Detection of Cucumber green mottle mosaic virus in low-concentration virus-infected seeds by improved one-step pre-amplification RT-qPCR

Xinying yin (✉ 2810739130@qq.com)

DLNU: Dalian Minzu University <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9906-6979>

Xin Li

Dalian customs district of P.R.China

Lili Yang

Dalian Minzu University: Dalian Nationalities University

Qiuyue Zheng

Dalian Minzu University: Dalian Nationalities University

Piao Yongzhe

Dalian Minzu University: Dalian Nationalities University

Cao Jijuan

Dalian Minzu University: Dalian Nationalities University <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7365-9557>

Research Article

Keywords: Low concentration virus seed, Cucumber green mottle mosaic virus (CGMMV), One-step pre-amplification RT-qPCR, Sensitivity, Detection

Posted Date: March 3rd, 2022

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1379971/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background

Seeds are an important medium for long-distance transmission of plant viruses; therefore, appropriate, sensitive methods for detecting low concentrations of virus in seeds are crucial to ensure the quality of seed lots. In the present study, we developed a one-step pre-amplification reverse transcription quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) assay based on the TaqMan technology to detect *Cucumber green mottle mosaic virus* (CGMMV) in zucchini seeds.

Result

Seed powder samples with simulated virus infection at a low concentration were prepared (the mass ratio 1:900 and 1:1000), and their uniformity was verified using one-step pre-amplification RT-qPCR. We used one-step pre-amplification RT-qPCR to detect CGMMV in low-concentration virus-infected seeds and compared this method with double antibody sandwich–enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay and universal RT-qPCR, which are the main methods used for virus detection in seeds. The minimum limit of detection (LOD) of the improved one-step pre-amplification RT-qPCR method for simulated CGMMV-infected seeds in a large sample of seeds is 0.1%.

Conclusions

It can reliably and stably detect a single virus-infected seed in 1000 seeds and demonstrates a higher detection sensitivity than universal RT-qPCR and double antibody sandwich–enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. Our improved one-step pre-amplification RT-qPCR assay has proved to be very suitable for the analysis of large seed lots.

Background

Seeds are important vehicles for long-distance transmission of plant viruses and can be used as a bridge for viruses to survive between growing seasons^[1–3]. Therefore, adequate analytical methods are crucial for ensuring that seed lots are free of viruses^[4]. The double antibody sandwich–enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (DAS–ELISA) has long been the main method for detecting viruses in seeds and is recognized by the International Seed Health Initiative and the International Seed Testing Association (ISTA)^[5]. DAS–ELISA can be used to analyze a large number of samples, but it has poor detection sensitivity. In addition, determination of biological characteristics or germination test are also commonly used in seed analysis^[6]; however, these methods are labor intensive and need specialized facilities^[7]. Therefore, it is necessary to continuously develop and improve virus detection methods in seeds, especially highly sensitive detection methods, as the concentration of viruses in seeds is usually lower than that in other plant tissues^[8–9].

Compared with other molecular techniques, reverse transcription quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) based on the TaqMan technology has higher sensitivity and specificity^[10]. It has been widely used in the detection of plant viruses such as *Squash leaf curl virus*^[11], *Papaya ringspot virus*^[12], *Papaya leaf distortion mosaic virus*^[12], *Cucurbit chlorotic yellows virus*^[13], *Cucurbit yellow stunting disorder virus*^[13], and *Cucumber green mottle mosaic virus*^[4, 14]. In 2021, China issued phytosanitary requirements for imported tomato and capsicum seeds^[15]. *Tomato brown rugose fruit virus* was detected by reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR) or RT-qPCR for tomato and capsicum seeds exported to China to ensure that the absence of *Tomato brown rugose fruit virus*. Thus, PCR methods are being gradually incorporated as virus detection methods into the seed authentication protocol^[4].

Melons are one of the most important horticultural crops in the world. International trade has increased the exchange of seeds and plant materials around the world and greatly promoted the spread of viruses on the global scale^[16]. CGMMV, a highly destructive virus for melon crops, is one of the key seed-borne viruses in the ISTA certification protocol^[17]. The main transmission routes of CGMMV are pollen and seed transmission^[18] and mechanical transmission^[19]; CGMMV infection seriously threatens the production of watermelon (*Citrullus lanatus*), melon (*Cucumis melo*), cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* Linn.), zucchini (*Cucurbita pepo* L.), and other crops. As a result, the yield of melons has decreased significantly^[20–21], which has caused significant economic losses in some countries and regions^[22–24].

The present study focused on the detection of CGMMV in plant seeds. The RT-qPCR reaction protocol was modified, and one-step pre-amplification reaction steps were established to accelerate nucleic acid cleavage and template enrichment in the samples to realize a high sensitivity of detection of the virus at low concentration in seeds. Simulated virus-contaminated seed powder samples were used to evaluate the sensitivity of detection of the improved one-step pre-amplification RT-qPCR method in comparison with the universal RT-qPCR method^[14, 26] and DAS–ELISA^[26, 27].

Results

Homology analysis of target sequences

To design primers and probes (Table 1) for detection, highly conserved genomic regions were selected. An alignment of the genome sequences of CGMMV isolate DY13 and 21 other CGMMV isolates showed that a region within the gene encoding the 129K protein was completely conserved in all isolates. The homology results are shown in Fig. 1. DY13 showed 97.0–99.9% homology with 21 other CGMMV isolates, with the highest and lowest homology with the C284R (99.9%) and lowest pXT1 (97.0%) strains, respectively. The genomic target sequence was used to design primer probes for the RT-qPCR detection of CGMMV.

Table 1
Primers and probes used for the detection of CGMMV in seeds

Primer	Sequence (5'-3')	Position	Size of the PCR product (bp)	Reference
Fw1	ATCCGGAGTTTTCGATTA	257–274	96	This work
Rev1	GCATCATCATATATTCCAATTC	331–352		
Probe1	FAM-TTACCGCCACCAAGAACTCTGT-BHQ1	278–299		
Fw2	GCATAGTGCTTTCCCGTTCAC	6284–6304	101	[14, 26]
Rev2	TGCAGAATTACTGCCCATAGAAAC	6361–6384		
Probe2	FAM-CGGTTTGCTCATTGGTTTGCGGA-BHQ1	6315–6337		
Abbreviations: CGMMV, <i>Cucumber green mottle mosaic virus</i>				

Specificity of the reverse transcription quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) method

In this study, the detection specificity of the improved one-step pre-amplification RT-qPCR method was evaluated. The improved one-step pre-amplification RT-qPCR assay (Fig. 2A) and the universal RT-qPCR assay established by Chen et al.^[14, 26] (Fig. 2B) were used for specific detection and analysis, respectively. The specificity of both the improved one-step pre-amplification RT-qPCR assay and universal RT-qPCR assay were analyzed using total RNA from a single seed infected by CGMMV, simulated virus-contaminated seed powder base material (the percentage of seeds infected with CGMMV in the total weight of zucchini seeds was 1%), and CGMMV positive control samples. Typical positive fluorescence amplification signals were detected in samples of single seeds infected with CGMMV, simulated virus-contaminated seed powder material, and CGMMV positive control samples, whereas no fluorescence amplification signals were detected in CMV, ZYMV, and MYSV control samples; healthy seed powder; and blank control (Fig. 2A, 2B).

Further analysis of the results in Fig. 2A and Fig. 2B shows that the Ct values of the positive fluorescence signals detected by the improved one-step pre-amplification RT-qPCR (Fig. 2A) were lower than those of the universal RT-qPCR (Fig. 2B).

In addition, the Ct value comparison between CGMMV-infected single zucchini seed powder sample and simulated virus-contaminated seed powder base material showed that the Ct value was higher for the latter.

Sensitivity of RT-qPCR to detect simulated virus-contaminated seed powder

In this study, 10 groups of simulated virus-contaminated seed powder samples with different mass ratios were used as test materials, and three methods were used (Table 2). With the improved one-step pre-

amplification RT-qPCR (Method A), the samples with the mass ratio 1:1000 produced a fluorescence amplification curve (Fig. 3), that is, a single infected seed in 1000 seeds can be detected with this method. The linear standard curve equation was $y = 51.501 - 20.277 \lg X$, with $R^2 = 0.999$, and the LOD reached 0.1%. Based on the universal RT-qPCR (Method B), samples with a mass ratio of 1:900 could be detected as positive (Fig. 4), that is, a single infected seed in 900 seeds can be detected with universal RT-qPCR. The linear standard curve equation was $y = 50.347 - 11.681 \lg X$, with $R^2 = 0.928$, and the LOD reached 0.111%. However, the lowest mass ratio that was detected as positive by DAS-ELISA (Method C) was 1:500.

Table 2
CGMMV detection by three methods in simulated virus-contaminated seed powder samples with different mass ratios

Infected: Healthy Seed Mass Ratio	Mass percentage (%) ^b	Pre-amplification RT-qPCR (Method A) Ct Value $\pm SD$ ^c	General RT-qPCR (Method B) Ct Value $\pm SD$	DAS-ELISA (Method C)
1:100	1	14.13 $\pm$ 0.04	27.58 $\pm$ 0.08	+ ^d
1:200	0.5	17.05 $\pm$ 0.00	29.45 $\pm$ 0.01	+
1:300	0.333	20.78 $\pm$ 0.02	32.78 $\pm$ 0.00	+
1:400	0.25	23.10 $\pm$ 0.04	34.02 $\pm$ 0.01	+
1:500	0.2	25.13 $\pm$ 0.01	35.41 $\pm$ 0.02	+
1:600	0.167	25.72 $\pm$ 0.01	36.01 $\pm$ 0.12	Undet.
1:700	0.143	26.50 $\pm$ 0.06	36.57 $\pm$ 0.06	Undet.
1:800	0.125	27.40 $\pm$ 0.01	37.37 $\pm$ 0.52	Undet.
1:900	0.111	28.35 $\pm$ 0.23	38.64 $\pm$ 0.11	Undet.
1:1000	0.1	29.18 $\pm$ 0.98	Undet.	Undet.
Healthy	0	Undet. ^e	Undet.	Undet.
Negative Control	0	Undet.	Undet.	Undet.
Standard curve R ²		Y = 51.501 – 20.277 lgX R ² = 0.999	Y = 50.347 – 11.681 lgX R ² = 0.928	N.T. ^f
LOD (mass percentage, %)		1:1000 (0.1%)	1:900 (0.111%)	1:500 (0.2%)
Abbreviations: CGMMV, <i>Cucumber green mottle mosaic virus</i> ; LOD, limit of detection				
^a The three methods: (A) one-step pre-amplification reverse transcription quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR); (B) general RT-qPCR; (C) double antibody sandwich–enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (DAS–ELISA)				
^b Mass percentage of infected seeds in total seeds, %				
^c Average Ct value of two replicates $\pm SD$.				
^d +, positive				
^e Undet., no amplification detected.				
^f N.T., not tested				

Uniformity evaluation of simulated low-concentration virus-contaminated seed powder samples

The simulated virus-contaminated seed powder samples with the mass ratios of 1:900 and 1:1000 were tested using the improved one-step pre-amplification RT-qPCR assay, the Ct values were determined, and the sample uniformity within and between vials was analyzed by one-way ANOVA. The uniformity test data are summarized in Table 3 and Table 4, and the statistical analysis results of one-way ANOVA are listed in Table 5. At 95% confidence probability, the statistical F values of the two low-concentration virus-infected seed powder samples were 1.16 and 1.25, respectively, both of which were lower than $F_{0.05(19,20)}$; thus, the non-uniformity of the sample is acceptable compared with the influence of other factors on the test results (Table 5). The results of analysis of variance (ANOVA) showed that the two groups of low-concentration virus-infected seed powder samples had good uniformity.

Table 3
 Uniformity test in low-concentration virus-infected seed powder samples (infected: healthy seed mass ratio = 1:900)

Sample number	Subsample 1	Subsample 2	Average value	Intragroup bias 1	Intragroup bias 2	Intergroup bias	Intragroup range
	x_{1j}	x_{2j}	$\bar{x}_j$	$(x_{1j} - \bar{x}_j)^2$	$(x_{2j} - \bar{x}_j)^2$	$n_j(\bar{x}_j - \bar{x})^2$	$(x_{1j} - x_{2j})^2$
1	28.12	27.87	27.995	0.015625	0.015625	0.267912	0.062500
2	28.21	27.93	28.070	0.019600	0.019600	0.169362	0.078400
3	28.05	28.26	28.155	0.011025	0.011025	0.084872	0.044100
4	28.82	28.35	28.585	0.055225	0.055225	0.100352	0.220900
5	28.73	27.96	28.345	0.148225	0.148225	0.000512	0.592900
6	28.13	28.22	28.175	0.002025	0.002025	0.069192	0.008100
7	28.24	28.36	28.300	0.003600	0.003600	0.007442	0.014400
8	28.37	28.43	28.400	0.000900	0.000900	0.003042	0.003600
9	28.23	28.79	28.510	0.078400	0.078400	0.044402	0.313600
10	28.12	28.35	28.235	0.013225	0.013225	0.031752	0.052900
11	28.27	28.45	28.360	0.008100	0.008100	0.000002	0.032400
12	28.36	28.12	28.240	0.014400	0.014400	0.029282	0.057600
13	28.71	28.35	28.530	0.032400	0.032400	0.057122	0.129600
14	28.16	28.32	28.240	0.006400	0.006400	0.029282	0.025600
15	28.15	28.61	28.380	0.052900	0.052900	0.000722	0.211600
16	28.42	28.62	28.520	0.010000	0.010000	0.050562	0.040000
17	28.69	28.19	28.440	0.062500	0.062500	0.012482	0.250000
18	28.27	28.71	28.490	0.048400	0.048400	0.033282	0.193600
19	28.81	28.69	28.750	0.003600	0.003600	0.302642	0.014400
20	28.64	28.36	28.500	0.019600	0.019600	0.038642	0.078400

Table 4

Uniformity test in low-concentration virus-infected seed powder samples (infected: healthy seed mass ratio = 1:1000)

Sample number	Subsample 1	Subsample 2	Average value	Intra group bias 1	Intra group bias 2	Intergroup bias	Intra group range
	x_{1j}	x_{2j}	$\bar{x}_j$	$(x_{1j} - \bar{x}_j)^2$	$(x_{2j} - \bar{x}_j)^2$	$n_j(\bar{x}_j - \bar{x})^2$	$(x_{1j} - x_{2j})^2$

1	29.34	29.17	29.255	0.007225	0.007225	0.040755	0.028900
2	28.32	28.97	28.645	0.105625	0.105625	0.436645	0.422500
3	28.98	29.23	29.105	0.015625	0.015625	0.000105	0.062500
4	29.26	28.83	29.045	0.046225	0.046225	0.009045	0.184900
5	29.31	28.85	29.080	0.052900	0.052900	0.002080	0.211600
6	28.89	29.13	29.010	0.014400	0.014400	0.020910	0.057600
7	29.45	29.61	29.530	0.006400	0.006400	0.349030	0.025600
8	29.32	29.47	29.395	0.005625	0.005625	0.159895	0.022500
9	28.81	29.33	29.070	0.067600	0.067600	0.003570	0.270400
10	29.44	28.94	29.190	0.062500	0.062500	0.012090	0.250000
11	29.36	29.21	29.285	0.005625	0.005625	0.059685	0.022500
12	28.83	28.93	28.880	0.002500	0.002500	0.107880	0.010000
13	29.16	29.31	29.235	0.005625	0.005625	0.030135	0.022500
14	29.57	28.79	29.180	0.152100	0.152100	0.009180	0.608400
15	29.11	29.23	29.170	0.003600	0.003600	0.006670	0.014400
16	29.09	28.91	29.000	0.008100	0.008100	0.025200	0.032400
17	29.32	29.15	29.235	0.007225	0.007225	0.030135	0.028900
18	29.09	28.83	28.960	0.016900	0.016900	0.046360	0.067600
19	29.36	28.93	29.145	0.046225	0.046225	0.002145	0.184900
20	28.91	28.75	28.830	0.006400	0.006400	0.159330	0.025600

Table 5
Evaluation of uniformity in low-concentration virus-infected seed powder samples

Infected: Healthy Seed Mass Ratio	Group	Sum of Squares	Degrees of Freedom	Mean Square	<i>F</i> ratio	<i>F</i> Critical Value	Confidence Probability	Sample Standard Deviation
1: 900	Between groups	1.332860	19	0.070151	1.16	2.09	0.95	0.069
	Within group	1.212300	20	0.060615				
1: 1000	Between groups	1.510848	19	0.079518	1.25	2.09	0.95	0.089
	Within group	1.276850	20	0.063843				

Comparison of three methods for detection of low-concentration virus-infected seed powder samples

Uniformly prepared samples of low-concentration virus-infected seed powder (mass ratios of 1:900 and 1:1000) were tested using three methods, respectively (Table 6). The results suggest that the improved one-step pre-amplification RT-qPCR (Method A) detected viral RNA in 20 subsamples ($m = 20$) of low-concentration virus-infected seed powder with a mass ratio of 1:1000. However, universal RT-qPCR (Method B) could not detect viral RNA in low-concentration virus-infected seed powder with a mass ratio of 1:1000. DAS–ELISA (Method C) was not positive for the 20 subsamples in the two samples.

Table 6
CGMMV detection by three methods in low-concentration virus-infected seed powder

Infected: Healthy Seed Mass Ratio	Mass percentage (%) ^b	Subsamples ^c (m)	Percentage of positive results ^d (positive detection rate, % ^e)		
			Method A	Method B	Method C
1:900	0.111%	20	20(100%)	20(100%)	0(0%)
1:1000	0.1%	20	20(100%)	0(0%)	0(0%)
LOD (mass percentage, %)			0.1	0.111	N.T. ^f
Abbreviations: CGMMV, <i>Cucumber green mottle mosaic virus</i> ; LOD, limit of detection					
^a The three methods: (A) one-step pre-amplification reverse transcription quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR); (B) general RT-qPCR; (C) double antibody sandwich–enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (DAS–ELISA)					
^b Mass percentage of infected seeds in total seeds, %					
^c number of subsamples, $m = 20$					
^d Number of positive results among 20 subsamples					
^e Percentage of the number of positive results detected in the total number of subsamples, %					
^f N.T., not tested					

Actual sample testing

In this study, 33 samples of zucchini, cucumber, and pumpkin seeds (240 g each; equivalent to 2000–3000 seeds) were divided into 20 subsamples each ($m = 20$) and tested using the above-mentioned three methods (Table 7). Three batches of Zucchini seeds each out of 33 batches of seeds were detected as CGMMV-positive seeds by the improved pre-amplification RT-qPCR (Method A) and universal RT-qPCR methods and were confirmed as CGMMV positive by further gene sequencing^[26, 27]. The positive detection rate in 20 subsamples per sample of virus-infected seeds by the improved one-step pre-amplification RT-qPCR (Method A) was > 95%. The positive detection rate in 20 subsamples per sample by universal RT-qPCR (Method B) was 10–55%. However, only two samples of virus-infected seeds were detected as positive by DAS–ELISA (Method C), and one sample of low-concentration virus-infected seeds could not be detected as positive.

Table 7
Detection of CGMMV in 33 batches of seeds using three detection methods

Samples	Number ^b (batch)	subsamples ^c /batch (m)	Number of positive results ^d (Positive number/total number ^e)		
			Method A	Method B	Method C
Zucchini seeds	13	20	3(20/20;20/20;19/20)	3(20/20;11/20;2/20)	2(20/20;7/20)
Cucumber seeds	15	20	0	0	0
Pumpkin Seeds	5	20	0	0	0
Abbreviations: CGMMV, <i>Cucumber green mottle mosaic virus</i> ; LOD, limit of detection					
^a The three methods: (A) pre-amplification reverse transcription quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR); (B) general RT-qPCR; (C) double antibody sandwich–enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (DAS–ELISA)					
^b Number of seed batches					
^c Each batch of seeds is divided into 20 subsamples, $m = 20$					
^d Number of positive results from 20 subsamples					
^e Ratio of the number of positive results to the number of subsamples					

Discussion

Detecting pathogens from seeds containing low concentrations of virus is key to preventing and controlling the spread of plant viruses carried by seeds^[3]. ISTA requires that CGMMV in melon seeds (2000 seeds divided into 20 subsamples, with 100 seeds per subsample) be detected by DAS–ELISA^[32]. According to the phytosanitary requirements for imported tomato and capsicum seeds issued by China^[33], the seeds of tomato and capsicum exported to China should be tested for viruses using RT-PCR or RT-qPCR, and at least 3000 seeds for large quantities and at least 10% for small quantities of seeds should be used for the testing. Due to the different sizes of seeds, the weight of 2000 melon seeds and 3000 tomato and pepper seeds is approximately 240 g.

In this study, an improved one-step pre-amplification RT-qPCR method for virus detection was established, which can detect a single infected seed from 1000 seeds and has good sensitivity to detect low concentrations of virus in seeds. This method is more suitable for the detection of large quantities of seeds and seeds containing low concentrations of virus. The results suggest that using a pre-amplification stage in qPCR and not collecting fluorescence signals in the pre-amplification stage accelerated nucleic acid cleavage in samples and template enrichment, which is more conducive to the early observation of a positive fluorescence signal in the amplification stage of qPCR.

It is worth noting that the Ct value comparison between CGMMV-infected single zucchini seed powder sample and simulated virus-contaminated seed powder base material showed that the Ct value was higher for the latter. Therefore, this batch of seed substrates may be doped with healthy seeds or the CGMMV infection rate was low, and the virus-infected seeds were unevenly distributed in this group of seeds.

In addition, the specificity test results suggest high specificity of the designed probes in this study, which is consistent with the detection results established by Chen et al.^[14, 26].

Compared with immunological detection techniques such as DAS-ELISA, RT-qPCR demonstrates higher sensitivity and specificity in detecting pathogens in plants^[4, 34, 35]. Torre et al. used RT-qPCR and DAS-ELISA to detect CGMMV-infected cucumber seeds and showed that the detection sensitivity of RT-qPCR was 10 000 times higher than that of DAS-ELISA^[4]. In this study, the detection of seed samples with low concentrations of virus demonstrated that the improved one-step pre-amplification RT-qPCR (Method A), the samples with the mass ratio 1:1000 produced a fluorescence amplification curve, that is, the LOD reached 0.1%. Based on the universal RT-qPCR (Method B), samples with a mass ratio of 1:900 could be detected as positive, that is, the LOD reached 0.111%. However, the lowest mass ratio that was detected as positive by DAS-ELISA (Method C) was 1:500. These results show that the improved one-step pre-amplification RT-qPCR (Method A) has significantly higher detection sensitivity than universal RT-qPCR (Method B) and DAS-ELISA (Method C). The results suggest that the improved one-step pre-amplification RT-qPCR is more suitable for the detection of low-concentration virus-infected seeds, has the advantage of higher sensitivity, and is suitable for virus detection in large quantities of seeds. In the present study, three virus-infected seed samples from 33 seed samples were identified by improved one-step pre-amplification RT-qPCR. The positive detection rate of 20 subsamples of virus seeds was 95%, which suggests that the number of subsamples per seed sample can be reduced from 20 to 2–10, which can still ensure effective detection of seeds containing low concentrations of virus. The positive detection rate in 20 subsamples per sample by universal RT-qPCR (Method B) was 10–55%. If the number of subsamples is reduced ($m = 2–10$), there will be a risk that virus-infected seeds cannot be detected. The percentage of virus-infected seeds in seed lots is often low, which necessitates highly sensitive detection methods. The improved one-step pre-amplification RT-qPCR method has high sensitivity, which is of great significance in controlling the seed transmission of CGMMV to melon-producing areas.

Conclusions

In conclusion, the improved one-step pre-amplification RT-qPCR method designed and evaluated in this work have demonstrated their suitability as highly sensitive techniques for the evaluation of seed lots for CGMMV. Therefore, the implementation of these assays in cucurbit seed certification programmes could be seriously considered. This study provides a new idea for the detection of low concentration virus in seeds. Theoretically, this method can be applied to all low concentration virus detection to improve the detection sensitivity. It is of great significance for the detection of low concentration virus.

Methods

Plant Material

CGMMV-infected zucchini seeds and healthy zucchini seeds were provided by Dalian Boli Biotechnology Co., Ltd (Dalian, China). Positive control samples for CGMMV, MYSV, and CMV were provided by *Technology Center of Xiamen Customs District*, (Xiamen, China). Positive control samples (C2300) for ZYMV was purchased from Agdia (Elkhart, IN, USA). In each test, total RNA from healthy seeds and positive control samples for MYSV, CMV and ZYMV were included as negative controls, and a blank control (double-distilled water) was also used. In total, 33 batches of zucchini seeds, cucumber seeds, pumpkin seeds, and other samples were purchased separately at the seed market in Liaoning, China.

Primer and probe design

The whole genome sequence of the CGMMV isolate DY13 (Accession number: km873789.1) was retrieved from the National Center for Biotechnology and Information (NCBI) database and compared with the genome sequences of 21 other CGMMV isolates to identify highly conserved regions. Alignments were performed using the Clustal W method implemented in DNASTar MegAlign software. Primers and probes for the specific amplification of CGMMV were designed using Primer 5.0 software, and their specificity was evaluated with Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) available at the NCBI website. The probes were synthesized with the fluorophore 6-carboxyfluorescein (FAM) as a reporter linked to the 5' end and with a fluorescence quencher molecule BHQ1 located at the 3' end. The primers and probes were synthesized by TaKaRa Bio Inc. (Dalian, China).

Simulated virus-contaminated seed powder preparation

Two methods were used for preparing the seed material for RNA extraction: (a) for the evaluation of individual infected seeds, each seed was frozen in liquid nitrogen and crushed in a mortar; (b) for large infected seed batches (100 seeds or more), an electric grinder (34BL99, Waring Blender Dynamics Corp., New Hartford, USA) was used. To prevent cross-contamination, both the mortar and grinder were cleaned with 0.2 M NaOH and rinsed with water between uses.

To prepare the virus-infected and healthy seed powder material, 150 zucchini seeds (approximately 20 g) infected with CGMMV and 20 000 healthy zucchini seeds (approximately 2400 g), respectively, were finely ground, and the ground material was passed through a 50-mesh sieve. The infected and healthy seed powder material were mixed in different mass ratios (1:100, 1:200, 1:300, 1:400, 1:500, 1:600, 1:700, 1:800, 1:900 and 1:1000) to obtain 10 batches of simulated virus-contaminated seed powder samples, which were used for RT-qPCR sensitivity detection. The percentage of seeds infected with CGMMV in the total weight of zucchini seeds was 1%, 0.5%, 0.333%, 0.25%, 0.20%, 0.167%, 0.143%, 0.125%, 0.111% and 0.1%, respectively. For the simulated virus-contaminated seed powder samples with the mass ratios 1:900 and 1:1000, 240 g (equivalent to 2000 zucchini seeds) of seed powder was prepared and aliquoted into 200 vials (1.2 g per vial). For the remaining mass ratios, 60 g seed powder was prepared and aliquoted into 50 vials (1.2 g per vial).

RNA extraction

Total RNA extraction from large seed batches (150 mg of powdered seed material) was performed using the RNA Easy Fast Plant Tissue Kit (Code DP452, TianGen Biotech (Beijing) CO., LTD., China) following the manufacturer's instructions. Total RNA extraction from single seed powder sample (3 mg) was performed

using the TaKaRa MiniBEST Viral RNA/DNA Extraction Kit Ver. 5.0 (Code 9766, TaKaRa Bio Inc., Japan), following the manufacturer's instructions.

RT-qPCR

One-step PrimeScript™ III RT-qPCR Mix (Code RR600A, TaKaRa Bio Inc., Japan) was used to perform RT-qPCR. For each reaction, 12.5 µL 2× one-step PrimeScript™ III RT-qPCR mix, 0.5 µL primers (10 µM), 0.5 µL probe (10 µM), and 2 µL total RNA extract were used. The final reaction volume was 25 µL. The above concentrations are the final concentrations in the reaction system. The reactions were performed in a CFX96 Real-Time System (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA).

For universal RT-qPCR, the following thermocycling conditions^[14, 26] were used: A reverse transcription step of 5 min at 52 °C, followed by PCR with a 10 s denaturation cycle at 95 °C and 40 amplification cycles of 5 s at 95 °C and 30 s at 60 °C. Amplification was monitored in the FAM channel; the fluorescence amplification curve was observed, and the Ct value was recorded.

In this study, the universal RT-qPCR reaction procedure was modified, and the one-step pre-amplification reaction of qPCR was optimized. The optimized pre-amplification RT-qPCR thermocycling conditions were as follows: A reverse transcription step of 5 min at 52 °C, followed by PCR with a 10 s denaturation cycle at 95 °C, 15 amplification cycles of 5 s at 95 °C and 30 s at 60 °C during which fluorescence signals were not collected, and 40 amplification cycles of 5 s at 95 °C and 30 s at 60 °C during which fluorescence signals were collected. Amplification data were collected in the FAM channel; the fluorescence amplification curve was observed, and the Ct value was recorded.

DAS-ELISA

Commercial ELISA Reagent Set for CGMMV (SRA45702, Agdia, Elkhart, IN, USA) Kit was used in this study. The DAS-ELISA procedure^[26–27] was the following: the prepared sample supernatant is added to the 96 well enzyme-linked plate coated with CGMMV antibody for DAS-ELISA detection. Each sample is subjected to double parallel test ($n = 2$). Healthy seeds are used as negative control, seeds infected with CGMMV are used as positive control, and the sample extraction buffer is used as blank control. Measure absorbance value with the iMark microplate absorbance reader at 405 nm at 30 min, 1h and 2h by iMark enzyme-linked detector (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). If the absorbance value was 2 times higher than that obtained for the healthy control the sample was considered positive. If the absorbance value is about 2 times but less than 2 times that of the healthy control group, the sample is considered suspected. If the absorbance value was 2 times lower than that obtained for the healthy control the sample was considered negative.

RT-qPCR sensitivity and specificity

The powder of single zucchini seeds infected with CGMMV; base material of simulated virus-contaminated seed powder (the percentage of seeds infected with CGMMV in the total weight of zucchini seeds was 1%); and positive control samples for CGMMV, MYSV, CMV, and ZYMV were used as test materials for to analyze the specificity of detection of RT-qPCR. Each sample was tested in duplicate ($n = 2$). The healthy seed powder material was used as the negative control, and water was used as the non-template control.

Simulated virus-contaminated seed powder samples with 10 different mass ratios were used to determine the limit of detection (LOD). Three methods were used for detection: (A) the improved pre-amplification RT-qPCR, (B) universal RT-qPCR^[14, 26], (C) DAS–ELISA for CGMMV^[26–27] (SRA45702, Agdia, Elkhart, IN, USA). Each sample was tested in duplicate ($n = 2$).

Uniformity test and detection comparison of simulated virus-contaminated low concentration seed powder samples

After the minimum detection limit (LOD) was determined by sensitivity test, simulated virus-contaminated seed powder samples with the mass ratio equivalent to the minimum detection limit and one higher mass ratio (20 random vials per sample; $m = 20$) were used to test the uniformity of the improved pre-amplification RT-qPCR method. Genomic RNA was extracted from each sample using the RNA Easy Fast Plant Tissue Kit (Code DP452, TianGen Biotech (Beijing) CO.,LTD., China) according to manufacturers' instructions. Each sample was tested in duplicate ($n = 2$), and Ct values were recorded.

Three methods were used to detect and compare the low concentration CGMMV seed powder samples: (A) the improved pre amplified RT-qPCR, (B) universal RT-qPCR detection method^[14, 26]; (C) DAS–ELISA for CGMMV^[26, 27] (SRA45702, Agdia, Elkhart, IN, USA). Each batch of samples is randomly divided into 20 subsamples ($m = 20$), and each subsample was repeated twice ($n = 2$).

Statistical Analysis

One-way ANOVA (F -test) was used to statistically analyze the uniformity of samples^[28, 29]. The method of ISO13528:2005 was used to calculate the intra-unit (intra-vial) variance and inter-unit (inter-vial) variance^[30], and finally calculate the F values. The critical value ($F_{0.05,(m-1),m(n-1)}$) was obtained from the F distribution table^[31]. When $F \leq F_{0.05,(m-1),m(n-1)}$, there is no significant difference between the inter-unit variance and intra-unit variance, indicating that the sample is uniform; When $F > F_{0.05,(m-1),m(n-1)}$ there is a significant difference between the inter-unit variance and intra-unit variance, indicating that the sample is uneven^[31].

Actual sample testing

Zucchini seeds, cucumber seeds, and pumpkin seeds (33 samples) were purchased from the seed market in Liaoning, China. Each sample (240 g; equivalent to 2000 seeds) was crushed and fully mixed with a sterilized high-speed tissue crusher (34bl99, Waring Blender dynamics Corp., New Hartford, USA). Each sample was randomly divided into 20 subsamples ($m = 20$), each of which was tested in duplicate ($n = 2$). Total RNA (Code DP452, TianGen Biotech (Beijing) CO., LTD., China) was extracted from each subsample. Three methods were used for detection: (A) the improved one-step pre-amplification RT-qPCR, (B) universal RT-qPCR^[14, 26]; (C) DAS–ELISA for CGMMV^[26, 27] (SRA45702, Agdia, Elkhart, IN, USA). The positive seed batches were further sequenced, and the gene sequencing was entrusted to TaKaRa Bio Inc. (Dalian, China).

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article [and its supplementary information files].

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interests.

Funding

This work was supported by the High-Level Talent Innovation Project of China (2019CT09)

Authors' contributions

Yin Xinying: Methodology, validation, investigation, writing-original draft, writing-review and editing and the acquisition, analysis, and interpretation of data. Li Xin: Sample ELISA detection and actual sample detection. Yang Lili: Conceptualization and validation. Zheng Quye: Investigation and validation. Piao Yongzhe: Conceptualization and supervision. Cao Jijuan: Conceptualization, supervision, funding acquisition, project administration and substantively revised it. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Dalian Boli Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Dalian, China) for providing seed samples of zucchini infected with CGMMV. We would like to thank Dr. Chen Hongyun of Xiamen Customs Technical Center (Xiamen, China) for providing the CGMMV, MYSV, and CMV positive control samples. We also thank Dr. Li Xin for his contribution to the determination of samples with ELISA and its application in practical sample detection.

References

1. Johansen E, Edwards MC, Hampton RO. Seed transmission of viruses: current perspectives. *Annu Rev Phytopathol.* 1994;32:363–86.
2. Ali A, Kobayashi M. Seed transmission of Cucumber mosaic virus in pepper. *J Virol Methods.* 2010;163:234–7.
3. Dombrovsky A, Tran-Nguyen LTT, Jones RAC. Cucumber green mottle mosaic virus: rapidly increasing global distribution, etiology, epidemiology, and management. *Annu Rev Phytopathol.* 2017;55:231–56.

4. Torre C, Agüero J, Gómez-Aix C, Aranda MA. Comparison of DAS-ELISA and qRT-PCR for the detection of cucurbit viruses in seeds. *Ann Appl Biol*. 2019;176(2):158–69.
5. Sastry KS. Seed-borne plant virus diseases. India: Springer, India.; 2013. doi:10.1007/978-81-322-0813-6.
6. Sui X, Li R, Shamimuzzaman M, Wu Z, Ling KS. Understanding the Transmissibility of Cucumber Green Mottle Mosaic Virus in Watermelon Seeds and Seed Health Assays. *Plant Dis*. 2019;103(6):1126–31.
7. Liu HW, Luo LX, Li JQ, Liu PF, Chen XY, Hao JJ. Pollen and seed transmission of Cucumber green mottle mosaic virus in cucumber. *Plant Pathol*. 2014;63(1):72–7.
8. Ling KS, Wechter WP, Jordan R. Development of a one-step immunocapture real-time TaqMan RT-PCR assay for the broad spectrum detection of Pepino mosaic virus. *J Virol Methods*. 2007;144(1–2):65–72.
9. Zhang Y, Zhao W, Li M, Chen H, Zhu S, Fan Z. Real-time TaqMan RT-PCR for detection of maize chlorotic mottle virus in maize seeds. *J Virol Methods*. 2011;171(1):292–4.
10. Mumford R, Boonham N, Tomlinson J, Barker I. Advances in molecular phytodiagnostics - new solutions for old problems. *Eur J Plant Pathol*. 2006;116(1):1–19.
11. Kuan CP, Huang HC, Chang CC, Lu YL. TaqMan real-time PCR for detection and quantitation of squash leaf curl virus in cucurbits. *J Virol Methods*. 2012;179(2):367–72.
12. Huo P, Shen WT, Yan P, Tuo DC, Li XY, Zhou P. Simultaneous detection of papaya ringspot virus, papaya leaf distortion mosaic virus, and papaya mosaic virus by multiplex real-time reverse transcription PCR. *Acta Virol*. 2015;59(4):380–8.
13. Abrahamian PE, Seblani R, Sobh H, Abou-Jawdah Y. Detection and quantitation of two cucurbit criniviruses in mixed infection by real-time RT-PCR. *J Virol Methods*. 2013;193(2):320–6.
14. Chen HY, Zhao WJ, Gu QS, Chen Q, Lin SM, Zhu SF. Real time TaqMan RT-PCR assay for the detection of Cucumber green mottle mosaic virus. *J Virol Methods*. 2008;149(2):326–9.
15. Phytosanitary requirements for imported tomato and pepper. seeds. G/SPS/N/CHN/1223. In: Bulletin of the Committee on sanitary and phytosanitary measures, 21-5663. World Trade Organization. 16 Jul 2021.
16. Lecoq H, Katis N. Control of cucurbit viruses. *Adv Virus Res*. 2014;90:255–96.
17. Chap. 7: Validated seed health testing methods. 7–026: Detection of Squash mosaic virus, Cucumber green mottle mosaic virus and Melon necrotic spot virus in cucurbit seed. In: International rules for seed testing. International Seed Testing Association (ISTA). 2019.
18. Darzi E, Lachman O, Smith E, Koren A, Klein E, Pass N, Frenkel O, Dombrovsky A. Paths of cucumber green mottle mosaic virus disease spread and disinfectant based management. *Ann Appl Biol*. 2020;117(3):374–84.
19. Sui X, Li R, Shamimuzzaman M, Wu Z, Ling KS. Understanding the Transmissibility of Cucumber Green Mottle Mosaic Virus in Watermelon Seeds and Seed Health Assays. *Plant Dis*. 2019;103(6):1126–31.
20. Sun YY, Niu XW, Cui D, Fan M. High-throughput Sequencing Reveals vsRNAs Derived from Cucumber green mottle mosaic virus-infected Watermelon. *Hortic Plant J*. 2017;3(1):17–22.
21. Ali A, Natsuaki T, Okuda S. Identification and Molecular Characterization of Viruses Infecting Cucurbits in Pakistan. *J Phytopathol*. 2004;152(11–12):677–82.

22. Jiao YB, Jiang JY, Wu YH, Xia ZH. Rapid detection of Cucumber green mottle mosaic virus in watermelon through a recombinase polymerase amplification assay. *J Virol Methods*. 2019;270:146–9.
23. Zheng HY, Xiao CL, Han KL, Peng JJ, Lin L, Lu YW, Xie L, Wu XH, Xu P, Li GJ, Chen JP, Yan F. Development of an agroinoculation system for full-length and GFP-tagged cDNA clones of cucumber green mottle mosaic virus. *Arch Virol*. 2015;160(11):2867–72.
24. Dombrovsky A, Tran-Nguyen LTT, Jones RAC. Cucumber green mottle mosaic virus: Rapidly Increasing Global Distribution, Etiology, Epidemiology, and Management. *Annu Rev Phytopathol*. 2017;55:231–56.
25. Li JX, Liu SS, Gu QS. Transmission Efficiency of Cucumber green mottle mosaic virus via Seeds, Soil, Pruning and Irrigation Water. *J Phytopathol*. 2016;164(5):300–9.
26. Quarantine and identification method of Cucumber green mottle mosaic virus. In: National standard of the people's Republic of China GB/T28071-2011. Standardization Administration of the P.R.C.. 30 Dec 2011.
27. Quarantine. and identification method of melon seed borne virus. In: National standard of the People's Republic of China GB/T 36781 – 2018. Standardization Administration of the P.R.C.. 17 Sept 2018.
28. Zhu Y, Wang J, Wang C. Research on the preparation, uniformity and stability of mixed standard substance for rapid detection of goat milk composition. *Anim Sci J*. 2018;89(5):794–801.
29. Reference Materials -. Guidance for characterization and assessment for homogeneity and stability. ISO Guide 35:2017.
30. Statistical methods for. use in proficiency testing by interlaboratory comparisons. ISO 13528:2005.
31. Techniques of estimation. and tests relating to means and variances.In: Statistical interpretation of data- Part 5. ISO/DIS 16269–5.
32. Chap. 7: Validated seed health testing methods. 7–026: Detection of Squash mosaic virus, Cucumber green mottle mosaic virus and Melon necrotic spot virus in cucurbit seed. In: International rules for seed testing. International Seed Testing Association (ISTA). 2021.
33. Notice on preventing Tomato. Brown wrinkle virus from being introduced into tomato and pepper seeds. In: Announcement No. 91 of the General Administration. Customs.P.R.C.. 2021.
34. Cowell SJ, Harper SJ, Dawson WO. A real-time RT-qPCR assay for the detection of Citrus tatter leaf virus. *J Virol Methods*. 2017;244:29–31.
35. Bruisson S, Lebel S, Walter B, Prevotat L, Seddas S, Schellenbaum P. Comparative detection of a large population of grapevine viruses by TaqMan® RT-qPCR and ELISA. *J Virol Methods*. 2017;240:73–7.

Figures

Percent Identity																						
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
1		99.9	98.6	98.7	98.6	98.7	98.7	98.6	98.6	98.7	98.6	99.8	98.7	98.3	99.9	99.9	99.3	97.0	97.0	97.0	97.0	99.9
2	0.1		98.6	98.7	98.6	98.7	98.8	98.6	98.6	98.7	98.6	99.9	98.7	98.3	100.0	99.9	99.3	97.1	97.0	97.0	97.0	100.0
3	1.5	1.4		99.7	99.7	99.7	98.1	98.9	98.9	99.0	99.7	98.6	99.7	98.5	98.6	98.6	98.6	97.1	97.1	97.0	97.0	98.6

1_DY13.seq
C284R.seq
CG004.seq
CG006.seq

Figure 1

Comparative homology analysis of target gene sequences of 22 *Cucumber green mottle mosaic virus* (CGMMV) isolates using DNASTar software. As seen in the figure, the homology between 22 CGMMV isolates and DY13 isolate ranged from 97 % to 99.9 %.

Figure 2

Cucumber green mottle mosaic virus (CGMMV) was detected by improved one-step pre-amplification RT-qPCR (A) and universal RT-qPCR (B). a: CGMMV-infected single seed sample; b: CGMMV positive control sample; c: simulated CGMMV-contaminated seed powder base material; d: *cucumber mosaic virus* (CMV); e: *zucchini yellow mosaic virus* (ZYMV); f: *melon yellow spot virus* (MYSV). Tests were performed using total RNA extracted from leaves and seeds infected with one of the three viruses studied. For each assay, RNA extracted from uninfected seeds was used as negative control (g), along with a non-template control (NTC) (h). Amplification plots show the normalized fluorescence values (ΔR_n) versus the amplification cycle

number, and horizontal lines denote the threshold limit of the test. Samples were tested in duplicate. Amplification plots correspond to (A) improved one-step pre-amplification RT-qPCR and (B) universal RT-qPCR.

Figure 3

Sensitivity assay of one-step pre-amplification RT-qPCR. Amplification plots show the testing of a serial dilution of infected zucchini seeds for the *Cucumber green mottle mosaic virus* (CGMMV). CGMMV-infected seed powder and healthy seed powder (passed through a 50-mesh sieve) were uniformly mixed according to mass ratios 1:100 (a) 1:200 (b) 1:300 (c) 1:400 (d) 1:500 (e) 1:600 (f) 1:700 (g) 1:800 (h) 1:900 (i) 1:1000 (j). For each assay, RNA extracted from uninfected seeds was used as negative control (k), along with a non-template control (NTC) (l). Plots show the normalized fluorescence values (ΔRn) versus the amplification cycle number and horizontal lines denote the threshold limit of the test. Sensitivity was estimated as the lowest concentration that produced an amplification signal in all replicates.

Figure 4

Sensitivity assay of universal RT-qPCR. Amplification plots show the testing of a serial dilution of infected zucchini seeds for the *Cucumber green mottle mosaic virus* (CGMMV). CGMMV-infected seed powder and healthy seed powder (passed through a 50-mesh sieve) were uniformly mixed according to mass ratios 1:100 (a) 1:200 (b) 1:300 (c) 1:400 (d) 1:500 (e) 1:600 (f) 1:700 (g) 1:800 (h) 1:900 (i) 1:1000 (j). For each assay,

RNA extracted from uninfected seeds was used as negative control (k), along with a non-template control (NTC) (l). Plots show the normalized fluorescence values (ΔR_n) versus the amplification cycle number, and horizontal lines denote the threshold limit of the test. Sensitivity was estimated as the lowest concentration that produced an amplification signal in all replicates.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [Graphicalabstract.pdf](#)