hypothesis that the correlation coefficient differs from 0. The null hypothesis (H0) was rejected if the *p*-value was < 0.05 ($\alpha = 0.05$).

Logistic regression analysis was used to describe the relationship between demographic data of care providers and their needs and severity of burnout, via an odds ratio. Separate regression analyses were carried out for each of the following dichotomous outcome variables:

- unmet needs: 0, if median scores on the Camberwell ≤ 0.875 ; 1, if Camberwell > 875,
- EE: 0, if median scores on the MBI-EE ≤ 20 ; 1 if MBI-EE > 20,
- DE: 0, if median scores on the MBI-D ≤ 6 ; 1, if MBI-D > 6,
- decreased level of PA: 0, if MBI-PA ≤ 29 (median); 1 if MBI-PA > 29.

Next, for each explained variable, a separate logistic regression analysis was carried out that examined all possible models that included at least 9 explanatory variables and a given explained variable.

Only the models that had statistically relevant variables were chosen for follow-up analysis. In addition, for our analyses we chose to run a fewer number of models, but with the highest possible number of explanatory variables per model. Using the models, odds ratios were calculated for the outcome variables examined. The significance level was established at 0.05.

Results

Participants

Most of the care providers for CVD patients were women (70.2%; $n = 113$), and the median age was 55 years (min.–max.: 17.00–95.00; IQR: 42.50–55.00–64.00). The majority of care providers were married (65.6%; $n = 105$), highly-educated (28.6%; $n = 46$) or with secondary education (23.6%; $n = 38$), and resided in cities (58.4%; $n = 94$). Homecare was provided primarily by the patients' parents (42.3%; $n = 63$), followed by spouses (28.2%; $n = 42$) and other relatives (18.1%; $n = 27$). 48.4% ($n = 76$) of care providers were employed full-time, and 36.9% ($n = 58$) were currently unemployed. The median period of care given was 4 years (min.–max.: 1.00–51.00; IQR: 2.00–10.00, $p < 0.001$) (see Table 1).

Table 1. Sociodemographic data of care providers*

Variable (n = 161)		n	%
Gender	women	113	70.2
	men	48	29.8
Age (in years)	n	159	
	mean (M)	54.33	
	standard deviation (SD)	15.36	
	median (Me)	55.00	
	Q.25%-Q.50%-Q.75% min.-max.	42.50- 55.00-64.00 17.00-95.00	
Education	primary	16	9.9
	vocational	37	23
	secondary without Matura Exam	7	4.3
	secondary with Matura Exam	38	23.6
	post-secondary	11	6.8
	BA	6	3.7
	MA	46	28.6
	total	161	100
Place of residence	urban	94	58.4
	rural	67	41.6
	total	161	100
Marital status	single	31	19.4
	married	105	65.6
	widowed	15	9.4
	divorced	9	5.6
	total	160	100
Family-related care provider	wife/husband	42	28.2
	brother/sister	6	4
	mother/father	63	42.3
	uncle/aunt	5	3.4
	cousin	6	4
	other	27	18.1
	total	149	100
Non-family care provider	neighbour	9	60
	informal partner	4	26.7
	other	2	13.3
	total	15	100
Employment	full time	76	48.4
	part-time	10	6.4
	sick leave-child care	6	3.8
	sick leave	1	0.6
	unemployment benefit	6	3.8
	unemployed	58	36.9
	total	157	100
Period of homecare (in years)	n	131	
	mean (M)	7.20	
	standard deviation (SD)	9.29	
	median (Me)	4.00	
	Q.25%-Q.50%-Q.75% min.-max.	2.00-4.00-10.00 1.00-51.00	

Legend: n-group quantity, % - percentage; M – mean; SD – standard deviation; Q.25% – first quartile; Me – median; Q.75% – third quartile; Min. – minimum; Max. – maximum. *The figures in column n do not sum up to 161 due to missing data.

Assessment of the level of needs and severity of burnout among care providers

The calculated Camberwell index is 0.88 (min.–max.: 0.44–1). The median score on the emotional exhaustion subscale is 20 (min.–max.: 0–50); the median DE score is 6 (min.–max.: 0–24), and the mean decreased level of PA is 29.07 ± 8.43 . These data suggest a moderate level of EE, a low level of DE, and high level of PA (see Table 2).

Table 2. Assessment of the level of needs and burnout among care providers

Variable	n	M	SD	Q,25%	Me	Q,75%	Min.	Max.	p
Camberwell Index	161	0.83	0.13	0.76	0.88	0.94	0.44	1.00	< 0.001
Emotional exhaustion (EE)	154	20.28	12.52	10.00	20.00	28.00	0.00	50.00	0.002
Depersonalization (DE)	155	7.22	6.00	2.00	6.00	11.50	0.00	24.00	< 0.001
Personal Accomplishment (PA)	147	29.07	8.43	23.00	29.00	35.00	8.00	48.00	0.322

Legend: n – group quantity; M – mean; SD – standard deviation; Q,25% – first quartile; Me – median; Q,75% – third quartile; Min. – minimum; Max. – maximum; p – calculated level of significance for standard test Shapiro-Wilk. *The figures in column n do not sum up to 161 due to missing data.

Significant Correlations

We tested for relationships between various sociodemographic variables and the assessment of needs. Needs met was negatively correlated with both age ($p = 0.011$) and employment ($p = 0.022$) such that higher caregiver report of needs met was reported among younger and employed examinees. A lower rate of needs met was reported among care providers residing in urban areas as compared to those in rural ones ($p = 0.007$) (Table 3).

Table 3. Relationship between the variable sociodemographic data and the assessment of the met needs

Variable	Camberwell Index	
	r	p
Gender	0.10	0.197
Age (in years)	-0.20	0.011
Marital status	-0.06	0.482
Education	-0.01	0.877
Family-related caregiver	-0.08	0.330
Non-family caregiver	0.27	0.339
Employment	-0.18	0.022
Place of residence (urban/rural)	0.21	0.007

Legend: p – level of significance for test verifying null hypothesis, that $r = 0$ in contrary to $r \neq 0$; r – Spearman correlation coefficient; r – if $p \leq 0.05$.

Next, we tested for associations between sociodemographic variables and severity of burnout among care providers. We found that severity of burnout was positively associated age, marital status, and employment. Severity of burnout was negatively associated with education and place of residence. Lower results in EE and DE subscales were more frequently found in younger ($p = 0.010$; $p = 0.009$), single or married ($p = 0.02$; $p = 0.003$) care providers than in older, widowed or divorced providers. Care providers residing in cities reported higher rates of EE ($p = 0.006$) than those living in the country. Higher values of DE were observed among less educated ($p = 0.028$) care providers as compared to well-educated providers. Employed care providers showed lower rates of burnout on DE ($p = 0.005$) and EE ($p = 0.018$) subscales than unemployed providers (Table 4).

Table 4. The relationship between variable sociodemographic data and the severity of burnout among care providers

Variable	MBI Emotional Exhaustion (EE)		MBI Depersonalization (DE)		MBI Personal Accomplishment (PA)	
	r	p	r	p	r	p
Gender	-0.04	0.640	0.00	0.969	-0.04	0.647
Age (in years)	0.21	0.010	0.21	0.009	-0.08	0.336
Marital status	0.19	0.020	0.24	0.003	-0.09	0.303
Education	-0.11	0.164	-0.18	0.028	0.12	0.136
Family-related caregiver	-0.12	0.148	-0.06	0.492	-0.09	0.321
Non-family caregiver	-0.11	0.708	-0.08	0.775	-0.15	0.596
Employment	0.19	0.018	0.23	0.005	-0.09	0.264
Place of residence (urban/rural)	-0.22	0.006	-0.11	0.184	0.15	0.062

Legend: p – level of significance for test verifying null hypothesis, that $r = 0$ in contrary to $r \neq 0$; r – Spearman correlation coefficient; r – if $p \leq 0.05$.

Main results

We also tested for a potential between burnout and unmet needs among care providers. We found a significant relationship ($p < 0.001$) between unmet needs and burnout in the all three MBI subscales (i.e., EE, DE, PA; see Table 5).

Table 5. Analysis between subscales MBI of the unmet needs

Variable	Unmet Needs	
	<i>r</i>	<i>p</i>
MBI - Emotional Exhaustion (EE)	-0.47	$p < 0.001$
MBI - Depersonalization (DE)	-0.34	$p < 0.001$
MBI - Personal Accomplishment (PA)	0.32	$p < 0.001$

Legend: p – level of significance for test verifying null hypothesis, that $r = 0$ in contrast to $r \neq 0$; r – Spearman correlation coefficient; r – if $p \leq 0.05$.

Method of logistic regression

The logistic regression performed in care providers of CVD patients was also significant for unmet needs (Table 6; odds ratios reported in Table 6a).

Table 6. Results of logistic regression analysis in care providers. Explained variable: unmet needs (0-more unmet needs, 1- fewer unmet needs)

Explanatory variables			bi	SE _i	z _i	p _i = Pr(> z _i)
Models with 2 explanatory variables						
Model 1 (n=161)						
Chi² = 9.66, df = 2, p = 0.008, pseudo R² = 0.04						
Free term			-	-	-	-
1	X1	Gender	0.919	0.320	2.874	0.004
2	X2	Age	-0.023	0.008	-2.980	0.003
Model 2 (n=161)						
Chi² = 4.97, df = 2, p = 0.083, pseudo R² = 0.02						
Free term			-	-	-	-
1	X1	Gender	0.506	0.242	2.085	0.037
2	X3	Marital status	-0.335	0.157	-2.134	0.033
Models with 3 explanatory variables						
Model 3 (n=161)						
Chi² = 11.15, df = 3, p = 0.011, pseudo R² = 0.05						
Free term			-	-	-	-
1	X4	Place of residence	0.340	0.115	2.955	0.003
2	X5	Education	-0.117	0.059	-1.978	0.048
3	X8	Employment	-0.161	0.063	-2.559	0.011

Legend: Chi-squared – statistical hypothesis test of chi2 model adjustment; df – number of degrees of freedom;

p – calculated level of test significance (if $p \leq 0.05$, model introduces relevant information as it differs significantly from free term model); pseudo R^2 – value which evaluates explanatory variable anticipation according to the model ($0 \leq \text{pseudo } R^2 < 1$, the bigger the value the better anticipation); bi – coefficient estimation in regression model; SE_i – standard error estimation for bi coefficient; z_i – value of test statistics in standard distribution; ($p_i = \text{Pr}(>|z_i|)$) – calculated probability value p_i for double-sided critical area equal to z (if $p_i \leq 0.05$, null hypothesis is rejected that bi coefficient = 0 which means that i -variable is relevant in the model); n -group quantity.

Table 6a presents the results of the odds ratio in logistic regression model for the risk of unmet needs occurrence among care providers. It was found that female care providers are 2.51 times more likely to experience unmet needs than male care providers.

Younger care providers were 1.02 times less likely to report unmet needs than care providers who were a year older, and were 6.07 times less likely than 78 year-old providers.

Care providers with a rank in terms of marital status lower by 1 were 1.4 times less likely to report unmet needs than those with a higher category. In particular, single care providers were 2.73 times less likely to report unmet needs as compared to divorced care providers.

Care providers with a higher rank in terms of education were 1.12 times more likely to report unmet needs than those with a lower educational rank. In particular, care providers with primary education were 2.02 times less likely to report unmet needs than highly-educated providers.

Care providers with a lower rank in terms of employment were 1.17 times less likely to report unmet needs than those in employment categories higher by 1. In particular, care providers who are employed full-time were 2.24 times less likely to report unmet needs than unemployed providers.

Table 6a. Odds ratio of logistic regression model in the group of care providers. Explained variable: unmet needs (0-more unmet needs, 1- fewer unmet needs)

Explanatory variable		Per unit				Per range				
		OR	95% CI		1/OR	OR	95% CI		1/OR	range
Model 1										
X1	Gender (1-2)	2.51	1.36	4.81	0.40	2.51	1.36	4.81	0.40	1
X2	Age (17-95)	0.98	0.96	0.99	1.02	0.16	0.05	0.52	6.07	78
Model 2										
X3	Marital status (1-4)	0.72	0.52	0.98	1.40	0.37	0.14	0.90	2.73	3
Model 3										
X4	Place of residence (1-4)	1.40	1.13	1.77	0.71	2.77	1.43	5.56	0.36	3
X5	Education (1-7)	0.89	0.79	0.99	1.12	0.50	0.24	0.98	2.02	6
X8	Employment (1-6)	0.85	0.75	0.96	1.17	0.45	0.24	0.82	2.24	5

Legend: OR - odds ratio, CI - 95% confidence interval for OR.

The analysis of logistic regression in the group of care providers of CVD patients was also significant for EE (Table 7; odds ratios in Table 7a).

Table 7. The odds ratio in logistic regression model in care providers. Explained variable: EE (0-smaller, 1- bigger EE)

Explanatory variables			bi	SE _i	z _i	p _i = Pr(> z _i)
Models with 2 explanatory variables						
Model 1 (n=154)						
Chi ² = 8.51, df = 2, p = 0.014, pseudo R ² = 0.04						
Free term			-	-	-	-
1	X2	Age	0.015	0.006	2.607	0.009
2	X4	Place of residence	-0.313	0.111	-2.818	0.005
Model 2 (n=154)						
Chi ² = 5.29, df = 2, p = 0.071, pseudo R ² = 0.03						
Free term			-	-	-	-
1	X5	Education	-0.087	0.044	-1.996	0.046
2	X8	Employment	0.106	0.052	2.045	0.041

Legend: Chi-squared – statistical hypothesis test of chi2 model adjustment; df – number of degrees of freedom;

p – calculated level of test significance (if $p \leq 0.05$, model introduces relevant information as it differs significantly from free term model); pseudo R² – value which evaluates explanatory variable anticipation according to the model ($0 \leq \text{pseudo } R^2 < 1$, the bigger the value the better anticipation); bi – coefficient estimation in regression model; SE_i – standard error estimation for bi coefficient; z_i – value of test statistics in standard distribution; (p_i = Pr (>|z_i|) – calculated probability value p_i for double-sided critical area equal to z (if p_i ≤ 0,05, null hypothesis is rejected that bi coefficient =0 which means that i-variable is relevant in the model); n-group quantity.

Table 7a presents the results of the odds ratio in logistic regression model for the risk of EE occurrence among care providers of CVD patients. Older care providers were 1.02 times more likely to report EE occurrence than their younger counterparts, and were 3.32 times more likely to report EE than caregivers who were 78 years younger.

Care providers with a lower rank in terms of residence were 1.37 more likely to report EE than those with a higher residence category. In particular, urban residents were 2.56 times more likely to report EE than those living in the countryside.

Care providers who ranked lower rank in terms of education were 1.09 times more likely to report EE than those who ranked higher in terms of education. In particular, care providers with primary education were 1.69 times more likely to report EE than highly educated providers.

Care providers with a higher rank in terms of employment were 1.11 times more likely to report EE than those who ranked lower in the employment category. In particular, care providers who are currently unemployed were 1.70 times more likely to report EE than full-time employees.

Table 7a. The odds ratio in logistic regression model in care providers. Explained variable: EE (0-smaller, 1- bigger EE)

Explanatory variable		Per unit				Per range					
		OR	95% CI		1/OR	OR	95% CI		1/OR	range	
Model 1											
X2	Age (17-95)	1.02	1.00	- 1.03	0.98	3.32	1.37	- 8.41	0.30	78	
X4	Place of residence (1-4)	0.73	0.58	- 0.91	1.37	0.39	0.20	- 0.74	2.56	3	
	Model 2										
X5	Education (1-7)	0.92	0.84	- 0.99	1.09	0.59	0.35	- 0.98	1.69	6	
X8	Employment (1-6)	1.11	1.01	- 1.23	0.90	1.70	1.03	- 2.86	0.59	5	

Legend: OR - odds ratio, CI - 95% confidence interval for OR.

Next, we analysed the logistic regression in the group of care providers of CVD patients for DE (Table 8; odds ratios reported in Table 8a).

Table 8. The odds ratio in logistic regression model in care providers. Explained variable: DE (0-smaller, 1- bigger DE)

Explanatory variable			bi	SE _i	z _i	p _i = Pr(> z _i)
Models with 2 explanatory variables						
Model 1 (n=155)						
Chi ² = 8.20, df = 2, p = 0.017, pseudo R ² = 0.05						
Free term			-	-	-	-
1	X4	Place of residence	-0.190	0.071	-2.664	0.008
2	X9	Period of homecare	0.041	0.021	1.965	0.049
Model 2 (n=155)						
Chi ² = 8.58, df =2 , p = 0.014, pseudo R ² = 0.05						
Free term			-	-	-	-
1	X5	Education	-0.127	0.047	-2.701	0.007
2	X9	Period of homecare	0.043	0.022	1.982	0.047

Legend: Chi-squared – statistical hypothesis test of chi2 model adjustment; df – number of degrees of freedom;

p – calculated level of test significance (if $p \leq 0.05$, model introduces relevant information as it differs significantly from free term model); pseudo R^2 – value which evaluates explanatory variable anticipation according to the model ($0 \leq \text{pseudo } R^2 < 1$, the bigger the value the better anticipation); bi – coefficient estimation in regression model; SE_i – standard error estimation for bi coefficient; z_i – value of test statistics in standard distribution; ($p_i = \text{Pr}(>|z_i|)$) – calculated probability value p_i for double-sided critical area equal to z (if $p_i \leq 0.05$, null hypothesis is rejected that bi coefficient = 0 which means that i -variable is relevant in the model); n -group quantity.

Table 8a presents the results of the odds ratio in the logistic regression model for the risk of DE occurrence among care providers of CVD patients.

Care providers who ranked lower in terms of residence were 1.21 times more likely to report DE than those who ranked higher in the residence category. In particular, care providers residing in cities were 1.77 times more likely to report DE than those living in the countryside.

Care providers who worked for a longer period of time were 1.04 times more likely to report DE than those who provided care for a shorter period of time. Further, care providers who provided 50 more years of care were 7.84 times more likely to report DE than those who provided care for shorter periods.

Care providers who ranked lower in terms of education were 1.14 times more likely to report DE than those who ranked higher in the education category. In particular, care providers with primary education were 2.15 times more likely to report DE than highly educated providers.

Table 8a. The odds ratio in logistic regression model in care providers. Explained variable: DE (0-smaller, 1- bigger DE)

Explanatory variable		Per unit				Per range				
		OR	95% CI		1/OR	OR	95% CI		1/OR	range
Model 1										
X4	Place of residence (1-4)	0.83	0.72	0.95	1.21	0.57	0.37	0.85	1.77	3
X9	Period of homecare (1-51)	1.04	1.00	1.09	0.96	7.84	1.19	79.55	0.13	50
Model 2										
X5	Education (1-7)	0.88	0.80	0.96	1.14	0.47	0.26	0.80	2.15	6

Legend: OR - odds ratio, CI - 95% confidence interval for OR.

Next, we evaluated results of the logistic regression in the group of care providers of CVD patients for decreased level of PA variable (Table 9; odds ratios reported in Table 9a).

Table 9. The odds ratio in logistic regression model in care providers. Explained variable: PA (0-smaller, 1- bigger PA)

Explanatory variable			bi	SE _i	z _i	p _i = Pr(> z _i)
Models with 2 explanatory variables						
Model 1 (n=147)						
Chi ² = 6.05, df = 2, p = 0.049, pseudo R ² = 0.03						
Free term			-	-	-	-
1	X1	Gender	0.616	0.258	2.390	0.017
2	X4	Place of residence	-0.246	0.116	-2.113	0.035

Legend: Chi-squared – statistical hypothesis test of chi2 model adjustment; df – number of degrees of freedom;

p – calculated level of test significance (if $p \leq 0.05$, model introduces relevant information as it differs significantly from free term model); pseudo R^2 – value which evaluates explanatory variable anticipation according to the model ($0 \leq \text{pseudo } R^2 < 1$, the bigger the value the better anticipation); bi – coefficient estimation in regression model; SE_i – standard error estimation for bi coefficient; z_i – value of test statistics in standard distribution; ($p_i = \text{Pr}(>|z_i|)$) – calculated probability value p_i for double-sided critical area equal to z (if $p_i \leq 0.05$, null hypothesis is rejected that bi coefficient = 0 which means that i -variable is relevant in the model); n -group quantity.

We found that females were 1.85 times more likely to report a decrease in PA than their male counterparts.

Care providers who ranked lower in terms of residence were 1.28 times more likely to report a decrease in PA than those who ranked higher in this category. In particular, residents of big cities were 2.09 times more likely to report a decrease in PA than residing in the countryside.

Table 9. The odds ratio in logistic regression model in care providers. Explained variable: PA (0-smaller, 1- bigger PA)

Explanatory variable		Per unit				Per range				
		OR	95% CI		1/OR	OR	95% CI		1/OR	range
Model 1										
X1	Gender (1-2)	1.85	1.13	3.12	0.54	1.85	1.13	3.12	0.54	1
X4	Place of residence (1-4)	0.78	0.62	0.98	1.28	0.48	0.24	0.94	2.09	3

Legend: OR - odds ratio, CI - 95% confidence interval for OR.

Discussion

There is increasing concern regarding the difficult situation of care providers, who look after chronically ill patients, in Poland and in other countries. The work of care providers can lead to both private and professional limitations, lack of sleep, loss of energy, fear, and depression [2,13]. The representatives of the 'Eurocarers' association underline the lack of support for individuals who help others. This issue was also raised in the EU Europe Strategy 2020 [14].

In our data, we found that 70.2% of all care providers are women. This finding is similar to results of a prior study of care providers of the elderly (74.8% women) [15], and in another study on care providers from the chronically ill conducted by Marzec et al (68.56% women) [16]. Together, these data suggest that there is a link between sex and care providing. In most cases, we found that care providers were over the age of 55, married, residing in urban areas, and were related to the patient (i.e., parents or spouses).

In our analysis of unmet needs, we found that 35% of care providers had one supportive need unmet. In another study, it was reported that two thirds of care providers in that sample reported a lot of unmet needs in terms of support (64.7%) [17]. Another study found that informal care providers reported almost twice as many needs than the patients they cared for [18]. The recognition of complex needs helps to identify the problem and implement an adequate intervention that could eliminate, or at least reduce, the need for support. Evaluating the degree of meeting the needs is, then, crucial given the growing rates of disorders of bio-psycho-social functioning. Unmet needs influence the health and wellbeing of care providers, which may, in turn, restrict their ability to provide the desired services. For these reasons, it is critical to determine the way that needs for support are or are not being met.

Research on environmental system of social support and care over the elderly showed that informal care providers most frequently report issues such as lack of time for care and the need for institutional and personal support [15]. Further, prior research reports that care providers require information and training (e.g., first aid in emergency situation, practical advice on bedridden patient care, simple medical procedures, administering drugs, patting to prevent bedsores, providing basic massages and rehabilitation procedures, measuring blood pressure), as well as, counselling and the availability of substitute care [3,6]. Another key issue is communication between the health service workers and the care providers. Care providers typically feel powerless and ignored if they fail to establish a relationship with medical professionals [3].

The present study showed that older, unemployed care providers who reside in urban areas were more likely to report unmet needs. We also demonstrated that female, older, divorced, well-educated, and unemployed care providers who live in urban areas were more likely to report unmet needs. These results suggest that more research is needed in this area, and that individuals with the identified sociodemographic risk factors should be targeted for programs/

The care of chronically ill patients evokes long-term stress and can in turn, lead to tremendous burden among care providers. In the scientific literature, it is difficult to find a clear definition of burden among informal caregivers. The term 'burden' is usually related to a multi problem situation that tends to persist over time. Burden is frequently associated with stress, which can lead to emotional, mental, and physical exhaustion of a caregiver [19]. The present study found a moderate level of exhaustion among care providers, with the highest level of burden noted in the decreased PA subscale. Comparable results were reported in a study on family member burnout for care of palliative home patients suffering from cancer [20].

In the present study, advanced age, marital status (i.e., divorced or widowed) and unemployment were related to higher reports of EE and DE, whereas a lower level of education was associated with higher reports of DE. In addition, DE was more likely to be reported among older, unemployed, and less educated care providers who reside in big cities. Higher DE was reported among less educated city residents who have been providing care for a longer period of time. Decreased PA, in contrast, was more likely to be reported among female care providers and those living in the countryside. These results should spark more interest in this area, and support the need for more professional support (e.g., helpline, psychological counselling and support groups) for reducing the burden on care providers.

Additionally, the present study discovered a significant ($p < 0.001$) relationship between unmet needs and burnout, as measured by the MBI. This association was significant with for all three MBI subscales (i.e., EE, DE and PA). These results underscore the need for more research on unmet needs of care providers of CVD patients to develop support services and adopt suitable interventions.

It is important to note that it is the first study in Poland to report a relationship between sociodemographic factors and the needs and severity of burnout among informal care providers of CVD patients. The results presented here might be useful for creating systems of support for individuals and families who are seeking assistance in nursing patients who are not self-reliant. These data may also help to inform the creation of care quality evaluation tools with the analysis of its needs and expectations in somatic, mental, social, and environmental aspects. Such examinations might improve quality of life among care providers, by helping them to manage their own needs, as well as, their mental and physical wellbeing.

Limitations

One limitation of the present study is the relatively limited sample size, which limits our ability to generalize the results to the entire whole population of informal care providers of CVD patients in Poland. This may be apparent in the relatively low values of the pseudo R^2 indicator, which assesses the prediction of an explained variable with the use of a model. Here, the calculated pseudo R^2 values ranged from 0.02 to 0.05 ($0 \leq \text{pseudo } R^2 < 1$), where the higher the

value, the better the prediction. Nonetheless, results of the present study are valuable and might be used in the course of interventions that support the development of a systemic model of home care for chronically ill patients. Future research should include a greater number of respondents and health care institutions.

Conclusions

Advanced age, sex, marital status, unemployment, place of residence, and high education of care providers was related to a decreased level of needs met among providers. Care providers with the aforementioned characteristics should be targeted with programmes that aim to determine the category of unmet needs and provide tailor-made support in the relevant needs categories. Older, less educated, and unemployed care providers who have been providing care for a longer period of time and reside in cities or in the countryside should be included in burnout prevention programmes. Fulfilling unmet needs in care providers is important not only for planning supportive services, but also because there is a straightforward relationship between unmet needs and the exacerbation of EE, DE, and decreased PA.

Abbreviations

MBI – Maslach Burnout Inventory

EE – Emotional exhaustion

DE – Depersonalization

PA – Personal accomplishment

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study was approved by the Bioethical Commission at Medical University in Wroclaw (No KB -86/2016). Participation in the study was voluntary and anonymous. All participants were informed about the study aims, methods, and the possibility of study withdrawal at any stage and gave oral consent to participate in the study. The Bioethical Commission approved the procedure for obtaining oral consent from study participants, because the study only used anonymous surveys.

Consent for publication

Not applicable in this section.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests. Co-author Donata Kurpas is an Associate Editor of this journal.

Funding

Research financed by the author ESC.

Author's contributions

ESC contributed to preparation of the study design, collection of data, statistical analysis, data interpretation, creation of final version of the article, and literature review. MG, DEB, AŁ, PP contributed to basic data collection. DK contributed to preparation of the study design, data interpretation, and preparation of the final manuscript. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank you Artur Prusaczyk, MD (the CEO of Medical and Diagnostic Centre (MDC), Siedlce, Poland) for the cooperation during data collecting.

Authors email:

Marika Guzek – marika.guzek@centrum.med.pl

Dorota Emilia Bielska – d.bielska1@wp.pl

Anna Ławnik – lawnikania@gmail.com

Piotr Polański – p.polanski@wp.pl

References

1. McNamara B, Rosenwax L. Which carers of family members at the end of life need more support from health services and why? *Soc Sci Med*. 2010;70(7):1035–1041.
2. Galiatsatos P, Gurley A, Daniel Hale W. Policy and advocacy for informal caregivers: How state policy influenced a community initiative. *J Public Health Policy*. 2017;38(4):503-508. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41271-017-0084-x>.
3. Morris SM, King C, Turner M, et al. Family carers providing support to a person dying in the home setting: A narrative literature review. *Palliat Med*. 2015;29(6):487–495.
4. Rosochacka-Gmitrzak M. Starzenie się w miejscu zamieszkania a zakłócony performance miejsca. *Miscellanea Anthropologica et Sociologica*. 2018;19(4):33–52.
5. GUS. Zdrowie i zachowanie zdrowotne mieszkańców Polski w świetle Europejskiego Ankietowego Badania Zdrowia (EHIS) 2014 r. Warszawa 2015. https://stat.gov.pl/files/gfx/portalinformacyjny/pl/defaultaktualnosci/5513/10/1/1/zdrowie_i_zachowania_zdrowotne_mieszkancow_polski_w_swietle_b Accessed 20 June 2019.
6. Janowicz A. Rola opiekunów nieformalnych w opiece u kresu życia. Przyczynek do badań w ramach projektu European Palliative Care Academy (EUPCA). *Piel Zdr Publ*. 2014;4(2):161–167.
7. Madara Marasinghe K. Assistive technologies in reducing caregiver burden among informal caregivers of older adults: a systematic review. *Disabil Rehabil Assist Technol*. 2016;11(5):353-60. <https://doi.org/10.3109/17483107.2015.1087061>.
8. O'Brien MR, Whitehead B, Jack BA, et al. The need for support services for family carers of people with motor neurone disease (MND): views of current and former family caregivers a qualitative study. *Disabil Rehabil*. 2012;34(3):247–256.
9. Morais HC, Soares AM, Oliveira AR, et al. Burden and modifications in life from the perspective of caregivers for patients after stroke. *Rev Lat Am Enfermagem*. 2012;20(5):944–953.
10. Kurpas D. Paradygmat opieki nad chorymi przewlekle w ramach podstawowej opieki zdrowotnej. Uniwersytet Medyczny im. Piastów Śl. Wrocław 2013;61–62.
11. Pasikowski T.: Polska adaptacja kwestionariusza Maslach Burnout Inventory. W.: Sęk H. [red.]. Wypalenie zawodowe. Przyczyny i zapobieganie. Wydawnictwo Naukowe PWN, Warszawa. 2009;135–149.
12. Nitka-Siemieńska A, Myśliwiec M, Landowski J, et al. Zespół wypalenia u rodziców osób chorych na cukrzycę typu 1. *Diabetol Prakt*. 2008;9(2):76–81.
13. Karwowska A. Wyborcza.pl. Tylko zdrowie. Kiedy opiekun potrzebuje pomocy. 2018 <http://wyborcza.pl/TylkoZdrowie/7,137474,24220175,kiedy-opiekun-potrzuje-pomocy.html>. Accessed 4 July 2019.
14. Zysnarska M, Wojnicz-Michera I, Taborowska M, et al. Kobieta – Opiekun osoby przewlekle chorej – wyznaczniki przeciążenia. *Now Lek*. 2010;79(5):386–391.
15. Czub K, Szpyrka S, Zaręba M. Obserwatorium Integracji Społecznej. Wielkopolskie Regionalne Obserwatorium Terytorialne. Departament Polityki Regionalnej UMWW. Pogłębione studium usług opiekuńczych skierowanych do osób starszych. Środowiskowa opieka formalna i nieformalna. Raport z badań. Poznań 2017. https://rops.poznan.pl/wp-content/uploads/2018/06/raport_Poglbione-studium-uslug-opiekuńczych-skierowanych-do-osób-starszych.-Środowiskowa-opieka-formalna-i-nieformalna_wersja-końcowa.pdf. Accessed 22 June 2019.
16. Marzec A, Faleńczyk F, Pluta A, et al. Obciążenie opieką i depresyjność opiekuna osoby przewlekle chorej w domu, rodzaje problemów i czynniki wpływające na ich występowanie. *Przeds Zarz*. 2013;14(10):243–258.
17. Temple JB, Dow B. The unmet support needs of carers of older Australians: prevalence and mental health. *Int Psychogeriatr*. 2018;30(12):1849-1860.
18. Kerpershoek L, de Vugt M, Wolfs C, Woods W, Martin Orrell M, et al. Needs and quality of life of people with middle-stage dementia and their family carers from the European Actifcare study. When informal care alone may not suffice. *Aging Ment Health*. 2018;22(7):897-902. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13607863.2017.1390732>.
19. Skrzypek-Czerko M, Kozera G, Chwojnicki K, et al. Znaczenie wsparcia społecznego dla poziomu lęku i depresji oraz obciążenia opiekunów pacjentów po udarze mózgu – wyniki wstępne. *Pielęgn Neurol Neurochir*. 2013;2(1):18–26.
20. Gawlik M, Kurpas D. Ocena poziomu wypalenia członków rodzin w opiece nad pacjentem z chorobą nowotworową objętych domową opieką paliatywną. *Fam Med Prim Care Re*, 2014;16,4:336–340.