Limit Cycles and Chaos in the Hybrid Atom-Optomechanics System

Xingran Xu (✉ thoexxr@hotmail.com)

Nanyang Technological University

Tanjung Krisnanda

Nanyang Technological University

Timothy C. H. Liew

Nanyang Technological University

Research Article

Keywords:

Posted Date: February 25th, 2022

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1360298/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Limit cycles and chaos in the hybrid atom-optomechanics system

Xingran Xu^{1,*}, Tanjung Krisnanda¹, and Timothy C. H. Liew^{1,2,+}

¹Division of Physics and Applied Physics, School of Physical and Mathematical Sciences, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore 637371, Singapore

²MajuLab, International Joint Research Unit UMI 3654, CNRS, Université Côte d'Azur, Sorbonne Université, National University of Singapore, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore

*thoexxr@hotmail.com

+TimothyLiew@ntu.edu.sg

ABSTRACT

We consider atoms in two different periodic potentials induced by different lasers, one of which is coupled to a mechanical membrane via radiation pressure force. The atoms are intrinsically two-level systems that can absorb or emit photons, but the dynamics of their position and momentum are treated classically. On the other hand, the membrane, the cavity field, and the intrinsic two-level atoms are treated quantum mechanically. We show that the mean excitation of the three systems can be stable, periodically oscillating, or in a chaotic state depending on the strength of the coupling between them. We define regular, time-periodic, and chaotic phases, and present a phase diagram where the three phases can be achieved by manipulating the field-membrane and field-atom coupling strengths. The first and second-order correlation functions in different phases are also calculated, which can be observed in experiments. Our proposal offers a new way to generate and tune time-periodic and chaotic phases in a well-established atom-optomechanics system.

Introduction

The hybrid atom-optomechanics system has been exploited due to its rich physics that allows for many opportunities, from theoretical proposals to experimental implementations. Frequent configuration of the system consists of a mechanical membrane (oscillator) and a Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC) that are mutually coupled to cavity field modes¹⁻⁴. Applications resulting from this system have been valuable. For example, the mechanical oscillator can be cooled down by enhancing the effective coupling strength between the membrane and the atom^{1,2,5-8}. At the same time, the BECs can have a nonequilibrium phase transition from the normal phase to the self-organized super-radiant phase⁹⁻¹⁴ due to the Z_2 symmetry breaking¹⁵. The system is also applicable for metrology¹⁶⁻¹⁸ and quantum simulations^{19,20}. Last but not least, it provides a new platform to create new states of many-body physics, such as the spontaneous crystallization of atoms and light into a structure that features phonon-like excitations and bears similarities to a supersolid²¹⁻²⁵. This motivates the further study of this system to potentially realize marvelous dynamical phases such as time crystal and chaos.

The time crystal phase breaks the time-translation symmetry^{26,27}, which is beyond the strict thermal equilibrium²⁸⁻³¹. Indeed, while quantum time crystals were originally defined as systems whose lowest energy state undergoes periodic motion, the definition has been extended to include nonlinear driven-dissipative systems^{32,33}. Time crystals have been observed in many nonequilibrium experiments such as driven disordered dipolar spin impurities in diamond³⁴, the interacting spin chain of trapped atomic ions³⁵, quantum computing processor³⁶, etc. On the other hand, the chaos phase represents unpredictable results after a long evolution time, which are sensitive to initial states³⁷. In this case, chaotic attractors may arise, leading to orbits that converge to the corresponding chaotic region in the phase-space diagram^{38,39}. For optomechanical system, chaotic dynamics appears in the bad-cavity limit and is described by the semiclassical equations of motion⁴⁰⁻⁴². Limit cycles have been studied extensively in the atom-membrane setup, and observed experimentally^{8,43}. Also for similar setups, limit cycles and the onset of chaos have been studied, for instance including work⁴⁴⁻⁴⁶. The hybrid atom-optomechanics system can be conditioned such that it satisfies the requirements for both limit cycles and chaotic phases, which urges for a proposal for their realization and transition.

Experimental implementations have been reported for the atom-optomechanics system with ^{87}Rb atoms and Si_3N_4 or SiN membrane^{1,47}, where the position of the membrane displaces the lattice potential for the atoms⁴⁸⁻⁵¹. Meanwhile, the center-of-mass motion of the atoms will experience a restoring optical dipole force due to the absorption and stimulated emission^{9,10,47,52}. The optical lattice for the atoms can be highly engineered with different potentials. The depth of the potential can be adjusted by the power of the laser, while the period can be tuned by changing the wavelength of the laser or the angle

between two beams^{19,48,53}. The effective coupling between the atoms and the membrane can be long distance interaction mediated by the laser field. The field interacts with the atoms via light-matter coupling, with an effective strength enhanced by the number of atoms ($\sim 10^{10}$)^{1,7}.

In this paper, we consider the atoms trapped in a double well-like potential created by two lasers, where the wavelength of one is half of the other. One of the lasers is filtered and enters a cavity where it couples to a membrane, which in turn affects its optical path. This way, the atoms will have both time-dependent and fixed potentials. In this configuration, relevant interactions include optomechanical coupling between the field and the membrane as well as light-matter coupling between the field and the atoms. The position and momentum of the atoms are treated classically, with their dynamical equations coupled to a quantum master equation characterizing the membrane, the cavity field, and the intrinsic degrees of freedom of the atoms (two-level systems). We show that by tuning the strength of the optomechanical and light-matter coupling, the system can be in regular, limit cycles, or chaotic phases. We also computed experimentally familiar quantities such as the first and second-order correlation functions in different phases.

Model

Consider two-level atoms moving in an adjusted gauge field optical lattice, which is coupled to a membrane through the coherently driven cavity field as shown in Fig. 1. The cold atoms are trapped by two lasers with different wavelengths, giving two optical lattice potentials with different periods. The Hamiltonian ($\hbar = 1$) describing the membrane, cavity field, and two-level atom, in a frame rotating with the driving frequency ω_l and with rotating-wave approximation, is written as

$$H = \omega_m \hat{b}^\dagger \hat{b} - \Delta_c \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} - \Delta_a \hat{\sigma}^+ \hat{\sigma}^- + \eta (\hat{a} + \hat{a}^\dagger) - g_{mc} (\hat{b}^\dagger + \hat{b}) \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} + g_{ac} \sin(2x) (\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{\sigma}^- + \hat{a} \hat{\sigma}^+), \quad (1)$$

where ω_m is the frequency of the membrane, $\Delta_c = \omega_l - \omega_c$ and $\Delta_a = \omega_l - \omega_a$ are the detuning for the cavity and atom, respectively. ω_c denotes the cavity frequency, ω_a the atomic transition frequency and ω_l the frequency of the laser driving the cavity with strength η . The atom couples to the cavity (Jaynes-Cummings type) with strength g_{ac} , while the optomechanical coupling¹⁸ between the membrane and cavity is denoted by g_{mc} . The optical lattice has a mode function $\sin(2x)$, where x is the atomic position, which is in units of the inverse cavity wave number. The annihilation operators for the cavity, atom, and membrane are denoted by $\hat{a}$, $\hat{\sigma}^-$, and $\hat{b}$, respectively.

Figure 1. The scheme of the hybrid atom-optomechanics system. The cold atoms are trapped by two lasers and only one of them is filtered to enter a cavity where it is coupled to a mechanical membrane.

The decays in the system are modelled by the Liouvillians and can be considered as Lindblad terms

$$\mathcal{L}_\mu[\rho, \hat{O}] = \gamma_\mu (2\hat{O}\rho\hat{O}^\dagger - \hat{O}^\dagger\hat{O}\rho - \rho\hat{O}^\dagger\hat{O}), \quad (2)$$

where γ_μ is the dissipation rate of the membrane ($\mu = m$), cavity field (c), and two-level atom (a). Note that $\hat{O}$ denotes the corresponding annihilation operator of each system. As the initial state, we use uncorrelated states of the form $\rho = \rho_m \otimes \rho_c \otimes \rho_a$,

Figure 2. The mean excitation of the membrane mode (first column), the cavity mode (second column) and the atom (third column). The parameters used are $\gamma_c/\gamma_a=0.5$, $\gamma_m/\gamma_a=2$, $\eta/\gamma_a=5$, $V_0/\gamma_a=20$, $V_1/\gamma_a=40$, $\omega_r/\gamma_a=1$, $\Delta_c/\gamma_a=-1$, and $\Delta_a/\gamma_a=-2$. Specific coupling parameters for different phases are given by (a1)-(a3): $g_{ac}/\gamma_a=0.5$, $g_{mc}/\gamma_a=2$; (b1)-(b3): $g_{ac}/\gamma_a=2$, $g_{mc}/\gamma_a=2.5$; and (c1)-(c3): $g_{ac}/\gamma_a=2$, $g_{mc}/\gamma_a=2$.

where ρ_m , ρ_c , and ρ_a represent the density matrix for the membrane, cavity field, and atom. The evolution follows the quantum master equation:

$$\dot{\rho} = -i[H, \rho] + \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{L}_m[\rho, \hat{b}] + \mathcal{L}_c[\rho, \hat{a}] + \mathcal{L}_a[\rho, \hat{\sigma}^-]). \quad (3)$$

In addition, we have classical differential equations of the atomic motion obtained from the Ehrenfest theorem: $\dot{x} = \partial \langle H \rangle / \partial p$ and $\dot{p} = -\partial \langle H \rangle / \partial x$, where the observables x and p are treated simply as numbers in the classical regime. For this classical motion, the atom is situated in two potentials such that its Hamiltonian reads

$$H_a = \frac{p^2}{2m} + V_0 \sin(x)^2 + V_1 \sin(x), \quad (4)$$

where m is the mass of the atom, V_0 is the depth of the optical lattice, and $V_1 \sin(x)$ is the external periodic potential. We stress that only one of the potentials (V_0) ends up being coupled to the membrane. The equations of motion for the atom, taking into account the Hamiltonians H and H_a , are written as

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= 2\omega_r p, \\ \dot{p} &= -4g_{ac} \cos(2x) \Re \{ \langle \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{\sigma}^- \rangle \} - [V_1 \cos(x) + V_0 \sin(2x)], \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $\omega_r = 1/(2m)$ is the recoil frequency. As initial conditions, we take $x(0) = -1$ and $p(0) = 0$. We note that the quantum observable $\Re \{ \langle \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{\sigma}^- \rangle \}$ updates the classical dynamics, while the latter affects the quantum dynamics via the change in the optical path, and hence, the mode function $\sin(2x)$. We show below with suitable parameters, that this quantum-classical coupled dynamics can produce regular, limit cycles, and chaotic phases. See also the Appendix for calculations of the dynamics using the quantum trajectory method.

Different dynamical behaviors

The hybrid system has quantum and classical parts that are treated differently but are coupled to each other. For the quantum part, all three systems are also coupled, and consequently, we note that a particular phase in one system is an indication of the

same phase in others. In what follows, we define three phases based on the dynamical behavior of mean excitations (either of the membrane $\langle n_m \rangle$, cavity field $\langle n_c \rangle$, or two-level atom $\langle \hat{\sigma}^+ \hat{\sigma}^- \rangle$):

1. *Regular phase.* The excitation of each system will have a stable value after a long evolution time, as shown in Figs. 2(a1)-(a3). In this case, the motion of the atom and the membrane will converge to a point in the phase-space diagram, as shown in Figs. 3(a1)-(b2).
2. *Limit cycles phase.* The excitation of each system will oscillate periodically around a certain value, as shown in Figs. 2(b1)-(b3). Here, after a certain time, the motion of the atom and the membrane will continue to orbit a point in the phase-space diagram, see Figs. 3(a2)-(b2).
3. *Chaotic phase.* The excitation of each system will show random oscillation, as shown in Figs. 2(c1)-(c3). The motion of the atom and the membrane in the phase-space diagram will exhibit random orbits around two attractors, see Figs. 3(a3)-(b3).

Remarkably, the quantum-classical coupled dynamics can produce all three phases, by simply tuning the strength of the optomechanical (g_{mc}) and light-matter (g_{ac}) coupling, see Fig. 2.

Figure 3. The motion of the atom (first row) and the membrane (second row) in the phase-space diagram. The parameters are given by $\gamma_c/\gamma_a=0.5$, $\gamma_m/\gamma_a=2$, $\eta/\gamma_a=5$, $V_0/\gamma_a=20$, $V_1/\gamma_a=40$, $\omega_r/\gamma_a=1$, $\Delta_c/\gamma_a=-1$, and $\Delta_a/\gamma_a=-2$. For panels (a1)-(b1): $g_{ac}/\gamma_a=0.5$, $g_{mc}/\gamma_a=2$; (a2)-(b2): $g_{ac}/\gamma_a=2$, $g_{mc}/\gamma_a=2.5$; and (a3)-(b3): $g_{ac}/\gamma_a=2$, $g_{mc}/\gamma_a=2$.

Furthermore, the atomic motion (x, p) and expectation value of quadratures, e.g., for the membrane (x_m, p_m), where $x_m = (\hat{b} + \hat{b}^\dagger)/\sqrt{2}$ and $p_m = (\hat{b} - \hat{b}^\dagger)/(i\sqrt{2})$ are plotted in phase-space diagrams in Fig. 3. For the atom, as the period of one potential is twice the other (see Eq. (4)), the depths V_0 and V_1 allow for a double well-like potential shape, which consequently gives three optimum points, two of which are stable. The position of the two stable points are symmetric with respect to, e.g., $x = -\pi/2$ where the stronger potential $V_1 \sin(x)$ has the lowest energy. Thus, the steady momentum is always zero in the regular phase, whereas it is oscillating around zero in other phases. The atomic motion will converge to one of the stable points in the regular phase while the trajectory will form a closed circle in the limit cycles phase. When the system is in the chaotic phase, there are two attractors in the phase-space diagram and the motion of the atom is unpredictable. At the same time, the behaviors of the quantum degrees of freedom reflect that of the classical ones (x, p) of the atom, see the second column of Fig. 3

for the membrane's quadratures. The motion of the membrane will have non-zero momentum in the steady-state regime. Below we shall introduce quantities to indicate the phase of the system, and finally, obtain a phase transition diagram for varying values of the optomechanical and light-matter coupling strengths.

We also computed the first and second order correlation functions $G^{(1)}(\tau)$ and $G^{(2)}(\tau)$ that are standardly measured in experiments. See the Appendix for details. As expected, the behaviors of these correlation functions follow that of the mean excitation in the corresponding phases.

Figure 4. The dynamics of the translation components (x_{ac}, p_{ac}) . The parameters used are $\gamma_c/\gamma_a=0.5$, $\gamma_m/\gamma_a=2$, $\eta/\gamma_a=5$, $V_0/\gamma_a=20$, $V_1/\gamma_a=40$, $\omega_r/\gamma_a=1$, $\Delta_c/\gamma_a=-1$, and $\Delta_a/\gamma_a=-2$. We also used (a): $g_{ac}/\gamma_a=0.5$, $g_{mc}/\gamma_a=2$; (b): $g_{ac}/\gamma_a=2$, $g_{mc}/\gamma_a=2.5$; (c): $g_{ac}/\gamma_a=2$, $g_{mc}/\gamma_a=2$; and (d): $g_{ac}/\gamma_a=4$, $g_{mc}/\gamma_a=2$

Quantification and classification of the phases

Here we shall present a way to numerically classify the phases previously described. In particular, we used two quantities, where one is recognizing the regular phase and the other the chaotic phase. Consequently, this method classifies all three possible phases in the phase transition diagram, which we will present below.

The regular phase transition. Recognizing the regular phase is straightforward as the mean excitation of all the systems will go towards a constant value, see Fig. 2. Here, after a long evolution time, one can choose a time range and compute $R = \max(\langle n_\mu \rangle) - \min(\langle n_\mu \rangle)$. The regular phase is given for $R < \epsilon$, where ϵ is a small constant.

The 0-1 test for the chaotic phase transition. The system in the chaotic phase will have a very different dynamical behavior, which can be tested by the regression or correlation method⁵⁴. Here, relevant functions are defined such that we can apply the above tests to our system. First, we take new translation components (x_{ac}, p_{ac}) and θ_c as follows

$$\begin{aligned} p_{ac}(n+1) &= \phi(n) \cos(\theta_c) + p_{ac}(n), \\ x_{ac}(n+1) &= \phi(n) \sin(\theta_c) + x_{ac}(n+1), \\ \theta_c(n+1) &= v + \theta_c(n) + \phi(n), \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where $n = 1, 2, \dots, N$ denotes the time index, $\phi(n)$ is a dynamical quantity, here taken as $x(n) + p(n)$, and v is a fixed constant $[0, \pi]$. The initial state of p_{ac} , x_{ac} and θ_c are zero and they are updated by the position and the momentum of atoms. The quantities q_{ac} and p_{ac} are bounded if the dynamical behavior is regular, while in the chaotic phase they will behave asymptotically. The translation components resulting from the hybrid atom-optomechanical system are shown in Fig. 4. The regular and limit cycles phases have bounded states for (x_{ac}, p_{ac}) as shown in Figs. 4(a) and (b). However, they become unbounded in the chaotic phase, see Figs. 4(c) and (d), showing the pattern of fractals.

Given dynamical components (x_{ac}, p_{ac}) , the mean square displacement is defined as

$$M_c(n) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N [p_{ac}(j+n) - p_{ac}(j)]^2 + [x_{ac}(j+n) - x_{ac}(j)]^2, \quad (7)$$

where $n \ll N$ is required. The test for chaos is based on the growth rate of $M_c(n)$ as a function of n . A modified mean square displacement $D_c(n)$ that exhibits the same asymptotic growth as $M_c(n)$, but with better convergence properties is given by

$$D_c(n) = M_c(n) - V_{osc}(v, n), \quad (8)$$

where the oscillation term V_{osc} is defined as $V_{osc} = (E_\phi)^2(1 - \cos(nv))/(1 - \cos(v))$, and the expectation E_ϕ is given by $E_\phi = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \phi(j)$. Note that the cut-off index n_{cut} needs to be large enough such that the error of $D_c(n_{cut})$ is close to zero.

Figure 5. The phase transition diagram. The regular, limit cycles and chaotic phases are denoted by I, II and III, respectively. The parameters used are $\gamma_c/\gamma_a=0.5$, $\gamma_m/\gamma_a=2$, $\eta/\gamma_a=5$, $V_0/\gamma_a=20$, $V_1/\gamma_a=40$, $\omega_r/\gamma_a=1$, $\Delta_c/\gamma_a=-1$, and $\Delta_a/\gamma_a=-2$.

The 0-1 test via regression method is calculated following the quantity $K_c = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \log M_c(n)/\log n$, whose value is near zero (one) for non-chaotic (chaotic) phase. An alternative test, that we also consider, is via the correlation method⁵⁴ and it is determined by the mean square displacement D_c as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cov}(X, Y) &\equiv \frac{1}{q} \sum_{j=1}^q (X(j) - \bar{X})(Y(j) - \bar{Y}), \\ K_c &= \frac{\text{cov}(\xi, \Delta)}{\sqrt{\text{cov}(\xi, \xi)\text{cov}(\Delta, \Delta)}}, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where $\bar{X}$ and $\bar{Y}$ are the mean values of the vectors X and Y with length q . We take the vectors $\xi = (1, 2, \dots, n_{cut})$ and $\Delta = (D_c(1), D_c(2), \dots, D_c(n_{cut}))$.

The phase transition diagram. The three phases are characterized by the two tests described above (regular and chaotic phase transition tests). The phase diagram for the two tests are plotted separately, see the Appendix. Here, we combine the diagrams, see Fig. 5, which shows the three phases for different coupling strengths. When the atom-cavity coupling is close to zero, only the regular phase exists with the balance of the rates of the decay and the drive. With the increase of g_{ac} , the limit cycles phase will appear with periodic evolution of the interaction strength $g_{ac}\Re\{\langle \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{\sigma}^- \rangle\}$. For further increase of g_{ac} the

system reaches the chaotic phase. Remarkably, the coupling between the cavity and the membrane g_{mc} also plays an important role in the limit cycles and chaotic phases. If g_{mc} is too small compared to g_{ac} the model can be simplified to an atom cooling model and the membrane's oscillations can be ignored. On the contrary, if g_{ac} is too small, the system can be transformed to an optomechanical model and the atoms can be ignored. The competition of the coupling strengths allows the system to have a rich phase diagram.

Conclusion

We theoretically considered a hybrid atom-optomechanics system to realize different dynamical phases by exploiting the competition of the coupling strength of the cavity and atoms, and that of the cavity and membrane. The atoms experience two potentials, including one that may be static, periodically oscillating, or randomly oscillating. The coupling of the cavity mode and the membrane allows them to have similar behavior, where the whole system can exhibit a regular, limit cycles, or chaotic phase. These three phases are distinguished after evolving quantities from the system for a sufficiently long time, where we performed regular and chaotic phase transition tests.

Acknowledgements

We thank Wouter Verstraelen for the stimulating discussion. This work was supported by the Singaporean Ministry of Education, via the Tier 2 Academic Research Fund project MOE2019-T2-1-004.

References

1. Jöckel, A. *et al.* Sympathetic cooling of a membrane oscillator in a hybrid mechanical–atomic system. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **10**, 55, DOI: [10.1038/nnano.2014.278](https://doi.org/10.1038/nnano.2014.278) (2015).
2. Mann, N., Pelster, A. & Thorwart, M. Tuning the order of the nonequilibrium quantum phase transition in a hybrid atom-optomechanical system. *New J. Phys.* **21**, 113037, DOI: [10.1088/1367-2630/ab51fa](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/ab51fa) (2019).
3. Brennecke, F., Ritter, S., Donner, T. & Esslinger, T. Cavity optomechanics with a bose-einstein condensate. *Science* **322**, 235–238, DOI: [10.1126/science.1163218](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1163218) (2008).
4. Pirkkalainen, J.-M. *et al.* Cavity optomechanics mediated by a quantum two-level system. *Nat. Commun.* **6**, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms7981> (2015).
5. Vogell, B. *et al.* Long distance coupling of a quantum mechanical oscillator to the internal states of an atomic ensemble. *New J. Phys.* **17**, 043044, DOI: [10.1088/1367-2630/17/4/043044](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/17/4/043044) (2015).
6. Bariani, F., Singh, S., Buchmann, L. F., Vengalattore, M. & Meystre, P. Hybrid optomechanical cooling by atomic Λ systems. *Phys. Rev. A* **90**, 033838, DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.90.033838](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.90.033838) (2014).
7. Vogell, B. *et al.* Cavity-enhanced long-distance coupling of an atomic ensemble to a micromechanical membrane. *Phys. Rev. A* **87**, 023816, DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.87.023816](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.87.023816) (2013).
8. Vochezer, A., Kampschulte, T., Hammerer, K. & Treutlein, P. Light-Mediated Collective Atomic Motion in an Optical Lattice Coupled to a Membrane. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 073602, DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.073602](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.073602) (2018).
9. Mann, N., Bakhtiari, M. R., Pelster, A. & Thorwart, M. Nonequilibrium Quantum Phase Transition in a Hybrid Atom-Optomechanical System. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 063605, DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.063605](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.063605) (2018).
10. Bakhtiari, M. R., Hemmerich, A., Ritsch, H. & Thorwart, M. Nonequilibrium phase transition of interacting bosons in an intra-cavity optical lattice. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 123601, DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.123601](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.123601) (2015).
11. Xu, X., Zhang, Z. & Liang, Z. Nonequilibrium quantum phase transition in a spinor quantum gas in a lattice coupled to a membrane. *Phys. Rev. A* **100**, 053616, DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.100.053616](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.100.053616) (2019).
12. Baumann, K., Guerlin, C., Brennecke, F. & Esslinger, T. Dicke quantum phase transition with a superfluid gas in an optical cavity. *Nature* **464**, 1301–1306, DOI: [10.1038/nature09009](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09009) (2010).
13. Black, A. T., Chan, H. W. & Vuletić, V. Observation of collective friction forces due to spatial self-organization of atoms: From rayleigh to bragg scattering. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 203001, DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.203001](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.203001) (2003).
14. Gao, C. & Liang, Z. Steady-state phase diagram of quantum gases in a lattice coupled to a membrane. *Phys. Rev. A* **99**, 013629, DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.99.013629](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.99.013629) (2019).
15. Cheng, J.-M., Zhou, Z.-W., Guo, G.-C., Pu, H. & Zhou, X.-F. Bose-einstein condensates in an atom-optomechanical system with effective global nonuniform interaction. *Phys. Rev. A* **103**, 023328, DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.103.023328](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.103.023328) (2021).

16. Lau, H.-K. & Clerk, A. A. High-fidelity bosonic quantum state transfer using imperfect transducers and interference. *npj Quantum Inf.* **5**, DOI: [10.1038/s41534-019-0143-1](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41534-019-0143-1) (2019).
17. Cronin, A. D., Schmiedmayer, J. & Pritchard, D. E. Optics and interferometry with atoms and molecules. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **81**, 1051–1129, DOI: [10.1103/RevModPhys.81.1051](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.81.1051) (2009).
18. Aspelmeier, M., Kippenberg, T. J. & Marquardt, F. Cavity optomechanics. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **86**, 1391, DOI: [10.1103/RevModPhys.86.1391](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.86.1391) (2014).
19. Bloch, I., Dalibard, J. & Nascimbène, S. Quantum simulations with ultracold quantum gases. *Nat. Phys.* **8**, 267–276, DOI: [10.1038/nphys2259](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys2259) (2012).
20. Manukhova, A. D., Rakhubovsky, A. A. & Filip, R. Pulsed atom-mechanical quantum non-demolition gate. *npj Quantum Inf.* **6**, DOI: [10.1038/s41534-019-0229-9](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41534-019-0229-9) (2020).
21. Ostermann, S., Piazza, F. & Ritsch, H. Spontaneous crystallization of light and ultracold atoms. *Phys. Rev. X* **6**, 021026, DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevX.6.021026](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.6.021026) (2016).
22. Schuster, S. C., Wolf, P., Ostermann, S., Slama, S. & Zimmermann, C. Supersolid properties of a bose-einstein condensate in a ring resonator. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124**, 143602, DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.124.143602](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.124.143602) (2020).
23. Baio, G., Robb, G. R. M., Yao, A. M., Oppo, G.-L. & Ackemann, T. Multiple self-organized phases and spatial solitons in cold atoms mediated by optical feedback. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 203201, DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.203201](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.203201) (2021).
24. Mivehvar, F., Ostermann, S., Piazza, F. & Ritsch, H. Driven-dissipative supersolid in a ring cavity. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 123601, DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.123601](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.123601) (2018).
25. Nagy, D., Szirmai, G. & Domokos, P. Self-organization of a bose-einstein condensate in an optical cavity. *The Eur. Phys. J. D* **48**, 127–137, DOI: [10.1140/epjd/e2008-00074-6](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjd/e2008-00074-6) (2008).
26. Wilczek, F. Quantum time crystals. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 160401, DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.160401](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.160401) (2012).
27. Shapere, A. & Wilczek, F. Classical time crystals. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 160402, DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.160402](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.160402) (2012).
28. Else, D. V., Bauer, B. & Nayak, C. Floquet time crystals. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 090402, DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.090402](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.090402) (2016).
29. Bruno, P. Comment on “space-time crystals of trapped ions”. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 029301, DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.029301](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.029301) (2013).
30. Bruno, P. Impossibility of spontaneously rotating time crystals: A no-go theorem. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 070402, DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.070402](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.070402) (2013).
31. Watanabe, H. & Oshikawa, M. Absence of quantum time crystals. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 251603, DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.251603](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.251603) (2015).
32. Colas, D. & Laussy, F. P. Self-interfering wave packets. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 026401, DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.026401](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.026401) (2016).
33. Nalitov, A. V. *et al.* Optically trapped polariton condensates as semiclassical time crystals. *Phys. Rev. A* **99**, 033830, DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.99.033830](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.99.033830) (2019).
34. Choi, S. *et al.* Observation of discrete time-crystalline order in a disordered dipolar many-body system. *Nature* **543**, 221–225, DOI: [10.1038/nature21426](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature21426) (2017).
35. Zhang, J. *et al.* Observation of a discrete time crystal. *Nature* **543**, 217–220, DOI: [10.1038/nature21413](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature21413) (2017).
36. Xiao *et al.*, M. Observation of time-crystalline eigenstate order on a quantum processor (2021). [2107.13571](https://doi.org/10.26434/chemrxiv-2021-2107).
37. Eckmann, J. P. & Ruelle, D. Ergodic theory of chaos and strange attractors. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **57**, 617–656, DOI: [10.1103/RevModPhys.57.617](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.57.617) (1985).
38. Lorenz, E. N. Deterministic nonperiodic flow. *J. Atmospheric Sci.* **20**, 130–141, DOI: [10.1175/1520-0469\(1963\)020<0130:DNF>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1963)020<0130:DNF>2.0.CO;2) (1963).
39. Streliaoff, C. C. & Hübler, A. W. Medium-term prediction of chaos. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 044101, DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.044101](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.044101) (2006).
40. Bakemeier, L., Alvermann, A. & Fehske, H. Route to chaos in optomechanics. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 013601, DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.013601](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.013601) (2015).

41. Yang, N., Miranowicz, A., Liu, Y.-C., Xia, K. & Nori, F. Chaotic synchronization of two optical cavity modes in optomechanical systems. *Sci. Reports* **9**, DOI: [10.1038/s41598-019-51559-1](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-51559-1) (2019).
42. Montoya, E. A. *et al.* Magnetization reversal driven by low dimensional chaos in a nanoscale ferromagnet. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, DOI: [10.1038/s41467-019-08444-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-08444-2) (2019).
43. Karg, T. M. *et al.* Light-mediated strong coupling between a mechanical oscillator and atomic spins 1 meter apart. *Science* **369**, 174–179, DOI: [10.1126/science.abb0328](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abb0328) (2020).
44. Piazza, F. & Ritsch, H. Self-ordered limit cycles, chaos, and phase slippage with a superfluid inside an optical resonator. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 163601, DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.163601](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.163601) (2015).
45. Lin, R., Mognini, P., Lode, A. U. J. & Chitra, R. Pathway to chaos through hierarchical superfluidity in blue-detuned cavity-bec systems. *Phys. Rev. A* **101**, 061602, DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.101.061602](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.101.061602) (2020).
46. Keßler, H., Cosme, J. G., Hemmerling, M., Mathey, L. & Hemmerich, A. Emergent limit cycles and time crystal dynamics in an atom-cavity system. *Phys. Rev. A* **99**, 053605, DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.99.053605](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.99.053605) (2019).
47. Camerer, S. *et al.* Realization of an optomechanical interface between ultracold atoms and a membrane. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 223001, DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.223001](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.223001) (2011).
48. Bloch, I. Ultracold quantum gases in optical lattices. *Nat. Phys.* **1**, 23–30, DOI: [10.1038/nphys138](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys138) (2005).
49. Hammerer, K. *et al.* Optical lattices with micromechanical mirrors. *Phys. Rev. A* **82**, 021803, DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.82.021803](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.82.021803) (2010).
50. Christoph, P. *et al.* Combined feedback and sympathetic cooling of a mechanical oscillator coupled to ultracold atoms. *New J. Phys.* **20**, 093020, DOI: [10.1088/1367-2630/aadf20](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/aadf20) (2018).
51. Bennett, J. S., Madsen, L. S., Baker, M., Rubinsztein-Dunlop, H. & Bowen, W. P. Coherent control and feedback cooling in a remotely coupled hybrid atom-optomechanical system. *New J. Phys.* **16**, 083036, DOI: [10.1088/1367-2630/16/8/083036](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/16/8/083036) (2014).
52. Asbóth, J. K., Ritsch, H. & Domokos, P. Optomechanical coupling in a one-dimensional optical lattice. *Phys. Rev. A* **77**, 063424, DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.77.063424](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.77.063424) (2008).
53. Fallani, L., Fort, C., Lye, J. E. & Inguscio, M. Bose-einstein condensate in an optical lattice with tunable spacing: transport and static properties. *Opt. Express* **13**, 4303, DOI: [10.1364/OPEX.13.004303](https://doi.org/10.1364/OPEX.13.004303) (2005).
54. Gottwald, G. A. & Melbourne, I. On the implementation of the 0-1 test for chaos. *SIAM J. on Appl. Dyn. Syst.* **8**, 129–145, DOI: [10.1137/080718851](https://doi.org/10.1137/080718851) (2009).
55. Carmichael, H. *An open systems approach to quantum optics: lectures presented at the Université Libre de Bruxelles, October 28 to November 4, 1991*, vol. 18 (Springer Science & Business Media, 2009).
56. Daley, A. J. Quantum trajectories and open many-body quantum systems. *Adv. Phys.* **63**, 77–149 (2014).

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [Appendix.pdf](#)