

# mRNA vaccine induces cytotoxic CD8+ T-cell crossreactivity against SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant and regulates COVID-19 severity

# Takuto Nogimori

National Institutes of Biomedical Innovation, Health and Nutrition https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6011-9631

### Koichiro Suzuki

The Research Foundation for Microbial Diseases of Osaka University

# Yuji Masuta

National Institutes of Biomedical Innovation, Health and Nutrition https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2349-

# 6320

# Mika Yagoto

National Institutes of Biomedical Innovation, Health and Nutrition

# Mami Ikeda

National Institutes of Biomedical Innovation, Health and Nutrition

# Yuki Katayama

National Institutes of Biomedical Innovation, Health and Nutrition

# Ayaka Washizaki

National Institutes of Biomedical Innovation, Health and Nutrition

# Hidenori Kanda

KINSHUKAI, Hanwa The Second Hospital

# Minoru Takada

KINSHUKAI, Hanwa The Second Senboku Hospital

# Shohei Minami

Research Institute for Microbial Diseases, Osaka University

# Takeshi Kobayashi

Research Institute for Microbial Diseases, Osaka University

# Shokichi Takahama

National Institutes of Biomedical Innovation, Health and Nutrition https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5651-4217

# Yasuo Yoshioka

Research Institute for Microbial Diseases, Osaka University https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7265-9221

Takuya Yamamoto ( 🗠 yamamotot2@nibiohn.go.jp )

National Institutes of Biomedical Innovation, Health and Nutrition https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3753-1211

Article

Keywords:

Posted Date: April 11th, 2022

DOI: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1364513/v1

License: © () This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. Read Full License

| 1  | mRNA vaccine induces cytotoxic CD8 <sup>+</sup> T-cell cross-reactivity against  |
|----|--|
| 2  | SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant and regulates COVID-19 severity   |
| 3  |  |
| 4  | Takuto Nogimori <sup>1,2</sup> , Koichiro Suzuki <sup>3</sup> , Yuji Masuta <sup>1,4</sup> , Mika Yagoto <sup>1</sup> , Mami Ikeda <sup>1</sup> , Yuki Katayama <sup>1</sup> , Ayaka |
| 5  | Washizaki <sup>1</sup> , Hidenori Kanda <sup>5</sup> , Minoru Takada <sup>6</sup> , Shohei Minami <sup>7</sup> , Takeshi Kobayashi <sup>7</sup> , Shokichi Takahama <sup>1,2</sup> , |
| 6  | Yasuo Yoshioka <sup>3,8,9,10</sup> , and Takuya Yamamoto <sup>1,2,4,7,11</sup> *   |
| 7  |  |
| 8  | <sup>1</sup> Laboratory of Immunosenescence, National Institutes of Biomedical Innovation, Health and Nutrition, Osaka,  |
| 9  | Japan  |
| 10 | <sup>2</sup> Research Institute for Microbial Diseases, Osaka University, Osaka, Japan   |
| 11 | <sup>3</sup> The Research Foundation for Microbial Diseases of Osaka University (BIKEN), Osaka, Japan  |
| 12 | <sup>4</sup> Laboratory of Aging and Immune Regulation, Graduate School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Osaka University,  |
| 13 | Osaka, Japan   |
| 14 | <sup>5</sup> KINSHUKAI, Hanwa The Second Hospital, Osaka, Japan  |
| 15 | <sup>6</sup> KINSHUKAI, Hanwa The Second Senboku Hospital, Osaka, Japan  |
| 16 | <sup>7</sup> Department of Virology, Research Institute for Microbial Diseases, Osaka University, Osaka, Japan   |
| 17 | <sup>8</sup> Vaccine Creation Group, BIKEN Innovative Vaccine Research Alliance Laboratories, Research Institute for   |
| 18 | Microbial Diseases, Osaka University, Osaka, Japan   |
| 19 | <sup>9</sup> Laboratory of Nano-design for innovative drug development, Graduate School of Pharmaceutical Sciences,  |
| 20 | Osaka University, Osaka, Japan   |
| 21 | <sup>10</sup> Institute for Open and Transdisciplinary Research Initiatives, Osaka University, Osaka, Japan  |
| 22 | <sup>11</sup> Department of Virology and Immunology, Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka University, Osaka, Japan.  |
| 23 |  |
| 24 | * Corresponding author   |
| 25 | Takuya Yamamoto  |
| 26 | Laboratory of Immunosenescence   |
| 27 | National Institutes of Biomedical Innovation, Health and Nutrition   |
| 28 | 7-6-8, Saito-Asagi, Ibaraki City, Osaka 567-0085, Japan  |
| 29 | Email: <u>yamamotot2@nibiohn.go.jp</u>   |

#### 30 ABSTRACT

31 Understanding the T-cell responses involved in inhibiting COVID-19 severity is crucial for 32 developing new therapeutic and vaccine strategies. Here, we characterized SARS-CoV-2 spike-33 specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells interacting with overlapping peptides on peripheral blood mononuclear cells 34 from acute-phase COVID-19 patients. Relative to severe COVID-19, patients with mild COVID-19 35 had more frequent antigen-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells, and significantly increased SARS-CoV-2 spike-36 specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells simultaneously expressing granzyme A, granzyme B, and perforin, suggesting 37 that inducing highly cytotoxic CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells during early infection suppresses COVID-19 severity. 38 The BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine induced these antigen-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in healthy donors, 39 although lesser than in infected patients, and the induced subpopulation was not maintained long-term 40 after second vaccination. Importantly, these CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells showed cross-reactivity with the Delta and 41 Omicron strains of SARS-CoV-2. Incorporating factors that efficiently induce CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells with 42 polyfunctional cytotoxic activity may improve future vaccine efficacy against such variants.

#### 44 Introduction

45 COVID-19, an infectious disease caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), is an ongoing global pandemic with increasing incidence and mortality<sup>1</sup>. SARS-CoV-2 46 47 infection causes a wide variety of clinical features, ranging from asymptomatic cases to severe cases with an inflammatory response and death<sup>2, 3</sup>. The mortality rate of COVID-19 is lower than that of 48 49 severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) and Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV), but much higher than that of seasonal influenza<sup>4, 5</sup>. The emergence of new 50 51 variants of concern (VoCs) may further increase disease severity. Indeed, it was suggested that variant 52 B.1.1.7, the Alpha strain, first detected in the UK in September 2020, may cause more severe illness 53 than pre-existing variants<sup>6</sup>. B.1.351 (the Beta strain, detected in South Africa<sup>7</sup>), which has the E484K 54 mutation in the spike-protein receptor-binding domain, shows high resistance to vaccine-induced and anti-monoclonal antibodies<sup>8-11</sup>. Like the Beta strain, the Delta strain, B.1.617.2 (first detected in India 55 in December 2020) also reduces vaccine efficacy; by mid-April 2021 it was the most commonly 56 reported variant in India, and rapidly spread globally<sup>12</sup>. B.1.1.529 (the Omicron strain, which emerged 57 58 in South Africa in November 2021<sup>13</sup>), has many amino acid mutations in its spike protein, and 15 mutations in the ACE2 receptor-binding domain<sup>14</sup>. Compared to previous VoCs, Omicron more 59 severely reduced vaccine and monoclonal antibody efficacy<sup>14-24</sup>. Furthermore, due to its higher 60 transmissibility, there are concerns about faster and wider spread of SARS-CoV-2 infection<sup>25</sup>. 61 T cells are critical in eliminating many respiratory tract infections in acute phase<sup>26</sup>. Cytotoxic CD8<sup>+</sup> T 62 63 cells, which play a protective role in immune surveillance against viral infection, are an important 64 component of vaccine-induced protective immunity<sup>27</sup>. The induction of cellular and humoral immunity in response to COVID-19 is important in suppressing symptom severity<sup>28, 29</sup>. Lymphopenia 65 is associated with COVID-19 disease severity<sup>30</sup>, and the depletion of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells, but not CD4<sup>+</sup> T 66 cells, is associated with poor prognosis of COVID-19 patients<sup>31</sup>. In SARS-CoV-2-infected ACE2-67

68 transgenic mice with depleted CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cell population, the viral load in the lungs elevated 5 d post-

69 infection<sup>32</sup>, suggesting that CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells play an important role in the early clearance of SARS-CoV-

2. In rhesus monkeys with prior SARS-CoV-2 infection, CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell depletion reduced their

| 71 | resistance to SARS-CoV-2 re-challenge <sup>33</sup> . Analysis of SARS-CoV-2-specific T-cell responses in                  |
|----|--|
| 72 | asymptomatic and symptomatic SARS-CoV-2-infected individuals revealed equivalent frequencies of                            |
| 73 | antigen-specific T cells, although asymptomatic individuals had elevated IFN- $\gamma$ and IL-2 production.                |
| 74 | While antigen-specific T-cell IFN- $\gamma$ production and IL-10 and pro-inflammatory cytokine (IL-6, TNF-                 |
| 75 | $\alpha$ , and IL-1 $\beta$ ) production were proportionate in asymptomatic individuals, their secretion was               |
| 76 | disproportionate in symptomatic individuals <sup>34</sup> . In a longitudinal study of SARS-CoV-2-infected                 |
| 77 | patients, from onset to recovery or death, IFN-\gamma-secreting SARS-CoV-2-specific T cells were induced                   |
| 78 | earlier in individuals with mild disease than in those with severe disease <sup>29</sup> . Indeed, early functional        |
| 79 | induction of SARS-CoV-2-specific T cells influences COVID-19 patient prognosis.  |
| 80 | mRNA vaccines expressing the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein, such as mRNA-1273 (Moderna,   |
| 81 | Cambridge, MA) and BNT162b2 (Pfizer, New York, NY), which induce antigen-specific T-cell                                   |
| 82 | responses <sup>35-37</sup> , are currently being used worldwide against COVID-19. They cause remarkable                    |
| 83 | induction of CD4 <sup>+</sup> T-cell responses, and rapid Th1 and peripheral Tfh cell induction after initial              |
| 84 | vaccination is associated with CD8 <sup>+</sup> T-cell responses and antibody induction after second                       |
| 85 | vaccination <sup>38</sup> . Furthermore, although mRNA-vaccine-induced antibody population declines over time,             |
| 86 | it persists for at least six months <sup>39, 40</sup> . The mRNA-vaccine-induced antigen-specific CD4 <sup>+</sup> T cell  |
| 87 | frequency remained stable from three to six months after vaccination, with a half-life of 187 days <sup>41</sup> . In      |
| 88 | another study, the frequency of $CD4^+$ T cells induced by low-dose mRNA-1273 was maintained even                          |
| 89 | after six months <sup>42</sup> . In contrast, the frequency of CD8 <sup>+</sup> T cells induced by mRNA vaccines decreased |
| 90 | after six months <sup>41</sup> .   |
|    |  |

91 Despite of increasing evidence on the dynamics of mRNA vaccine-induced cellular immunity, it 92 remains to be clarified which vaccine-induced T-cell responses better predict protection from disease 93 after SARS-CoV-2 exposure. Furthermore, to respond appropriately to new VoCs, it is necessary to 94 determine mRNA vaccine-induced T-cell cross-reactivity to them. T-cell immunity induced by 95 infection or vaccination may be cross-reactive to mutant strains<sup>43-48</sup>. T-cell responses to newly 96 emerged omicron strains have been actively analyzed. For instance, BNT162b2-vaccinated 97 individuals, and those who had recovered from SARS-CoV-2 infection, had antigen-specific T cells

- that were cross-reactive to the Omicron variant spike protein<sup>49-51</sup>. In vaccine- or infection-induced
  CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells, exposure to the Omicron-derived Spike-peptide pool, or wild-type spike-
- 100 protein peptides, caused comparable IFN- $\gamma$ , IL-2, and TNF cytokine production<sup>52</sup>.

101 Nonetheless, it remains unclear how the mRNA vaccine-induced cytotoxicity of cross-reactive T cells

- 102 changes over time. To address this in the present study, we first analyzed peripheral blood
- 103 mononuclear cells (PBMCs) obtained from 30 symptomatic SARS-CoV-2-infected patients, to
- 104 identify CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell phenotypes that suppress COVID-19 progression. Since CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells show
- 105 antiviral effects mainly by secreting cytotoxic granules<sup>53, 54</sup>, we examined the cytotoxicity of SARS-
- 106 CoV-2 spike-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells: in patients with mild disease, there was a high frequency of
- 107 subpopulations simultaneously expressing granzyme A (GZMA), granzyme B (GZMB), and perforin,
- 108 indicating the induction of highly functional CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells. Next, we examined whether highly
- 109 cytotoxic CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells were induced by BNT162b2 vaccines. One month after the second BNT162b2
- 110 vaccination, subpopulations simultaneously expressing GZMA, GZMB, and perforin were observed,
- although at lower frequently than that in naturally infected individuals. The subpopulation frequency
- 112 did not differ for the Delta and Omicron strains, which differ from the vaccine strain, indicating that
- 113 the mRNA vaccine-induced antigen-specific but cross-reactive CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells. Nonetheless, the
- 114 frequencies of this subpopulation decreased three months after the second vaccination, suggesting that
- 115 highly functional antigen-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells are not maintained in the long term.

# Acute phase SARS-CoV-2 spike-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cell response associated with COVID-19 severity

119 To characterize the CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells associated with COVID-19 severity, we enrolled 30 patients infected 120 with Alpha variant of SARS-CoV-2 (Table 1). For the acute-phase, the viral load and anti-spike IgG 121 titer were significantly negatively correlated (Fig. 1a), whereas the antibody titer was not associated 122 with COVID-19 severity (Fig. 1b). Next, we analyzed the SARS-CoV-2 spike-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells 123 using flow cytometry. A representative gating scheme for flow cytometric analysis is presented in 124 Extended Data Figure 1. The surface markers CD27 and CD45RO were used to define the total 125 memory-cell population, and CD69 and 4-1BB were used to define an antigen-specific-stimulated 126 population (Fig. 1c). CD69<sup>+</sup>4-1BB<sup>+</sup>CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cell frequency was significantly higher in patients with 127 mild disease compared to patients with moderate II/severe disease (Fig. 1d), suggesting that antigen-128 specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells prevent the progression of COVID-19 pathology.

#### 129 Functional characteristics of SARS-CoV-2 spike-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in acute COVID-19

130 Next, to further characterize antigen-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells with respect to COVID-19 inhibition, we

131 calculated the cytokine production of  $CD8^+$  T cells responding to SARS-CoV-2 spike antigen. IFN- $\gamma$ ,

132 TNF, and IL-2 expression did not vary significantly with severity (Fig 2a and Extended Data Fig 2).

The SARS-CoV-2 spike-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cell functional profile was not associated with COVID-19
severity (Fig. 2b).

135 We next evaluated the cytotoxic activity of SARS-CoV-2 spike-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells. Most of the

136 CD69<sup>+</sup>4-1BB<sup>+</sup>CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells expressed granzyme A (GZMA), granzyme B (GZMB) and perforin

137 expression tended to decrease with disease severity (Fig 2c and Extended Data Fig 3).

138 Simultaneous expression of different cytotoxic molecules is potentially characteristic of effector cells

139 with strong cytotoxicity. Therefore, we analyzed the simultaneous expression of GZMA, GZMB, and

140 perforin in CD69<sup>+</sup>4-1BB<sup>+</sup>CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells (Fig. 2d). The frequency of subpopulations expressing GZMA,

141 GZMB, and perforin decreased substantially (by 27%) with COVID-19 severity. Moreover, the

142 frequencies of the simultaneously expressed cytotoxic molecules differed significantly between the

143 mild and moderate II/severe disease groups (Fig. 2e). These results suggest that in the acute phase of

144 COVID-19 pathogenesis, polyfunctional cytotoxic CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cell induction is associated with the

145 control of disease progression.

#### 146 mRNA vaccine induced antibodies and CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells against SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern

147 To measure mRNA vaccine-induced immune responses against SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern, we 148 enrolled 21 healthy adults vaccinated with Pfizer BNT162b2, and obtained samples at three time 149 points: pre-vaccination, four weeks after second vaccination, and twelve weeks after second 150 vaccination. First, we measured the anti-spike IgG endpoint titer in response to wild-type (WT), 151 Delta, and Omicron spikes in plasma samples by performing ELISA (Fig. 3a). At four weeks post-152 sevond vaccination, antibody titers were high for all spike types (WT, Delta, and Omicron), but 153 lowered twelve weeks post-booster vaccination, consistent with previous reports<sup>41, 55</sup>. At each time 154 point, antibody titers were significantly lower in response to Delta and Omicron spikes than to the WT 155 (Fig. 3b). In particular, four weeks post-booster vaccination, the antibody endpoint titer was 84% 156 lower against the Omicron spike than that against the WT.

157 To evaluate the role of T cells in protecting against SARS-CoV-2 VoCs exposure, we used flow 158 cytometric analysis to examine CD4<sup>+</sup> T cell responses to Delta and Omicron, the recently emerged 159 VoCs of SARS-CoV-2. PBMCs from BNT162b2-vaccinated healthy donors were stimulated with 160 WT, Delta, or Omicron strain-derived spike peptides. Antigen-specific Th1 cells were defined as 161  $CD4^+$  total memory T cells expressing CD154 and IFN- $\gamma$  (gating scheme shown in Extended Data Fig. 162 4a). Antigen-specific Th1 cell frequencies were calculated in stimulated samples by subtracting 163 background of unstimulated samples: relative to the response to the WT, antigen-specific Th1 cell frequency was slightly lower in response to the Omicron variant, but not to the Delta variant (Fig. 3c). 164 165 We evaluated the correlations between the antibody titers and Th1 cell frequencies: the Th1 cell 166 frequency and the anti-spike endpoint titer were significantly correlated (Fig. 3d), suggesting that

167 mRNA vaccine-mediated induction of Th1 cells is necessary to induce antibodies against VoCs.

168 These results suggest that the response of antigen-specific CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells is slightly lower to Omicron

than to the WT; however, these cells are maintained for at least three months after the second

170 vaccination.

#### 171 The mRNA vaccine induces CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells against SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern

We next examined whether these antigen-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells are induced by the mRNA vaccine, and whether memory T cells are maintained for a long period. The gating scheme was as shown in Figure 1, and the representative plots are shown in Extended Data Figure 4b. CD69<sup>+</sup>4-1BB<sup>+</sup>CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells were induced by the mRNA vaccine, and their frequencies were maintained for at least twelve weeks post-second vaccination (Fig. 4a). Furthermore, the frequency of CD69<sup>+</sup>4-1BB<sup>+</sup>CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells did not differ significantly in response to the WT, Delta, and Omicron variants, suggesting that

178 mRNA vaccine-induced antigen-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells cross-react with SARS-CoV-2 VoCs.

179 Cytokine production by CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells responding to SARS-CoV-2 spike peptides was low and 180 difficult to quantify (Extended Data Figure 5). To analyze antigen-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell cytotoxicity, 181 we evaluated the expression of cytotoxicity-related molecules in  $CD69^+4-1BB^+CD8^+$  T cells 182 responding to VoCs at four and twelve weeks after the second vaccination. GZMA was overexpressed 183 in the CD69<sup>+</sup>4-1BB<sup>+</sup>CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells of the vaccinated individuals, as well as in the acute phase of the 184 infected individuals (Fig. 4b, left panels). However, relative to their expression at four weeks, 185 expression at twelve weeks post-second vaccination was significantly lower for GZMA (Fig. 4b, left 186 panels), GZMB, and perforin (Fig. 4b, center and right panels). Next, we evaluated the cytotoxicity 187 spectrum of the CD69<sup>+</sup>4-1BB<sup>+</sup>CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells from vaccinated individuals. The frequency of the T-cell 188 subpopulation simultaneously expressing GZMA, GZMB, and perforin was moderate in vaccinated 189 individuals at four weeks post-second vaccination, whereas it was high in mildly infected donors (Fig. 190 4c). Furthermore, at twelve weeks post-second vaccination, the frequency of this subpopulation was 191 significantly lower than that at four weeks (Figs 4c, d), suggesting that mRNA-induced antigen-192 specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell cytotoxicity is not long-lasting. In contrast, antigen-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cell

193 cytotoxicity did not differ significantly among the VoCs (Delta and Omicron) and the WT strain,

194 indicating that mRNA vaccine-induced CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells cross-react with various VoCs.

#### 195 Discussion

196 SARS-CoV-2, which first emerged in 2019 in Wuhan, China, is still raging globally. The mRNA 197 vaccines developed to fight this pandemic, now used worldwide, were approved in a shorter-than-198 usual period owing to the urgency of the situation. Hence, their long-term efficacy remained unknown 199 and need to be determined. To do this, we first characterized antigen-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells derived 200 from mildly or severely infected individuals upon restimulation with SARS-CoV-2 spike antigen: 201 antigen-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in the acute phase of mild disease simultaneously expressed GZMA, 202 GZMB, and perforin. Healthy BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine recipients also produced this subpopulation 203 of highly cytotoxic CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells, but at a lower proportion than that observed in infected individuals. 204 Furthermore, the frequency of this subpopulation was lower three months after the second vaccination 205 than at one month, suggesting that although the mRNA vaccine induce CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells that reduce 206 COVID-19 severity, the effect may not be long-lasting.

207 Expression of cytotoxic molecules in CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells changes during the course of SARS-CoV-2 208 infection and varies between studies, as determined based on bulk analysis of T-cell responses. For 209 instance, GZMB and perforin expression is higher in SARS-CoV-2-infected patients than in healthy donors<sup>56</sup>, whereas another study reported that CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell GZMA and perforin expression was 210 comparable between healthy individuals and SARS-CoV-2-infected patients<sup>57</sup>. Consistent with our 211 212 results, a study based on serum levels rather than intracellular expression analysis revealed that 213 GZMA and perforin serum levels are higher in SARS-CoV-2-infected patients with mild symptoms than in healthy individuals and critically-ill patients<sup>58</sup>. 214

215 Involvement of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in COVID-19 pathogenesis can be elucidated by analyzing SARS-CoV-

216 2-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell characteristics. In a study using HLA-A02 tetramer<sup>59</sup>, antigen-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T

- 217 cells (obtained from COVID-19 acute-phase patients) responding to the S<sub>269-277</sub> epitope of the spike
- 218 protein predominantly belonged to the subpopulation simultaneously expressing GZMA, GZMB,

GZMK, and perforin; however, it remained unclear whether these CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells can suppress COVID-219 220 19 severity. To elucidate this, we stimulated PBMCs derived from COVID-19 patients with varying severity with overlapping peptides, and characterized the antigen-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells via multicolor 221 flow cytometry. Cytokine production from CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in response to antigen stimulation did not 222 223 differ significantly between mildly and severely infected patients, but the expression of cytotoxic molecules was notably different. Antigen-specific T cell expression of cytotoxic molecules varies 224 with the type of virus<sup>60</sup>. Therefore, our study outcomes showing cytotoxic molecule expression profile 225 226 during the course of SARS-CoV-2 infection is all the more relevant for developing future strategies to 227 protect against SARS-CoV-2 infection.

COVID-19 pathogenesis may involve immune checkpoint molecules, in addition to cytotoxic 228 229 molecules. Expression of PD-1, an inhibitory receptor, is reportedly upregulated in SARS-CoV-2infected patients<sup>61-63</sup>, although its role in COVID-19 severity remains controversial. For instance, 230 analysis of SARS-CoV-2 specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells using the MHC-I multimer<sup>64</sup> showed that PD-1-231 expressing CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells were also likely to express IFN-y and activation markers such as CD38 and 232 233 HLA-DR. This indicates that PD-1 expression on CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells of COVID-19 patients may reflect 234 their activation rather than exhaustion. In our study, PD-1 expression did not vary with COVID-19 235 severity. However, further research is needed to elucidate the association between CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell 236 exhaustion and COVID-19 progression.

237 Based on the results of multicolor flow cytometry of SARS-CoV-2 spike-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in 238 PBMCs obtained from healthy BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine recipients, the frequency of the 239 subpopulation simultaneously expressing GZMA, GZMB, and perform was 21% in CD69<sup>+</sup>4-1BB<sup>+</sup>CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells one month after the second vaccination. In the acute phase of COVID-19 mild 240 241 disease, this frequency was 68%, suggesting less effective induction of highly functional cytotoxic 242 CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in vaccinated individuals than in naturally infected individuals. Three months after the second vaccination, this subpopulation significantly reduced to 15%. Although SARS-CoV-2 spike-243 specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells were present three months after vaccination (based on the presence of CD69<sup>+</sup> 244 245 and 4-1BB<sup>+</sup> T cells), their functionality declined gradually. Furthermore, consistent with recent

- reports<sup>49-51</sup>, subpopulation frequency did not differ significantly between cytotoxic CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells
  reactive to the Delta and Omicron strains and those reactive to the vaccine strain, providing further
  evidence that CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells induced by the vaccine are cross-reactive to VoCs although we couldn't
  evaluate the actual killing activity of these CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells.
- 250 Our findings suggest that mRNA vaccination induces a subpopulation of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells that may
- 251 reduce COVID-19 severity. Nonetheless, inducing as well as maintaining this subpopulation for long-
- term remains challenging. To increase the efficacy of future vaccines against VoCs, it is worth
- 253 considering incorporating factors that more efficiently induce CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells with polyfunctional
- 254 cytotoxic activity that can remain in system for long-term.
- 255

#### 257 Methods

#### 258 Human samples

259 Fifty one individuals (30 individuals infected with SARS-CoV-2 Alpha-variant and 21 BNT162b2vaccinated healthy individuals) were enrolled in this study (Table 1), and SARS-CoV-2 infection was 260 261 confirmed by reverse transcription quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR). Viral RNA was isolated using the 262 NucleoSpin RNA Virus (MACHEREY-NAGEL, Düren, Germany), according to the manufacturer's 263 instructions. The viral RNA was reverse-transcribed and quantified using the One Step PrimeScript III 264 RT-qPCR Mix, with UNG (TaKaRa, Maebashi, Japan) according to the Pathogen Detection Manual 265 2019-nCoV v. 2.9.1 (National Institute of Infectious Diseases in Japan). Disease severity was 266 categorized using a diagnostic guide from the Japanese Ministry of Health, Labour, and Welfare. The 267 study protocol and procedures were reviewed and approved by the institutional ethics committees of 268 the National Institutes of Biomedical Innovation, Health and Nutrition (approval no. 137), Osaka, 269 Japan, and The Research Foundation for Microbial Diseases of Osaka University (approval no. 20-270 02), Osaka, Japan, and complied with the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki. All participants provided 271 written informed consent for participating in the study. PBMCs were isolated via density gradient 272 centrifugation using BD Vacutainer CPT cell preparation tube with sodium heparin (Becton, 273 Dickinson, and Co., Franklin Lakes, NJ), according to the manufacturer's instructions. PBMCs were 274 immersed in CELLBANKER cell freezing medium (TaKaRa) and stored in liquid nitrogen vapor until 275 analysis.

#### 276 SARS-CoV-2 spike-specific antibody detection

The plasma levels of total IgG-targeting SARS-CoV-2 spike-specific antibodies were determined via
enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). Recombinant spike proteins (WT: Wuhan-1; Alpha:
B.1.1.7; Delta: B.1.617.2; and Omicron: B.1.1.529) were obtained from ACROBiosystems (Newark,
DE). To calculate spike-specific antibody titers, 96-well plates were coated with SARS-CoV-2 spike

281 protein and incubated overnight at 4 °C. The plates were then washed and incubated for 1 h with

282 blocking buffer, then washed again, and incubated with diluted plasma samples for 2 h at 25 °C. Next,

283 the plates were washed and incubated with biotinylated anti-human total IgG (BD Biosciences, San

Jose, CA) for 1 h. The plates were then washed and incubated with HRP-conjugated streptavidin

285 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) for 1 h at room temperature. The plates were then washed

and incubated with TMB peroxidase substrate (KPL, Gaithersburg, MD) for color development. After

287 10 min, 2 mol/l H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> was added to each well to stop the reaction. Antibody expression was

288 measured by determining optical density at 450 nm using an Epoch 2 Microplate Spectrophotometer

289 (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA). The antibody endpoint titer was determined using a cutoff value of 0.3.

#### 290 Flow cytometry analysis

291 For analyzing SARS-CoV-2 Spike-specific T cells, we performed surface and intracellular cytokine 292 staining of CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells. Briefly, PBMCs were incubated in 1 ml RPMI 1640 medium 293 containing 50 U/ml benzonase nuclease (Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany), 10% fetal bovine serum, 294 and penicillin-streptomycin for 2 h. Next, cells were incubated in 200 µl medium with or without 295 peptides (17-mers overlapping by 11 residues) corresponding to the full-length SARS-CoV-2 spike 296 (Supplementary Table 1), at a final concentration of 2 µg/ml of each peptide, for 30 min. Thereafter, 0.2 µl BD GolgiPlug and 0.14 µl BD GolgiStop (both from BD Biosciences) were added and 297 298 incubated for 5.5 h. The cells were then stained using the LIVE/DEAD Fixable Blue Dead Cell Stain 299 Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and stained with anti-CD3 (SP34-2), anti-CD8 (RPA-T8), anti-CD4 300 (L200), anti-CD45RO (UCHL1), anti-CD27 (1A4CD27), and anti-PD-1 (EH12-2H7) antibodies. 301 After fixation and permeabilization using the Cytofix/Cytoperm kit (BD Biosciences), the cells were stained with anti-4-1BB (4B4-1), anti-CD69 (FN50), and anti-IFN-y (4S.B3), anti-TNF (MAb11), 302 303 anti-IL-2 (MQ-17H12), anti-granzyme A (CB9), anti-granzyme B (GB11), and anti-perforin (B-D45) 304 antibodies. Cells were analyzed using a BD FACSymphony A5 flow cytometer (BD Biosciences). 305 The data were analyzed using FlowJo v. 10.8.1.

#### 306 Statistical Analysis

307 Data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism 9. *P*-values were determined using the nonparametric

308 Mann-Whitney U test and Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed-rank test. Correlations were calculated

- 309 using a nonparametric Spearman's rank test. Analysis and representation of T-cell function was
- 310 performed using Simplified Presentation of Incredibly Complex Evaluations (SPICE) v. 6.1, provided
- 311 by Dr. Mario Roederer (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD).

#### 312 Acknowledgements

- 313 We thank Mr. Hiroyuki Suzuki, Mr. Nobuaki Hatori, and Mr. Kohei Kato of The Research
- 314 Foundation for Microbial Diseases of Osaka University, for sample storage and management. In
- 315 addition, we thank all the members of the Laboratory of Immunosenescence, National Institutes of
- 316 Biomedical Innovation, Health and Nutrition, Osaka, Japan, for their excellent technical support. This
- 317 study was supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science Grant-in-Aid for Scientific
- 318 Research (B) (grant number 20H03728) and the Japan Agency for Medical Research and
- 319 Development (grant numbers 20pc0101047h0001 and 21nf0101627s0202).

#### 320 Author contributions

- 321 TN and TY conceived the study and designed the experiments. TN, KS, YM, MY, MI, YK, and AW
- 322 performed the experiments and analyzed the data. SM, TK, ST, and YY contributed reagents,
- 323 materials, and analytical tools. HK and MT conducted patient data and sample collection TN and TY
- 324 created the figures and wrote the manuscript. All authors verified and discussed the data.

#### 325 **Competing interests**

- 326 KS and YY are employees of the Research Foundation for Microbial Diseases of Osaka University.
- 327 The other authors declare no conflicts of interest.

#### 328 Materials and Correspondence

- 329 The data supporting the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon
- 330 request. Source data are provided with this paper.

331

| 333                      | 33 References |  |  |  |
|--------------------------|---------------|--|--|--|
| 334                      |               |  |  |  |
| 335<br>336               | 1.            | Dong, E., Du, H. & Gardner, L. An interactive web-based dashboard to track COVID-19 in real time. <i>Lancet Infect Dis</i> <b>20</b> , 533-534 (2020)  |  |  |
| 337<br>338               | 2.            | Huang, C. <i>et al.</i> Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan,<br>China <i>The Lancet</i> <b>395</b> 497-506 (2020)  |  |  |
| 339<br>340               | 3.            | Lee, J.S. <i>et al.</i> Immunophenotyping of COVID-19 and influenza highlights the role of type I interferons in development of severe COVID 19. <i>Sci Immunol</i> <b>5</b> (2020)  |  |  |
| 341                      | 4.            | Petersen, E. <i>et al.</i> Comparing SARS-CoV-2 with SARS-CoV and influenza pandemics. <i>The</i>  |  |  |
| 342<br>343               | 5.            | Piroth, L. <i>et al.</i> Comparison of the characteristics, morbidity, and mortality of COVID-19 and   |  |  |
| 344<br>345               |               | seasonal influenza: a nationwide, population-based retrospective cohort study. <i>The Lancet Respiratory Medicine</i> <b>9</b> , 251-259 (2021).   |  |  |
| 346<br>347               | 6.            | Davies, N.G. <i>et al.</i> Increased mortality in community-tested cases of SARS-CoV-2 lineage B.1.1.7. <i>Nature</i> <b>593</b> , 270-274 (2021).   |  |  |
| 348<br>349               | 7.            | Tegally, H. <i>et al.</i> Detection of a SARS-CoV-2 variant of concern in South Africa. <i>Nature</i> <b>592</b> , 438-443 (2021).   |  |  |
| 350<br>351               | 8.            | Wang, P. <i>et al.</i> Antibody resistance of SARS-CoV-2 variants B.1.351 and B.1.1.7. <i>Nature</i> <b>593</b> 130-135 (2021)   |  |  |
| 352<br>353               | 9.            | Liu, Y. <i>et al.</i> Neutralizing Activity of BNT162b2-Elicited Serum. <i>N Engl J Med</i> <b>384</b> , 1466-<br>1468 (2021)  |  |  |
| 354<br>255               | 10.           | Hoffmann, M. <i>et al.</i> SARS-CoV-2 variants B.1.351 and P.1 escape from neutralizing  |  |  |
| 355<br>356               | 11.           | Zhou, D. <i>et al.</i> Evidence of escape of SARS-CoV-2 variant B.1.351 from natural and vaccine-  |  |  |
| 357<br>358               | 12.           | Mlcochova, P. <i>et al.</i> SARS-CoV-2 B.1.617.2 Delta variant replication and immune evasion.   |  |  |
| 359<br>360               | 13.           | Nature 599, 114-119 (2021).<br>Karim, S.S.A. & Karim, Q.A. Omicron SARS-CoV-2 variant: a new chapter in the COVID-   |  |  |
| 361<br>362               | 14.           | 19 pandemic. <i>The Lancet</i> <b>398</b> , 2126-2128 (2021).<br>Cameroni, E. <i>et al.</i> Broadly neutralizing antibodies overcome SARS-CoV-2 Omicron  |  |  |
| 363<br>364               | 15.           | antigenic shift. <i>Nature</i> (2021).<br>Carreño, J.M. <i>et al.</i> Activity of convalescent and vaccine serum against SARS-CoV-2  |  |  |
| 365<br>366               | 16            | Omicron. <i>Nature</i> (2021).<br>Hoffmann M <i>et al.</i> The Omicron variant is highly resistant against antibody-mediated   |  |  |
| 367<br>368               | 17            | neutralization – implications for control of the COVID-19 pandemic. <i>Cell</i> (2021).<br>Liu L <i>et al.</i> Striking Antibody Evasion Manifested by the Omicron Variant of SARS-CoV-2   |  |  |
| 369<br>370               | 18            | Nature (2021).<br>Planas D. et al. Considerable assane of SAPS. CoV. 2 Omigran to antibody neutralization  |  |  |
| 371                      | 10.           | Nature (2021).   |  |  |
| 372<br>373               | 19.           | Maegan L. Sheehan, Cristhian Berrios, Onosereme Ofoman, Christina C. Chang, Blake M.   |  |  |
| 374<br>375<br>376<br>377 |               | Hauser, Jared Feldman, Alex L. Roederer, David J. Gregory, Mark C. Poznansky, Aaron G. Schmidt, A. John Iafrate, Vivek Naranbhai, Alejandro B. Balazs mRNA-based COVID-19 vaccine boosters induce neutralizing immunity against SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant. <i>Cell</i> (2021) |  |  |
| 378<br>379               | 20.           | Dejnirattisai, W. <i>et al.</i> SARS-CoV-2 Omicron-B.1.1.529 leads to widespread escape from neutralizing antibody responses. <i>Cell</i> (2022)   |  |  |
| 380<br>381               | 21.           | VanBlargan, L.A. <i>et al.</i> An infectious SARS-CoV-2 B.1.1.529 Omicron virus escapes  |  |  |
| 382<br>383               | 22.           | Cao, Y. <i>et al.</i> Omicron escapes the majority of existing SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies. <i>Nature</i> (2021).   |  |  |

| 384        | 23.  | Cheng, S.M.S. et al. Neutralizing antibodies against the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant  |
|------------|------|--|
| 385        |      | following homologous and heterologous CoronaVac or BNT162b2 vaccination. Nat Med   |
| 386        |      | (2022)   |
| 387        | 24   | Sievers BL <i>et al</i> Antibodies elicited by SARS-CoV-2 infection or mRNA vaccines have  |
| 288        | 27.  | reduced neutrolizing activity against Rate and Omicron neudoviruses. Sci Transl Med  |
| 200        |      | reduced neutralizing activity against beta and Officion pseudoviruses. Sci Trunsi Mea,   |
| 389        | 25   | eabn/842 (2022).   |
| 390        | 25.  | Dyer, O. Covid-19: Omicron is causing more infections but fewer hospital admissions than   |
| 391        |      | delta, South African data show. BMJ 375, n3104 (2021).   |
| 392        | 26.  | Schmidt, M.E. & Varga, S.M. The CD8 T Cell Response to Respiratory Virus Infections.   |
| 393        |      | <i>Front Immunol</i> <b>9</b> , 678 (2018).  |
| 394        | 27.  | Pantaleo, G. & Koup, R.A. Correlates of immune protection in HIV-1 infection: what we  |
| 395        |      | know, what we don't know, what we should know. Nat Med 10, 806-810 (2004).   |
| 396        | 28.  | Rydyznski Moderbacher, C. et al. Antigen-Specific Adaptive Immunity to SARS-CoV-2 in   |
| 397        |      | Acute COVID-19 and Associations with Age and Disease Severity. <i>Cell</i> <b>183</b> 996-1012 e1019                                   |
| 398        |      | (2020)   |
| 300        | 29   | Tan A T <i>at al</i> Farly induction of functional SARS-CoV-2-specific T cells associates with   |
| 400        | 27.  | ranid viral clearance and mild disease in COVID 10 nations. Call Pan 34, 108728 (2021)   |
| 400        | 20   | Tapid vital cleatance and find disease in COVID-19 patients. Cell Rep <b>34</b> , 108728 (2021).                                       |
| 401        | 50.  | ran, L. <i>et al.</i> Lymphopenia predicts disease seventy of COVID-19: a descriptive and  |
| 402        | 21   | predictive study. Signal Transauct Target Ther 5, 33 (2020).   |
| 403        | 31.  | Urra, J.M., Cabrera, C.M., Porras, L. & Rodenas, I. Selective CD8 cell reduction by SARS-  |
| 404        |      | CoV-2 is associated with a worse prognosis and systemic inflammation in COVID-19   |
| 405        |      | patients. Clin Immunol 217, 108486 (2020).   |
| 406        | 32.  | Sun, J. et al. Generation of a Broadly Useful Model for COVID-19 Pathogenesis,   |
| 407        |      | Vaccination, and Treatment. Cell 182, 734-743 e735 (2020).   |
| 408        | 33.  | McMahan, K. et al. Correlates of protection against SARS-CoV-2 in rhesus macaques.   |
| 409        |      | <i>Nature</i> <b>590</b> , 630-634 (2021).   |
| 410        | 34.  | Le Bert, N. et al. Highly functional virus-specific cellular immune response in asymptomatic   |
| 411        |      | SARS-CoV-2 infection. J Exp Med 218 (2021).  |
| 412        | 35.  | Sahin, U. et al. COVID-19 vaccine BNT162b1 elicits human antibody and TH1 T cell   |
| 413        |      | responses. <i>Nature</i> <b>586</b> , 594-599 (2020).  |
| 414        | 36.  | Mudd, P.A. <i>et al.</i> SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccination elicits a robust and persistent T follicular                                      |
| 415        | 200  | helper cell response in humans <i>Cell</i> (2021)  |
| 416        | 37   | Anderson F L et al Safety and Immunogenicity of SARS-CoV-2 mRNA-1273 Vaccine in  |
| 417        | 57.  | Older Adults N Engl I Med 383 2427-2438 (2020)   |
| -17<br>/18 | 28   | Deinter M M et al. Denid induction of entigen specific $CDI(+)$ T cells is associated with   |
| 410        | 56.  | and and a solution of antigen-specific CD4(1) I certs is associated with   |
| 419        |      | 54, 2122, 2142, 22122 (2021)   |
| 420        | 20   | <b>54</b> , 2155-2142 (2155) (2021).<br>Test $f \in S X$ at all Effective end of a DNA DNT1 (212) COMP 10 models in the formula in the |
| 421        | 39.  | Tartor, S. Y. <i>et al.</i> Effectiveness of mKNA BN116262 COVID-19 vaccine up to 6 months in a  |
| 422        |      | large integrated health system in the USA: a retrospective cohort study. <i>The Lancet</i> 398,  |
| 423        |      | 1407-1416 (2021).  |
| 424        | 40.  | Doria-Rose, N. et al. Antibody Persistence through 6 Months after the Second Dose of   |
| 425        |      | mRNA-1273 Vaccine for Covid-19. N Engl J Med <b>384</b> , 2259-2261 (2021).  |
| 426        | 41.  | Goel, R.R. et al. mRNA vaccines induce durable immune memory to SARS-CoV-2 and   |
| 427        |      | variants of concern. Science 374, abm0829 (2021).  |
| 428        | 42.  | Mateus, J. et al. Low-dose mRNA-1273 COVID-19 vaccine generates durable memory   |
| 429        |      | enhanced by cross-reactive T cells. Science 374, eabj9853 (2021).  |
| 430        | 43.  | Collier, Ar.Y. et al. Immune Responses in Fully Vaccinated Individuals Following   |
| 431        |      | Breakthrough Infection with the SARS-CoV-2 Delta Variant in Provincetown, Massachusetts.   |
| 432        |      | medRxiv, 2021.2010.2018.21265113 (2021).   |
| 433        | 44.  | Geers, D. et al. SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern partially escape humoral but not T-cell  |
| 434        |      | responses in COVID-19 convalescent donors and vaccinees Sci Immunol 6 (2021)   |
| 435        | 45   | Iordan SC et al. T cell immune responses to SARS-CoV-2 and variants of concern (Alpha  |
| 436        | 1.5. | and Delta) in infected and vaccinated individuals Call Mol Immunol 18 2554 2556 (2021)   |
| +30        |      | and $D_{\text{CM}}$ in infected and vaccinated individuals. Cell <i>Niol Immunol</i> <b>16</b> , 2554-2550 (2021).                     |

| 437<br>438 | 46. | Keeton, R. <i>et al.</i> Prior infection with SARS-CoV-2 boosts and broadens Ad26.COV2.S immunogenicity in a variant-dependent manner. <i>Cell Host Microbe</i> <b>29</b> , 1611-1619 e1615 |
|------------|-----|---|
| 439        |     | (2021).   |
| 440        | 47. | Riou, C. et al. Escape from recognition of SARS-CoV-2 Beta variant spike epitopes but   |
| 441        |     | overall preservation of T cell immunity. Sci Transl Med, eabj6824 (2021).   |
| 442        | 48. | Tarke, A. et al. Impact of SARS-CoV-2 variants on the total CD4(+) and CD8(+) T cell  |
| 443        |     | reactivity in infected or vaccinated individuals. Cell Rep Med 2, 100355 (2021).  |
| 444        | 49. | Keeton, R. et al. SARS-CoV-2 spike T cell responses induced upon vaccination or infection   |
| 445        |     | remain robust against Omicron. <i>medRxiv</i> , 2021.2012.2026.21268380 (2021).   |
| 446        | 50. | Tarke, A. et al. SARS-CoV-2 vaccination induces immunological T cell memory able to   |
| 447        |     | cross-recognize variants from Alpha to Omicron. Cell (2022).  |
| 448        | 51. | Liu, J. et al. Vaccines Elicit Highly Conserved Cellular Immunity to SARS-CoV-2 Omicron.  |
| 449        |     | <i>Nature</i> (2022).   |
| 450        | 52. | Gao, Y. et al. Ancestral SARS-CoV-2-specific T cells cross-recognize the Omicron variant.   |
| 451        |     | Nat Med (2022).   |
| 452        | 53. | Barry, M. & Bleackley, R.C. Cytotoxic T lymphocytes: all roads lead to death. Nat Rev   |
| 453        |     | Immunol 2, 401-409 (2002).  |
| 454        | 54. | Berke, G. The CTL's kiss of death. Cell 81, 9-12 (1995).  |
| 455        | 55. | Naaber, P. et al. Dynamics of antibody response to BNT162b2 vaccine after six months: a   |
| 456        |     | longitudinal prospective study. Lancet Reg Health Eur 10, 100208 (2021).  |
| 457        | 56. | Ahmadi, P. et al. Defining the CD39/CD73 Axis in SARS-CoV-2 Infection: The CD73(-)  |
| 458        |     | Phenotype Identifies Polyfunctional Cytotoxic Lymphocytes. Cells 9 (2020).  |
| 459        | 57. | Mazzoni, A. et al. Impaired immune cell cytotoxicity in severe COVID-19 is IL-6 dependent.  |
| 460        |     | J Clin Invest 130, 4694-4703 (2020).  |
| 461        | 58. | Li, M. et al. Elevated Exhaustion Levels of NK and CD8(+) T Cells as Indicators for   |
| 462        |     | Progression and Prognosis of COVID-19 Disease. Front Immunol 11, 580237 (2020).   |
| 463        | 59. | Habel, J.R. et al. Suboptimal SARS-CoV-2-specific CD8(+) T cell response associated with  |
| 464        |     | the prominent HLA-A*02:01 phenotype. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 117, 24384-24391 (2020).  |
| 465        | 60. | Harari, A., Bellutti Enders, F., Cellerai, C., Bart, P.A. & Pantaleo, G. Distinct profiles of   |
| 466        |     | cytotoxic granules in memory CD8 T cells correlate with function, differentiation stage, and  |
| 467        |     | antigen exposure. J Virol 83, 2862-2871 (2009).   |
| 468        | 61. | De Biasi, S. et al. Marked T cell activation, senescence, exhaustion and skewing towards  |
| 469        |     | TH17 in patients with COVID-19 pneumonia. Nat Commun 11, 3434 (2020).   |
| 470        | 62. | Song, J.W. et al. Immunological and inflammatory profiles in mild and severe cases of   |
| 471        |     | COVID-19. Nat Commun 11, 3410 (2020).   |
| 472        | 63. | Zheng, H.Y. et al. Elevated exhaustion levels and reduced functional diversity of T cells in  |
| 473        |     | peripheral blood may predict severe progression in COVID-19 patients. Cell Mol Immunol  |
| 474        |     | 17, 541-543 (2020).   |
| 475        | 64. | Rha, M.S. et al. PD-1-Expressing SARS-CoV-2-Specific CD8(+) T Cells Are Not Exhausted,  |
| 476        |     | but Functional in Patients with COVID-19. Immunity 54, 44-52 e43 (2021).  |
| 477        |     |   |

| Table.1   Donor characteristics e | enrolled in this study |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|

| Caracteristics   | Mild (n = 9)     | Moderate I (n = 11) | Moderate II/Severe (n = 10) | Vaccinated donors (n = 21) |  |
|--|------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Demographic  |                  |                     |                             |                            |  |
| Age, median years<br>(interquartile range)                       | 62 (48 - 69)     | 70 (53 - 76.5)      | 64.5 (49.25 - 72.75)        | 39 (26-52)                 |  |
| Male (%)   | 6 (66.7)         | 5 (45.5)            | 8 (80.0)                    | 6 (28.6)                   |  |
| Female (%)   | 3 (33.3)         | 6 (54.5)            | 2 (20.0)                    | 15 (71.4)                  |  |
| Time since sympton onset<br>median days<br>(interquartile range) | 4 (4-6)          | 8 (4.5-11.5)        | 7 (7-8)                     | NA                         |  |
| Days to recovery<br>median days<br>(interquartile range)         | 15 (13-15)       | 22 (16-34)          | 19 (17-20)                  | NA                         |  |
| Presenting Symptoms  |                  |                     |                             |                            |  |
| Fever no. (%)  | 6 (66.7)         | 6 (54.5)            | 6 (60.0)                    | NA                         |  |
| Cough no. (%)  | 3 (33.3)         | 7 (63.6)            | 6 (60.0)                    | NA                         |  |
| Dyspnea no. (%)  | 1 (11.1)         | 6 (54.5)            | 5 (50.0)                    | NA                         |  |
| Rhinorrhea no. (%)   | 0 (0)            | 3 (27.3)            | 1 (10.0)                    | NA                         |  |
| Sore throat no. (%)  | 3 (33.3)         | 0 (0)               | 1 (10.0)                    | NA                         |  |
| Diarrhea no. (%)   | 0 (0)            | 1 (9.1)             | 2 (20.0)                    | NA                         |  |
| Hematological values (Median, Interquartile range)               |                  |                     |                             |                            |  |
| Haemoglobin (g/dL)   | 14.1 (13.1-14.6) | 14.1 (12.45-14.8)   | 14.9 (14.375-15.25)         | NA                         |  |
| WBC (x10 <sup>9</sup> /L)  | 5.5 (4.4-6.4)    | 5.3 (3.4-6.8)       | 4.1 (2.6-5.9)               | NA                         |  |
| Lymphocytes (x10 <sup>9</sup> /L)                                | 25.2 (18.8-27.8) | 19.5 (17.15-24.75)  | 24.5 (22-29.7)              | NA                         |  |
| Neutrophil (x10 <sup>9</sup> /L)                                 | 66.0 (64.4-70.4) | 71.4 (66.25-74.8)   | 66.7 (58.725-73.375)        | NA                         |  |
| Platelet (x10 <sup>9</sup> /L)                                   | 177 (156-189)    | 201 (133-216.5)     | 183.5 (132-200)             | NA                         |  |

# Figure 1. Acute-phase SARS-CoV-2 spike-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cell response is associated with COVID-19 severity.

487 (a) Percentage of anti-spike (Alpha) IgG endpoint titer as a function of viral load (n = 30). (b) Dot 488 plot representing the anti-spike (Alpha) IgG end-point titer in plasma samples of patients with acute 489 mild (n = 9), acute moderate I (n = 11), or acute moderate II/severe (n = 10) disease. The lines show 490 the geometric mean. (c) Representative flow cytometry data showing  $CD69^{+}4-1BB^{+}CD8^{+}T$  cells as 491 SARS-CoV-2 (Alpha) spike-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells. The numbers show the frequencies of CD69<sup>+</sup>4-492 1BB<sup>+</sup> CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in CD8<sup>+</sup> total memory cells. (d) Percentage of CD69<sup>+</sup>4-1BB<sup>+</sup> CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells after 493 background subtraction (control DMSO) as a function of viral load. (e) Frequencies of CD69<sup>+</sup>4-1BB<sup>+</sup> 494 CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells of patients with acute mild, acute moderate I, or acute moderate II/severe disease. The 495 lines show the geometric mean. P-values were calculated using the nonparametric Mann-Whitney U

496 test.

# 497 Figure 2. Functional characteristics of SARS-CoV-2 spike-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in acute 498 COVID-19.

499 (a) Dot plots representing the frequencies of IFN- $\gamma$ -, TNF-, or IL-2-secreting CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells (left, 500 center, and right panels, respectively) responding to SARS-CoV-2 Alpha spike peptides in CD8<sup>+</sup> total 501 memory cells. The lines show the geometric means. (b) Frequencies of spike-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell 502 subpopulations producing IFN- $\gamma$ , TNF, and IL-2 in cytokine secreting CD8<sup>+</sup> total memory cells (n =503 23). (c) Dot plots representing the frequencies of  $CD69^+4-1BB^+CD8^+T$  cells expressing granzyme 504 A (left panel), granzyme B (center panel), or perforin (right panel) responding to SARS-CoV-2 Alpha 505 spike peptides (n = 23). The lines show the geometric means. (d) Frequencies of spike-specific 506 CD69<sup>+</sup>4-1BB<sup>+</sup>CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell subpopulations expressing different combinations of granzyme A, 507 granzyme B, and perform (n = 23). P-values were calculated using the nonparametric Mann-Whitney 508 U test. \*P < 0.05. (e) Frequency of spike-specific CD69<sup>+</sup>4-1BB<sup>+</sup>CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells with wide-spectrum

# 511 Figure 3. Antibody and CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells induced by mRNA vaccine against SARS-CoV-2 variants 512 of concern.

513 (a) Anti-spike IgG against WT (left panel), Delta (center panel), and Omicron (right panel) endpoint 514 titers over time in plasma samples obtained from BNT162b2-vaccinated individuals (n = 21). P-515 values were calculated using the Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test. (b) Comparison of anti-516 spike IgG endpoint titer against WT, Delta, and Omicron spike proteins at four and twelve weeks 517 post-second vaccination (n = 21). P-values were calculated using the Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed 518 rank test. (c) Comparison of spike-specific Th1 cell frequency against WT, Delta, and Omicron spike 519 peptides in CD4<sup>+</sup> total memory cells at four and twelve weeks post-second vaccination (n = 21). P-520 values were calculated using the Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test. (d) Correlation of anti-521 spike IgG endpoint titer and spike-specific Th1 cell frequency against WT (black), Delta (blue), and 522 Omicron (red) spike peptides (n = 21). Correlations were calculated using the nonparametric

523 Spearman's rank test.

#### 524 Figure 4. CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells induced by mRNA vaccine against SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern.

525 (a) Dot plot representing the frequencies of  $CD69^+4-1BB^+CD8^+T$  cells in  $CD8^+$  total memory cells with subtracted background (control DMSO) of cells obtained from vaccinated healthy individuals (n 526 527 = 21). The lines show the geometric mean. (b) Frequencies of  $CD69^+4-1BB^+CD8^+T$  cells expressing 528 granzyme A (left panels), granzyme B (center panels), or perforin (right panels) responding to SARS-529 CoV-2 WT, Delta, or Omicron spike peptides (upper, middle, and lower panels, respectively) (WT, 4 weeks, n = 13; WT, 12 weeks, n = 15; Delta, 4 weeks, n = 12; Delta, 12 weeks, n = 13; Omicron, 4 530 531 weeks, n = 15; Omicron, 12 weeks, n = 13). Lines show medians. *P*-values were calculated using the 532 Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test. (c) Frequencies of spike-specific CD69<sup>+</sup>4-1BB<sup>+</sup>CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell 533 subpopulations expressing different combination of granzyme A, granzyme B, and perforin. P-values 534 were calculated using the Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test. (d) Frequency of polyfunctional

- 535 spike-specific CD69<sup>+</sup>4-1BB<sup>+</sup>CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells expressing granzyme A, granzyme B, and perforin. *P*-
- 536 values were calculated using permutation tests.



Figure 1. Acute-phase SARS-CoV-2 spike-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cell response is associated with COVID-19 severity. (a) Percentage of anti-spike (Alpha) IgG endpoint titer as a function of viral load (n = 30). (b) Dot plot representing the anti-spike (Alpha) IgG end-point titer in plasma samples of patients with acute mild (n = 9), acute moderate I (n = 11), or acute moderate II/severe (n = 10) disease. The lines show the geometric mean. (c) Representative flow cytometry data showing CD69<sup>+</sup>4-1BB<sup>+</sup> CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells as SARS-CoV-2 (Alpha) spike-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells. The numbers show the frequencies of CD69<sup>+</sup>4-1BB<sup>+</sup> CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in CD8<sup>+</sup> total memory cells. (d) Percentage of CD69<sup>+</sup>4-1BB<sup>+</sup> CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells after background subtraction (control DMSO) as a function of viral load. (e) Frequencies of CD69<sup>+</sup>4-1BB<sup>+</sup> CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells of patients with acute mild, acute moderate I, or acute moderate II/severe disease. The lines show the geometric mean. *P*-values were calculated using the nonparametric Mann-Whitney *U* test.



#### Figure 2. Functional characteristics of SARS-CoV-2 spike-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in acute COVID-19.

(a) Dot plots representing the frequencies of IFN- $\gamma$ -, TNF-, or IL-2-secreting CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells (left, center, and right panels, respectively) responding to SARS-CoV-2 Alpha spike peptides in CD8<sup>+</sup> total memory cells. The lines show the geometric means. (b) Frequencies of spike-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell subpopulations producing IFN- $\gamma$ , TNF, and IL-2 in cytokine secreting CD8<sup>+</sup> total memory cells (n = 23). (c) Dot plots representing the frequencies of CD69<sup>+</sup>4-1BB<sup>+</sup>CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells expressing granzyme A (left panel), granzyme B (center panel), or perforin (right panel) responding to SARS-CoV-2 Alpha spike peptides (n = 23). The lines show the geometric means. (d) Frequencies of spike-specific CD69<sup>+</sup>4-1BB<sup>+</sup>CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell subpopulations expressing different combinations of granzyme A, granzyme B, and perforin (n = 23). *P*-values were calculated using the nonparametric Mann-Whitney *U* test. \**P* < 0.05. (e) Frequency of spike-specific CD69<sup>+</sup>4-1BB<sup>+</sup>CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells with wide-spectrum cytotoxicity expressing granzyme A, granzyme B, and perforin. *P*-values were calculated using permutation tests.



Figure 3. Antibody and CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells induced by mRNA vaccine against SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern. (a) Anti-spike IgG against WT (left panel), Delta (center panel), and Omicron (right panel) endpoint titers over time in plasma samples obtained from BNT162b2-vaccinated individuals (n = 21). *P*-values were calculated using the Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test. (b) Comparison of anti-spike IgG endpoint titer against WT, Delta, and Omicron spike proteins at four and twelve weeks post-second vaccination (n = 21). *P*-values were calculated using the Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test. (c) Comparison of spike-specific Th1 cell frequency against WT, Delta, and Omicron spike peptides in CD4<sup>+</sup> total memory cells at four and twelve weeks post-second vaccination (n = 21). *P*-values were calculated using the Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test. (d) Correlation of anti-spike IgG endpoint titer and spike-specific Th1 cell frequency against WT (black), Delta (blue), and Omicron (red) spike peptides (n = 21). Correlations were calculated using the nonparametric Spearman's rank test.



**Figure 4. CD8**<sup>+</sup> **T** cells induced by mRNA vaccine against SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern. (a) Dot plot representing the frequencies of CD69<sup>+</sup>4-1BB<sup>+</sup> CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in CD8<sup>+</sup> total memory cells with subtracted background (control DMSO) of cells obtained from vaccinated healthy individuals (n = 21). The lines show the geometric mean. (b) Frequencies of CD69<sup>+</sup>4-1BB<sup>+</sup>CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells expressing granzyme A (left panels), granzyme B (center panels), or perforin (right panels) responding to SARS-CoV-2 WT, Delta, or Omicron spike peptides (upper, middle, and lower panels, respectively) (WT, 4 weeks, n = 13; WT, 12 weeks, n = 15; Delta, 4 weeks, n = 12; Delta, 12 weeks, n = 13; Omicron, 4 weeks, n = 15; Omicron, 12 weeks, n = 13). Lines show medians. *P*-values were calculated using the Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test. (c) Frequencies of spike-specific CD69<sup>+</sup>4-1BB<sup>+</sup>CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells expressing granzyme B, and perforin. *P*-values were calculated using the Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test. (d) Frequency of polyfunctional spike-specific CD69<sup>+</sup>4-1BB<sup>+</sup>CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells expressing granzyme A, granzyme B, and perforin. *P*-values were calculated using the Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test. (d) Frequency of polyfunctional spike-specific CD69<sup>+</sup>4-1BB<sup>+</sup>CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells expressing granzyme A, granzyme B, and perforin. *P*-values were calculated using the Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test. (d) Frequency of polyfunctional spike-specific CD69<sup>+</sup>4-1BB<sup>+</sup>CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells expressing granzyme A, granzyme B, and perforin. *P*-values were calculated using the Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test. (d) Frequency of polyfunctional spike-specific CD69<sup>+</sup>4-1BB<sup>+</sup>CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells expressing granzyme A, granzyme B, and perforin. *P*-values were calculated using permutation tests.

CD8



### Extended Data Fig. 1 | Gating strategy for antigen-specific CD8 T cells (related to Figure 1).

(a) After gating live single T cells, based on forward scatter area and height (FSC-A and -H), side scatter area (SSC-A), live/dead cell exclusion, and CD3 staining, we separated the peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) into CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells. Subsequently, CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells were further divided into memory phenotypes based on the expression of CD27 and CD45RO. (b) After gating CD8<sup>+</sup> memory T cells, SARS-CoV-2 spike-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells were defined as the CD69<sup>+</sup>4-1BB<sup>+</sup> population.



#### Extended Data Fig. 2 | Gating strategy for antigen-specific CD8 T cells (related to Figure 2).

Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) obtained from SARS-CoV-2-infected patients were either not stimulated (DMSO control) or stimulated with SARS-CoV-2 (Alpha) spike peptides for 6 h. Representative plots of (**a**) IFN- $\gamma$ , (**b**) TNF, and (**c**) IL-2 production from CD8<sup>+</sup> memory T cells.



**Extended Data Fig. 3** | **Gating strategy for antigen-specific CD8 T cells (related to Figure 2).** Representative plots of granzyme A (GZMA), granzyme B (GZMB) and perforin expression in CD69<sup>+</sup>4-1BB<sup>+</sup>CD8<sup>+</sup> memory T cells from patients. The gray contour plots represent the expression of each cytotoxic molecule in CD8<sup>+</sup> naive T cells.



# Extended Data Fig. 4 | Gating strategy for antigen-specific CD4 and CD8 T cells (related to Figures 3 and 4).

(a) Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) obtained from BNT162b2-vaccinated healthy individuals at four and twelve weeks post-second vaccination were either not stimulated (DMSO control) or stimulated with SARS-CoV-2 spike peptides (WT, Delta, or Omicron) for 6 h. Th1 cells were defined as CD154<sup>+</sup>IFN- $\gamma^+$ CD4<sup>+</sup> memory T cells. (b) SARS-CoV-2 spike-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells from BNT162b2-vaccinated healthy individuals were identified as in Extended Data Figure 1.



# Extended Data Fig. 5 | Functional characteristics of SARS-CoV-2 spike-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells from vaccinated donors.

(a) Frequencies of IFN-γ-, TNF-, or IL-2-producing CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells (upper, middle, and lower panels, respectively) responding to SARS-CoV-2 spike peptides in CD8<sup>+</sup> total memory cells from BNT162b2-vaccinated healthy individuals. The lines show the geometric means. (b) Frequencies of subpopulations of spike-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells producing IFN-γ, TNF, and IL-2 in cytokine-secreting CD8<sup>+</sup> total memory cells.

# Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

• SupplementaryTable1.xlsx