A Low-profile Broadband Crossed-dipole Antenna with Fractal Structure and Inverted-L Plates

Guirong Feng (✉ Guirfeng@163.com)

Xi'an University of Posts and Telecommunications

Tian-yi Qi

Xi'an University of Posts and Telecommunications

Jiachen Li

Xi'an University of Posts and Telecommunications

Xinwei Wang

Xi'an University of Posts and Telecommunications

Mingming Li

Xi'an University of Posts and Telecommunications

Hailong Yang

Xi'an University of Posts and Telecommunications

Research Article

Keywords:

Posted Date: February 24th, 2022

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1368427/v1>

License: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

A low-profile broadband circularly polarized (CP) crossed-dipole antenna is proposed. In this antenna, the dipole uses a fractal-based structure with multiple similar patches for obtaining broadband CP radiation and impedance matching. The incorporation of the crossed-dipole antenna with four triangular parasitic patches improves both the impedance and axial ratio (AR) bandwidths. Four parasitic inverted-L metal plates are loaded on the ground plane to significantly enhance the AR bandwidth and reduce the antenna profile. The measured results are in agreement with the simulations, which demonstrates that the proposed antenna has a low profile of $0.18 \lambda_0$, a -10 dB impedance bandwidth of 91% (2.78~7.42 GHz), a 3 dB AR bandwidth of 81.5% (2.99~7.1 GHz), and a good right hand circular polarization (RHCP) radiation pattern with an average gain of 7.9 dBi over the whole operating band.

Introduction

Circularly polarized (CP) antennas have been widely used in various wireless communication systems, because they have superior advantages over linearly polarized (LP) antennas, such as mitigating polarization mismatch, combating multipath interference, and providing stable link between transmitting and receiving antennas. As a very important antenna type, a single-fed CP crossed-dipole antenna with a 90° phase-shift ring has attracted much attention due to its simple structure and wide bandwidth¹⁻². However, such crossed-dipole antenna has a relative narrow axial ratio (AR) bandwidth of less than 30% and a high profile of about $0.25 \lambda_0$ ². In recent years, broadband CP antennas have gained great popularity in high-speed-rate communications. In addition, CP antennas with a low profile are essential for conformal applications, such as space-constrained carriers. Therefore, it is very important to design a broadband and low-profile CP crossed-dipole antenna for modern wireless communication systems.

To realize a broadband CP crossed-dipole antenna, one method is to use wideband dipoles³⁻⁶, which can provide two orthogonal fields with equal amplitude in a wide band for generating broadband CP radiation. For example, the antenna using rectangular dipole and bowtie dipole can achieve AR bandwidths of 27%³ and 43%⁴, while using L-shape dipole and elliptical dipole can increase the bandwidths to 67.5%⁵ and 96.6%⁶, respectively. Moreover, the combination of wideband dipole and parasitic elements can further enhance the bandwidths⁷⁻⁹. In particular, this method of adding four parasitic elements in a sequential rotation way can achieve a fairly wide CP bandwidth¹⁰⁻¹². For example, a crossed bowtie dipole antenna integrating with three groups of four parasitic elements obtains a bandwidth of 90.9%¹¹, while four vertical metal plates were added on the ground plane of a rectangular dipole antenna, obtaining a wide bandwidth of 106.1%¹². Although the aforementioned antennas can achieve a wide CP bandwidth, their profiles are still as high as $0.25 \lambda_0$.

To reduce the profile of the crossed-dipole antenna, an artificial magnetic conductor (AMC) can be used instead of a metal ground plane¹³⁻¹⁵. For example, the AMC ground in Reference15 reduces the antenna profile to $0.16 \lambda_0$, but giving two narrow AR bandwidths of 19.3% and 33.8%. In addition, low profile can

also be realized by introducing shorted elements into crossed-dipole antennas^{16–19}. In Reference18, the antenna with a profile of $0.1 \lambda_0$ achieves an AR bandwidth of 63.4% by adding four shorted parasitic patches on the bottom side of the substrate. Similarly, by adding two groups of shorted parasitic patches on the both sides of substrate, a much lower profile of $0.067 \lambda_0$ were obtained but at a cost of modest operating bandwidth of 51.6%¹⁹. Therefore, it is still a big challenge to design a CP crossed-dipole antenna with both wide bandwidth and low profile simultaneously.

In this letter, without increasing extra radiators, a CP crossed-dipole antenna uses a fractal-based structure to design the dipole for obtaining a very wide impedance bandwidth. In addition, four parasitic patches are loaded around the crossed-dipole to increase the CP bandwidth. Four coupling parasitic inverted-L plates are shorted to the ground plane to enhance the AR bandwidth and reduce the antenna profile. It has been found that the antenna with a low profile of $0.18 \lambda_0$ achieves a wide impedance bandwidth of 91% and AR bandwidth of 81.5%.

Antenna Configuration

Figure 1 shows the geometry of the proposed low-profile broadband CP crossed-dipole antenna, which is printed on a 0.5-mm-thick substrate with a relative permittivity of 2.65. The antenna consists of two pairs of crossed dipoles, four triangular parasitic patches, four parasitic inverted-L metal plates, a square ground plane, and a coaxial cable. The two pairs of crossed-dipoles are etched on both sides of the substrate and soldered to the inner and outer conductors of the coaxial cable, respectively. To generate CP radiation, two perpendicular dipoles are connected by a 90° phase-shift ring with a circumference of about $\lambda_0/4$. In this antenna, each dipole uses a fractal-based structure, which can be designed by cutting three slits with a width of g from a bowtie-dipole to yield several similar radiators without increasing extra radiators and size. To enhance the bandwidths, four triangular patches are loaded on the bottom side of the substrate, which are rotationally placed along the diagonal for exciting additional CP resonance. In addition, four parasitic inverted-L plates, consisting of a horizontal rectangular plate and a vertical plate with a height of H_1 below the substrate, are rotationally loaded on the ground plane to enhance the operating bandwidth. Besides, the parasitic plates can also help to reduce the antenna profile by properly tuning the coupling between the parasitic plate and crossed-dipoles. The square ground plane is located below the substrate by a distance of H for providing a unidirectional radiation pattern. The optimized parameters of the proposed antenna are listed in Table. 1.

Table 1
Geometrical parameters of the proposed antenna.

Parameter	Value (mm)	Parameter	Value (mm)
Wg	74.5	Wd	40
R1	1.93	s	0.34
Lt	8.56	g	0.5
W1	20.5	L1	19.2
W2	8	L2	16.9
d	4.6	dt	4
W3	10	L3	5.5
H	10.8	H1	9.3

Antenna Evolution

To illustrate the design process and bandwidth enhancement, three reference antennas are compared with the proposed antenna. As shown in Fig. 2, Reference Antenna I (Fig. 2(a)) is a simple crossed bowtie dipole antenna; Reference Antenna II (Fig. 2 (b)) modifies the bowtie-dipole by using a fractal-based structure; In Reference Antenna III (Fig. 2 (c)), the fractal-based bowtie dipoles are used and four triangular parasitic patches are loaded. For a fair comparison, the dimensions of these reference antennas are the same with the proposed antenna. The simulated reflection coefficient, AR and boresight gain of these antennas are shown in Fig. 3. As depicted, Reference Antenna I has a relative narrow impedance bandwidth (43.3%) and poor AR because the antenna height is only $0.18 \lambda_0$ but not $\lambda_0/4$. While the impedance bandwidth of Reference Antenna II is significantly enhanced by using fractal-based bowtie dipoles. As is known, a fractal antenna has multiple similar radiators operating at different operating frequency, and the combined bands can increase the overall bandwidth [20].

Working Mechanism

For better understanding the role of fractal-based bowtie dipole in AR performance, the amplitude ratio and phase difference of two orthogonal modes are investigated in Fig. 4. As can be seen from the figure, it is easier for Reference Antenna II than Antenna I to generate CP radiation when the amplitude ratio of two orthogonal modes in range of $-3 \sim 3$ dB and their phase difference around 90° are simultaneously satisfied in an operating band. Hence, the AR of Reference Antenna II is better than that of Reference Antenna I. When introducing four triangular parasitic patches in Reference Antenna III, the impedance matching in the low band is improved because of the parasitic loading effect. Moreover, due to the coupling from the crossed-dipoles, these parasitic patches achieve a sequential rotated phase distribution, so new CP resonance is excited to enhance the AR bandwidth. When the four parasitic

inverted-L plates are introduced to the proposed antenna, S_{11} moves to lower band, which suggests that the current path could be lengthened, as a result the antenna profile can be reduced. In the other hand, a very wide impedance bandwidth is obtained. Also, an extra CP resonance mode is generated owing to the coupling between the inverted-L plates and the crossed-dipoles, hence the AR bandwidth is substantially broadened.

To illustrate the working mechanism of the proposed antenna, the current distributions on the crossed-dipole and inverted-L plates at 4 GHz are shown in Fig. 5. As is observed, strong currents concentrate on the plates owing to the strengthened coupling with the crossed-dipole. At phase of 0° , the horizontal y -direction currents on the crossed-dipole and inverted-L plates flow in the opposite direction and can cancel each other; While the vertical z -direction currents on two opposite inverted-L plates can also be cancelled. Therefore, only the horizontal x -direction currents on crossed-dipoles dominate the total current J . Similarly, at phase of 90° , the total current is dominated by the horizontal y -direction currents on the crossed-dipole. It is seen that the two total currents are orthogonal to each other and their current intensity are comparable. Therefore, an additional CP mode is generated and a good right-hand circular polarization (RHCP) pattern is obtained.

In addition, it can also be observed that the currents on the dipoles are coupled to the plates and finally are shorted to the ground plane. Therefore, the inverted-L plates can be regarded as shorting walls to some extent, which will increase the current path of crossed-dipole and reduce the antenna profile. On the other hand, the vertical z -directional current on the inverted-L plates is similar to that of a monopole antenna, providing a monopole-like radiation pattern. While the crossed-dipole antenna radiates a heart-shape pattern in the boresight direction. When introducing the inverted-L plates into the crossed-dipole antenna, their radiation patterns are superimposed with each other, generating a heart-shape radiation pattern but with wide beam width at this CP mode¹⁸.

Parameter Study And Design Guidelines

To further investigate the effect of coupling between the crossed-dipole and inverted-L plate on the AR bandwidth, the parameters of dt and $H1$ are studied in Fig. 6. As shown in Fig. 6 (a), the AR in the upper band deteriorates as the parameter dt increases. When the value of $dt = 4$ mm, the antenna achieves good AR performance in the overall band and wider AR bandwidth. In addition, it is observed from Fig. 6 (b) that the parameter $H1$ dramatically affects the AR in the lower band, therefore it is necessary to properly adjust the height of inverted-L plates to achieve wide bandwidth, because as $H1$ changes, the capacitance to the inverted-L plate from the dipole will also change.

In addition, a simple design guideline can be summarized as follows:

1) First, design a fractal-based crossed-dipole antenna with initial values of parameter $L1 = 0.25 \lambda_0$, $H = 0.25 \lambda_0$, adjust the parameters of Lt and g to tune the impedance matching band and excite CP resonances.

- 2) Second, add the parasitic patches and adjust the parameters of W_2 and L_2 to excite another AR resonant points.
- 3) Third, add the inverted L plates and adjust their size and position (dt , L_3 , H_1) to improve ARBW.
- 4) Finally, refine and optimize each parameter of the antenna.

Measurement Results

To validate the proposed design, a prototype was fabricated, as shown in Fig. 7. The simulated and measured reflection coefficients, ARs and boresight gains are shown in Fig. 8. A reasonable agreement between the simulated and measured results can be observed. The simulated and measured impedance bandwidth are given by 89% (2.86 ~ 7.45 GHz) and 91% (2.78 ~ 7.42 GHz); the simulated and measured AR bandwidths are 82.4% (2.97 ~ 7.13 GHz) and 81.5% (2.99 ~ 7.1 GHz), respectively. While the measured average gain is 7.9 dBi within the operating band. Figure 9 shows the simulated and measured radiation patterns at 3.3, 4, and 6.7 GHz. The RHCP patterns at these frequencies are all higher than left-hand CP (LHCP) by 18 dB, demonstrating the antenna generates RHCP radiation pattern. It can be seen that the beam-width of the pattern at 4 GHz is much wider than that at other frequencies. This is because the CP mode of inverted-L plate operates at this frequency. In addition, lower back radiations of cross-polarization are observed.

Conclusions

In this letter, a low-profile broadband CP crossed-dipole antenna is studied. A fractal-based structure is applied to the bowtie dipole to generate wide CP radiation, and parasitic patches are used to further broaden the AR bandwidth. The inverted-L plates can only excite addition CP mode to enhance the AR bandwidth but also reduce the antenna profile. A prototype has been fabricated and measured. The measured results show that the prototype has a low profile of $0.18 \lambda_0$, impedance bandwidth of 91%, and AR bandwidth of 81.5%.

Declarations

Funding Information

National Natural Science Fund, Grant/Award Number: 62106197

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by The National Natural Science Fund of 62106197.

References

1. K.E. Kedze, H. Wang, Y. W. Kim, I. Park, "Design of a reduced-size crossed-dipole antenna. IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.. 69, 689–697 (2021).
2. J. W. Baik, K. J. Lee, W. S. Yoon, T. H. Lee and Y. S. Kim, "Circularly polarized printed crossed-dipole antennas with broadband axial ratio," Electronics Lett., 44, 13 (2008.)
3. Y. J. He, W. He and H. Wong, "A wideband circularly polarized cross-dipole antenna," IEEE Antennas Wireless Propag. Lett., 13, 67–70 (2014).
4. H. H. Tran, and I. Park, "Wideband circularly polarized cavity-backed asymmetric crossed bowtie dipole antenna," IEEE Antennas Wireless Propag. Lett. 15, 358–361 (2016).
5. X. L. Liang, J. Ren, L. Zhang, et al. "Wideband circularly polarized antenna with dual-mode operation," IEEE Antennas Wireless Propag. Lett. 18, 767–770 (2019).
6. L. Zhang, S. Gao, Q. Luo, et al., "Single-feed ultra-wideband circularly polarized antenna with enhanced front-to-back ratio," IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag. 64, 355–360 (2016).
7. G. R. Feng, L. Chen, X. S. Xue, and X. W. Shi, "Broadband circularly polarized crossed-dipole antenna with a single asymmetrical cross-loop," IEEE Antennas Wireless Propag. Lett. 16, 384–387 (2017).
8. H. H. Tran, I. Park, and T. K. Nguyen, "Circularly polarized bandwidth-enhanced crossed dipole antenna with a simple single parasitic element," IEEE Antennas Wireless Propag. Lett. 16, 1776–1779 (2017).
9. Y. Feng, J. Y. Li; B. Cao, and J. Liu, et al., "Cavity-backed broadband circularly polarized cross-dipole antenna," IEEE Antennas Wireless Propag. Lett. 18, 2681–2685 (2019).
10. H. Zhang, Y. Guo, and G. Wang, "A design of wideband circularly polarized antenna with stable phase center over the whole GNSS bands," IEEE Antennas Wireless Propag. Lett. 18, 2746–2750 (2019).
11. G. R. Feng, L. Chen, X. W. Wang, and X. W. Shi, "Broadband circularly polarized crossed bowtie dipole antenna loaded with parasitic elements," IEEE Antennas Wireless Propag. Lett. 17, 114–117 (2018).
12. Y. M. Pan, W. J. Yang, S. Y. Zheng and P. F. Hu, "Design of wideband circularly polarized antenna using coupled rotated vertical metallic plates," IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag. 66, 42–49 (2018).
13. M. Li, Q. L. Li, B. Wang, C. F. Zhou and S. W. Cheung, "A Low-profile dual-polarized dipole antenna using wideband AMC reflector," IEEE Trans. Antennas and Propag. 66, 2610–2615 (2018).
14. S. X. Ta and I. Park, "Dual-band low-profile crossed asymmetric dipole antenna on dual-band AMC surface," IEEE Antennas Wireless Propag. Lett. 13, 587–590 (2014).
15. H. H. Tran and I. Park, "A dual-wideband circularly polarized antenna using an artificial magnetic conductor," IEEE Antennas Wireless Propag. Lett. 15, 950–953 (2016).
16. Y. D. Dong, Z. Wang, Y. S. Pan, and J. H. Choi, "Characterization of shorted dipole antennas for low cost RFID reader applications," IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag. 68, 7297–7308 (2020).
17. A. Verma, M. Arrawatia, and G. Kumar, "Low-profile wideband high-gain folded dipole antenna," IEEE Antennas Wireless Propag. Lett. 20, 1058–1062 (2021).
18. W. J. Yang, Y. M. Pan, and S. Y. Zheng, "A Low-profile wideband circularly polarized crossed-dipole antenna with wide axial-ratio and gain beamwidths," IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag. 66, 3346–3353

(2018).

19. W. J. Yang, Y. M. Pan, and S. Y. Zheng, "A Compact broadband circularly polarized crossed-dipole antenna with a very low profile," IEEE Antennas Wireless Propag. Lett. 18, 2130–2134 (2019).
20. A. Gorai, M. Pal, and R. Ghatak, "A compact fractal-shaped antenna for ultrawideband and bluetooth wireless systems with WLAN rejection functionality," IEEE Antennas Wireless Propag. Lett. 16, 2163–2166 (2017).

Figures

Figure 1

Configuration of the proposed antenna. (a) Top view of the antenna; (b) Side view of the antenna.

Figure 2

Evolution of the proposed CP antenna. (a) Ant.1. (b) Ant.2. (c) Ant.3. (d) Ant.4.

Figure 3

Simulated reflection coefficients and ARs of the reference and the proposed antenna. (a) reflection coefficients, (b) ARs.

Figure 4

Amplitude ratio and phase difference of two orthogonal modes in Reference antenna II.

Figure 5

Current distribution on the crossed dipoles and inverted-L plates. The blue line represents the current vector on the crossed-dipole. The orange line represents the current vector on the inverted-L plate.

Figure 6

Simulated ARs for different (a) dt , (b) $H1$

Figure 7

Prototype of the proposed antenna. (a) Top view, (b) Side view.

Figure 8

Simulated and measured reflection coefficients, ARs and gain of the prototype. (a) Reflection coefficients. (b) ARs and boresight gains.

Figure 9

Simulated and measured radiation patterns of the prototype. (a) 3.3 GHz. (b) 4 GHz. (c) 6.7 GHz.