

Oral health status and oral health-related quality of life among patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in the United Arab Emirates: A matched case-control study

Nadia Khalifa

University of Sharjah <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9728-2814>

Betul Rahman

University of Sharjah

Marianna D Gaintantzopoulou

National and Kapodistrian University of Athens Faculty of Biology

Suhail Al Amad

University of Sharjah

Manal M. Awad (✉ awad@sharjah.ac.ae)

<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0290-8170>

Research

Keywords: Clinical Attachment Loss, DMFT, OHIP-14, type 2 diabetes mellitus, UAE

Posted Date: February 11th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.2.23221/v1>

License:  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published on June 15th, 2020. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12955-020-01418-9>.

Abstract

Background: Nearly a quarter of the population in the UAE has type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), and this medical condition is associated with poorer oral health. The effects on oral health-related quality of life (OHRQoL), however, have not been examined in this population. Therefore, the objective of this study was to assess the impact of oral health problems, such as caries and periodontitis, on OHRQoL among Arab patients with and without T2DM.

Methods: This matched case-control study included 88 diabetic and 88 non-diabetic participants recruited from University Dental Hospital Sharjah and University Hospital Sharjah, UAE. Participants completed a sociodemographic questionnaire, as well as the Oral Health Impact Profile short form (OHIP-14) which measures OHRQoL. Clinical examinations were conducted to assess participants' dental caries status, using the Decayed Missing Filled Teeth (DMFT) Index, and periodontal condition, via clinical attachment loss (CAL) dichotomized to $CAL < 3\text{mm}$ and $CAL \geq 3\text{mm}$. Linear regression models were used to identify the association between OHIP domains, clinical attachment loss, DMFT scores, and diabetes status.

Results: The mean age of the participants was 43.0 years. A significantly ($p = 0.01$) higher proportion of diabetic patients (23%) had a $CAL \geq 3\text{mm}$ compared to non-diabetic patients (10%). No significant differences in OHIP scores were observed between patients with and without diabetes. The results of the linear regression suggested that, irrespective of diabetic status, DMFT scores were significantly associated with physical disability, physical pain, psychological discomfort, and psychological disability, as well as total OHIP scores. CAL was significantly associated with the handicap domain. Among non-diabetics, OHIP scores were significantly associated with DMFT scores in five OHIP domains (functional limitation, physical disability, physical pain, psychological discomfort, psychological disability), as well as total OHIP scores. Among diabetics, CAL was significantly associated with both the social disability and handicap domains, while only the handicap domain reached statistical significance among non-diabetics.

Conclusions: Participants who had decayed teeth, irrespective of their diabetic status, reported substantial physical and psychological impacts on OHRQoL. CAL also had a significant impact on OHRQoL, being primarily associated with the OHIP handicap domain in both groups.

Background

The current concept of health emphasizes not only the absence of disease, but also a complete state of well-being. As such, the patients' experiences and perceptions of their own conditions must be taken into consideration by measuring their health-related quality of life (HRQoL) [1].

Diabetes is a chronic metabolic disease characterized by hyperglycemia caused by a defect in insulin secretion, insulin function, or both [2]. Globally, it was estimated that in 2017, 451 million people aged 18–99 years had diabetes, and that these figures are expected to increase to 693 million by 2045 [3]. The UAE has one of the highest prevalence rates of type 2 diabetes (T2DM), with a crude prevalence rate of 20% [4].

Oral health problems such as periodontal disease, dental caries, and tooth loss can negatively affect patients' HRQoL [5–8]. Diabetes may be associated with oral complications such as xerostomia, taste impairment, oral candidiasis, and periodontal disease [9–12]. Patients with poorly controlled or uncontrolled diabetes are especially susceptible to periodontal disease which is characterized by progressive alveolar bone loss, clinical attachment loss (CAL), and deepened periodontal pockets. [13]. Advanced glycation end products, which accumulate in the tissues and plasma of diabetic patients, are considered to be responsible for increased inflammation and exaggerated release of pro-inflammatory cytokines, followed by the destruction of periodontal tissues [14]. Periodontal infection may also have an adverse effect on the metabolic control of diabetes [15]. Some studies have suggested that the prevention and treatment of periodontal disease may contribute to an improvement in metabolic control of diabetes, and should thus be considered an integral part of diabetes management [16].

One of the most common complaints of diabetic patients is xerostomia, which can contribute to oral problems such as the occurrence of tooth decay, especially root caries [17]. These may ultimately lead to oral pain, dysfunction, and a reduction in overall well-being and quality of life. Such oral health problems are only expected to increase in the upcoming decades, given increasing trends in the prevalence of T2DM and the retention of teeth into older age.

Therefore, the objective of this study was to assess the impact of oral health problems, such as caries and periodontitis, on HRQoL among Arab patients with and without T2DM, with the overarching aim of informing the development of oral health care treatments and preventive measures that are tailored to the needs of this medically compromised patient group.

Methods

This case-control study was carried out at the University Dental Hospital Sharjah and University Hospital Sharjah, where a sample of adults with T2DM were selected from a list of patients attending the clinics from March 2016 to May 2018. The diagnosis of T2DM was based on the subjects' existing medical records, and additional inclusion criteria comprised (1) at least 10 natural teeth; (2) no known major medical complications such as coronary heart disease; (3) no antibiotic use during the last 3 months; (4) no steroidal or non-steroidal anti-inflammatory medication use during the last 3 weeks; (5) no treatment with immunosuppressive chemotherapy; (6) no professional periodontal treatment received during the last six months; and (7) no pregnancy or lactation. Age-matched non-diabetic individuals, registered at the same hospitals, were enrolled into the control group. To confirm that participants in the control group

were not diabetic, fasting blood glucose levels were checked and those with values above 110 mg/dl were excluded.

The sample size, consisting of 176 (88 diabetic and 88 non-diabetic) participants, was calculated based on a previous study [7]. Patients were first asked to complete a short questionnaire pertaining to sociodemographic characteristics. They were then asked to complete the Oral Health Impact Profile (OHIP-14), which is a self-administered questionnaire that measures HRQoL using 14 items that assess seven domains: functional limitation, physical pain, psychological discomfort, physical disability, psychological disability, social disability, and handicap. Each domain is assessed by two questions that ask subjects how frequently they had experienced specific negative impacts during the preceding 12 months. Responses to the items are recorded by using a five-point Likert scale (0, never; 1, hardly ever; 2, occasionally; 3, fairly often; 4, very often). The overall score for the OHIP-14 is obtained by summing all responses, and ranges from 0 to 56 points [18].

The reliability, validity, responsiveness, and discriminant abilities of this instrument have been previously established in several clinical trials and observational studies [19, 20]. The Arabic version of this questionnaire was previously tested and validated in Saudi Arabia [21], Sudan [20], and Jordan [7].

Clinical Examination

Two calibrated dentists, who were blinded to the participants' diabetes status, conducted a full-mouth clinical periodontal examination at six sites per tooth (third molars excluded), using a manual periodontal probe with Williams markings and a tip diameter of 0.45 mm. The oral examinations were carried out on portable dental chairs at the University Hospital Sharjah, or conventional dental chairs at the University Dental Hospital Sharjah. The periodontal examination included assessments of the pocket depth (the distance from the free gingival margin to the base of the probeable pocket, recorded to the nearest mm) and gingival recession (location of the free gingival margin in relation to the cemento-enamel junction). The latter was determined to be positive if located apical to the cemento-enamel junction, and negative if located coronal to the cemento-enamel junction. The algebraic sum of the pocket depth and gingival recession was used to compute the CAL, The Decayed Missing Filled Teeth (DMFT) Index score was obtained by summing up the number of decayed, missing, and filled teeth.

Data Analysis

The Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) Version 26.0 (IBM Corp. 2019) was used for data processing and analysis. Participant characteristics were described using frequency distribution for categorical variables, and mean and standard deviation for continuous variables. The average clinical attachment levels were dichotomized as $CAL < 3$ mm and $CAL \geq 3$ mm, and DMFT scores were computed for each individual and then averaged across participants in the groups. OHIP-14 scores were compared between groups using the independent t-test. This analysis supports the hypothesis that type 2 diabetes presents as a "risk factor" for poorer OHRQoL within the UAE population. Linear regression analyses were

conducted to assess the association between the OHIP-14 subscales and potential explanatory variables (i.e., diabetes status, CAL, and DMFT scores).

Results

In this study, 88 T2DM patients were matched to 88 non-diabetic patients on age, marital status, and level of education. There were significantly ($p = 0.02$) more female diabetic patients. A significantly higher proportion of diabetic patients had a CAL ≥ 3 mm compared to non-diabetic patients (23% vs. 10%, respectively). No significant differences in mean DMFT scores (Table 1) or OHIP scores (Table 2) were observed between diabetics and non-diabetics.

Table 3 shows the linear regression model for the association between OHIP-14 domains and clinical variables (CAL, DMFT scores), and diabetes status. Irrespective of diabetes status, DMFT scores were significantly ($p < 0.05$) associated with physical disability ($B = 0.11$, 95% CI: 0.06, 0.16), physical pain ($B = 0.06$, 95% CI: 0.01, 0.11), psychological discomfort ($B = 0.07$, 95% CI: 0.02, 0.10), psychological disability ($B = 0.06$, 95% CI: 0.02, 0.10), as well as total OHIP scores ($B = 0.42$, 95% CI: 0.19, 0.64). CAL was significantly associated with handicap ($B = 0.84$, 95% CI: 0.17, 1.51) (Table 3).

In non-diabetics, DMFT scores were significantly ($p < 0.05$) associated with scores in five OHIP domains, including functional limitation ($B = 0.08$, 95% CI: 0.03, 0.12), physical disability ($B = 0.09$, 95% CI: 0.03, 0.15), physical pain ($B = 0.13$, 95% CI: 0.08, 0.19), psychological discomfort ($B = 0.07$, 95% CI: 0.02, 0.13), and psychological disability ($B = 0.08$, 95% CI: 0.02, 0.13), as well as total OHIP scores ($B = 0.45$, 95% CI: 0.19, 0.74) ($p < 0.05$) (Table 4).

On the other hand, among diabetic patients, CAL was significantly ($p < 0.05$) associated with the social disability ($B = 0.98$, 95% CI: 0.11, 1.88) and handicap ($B = 1.2$, 95% CI: 0.36, 2.01) domains ($p < 0.05$) (Table 5).

Table 1
 Characteristics of study participants

Variable		Non diabetic N = 88	Diabetic N = 88	P-Value
Age, mean (SD)		43.0 (1.5)	43.1 (1.5)*	0.98
Duration of diabetes in years		NA	7.3 (6.4)	NA
Sex	Males (%)	48 (55)	32 (36)**	0.02
	Females (%)	40 (45)	56 (64)	
Marital status	Single (%)	25 (28)	25 (28)**	1.0
	Married (%)	63 (72)	63 (72)	
Level of education	School (%)	32 (36)	32 (37)**	0.96
	University (%)	56 (64)	55 (63)	
Smoking	No (%)	65 (75)	63 (72)**	0.61
	Yes (%)	22 (25)	25 (28)	
Clinical attachment loss	CAL < 3 mm	80 (90)	68 (77)**	0.01
	CAL ≥ 3 mm	8 (10)	20 (23)	
Decayed (D) Missing (M) Filled (F) Teeth	D (SD)	4.5 (4.9)	3.8 (4.3)*	0.37
	M (SD)	3.4 (4.6)	3.3 (4.6)*	0.97
	F (SD)	5.0 (5.2)	5.1 (4.9)*	0.92
Numbers do not add up to the full sample size due to missing individual data				
*Based on independent t-test				
**Based on Chi-square test				

Table 2
Association between OHIP-14 domains and diabetes status

Domain	Non-diabetic Mean (SD)	Diabetic Mean (SD)	P-value*
Functional Limitations (trouble pronouncing words/worsened taste)	1.2 (1.6)	1.5 (1.4)	0.2
Physical Disability (interrupted meals/poor diet)	2.6 (1.9)	2.7 (1.8)	0.6
Physical Pain (oral pain/discomfort while eating)	2.4 (2.0)	2.5 (2.1)	0.6
Psychological Discomfort (feeling tense/self- conscious)	2.0 (1.9)	2.2 (1.9)	0.72
Psychological Disability (difficulty relaxing/embarrassment)	1.7 (1.7)	1.9 (1.7)	0.38
Social Disability (irritability/difficulty doing usual jobs)	1.2 (1.4)	1.5 (1.6)	0.17
Handicap (life less satisfying/inability to function)	1.4 (1.5)	1.3 (1.6)	0.63
Total OHIP	12.4 (9.5)	13.7 (9.7)	0.37
*Based on independent t-test; OHIP (Oral Health Impact Profile)			

Table 3

Association of clinical variables and diabetes status with oral health-related quality of life

Domain	Variable	Parameter Estimate*	95% Confidence Interval
Functional Limitations	Diabetics/non-diabetics	0.42	0.02, 0.86
	CAL \geq 3/CAL < 3	0.07	-0.54, 0.67
	DMFT	0.07	-0.02, 0.11
Physical Disability	Diabetics/non-diabetics	0.34	-0.25, 0.93
	CAL \geq 3/CAL < 3	-0.25	-1.1, 0.56
	DMFT	0.11	0.06, 0.16
Physical Pain	Diabetics/non-diabetics	0.12	-0.48, 0.72
	CAL \geq 3/CAL < 3	0.66	-0.17, 1.49
	DMFT	0.06	0.01, 0.11
Psychological Discomfort	Diabetics/non-diabetics	0.12	-0.44, 0.67
	CAL \geq 3/CAL < 3	0.53	-1.2, 0.04
	DMFT	0.07	0.02, 0.10
Psychological Disability	Diabetics/non-diabetics	0.31	-0.2, 0.84
	CAL \geq 3/CAL < 3	0.03	-0.68, 0.74
	DMFT	0.06	0.02, 0.10
Social Disability	Diabetics/non-diabetics	0.25	-0.20, 0.70
	CAL \geq 3/CAL < 3	0.58	-0.05, 1.21
	DMFT	0.01	-0.02, 0.05
Handicap	Diabetics/non-diabetics	0.03	-0.44, 0.51
	CAL \geq 3/CAL < 3	0.84	0.17, 1.51
	DMFT	0.02	-0.02, 0.06

*Linear regression adjusted for gender and marital status

Domain	Variable	Parameter Estimate*	95% Confidence Interval
Total OHIP	Diabetics/non-diabetics	1.44	-1.36, 4.26
	CAL \geq 3/CAL < 3	2.18	-1.70, 6.05
	DMFT	0.42	0.19, 0.64
*Linear regression adjusted for gender and marital status			

Table 4

Association of clinical variables with oral health-related quality of life among non-diabetics

Domain	Variable	Parameter Estimate*	95% Confidence Interval
Functional Limitations	CAL \geq 3/CAL < 3	-0.73	-1.80, 0.35
	DMFT	0.08	0.03, 0.12
Physical Disability	CAL \geq 3/CAL < 3	-0.21	-1.57, 1.16
	DMFT	0.09	0.03, 0.15
Physical Pain	CAL \geq 3/CAL < 3	-1.11	-2.4, 0.19
	DMFT	0.13	0.08, 0.19
Psychological Discomfort	CAL \geq 3/CAL < 3	-0.23	-1.55, 1.09
	DMFT	0.07	0.02, 0.13
Psychological Disability	CAL \geq 3/CAL < 3	-0.58	-1.78, 0.63
	DMFT	0.08	0.02, 0.13
Social Disability	CAL \geq 3/CAL < 3	-0.17	-1.18, 0.83
	DMFT	0.02	-0.02, 0.06
Handicap	CAL \geq 3/CAL < 3	-0.04	-1.24, 1.17
	DMFT	-0.01	-0.05, 0.05
Total OHIP	CAL \geq 3/CAL < 3	-3.1	-9.28, 3.2
	DMFT	0.45	0.19, 0.74
Linear regression adjusted for gender and marital status			

Table 5

Association of clinical variables with oral health-related quality of life among diabetics

Domain	Variable	Parameter Estimate*	95% Confidence Interval
Functional Limitations	CAL \geq 3/CAL < 3	0.47	-0.32, 1.26
	DMFT	0.05	-0.02, 0.1
Physical Disability	CAL \geq 3/CAL < 3	1.08	-0.03, 2.11
	DMFT	0.02	-0.05, 0.10
Physical Pain	CAL \geq 3/CAL < 3	0.18	-0.004, 0.15
	DMFT	0.07	-0.88, 1.25
Psychological Discomfort	CAL \geq 3/CAL < 3	0.99	-0.01, 2.0
	DMFT	0.02	-0.05, 0.10
Psychological Disability	CAL \geq 3/CAL < 3	0.43	-0.50, 1.36
	DMFT	0.03	-0.04, 0.10
Social Disability	CAL \geq 3 /CAL < 3	0.98	0.11, 1.88
	DMFT	-0.01	-0.41, 1.09
Handicap	CAL \geq 3/CAL < 3	1.2	0.36, 2.01
	DMFT	-0.1	0.01, 0.11
Total OHIP	CAL \geq 3/CAL < 3	4.86	-0.27, 9.9
	DMFT	0.23	-0.14, 0.61

*Linear regression adjusted for gender and marital status

Discussion

Studies have shown that periodontal disease is more prevalent and severe among diabetic patients, compared to healthy individuals [14, 22, 23]. This has been attributed to an increased inflammatory response in periodontal tissues, due to abnormal host responses in diabetic patients [14]. The entrance of periodontal microorganisms and their virulence factors into the circulation may have a role in the elevation of acute phase and oxidative stress biomarkers. Interactions between advanced glycation end products and their receptors, as well as oxidative-stress-mediated pathways, provide possible mechanistic links between diabetes and periodontitis [24]. Indeed, studies have reported associations between poorly controlled diabetes and the continued progression of attachment loss [25].

Periodontitis staging considers the severity of interdental CAL as slight (1–2 mm), moderate (3–4 mm), or severe (5 mm or more). Accordingly, in this study, CAL was dichotomized as < 3 mm (slight attachment loss) and \geq 3 mm (moderate or severe attachment loss). In agreement with previous studies [22, 23], there was significantly more CAL in diabetics (23%) than non-diabetics (10%) in the current study, which provides further evidence that diabetes can increase the occurrence of periodontitis.

The extent of diabetes is considered as a key factor when determining the susceptibility to periodontitis and other systemic complications [26]. Although the mean age (43 years) was similar in both diabetic and non-diabetic patients in the current study, diabetic patients had a longer duration of periodontal disease (> 7 years), which might explain the higher prevalence of more severe periodontal disease compared to patients without diabetes.

The association between dental caries and diabetes mellitus is controversial [10, 27]. It has been previously postulated that diabetes is associated with impaired salivary gland function, as well as increased blood and salivary glucose concentrations which favor oral bacterial growth, thus leading to an increased risk of developing dental caries [27–29]. Despite this possible biological explanation, the duration of diabetes may also be a contributing factor. Our study sample was relatively young, with an average duration of diabetes less than that reported by other studies [30]. This may explain the lack of significant differences in DMFT scores observed between the diabetic and non-diabetic groups.

Likewise, OHIP-14 scores were not significantly associated with diabetes status. This finding was similar to studies by Irani et al., [7] and Allen et al., [31] who found that T2DM did not impact OHRQoL. Nevertheless, it was found that chronic periodontitis and gingivitis were associated with poorer OHRQoL in non-diabetic patients, supporting the results of a previous study [8]. One possible explanation for the lack of association between diabetes and OHRQoL, was that diabetic patients may have been more concerned about other health problems related to their diabetes. Therefore, they may not have prioritized oral health as having a significant impact on their well-being [8]. Furthermore, cultural differences in the interpretation of the impact of a disease on OHRQoL must also be considered [32]. Moreover, in this study, T2DM patients did not experience more decay than the non-diabetic control group, which may also explain the lack of association between diabetes status and OHRQL. This is substantiated by the findings of the linear regression models in which DMFT scores were significantly associated with four out of seven OHIP-14 domains (physical disability, physical pain, psychological discomfort, and psychological disability), irrespective of diabetic status. The same four domains, in addition to the functional limitation domain, were associated with DMFT scores in non-diabetic patients. The observation that dental caries has a large impact on OHRQoL has also been shown in other studies [20]. Similarly to periodontal disease, the lack of a significant association between DMFT scores and OHRQoL among diabetic patients may have been due to the fact that the overall burden of diabetes reduced the impact of oral health issues on quality of life.

There is evidence supporting the hypothesis that stress may have a role in the progression of periodontitis in susceptible patients, by modifying the host immune response [33]. Indeed, stress and

depression are recognized as common psychosocial problems in diabetic patients that can modify the onset and progression of periodontitis [34]. This may explain our observation that CAL was significantly associated with both the social disability and handicap domains in diabetic patients, while only the handicap domain reached statistical significance among non-diabetics.

Conclusions

Participants who had decayed teeth reported substantial physical and psychological impacts on OHRQoL, irrespective of their diabetic status. Diabetic patients may be more likely to focus on other health issues compared to their oral health, which could explain the relative lack of impact of tooth decay on their OHRQL. CAL may have a more severe impact on social disability among diabetics, while impacts on the handicap domain may be equally relevant in both diabetics and non-diabetics. There is a need for the development of preventive health care interventions that target improvements in quality of life, and such measures should be tailored to the treatment needs of the specific medically compromised patient population in question.

Declarations

- **Ethics approval and consent to participate**

This research was approved by the University of Sharjah Research Ethics Committee (Approval No: ERC/23/11/15/45) and written consent was obtained from the participants at the beginning of the study.

- **Consent for publication**

Not applicable.

- **Availability of data and materials**

The datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current study are not publicly available because it is not based on populations but a sample of patients, but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

- **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

- **Funding**

This research was funded by the Al-Jalila Foundation (grant number AJF 201542).

- **Authors' contributions**

MA, NK, BR, MG, and SA designed the study. MA obtained funding. MA, NK, BR, MG, and SA helped to analyze and interpret the data. NK was a major contributor to the write-up of the manuscript. MA, BR, MG, and SA contributed to the writing and reviewing of the submitted manuscript.

- **Acknowledgements**

Not applicable.

Abbreviations

Clinical attachment loss (CAL), Oral Health Impact Profile short form (OHIP-14), health-related quality of life (HRQoL), type 2 diabetes (T2DM)

References

1. Quality of life and clinical trials. *Lancet*. 1995;346:1-2.
2. American Diabetes Association. Diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Care*. 2013;36 Suppl 1:S67-74.
3. Cho NH, Shaw JE, Karuranga S, Huang Y, da Rocha Fernandes JD, Ohlrogge AW, et al. IDF Diabetes Atlas: Global estimates of diabetes prevalence for 2017 and projections for 2045. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract*. 2018;138:271-81.
4. Malik M, Bakir A, Saab BA, Roglic G, King H. Glucose intolerance and associated factors in the multi-ethnic population of the United Arab Emirates: Results of a national survey. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract*. 2005;69:188-95.
5. Nikbin A, Bayani M, Jenabian N, Khafri S, Motalebnejad M. Oral health-related quality of life in diabetic patients: Comparison of the Persian version of Geriatric Oral Health Assessment Index and Oral Health Impact Profile: A descriptive-analytic study. *J Diabetes and Metab Disord*. 2014;13:32
6. Sadeghi R, Taleghani F, Farhadi S. Oral health related quality of life in diabetic patients. *J Dent Res Dent Clin Dent Prospects*. 2014;8:230-4.
7. Al Habashneh R, Khader YS, Salameh S. Use of the Arabic version of Oral Health Impact Profile-14 to evaluate the impact of periodontal disease on oral health-related quality of life among Jordanian adults. *J Oral Sci*. 2012;54:113-20.
8. Irani FC, Wassall RR, Preshaw PM. Impact of periodontal status on oral health-related quality of life in patients with and without type 2 diabetes. *J Dent*. 2015;43:506-11.
9. Manfredi M, McCullough M, Vescovi P, Al-Kaarawi Z, Porter S. Update on diabetes mellitus and related oral diseases. *Oral Dis*. 2004;10:187-200.
10. Collin HL, Uusitupa M, Niskanen L, Koivisto AM, Markkanen H, Meurman JH. Caries in patients with non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod*. 1998;85:680-5.

11. Tervonen T, Oliver RC. Long-term control of diabetes mellitus and periodontitis. *J Clin Periodontol.* 1993;20:431-5.
12. Safkan-Seppälä B, Ainamo J. Periodontal conditions in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *J Clin Periodontol.* 1992;19:24-9.
13. Taylor GW, Burt BA, Becker MP, Genco RJ, Shlossman M, Knowler WC, et al. Severe periodontitis and risk for poor glycemic control in patients with non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *J Periodontol.* 1996;67:1085-93.
14. Ryan ME, Carnu O, Kamer A. The influence of diabetes on the periodontal tissues. *J Am Dent Assoc.* 2003;134:34S-40S.
15. Grossi SG, Skrepcinski FB, DeCaro T, Zambon JJ, Cummins D, Genco RJ. Response to periodontal therapy in diabetics and smokers. *J Periodontol.* 1996;67:1094-102.
16. Simpson TC, Weldon JC, Worthington HV, Needleman I, Wild SH, Moles DR, et al. Treatment of periodontal disease for glycaemic control in people with diabetes mellitus. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2015; doi:10.1002/14651858.CD004714.pub3.
17. Garton BJ, Ford PJ. Root caries and diabetes: Risk assessing to improve oral and systemic health outcomes. *Aust Dent J.* 2012;57:114-22.
18. Slade GD. Derivation and validation of a short-form oral health impact profile. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol.* 1997;25:284-90.
19. McKenna G, Allen PF, O'Mahony D, Cronin M, Damata C, Woods N. The impact of rehabilitation using removable partial dentures and functionally orientated treatment on oral health-related quality of life: A randomised controlled clinical trial. *J Dent.* 2015;43:66-71.
20. Khalifa N, F Allen P, H Abu-bakr N, E Abdel-Rahman M. Psychometric properties and performance of the Oral Health Impact Profile (OHIP-14s-ar) among Sudanese adults. *J Oral Sci.* 2013;55:123-32.
21. Al-Jundi MA, Szentpétery A, John MT. An Arabic version of the Oral Health Impact Profile: Translation and psychometric properties. *Int Dent J.* 2007;57:84-92.
22. Papapanou PN. Periodontal diseases: Epidemiology. *Ann Periodontol.* 1996;1:1-36.
23. Demmer RT, Desvarieux M, Jacobs DR. Periodontal disease and incident type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care.* 2008;31:1373-9.
24. Chapple ILC, Genco R. Diabetes and periodontal diseases: Consensus report of the Joint EFP/AAP Workshop on Periodontitis and Systemic Diseases. *J Clin Periodontol.* 2013;40:S106-12.
25. Demmer RT, Kerner W, Holtfreter B, Nauck M, Desvarieux M, Völzke H, et al. The influence of type 1 and type 2 diabetes on periodontal disease progression: Prospective results from the Study of Health in Pomerania (SHIP). *Diabetes Care.* 2012;35:2036-42.
26. Botero JE, Yepes FL, Roldán N, Castrillón CA, Hincapie JP, Ochoa SP, et al. Tooth and periodontal clinical attachment loss are associated with hyperglycemia in patients with diabetes. *J Periodontol.* 2012;83:1245-50.

27. Latti B, Kalburge J, Birajdar S, Latti R. Evaluation of relationship between dental caries, diabetes mellitus and oral microbiota in diabetics. *J Oral Maxillofac Pathol.* 2018;22:282.
28. Selwitz RH, Ismail AI, Pitts NB. Dental caries. *Lancet.* 2007;369:51-9.
29. Majbauddin A, Tanimura C, Aoto H, Otani S, Parrenas MCE, Kobayashi N, et al. Association between dental caries indicators and serum glycated hemoglobin-levels among patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *J Oral Sci.* 2019;61:335-42.
30. Moore PA, Weyant RJ, Mongelluzzo MB, Myers DE, Rossie K, Guggenheimer J, et al. Type 1 diabetes mellitus and oral health: Assessment of periodontal disease. *J Periodontol.* 1999;70:409-17.
31. Allen EM, Ziada HM, O'Halloran D, Clerehugh V, Allen PF. Attitudes, awareness and oral health-related quality of life in patients with diabetes. *J Oral Rehabil.* 2008;35:218–23.
32. Allison P, Locker D, Jokovic A, Slade G. A cross-cultural study of oral health values. *J Dent Res.* 1999;78:643-9.
33. Doyle CJ, Bartold PM. How does stress influence periodontitis? *J Int Acad Periodontol.* 2012;14:42-9.
34. Novak M, Björck L, Giang KW, Heden-Ståhl C, Wilhelmsen L, Rosengren A. Perceived stress and incidence of Type 2 diabetes: a 35-year follow-up study of middle-aged Swedish men. *Diabet Med.* 2013;30:e8-16.