Contribution of Portable Obstetric Ultrasound Service Innovation in Averting Maternal and Neonatal Morbidities and Mortalities at Semi-Urban Health Centers of Ethiopia: A Retrospective Facility-Based Study

Hailemariam Segni Abawollo (✉ hailemariam_segni@et.jsi.com)

JSI Research & Training Institute Inc.

Zergu Tafesse Tsegaye

JSI Research & Training Institute Inc.

Binyam Fekadu Desta

JSI Research & Training Institute Inc.

Ismael Ali Beshir

JSI Research & Training Institute Inc.

Birhan Tenaw Mengesha

JSI Research & Training Institute Inc.

Asfaw Adugna Guteta

JSI Research & Training Institute Inc.

Atrie Fekadu Heyi

JSI Research & Training Institute Inc.

Tsega Teferi Mamo

JSI Research & Training Institute Inc.

Zenawork Kassa Gebremedhin

JSI Research & Training Institute Inc.

Heran Demissie Damte

JSI Research & Training Institute Inc.

Meseret Zelealem

Ministry of Health

Mesele Damte Argaw

JSI Research & Training Institute Inc.

Keywords: Averted maternal and neonatal morbidities and mortalities, averted maternal and neonatal deaths, obstetric ultrasound, Ethiopia

Posted Date: March 3rd, 2022

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1364482/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background

The maternal and neonatal mortalities in Ethiopia are high. The Ministry of health and partners are committed to reducing these outcomes. To achieve the Sustainable Development Goals, innovations in ultrasound scanning and surveillance activities have been implemented for over two years. This study aims to estimate the contribution of obstetric ultrasound services on averted maternal and neonatal morbidities and mortalities in Ethiopia.

Methods

A retrospective facility-based cross-sectional study design was conducted in 25 selected health centers, in three regional states of Ethiopia. Data were extracted from prenatal ultrasound registers. SPSS version 25 was used for analysis. To claim statistically significant relationship among sartorial variables, a chi-square test was analyzed and $P < 0.05$ was the cut-off point.

Results

A total of 12,975 pregnant women were scanned over the two-year period. Half (52.8%) of the scanned women were residing in rural areas. The abnormal ultrasound report rate was 12.7% and almost all (98.4%) of cases were referred for confirmation of diagnosis and then treatment. The introduction of obstetric ultrasound services at health centers has contributed to the prevention of 1,970 maternal morbidities and mortalities per 100,000 live births and 19.05 neonatal morbidities and mortalities per 1,000 live births during the two-year period. The maternal and neonatal morbidities and mortalities averted showed a statistically significant difference among women residing in rural and semi-urban areas, X^2 , df (10) = 24.07, $P = 0.007$ and X^2 , df (5) = 20.87. $P = 0.00$, 1 respectively.

Conclusion

After availing the appropriate ultrasound machines with essential supplies and capacitating mid-level healthcare providers on operating the machine, significant number of high-risk pregnant women were identified on time and managed or referred to health facilities with safe delivery services. Therefore, scaling-up limited obstetric ultrasound services in similar setups will contribute to achieving the Sustainable Development Goals by 2030. Finally, it is recommended community awareness be enhanced and pregnant women ultrasound service utilization be increased before the 24th week of gestational age.

Background

Globally, in the year 2017, an estimated 295,000 women died during and following pregnancy and childbirth. Low-resource settings with wide disparity, inequality, and inaccessibility are where almost all of these deaths (94%) occurred. The problem is considerably worse in the Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) region, from where two-thirds (196,000) of the maternal deaths were reported [1]. In addition, the following year (2018), the World Health Organization (WHO) estimated a worldwide death rate of 2.5 million neonates [2]. Furthermore, another 2.6 million babies were stillborn. Unfortunately, 99% of these catastrophic birth outcomes occurred in low- and lower-middle-income countries where half of the deaths happened at home [3].

According to the 2019 Ethiopian Demographic Health Survey (EDHS), more than half (52%) of pregnant women gave birth outside of health facilities over five years preceding the date of the assessment [4]. In 2017, the estimated maternal mortality ratio and neonatal mortality rate (NMR) in Ethiopia was 401 maternal deaths per 100,000 live births and 27.5 deaths per 1,000 live births, respectively [4]. The most common causes of deaths in pregnant women and newborns are related to complications of pregnancy and childbirth—most of which are preventable or treatable. Mekonnen et al., (2018) documented the direct causes of maternal deaths in Ethiopia to be severe bleeding mainly after childbirth (29.9%), obstructed labor or ruptured uterus (22.3%), pregnancy related high blood pressure (16.9%), puerperal sepsis (14.6%), and unsafe abortions (8.6%). In addition, the two most common indirect causes of maternal deaths were anemia (10.4%) and malaria (3.5%) [5]. Moreover, in line with the WHO fact sheet (2020), Seid et al., (2019) identified causes of neonatal admissions and deaths in the intensive care units at Jimma Referral Hospital [7]. The top causes of neonatal deaths were low birth weight, prematurity, respiratory distress syndrome, sepsis, hypoglycemia, congenital malformations, and pathologic jaundice [6] [7].

All women have a right to have access to high quality care during pregnancy and after childbirth [8]. Cognizant of this, the WHO (2016) recommends at least one routine ultrasound (U/S) scanning service before the gestational age of 24 weeks as a component of positive pregnancy experience to enhance maternal and fetal assessment. In addition, to get a positive perinatal health outcome, offering quality antenatal care (ANC) and safe delivery services are also recommendation [9][10]. A major role of U/S is the accurate confirmation of gestational age which is critical in settings where women often tend to not remember their exact conception dates. It also helps to reduce the number of unnecessary interventions. U/S could also play a major role in reducing adverse maternal outcomes, mainly “near miss” morbidity and mortality. While emphasis has mainly been given to neonatal and fetal outcomes, maternal conditions directly contribute to the perinatal outcomes. It has been reported that up to 37% of patients are potentially misdiagnosed. This could be corrected by incorporating U/S services in their care. U/S services may also result in the recognition of conditions that could otherwise have been missed and resulted in adverse outcomes such as placenta previa, invasive placenta, undiagnosed multiple pregnancies, and malpresentations—leading to life-saving interventions in up to half of pregnant women [11].

Portable point of care U/S technology is being used in several low-income countries across the world [12]-[23]. More specifically, there is empirical evidence on the relationship between use of limited obstetric U/S

scanning services and improved quality of ANC services [17]- [22]. The obstetric U/S avoids three delays, i.e., in seeking care, in reaching lifesaving care and in care delivery [12][13] [19] [20][23]. Nonetheless, there is limited evidence on the contribution of the limited obstetric U/S service innovation in preventing maternal and neonatal deaths in Ethiopia. Therefore, the aims of this study were to describe the U/S service beneficiaries and to investigate the innovation's contribution towards efforts of averting maternal and neonatal deaths.

Methods

Study setting and population: Ethiopia has adopted a federal government structure by establishing eleven decentralized regional states and two city administrations [24]. More than 80% of the population lives in rural areas. The national health system is divided into three tiers. Primary healthcare is led by a health center and typically five satellite health posts, targeting 25,000 people [24]. A health center is expected to provide health promotion, disease prevention, and curative and rehabilitative outpatient care including basic laboratory and pharmacy services with a capacity for 10 beds for emergency and delivery services [25]. This study targeted the three regional states of Amhara, Oromia and Southern Nations Nationalities and Peoples' [SNNP]. These regions were purposively selected with a criterion where limited obstetric U/S scanning services were introduced at the health center level to improve quality and equity of prenatal care for over two years. There are about 82.6 million residents in the study targeted regions. In line with the long-term outcomes of the USAID Transform: Primary Health Care project, 100 health centers were selected and supported with portable U/S machines [26].

Study design and period

A retrospective facility-based cross-sectional study design was employed to estimate the contribution of introduction of obstetric U/S services at health centers on averted maternal and neonatal morbidities and mortalities during 2019 and 2020.

Intervention: In Ethiopia, to increase access to healthcare technology among pregnant women of rural residents, the USAID Transform: Primary Health Care project introduced innovative U/S scanning services at the health center level, which are located close to rural communities in five regional states of Ethiopia [26] [27]. To initiate the services, the project capacitated mid-level health professionals (mainly midwives) to offer decentralized limited obstetric U/S scanning services through task shifting/sharing principles [28] [29]. In addition, trained and competent health professionals were equipped with the necessary equipment, supplies, and a place to refer women to. This was intended to enable health professionals to confirm pregnancies using U/S scanning services and identify high risk cases, to link women with the next level health facility that has functional Emergency Obstetric and Newborn Care (EmONC) services—ultimately ensuring safe deliveries [26] [27].

Sample size and sampling

The sample size was determined based on the Sharples (1993) recommended rule of thumb that if the health centers are between 50–100, a 20 to 30 percent sample should be taken. Hence, out of the 100 health centers providing limited obstetric U/S scanning services, for this study, the investigator sampled 25 health centers and selected targeted facilities using systematic random sampling techniques, where the sampling interval (k) is 3. Once the health center was identified, the information of all prenatal obstetric U/S service beneficiaries was included in the study.

Data collection and management

The data collectors were twenty-five midwives who attended basic U/S scanning training and were also trained for two days on the tools and principles of data collection. In addition, five supervisors who are experts on maternal and neonatal health services were assigned to check and maintain the quality of collected data.

As part of introducing U/S services in Ethiopian health centers, a logbook was developed and distributed to each intervention health facility. The data sheet consists of demographic information of obstetric U/S service beneficiaries, including medical record number, age, and residential address. In addition, the trained mid-level health professionals who operated the U/S machines documented the indications for U/S scanning, gestational age estimated based on Last Menstrual Period (LMP) and U/S scanning findings, fetal biometry measurements, U/S diagnosis, action taken, and reasons for offered referral services. This information was extracted and entered to a Microsoft Excel 2016 (Microsoft Inc, Seattle WA) spreadsheet program for data storing, transferring, and cleaning. Using a predefined criterion, the abnormal U/S scanning reports were categorized based on their potential to cause catastrophic maternal and neonatal perinatal health outcomes. The magnitude of risk for maternal morbidities and mortalities were estimated through considering cases of fetal malpresentation, abnormal placentation, multiple fetus, small or large gestation age, intrauterine fetal death (IUFD) or fetal demise, gross anomalies, abnormal fluids, abortion, ectopic pregnancy, and pelvic pathology as a numerator, and all U/S scanning report as denominator. In addition, the possibility of neonatal morbidity and mortality was estimated using the following cases of abnormal U/S scanning reports: fetal malpresentation, oligo-hydramnios, polyhydramnios, small or large for gestation age, and multiple fetus as a numerator, and all ultrasound scanning reports were the denominator. Therefore, the investigators decided to consider all possible risks for maternal and neonatal morbidities and mortalities in risk averted estimations, which can be reduced through access to advanced perinatal health services accessed through referral linkage.

The **dependent variables** were abnormal U/S scanning surveillance reports with possibilities of catastrophic maternal and neonatal perinatal health outcomes.

The **independent variables** were residential addresses and intervention regions.

Data analysis

First, descriptive statistics for all dependent and independent variables were calculated. The age of pregnant women, a continuous variable, was summarized using mean [$\pm$ standard deviation SD)]. The rest of the categorical variables were presented using frequencies and percentages. The Pearson Chi-square test was used to evaluate the differences among categorical variables. The statistical differences were claimed at P-value < 0.05. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 25 (IBM Corp., Armonk, N.Y., USA) was used in the data analysis [31].

Ethical considerations

This study protocol was carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and ethical clearance was obtained from John Snow Inc. Institutional Review Board (IRB) with a certificate ref. no. IRB #20-26E. The IRB determined that this research activity is exempted from human subject oversight. Written informed consent was obtained from health center directors to extract prenatal care information from U/S logbooks. Personal identifiers of pregnant women were not collected. We also confirm that all methods were performed in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations.

Results

Characteristics of the study population

Data were collected from 25 health centers selected from three regional states using systematic random sampling. The average distance of a referral receiving hospital from health centers with obstetric U/S services is 53.6 kilometers with the longest distance found in the Oromia Region (Table 1).

Table 1
Characteristics of the study population, USAID Transform: Primary Health Care intervention areas, 2019–2020.

Characteristics	Amhara	Oromia	SNNP	Total
Number of health centers	9	8	8	25
Average distance from referral receiving facility in kilometers	37.3	87.1	38.4	53.6
Population				
Catchment population	330,083	329,222	242,815	902,120
Eligible pregnant women				
2019	12,106	19,464	8,936	40,506
2020	12,421	19,970	9,168	41,559

Antenatal ultrasound scanning services

A total of 12,975 pregnant women were scanned at the 25 health centers over the two-year period (2019–2020). Slightly higher than half (52.8%) of the scanned women were residing in rural areas and were an overall mean age of 27.7 ($\pm$ 5.2) years. Only around a third (31.8%) of the women recalled the exact date of their conception. Despite the need for an early U/S scanning in such settings where most women do not know their date of conception, only 5.2% of the scanned women were scanned during their first trimester of pregnancy. Almost all (92.2%) of the women were scanned as part of routine ANC services. Of the total scanned women, 12.7% of them were found to have abnormal U/S reports, and almost all (98.4%) of those with abnormal reports were referred to a nearby hospital for confirmation of diagnosis and subsequent treatment (Table 2).

Table 2

Antenatal U/S scanning service data of pregnant women by regions, USAID Transform; Primary Health Care intervention areas, 2019–2020.

Characteristics	Amhara	Oromia	SNNP	Total
Ultrasound scanning	4957(38.3%)	5222(40.2%)	2796(21.5%)	12975(100%)
Age of mothers (mean $\pm$ SD) in years	27.8 $\pm$ 5.3	26.8 $\pm$ 4.5	26.4 $\pm$ 4.4	27.7 $\pm$ 5.2
Residential address				
Semi-urban	2406(48.5%)	2619 (50.2%)	1105 (39.5%)	6130 (47.2%)
Rural	2551(51.5%)	2603 (49.8%)	1691 (60.5%)	6845 (52.8%)
Women that know their LMP date	2663 53.7%)	1028 (19.7%)	438 (15.7%)	4129 (31.8%)
Indication for ultrasound scanning services				
Routine services	4522(91.2%)	4828 (92.5%)	2609 (93.3%)	11959 (92.2%)
High risk pregnancy	421(8.5%)	368 (7.0%)	169 (6.0%)	958 (7.4%)
Emergency	14 (0.3%)	26 (0.5%)	18 (0.6%)	58 (0.4%)
Frequency of ultrasound scanning				
First ultrasound scanning	4440 (89.6%)	4779 (91.5%)	2278 (81.5%)	11497 (88.6%)
Second ultrasound scanning	396 (8.0%)	310 (5.9%)	269 (9.6%)	975 (7.5%)
Third ultrasound scanning	119 (2.4%)	121 (2.3%)	243 (8.7%)	483 (3.7%)
Time of ultrasound scanning				
First trimester	260 (5.2%)	195 (3.7%)	226 (8.1%)	681(5.2%)
Second trimester	1101 (22.2%)	1387 (26.6%)	1359 (48.6%)	3847(29.6%)
Third trimester	3596 (72.5%)	3640 (69.7%)	1211 (43.3%)	8447(65.1%)
Ultrasound scanning diagnosis				
Normal ultrasound scanning reports	4328 (87.3%)	4580 (87.7%)	2450 (87.6%)	11358(87.5%)
Abnormal ultrasound scanning reports	629 (12.7%)	642 (12.3%)	346 (12.4%)	1617 (12.7%)

Characteristics	Amhara	Oromia	SNNP	Total
Action taken				
Managed in the facility	16 (2.5%)	7(1.1%)	3 (0.9%)	26 (1.6%)
Referred to next level facility	613 (97.5%)	635 (98.9%)	343(99.1%)	1591 (98.4%)

Maternal and neonatal morbidities and mortalities averted

The introduction of obstetric U/S scanning services at health centers has contributed to the prevention of 1,970 maternal morbidities and mortalities per 100,000 live births at the 25 health centers during the assessed two-year period. Fetal malpresentations of various kinds (mainly breech presentations) were responsible for the referrals to nearby hospitals of 8.9% of the total scanned pregnant women, followed by multiple pregnancies in 1.3% of the cases. The Chi square (X^2) test of maternal morbidities and mortalities averted through the introduction of U/S services at health centers showed a statistically significant difference among women residing in rural and semi-urban areas, X^2 , df (10) = 24.07, P = 0. 007 (Table 3).

Similarly, the introduction of obstetric U/S scanning services at health centers has contributed to the prevention of 19.05 neonatal morbidities and mortalities per 1,000 live births at the 25 health centers during the two-year period. The major possible causes of neonatal morbidity and mortality identified through U/S scanning was fetal malpresentation, accounting for 9.0% of the total scanned cases, followed by multiple pregnancies, responsible for 1.3% of the total scanned cases. Pregnant women from rural areas were referred to nearby hospitals to prevent neonatal morbidities and mortalities which was found to be statistically significant at X^2 , df (5) = 20.87, P = 0.001 (Table 3).

Table 3

Averted maternal and neonatal morbidities and mortalities by residence areas of pregnant women, USAID Transform: Primary Health care intervention areas, 2019–2020.

Variables	Response category	Residence area						Test statistics	
		Total		Semi-urban		Rural			
		(N = 12975)		(n ₁ = 6130)		(n ₂ = 6845)		χ ²	p-value
Freq.	%	Freq.	%	Freq.	%				
Ultrasound finding	Normal scans	11358	87.5	5423	88.5	5935	86.7	9.19	0.002
	Abnormal scans	1617	12.5	707	11.5	910	13.3		
Maternal deaths averted	Normal	11358	87.5	5423	88.5	5935	86.7	24.07	0.007
	Fetal malpresentation	1038	8.0	430	7.0	608	8.9		
	Multiple fetuses (twins)	160	1.2	73	1.2	87	1.3		
	Abnormal placentation	131	1.0	55	0.9	76	1.1		
	Small or large for gestational age	98	0.8	54	0.9	44	0.6		
	Abnormal fluid (oligo or polyhydramnios)	80	0.6	43	0.7	37	0.5		
	Gross anomalies	23	0.2	14	0.2	9	0.1		
	IUFD or fetal demise	31	0.2	13	0.2	18	0.3		
	Abortion	29	0.2	12	0.2	17	0.2		
	Ectopic pregnancy	14	0.1	8	0.1	6	0.1		
	Pelvic pathology	13	0.1	5	0.1	8	0.1		
Neonatal deaths averted*	Normal scans	11358	89.0	5423	89.8	5935	88.3	20.87	0.001
	Fetal malpresentation	1038	8.1	430	7.1	608	9.0		
	Multiple fetuses (twins)	160	1.3	73	1.2	87	1.3		
	Small or large for gestational age	98	0.8	54	0.9	44	0.7		

Oligohydramnios	80	06	43	0.7	37	0.6
Polyhydramnios	23	0.2	14	0.2	9	0.1

NB: * 218 abnormal ultrasound scanning reports i.e., abortions, ectopic pregnancies and fetal demise were not included in the category of neonatal deaths averted variable; However, the data is doubled for estimated neonatal deaths.

Discussion

Most maternal and neonatal morbidities and mortalities are preventable through the introduction of innovative technologies at both health facility and community levels [32]. An innovation being widely implemented in low-income countries is the enhancement of quality ANC through capacitating mid-level health professionals (mainly midwives) and introducing obstetric U/S scanning services in rural areas for surveillance of maternal and fetal health [33]. In line with WHO recommendations, the Ethiopian Ministry of Health and development partners introduced this tested innovation to prevent maternal and neonatal negative health outcomes during the immediate postpartum period. This facility-based retrospective study was conducted with the aim of describing ultrasound service beneficiaries and investigating averted maternal and neonatal morbidities and mortalities using U/S diagnosis and linking mothers with functional EmONC health facilities. After analyzing obstetric U/S surveillance data for the period of 2019–2020, this study has revealed slightly higher than one-tenth (12.5%) of pregnant women with abnormal U/S scans were identified. Pregnant women were referred to nearby hospitals to prevent the occurrence of possible catastrophic health conditions on themselves and/or their fetuses. In addition, the results of this study demonstrate that a significantly higher proportion of rural residing pregnant women and their fetuses have benefited from the U/S scanning services than their counterpart semi-urban residents. Therefore, the findings of this study can be informative to policy makers, researchers, development partners, and community members on the contribution of the introduction obstetric U/S scanning services in rural setups, in preventing the morbidities and mortalities of pregnant women and their fetuses.

This study has shown that only one out of six (12,975/82,065) eligible pregnant women were scanned among the residents of targeted health centers. In addition, slightly higher than one-third (34.8%) of antenatal U/S service beneficiaries received the services before completing their second trimester. This finding was much lower than the WHO’s recommendation to offer U/S scanning services for all pregnant women at least once before 24th week of gestation [9]. This might have occurred as a result of the existing Ethiopian socio-cultural factor of delayed ANC care seeking behavior, by which women seek care upon the sensation of an initial fetal mobility, which mostly occurs around the fifth month of pregnancy (mid-second trimester) [34]. Furthermore, it could be due to three additional factors including firstly, health system related factors of interruption of U/S services due to stockout, turnover of staff, and alternative electric power sources. The second factor could be connected to trained health professionals including busy schedules, staff shortages due to absence from health centers for night assignments, participation

in trainings, and annual vacation leave. The third factor could pertain to community related issues such as lack of awareness and fears around U/S machines. These findings were consistent with a Matiang'i et al., (2021) study in Kenya that reported on barriers of prenatal U/S service utilization, including lack of money, limited awareness, and fear of side effects [35].

Antenatal U/S scanning services may prevent unexpected and severe health outcomes during the immediate postnatal period. These negative and catastrophic health outcomes may be observed due to unexpected twins, undiagnosed placenta previa, adherent placenta, fetal malpresentations, other maternal health conditions and certain infections (CMV, Rubella), and genetic abnormalities faced during labor and delivery [11]. In this study, of the total number of scanned pregnant women, 12.7% were found to have a report of abnormal U/S scans. Almost all of these cases (98.4%) were referred to a nearby hospital for confirmation of the diagnosis and subsequent management. This finding was by far lower than the 31.7% reported by a study in Philippines [13]. This huge difference can be explained by the fact that the Philippines study was conducted on a small sample size (460 pregnant women) while this Ethiopian study was conducted on larger sample size (12,975 pregnant women). Additionally, in the study conducted in the Philippines, specific and selected abnormalities were expected to be reported during 20–24th weeks of gestational ages, while this study was conducted during all gestational ages. Hence, malpresentations were not expected to be diagnosed during earlier gestational ages using U/S scans which might have lowered the proportion of reported abnormal findings [13].

The major abnormal U/S diagnoses responsible for referred pregnant women to nearby hospitals with functional EmONC services were malpresentations (8.9%) followed by multiple pregnancies in 1.3% of the cases. This finding is slightly different from a study in Guatemala which reported a 14.87% finding of non-cephalic presentations and a 0.54% rate of twins. This difference may be explained by the fact that all non-cephalic presentations were diagnosed and reported in the Guatemalan study, but only breech and transverse lie presentations were diagnosed and reported in this Ethiopian study. Similarly, the Guatemalan study reported only twin pregnancies while this Ethiopian study reported on all multifetal gestations [12].

The introduction of U/S scanning services at a semi-urban health center could have a contribution of preventing 1,970 maternal morbidities and mortalities per 100,000 live births. This finding was much lower than the estimated maternal mortality prevention rate of 6,300 per 100,000 live births in the Philippines [13]. This difference might be explained by the fact that the target population of both studies differ in terms of gestational weeks assessed [13]. Another study reported a reduction of maternal mortality rates from a national estimate of 110.86 per 100,000 live births to 97.98 to 102.77 per 100,000 live births, with the introduction of portable U/S equipment and the assignment of trained nurses in Guatemala [18].

The major possible causes of neonatal morbidity and mortality identified with U/S scans were fetal malpresentation (9.0%), followed by multiple pregnancies in 1.3% of cases. This finding is in alignment with the Philippines study which reported fetal malpresentations as the major abnormal U/S finding

reported [13]. The introduction of U/S scanning services at semi-urban health centers has contributed to the possible prevention of 19.05 neonatal morbidities and mortalities per 1,000 live births. This finding is lower than a study finding in the Philippines which reported 146 neonatal deaths averted per 1,000 live births. This difference might be due to differences in sample size and gestational age of those assessed in the studies [13]. Another study in Guatemala reported a reduction in neonatal mortality after the introduction of portable U/S equipment following training of nurses [18].

Strengths and limitations

The strengths of this retrospective facility-based study are in its description of rural residing pregnant women and their fetuses that have benefited from limited obstetric U/S services introduced when compared with their counterparts in semi-urban areas. In addition, the study used a large size of data and highlighted the contribution of prenatal U/S services in preventing maternal and neonatal catastrophic health outcomes during the immediate postnatal period within a low-income country. However, the study also has some known limitations. The first limitation is related to the study design which unlike randomized clinical trials, makes it difficult to claim causality. Since, the investigators decided to estimate averted catastrophic health outcomes using abnormal U/S scans applying criteria for the worst scenarios, this might cause an inflation of estimates.

Conclusions

This study describes prenatal U/S service beneficiaries after the introduction of the services in rural and semi-urban health centers in Ethiopia. The study estimates the averted catastrophic health outcomes which can potentially happen during the immediate post-partum period. After availing the appropriate U/S machines with essential supplies and capacitating mid-level healthcare providers (mainly midwives) on operating the machines, a significant number of high-risk pregnant women were identified on time and were treated or referred to health facilities with safe delivery services. This in turn may contribute to the prevention of maternal and neonatal morbidities and mortalities. Therefore, scaling-up limited obstetric U/S services in similar setups is likely contribute to the achievement of the Sustainable Development Goal of reducing maternal mortality ratio and neonatal mortality rate by 2030. In addition, it is recommended community awareness be enhanced and pregnant women's U/S service utilization be increased before the 24th week of gestational age.

List Of Abbreviations

ANC

Antenatal Care

EmONC

Emergency Obstetric and Newborn Care

EDHS

Ethiopian Demographic Health Survey

IUFD
Intrauterine Fetal Death
LMP
Last Menstrual Period
MMR
Maternal Mortality Ratio
NMR
Neonatal Mortality Rate
SNNP
Southern, Nations, Nationalities, and People's
SD
Standard Deviation
SDGs
Sustainable Development Goals
SSA
Sub-Saharan Africa
U/S
Ultrasound
USAID
United States Agency for International Development
WHO
World Health Organization.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate: This study protocol was carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and ethical clearance was obtained from John Snow Inc. Institutional Review Board (IRB) with a certificate ref. no. IRB #20-26E. The IRB determined that this research activity is exempted from human subject oversight. Written informed consent was obtained from health center directors to extract prenatal care information from U/S logbooks. Personal identifiers of pregnant women were not collected. We also confirm that all methods were performed in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations.

Consent for publication: Consent for publication is not applicable for this research.

Availability of data and materials: All relevant data are within the paper. If more information on the datasets generated during and analyzed during the current study are required, they are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests: All authors declare that they do not have any competing interests.

Funding: Transform: Primary Health Care is a United States Agency for International Development (USAID) funded health program under cooperative agreement number of AID-663-A-17-00002. The program is implemented by a consortium of organizations which includes Pathfinder International, JSI Research & Training Institute, Inc., EnCompass LLC., Abt Associates Inc., and the Midwives Association in collaboration with local Government and non-government partners. This retrospective investigation on the contribution of the U/S innovation and averted maternal and neonatal deaths is made possible through the generous support of the American people through USAID. The authors' views expressed in this research report do not necessarily reflect the views of USAID or the United States Government.

Authors' contributions: The authors of this manuscript are, HSA, ZTT, BFD, IAB, BTM, AAG, AFH, TTM, ZKG, HDD, MZ, and MDA. HSA, ZTT, BFD, IAB, and MDA conceptualized the study. BTM, AAG, AFH, TTM, ZKG, and IAB coordinated the field work, and data entry. MDA conducted the statistical analysis. HSA, and MDA drafted the manuscript. ZTT, IAB, HDD, MZ, and BFD, validated the results and discussion. All authors have read and approved the final document. HAS, the corresponding author, submitted the manuscript for publication.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to acknowledge USAID for funding the study. The investigators are indebted to all 25 health centers and managers, who volunteered to give access to the U/S services data.

Authors' information:

HSA: MD/OB-GYN, MPH, Senior Maternal and Neonatal Health Advisor at USAID Transform: Primary Health Care, JSI Research & Training Institute Inc., Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

ZTT: MPH, Director of Maternal and Child Health Program at USAID Transform: Primary Health Care, JSI Research & Training Institute Inc., Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

BFD: Ph.D., Deputy Chief of Party at USAID Transform: Primary Health Care, JSI Research & Training Institute, Inc., Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

IAB: MPH, Senior Strategic Information Advisor at USAID Transform: Primary Health Care, JSI Research & Training Institute Inc., Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

BTM: MPH, Maternal and Neonatal Health Officer at USAID Transform: Primary Health Care, JSI Research & Training Institute Inc., Hawassa, Ethiopia.

AAG: MPH, Maternal and Neonatal Health Technical Specialist at USAID Transform: Primary Health Care, JSI Research & Training Institute Inc., Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

AFH: MPH, Maternal and Neonatal Health Technical specialist at USAID Transform: Primary Health Care, JSI Research & Training Institute Inc., Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

TTM: MPH, Maternal and Neonatal Health Officer at USAID Transform: Primary Health Care, JSI Research & Training Institute Inc., Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

ZKG: MPH, Maternal and Neonatal Health Officer at USAID Transform: Primary Health Care, JSI Research & Training Institute Inc., Bahir Dar, Ethiopia.

HDD: BA, Knowledge Management Advisor at USAID Transform: Primary Health Care, JSI Research & Training Institute Inc., Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

MZ: MD/Pediatrician, Director of Maternal and Child Health Directorate, Ministry of Health, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

MDA: Ph.D., Senior Health Systems Strengthening Advisor at USAID Transform: Primary Health Care, JSI Research & Training Institute Inc., Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

References

1. World Health Organization. Trends in maternal mortality 2000 to 2017: estimates by WHO, UNICEF, 2019.
2. Arsenault C, Jordan K, Lee D, Dinsa G, Manzi F, Marchant T, Kruk ME. Equity in antenatal care quality: an analysis of 91 national household surveys. *The Lancet Global Health*. 2018 Nov 1;6(11): e1186-95.
3. World Health Organization. Newborns: reducing mortality. WHO, Geneva; 2019. Available from: <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/newborns-reducing-mortality>.
4. Ethiopian Public Health Institute (EPHI) [Ethiopia] and ICF. Ethiopia Mini Demographic and Health Survey 2019: Key Indicators. Rockville, Maryland, USA: EPHI and ICF, 2021.
5. Mekonnen W, Gebremariam A. Causes of maternal death in Ethiopia between 1990 and 2016: systematic review with meta-analysis. *Ethiopian Journal of Health Development*. 2018;32(4).
6. World Health Organization. Newborns: improving survival and well-being. World Health Organization, Geneva. 2020. Available form: <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/newborns-reducing-mortality>. Accessed on 13 January 2022.
7. Seid SS, Ibro SA, Ahmed AA, Akuma AO, Reta EY, Haso TK, Fata GA. Causes and factors associated with neonatal mortality in neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) of Jimma University medical center, Jimma, south West Ethiopia. *Pediatric health, medicine and therapeutics*. 2019; 10:39.
8. Miltenburg AS, Lambermon F, Hamelink C, Meguid T. Maternity care and Human Rights: what do women think? *BMC international health and human rights*. 2016 Dec;16(1):1–0.
9. World Health Organization. WHO recommendations on antenatal care for a positive pregnancy experience. World Health Organization; Geneva, 2016.
10. World Health Organization. WHO recommendations on antenatal care for a positive pregnancy experience: summary: highlights and key messages from the World Health Organization's 2016

- global recommendations for routine antenatal care. World Health Organization; 2018.
11. Wanyonyi SZ, Mariara CM, Vinayak S, Stones W. Opportunities and challenges in realizing universal access to obstetric ultrasound in sub-Saharan Africa. *Ultrasound international open*. 2017 Apr;3(02):E52-9.
 12. Milart PH, Prieto-Egido I, Molina CA, Martínez-Fernández A. Detection of high-risk pregnancies in low-resource settings: a case study in Guatemala. *Reproductive health*. 2019 Dec;16(1):1–8.
 13. Dalmacion GV, Reyes RT, Habana AE, Cruz LM, Chua MC, Ngo AT, Tia-Jocson MJ, Baja ES. Handheld ultrasound to avert maternal and neonatal deaths in 2 regions of the Philippines: an iBuntis® intervention study. *BMC pregnancy and childbirth*. 2018 Dec;18(1):1–8.
 14. Swanson D, Lokangaka A, Bauserman M, Swanson J, Nathan RO, Tshefu A, McClure EM, Bose CL, Garces A, Saleem S, Chomba E. Challenges of implementing antenatal ultrasound screening in a rural study site: a case study from the Democratic Republic of the Congo. *Global Health: Science and Practice*. 2017 Jun 27;5(2):315 – 24.
 15. Swanson JO, Kawooya MG, Swanson DL, Hippe DS, Dungu-Matovu P, Nathan R. The diagnostic impact of limited, screening obstetric ultrasound when performed by midwives in rural Uganda. *Journal of Perinatology*. 2014 Jul;34(7):508–12.
 16. Wiafe Y, Odoi A, Dassah E. The role of obstetric ultrasound in reducing maternal and perinatal mortality. *Ultrasound imaging–medical applications*. Rijeka, Croatia: InTech. 2011 Aug 23:207–34.
 17. Mbuyita S, Tillya R, Godfrey R, Kinyonge I, Shaban J, Mbaruku G. Effects of introducing routinely ultrasound scanning during Ante Natal Care (ANC) clinics on number of visits of ANC and facility delivery: a cohort study. *Archives of Public Health*. 2015 Dec;73(1):36.
 18. Milart PH, Molina CA, Prieto-Egido I, Martínez-Fernández A. Use of a portable system with ultrasound and blood tests to improve prenatal controls in rural Guatemala. *Reproductive health*. 2016 Dec 1;13(1):110.
 19. Keable J, Crozier K. Detection of breech presentation: Abdominal palpation and hand-held scanning by midwives. *British Journal of Midwifery*. 2018 Jun 2;26(6):371-6.
 20. Wastlund D, Moraitis AA, Dacey A, Sovio U, Wilson EC, Smith GC. Screening for breech presentation using universal late-pregnancy ultrasonography: A prospective cohort study and cost effectiveness analysis. *PLoS medicine*. 2019 Apr;16(4).
 21. Kozuki N, Mullany LC, Khatry SK, Ghimire RK, Paudel S, Blakemore K, Bird C, Tielsch JM, LeClerq SC, Katz J. Accuracy of home-based ultrasonographic diagnosis of obstetric risk factors by primary-level health workers in rural Nepal. *Obstetrics and gynecology*. 2016 Sep;128(3):604.
 22. Amoah B, Anto EA, Osei PK, Pieterse K, Crimi A. Boosting antenatal care attendance and number of hospital deliveries among pregnant women in rural communities: a community initiative in Ghana based on mobile phones applications and portable ultrasound scans. *BMC pregnancy and childbirth*. 2016 Dec;16(1):1–0.
 23. Kawooya MG, Nathan RO, Swanson J, Swanson DL, Namulema E, Ankunda R, Kirumira F, Ddungu-Matovu P. Impact of introducing routine antenatal ultrasound services on reproductive health

- indicators in Mpigi District, Central Uganda. *Ultrasound quarterly*. 2015 Dec;31(4):285–9
24. Federal Ministry of Health (FMOH). Health Sector Transformation Plan II (2020/21- 2024/25). Addis Ababa: FMOH; 2021.
 25. Ethiopian Standard Agency (ESA). Health Center Requirements. Addis Ababa: ESA 2011.
 26. USAID Transform: Primary Health Care Project. Theory of change in practice 2017. Addis Ababa: USAID Transform: Primary Health Care; 2017.
 27. USAID Transform: Primary Health Care Activity. Year Three Annual Report. Addis Ababa: USAID Transform: Primary Health Care; 2019.
 28. World Health Organization. WHO recommendations: optimizing health worker roles to improve access to key maternal and newborn health interventions through task shifting. World Health Organization; 2012.
 29. Holmlund S, Ntaganira J, Edvardsson K, Lan PT, Semasaka Sengoma JP, Åhman A, Small R, Mogren I. Improved maternity care if midwives learn to perform ultrasound: a qualitative study of Rwandan midwives' experiences and views of obstetric ultrasound. *Global health action*. 2017 Jan 1;10(1):1350451.
 30. Sharples J. Assessing the quality of service. Module 6. Geneva: Aga Khan foundation; 1993.
 31. IBM Corp. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 25.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.2017.
 32. Lunze K, Higgins-Steele A, Simen-Kapeu A, Vesel L, Kim J, Dickson K. Innovative approaches for improving maternal and newborn health-a landscape analysis. *BMC pregnancy and childbirth*. 2015 Dec;15(1):1–9.
 33. Edvardsson K, Small R, Persson M, Lalos A, Mogren I. 'Ultrasound is an invaluable third eye, but it can't see everything': a qualitative study with obstetricians in Australia. *BMC pregnancy and childbirth*. 2014 Dec;14(1):1–3.
 34. Tesfaye G, Loxton D, Chojenta C, Semahegn A, Smith R. Delayed initiation of antenatal care and associated factors in Ethiopia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Reproductive health*. 2017 Dec;14(1):1–7.
 35. Matiang'i M, Joosse K, Ngunju P, Kiilu C, Harkx R, Hangelbroek M, Omogi J. Barriers and Enablers That Influence Utilization of Ultrasound Screening Services among Antenatal Women in Kajiado and Kisii Counties Kenya. *Open Journal of Clinical Diagnostics*. 2021 Feb 4;11(1):1–7.