Split Monotone Variational Inclusion With Errors for Image Feature Extraction With Multiple-image Blends Problem

PATTANAPONG TIANCHAI (✉ pattana@mju.ac.th)

Maejo University

Research Article

Keywords: Split monotone variational inclusion problem, Maximal monotone operator, Forward-backward method, Iterative shrinkage thresholding, Image feature extraction, Multiple-image blends problem

Posted Date: February 14th, 2022

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1353172/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

SPLIT MONOTONE VARIATIONAL INCLUSION WITH ERRORS FOR IMAGE FEATURE EXTRACTION WITH MULTIPLE-IMAGE BLENDS PROBLEM

PATTANAPONG TIANCHAI^{*,*}

^{*}Faculty of Science, Maejo University, Chiangmai 50290, Thailand.

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce a new iterative forward-backward splitting algorithm with errors for solving the split monotone variational inclusion problem of the sum of two monotone operators in real Hilbert spaces. We suggest and analyze this method under some mild appropriate conditions imposed on the parameters such that another strong convergence theorem for this problem is obtained. We also apply our main result to image feature extraction with multiple-image blends problem and the split minimization problem, and provide numerical experiments to illustrate the convergence behavior and show the effectiveness of the sequence constructed by the inertial technique.

Keywords: Split monotone variational inclusion problem; Maximal monotone operator; Forward-backward method; Iterative shrinkage thresholding; Image feature extraction; Multiple-image blends problem

AMS Subject Classification: 47H05, 47H09, 47H20

1. INTRODUCTION

Let H_1 and H_2 be two real Hilbert spaces and $A : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ be a bounded linear operator. Let $f_1 : H_1 \rightarrow H_1$ and $f_2 : H_2 \rightarrow H_2$ be two ψ_1 and ψ_2 inverse strongly monotone mappings, respectively, and $B_1 : H_1 \rightarrow 2^{H_1}$ and $B_2 : H_2 \rightarrow 2^{H_2}$ be two multi-valued maximal monotone operators. The split monotone variational inclusion problem (SMVIP) is a fundamental problem in optimization theory, it can be applied to solve in many areas of science and applied science, engineering, economics and medicine [1–9] such as image processing, machine learning, modeling intensity modulated radiation therapy treatment planning [10–15], which is to find $x^* \in H_1$ such that

$$0 \in f_1(x^*) + B_1(x^*) \tag{1.1}$$

and such that

$$y^* = Ax^* \in H_2 \text{ solves } 0 \in f_2(y^*) + B_2(y^*), \tag{1.2}$$

and we will denote Ω the solution set of (1.1) and (1.2). That is

$$\Omega = \{(x, y) \in H_1 \times H_2 : x \text{ solves (1.1) and } y = Ax \text{ solves (1.2)}\}.$$

To solve SMVIP via fixed point theory, for $\lambda > 0$, we define the mappings $J_\lambda^{f_1, B_1} : H_1 \rightarrow H_1$ and $J_\lambda^{f_2, B_2} : H_2 \rightarrow H_2$ as follows:

$$J_\lambda^{f_1, B_1} = \underbrace{(I + \lambda B_1)^{-1}}_{\text{backward step}} \underbrace{(I - \lambda f_1)}_{\text{forward step}} = J_\lambda^{B_1}(I - \lambda f_1)$$

^{*}Corresponding author.

Email address: pattana@mju.ac.th.

and

$$J_\lambda^{f_2, B_2} = (I + \lambda B_2)^{-1}(I - \lambda f_2) = J_\lambda^{B_2}(I - \lambda f_2)$$

where $J_\lambda^{B_1} = (I + \lambda B_1)^{-1}$ and $J_\lambda^{B_2} = (I + \lambda B_2)^{-1}$ are two resolvent operators of B_1 and B_2 for $\lambda > 0$, respectively. For $x \in H_1$ and $y = Ax \in H_2$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda^{f_1, B_1}(x) = x &\Leftrightarrow x = (I + \lambda B_1)^{-1}(x - \lambda f_1(x)) \\ &\Leftrightarrow x - \lambda f_1(x) \in x + \lambda B_1(x) \\ &\Leftrightarrow 0 \in f_1(x) + B_1(x), \end{aligned}$$

and in the same way, we have

$$J_\lambda^{f_2, B_2}(y) = y \Leftrightarrow 0 \in f_2(y) + B_2(y).$$

This suggests the following iteration process to solve SMVIP, which is call that the forward-backward splitting algorithm (FBSA) as follows: $x_1 \in H_1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} &= J_\lambda^{f_1, B_1}(x_n + \gamma A^*(J_\lambda^{f_2, B_2} - I)Ax_n) \\ &= J_\lambda^{B_1}(I - \lambda f_1)(x_n + \gamma A^*(J_\lambda^{B_2}(I - \lambda f_2) - I)Ax_n), \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\lambda, \gamma > 0$. Moudafi [16] proved that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ of FBSA weakly converges to the solution of SMVIP under conditions $\gamma \in (0, \frac{1}{L})$ and $\lambda \in (0, 2\psi)$ such that $L = \|A\|^2$ and $\psi = \min\{\psi_1, \psi_2\}$.

Let $F_1 : H_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $F_2 : H_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be two convex and differentiable functions and $G_1 : H_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ and $G_2 : H_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ be two convex and lower semi-continuous functions. The SMVIP can be reduced as following.

If $f_1 = \nabla F_1, f_2 = \nabla F_2$ and $B_1 = \partial G_1, B_2 = \partial G_2$, where $\nabla F_1, \nabla F_2$ are two gradients of F_1, F_2 , respectively, and $\partial G_1, \partial G_2$ are two subdifferentials of G_1, G_2 , respectively, defined by

$$\partial G_1(x) = \{z \in H_1 : \langle y - x, z \rangle + G_1(x) \leq G_1(y), \forall y \in H_1\}, \forall x \in H_1,$$

and

$$\partial G_2(x) = \{z \in H_2 : \langle y - x, z \rangle + G_2(x) \leq G_2(y), \forall y \in H_2\}, \forall x \in H_2,$$

then, SMVIP is reduced to split convex minimization problem (SCMP), which is to find $x^* \in H_1$ such that

$$F_1(x^*) + G_1(x^*) = \min_{x \in H_1} \{F_1(x) + G_1(x)\} \Leftrightarrow 0 \in \nabla F_1(x^*) + \partial G_1(x^*) \quad (1.3)$$

and such that $y^* = Ax^* \in H_2$ solves

$$F_2(y^*) + G_2(y^*) = \min_{y = Ax \in H_2} \{F_2(y) + G_2(y)\} \Leftrightarrow 0 \in \nabla F_2(y^*) + \partial G_2(y^*), \quad (1.4)$$

and we will denote Γ the solution set of (1.3) and (1.4). That is

$$\Gamma = \{(x, y) \in H_1 \times H_2 : x \text{ solves (1.3) and } y = Ax \text{ solves (1.4)}\}.$$

If $B_1 = \partial G_1 = \partial i_C$ and $B_2 = \partial G_2 = \partial i_Q$ are two subdifferentials of indicator function of nonempty closed convex subsets $C \subset H_1$ and $Q \subset H_2$, respectively, defined by

$$i_C(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \in C, \\ \infty, & x \notin C, \end{cases} \quad \text{and } i_Q(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \in Q, \\ \infty, & x \notin Q, \end{cases}$$

then SMVIP is reduced to split variational inequality problem (SVIP), which is to find $x^* \in C$ such that

$$\langle f_1(x^*), x - x^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in C$$

and $y^* = Ax^* \in Q$ such that

$$\langle f_2(y^*), y - y^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in Q.$$

If $f_1 = \nabla F_1$, $f_2 = \nabla F_2$ and $B_1 = B_2 = 0$ then SMVIP is reduced to split feasibility problem (SFP), which is to find $x^* \in H_1$ such that

$$F_1(x^*) = \min_{x \in H_1} F_1(x) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad 0 \in \nabla F_1(x^*)$$

and $y^* = Ax^* \in H_2$ such that

$$F_2(y^*) = \min_{y=Ax \in H_2} F_2(y) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad 0 \in \nabla F_2(y^*).$$

If $f_2 = B_2 = 0$ then SMVIP is reduced to monotone variational inclusion problem (MVI), which is to find $x^* \in H_1$ such that

$$0 \in f_1(x^*) + B_1(x^*)$$

and when $f_1 = \nabla F_1$ and $B_1 = \partial G_1$, it can be reduced to convex minimization problem (CMP), which is to find $x^* \in H_1$ such that

$$F_1(x^*) + G_1(x^*) = \min_{x \in H_1} \{F_1(x) + G_1(x)\} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad 0 \in \nabla F_1(x^*) + \partial G_1(x^*).$$

Recall that the proximity operators $\text{prox}_{\lambda G_1}$ of λG_1 and $\text{prox}_{\eta G_2}$ of ηG_2 for $\lambda, \eta > 0$, respectively, which are defined as follows:

$$\text{prox}_{\lambda G_1}(x) = \text{Argmin}_{y \in H_1} \left\{ \lambda G_1(y) + \frac{1}{2} \|y - x\|_2^2 \right\}, \quad \forall x \in H_1$$

and

$$\text{prox}_{\eta G_2}(x) = \text{Argmin}_{y \in H_2} \left\{ \eta G_2(y) + \frac{1}{2} \|y - x\|_2^2 \right\}, \quad \forall x \in H_2.$$

For $x \in H_1$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} z = \text{prox}_{\lambda G_1}(x) &\Leftrightarrow 0 \in \lambda \partial G_1(z) + z - x \\ &\Leftrightarrow x \in (I + \lambda \partial G_1)(z) \\ &\Leftrightarrow z = (I + \lambda \partial G_1)^{-1}(x) = J_\lambda^{\partial G_1}(x) \end{aligned}$$

and in the same way, for $y \in H_2$, we have

$$z = \text{prox}_{\eta G_2}(y) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad z = (I + \eta \partial G_2)^{-1}(y) = J_\eta^{\partial G_2}(y).$$

Therefore, SCMP is reduced to find $x^* \in H_1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} x^* \in \text{Argmin}_{x \in H_1} \{F_1(x) + G_1(x)\} &\Leftrightarrow 0 \in \nabla F_1(x^*) + \partial G_1(x^*) \\ &\Leftrightarrow x^* = J_\lambda^{\nabla F_1, \partial G_1}(x^*) \\ &\Leftrightarrow x^* = J_\lambda^{\partial G_1}(I - \lambda \nabla F_1)x^* = \text{prox}_{\lambda G_1}(I - \lambda \nabla F_1)x^* \end{aligned}$$

and such that $y^* = Ax^* \in H_2$ solves

$$y^* \in \text{Argmin}_{y=Ax \in H_2} \{F_2(y) + G_2(y)\} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad y^* = J_\eta^{\partial G_2}(I - \eta \nabla F_2)y^* = \text{prox}_{\eta G_2}(I - \eta \nabla F_2)y^*.$$

Many researchers have proposed, analyzed and modified FBSA for solving SMVIP and also included for solving another problems (see also, [17–22]). The forward-backward splitting mapping with errors was introduced by Combettes and Wajs (see more details in [12]). Recently, Tianchai introduced a new iterative shrinkage thresholding algorithm (NISTA) with an error, based on the single forward-backward splitting mapping with

an error for solving MVIP, and also solving the fixed point set of nonexpansive mapping S (see, [23]), as follows: $x_0, x_1 \in H_1$ and

$$\begin{cases} y_n &= x_n + \theta_n(x_n - x_{n-1}), \\ x_{n+1} &= S(\alpha_n f(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n)J_{\lambda_n}^{B_1}(y_n - \lambda_n f_1(y_n) + \varepsilon_n)), \end{cases}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and also introduced an improved fast iterative shrinkage thresholding algorithm (IFISTA) with an error for solving MVIP of image deblurring problem (see, [24]) as follows: $x_0, x_1 \in H_1$ and

$$\begin{cases} z_n &= x_n + \theta_n(x_n - x_{n-1}), \\ y_n &= \alpha_n f(z_n) + (1 - \alpha_n)J_{\lambda_n}^{B_1}(z_n - \lambda_n f_1(z_n) + \varepsilon_n), \\ x_{n+1} &= J_{\lambda_n}^{B_1}(y_n - \lambda_n f_1(y_n) + \varepsilon_n), \end{cases}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $J_{\lambda_n}^{B_1} = (I + \lambda_n B_1)^{-1}$ is resolvent operator of B_1 for $\lambda_n > 0$, f is contraction mapping, and $\{\alpha_n\} \subset (0, 1)$, $\{\theta_n\} \subset [0, 1]$, $\{\lambda_n\} \subset (0, 2\psi_1]$ and $\{\varepsilon_n\} \subset H_1$.

We introduce two forward-backward splitting mappings with errors $J_{\lambda_n, \varepsilon_n}^{f_1, B_1} : H_1 \rightarrow H_1$ and $J_{\eta_n, \xi_n}^{f_2, B_2} : H_2 \rightarrow H_2$ as follows:

$$J_{\lambda_n, \varepsilon_n}^{f_1, B_1} = (I + \lambda_n B_1)^{-1}((I - \lambda_n f_1) + \varepsilon_n) = J_{\lambda_n}^{B_1}((I - \lambda_n f_1) + \varepsilon_n)$$

and

$$J_{\eta_n, \xi_n}^{f_2, B_2} = (I + \eta_n B_2)^{-1}((I - \eta_n f_2) + \xi_n) = J_{\eta_n}^{B_2}((I - \eta_n f_2) + \xi_n),$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $J_{\lambda_n}^{B_1} = (I + \lambda_n B_1)^{-1}$ and $J_{\eta_n}^{B_2} = (I + \eta_n B_2)^{-1}$ are two resolvent operators of B_1 and B_2 for $\lambda_n, \eta_n > 0$, respectively, and $\{\varepsilon_n\} \subset H_1$, $\{\xi_n\} \subset H_2$. In this paper, we introduce the forward-backward splitting algorithm with errors (FBSA_Err) for solving SMVIP under some mild appropriate conditions on their parameters as follows: $x_0, x_1 \in H_1$ and

$$\begin{cases} z_n &= x_n + \theta_n(x_n - x_{n-1}), \\ y_n &= z_n + \gamma_n A^*(J_{\eta_n}^{B_2}((I - \eta_n f_2)Az_n + \xi_n) - Az_n), \\ x_{n+1} &= \alpha_n f(y_n) + (1 - \alpha_n)J_{\lambda_n}^{B_1}((I - \lambda_n f_1)y_n + \varepsilon_n), \end{cases}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\{\alpha_n\} \subset (0, 1)$, $\{\theta_n\} \subset [0, 1]$, $\{\lambda_n\} \subset (0, 2\psi_1]$, $\{\eta_n\} \subset (0, 2\psi_2]$ and $\{\gamma_n\} \subset (0, \frac{1}{L})$ such that $L = \|A\|^2$. Moreover, it can be applied to solve SCMP under some mild appropriate conditions on their parameters by letting $f_1 = \nabla F_1$, $f_2 = \nabla F_2$ and $B_1 = \partial G_1$, $B_2 = \partial G_2$ as follows: $x_0, x_1 \in H_1$ and

$$\begin{cases} z_n &= x_n + \theta_n(x_n - x_{n-1}), \\ y_n &= z_n + \gamma_n A^*(\text{prox}_{\eta_n G_2}((I - \eta_n \nabla F_2)Az_n + \xi_n) - Az_n), \\ x_{n+1} &= \alpha_n f(y_n) + (1 - \alpha_n)\text{prox}_{\lambda_n G_1}((I - \lambda_n \nabla F_1)y_n + \varepsilon_n), \end{cases}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Our work is divided into several sections. In section 2, some basic definitions and concepts are provided. In section 3, the proof of strong convergence theorem of FBSA_Err is presented. In section 4, we propose the application of image restoration to the image feature extraction with multiple-image blends problem and the split minimization problem, and demonstrate the effectiveness of the sequence constructed by the inertial technique.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H . We will use the notation: $\rightarrow$ to denote the strong convergence, $\rightharpoonup$ to denote the weak convergence, and $\text{Fix}(T) = \{x : Tx = x\}$ to denote the fixed point set of the mapping T .

Recall that the metric projection $P_C : H \rightarrow C$ is defined as follows: for each $x \in H$, $P_C x$ is the unique point in C satisfying

$$\|x - P_C x\| = \inf\{\|x - y\| : y \in C\}.$$

The operator $T : H \rightarrow H$ is called:

(i) monotone if

$$\langle x - y, Tx - Ty \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x, y \in H,$$

(ii) L -Lipschitzian with $L > 0$ if

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq L\|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in H,$$

(iii) k -contraction if it is k -Lipschitzian with $k \in (0, 1)$,

(iv) nonexpansive if it is 1-Lipschitzian,

(v) firmly nonexpansive if

$$\|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 - \|(I - T)x - (I - T)y\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in H,$$

(vi) α -strongly monotone with $\alpha > 0$ if

$$\langle Tx - Ty, x - y \rangle \geq \alpha\|x - y\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in H,$$

(vii) α -inverse strongly monotone with $\alpha > 0$ if

$$\langle Tx - Ty, x - y \rangle \geq \alpha\|Tx - Ty\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in H.$$

Let B be a mapping of H into 2^H . The domain and the range of B are denoted by $D(B) = \{x \in H : Bx \neq \emptyset\}$ and $R(B) = \bigcup\{Bx : x \in D(B)\}$, respectively. The inverse of B , denoted by B^{-1} , is defined by $x \in B^{-1}y$ if and only if $y \in Bx$. A multi-valued mapping B is said to be a monotone operator on H if $\langle x - y, u - v \rangle \geq 0$ for all $x, y \in D(B)$, $u \in Bx$ and $v \in By$. A monotone operator B on H is said to be maximal if its graph is not strictly contained in the graph of any other monotone operator on H . For a maximal monotone operator B on H and $r > 0$, we define the single-valued resolvent operator $J_r^B : H \rightarrow D(B)$ by $J_r^B = (I + rB)^{-1}$. It is well known that J_r^B is firmly nonexpansive and $\text{Fix}(J_r^B) = B^{-1}(0)$.

We collect together some known lemmas which are the main tools in proving our result.

Lemma 2.1. [25] *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H . Then,*

- (i) $\|x \pm y\|^2 = \|x\|^2 \pm 2\langle x, y \rangle + \|y\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in H,$
- (ii) $\|\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y\|^2 = \lambda\|x\|^2 + (1 - \lambda)\|y\|^2 - \lambda(1 - \lambda)\|x - y\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in H, \lambda \in \mathbb{R},$
- (iii) $\langle x - P_C x, P_C x - y \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in H, y \in C,$
- (iv) $\|P_C x - P_C y\|^2 \leq \langle x - y, P_C x - P_C y \rangle, \quad \forall x, y \in H.$

Lemma 2.2. [26] *Let H and K be two real Hilbert spaces and let $T : K \rightarrow K$ be a firmly nonexpansive mapping such that $\|(I - T)x\|$ is a convex function from K to $\overline{\mathbb{R}} = [-\infty, +\infty]$. Let $A : H \rightarrow K$ be a bounded linear operator and $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}\|(I - T)Ax\|^2$ for all $x \in H$. Then,*

- (i) f is convex and differentiable,

- (ii) $\nabla f(x) = A^*(I - T)Ax$ for all $x \in H$ such that A^* denotes the adjoint of A ,
- (iii) f is weakly lower semi-continuous on H ,
- (iv) ∇f is $\|A\|^2$ -Lipschitzian.

Lemma 2.3. [26] Let H be a real Hilbert space and $T : H \rightarrow H$ be an operator. The following statements are equivalent:

- (i) T is firmly nonexpansive,
- (ii) $\|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq \langle x - y, Tx - Ty \rangle$, $\forall x, y \in H$,
- (iii) $I - T$ is firmly nonexpansive.

Lemma 2.4. [27] Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H . Let the mapping $A : C \rightarrow H$ be an α -inverse strongly monotone and $r > 0$ be a constant. Then, we have

$$\|(I - rA)x - (I - rA)y\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 - r(2\alpha - r)\|Ax - Ay\|^2$$

for all $x, y \in C$. In particular, if $0 < r \leq 2\alpha$ then $I - rA$ is nonexpansive.

Lemma 2.5. [28] (Demiclosedness principle) Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H and let $S : C \rightarrow C$ be a nonexpansive mapping with $\text{Fix}(S) \neq \emptyset$. If the sequence $\{x_n\} \subset C$ converges weakly to x and the sequence $\{(I - S)x_n\}$ converges strongly to y . Then, $(I - S)x = y$; in particular, if $y = 0$ then $x \in \text{Fix}(S)$.

Lemma 2.6. [29, 30] Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H . Let $\{T_n\}$ and φ be two classes of nonexpansive mappings of C into itself such that

$$\emptyset \neq \text{Fix}(\varphi) = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{Fix}(T_n).$$

Then, for any bounded sequence $\{z_n\} \subset C$, we have,

- (i) if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|z_n - T_n z_n\| = 0$ then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|z_n - T z_n\| = 0$ for all $T \in \varphi$; which is called that the NST-condition (I),
- (ii) if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|z_{n+1} - T_n z_n\| = 0$ then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|z_n - T_m z_n\| = 0$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$; which is called that the NST-condition (II).

Lemma 2.7. [31] Let $\{a_n\}$ and $\{c_n\}$ be sequences of nonnegative real numbers such that

$$a_{n+1} \leq (1 - \delta_n)a_n + b_n + c_n, \quad \forall n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

where $\{\delta_n\}$ is a sequence in $(0, 1)$ and $\{b_n\}$ is a real sequence. Assume that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n < \infty$. Then the following results hold:

- (i) if $b_n \leq \delta_n M$ for some $M \geq 0$ then $\{a_n\}$ is a bounded sequence,
- (ii) if $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \delta_n = \infty$ and $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n / \delta_n \leq 0$ then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$.

Lemma 2.8. [32] Assume that $\{s_n\}$ is a sequence of nonnegative real numbers such that

$$s_{n+1} \leq (1 - \mu_n)s_n + \mu_n \delta_n, \quad \forall n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

and

$$s_{n+1} \leq s_n - \sigma_n + \rho_n, \quad \forall n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

where $\{\mu_n\}$ is a sequence in $(0, 1)$, $\{\sigma_n\}$ is a sequence of nonnegative real numbers, and $\{\delta_n\}, \{\rho_n\}$ are real sequences such that

- (i) $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu_n = \infty$,
- (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_n = 0$,

(iii) if $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{n_k} = 0$ then $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \delta_{n_k} \leq 0$ for any subsequence $\{n_k\}$ of $\{n\}$.
Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = 0$.

3. MAIN RESULT

Theorem 3.1. Let H_1 and H_2 be two real Hilbert spaces and $A : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ be a bounded linear operator. Let $f_1 : H_1 \rightarrow H_1$ and $f_2 : H_2 \rightarrow H_2$ be two ψ_1 and ψ_2 inverse strongly monotone mappings, respectively, and $B_1 : H_1 \rightarrow 2^{H_1}$ and $B_2 : H_2 \rightarrow 2^{H_2}$ be two multi-valued maximal monotone operators. Let $f : H_1 \rightarrow H_1$ be a k -contraction mapping and assume that Ω is nonempty. Let $x_0, x_1 \in H_1$ and $\{x_n\} \subset H_1$ be a sequence generated by

$$\begin{cases} z_n &= x_n + \theta_n(x_n - x_{n-1}), \\ y_n &= z_n + \gamma_n A^*(J_{\eta_n}^{B_2}((I - \eta_n f_2)Az_n + \xi_n) - Az_n), \\ x_{n+1} &= \alpha_n f(y_n) + (1 - \alpha_n) J_{\lambda_n}^{B_1}((I - \lambda_n f_1)y_n + \varepsilon_n), \end{cases}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\{\alpha_n\} \subset (0, 1)$, $\{\gamma_n\} \subset [a_1, b_1] \subset (0, \frac{1}{L})$ such that $L = \|A\|^2$, and $\{\lambda_n\} \subset [a_2, b_2] \subset (0, 2\psi_1)$, $\{\eta_n\} \subset [a_3, b_3] \subset (0, 2\psi_2)$ such that $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda$, $\eta_n \rightarrow \eta$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and $\{\varepsilon_n\} \subset H_1$, $\{\xi_n\} \subset H_2$, $\{\theta_n\} \subset [0, 1]$ satisfy the following conditions:

- (C1) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$,
- (C2) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|\varepsilon_n\|}{\alpha_n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|\xi_n\|}{\alpha_n} = 0$,
- (C3) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\varepsilon_n\| < \infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\xi_n\| < \infty$,
- (C4) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\theta_n}{\alpha_n} \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| = 0$,

then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to a point $x^* \in \Omega$ where $x^* = P_{\Omega} f(x^*)$.

Proof. Picking $p \in \Omega$ and fixing $n \in \mathbb{N}$, it follows that $p = J_{\lambda_n}^{B_1}(I - \lambda_n f_1)p$ and $Ap = J_{\eta_n}^{B_2}(I - \eta_n f_2)Ap$. Firstly, we will show that $\{x_n\}$, $\{y_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ are bounded. Since,

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_n - p\| &= \|(x_n - p) + \theta_n(x_n - x_{n-1})\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - p\| + \theta_n \|x_n - x_{n-1}\|, \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

and on the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\gamma_n^2 \|A^*(J_{\eta_n}^{B_2}((I - \eta_n f_2)Az_n + \xi_n) - Az_n)\|^2 \\ &= \gamma_n^2 \langle J_{\eta_n}^{B_2}((I - \eta_n f_2)Az_n + \xi_n) - Az_n, AA^*(J_{\eta_n}^{B_2}((I - \eta_n f_2)Az_n + \xi_n) - Az_n) \rangle \\ &\leq L \gamma_n^2 \|J_{\eta_n}^{B_2}((I - \eta_n f_2)Az_n + \xi_n) - Az_n\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &2\gamma_n \langle z_n - p, A^*(J_{\eta_n}^{B_2}((I - \eta_n f_2)Az_n + \xi_n) - Az_n) \rangle \\ &= 2\gamma_n \langle Az_n - Ap, J_{\eta_n}^{B_2}((I - \eta_n f_2)Az_n + \xi_n) - Az_n \rangle \\ &= 2\gamma_n \left[\langle Az_n - Ap + J_{\eta_n}^{B_2}((I - \eta_n f_2)Az_n + \xi_n) - Az_n, J_{\eta_n}^{B_2}((I - \eta_n f_2)Az_n + \xi_n) - Az_n \rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \langle J_{\eta_n}^{B_2}((I - \eta_n f_2)Az_n + \xi_n) - Az_n, J_{\eta_n}^{B_2}((I - \eta_n f_2)Az_n + \xi_n) - Az_n \rangle \right] \\ &= 2\gamma_n \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\|J_{\eta_n}^{B_2}((I - \eta_n f_2)Az_n + \xi_n) - Ap\|^2 + \|J_{\eta_n}^{B_2}((I - \eta_n f_2)Az_n + \xi_n) - Az_n\|^2 \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \|Az_n - Ap\|^2 \right) - \|J_{\eta_n}^{B_2}((I - \eta_n f_2)Az_n + \xi_n) - Az_n\|^2 \right] \\ &= -\gamma_n \|J_{\eta_n}^{B_2}((I - \eta_n f_2)Az_n + \xi_n) - Az_n\|^2 + \gamma_n \|J_{\eta_n}^{B_2}((I - \eta_n f_2)Az_n + \xi_n) - Ap\|^2 \\ &\quad - \gamma_n \|Az_n - Ap\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it follows by nonexpansiveness of $J_{\eta_n}^{B_2}$ and $I - \eta_n f_2$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|y_n - p\|^2 &= \|(z_n - p) + \gamma_n A^*(J_{\eta_n}^{B_2}((I - \eta_n f_2)Az_n + \xi_n) - Az_n)\|^2 \\
&= \|z_n - p\|^2 + \gamma_n^2 \|A^*(J_{\eta_n}^{B_2}((I - \eta_n f_2)Az_n + \xi_n) - Az_n)\|^2 \\
&\quad + 2\gamma_n \langle z_n - p, A^*(J_{\eta_n}^{B_2}((I - \eta_n f_2)Az_n + \xi_n) - Az_n) \rangle \\
&\leq \|z_n - p\|^2 + L\gamma_n^2 \|J_{\eta_n}^{B_2}((I - \eta_n f_2)Az_n + \xi_n) - Az_n\|^2 \\
&\quad - \gamma_n \|J_{\eta_n}^{B_2}((I - \eta_n f_2)Az_n + \xi_n) - Az_n\|^2 \\
&\quad + \gamma_n \|J_{\eta_n}^{B_2}((I - \eta_n f_2)Az_n + \xi_n) - Ap\|^2 - \gamma_n \|Az_n - Ap\|^2 \\
&= \|z_n - p\|^2 - \gamma_n(1 - L\gamma_n) \|J_{\eta_n}^{B_2}((I - \eta_n f_2)Az_n + \xi_n) - Az_n\|^2 \\
&\quad + \gamma_n \|J_{\eta_n}^{B_2}((I - \eta_n f_2)Az_n + \xi_n) - J_{\eta_n}^{B_2}(I - \eta_n f_2)Ap\|^2 \\
&\quad - \gamma_n \|Az_n - Ap\|^2 \\
&\leq \|z_n - p\|^2 - \gamma_n(1 - L\gamma_n) \|J_{\eta_n}^{B_2}((I - \eta_n f_2)Az_n + \xi_n) - Az_n\|^2 \\
&\quad + \gamma_n \|((I - \eta_n f_2)Az_n + \xi_n) - (I - \eta_n f_2)Ap\|^2 - \gamma_n \|Az_n - Ap\|^2 \\
&\leq \|z_n - p\|^2 - \gamma_n(1 - L\gamma_n) \|J_{\eta_n}^{B_2}((I - \eta_n f_2)Az_n + \xi_n) - Az_n\|^2 \\
&\quad + \gamma_n (\|Az_n - Ap\| + \|\xi_n\|)^2 - \gamma_n \|Az_n - Ap\|^2 \\
&= \|z_n - p\|^2 - \gamma_n(1 - L\gamma_n) \|J_{\eta_n}^{B_2}((I - \eta_n f_2)Az_n + \xi_n) - Az_n\|^2 \\
&\quad + 2\gamma_n \|Az_n - Ap\| \|\xi_n\| + \gamma_n \|\xi_n\|^2 \\
&\leq \|z_n - p\|^2 - \gamma_n(1 - L\gamma_n) \|J_{\eta_n}^{B_2}((I - \eta_n f_2)Az_n + \xi_n) - Az_n\|^2 \\
&\quad + 2\left(\frac{1}{L}\right) L^{1/2} \|z_n - p\| \|\xi_n\| + \frac{1}{L} \|\xi_n\|^2 \\
&= \left(\|z_n - p\| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \|\xi_n\|\right)^2 \\
&\quad - \gamma_n(1 - L\gamma_n) \|J_{\eta_n}^{B_2}((I - \eta_n f_2)Az_n + \xi_n) - Az_n\|^2. \tag{3.2}
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\|y_n - p\| \leq \|z_n - p\| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \|\xi_n\|. \tag{3.3}$$

Hence, by (3.1) and (3.3), and nonexpansiveness of $J_{\lambda_n}^{B_1}$ and $I - \lambda_n f_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+1} - p\| &= \|\alpha_n(f(y_n) - p) + (1 - \alpha_n)(J_{\lambda_n}^{B_1}((I - \lambda_n f_1)y_n + \varepsilon_n) - p)\| \\
&\leq \alpha_n(\|f(y_n) - f(p)\| + \|f(p) - p\|) \\
&\quad + (1 - \alpha_n) \|J_{\lambda_n}^{B_1}((I - \lambda_n f_1)y_n + \varepsilon_n) - J_{\lambda_n}^{B_1}(I - \lambda_n f_1)p\| \\
&\leq \alpha_n(k\|y_n - p\| + \|f(p) - p\|) \\
&\quad + (1 - \alpha_n) \|((I - \lambda_n f_1)y_n + \varepsilon_n) - (I - \lambda_n f_1)p\| \\
&\leq \alpha_n(k\|y_n - p\| + \|f(p) - p\|) + (1 - \alpha_n)(\|y_n - p\| + \|\varepsilon_n\|) \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n(1 - k))\|y_n - p\| + \alpha_n\|f(p) - p\| + \|\varepsilon_n\| \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n(1 - k))\|z_n - p\| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \|\xi_n\| + \alpha_n\|f(p) - p\| + \|\varepsilon_n\| \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n(1 - k))\|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n(1 - k) \left[\frac{1}{1 - k} \frac{\theta_n}{\alpha_n} \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$+ \frac{\|f(p) - p\|}{1 - k} \Big] + \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \|\xi_n\| + \|\varepsilon_n\| \right).$$

So, by conditions (C3) and (C4), and putting $M = \frac{1}{1-k}(\|f(p) - p\| + \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\theta_n}{\alpha_n} \|x_n - x_{n-1}\|) \geq 0$ in Lemma 2.7 (i), we conclude that the sequence $\{\|x_n - p\|\}$ is bounded. That is the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is bounded, and so is $\{z_n\}$. Moreover, by condition (C3), we get $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi_n = 0$, it follows that the sequence $\{y_n\}$ is also bounded.

Since, $P_\Omega f$ is k -contraction on H_1 , by Banach's contraction principle there exists a unique element $x^* \in H_1$ such that $x^* = P_\Omega f(x^*)$, that is $x^* \in \Omega$, it follows that $x^* = J_{\lambda_n}^{B_1}(I - \lambda_n f_1)x^*$ and $Ax^* = J_{\eta_n}^{B_2}(I - \eta_n f_2)Ax^*$. Now, we will show that $x_n \rightarrow x^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_n - x^*\|^2 &= \langle z_n - x^*, z_n - x^* \rangle \\ &= \langle x_n + \theta_n(x_n - x_{n-1}) - x^*, z_n - x^* \rangle \\ &= \langle x_n - x^*, z_n - x^* \rangle + \theta_n \langle x_n - x_{n-1}, z_n - x^* \rangle \\ &\leq \|x_n - x^*\| \|z_n - x^*\| + \theta_n \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| \|z_n - x^*\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} (\|x_n - x^*\|^2 + \|z_n - x^*\|^2) + \theta_n \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| \|z_n - x^*\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\|z_n - x^*\|^2 \leq \|x_n - x^*\|^2 + 2\theta_n \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| \|z_n - x^*\|. \quad (3.4)$$

Since,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - x^*\|^2 &= \langle \alpha_n f(y_n) + (1 - \alpha_n) J_{\lambda_n}^{B_1}((I - \lambda_n f_1)y_n + \varepsilon_n) - x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle \\ &= \langle \alpha_n (f(y_n) - x^*) + (1 - \alpha_n) (J_{\lambda_n}^{B_1}((I - \lambda_n f_1)y_n + \varepsilon_n) - x^*), x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle \\ &= \alpha_n \langle f(y_n) - f(x^*), x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle + \alpha_n \langle f(x^*) - x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_n) \langle J_{\lambda_n}^{B_1}((I - \lambda_n f_1)y_n + \varepsilon_n) - x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle \\ &\leq \alpha_n k \|y_n - x^*\| \|x_{n+1} - x^*\| + \alpha_n \langle f(x^*) - x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_n) \|J_{\lambda_n}^{B_1}((I - \lambda_n f_1)y_n + \varepsilon_n) - x^*\| \|x_{n+1} - x^*\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \alpha_n k (\|y_n - x^*\|^2 + \|x_{n+1} - x^*\|^2) + \alpha_n \langle f(x^*) - x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} (1 - \alpha_n) (\|J_{\lambda_n}^{B_1}((I - \lambda_n f_1)y_n + \varepsilon_n) - x^*\|^2 + \|x_{n+1} - x^*\|^2). \end{aligned}$$

So, it follows by (3.2) and (3.4), and nonexpansiveness of $J_{\lambda_n}^{B_1}$ and $I - \lambda_n f_1$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - x^*\|^2 &\leq \frac{\alpha_n k}{1 + \alpha_n(1 - k)} \|y_n - x^*\|^2 + \frac{2\alpha_n}{1 + \alpha_n(1 - k)} \langle f(x^*) - x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{1 - \alpha_n}{1 + \alpha_n(1 - k)} \|J_{\lambda_n}^{B_1}((I - \lambda_n f_1)y_n + \varepsilon_n) - J_{\lambda_n}^{B_1}(I - \lambda_n f_1)x^*\|^2 \\ &\leq \alpha_n k \|y_n - x^*\|^2 + \frac{2\alpha_n}{1 + \alpha_n(1 - k)} \langle f(x^*) - x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_n) \|((I - \lambda_n f_1)y_n + \varepsilon_n) - (I - \lambda_n f_1)x^*\|^2 \\ &\leq \alpha_n k \|y_n - x^*\|^2 + \frac{2\alpha_n}{1 + \alpha_n(1 - k)} \langle f(x^*) - x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_n) (\|y_n - x^*\| + \|\varepsilon_n\|)^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n(1 - k))\|y_n - x^*\|^2 + \frac{2\alpha_n}{1 + \alpha_n(1 - k)}\langle f(x^*) - x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle \\
&\quad + 2\|y_n - x^*\|\|\varepsilon_n\| + \|\varepsilon_n\|^2 \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n(1 - k))\left[\left(\|z_n - x^*\| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}}\|\xi_n\|\right)^2\right. \\
&\quad \left.- \gamma_n(1 - L\gamma_n)\|J_{\eta_n}^{B_2}((I - \eta_n f_2)Az_n + \xi_n) - Az_n\|^2\right] \\
&\quad + \frac{2\alpha_n}{1 + \alpha_n(1 - k)}\langle f(x^*) - x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle + 2\|y_n - x^*\|\|\varepsilon_n\| + \|\varepsilon_n\|^2 \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n(1 - k))\left[\|x_n - x^*\|^2 + 2\theta_n\|x_n - x_{n-1}\|\|z_n - x^*\| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{2}{\sqrt{L}}\|z_n - x^*\|\|\xi_n\| + \frac{1}{L}\|\xi_n\|^2\right. \\
&\quad \left. - \gamma_n(1 - L\gamma_n)\|J_{\eta_n}^{B_2}((I - \eta_n f_2)Az_n + \xi_n) - Az_n\|^2\right] \\
&\quad + \frac{2\alpha_n}{1 + \alpha_n(1 - k)}\langle f(x^*) - x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle + 2\|y_n - x^*\|\|\varepsilon_n\| + \|\varepsilon_n\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+1} - x^*\|^2 &\leq (1 - \alpha_n(1 - k))\|x_n - x^*\|^2 + \alpha_n(1 - k)\left[\frac{2}{1 - k}\frac{\theta_n}{\alpha_n}\|x_n - x_{n-1}\|\|z_n - x^*\| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{2}{\sqrt{L}(1 - k)}\frac{\|\xi_n\|}{\alpha_n}\|z_n - x^*\| + \frac{1}{L(1 - k)}\frac{\|\xi_n\|}{\alpha_n}\|\xi_n\| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{2}{1 - k}\frac{1}{1 + \alpha_n(1 - k)}\langle f(x^*) - x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle + \frac{2}{1 - k}\frac{\|\varepsilon_n\|}{\alpha_n}\|y_n - x^*\| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{1 - k}\frac{\|\varepsilon_n\|}{\alpha_n}\|\varepsilon_n\| \right]
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|x_{n+1} - x^*\|^2 \\
&\leq \|x_n - x^*\|^2 - (1 - \alpha_n(1 - k))\gamma_n(1 - L\gamma_n)\|J_{\eta_n}^{B_2}((I - \eta_n f_2)Az_n + \xi_n) - Az_n\|^2 \\
&\quad + \left[2\alpha_n\frac{\theta_n}{\alpha_n}\|x_n - x_{n-1}\|\|z_n - x^*\| + \frac{2}{\sqrt{L}}\|z_n - x^*\|\|\xi_n\| + \frac{1}{L}\|\xi_n\|^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 2\alpha_n\|f(x^*) - x^*\|\|x_{n+1} - x^*\| + 2\|y_n - x^*\|\|\varepsilon_n\| + \|\varepsilon_n\|^2\right],
\end{aligned}$$

which are of the forms

$$s_{n+1} \leq (1 - \mu_n)s_n + \mu_n\delta_n$$

and

$$s_{n+1} \leq s_n - \sigma_n + \rho_n,$$

respectively, where $s_n = \|x_n - x^*\|^2$, $\mu_n = \alpha_n(1 - k)$, $\delta_n = \frac{2}{1 - k}\frac{\theta_n}{\alpha_n}\|x_n - x_{n-1}\|\|z_n - x^*\| + \frac{2}{\sqrt{L}(1 - k)}\frac{\|\xi_n\|}{\alpha_n}\|z_n - x^*\| + \frac{1}{L(1 - k)}\frac{\|\xi_n\|}{\alpha_n}\|\xi_n\| + \frac{2}{1 - k}\frac{1}{1 + \alpha_n(1 - k)}\langle f(x^*) - x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle + \frac{2}{1 - k}\frac{\|\varepsilon_n\|}{\alpha_n}\|y_n - x^*\| + \frac{1}{1 - k}\frac{\|\varepsilon_n\|}{\alpha_n}\|\varepsilon_n\|$, $\sigma_n = (1 - \alpha_n(1 - k))\gamma_n(1 - L\gamma_n)\|J_{\eta_n}^{B_2}((I - \eta_n f_2)Az_n + \xi_n) - Az_n\|^2$ and $\rho_n = 2\alpha_n\frac{\theta_n}{\alpha_n}\|x_n - x_{n-1}\|\|z_n - x^*\| + \frac{2}{\sqrt{L}}\|z_n - x^*\|\|\xi_n\| + \frac{1}{L}\|\xi_n\|^2 + 2\alpha_n\|f(x^*) - x^*\|\|x_{n+1} - x^*\| + 2\|y_n - x^*\|\|\varepsilon_n\| + \|\varepsilon_n\|^2$. Therefore, using conditions (C1), (C3) and (C4), we can check that all those sequences satisfy conditions (i) and (ii)

in Lemma 2.8. To complete the proof, we verify that condition (iii) in Lemma 2.8 is satisfied. Let $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{n_i} = 0$. Then, by conditions (C1) and (C3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|J_{\eta_{n_i}}^{B_2}((I - \eta_{n_i}f_2)Az_{n_i} + \xi_{n_i}) - Az_{n_i}\| &= 0 \\ \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|J_{\eta_{n_i}}^{B_2}(I - \eta_{n_i}f_2)Az_{n_i} - Az_{n_i}\| &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Consider a subsequence $\{z_{n_i}\}$ of $\{z_n\}$. As $\{z_n\}$ is bounded, so is $\{z_{n_i}\}$, there exists a subsequence $\{z_{n_{i_j}}\}$ of $\{z_{n_i}\}$ which converges weakly to $x \in H_1$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $z_{n_i} \rightharpoonup x$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. It follows that $Az_{n_i} \rightharpoonup Ax$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, by (3.5) and demiclosedness at zero in Lemma 2.5, we obtain $y = Ax \in \text{Fix}(J_{\eta}^{B_2}(I - \eta f_2))$, indeed also, $y = Ax \in \text{Fix}(J_{\eta_{n_i}}^{B_2}(I - \eta_{n_i}f_2))$, that is $y = Ax$ solves $0 \in f_2(y) + B_2(y)$. Since,

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_{n_i} - x\| &\leq \|z_{n_i} - x\| + \gamma_{n_i} \|A^*(J_{\eta_{n_i}}^{B_2}((I - \eta_{n_i}f_2)Az_{n_i} + \xi_{n_i}) - Az_{n_i})\| \\ &\leq \|z_{n_i} - x\| + \gamma_{n_i} \sqrt{L} \|J_{\eta_{n_i}}^{B_2}((I - \eta_{n_i}f_2)Az_{n_i} + \xi_{n_i}) - Az_{n_i}\| \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n_i} - x\| &= \|(z_{n_i} - x) - \theta_{n_i}(x_{n_i} - x_{n_i-1})\| \\ &\leq \|z_{n_i} - x\| + \alpha_{n_i} \frac{\theta_{n_i}}{\alpha_{n_i}} \|x_{n_i} - x_{n_i-1}\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by conditions (C1), (C3), (C4) and (3.5), we get $y_{n_i} \rightharpoonup x$ and $x_{n_i} \rightharpoonup x$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that $y_{n_i} - x_{n_i} \rightharpoonup 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, by nonexpansiveness of $J_{\lambda_{n_i}}^{B_1}$ and $I - \lambda_{n_i}f_1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\|x_{n_i+1} - J_{\lambda_{n_i}}^{B_1}(I - \lambda_{n_i}f_1)x_{n_i}\| \\ &= \|\alpha_{n_i}f(y_{n_i}) + (1 - \alpha_{n_i})J_{\lambda_{n_i}}^{B_1}((I - \lambda_{n_i}f_1)y_{n_i} + \varepsilon_{n_i}) - J_{\lambda_{n_i}}^{B_1}(I - \lambda_{n_i}f_1)x_{n_i}\| \\ &= \|\alpha_{n_i}(f(y_{n_i}) - J_{\lambda_{n_i}}^{B_1}(I - \lambda_{n_i}f_1)x_{n_i}) \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_{n_i})(J_{\lambda_{n_i}}^{B_1}((I - \lambda_{n_i}f_1)y_{n_i} + \varepsilon_{n_i}) - J_{\lambda_{n_i}}^{B_1}(I - \lambda_{n_i}f_1)x_{n_i})\| \\ &\leq \alpha_{n_i}\|f(y_{n_i}) - J_{\lambda_{n_i}}^{B_1}(I - \lambda_{n_i}f_1)x_{n_i}\| \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_{n_i})\|J_{\lambda_{n_i}}^{B_1}((I - \lambda_{n_i}f_1)y_{n_i} + \varepsilon_{n_i}) - J_{\lambda_{n_i}}^{B_1}(I - \lambda_{n_i}f_1)x_{n_i}\| \\ &\leq \alpha_{n_i}\|f(y_{n_i}) - J_{\lambda_{n_i}}^{B_1}(I - \lambda_{n_i}f_1)x_{n_i}\| \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_{n_i})\|((I - \lambda_{n_i}f_1)y_{n_i} + \varepsilon_{n_i}) - (I - \lambda_{n_i}f_1)x_{n_i}\| \\ &\leq \alpha_{n_i}\|f(y_{n_i}) - J_{\lambda_{n_i}}^{B_1}(I - \lambda_{n_i}f_1)x_{n_i}\| + (1 - \alpha_{n_i})(\|y_{n_i} - x_{n_i}\| + \|\varepsilon_{n_i}\|). \end{aligned}$$

So, by conditions (C1) and (C3), we have

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_i+1} - J_{\lambda_{n_i}}^{B_1}(I - \lambda_{n_i}f_1)x_{n_i}\| = 0.$$

Therefore, by NST-condition (II) in Lemma 2.6, we obtain

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_i} - J_{\lambda_{n_i}}^{B_1}(I - \lambda_{n_i}f_1)x_{n_i}\| = 0.$$

Hence, by demiclosedness at zero in Lemma 2.5 again, we obtain $x \in \text{Fix}(J_{\lambda}^{B_1}(I - \lambda f_1))$, indeed also, $x \in \text{Fix}(J_{\lambda_{n_i}}^{B_1}(I - \lambda_{n_i}f_1))$, that is x solves $0 \in f_1(x) + B_1(x)$. It follows that

$x = J_{\lambda_{n_i}}^{B_1}(I - \lambda_{n_i}f_1)x \in \Omega$. Since, by nonexpansiveness of $J_{\lambda_{n_i}}^{B_1}$ and $I - \lambda_{n_i}f_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n_i+1} - x_{n_i}\| &= \|\alpha_{n_i}(f(y_{n_i}) - x_{n_i}) + (1 - \alpha_{n_i})(J_{\lambda_{n_i}}^{B_1}((I - \lambda_{n_i}f_1)y_{n_i} + \varepsilon_{n_i}) - x_{n_i})\| \\
&\leq \alpha_{n_i}\|f(y_{n_i}) - x_{n_i}\| + (1 - \alpha_{n_i})\|J_{\lambda_{n_i}}^{B_1}((I - \lambda_{n_i}f_1)y_{n_i} + \varepsilon_{n_i}) - x_{n_i}\| \\
&\leq \alpha_{n_i}\|f(y_{n_i}) - x_{n_i}\| + (1 - \alpha_{n_i})\left(\|J_{\lambda_{n_i}}^{B_1}((I - \lambda_{n_i}f_1)y_{n_i} + \varepsilon_{n_i}) - x\| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \|x_{n_i} - x\|\right) \\
&= \alpha_{n_i}\|f(y_{n_i}) - x_{n_i}\| + (1 - \alpha_{n_i})\left(\|x_{n_i} - x\| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \|J_{\lambda_{n_i}}^{B_1}((I - \lambda_{n_i}f_1)y_{n_i} + \varepsilon_{n_i}) - J_{\lambda_{n_i}}^{B_1}(I - \lambda_{n_i}f_1)x\|\right) \\
&\leq \alpha_{n_i}\|f(y_{n_i}) - x_{n_i}\| + (1 - \alpha_{n_i})\left(\|x_{n_i} - x\| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \|((I - \lambda_{n_i}f_1)y_{n_i} + \varepsilon_{n_i}) - (I - \lambda_{n_i}f_1)x\|\right) \\
&\leq \alpha_{n_i}\|f(y_{n_i}) - x_{n_i}\| + (1 - \alpha_{n_i})(\|x_{n_i} - x\| + \|y_{n_i} - x\| + \|\varepsilon_{n_i}\|).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it follows by conditions (C1) and (C3) that $x_{n_i+1} - x_{n_i} \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. So, by Lemma 2.1 (iii) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \langle f(x^*) - x^*, x_{n_i+1} - x^* \rangle &= \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} (\langle f(x^*) - x^*, x_{n_i+1} - x_{n_i} \rangle + \langle f(x^*) - x^*, x_{n_i} - x^* \rangle) \\
&= \langle f(x^*) - x^*, x - x^* \rangle \\
&\leq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows by conditions (C1), (C2), (C3) and (C4) that $\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \delta_{n_i} \leq 0$. So, by Lemma 2.8, we conclude that $x_n \rightarrow x^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Remark 3.2. Indeed, the parameter θ_n can be chosen as follows:

$$\theta_n = \begin{cases} \min\{\frac{\omega_n}{\|x_n - x_{n-1}\|}, \alpha_n\} & \text{if } x_n \neq x_{n-1}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ \alpha_n & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and for speed up of convergence, the parameter θ_n is often chosen as follows:

$$\theta_n = \begin{cases} \begin{cases} \sigma_n = \frac{1}{2^n} \text{ or} \\ \sigma_n = \frac{t_n - 1}{t_{n+1}} \text{ such that } t_1 = 1 \text{ and } t_{n+1} = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4t_n^2}}{2} \end{cases} & \text{if } n \leq N, \\ \begin{cases} \min\{\frac{\omega_n}{\|x_n - x_{n-1}\|}, \alpha_n\} & \text{if } x_n \neq x_{n-1}, \\ \alpha_n & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} & \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \end{cases}$$

where $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\{\omega_n\}$ is a positive sequence such that $\omega_n = o(\alpha_n)$.

4. APPLICATIONS AND NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

In this section, we give some applications of our result using FBSA_Err to the image feature extraction with multiple-image blends problem and the split minimization problem.

4.1. Image feature extraction with multiple-image blends problem. Let $F_1 : H_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $F_2 : H_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be two convex and differentiable functions, $G_1 : H_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ and $G_2 : H_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ be two convex and lower semi-continuous functions such that the gradients ∇F_1 and ∇F_2 are $\frac{1}{\psi_1}$ and $\frac{1}{\psi_2}$ -Lipschitz continuous functions, ∂G_1 and ∂G_2 are subdifferentials of G_1 and G_2 , respectively. It is well known from [33] that $(1/\alpha)$ -Lipschitz continuous functions are also α -inverse strongly monotones, that is, if ∇F_1 and ∇F_2 are $\frac{1}{\psi_1}$ and $\frac{1}{\psi_2}$ -Lipschitz continuous functions, respectively, then both are also ψ_1 and ψ_2 inverse strongly monotones, respectively. Moreover, ∂G_1 and ∂G_2 are maximal monotones [34]. Putting $f_1 = \nabla F_1$, $f_2 = \nabla F_2$ and $B_1 = \partial G_1$, $B_2 = \partial G_2$ into Theorem 3.1, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 4.1. *Let H_1 and H_2 be two real Hilbert spaces and $A : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ be a bounded linear operator. Let $F_1 : H_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $F_2 : H_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be two convex and differentiable functions with $\frac{1}{\psi_1}$ and $\frac{1}{\psi_2}$ -Lipschitz continuous gradients ∇F_1 and ∇F_2 , respectively, and $G_1 : H_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $G_2 : H_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be two convex and lower semi-continuous functions. Let $f : H_1 \rightarrow H_1$ be a k -contraction mapping and assume that Γ is nonempty. Let $x_0, x_1 \in H_1$ and $\{x_n\} \subset H_1$ be a sequence generated by*

$$\begin{cases} z_n &= x_n + \theta_n(x_n - x_{n-1}), \\ y_n &= z_n + \gamma_n A^*(\text{prox}_{\eta_n G_2}((I - \eta_n \nabla F_2)Az_n + \xi_n) - Az_n), \\ x_{n+1} &= \alpha_n f(y_n) + (1 - \alpha_n) \text{prox}_{\lambda_n G_1}((I - \lambda_n \nabla F_1)y_n + \varepsilon_n), \end{cases}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\{\alpha_n\} \subset (0, 1)$, $\{\gamma_n\} \subset [a_1, b_1] \subset (0, \frac{1}{\|A\|^2})$, and $\{\lambda_n\} \subset [a_2, b_2] \subset (0, 2\psi_1)$, $\{\eta_n\} \subset [a_3, b_3] \subset (0, 2\psi_2)$ such that $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda$, $\eta_n \rightarrow \eta$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and $\{\varepsilon_n\} \subset H_1$, $\{\xi_n\} \subset H_2$, $\{\theta_n\} \subset [0, 1)$ satisfy the following conditions:

- (C1) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$,
- (C2) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|\varepsilon_n\|}{\alpha_n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|\xi_n\|}{\alpha_n} = 0$,
- (C3) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\varepsilon_n\| < \infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\xi_n\| < \infty$,
- (C4) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\theta_n}{\alpha_n} \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| = 0$,

then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to a point $x^* \in \Gamma$ where $x^* = P_{\Gamma} f(x^*)$.

We now propose the image feature extraction with multiple-image blends using fixed point optimization algorithm in Theorem 4.1. The image information or the hidden image (messages) H went through a hybrid image encryption system to the encrypted image L using linear method of chaos based, and went through a digital image watermarking system using linear combination of superposition carrier images $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_N$ and encrypted image with additive noise $L^\dagger$ to the mixed image M as Figure 1.

The discrete logistic chaotic map is defined as follows: $x_1 \in (0, 1)$ and

$$x_{n+1} = \mu x_n(1 - x_n), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $0 < \mu \leq 4$, and when $3.57 \leq \mu \leq 4$, the unpredictability of the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by logistic chaotic maps. We introduce the linear method for image encryption using logistic chaotic maps as follows:

$$A * x = L + \varepsilon, \tag{4.1}$$

where $A * x$ is Hadamard product (element wise multiplication) of A and x such that $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ represents a known image encryption operator (which is call that the point spread function: PSF) such that stacking the columns of A corresponding with discrete logistic chaotic map $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{m^2}$, and $L \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ is a known encrypted image, $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ is

an unknown white Gaussian noise, and $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ is an unknown image to be decrypted (estimated) image.

Let $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_N \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ be N -carrier images, and $\{\mu_n\}_{n=1}^N \subset (0, 1)$. We introduce the linear combination method for image mixing of superposition carrier images $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_N$, and encrypted image with additive white Gaussian noise $L^\dagger = L + \varepsilon$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 &= \mu_1 C_1 + (1 - \mu_1) L^\dagger, \\ M_2 &= \mu_2 C_2 + (1 - \mu_2) M_1, \\ M_3 &= \mu_3 C_3 + (1 - \mu_3) M_2, \\ &\vdots \\ M_N &= \mu_N C_N + (1 - \mu_N) M_{N-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $M = M_N$, which is called that the superimposed mixed image. For each $N \in \mathbb{N}$, it is clearly that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\prod_{i=1}^N (1 - \mu_i) \right) L^\dagger &= M - \sum_{i=1}^N \left[\left(\prod_{\substack{j=i+1 \\ j \neq N}}^N (1 - \mu_j) \right) \mu_i C_i \right] \\ \rho(A * x) &= M - \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i C_i, \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

where $\rho = \prod_{i=1}^N (1 - \mu_i)$ and

$$\beta_i = \begin{cases} \left(\prod_{j=i+1}^N (1 - \mu_j) \right) \mu_i, & i \neq N, \\ \mu_i, & i = N. \end{cases}$$

For brief, we use the notation Ax instead of $A * x$. In order to solve (4.1) and (4.2) of the solution set Γ using Theorem 4.1, we let $F_1(x) = \|Ax - L^\dagger\|_2^2$, $F_2(y) = \|\rho(y) - (M - \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i C_i)\|_2^2$ and $G_1(x) = \kappa_1 \|x\|_1$, $G_2(y) = \kappa_2 \|y\|_1$ with $y = Ax \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ such that $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 > 0$, and for $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m^2})^T \in \mathbb{R}^{m^2}$ corresponding with stacking the columns of $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$, $\|x\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{m^2} |x_i|$ and $\|x\|_2 = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{m^2} |x_i|^2}$. That is, we find the decrypted (hidden) image $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ solves

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}} \left\{ \|Ax - L^\dagger\|_2^2 + \kappa_1 \|x\|_1 \right\} \quad (4.3)$$

and such that the watermark (superposition images) extracted image $y^* = Ax^* \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ solves

$$\min_{y = Ax \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}} \left\{ \left\| \rho(Ax) - \left(M - \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i C_i \right) \right\|_2^2 + \kappa_2 \|Ax\|_1 \right\}. \quad (4.4)$$

It is well known from Lemma 2.2 by putting $T(Ax) = P_{\mathbb{R}^{m \times m}} Ax = L^\dagger$ that $\nabla F_1(x) = 2A^T(Ax - L^\dagger)$ and ∇F_1 is $\frac{1}{\psi_1}$ -Lipschitzian such that $\psi_1 = \frac{1}{2\|A\|^2}$, and putting $T(\rho(Ax)) = P_{\mathbb{R}^{m \times m}} \rho(Ax) = M - \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i C_i$ that $\nabla F_2(x) = 2\rho A^T(\rho(Ax) - (M - \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i C_i))$ and ∇F_2 is $\frac{1}{\psi_2}$ -Lipschitzian such that $\psi_2 = \frac{1}{2\rho^2\|A\|^2}$, and A^T stands the transpose of A , and $\|A\|$ is the largest singular value of A (i.e., the square root of the largest eigenvalue of the matrix $A^T A$) or the spectral norm $\|A\|_2$.

By [23] and the reference therein, for $(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{m^2})^T, (\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2, \dots, \tilde{u}_{m^2})^T \in \mathbb{R}^{m^2}$ corresponding with stacking the columns of $u, \tilde{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$, respectively, and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\text{prox}_{\lambda_n G_1}(u) = \text{prox}_{\lambda_n \kappa_1 \|u\|_1}(u) = v \text{ and } \text{prox}_{\eta_n G_2}(\tilde{u}) = \text{prox}_{\eta_n \kappa_2 \|A\tilde{u}\|_1}(\tilde{u}) = \tilde{v},$$

where $v_i = \text{sign}(u_i) \max\{|u_i| - \lambda_n \kappa_1, 0\}$ and $\tilde{v}_i = \text{sign}(\tilde{u}_i) \max\{|(A\tilde{u})_i| - \eta_n \kappa_2, 0\}$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, m^2$ such that $(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{m^2})^T, (\tilde{v}_1, \tilde{v}_2, \dots, \tilde{v}_{m^2})^T \in \mathbb{R}^{m^2}$ corresponding with stacking the columns of $v, \tilde{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$, respectively.

Algorithm 1 The image feature extraction with multiple-image blends algorithm.

procedure FBSA_Err1

Choose the initials $x_0, x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ arbitrarily.

Set M is the maximum loops to stop.

Set the operator A and the mapping f in backing tracks.

$n \leftarrow 0$

repeat

$n \leftarrow n + 1$

Update the parameters $\alpha_n, \gamma_n, \lambda_n, \eta_n, \theta_n$ and the errors $\varepsilon_n, \xi_n \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$.

% Hadamard product (element wise multiplication) is used in the processes.

$z_n \leftarrow x_n + \theta_n(x_n - x_{n-1})$

$y_n \leftarrow z_n + \gamma_n A^T(\text{prox}_{\eta_n G_2}(Az_n - 2\rho\eta_n A^T(\rho(A^2 z_n) - (M - \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i C_i)) + \xi_n) - Az_n)$

$x_{n+1} \leftarrow \alpha_n f(y_n) + (1 - \alpha_n) \text{prox}_{\lambda_n G_1}(y_n - 2\lambda_n A^T(Ay_n - L^\dagger) + \varepsilon_n)$

until $n = M$

return x_{n+1}

end procedure

Example 4.2. We illustrate the performance of FBSA_Err in Algorithm 1 for solving image feature extraction with multiple-image blends problem through (4.3) and (4.4) with $\kappa_1 = \kappa_2 = 10^{-4}$. We implemented them in MATLAB R2019a to solve and run on personal laptop Intel(R) Core(TM) i5-8250U CPU @1.80 GHz 8 GB RAM.

Let $x = (a_{ij}), x_n = (b_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ represent the hidden image and the estimated image at first n^{th} iteration(s), respectively. We use the normalized cross-correlation (NCC) as the digital image matching measure (it is better if it is near value of 1) of the images x and x_n , which is defined by

$$NCC(x, x_n) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m [(a_{ij} - \bar{a})(b_{ij} - \bar{b})]}{\sqrt{\left[\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m (a_{ij} - \bar{a})^2 \right] \left[\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m (b_{ij} - \bar{b})^2 \right]}},$$

where $\bar{a} = \frac{1}{m^2} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij}$ and $\bar{b} = \frac{1}{m^2} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m b_{ij}$, and also use the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) measure (it is better if it is larger value) of the images x and x_n , and the improvement in signal-to-noise ratio (ISNR) measure (it is better if it is larger value) of the images x, x_n and $L^\dagger$, which are defined (measured in decibels: dB) by

$$SNR(x, x_n) = 10 \log_{10} \frac{\|x_n\|_2^2}{\|x - x_n\|_2^2} \text{ and } ISNR(x, x_n, L^\dagger) = 10 \log_{10} \frac{\|x - L^\dagger\|_2^2}{\|x - x_n\|_2^2},$$

where $L^\dagger \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ represents the observed encrypted image with additive noise.

FIGURE 1. Image encryption process and images superposition process.

For the illustration, we consider the standard test images downloaded from [35] for Woman, Pirate and Cameraman, and image information downloaded from [36] with all images converted to the double class type of monochrome image and resized to 256×256 pixels by `img = im2double(imread('image_name'))` and `imresize(img, [256, 256])` in MATLAB, respectively, which represent the carrier images $C_1, C_2, C_3 \in \mathbb{R}^{256 \times 256}$ and the hidden image $H \in \mathbb{R}^{256 \times 256}$, respectively, as Figure 2.

FIGURE 2. Hidden image and carrier images.

The hidden image H went through image encryption of chaos based, to encrypted image $L = A * H$ such that stacking the columns of $A \in \mathbb{R}^{256 \times 256}$ corresponding with discrete logistic chaotic map $\tilde{x} = \{x_n\}_{n=1}^{256^2}$ with $\mu = 3.57$ and the logistic chaotic map initial $x_1 = 0.25$ by $A = \text{reshape}(\tilde{x}, [256, 256])$ and $L = A * H$ in MATLAB, and followed

adding the zero-mean white Gaussian noise ε with standard deviation 10^{-3} to the image $L^\dagger = L + \varepsilon = L + 10^{-3} * \text{randn}(\text{size}(L))$ in MATLAB as Figure 3.

FIGURE 3. Hidden image and encrypted image with additive noise.

The encrypted image with additive noise $L^\dagger$ went through image mixing of superposition carrier images of $C_1 = \text{Woman}$, $C_2 = \text{Pirate}$ and $C_3 = \text{Cameraman}$, respectively, to the superimposed mixed image M with $\mu_1 = 0.999$, $\mu_2 = 0.25$ and $\mu_3 = 0.5$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 &= \mu_1 C_1 + (1 - \mu_1) L^\dagger, \\ M_2 &= \mu_2 C_2 + (1 - \mu_2) M_1, \\ M_3 &= \mu_3 C_3 + (1 - \mu_3) M_2, \end{aligned}$$

or

where $M = M_3$. That is

$$M = \rho L^\dagger + \beta_1 C_1 + \beta_2 C_2 + \beta_3 C_3$$

TABLE 1. The best choice types of testing the parameters γ_n, λ_n and η_n for the fast convergence.

Type	γ_n	λ_n	η_n
A1	$\frac{L_0}{10}$	$\frac{L_1}{10}$	$\frac{L_2}{10}$
A2	$L_0 - \frac{L_0}{10}$	$L_1 - \frac{L_1}{10}$	$L_2 - \frac{L_2}{10}$
A3	L_0	L_1	L_2
A4	$L_0 + \frac{L_0}{10}$	$L_1 + \frac{L_1}{10}$	$L_2 + \frac{L_2}{10}$
A5	$2L_0 - \frac{L_0}{10}$	$2L_1 - \frac{L_1}{10}$	$2L_2 - \frac{L_2}{10}$
A6	—	$2L_1$	$2L_2$
B1	$\frac{L_0 n}{n+1}$	$\frac{L_1 n}{n+1}$	$\frac{L_2 n}{n+1}$
B2	$\frac{L_0(n+2)}{n+1}$	$\frac{L_1(n+2)}{n+1}$	$\frac{L_2(n+2)}{n+1}$
B3	—	$\frac{2L_1 n}{n+1}$	$\frac{2L_2 n}{n+1}$
C1	$L_0 + \frac{(-1)^n L_0}{n+1}$	$L_1 + \frac{(-1)^n L_1}{n+1}$	$L_2 + \frac{(-1)^n L_2}{n+1}$
C2	$L_0 + \frac{(-1)^{n+1} L_0}{n+1}$	$L_1 + \frac{(-1)^{n+1} L_1}{n+1}$	$L_2 + \frac{(-1)^{n+1} L_2}{n+1}$

such that $\rho = (1 - \mu_1)(1 - \mu_2)(1 - \mu_3) = 0.000375, \beta_1 = (1 - \mu_2)(1 - \mu_3)\mu_1 = 0.3746, \beta_2 = (1 - \mu_3)\mu_2 = 0.125$ and $\beta_3 = \mu_3 = 0.5$, and $L^\dagger, M_1, M_2$ and M_3 are as Figure 4. We now find the decrypted (hidden) image $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^{256 \times 256}$ solves

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{256 \times 256}} \left\{ \|Ax - L^\dagger\|_2^2 + \kappa_1 \|x\|_1 \right\}$$

and such that the watermark (superposition images) extracted image $y^* = Ax^* \in \mathbb{R}^{256 \times 256}$ solves

$$\min_{y = Ax \in \mathbb{R}^{256 \times 256}} \left\{ \left\| \rho(Ax) - \left(M - \sum_{i=1}^3 \beta_i C_i \right) \right\|_2^2 + \kappa_2 \|Ax\|_1 \right\}.$$

FIGURE 4. Encrypted image with additive noise $L^\dagger$ and superimposed mixed images M_1, M_2 and M_3 .

Let $L = \|A\|^2, \psi_1 = \frac{1}{2\|A\|^2} = \frac{1}{2L}$ and $\psi_2 = \frac{1}{2\rho^2\|A\|^2} = \frac{1}{2\rho^2 L}$. We introduce the best choice types of testing the parameters γ_n, λ_n and η_n for the fast convergence with $L_0 = \frac{1}{2L}, L_1 = \psi_1$ and $L_2 = \psi_2$ as in Table 1. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we set the parameters λ_n and η_n are A6 type, which is the best choice type for all cases of the parameter γ_n , and

TABLE 2. All cases of the parameter γ_n (with A6 type of the parameters λ_n and η_n) at first 10,000th iterations.

Case	γ_n	λ_n	η_n	CPU (s)	NCC	SNR	ISNR	tol
1	A1	A6	A6	425.51	0.9924	19.4825	9.8366	2.42×10^{-7}
2	A2	A6	A6	429.86	0.9763	23.5064	14.4992	2.52×10^{-7}
3	A3	A6	A6	431.31	0.9687	23.4392	14.5077	2.57×10^{-7}
4	A4	A6	A6	425.90	0.9572	23.1888	14.3321	2.65×10^{-7}
5	A5	A6	A6	425.94	0.7978	18.5734	10.2851	3.59×10^{-7}
6	B1	A6	A6	434.32	0.9689	23.4424	14.5101	2.57×10^{-7}
7	B2	A6	A6	430.61	0.9686	23.4359	14.5052	2.58×10^{-7}
8	C1	A6	A6	430.83	0.9687	23.4392	14.5077	2.57×10^{-7}
9	C2	A6	A6	440.86	0.9687	23.4392	14.5077	2.57×10^{-7}

TABLE 3. The increasing of NCC, SNR and ISNR of cases 1 and 2.

Case 1				Case 2			
n	NCC	SNR	ISNR	n	NCC	SNR	ISNR
10,000	0.9924	19.4825	9.8366	10,000	0.9763	23.5064	14.4992
25,000	0.9941	19.6572	10.0205	25,000	0.9768	23.8564	14.8513
50,000	0.9954	19.8115	10.1833	50,000	0.9769	24.1426	15.1397
75,000	0.9961	19.8835	10.2593	75,000	0.9769	24.2643	15.2628
100,000	0.9964	19.9184	10.2960	100,000	0.9769	24.3201	15.3195

setting $\alpha_n = \frac{10^{-6}}{n+1}$ and

$$\theta_n = \begin{cases} \sigma_n = \frac{t_n-1}{t_{n+1}} \text{ such that } t_1 = 1 \text{ and } t_{n+1} = \frac{1+\sqrt{1+4t_n^2}}{2} & \text{if } n \leq 1000, \\ \begin{cases} \min\{\frac{1/(n+1)^3}{\|x_n - x_{n-1}\|}, \alpha_n\} & \text{if } x_n \neq x_{n-1}, \\ \alpha_n & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (4.5)$$

and the errors $\varepsilon_n = \xi_n = \frac{M}{(n+1)^3}$, and we also set $f(x) = \frac{x}{5}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{256 \times 256}$ and choose the algorithm initials $x_0 = x_1 = M$.

We use NCC, SNR and ISNR that quality measures of the decrypted image at first 10,000th iterations, which show in Table 2. Moreover, we also show the relative error which is defined by

$$\frac{\|x_{n+1} - x_n\|_2}{\|x_n\|_2} \leq \text{tol},$$

where tol denotes a prescribed tolerance value of algorithm, and their convergence behaviors are shown in Figure 5.

On the results of all quality measures of the feature extracted image as in Table 2, we see that the quantity of NCC in cases 1 and 2 are greater than others, but the quantities of SNR and ISNR of them are lower and greater than others, respectively, and then, we conclude that for quality measure of the decrypted image by NCC measure only, case 1 is the best choice, and by NCC, SNR and ISNR measures together, case 2 is the best choice, for image feature extraction with multiple-image blends using FBSA_Err, which show in Figures 6, 7 and 8, and also show the increasing of NCC, SNR and ISNR of them in first 10,000th to 100,000th of increased iterations as in Table 3.

Remark 4.3. The architecture of chaos based image cryptosystem mainly consists of two stages: the confusion (pixel permutation) stage and the diffusion (sequential pixel value modification) stage, which are directly generated by the point spread function A

FIGURE 5. Convergence behaviors of NCC, SNR, ISNR and tol.

FIGURE 6. The best feature extracted images of cases 1 and 2.

on pixels of the hidden image H to the encrypted image $L = A \diamond H$ (pixel permutation or sequential pixel value modification of H by A). In this paper, the hidden image H encrypts of the confusion stage to the encrypted image $L = A * H$, which is generated by the linear method of element wise multiplication of A and H such that stacking the columns of $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ corresponding with discrete logistic chaotic map $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{m^2}$. For the diffusion stage using this linear method, the encrypted image L can be generated by $L = A \cdot H$ (regular matrix multiplication of A and H) as Figure 9.

Open problem. How to write programming technique of *FBSA_Err* to solve the image feature extraction with multiple-image blends problem of the encrypted image $L = A \cdot H$?

4.2. Split minimization problem. Let $G_1 : H_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ and $G_2 : H_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ be two convex and lower semi-continuous functions. If $f_1 = f_2 = 0$ and $B_1 = \partial G_1$, and $B_2 = \partial G_2$ then the SMVIP is reduced to the split variational inclusion problem (SVIP)

FIGURE 7. Convergence behavior of the image x_n in case 1.

or the split minimization problem (SMP), which is to find $x^* \in H_1$ such that

$$G_1(x^*) = \min_{x \in H_1} G_1(x) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad 0 \in \partial G_1(x^*) \quad (4.6)$$

and such that $y^* = Ax^* \in H_2$ solves

$$G_2(y^*) = \min_{y=Ax \in H_2} G_2(y) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad 0 \in \partial G_2(y^*) \quad (4.7)$$

and we will denote Φ the solution set of (4.6) and (4.7). That is

$$\Phi = \{(x, y) \in H_1 \times H_2 : x \text{ solves (4.6) and } y = Ax \text{ solves (4.7)}\}.$$

Algorithm 2 The forward-backward splitting algorithm with errors.

procedure FBSA_Err2

Choose the initials $x_0, x_1 \in H_1$ arbitrarily. Set the error ϵ .

Set the operator A , and the mapping f in backing tracks.

$n \leftarrow 0$

repeat

$n \leftarrow n + 1$

 Update the parameters $\alpha_n, \gamma_n, \lambda_n, \eta_n, \theta_n$ and the errors $\varepsilon_n, \xi_n \in H_1$.

$z_n \leftarrow x_n + \theta_n(x_n - x_{n-1})$

FIGURE 8. Convergence behavior of the image x_n in case 2.

FIGURE 9. Encrypted images using linear method.

$$\begin{aligned}
 y_n &\leftarrow z_n + \gamma_n A^* (J_{\eta_n}^{B_2} ((I - \eta_n f_2) A z_n + \xi_n) - A z_n) \\
 x_{n+1} &\leftarrow \alpha_n f(y_n) + (1 - \alpha_n) J_{\lambda_n}^{B_1} ((I - \lambda_n f_1) y_n + \varepsilon_n) \\
 \textbf{until } &\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| < \epsilon
 \end{aligned}$$

```

return  $x_{n+1}$ 
end procedure

```

Many researchers have proposed, analyzed and modified the iteration methods for solving the SMVIP and the SVIP using self-adaptive step size methods. Recently, Yao et al. [37] introduced YSLD method in Algorithm 2.1 for solving SMVIP, and also Tan et al. [38] introduced TQY methods in Algorithms 3.3 and 3.4, and Thong et al. [39] introduced TDC method in Algorithm 3.3 for solving SVIP, as follows.

Let $H_1, H_2, A, f_1, f_2, B_1, B_2$ and f be defined as the state of Theorem 3.1, and assume that Ω and Φ are nonempty.

YSLD method in Algorithm 2.1.

Let $x_0, x_1 \in H_1$ and $\{x_n\} \subset H_1$ be a sequence generated by

$$\begin{cases} z_n &= x_n + \theta_n(x_n - x_{n-1}), \\ y_n &= z_n + \gamma_n A^*(J_\lambda^{B_2}(I - \lambda f_2)Az_n) - Az_n, \\ x_{n+1} &= J_\lambda^{B_1}(I - \lambda f_1)y_n, \end{cases}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\theta_n \in [0, \bar{\theta}_n]$, $\lambda \in (0, 2\psi)$ such that $\psi = \min\{\psi_1, \psi_2\}$ and

$$\bar{\theta}_n = \begin{cases} \min\{\frac{\omega_n}{\|x_n - x_{n-1}\|^2}, \theta\}, & x_n \neq x_{n-1}, \\ \theta, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\gamma_n = \begin{cases} \frac{\rho_n \|J_\lambda^{B_2}(I - \lambda f_2)Az_n - Az_n\|^2}{\|A^*(J_\lambda^{B_2}(I - \lambda f_2)Az_n - Az_n)\|^2}, & J_\lambda^{B_2}(I - \lambda f_2)Az_n - Az_n \neq 0, \\ \gamma, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

such that $\rho_n \in [a, b] \subset (0, 1)$, $\{\omega_n\} \in \ell_1$, $\theta \in [0, 1)$ and $\gamma > 0$.

TQY method in Algorithm 3.3.

Let $x_0, x_1 \in H_1$ and $\{x_n\} \subset H_1$ be a sequence generated by

$$\begin{cases} z_n &= x_n + \theta_n(x_n - x_{n-1}), \\ y_n &= J_\lambda^{B_1}(z_n - \gamma_n A^*(I - J_\lambda^{B_2})Az_n), \\ x_{n+1} &= (1 - \alpha_n - \beta_n)z_n + \beta_n y_n, \end{cases}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\} \subset (0, 1)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$, $\{\beta_n\} \subset (a, b) \subset (0, 1 - \alpha_n)$, and

$$\theta_n = \begin{cases} \min\{\frac{\omega_n}{\|x_n - x_{n-1}\|}, \theta\}, & x_n \neq x_{n-1}, \\ \theta, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (4.8)$$

and

$$\gamma_n = \begin{cases} \frac{\rho_n \|(I - J_\lambda^{B_2})Az_n\|^2}{\|A^*(I - J_\lambda^{B_2})Az_n\|^2}, & \|A^*(I - J_\lambda^{B_2})Az_n\| \neq 0, \\ \gamma, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (4.9)$$

such that $\theta > 0, \gamma > 0, \lambda > 0, \rho_n \in (0, 2), \omega_n = o(\alpha_n)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\omega_n}{\alpha_n} = 0$.

TQY method in Algorithm 3.4.

Let $x_0, x_1 \in H_1$ and $\{x_n\} \subset H_1$ be a sequence generated by

$$\begin{cases} z_n &= x_n + \theta_n(x_n - x_{n-1}), \\ y_n &= J_\lambda^{B_1}(z_n - \gamma_n A^*(I - J_\lambda^{B_2})Az_n), \\ x_{n+1} &= \alpha_n f(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n)y_n, \end{cases}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\{\alpha_n\} \subset (0, 1)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty, \theta_n$ defined as (4.8) and γ_n defined as (4.9) such that $\theta > 0, \gamma > 0, \lambda > 0, \rho_n \in (0, 2), \omega_n = o(\alpha_n)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\omega_n}{\alpha_n} = 0$.

TDC method in Algorithm 3.3.

Let $x_0, x_1 \in H_1$ and $\{x_n\} \subset H_1$ be a sequence generated by

$$\begin{cases} z_n &= x_n + \theta_n(x_n - x_{n-1}), \\ y_n &= J_\lambda^{B_1}(z_n - \gamma_n A^*(I - J_\lambda^{B_2})Az_n), \\ x_{n+1} &= (1 - \alpha_n)(z_n - \beta_n d(z_n, y_n)) + \alpha_n f(x_n), \end{cases}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\theta_n \in [0, \bar{\theta}_n], \{\alpha_n\} \subset (0, 1), \{\gamma_n\} \subset [a, b] \subset (0, \frac{1}{L})$ such that $L = \|A\|^2, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$ and

$$\bar{\theta}_n = \begin{cases} \min\{\frac{\omega_n}{\|x_n - x_{n-1}\|}, \theta\}, & x_n \neq x_{n-1}, \\ \theta, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\beta_n = \frac{\langle z_n - y_n, d(z_n, y_n) \rangle}{\|d(z_n, y_n)\|^2}$$

such that

$$d(z_n, y_n) = z_n - y_n - \gamma_n(A^*(I - J_\lambda^{B_2})Az_n - A^*(I - J_\lambda^{B_2})Ay_n)$$

and $\theta > 0, \lambda > 0, \omega_n = o(\alpha_n) \in [0, \theta)$, and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\omega_n}{\alpha_n} = 0$.

Example 4.4. We illustrate the performance of our Algorithm 2 in Theorem 3.1 compared with YSLD Algorithm 2.1, TQY Algorithms 3.3 and 3.4, and TDC Algorithm 3.3. We implemented them in MATHEMATICA 5.0 to solve and run on personal laptop Intel(R) Core(TM) i5-8250U CPU @1.80 GHz 8 GB RAM.

Let $H_1 = H_2 = L^2([0, 1])$ embedded with the inner product $\langle x(t), y(t) \rangle = \int_0^1 x(t)y(t)dt$ and the induced norm $\|x(t)\| = (\int_0^1 |x(t)|^2 dt)^{1/2}$ for all $x(t), y(t) \in L^2([0, 1])$. Let $A : L^2([0, 1]) \rightarrow L^2([0, 1])$ be the Volterra integration operator, which is given by $(Ax)(t) = \int_0^t x(s)ds$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and $x(t) \in L^2([0, 1])$. It is well known that the adjoint A^* of A which is defined by $(A^*x)(t) = \int_t^1 x(s)ds$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and $x(t) \in L^2([0, 1])$, is a bounded linear operator and $\|A\| = \frac{2}{\pi}$ (see [40]).

Let $f_1 = f_2 = 0$ and $B_1 = \partial\|x(t)\|, B_2 = \partial\|y(t)\|$ with $y(t) = Ax$ for all $x(t), y(t) \in L^2([0, 1])$. Then, the SMVIP and the SVIP are reduced to find $x^*(t) \in L^2([0, 1])$ such

TABLE 4. Numerical results of Example 4.4 for $\psi_1 = \psi_2 = 1$

Algorithms ($\psi_1 = \psi_2 = 1$)	$x_0 = x_1 = 15$		$x_0 = x_1 = 20t^2 + t$		$x_0 = x_1 = 20\sin(t)$		$x_0 = x_1 = 5e^t$	
	n	CPU (s)	n	CPU (s)	n	CPU (s)	n	CPU (s)
Our Alg. 2	5	0.6880	5	1.1710	5	2.3760	4	0.7660
YLSA Alg. 2.1	6	0.9220	6	1.6250	6	2.0940	5	2.1410
TQY Alg. 3.3	7	3.9380	6	2.9060	7	4.9690	6	3.1710
TQY Alg. 3.4	7	2.1090	6	2.5470	6	2.2500	5	2.1710

TABLE 5. Numerical results of Example 4.4 for $\psi_1 = \psi_2 = 10$

Algorithms ($\psi_1 = \psi_2 = 10$)	$x_0 = x_1 = 15$		$x_0 = x_1 = 20t^2 + t$		$x_0 = x_1 = 20\sin(t)$		$x_0 = x_1 = 5e^t$	
	n	CPU (s)	n	CPU (s)	n	CPU (s)	n	CPU (s)
Our Alg. 2	3	0.2030	3	4.2960	3	0.6100	2	0.2030
YLSA Alg. 2.1	2	0.0620	2	0.1100	2	0.1870	2	0.2190
TQY Alg. 3.3	3	0.0620	3	0.1870	3	0.2970	3	0.2960
TQY Alg. 3.4	3	0.0780	2	0.1100	3	0.3270	2	0.2340

that

$$\|x^*(t)\| = \min_{x(t) \in L^2([0,1])} \|x(t)\| \Leftrightarrow 0 \in \partial\|x^*(t)\|$$

and such that $y^*(t) = Ax^* \in L^2([0,1])$ solves

$$\|y^*(t)\| = \min_{y(t) = Ax \in L^2([0,1])} \|y(t)\| \Leftrightarrow 0 \in \partial\|y^*(t)\|.$$

Note that $(x(t), y(t)) = (0, 0) \in \Omega = \Phi$. For $\lambda > 0$ and $x(t) \in L^2([0,1])$, by [37] we have

$$(J_\lambda^{B_1}x)(t) = \begin{cases} x - \frac{\lambda x}{\|x\|}, & \|x\| > \lambda, \\ 0, & \|x\| \leq \lambda, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad (J_\lambda^{B_2}x)(t) = \begin{cases} x - \frac{\lambda Ax}{\|Ax\|}, & \|Ax\| > \lambda, \\ x - Ax, & \|Ax\| \leq \lambda. \end{cases}$$

In compared algorithms, all parameters have been setting to their high performance. Since, f_1 and f_2 are ψ_1 and ψ_2 inverse strongly monotones for all $\psi_1, \psi_2 > 0$, respectively, so we fix $\psi_1 = \psi_2$ and let $L = \|A\|^2$, $L_0 = \frac{1}{2L}$, $L_1 = \psi_1$ and $L_2 = \psi_2$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we set $\alpha_n = \frac{10^{-10}}{n+1}$, $\beta_n = (1-10^{-10})(1-\alpha_n)$, $\gamma_n = L_0$ (A3 type in Table 2 for our Alg. 2 and TDC Alg. 3.3), $\lambda_n = 2L_1$ (A6 type in Table 2), $\lambda = 2L_1 - 0.01$ (for YLSA Alg. 2.1), $\lambda = 2L_1$ (for TQY Algs. 3.3 and 3.4, and TDC Alg. 3.3), $\eta_n = 2L_2$ (A6 type in Table 2), θ_n (for our Alg. 2) is as (4.5), $\theta_n = \bar{\theta}_n$ (for YSLD Alg. 2.1 and TDC Alg. 3.3), $\omega_n = \frac{1}{(n+1)^2}$, $\rho_n = \theta = \gamma = 0.5$, the errors $\varepsilon_n(t) = \xi_n(t) = \frac{1}{(n+1)^3}$ and $f(x(t)) = \frac{x}{5}$ for all $x(t) \in L^2([0,1])$.

We use $\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| < \epsilon$ such that the error $\epsilon = 10^{-10}$ as the stopping criterion in the process of all compared algorithms. The numerical results are shown in Table 4 (for $\psi_1 = \psi_2 = 1$), Table 5 (for $\psi_1 = \psi_2 = 10$) and Table 6 (for $\psi_1 = \psi_2 = 20$), and the convergence behaviors of the error sequences $\{\|x_{n+1} - x_n\|\}$ are shown as Figure 10 (for $\psi_1 = \psi_2 = 1$ only) with four different initial functions $x_0(t) = x_1(t)$ (except for TDC Algorithm 3.3 because their convergence slowly). Moreover, we also show the approximate solution functions of some case study via the speed up of convergence from increased values $\psi_1 = \psi_2 = 1, 10, 20$ with initial functions $x_0(t) = x_1(t) = 15$ as Figure 11.

Remark 4.5. On the results in Tables 4, 5 and 6, we see that the quantities of loops n and the CPU times usage of all compared algorithms depend on ψ_1 and ψ_2 , it means that they are better for large ψ_1 and ψ_2 , and worse for small ψ_1 and ψ_2 , at the same time

TABLE 6. Numerical results of Example 4.4 for $\psi_1 = \psi_2 = 20$

<i>Algorithms</i> ($\psi_1 = \psi_2 = 20$)	$x_0 = x_1 = 15$		$x_0 = x_1 = 20t^2 + t$		$x_0 = x_1 = 20\sin(t)$		$x_0 = x_1 = 5e^t$	
	n	$CPU (s)$	n	$CPU (s)$	n	$CPU (s)$	n	$CPU (s)$
<i>Our Alg. 2</i>	3	0.1870	3	4.2970	3	0.5780	2	0.2030
<i>YLSD Alg. 2.1</i>	2	0.0620	2	0.1560	2	0.2190	2	0.2500
<i>TQY Alg. 3.3</i>	3	0.0780	3	0.2030	3	0.2810	3	0.2810
<i>TQY Alg. 3.4</i>	3	0.0780	2	0.1100	3	0.2810	2	0.2350

FIGURE 10. Convergence behaviors of the error sequences for $\psi_1 = \psi_2 = 1$.

the speed of convergence be so too. Moreover, the speed of convergence to the solution of YLSD Algorithm 2.1 is better than others, their approximate solution function is $(x^*(t), y^*(t)) = (0., 0.)$ which "0." means that it is in interval $(-p, p)$ where $p = 2.22507 \times 10^{-308}$ (the smallest positive machine-precision number in MATHEMATICA) as Figure 11.

5. CONCLUSION

A new iterative forward-backward splitting algorithm with errors (FBSA_Err) for solving SMVIP is obtained in our main result. It can be applied to solve the image feature extraction with multiple-image blends problem. Under the encrypted image $L = A * H$, which is generated by the linear method of element wise multiplication of A and H such that stacking the columns of $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ corresponding with discrete logistic chaotic map $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{m^2}$, and setting all parameters to their fast convergence, we obtain the following results.

FIGURE 11. Approximate solution functions of Example 4.4 with initial functions $x_0(t) = x_1(t) = 15$.

1. For quality measure of the decrypted image by NCC measure only, the parameters γ_n, λ_n and η_n of A1, A6 and A6 types, respectively, are the best choice type to solve the image feature extraction with multiple-image blends problem using FBSA_Err.
2. For quality measure of the decrypted image by NCC, SNR and ISNR measures together, the parameters γ_n, λ_n and η_n of A2, A6 and A6 types, respectively, are the best choice type to solve the image feature extraction with multiple-image blends problem using FBSA_Err.

For application of our main result to the split variational inclusion problem or the split minimization problem, compared with YSLD Algorithm 2.1 [37], TQY Algorithms 3.3 and 3.4 [38], and TDC Algorithm 3.3 [39], the speed of convergence to the solution of YSLD Algorithm 2.1 is better than others except for complex problems (e.g., the image/signal recovery problems).

Availability of data and materials. Not applicable.

Competing interests. The author declares that he has no competing interests.

Funding. This research was supported by Faculty of Science, Maejo University.

Authors' contributions. All authors contributed equally to the writing of this paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank the Faculty of Science, Maejo University for its financial support.

REFERENCES

1. H. H. Bauschke, *The approximation of fixed points of compositions of nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert space*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, vol. 202, pp. 150–159, 1996.
2. C. E. Chidume, A. Bashir, *Convergence of path and iterative method for families of nonexpansive mappings*, Applied Analysis, vol. 67, pp. 117–129, 2008.
3. B. Halpern, *Fixed points of nonexpansive maps*, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 73, pp. 957–961, 1967.
4. S. Ishikawa, *Fixed points by a new iteration method*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 44, pp. 147–150, 1974.
5. R. Klen, V. Manojlovic, S. Simic, M. Vuorinen, *Bernoulli inequality and hypergeometric functions*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 142, pp. 559–573, 2014.
6. H. Kunze, D. La Torre, F. Mendivil, E. R. Vrscay, *Generalized fractal n transforms and self-similar objects in cone metric spaces*, Computers and Mathematics with Applications, vol. 64, pp. 1761–1769, 2012.
7. W. R. Mann, *Mean value methods in iteration*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 4, pp. 506–510, 1953.
8. S. Radenovic, B. E. Rhoades, *Fixed point theorem for two non-self mappings in cone metric spaces*, Computers and Mathematics with Applications, vol. 57, pp. 1701–1707, 2009.
9. V. Todorovic, *Harmonic Quasiconformal Mappings and Hyperbolic Type Metrics*, Springer Nature Switzerland AG: Basel, Switzerland, 2019.
10. C. Byrne, *Iterative oblique projection onto convex subsets and the split feasibility problem*, Inverse Problems, vol. 18, pp. 441–453, 2002.
11. C. Byrne, *A unified treatment of some iterative algorithms in signal processing and image reconstruction*, Inverse Problems, vol. 20, pp. 103–120, 2004.
12. P. L. Combettes, V. Wajs, *Signal recovery by proximal forward-backward splitting*, Multiscale Modeling and Simulation, vol. 4, pp. 1168–1200, 2005.
13. Y. Censor, T. Bortfeld, B. Martin, A. Trofimov, *A unified approach for inversion problems in intensity-modulated radiation therapy*, Physics in Medicine and Biology, vol. 51, pp. 2353–2365, 2006.
14. Y. Censor, T. Elfving, N. Kopf, T. Bortfeld, *The multiple set split feasibility problem and its applications*, Inverse Problems, vol. 21, pp. 2071–2084, 2005.
15. Y. Censor, A. Motova, A. Segal, *Perturbed projections and subgradient projections for the multiple-sets feasibility problem*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis, vol. 327, pp. 1244–1256, 2007.
16. A. Moudafi, *Split monotone variational inclusions*, Advances in Difference Equations, vol. 150, pp. 275–283, 2011.
17. N. Nimana, N. Petrot, *Viscosity approximation methods for split variational inclusion and fixed point problems in Hilbert spaces*, Proceedings of the International MultiConference of Engineers and Computer Scientists, vol. 2, 2014.
18. H. Che, M. Li, *Solving split variational inclusion problem and fixed point problem for nonexpansive semigroup without prior knowledge of operator norms*, Mathematical Problems in Engineering, vol. 2015, Article ID 408165, (2015).
19. Y. Shehu, F. U. Ogbusi, *An iterative method for solving split monotone variational inclusion and fixed point problems*, Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Fisicas y Naturales. Serie A. Matematicas, vol. 110, pp. 503–518, 2016.
20. D. V. Thong, P. Cholamjiak, *Strong convergence of a forward-backward splitting method with a new step size for solving monotone inclusions*, Computational and Applied Mathematics, vol. 38, 2019.
21. M. Alansari, M. Farid, R. Ali, *An iterative scheme for split monotone variational inclusion, variational inequality and fixed point problems*, Advances in Difference Equations, 2020, 485 (2020).
22. F. U. Ogbuisi, O. T. Mewomo, *Solving split monotone variational inclusion problem and fixed point problem for certain multivalued maps in Hilbert spaces*, Thai Journal of Mathematics, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 503–520, 2021.

23. P. Tianchai, *The zeros of monotone operators for the variational inclusion problem in Hilbert spaces*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 2021, 126 (2021).
24. P. Tianchai, *An improved fast iterative shrinkage thresholding algorithm with an error for image deblurring problem*, Fixed Point Theory and Algorithms for Sciences and Engineering, 2021, 18 (2021).
25. W. Takahashi, *Introduction to nonlinear and convex analysis*, Yokohama Publishers, Yokohama Japan, 2009.
26. JF. Tang, SS. Chang, F. Yuan, *A strong convergence theorem for equilibrium problems and split feasibility problems in Hilbert spaces*, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, 2014, 36 (2014).
27. N. Nadezhkina, W. Takahashi, *Weak convergence theorem by an extragradient method for nonexpansive mappings and monotone mappings*, Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, vol. 128, pp. 191–201, 2006.
28. K. Geobel, WA. Kirk, *Topic in metric fixed point theory. in: cambridge studies in advanced mathematics*, 28, Cambridge University Press, 1990.
29. W. Takahashi, Y. Takeuchi, R. Kubota, *Strong convergence theorems by hybrid methods for families of nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert spaces*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, vol. 341, pp. 276–286, 2008.
30. K. Nakajo, K. Shimoji, and W. Takahashi, *Strong convergence to common fixed points of families of nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces*, Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 11–34, 2007.
31. W. Takahashi, H-K. Xu, *Iterative algorithms for nonlinear operators*, Journal London Mathematical Society, vol. 66, pp. 240–256, 2002.
32. S. He, C. Yang, *Solving the variational inequality problem defined on intersection of finite level sets*, Abstract and Applied Analysis, 2013, Article ID 942315, (2013).
33. JB. Baillon, G. Haddad, *Quelques proprietes des operateurs angle-bornes et cycliquement monotones*, Israel Journal of Mathematics, vol. 26, pp. 137–150, 1977.
34. RT. Rockafellar, *On the maximal monotonicity of subdifferential mappings*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics, vol. 33, pp. 209–216, 1970.
35. Image Databases, Available online: http://www.imageprocessingplace.com/downloads_V3/root_downloads/image.databases/standard_test_images.zip (accessed on 1 September 2021).
36. Can Stock Photo, Available online: <https://www.canstockphoto.com/help-message-in-a-bottle-25858836.html> (accessed on 1 September 2021).
37. Y. Yao , Y. Shehu , XH. Li, QL. Dong, *A method with inertial extrapolation step for split monotone inclusion problems*, Optimization, vol. 70, no. 4, pp. 741–761, 2021.
38. B. Tan, X. Qin, JC. Yao, *Strong convergence of self-adaptive inertial algorithms for solving split variational inclusion problems with applications*, Journal of Scientific Computing, vol. 87, no. 20, 2021.
39. DV. Thong, VT. Dung, YJ. Cho, *A new strong convergence for solving split variational inclusion problems*, Numerical Algorithms, vol. 86, pp. 565–591, 2021.
40. H. H. Bauschke, P. L. Combettes, *Convex Analysis and Monotone Operator Theory in Hilbert Spaces*, 2nd edn. Springer, New York (2017).