Sports Climbing and Personality – A Cross-Sectional Study

Gino Steinmetz (✉ Gino.Steinmetz@med.uni-goettingen.de)

Georg-August-University of Goettingen

Mara Assman

Georg-August-University of Goettingen

Jan Hubert

University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf

Dominik Saul

Mayo Clinic College of Medicine and Science

Research Article

Keywords: Sports psychology, sports climbing, climbing psychology, climbing

Posted Date: March 8th, 2022

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1365535/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background

After its recent Olympic debut in 2021 climbing continues to gain popularity. Physiological characteristics of climbers have been extensively studied, while the personality of climbers remains poorly understood. Personality research in sports is an established field, predicting long-term success as well as short-term behavior. The recreational athlete's personality has not been sufficiently examined to date.

Methods

We prospectively analyzed 50 recreational climbers and 50 non-climbing athletes regarding their personality characteristics. We assessed the BMI, the self-reported climbing grade, and the years of climbing experience. To evaluate the personality of recreational climbers and athletes, we used the German version of the Big Five Inventory (BFI-2), which summarizes the personality in five main categories.

Results

Recreational climbers scored significantly higher in conscientiousness than the non-climbing athletes ($p = 0.04$), there was no significant difference between the other four main personality traits. Female climbers scored significantly higher in conscientiousness than male climbers ($p = 0.02$), while female athletes scored higher in openness than male athletes ($p < 0.01$). The climbing group displayed a small but significant negative correlation between conscientiousness and BMI ($r = -0.39$; $p < 0.01$).

Conclusion

In conclusion, the personality of recreational climbers and recreational athletes differs in conscientiousness, with the climbers showing higher scores. Regarding gender, we found higher levels of conscientiousness in female climbers and higher openness in female athletes in comparison to their male counterparts. A negative correlation between BMI and conscientiousness of the climbers was detected.

Introduction

After last year's successful debut at the 2021 Tokyo Olympics, sports climbing is continuing to gain popularity (1, 2). With this increase in popularity, it can be assumed that the amount of recreational athletes will continue to grow. This trend is already observed in an outdoor industry report showing a trend of increased participation in outdoor climbing activities (3). Another statistic survey done by the Sports & Fitness Industry Association (SFIA) highlights a steady increase in indoor climbing and indoor bouldering participation in the population of the US (4). Climbing offers a wide variety of subdisciplines, with rock climbing, indoor sports climbing, speed climbing, bouldering and ice climbing being the fundamental ones (1). Throughout literature, advanced climbers are well studied, but there is still a lack

of focus on the recreational climber (1, 5–7). Mental aspects as well as the relationship between physical and mental aspects of climbing is likewise scarcely covered within the literature. It is well established that in climbing, psychology plays a major role in performance, however there is a strong disproportion between research focusing on the physical against the mental aspect of sports climbing (1). Only the risk-taking behavior in high-risk sports is a well-researched topic (8, 9). Llewellyn et al. provide a focused look at the correlation between self-efficacy and risk taking in recreational sports climbers (10). The authors found that climbers, even recreational ones, high in self-efficacy engaged more frequent in medium and high-risk forms of rock climbing, although the underlying mechanisms remained unclear. Various experimental designs are used to examine personality in sports. One widely used is the comparison of high-level sportsmen versus low-level sportsmen (11). Current research suggests that high performance athletes express a lower level of neuroticism (12). A recent crucial finding is that long-term success in sports, as well as short-term behavior can be predicted by personality traits (13). In summary, the conjunction of sports and personality continues to arouse interest, however specific research for sports climbing and personality in the recreational climber, has not been conducted extensively so far. The climber's personality in general is largely studied on at least advanced climbers: The advanced climber is described as being high in vigor and mental endurance as well as low in tension, depression, anger, confusion and mood disturbance (1, 6), which is also known as the "Iceberg"-profile (14). While there is ample research displaying the differences in personality traits between genders, there is hardly any description of the gender differences in recreational athletes (15, 16).

With the current lack of focus on recreational climbers as well as gender differences the purpose of this study was to identify personality traits which differentiate recreational climbers from matched athletes using the Big Five factor model.

Materials And Methods

Subjects

We prospectively analyzed two cohorts, 50 recreational climbers and 50 non-climbing athletes (40% fitness, 20% running, 18% ball sports, 22% others) regarding their personality traits. In both groups we assessed age, gender and body mass index (BMI). In the climbing group, the highest climbing grade (International Union of Alpine Associations UIAA [UIAA] and International Rock Climbing Research Association [IRCRA]), frequency of training as well as climbing experience in years were assessed. The physical characteristics of the two cohorts were previously published by Assmann et al.(17).

Inclusion criteria

For the climbing group, only athletes were considered who regularly climb at indoor climbing centers in Germany with an intermediate and advanced climbing level according to Draper et al (18). For the non-climbing group, the athletes performed sport on a regular basis, but no climbing-associated sport like (outdoor) climbing, bouldering or ice climbing.

Exclusion criteria

We excluded athletes under 18 years or subjects with recent surgeries or any acute injuries.

Big Five Inventory

To evaluate the personality traits we used the German short-form of the Big Five Inventory (BFI-2) (19–21). The BFI-2 is internally consistent and has been validated in the German language (19).

The BFI-2 consists of 60 items that can be summarized into the five main items: Openness, Conscientiousness, Extraversion, Agreeableness and Neuroticism. The mean Cronbach's alpha reliability scores for the five summarized traits were high: Openness = 0.84, Conscientiousness = 0.87, Extraversion = 0.86, Agreeableness = 0.81 and Neuroticism = 0.88 (19).

Statistics

Analyses were performed with GraphPad Prism 9.1.2 (GraphPad Software, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) and SPSS Statistics 26.0.0.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA).

After verification of normal distribution with the d'Agostino Pearson-Test, an unpaired t-test was used if not stated differently. Otherwise, Mann-Whitney test was used. Intraclass correlation was used to determine reliability for test-retest. For correlations we used the non-parametric Spearman test. If not stated differently, $\pm$ standard deviation is quoted. Depicted values are mean and the 95% confidence interval (CI). Significant differences are marked with asterisks (**** $p < 0.0001$, *** $p < 0.001$, ** $p < 0.01$, * $p < 0.05$).

Results

Characteristics of climbers and non-climbers

The mean age of the climbing group (30.3 ± 1.8 years) did not significantly differ from the age of the non-climbing group (26.4 ± 1.3 years) ($p = 0.08$). Combining all participants resulted in a mean age of 28.3 ± 11.8 years. There was no significant difference in BMI between groups (climbing (22.0 ± 0.3 kg/m²), non-climbing (22.9 ± 0.5 kg/m², $p = 0.06$)). The gender in the climbing group as well as in the non-climbing group was predominantly male (68% vs. 62%, respectively), not differing between the groups (Fisher's exact test, $p = 0.68$). The ethnicity was Caucasian. The self-reported climbing grade for the climbing group varied between International Rock Climbing Research Association (IRCRA) levels 10–23 (mean IRCRA 16.88 ± 4.92 , mean French: 6c+/7a) (International Union of Alpine Associations UIAA: VI to X-, mean VIII-/VIII). The climbing group had a mean climbing experience of $6.8 (\pm 7.3)$ years.

Personality traits of climbers and non-climbing athletes

The BFI-2 was used to assess the personality traits of both groups. Comparing both groups we found that conscientiousness differed significantly between the climbers and the non-climbing athletes ($p = 0.04$)

with the climbing group scoring higher. There was no significant difference in the other four main categories (Fig. 1).

In both groups we compared the personality attributes between both genders. In the climbing group, we saw a significant difference between male and female climbers in conscientiousness (Fig. 2), with the female climbers scoring higher. The difference in agreeableness was close to significance with female climbers scoring higher in this trait ($p = 0.09$). We could not see any significant differences in openness ($p = 0.49$), extraversion ($p = 0.41$) or neuroticism ($p = 0.49$).

Figure 2: Difference in personality traits between genders within the climbing group. When separating by gender, both groups did not differ in (A) extraversion, (B) agreeableness, (C) conscientiousness, (D) neuroticism or (E) openness. The female climbers however scored significantly higher than male climbers in conscientiousness ($p = 0.02$). $*p < 0.05$

In the athlete group, the female participants scored significantly higher in openness than the male athletes ($p < 0.01$), while there was no significant difference in the other personality traits (Figure 3). There was a significant difference in openness comparing just the female participants of both groups ($p = 0.01$) with higher openness in female athletes compared to female climbers.

Figure 3: Difference in personality traits between genders within the athlete group. Comparing the athletes by gender we saw no difference in (A) extraversion ($p = 0.6$), (B) agreeableness ($p = 0.78$), (C) conscientiousness ($p = 0.63$) and (D) neuroticism ($p = 1$). However female athletes showed significantly higher scores than male athletes in (E) openness ($p < 0.01$). $****p < 0.0001$

Climbing specific correlation of personality traits

In the climbing group, we used the spearman correlation-test and found a significant, negative correlation between conscientiousness and the BMI of the participants (Figure 4). There was no significant correlation between BMI and openness ($p = 1$), extraversion ($p = 0.88$), agreeableness ($p = 0.24$) or neuroticism ($p = 0.86$). Neither in climbing frequency nor years of experience, we could find a significant correlation to personality traits.

Figure 4: Correlation between personality traits and BMI in the climbing group. We found no correlation between the big five characteristics and BMI in (A) extraversion, (B) agreeableness, (D) neuroticism and (E) openness. We did find a significant negative correlation between (C) conscientiousness and BMI ($r = -0.39$, $p < 0.01$).

Discussion

This is, to our knowledge, the first study that compares the personality traits of 50 recreational climbers with 50 athletes of other sports as well as providing an in-depth look at the gender differences in personality between recreational sportsmen. The characteristics of both groups were comparable to

similar studies (22–24). The aim of this study was to examine the differences in personality between recreational climbers and recreational athletes. A secondary analysis was to assess differences between the genders of both groups as well as intra-group differences between both genders. Recreational climbers scored significantly higher in conscientiousness than matched athletes. We additionally showed significant differences in openness between the genders of our cohort.

In-depth analysis of climber's personality is exceedingly rare. Personality traits of athletes however are well researched with the Big Five Inventory (22, 25, 26). In the present study, we found that conscientiousness is significantly higher in the recreational climbers in comparison to athletes. We could not discern a significant difference in the other four personality traits between both groups. This confirmed our initial hypothesis, that there is a higher conscientiousness in climbing athletes. A possible explanation lies within the nature of climbing as a potentially fatal sport: A higher emphasis on conscientiousness in the participating parties may be lifesaving in certain situations although an evolutionary advantage of this is not and cannot be proven today. Apart from risking one's own life, securing your partner on the climbing route is crucial, which may lead to a higher conscientiousness in recreational climbers. In line with that, Malinauskas et al. compared athletes to non-athletes in a similar setup using the NEO Five-Factor inventory (NEO-FFI), which is a commercial tool to assess the five personality dimensions. The NEO-FFI is comparable to the BFI-2 that was used in the present study. Malinauskas et al. showed a significant difference between athletes and non-athletes in conscientiousness (22). Since all of our participants are recreational athletes of some sport, we cannot make a direct comparison to this study. Adding to that, Malinauskas et al. only recruited male participants. In comparison to athletes, we found that recreational climbers scored significantly higher in conscientiousness. One factor could have been the inclusion of female participants in our study, since female climbers scored significantly higher in conscientiousness than their male counterparts did.

In elite alpine climbers ascending Mount Everest, higher levels of extraversion, psychoticism and lower level of neuroticism were found by Egan and Stelmack in comparison to the normative standardization data for males in the 31–40-year age range from the test manual. In recreational climbers, we could not reproduce these findings compared to recreational athletes, the recreational nature of our participants might offer an explanation (27). Burnik et al. compared male Slovenian climbers, with at least one alpinistic expedition to the Himalayas, to male non-athletes who never climbed with the Freiburg Personality Inventory (FPI 114). The FPI 114 is a commercially available questionnaire to assess the personality traits in subjects; it subdivides personality in 9 subdivisions. Burnik et al. did not find differences in extraversion but found that climbers showed lower levels of neuroticism compared to the control group (23). Likewise, we did not find a significant difference in extraversion between both groups, but in our cohort no significant difference in neuroticism was found. Burnik's study solely focused on male climbers while we also recruited female climbers. This might be one of the reasons for not detecting a difference. Our participants were all athletes in some sport, which is another possible explanation for the different results.

Brandauer found that male climbers had higher depression scales compared to female climbers (28). Depression is a common mental disorder with a global proportion of 4.4% and is more common in females (5.1 %) than males (3.6 %) according to estimates of the World Health Organization (29). Although neuroticism is not linearly linked to depression, common gene variants are presumed since high values can predict later depression (30). We could not find sex-specific differences in neuroticism, while the base values were low in athletes and climbers. Both groups in our study scored lower than the standardization data in the test manual. The positive effect of climbing and sports on depression is well studied and the low overall values of neuroticism in our groups seem to confirm this effect (31–34). In a similar setup to our study, Marczak and Ginszt compared 30 male and 30 female sports climbers finding that male climbers scored significantly higher in openness as well as agreeableness while there was no significant difference in neuroticism, extraversion and conscientiousness (24). We encountered opposing results in our study, with the female climbers showing significantly higher conscientiousness and insignificantly higher agreeableness than their male counterparts. One reason might have been the age of 23 ± 1 years in the cohort of Marczak et al., while our cohort was older (30.3 ± 12.7 years) and slightly more experienced (6.8 vs. 6 years of climbing experience). In our athlete group, the female participants also showed significantly higher openness. According to a recent study by Giolla et al. there is a correlation between the gender equality index, in which Germany ranks high, and the sex differences in personalities, showing that a higher gender equality leads to more pronounced differences in personalities (35). Research of personality and the differences between genders in athletes is uncommon. With growing popularity of climbing sports, further research on recreational climbers and gender differences between personalities is warranted.

In the climbing group, we found a significant negative correlation between conscientiousness and the BMI of the climbers, which shows that with increasing BMI, the level of conscientiousness of the individuals decreased. While there is currently no research examining the correlation between BMI and personality in climbers, there is ample evidence suggesting BMI does correlate with certain personality types in general. Sutin and Terracciano found in a cross-sectional study with a diverse sample of participants living in the United States (50% female) that high neuroticism was associated with a higher BMI, while conscientiousness was protective of a higher BMI (36). Comparing these findings with our results, we found a higher conscientiousness correlating with a lower BMI in recreational climbers, although we could not find a correlation between neuroticism and BMI. Our population was, in general, healthy and sports enthusiastic, climbing 2.5 ± 1.2 times a week, which could account for these differences. Gerlach et al. published a review in 2015 where the group categorized conscientiousness and self-control as protective factors towards weight gain (37). In the climbing group we assessed a BMI of 22.0 ± 0.3 kg/m², which is categorized as a healthy weight, we also found a negative correlation between BMI and conscientiousness. Gerlach et al. postulates that conscientiousness is associated with self-discipline. Our findings show that recreational climbers score higher in conscientiousness, with female climbers scoring even higher than male climbers, this might point to higher levels of self-discipline in recreational climbers, especially in the female climbers, in comparison to common athletes.

Limitations

One limitation of our study is the small sample size, to assess the personality traits of recreational climbers larger scaled studies should be planned.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the personality of recreational climbers and recreational athletes only differs slightly in conscientiousness, in which the climbing group displays a higher level. We found a lower level of neuroticism in both groups, compared to the standardization data. Examining gender-differences in both groups, we found significantly higher conscientiousness in the female climbers and higher openness in the female athletes in comparison to their male counterparts. We additionally found a negative correlation between BMI and conscientiousness in climbers, which is to be further explored.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study was approved by the ethics committee (11/7/18) of the University Medical Center Göttingen and all participants gave written informed consent to participate. All methods were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations of the local ethics committee.

Consent for publication

All participants gave written informed consent for publication.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Funding

DS was funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) – 413501650.

The authors acknowledge support by the Open Access Publication Funds of the Göttingen University.

Authors' contributions

DS and GS designed the study. MA performed all experimental procedures. GS, MA and DS carried out data analysis. DS and GS wrote the manuscript. JH and MA critically revised it for important intellectual content. All authors have approved the final version of the article, including the authorship list.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the team of the RoXx-climbing hall, Goettingen, Germany, as well as the DAV climbing hall Hildesheim, Germany for their technical support.

References

1. Saul D, Steinmetz G, Lehmann W, Schilling AF. Determinants for success in climbing: A systematic review. *J Exerc Sci Fit.* 2019;17(3):91–100. doi:10.1016/j.jesf.2019.04.002 Cited in: PubMed; PMID 31193395.
2. The International Olympic Committee. IOC approves five new sports for Olympic Games Tokyo 2020. IOC. 2016 Mar 8.
3. Outdoor Foundation. Outdoor Participation Report 2021 [Internet]. 2021 [Accessed 2022 Jan 20]. Available from: <https://outdoorindustry.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/03/2021-Outdoor-Participation-Trends-Report.pdf>
4. Sports & Fitness Industry Association. 2021 Sports, Fitness, and Leisure Activities Topline Participation Report [Internet]. 2021 [Accessed 2022 Jan 20]. Available from: https://www.sfia.org/reports/900_2021-Sports%2C-Fitness%2C-and-Leisure-Activities-Topline-Participation-Report
5. Fryer SM, Giles D, Palomino IG, La O Puerta A de, España-Romero V. Hemodynamic and Cardiorespiratory Predictors of Sport Rock Climbing Performance. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2018;32(12):3534–41. doi:10.1519/JSC.0000000000001860 Cited in: PubMed; PMID 28301444.
6. Magiera A, Rocznik R, Maszczyk A, Czuba M, Kantyka J, Kurek P. The structure of performance of a sport rock climber. *J Hum Kinet.* 2013;36:107–17. doi:10.2478/hukin-2013-0011 Cited in: PubMed; PMID 23717360.
7. Sanchez X, Torregrossa M, Woodman T, Jones G, Llewellyn DJ. Identification of Parameters That Predict Sport Climbing Performance. *Front Psychol.* 2019;10:1294. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2019.01294 Cited in: PubMed; PMID 31214092.
8. van Tiggelen D, Wickes S, Stevens V, Roosen P, Witvrouw E. Effective prevention of sports injuries: a model integrating efficacy, efficiency, compliance and risk-taking behaviour. *Br J Sports Med.* 2008;42(8):648–52. doi:10.1136/bjsm.2008.046441 Cited in: PubMed; PMID 18400875.
9. Woodman T, Barlow M, Bandura C, Hill M, Kupciw D, Macgregor A. Not all risks are equal: the risk taking inventory for high-risk sports. *J Sport Exerc Psychol.* 2013;35(5):479–92. doi:10.1123/jsep.35.5.479 Cited in: PubMed; PMID 24197716.

10. Llewellyn DJ, Sanchez X, Asghar A, Jones G. Self-efficacy, risk taking and performance in rock climbing. *Personality and Individual Differences*. 2008;45(1):75–81. doi:10.1016/j.paid.2008.03.001
11. Steca P, Baretta D, Greco A, D'Addario M, Monzani D. Associations between personality, sports participation and athletic success. A comparison of Big Five in sporting and non-sporting adults. *Personality and Individual Differences*. 2018;121:176–83. doi:10.1016/j.paid.2017.09.040
12. Piepiora P, Piepiora Z. Personality Determinants of Success in Men's Sports in the Light of the Big Five. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2021;18(12). doi:10.3390/ijerph18126297 Cited in: PubMed; PMID 34200739.
13. Allen MS, Greenlees I, Jones M. Personality in sport: a comprehensive review. *International Review of Sport and Exercise Psychology*. 2013;6(1):184–208. doi:10.1080/1750984X.2013.769614
14. Morgan WP, Brown DR, Raglin JS, O'Connor PJ, Ellickson KA. Psychological monitoring of overtraining and staleness. *Br J Sports Med*. 1987;21(3):107–14. Cited in: PubMed; PMID 3676635.
15. Schmitt DP, Realo A, Voracek M, Allik J. Why can't a man be more like a woman? Sex differences in Big Five personality traits across 55 cultures. *Journal of personality and social psychology*. 2008;94(1):168–82. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.94.1.168 Cited in: PubMed; PMID 18179326.
16. Costa PT, Terracciano A, McCrae RR. Gender differences in personality traits across cultures: robust and surprising findings. *Journal of personality and social psychology*. 2001;81(2):322–31. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.81.2.322 Cited in: PubMed; PMID 11519935.
17. Assmann M, Steinmetz G, Schilling AF, Saul D. Comparison of Grip Strength in Recreational Climbers and Non-Climbing Athletes-A Cross-Sectional Study. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2020;18(1). doi:10.3390/ijerph18010129 Cited in: PubMed; PMID 33375452.
18. Draper N, Giles D, Schöffl V, Konstantin Fuss F, Watts P, Wolf P, Baláš J, Espana-Romero V, Blunt Gonzalez G, Fryer S, Fanchini M, Vigouroux L, Seifert L, Donath L, Spoerri M, Bonetti K, Phillips K, Stöcker U, Bourassa-Moreau F, Garrido I, Drum S, Beekmeyer S, Ziltener J-L, Taylor N, Beeretz I, Mally F, Mithat Amca A, Linhart C, Abreu E. Comparative grading scales, statistical analyses, climber descriptors and ability grouping: International Rock Climbing Research Association position statement. *Sports Technology*. 2015;8(3-4):88–94. doi:10.1080/19346182.2015.1107081
19. Danner, D., Rammstedt, B., Bluemke, M., Lechner, C., Berres, S., Knopf, T., Soto, C., & John, O. P. Das Big-Five Inventar 2: Validierung eines Persönlichkeitsinventars zur Erfassung von 5 Persönlichkeitsdomänen und 15 Facetten. [Internet]: Diagnostica. 2019 [Accessed 2021 Nov 8]. Available from: [https://zis.gesis.org/skala/Danner-Rammstedt-Bluemke-Lechner-Berres-Knopf-Soto-John-Die-deutsche-Version-des-Big-Five-Inventory-2-\(BFI-2\)](https://zis.gesis.org/skala/Danner-Rammstedt-Bluemke-Lechner-Berres-Knopf-Soto-John-Die-deutsche-Version-des-Big-Five-Inventory-2-(BFI-2))
20. Horowitz LM, Strack S, eds, editor. *Handbook of Interpersonal Psychology*. USA: John Wiley & Sons; 2010.
21. Pervin LA. *Handbook of personality: Theory and research*. 2nd ed.; 2001.
22. Malinauskas R, Dumciene A, Mamkus G, Venckunas T. Personality traits and exercise capacity in male athletes and non-athletes. *Percept Mot Skills*. 2014;118(1):145–61. doi:10.2466/29.25.PMS.118k13w1 Cited in: PubMed; PMID 24724519.

23. Burnik S, Jug S, Kajtna T, Tušak M. Differences in personality traits of mountain climbers and non-athletes in Slovenia. *Acta Gymnica*. 2005;(35(2)):13–8.
24. Marczak M, Ginszt M. Five-Factor Model Personality Traits In Sport Climbers: Zenodo; 2017.
25. Goddard K, Roberts C-M, Anderson L, Woodford L, Byron-Daniel J. Mental Toughness and Associated Personality Characteristics of Marathon des Sables Athletes. *Front Psychol*. 2019;102259. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02259 Cited in: PubMed; PMID 31636592.
26. Allen MS, Greenlees I, Jones M. An investigation of the five-factor model of personality and coping behaviour in sport. *J Sports Sci*. 2011;29(8):841–50. doi:10.1080/02640414.2011.565064 Cited in: PubMed; PMID 21500081.
27. Egan S, Stelmack RM. A personality profile of Mount Everest climbers. *Personality and Individual Differences*. 2003;34(8):1491–4. doi:10.1016/S0191-8869(02)00130-7
28. Brandauer T. Sportklettern und Persönlichkeit [[Zugl.: Klagenfurt, Univ., Diss]]. Hamburg: Czwalina; 1994.
29. World Health Organization. Depression and Other Common Mental Disorders: Global Health Estimates [Internet]. 2017 [Accessed 2022 Jan 7]. Available from: <https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/254610/W?sequence=1>
30. Levinson DF. The genetics of depression: a review. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2006;60(2):84–92. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2005.08.024 Cited in: PubMed; PMID 16300747.
31. Kleinstäuber M, Reuter M, Doll N, Fallgatter AJ. Rock climbing and acute emotion regulation in patients with major depressive disorder in the context of a psychological inpatient treatment: a controlled pilot trial. *Psychol Res Behav Manag*. 2017;10277–81. doi:10.2147/PRBM.S143830 Cited in: PubMed; PMID 28860880.
32. Schwarz L, Dorscht L, Book S, Stelzer E-M, Kornhuber J, Luttenberger K. Long-term effects of bouldering psychotherapy on depression: benefits can be maintained across a 12-month follow-up. *Heliyon*. 2019;5(12):e02929. doi:10.1016/j.heliyon.2019.e02929 Cited in: PubMed; PMID 31872117.
33. Luttenberger K, Stelzer E-M, Först S, Schopper M, Kornhuber J, Book S. Indoor rock climbing (bouldering) as a new treatment for depression: study design of a waitlist-controlled randomized group pilot study and the first results. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2015;15201. doi:10.1186/s12888-015-0585-8 Cited in: PubMed; PMID 26302900.
34. Stelzer E-M, Book S, Graessel E, Hofner B, Kornhuber J, Luttenberger K. Bouldering psychotherapy reduces depressive symptoms even when general physical activity is controlled for: A randomized controlled trial. *Heliyon*. 2018;4(3):e00580. doi:10.1016/j.heliyon.2018.e00580 Cited in: PubMed; PMID 29862344.
35. Mac Giolla E, Kajonius PJ. Sex differences in personality are larger in gender equal countries: Replicating and extending a surprising finding. *Int J Psychol*. 2019;54(6):705–11. doi:10.1002/ijop.12529 Cited in: PubMed; PMID 30206941.
36. Sutin AR, Terracciano A. Personality traits and body mass index: Modifiers and mechanisms. *Psychol Health*. 2016;31(3):259–75. doi:10.1080/08870446.2015.1082561 Cited in: PubMed; PMID

37. Gerlach G, Herpertz S, Loeber S. Personality traits and obesity: a systematic review. *Obes Rev.* 2015;16(1):32–63. doi:10.1111/obr.12235 Cited in: PubMed; PMID 25470329.

Figures

Figure 1

Big five personality traits. Blue bars depict climbers, red bars depict athletes. Conscientiousness was significantly different among groups with a higher level seen in climbers ($p = 0.04$)

Figure 2

Difference in personality traits between both genders within the climbing group. When separation by gender both groups did not differ in (A) extraversion, (B) agreeableness, (C) conscientiousness, (D) neuroticism and (E) openness. The female climbers however scored significantly higher than male climbers in conscientiousness ($p = 0.02$).

Figure 3

Difference in personality traits between the genders of the athlete group. Comparing the athletes by gender we see no difference in (A) extraversion ($p = 0.6$), (B) agreeableness ($p = 0.78$), (C) conscientiousness ($p = 0.63$) and (D) neuroticism ($p = 1$). However female athletes showing significantly higher scores than male athletes in the (E) openness trait ($p < 0.01$).

Figure 4

Correlation between personality traits and BMI in the climbing group. We found no correlation between the traits and BMI in (A) extraversion, (B) agreeableness, (D) neuroticism and (E) openness. We did find a significant correlation between (C) conscientiousness and BMI ($p < 0.01$).