Modular Co-Culture Engineering of *Yarrowia Lipolytica* for Amorphadiene Biosynthesis

Monireh Marsafari

University of Maryland Baltimore County

Fidelis Azi

Guangdong Technion – Israel Institute of Technology

Peng Xu (✉ peng.xu@gtit.edu.cn)

University of Maryland Baltimore County

Research Article

Keywords: *Y. lipolytica*, co-culture, amorphadiene, endoplasmic reticulum

Posted Date: March 2nd, 2022

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1382607/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Amorphadiene is an intermediate product of the first dedicated step to artemisinin production. It has attracted wide research interest as an antimalaria drug precursor in recent years. The efficient conversion of renewable carbon sources and redirection of metabolic flux toward a metabolite of interest has become a fascinating strategy for plant secondary metabolite overproduction. A modular pathway that divides the hosts' labor, a co-culture system has shown great biosynthetic potential and can be leveraged to achieve cost-effective bioproduction of natural products. Using a co-culture system of *Y. lipolytica* Po1f and Po1g strains, subcellular localization of ADS gene into the endoplasmic reticulum, co-utilization of mixed carbon source, and enlargement of the endoplasmic reticulum size were investigated to improve amorphadiene production in this work. Using Po1g/PPTM and Po1f/AaADSER_{x3}/iGFMPDU strains and co-utilization of 5 μ M sodium acetate with 20 g/L glucose in YPD media, amorphadiene titer increased to 65.094 mg/L. The enlargement of the Endoplasmic reticulum membrane caused by the deletion of the PAH1 gene provided more subcellular space for the action of the ADS-tagged gene. It further increased the amorphadiene production to 71.74 mg/L. The results demonstrated that manipulating metabolic flux in the co-culture of *Y. lipolytica* can be efficient over a single culture for the bioproduction of many value-added metabolites in a whole or large biosynthetic pathway.

Background

Malaria affects half of the world's population and constitutes one of the leading causes of death in developing countries. A parasite, *Plasmodium falciparum*, is the primary cause of malaria. Artemisinin is a sesquiterpene lactone isolated from the aerial parts of *Artemisia annua* L. (1, 2). Artemisinin-based combination therapies (ACTs) are the most efficient treatment against malaria (3). Beside the anti-malaria activity, artemisinin has been proven as an anticancer drug against colon, breast and prostate cancer (4–6), leukemia (7), and hepatitis B (8). So far, the only commercial route for artemisinin supply is plant extraction, but unfortunately, the yield is low and not cost-effective (9). Metabolic engineering and synthetic biology provide an alternative and scalable route for the heterologous bioproduction of interesting natural products in microbial hosts (10).

Amorphadiene is an olefin sesquiterpene; the first dedicated step to artemisinin synthesis in plants is by cyclization of farnesyl-pyrophosphate (FPP) mediated by amorphadiene synthase enzyme (ADS) (11). Isopentenyl pyrophosphate (IPP) and its isomer dimethylallyl pyrophosphate (12), which are biosynthesized from acetyl-CoA through mevalonate pathway, are converted to amorphadiene by the action of the FPP enzyme (9). Geranylgeranyl diphosphate (12) is another intermediate in the mevalonate pathway that, in addition to participating in carotenoid biosynthesis, can also synthesize farnesyl diphosphate from geranyl diphosphate (GPP) and IPP (13). Intending to provide direct and scalable access with cost that is comparable to the agriculture-sourced artemisinin, many researchers sought heterologous production of amorphadiene in various microbial hosts such as *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (14, 15), *Escherichia coli* (9, 16, 17), *Bacillus subtilis* (18), *Azospirillum brasilense* (19), and *Yarrowia*

lipolytica (20). However, their attempts could not meet the increasing demand for artemisinin-based combination therapy (ACT) and amorphadiene/artemisinin is not yet commercially available (21).

Yarrowia lipolytica has been considered as a generally regarded as safe (GRAS) platform for the bioproduction of various natural products (22) with its high secretion capacity, strong acetyl-CoA and malonyl-CoA flux (23–26), and a large collection of genetic tools (25–28). *Y. lipolytica* is a dimorphic, non-pathogenic ascomycetous yeast with a superior host for metabolic and genomic characteristics. It can be a great platform for cost-effective production of biochemicals derived from fatty acids, lipids, and acetyl-CoAs (29, 30). In our previous study, by harnessing the innate mevalonate pathway, we introduced *Y. lipolytica* as a promising microbial host with a significant production capacity of amorphadiene in a monoculture (20).

In addition to improving the metabolic engineering techniques for bioproduction of a wide variety of value-added biochemicals through engineering a single microorganism, this methodology is still challenging. Increased requirements for fulfilling complicated biosynthetic pathways and reaching efficacy are two critical issues facing monoculture fermentations. They have been considerably circumvented by employing modular co-culture approaches (31, 32). With manipulating the mixture of compatible hosts and nutrients, co-culture strategy provides a platform that absorbs cheaper substrates, improves cell growth without complex treatments, and extends the yield and spectrum of final product/s (33). The optimized *Y. lipolytica*-*Chlorella pyrenoidosa* co-culture increased carbon and nitrogen assimilation and drove the carbon flow to a higher yield of microbial biomass such as lipid, carbohydrates, and protein (34). Consuming lactate as a carbon source and decreasing lactic acid in the media, *Y. lipolytica* participated as a partner accelerated the *Lactococcus lactis* growth and accumulation of nisin up to 50% in *Y. lipolytica*-*L. lactis* co-culture (35).

To expand the biosynthesis of amorphadiene, a co-culture of two *Y. lipolytica* strains, Po1g, and Po1f, was investigated in this study. Although *Y. lipolytica* produces a high amount of acetyl-CoA and malonyl-CoA, our previous attempt demonstrated that harnessing lipogenic acetyl-CoA pathway could improve the bioproduction of amorphadiene (20). So, we first engineered the Po1g strain to improve its secretion capacity. Then to maximize the HMG-CoA uptake and reduce the byproduct production, the amorphadiene biosynthesis was localized in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) (36). In addition to studying multiple sugar sources, the enlargement of the endoplasmic reticulum was investigated to provide a larger factory to improve the amorphadiene bioproduction. This research will provide more comprehensive insight into the application of *Y. lipolytica* co-culture to promote cost-effective bioproduction of natural products.

Material And Method

Genes, plasmids, and strains

Genes encoding *A. annua* L. amorphadiene synthase (AaADS), *Y. lipolytica* 3-ketoacyl-CoA thiolase (YIPOT1), *Y. lipolytica* Acetyl-CoA C-acetyltransferase (YIPAT1), *Y. lipolytica* farnesyl pyrophosphate (14), *Y. lipolytica* mevalonate diphosphate decarboxylase (YIMVD1), truncated form of *Y. lipolytica* 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA reductase (tYIHMG1), *Y. lipolytica* phosphomevalonate kinase (ERG8), and *Y. lipolytica* mevalonate kinase (ERG12) were reported in our previous report (20). *Y. lipolytica* geranylgeranyl diphosphate synthase (YIGGPP), *Y. lipolytica* sterol-regulatory element-binding protein (YIUPC-2), *Y. lipolytica* multifunctional beta-oxidation enzyme (YIMFE2), and *Y. lipolytica* acetyl-CoA synthetase (YIACS2) were amplified from *Y. lipolytica* Po1g genomic DNA by PCR reaction. By PCR reaction, *Escherichia coli* farnesyl diphosphate synthase (*EcispA*) was amplified from *E. coli* BL21 genomic DNA. All the genes included in this paper are listed in Supplementary Table S1.

Plasmid pYLXP' (37), prDNA1, and prDNA2 (25) were previously designed and maintained in our laboratory. *E. coli* strain NEB5 α was used for plasmid construction and maintenance. This strain was grown in LB liquid media or plate containing 15 g/L agar at 37°C supplemented with 100 mg/mL for selection. *Y. lipolytica* strains Po1g Δ Lue, and Po1f Δ Lue Δ Ura were engineered to produce amorphadiene. All strains used in this research were listed in Supplementary Table S2.

Pathway construction and molecular cloning

YIGGPP, YIUPC-2, YIMFE2, YIACS2, and *EcispA* genes were obtained by PCR reaction and using specifically optimized primer by integrated DNA Technologies Company, USA (Supplementary Table S3). Before designing primer and amplifying genes, any detected internal introns in the genes were removed. USING THE GIBSON ASSEMBLY METHOD, the PCR product was introduced at the *Sna*BI and *Kpn*I digestion site of pYLXP', prDNA1, and prDNA2. To complete the intron fragment, the sequence of "TAACCGCAG" was replaced with the start codon of each gene (27).

The YaliBrick standard was used to assemble the amorphadiene pathway into the designed plasmids (27). T4 ligation was used to assemble recipient and donor plasmids and prepare monocistronic cassettes. For screening and validation of the desired cassettes, the *E. coli* strain NEB5 α transformation and mostly *Kpn*I/*Xho*I digestion were used. All the plasmids used in this research are listed in Supplementary Table S4.

Y. lipolytica transformation was used based on the lithium acetate (LiAc) method and as described before (20).

Acetyl-CoA assay

Desired recombinant *Y. lipolytica* strains were grown and used to prepare the pre-culture. The pre-culture was diluted into fresh 50 mL media to prepare the secondary culture with the OD600 of 0.05. The secondary culture was grown until the OD600 reached 0.4; the cells were harvested by centrifuging at 12,000 rpm for 5 minutes. Subsequently, 10 mL of pre-chilled (-80°C) methanol was added to each sample to quench cell metabolism and then centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 5 min to remove the supernatant. Immediately 2 mL of boiling ethanol was added to cell pellets and then boiled for an

additional 15 min. The mixture was thoroughly treated by glass beads for 5 min (vortex) to release intracellular metabolites. After centrifugation, the supernatant was vacuum dried and resuspended into 200 μ L ddH₂O. The resultant solution was analyzed using the acetyl-Coenzyme A Assay Kit (Sigma-Aldrich, USA). The concentration of acetyl-CoA was obtained from a standard curve and normalized by the dry cell weight.

Enlargement of the ER by deletion of PAH1 gene

All primers used in this research were listed in Supplementary Table S3. The PAH1 gene was deleted through the homologous recombination method. To construct a cassette for the deletion of PAH1, a primer set of pah1upfw and pah1uprv was used to PCR amplify of 1000 bp fragment immediately upstream from the start codon PAH1 using genomic Po1f DNA as template. Another primer set of pah1dwfw and pah1dwrv was used to amplify 1000 bp fragment immediately downstream from the stop codon. These two upstream and downstream fragments were size verified via gel electrophoresis and purified using ZYMO Clean and Concentrator kits. The purified upstream fragment was inserted in linear and gel purified pYLXP'-ylURA3 at the digestion site of the *Cla*I enzyme. The pYLXP'-ylURA3 plasmid was previously constructed and maintained in our laboratory. Colonies were verified via colony PCR using pah1upfw and tef_{rv} primers.

Positive colonies were inoculated into LB media containing ampicillin for overnight culture. The plasmid was purified using ZYMO Miniprep kits, and Sanger sequenced. The downstream 1000 bp fragment was cloned into pYLXP'-ylURA3-PAH1UP digested with *Sa*II to yield pYLXP'-ylURA3-PAH1. The primers xpr2_fw and pah1dwrv were used for colony PCR and sanger sequencing of positive colonies containing 1000 bp of the downstream fragment. The sequencing-verified pYLXP'-ylURA3-PAH1 was used to amplify a deletion cassette of PAH1 using primers pah1casfw and pah1casrv. The size verified PCR product was subsequently digested with *Dpn*I to remove the template plasmid.

The PAH1 knockout cassette was transformed into mutant strain Po1f Δ DGA_{1,2}, which was previously prepared and maintained in our laboratory, using the hydroxyurea-based protocol to enhance homologous recombination (38, 39). Transformants were plated onto CSM-Ura plates. These preliminarily positive transformants were suspended into 4 μ L 0.02 M sodium hydroxide and boiled at 95°C for 10 min to lyse the transformant yeast cells. Two colony PCR reactions were performed labeled A and B for each colony. The primers pah1upchkf and tef_{rv} were used for the colony PCR of group A, while the primers pah1dwchkr and xpr2_fw were used for the group B. The size verified colonies were selected for subsequent transformation and amorphaadiene production.

Growth condition and fermentation cultivation

For the pre-culture, single colonies of each transformant were inoculated from fresh plates in 3 mL YPD media and grown at 30°C and 250 rpm agitation for 48 h. The monocultures were carried out in 250 mL flasks with 40 mL working volume and cultivated at 30°C and 250 rpm agitation. For co-culture, the equal volumes of pre-culture materials (1.6% v/v) were mixed and inoculated into 250 mL flasks with 40 mL

working volume and cultivated at 30°C and 250 rpm agitation for 144h. YPD containing glucose was used as a basic media for the fermentation, and it was manipulated in three other groups to carry out the co-culture procedure. In the first group, YPD containing 20 g/L glucose was supplemented with 0, 5, 10, and 15 μ M sodium acetate as a secondary carbon source and enriched the media. YPD containing 20 g/L glucose was supplemented with 0, 25, 50, and 75 μ M acetic acid in the second group. The equal mixture (1:1 v/v) of glucose and xylose, 20 g/L, was investigated for the third group. The cultures were overlaid with 20% (v/v) dodecane after 48 h of incubation to trap amorphadiene.

Analytical method

All the experiments were carried out in triplicate. All samples were analyzed based on our previous report (20).

Results And Discussion

Boosting acetyl-CoA production

Acetyl-CoA is an important precursor for various bioproducts, including isoprenoids, flavonoids, and sesquiterpenes. In yeasts, acetyl-CoA is biosynthesized in the different compartments such as mitochondria, peroxisome, and cytosol (40). The mitochondrial acetyl-CoA needs to be transported out of mitochondria by acetyl-carnitine shuttle to be used by cytosolic reaction (41). While in *Y. lipolytica*, most of the cytosolic acetyl-CoA is directed toward lipid biosynthesis. The cellular fatty acid is degraded into acetyl-CoA units through β -oxidation and by the action of acetyl-CoA synthetase (40), multifunctional enzyme type 2 (MFE2), 3-ketoacyl-CoA thiolase (POT1), and Acetyl-CoA C-acetyltransferase (PAT1) in that sequence.

Our previous study demonstrated that by harnessing the lipogenic acetyl-CoA pathway and overexpression of PAT1 and POT1, bioproduction of amorphadiene can be improved. Here we first introduced the construct containing tYHMG1, PAT1, and POT1 into *Y. lipolytica* Po1g strain and measured the acetyl-CoA titration in the media. Interestingly, the acetyl-CoA production was reduced compared to the control Po1g. Next, we added MFE2 and ACS2 genes separately, combined with this strain, and tested the acetyl-CoA titration. These three strains produced a higher acetyl-CoA than Po1g/PPt (Figure. 1). Interestingly, Po1g/PPtMFE2 significantly produced a higher titer of acetyl-CoA up to 1380/398 μ g/ml compared to all the other strains (Fig. 1). To use the strain for co-culture consortia, we introduced the PPtMFE2 construct into the prDNA1 plasmid to be integrated into the genome of the Po1g strain.

Sequestering amorphadiene synthesis to ER

Hence, the first step to establishing robust microbial cells for amorphadiene production is an effective expression of plant-derived ADS. Plant enzymes are often poorly expressed in heterologous hosts (18). For this, improving the expression of ADS plays a pivotal role in increasing amorphadiene bioproduction.

This can be done by modifying the N-terminal of proteins through fusion protein partner to improve the ADS translation and expression.

Furthermore, HMG1 (HMG-CoA reductase) is the rate-limiting enzyme of the sterol biosynthesis pathway and converts HMG-CoA to mevalonate (36). Squalene synthase (SQS1) converts two identical molecules of farnesyl pyrophosphate (FPP) to squalene. Both HMG1 and SQS1 are localized in ER, indicating the sterol biosynthetic pathway is spatially organized, and ER may provide the optimal microenvironment for their catalytic efficiency. Biochemical studies and metabolite profiling indicate that squalene synthase is the major competing step for amorphadiene production and its downregulation leads to improve amorphadiene bioproduction (42). It was found that the C-terminal residues of SQS1 are responsible for targeting the ER of yeast (43). To maximize the activity of amorphadiene synthase, we fused the SQS1-ER localization domain using a glycine linker. For this, we amplified the SQS1 domain using TransEr_F and TransEr_R primer pairs and linked the PCR product to the PCR product of ADS using Gibson assembly and into digested prDNA2 plasmid at the *SnaBI* and *KpnI* restriction site. This chimeric protein maintains ADS activity and effectively competes with squalene synthase for farnesyl pyrophosphate (FPP), leading to the synthesis of about 15.47 mg/L amorphadiene (Supplementary figure. S1). The localization of ADS to ER may serve as a metabolic channel for HMG1-CoA and FPP, which effectively converts HMG-CoA to amorphadiene. Furthermore, the spatially organized protein may prevent intermediates diffusion, concentrate the critical metabolites and increase the catalytic activity.

In our previous study, increased AaADS copy number improved the amorphadiene titer (20). Hence, we increased the AaADSER gene into plasmid up to three copy numbers for co-culture. Furthermore, we integrated prDNA2-AaADSER_{x3} plasmid into the Po1f genome for all sections of this study and subsequently transformed it with pYLXP'-EcispA-YIGGPP-YIERG20-YIERG8-YIERG12-YIMVD1-YIUPC2 plasmid if required with the aim of mevalonate optimization.

The effect of glucose co-utilization with acetate on amorphadiene titration

Acetate plays a multi-dimensional role in living cells. It can induce sporulation of fungi, yeast, and bacteria as a sole carbon source in growth media. On the other hand, it can inhibit the microorganism's growth when used as a preserving additive in the food industry. The sensitivity of *Y. lipolytica* strain to acetate depends on the growth conditions. *Y. lipolytica*, the co-utilization of acetate and glucose stimulate the wild strain growth (44). The use of acetate as a secondary carbon source for *Y. lipolytica* is promising due to its flux capacity for acetyl-CoA that is confirmed as a rate-limiting step for amorphadiene bioproduction.

Hence, we studied the co-utilization of acetic acid and sodium acetate in the YPD media containing glucose. For this, we investigate 0, 5, 10, and 15 μ M of sodium acetate and 0, 25, 50, and 75 μ M of acetic acid to find the best dosage for the co-culture of Po1g/PPtM and Po1f/ADER_{x3}/iGFMPDU strains. As illustrated in Fig. 2., 5 μ M of sodium acetate produced the maximum amount of amorphadiene up to

69.503 mg/L. In contrast, the co-utilization of acetic acid and glucose with the highest titration of 40.951 mg/L had no significant effect on amorphaadiene production (Fig. 2. b).

The effect of xylose co-utilization with glucose on amorphaadiene titration

Xylose is the most prevalent sugar source obtained from hemicellulosic hydrolysis of sugar cane bagasse and is of great interest for a green economy. *Y. lipolytica* lacks an effective metabolic pathway for xylose uptake. Efforts have been made to engineer strains that can use xylose as a sole carbon source in the media (45). Due to the ability of engineered strains in a co-culture system to uptake and deal with the mixed feedstock in media and the weakness of *Y. lipolytica* to uptake xylose as a sole sugar source, we studied co-utilization of 20 g/L xylose with 20 g/L glucose. Glucose as a sole carbon source in the medium was used as the control. The results indicated that the mixture of xylose and glucose for the co-culture of Po1f/ADER_{x3}/iGFMPDU and Po1g/PPtM improved the amorphaadiene titer up to 25.802 mg/L and 4.59-fold compared to the control sample (Fig. 3.). Interestingly, the maximum titer of amorphaadiene in both mix and single sugar sources was lower than the obtained amount from co-utilization of glucose with sodium acetate. This may be due to the innate endogenous xylulokinase (XK) gene that limits the growth in *Y. lipolytica* even in the presence of glucose.

Busting Amorphaadiene titration by disturbing PAH1 gene

Y. lipolytica PAH1 gene is responsible for a phosphatidic acid de-phosphorylase enzyme (PAP). PAH1 dephosphorylates phosphatidic acid to diacylglycerols (DAGs). DAG metabolizes acyl-CoA to form triacylglycerols. It was confirmed that the impact of *Y. lipolytica* PAH1's biochemical activity on the Po1f strain left resulted in the bioaccumulation of acetyl-CoA in its cells which ultimately led to an increase in the size of ER (46). To produce a specialized *Y. lipolytica* strain that can be used in a co-culture system with a sole sugar source and provide the maximum space for the action of the ADSEr gene, we modify the PAH1 gene through the conventional homologous recombination using a *URA3* disturbing cassette.

To modify the PAH1 gene, we used Po1fΔDGA_{1,2} strain that was previously constructed in our laboratory. Using Po1fΔDGA_{1,2} and Po1fΔDGA_{1,2}ΔPAH1 strains, we designed four co-culture systems (Fig. 4. b) and two single culture fermentations (Fig. 4. a) to study the effect of PAH1 modification on amorphaadiene titer. We observed that the two strains transformed with AaADSEr_{x3} construct in single culture and produced more than 40 mg/L amorphaadiene, which is a valuable amount obtained only with PAH1 deletion and in single culture (Fig. 4. a). Next, we designed co-culture systems through specialized Po1fΔDGA_{1,2} and Po1fΔDGA_{1,2}ΔPAH1 strains and genome integration with prDNA2-AaADSEr_{x3} plasmid, Po1g/iGFMPDU, and Po1g/PPtMA strains. Our results indicated that this co-culture system significantly affected amorphaadiene titration with the maximum amount of 71.74 mg/L and using glucose as a sole sugar source in the medium (Fig. 4. b). Modifying the PAH1 gene increased amorphaadiene titer in a co-culture system, but interestingly, Po1g/PPtM did not significantly affect amorphaadiene titer. This may be because the simultaneous modification of DGA_{1,2} and PAH1 triggered

accelerated acetyl-CoA utilisation for the amorphaadiene biosynthesis. At the same time, the Po1g/PPtM strain produces more acetyl-CoA and defects in *Y. lipolytica* growth.

Conclusion

Recently, a co-culture system as one of the most powerful tools in improving the production of valuable biochemicals has attracted much attention. One of the criteria for selecting a host in co-culture is its inability to consume, degrade, and inactivate the final product and increase its yield. *Y. lipolytica* containing an innate mevalonate pathway and producing a high acetyl-CoA is a fascinating heterologous host for amorphaadiene production. One of the first committed steps in designing a successful co-culture system is to engineer the microbial workhouse with the modular pathways to optimize the final product. In the co-culture systems, the constructed specialist strains could deal with fluctuations in feedstock compositions, while the single recombinant strain was unable to do this. Utilizing *Y. lipolytica*'s ability to grow well on various carbon sources such as glucose, xylose, acetate, ethanol, and glycerol would have a tremendous impact on the bioproduction of value-added biochemicals, potentially reducing their cost of bioproduction. Acetate can be directly converted to acetyl-CoA, and it can be an asset to accelerate the accumulation of natural products in single or co-culture fermentations. In this research, we assembled three constructs for β -oxidation and mevalonate pathway and amorphaadiene localization in ER in Po1g and Po1f strains. Next, we attempted to study the effect of co-utilizing acetate and xylose as secondary carbon sources with glucose in the medium to divide the labor between strains. We also modified the PAH1 gene controlling the ER size. Δ PAH1 strain providing enough acetyl-CoA for amorphaadiene biosynthesis eliminated the need for the construct responsible for optimizing the β -oxidation pathway. The Δ PAH1 strain provided enough space for the maneuver of ADSER genes and the utilization of FPPs toward amorphaadiene synthesis. The applied factors for co-culture optimization removed the metabolic bottlenecks, increased amorphaadiene titer to 71.74 mg/L, using glucose as the sole carbon source. This study demonstrates that *Y. lipolytica* can be a superior host for modular co-culture and can be used to improve the bioproduction of amorphaadiene and other value-added metabolites.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable (No human subjects and animal study involved).

Consent for publication

All authors have read the manuscript and approved the submission of this paper to the journal.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets during and/or analysed during this study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

This work was funded by Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation through grant OPP1188443.

Authors' contributions

M.M: Experiment design, bench research, data analysis, manuscript preparation; P.X: experiment design, manuscript preparation, concept development; F.A: writing assistant. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgment

Not applicable.

References

1. Wen W, Yu R. Artemisinin biosynthesis and its regulatory enzymes: Progress and perspective. *Pharmacogn Rev.* 2011;5(10):189–94.
2. Weathers PJ, Elkholy S, Wobbe KK. Artemisinin: The biosynthetic pathway and its regulation in *Artemisia annua*, a terpenoid-rich species. *In Vitro Cellular & Developmental Biology - Plant.* 2006;42(4):309–17.
3. Hale V, Keasling J, Renninger N, Diagana T. Microbially derived artemisinin: a biotechnology solution to the global problem of access to affordable antimalarial drugs. *The American journal of tropical medicine and hygiene.* 2007;77 6 Suppl:198–202.
4. Xu C, Zhang H, Mu L, Yang X. Artemisinins as Anticancer Drugs: Novel Therapeutic Approaches, Molecular Mechanisms, and Clinical Trials. *Frontiers in Pharmacology.* 2020;11(1608).
5. Fröhlich T, Ndreshkjana B, Muenzner JK, Reiter C, Hofmeister E, Mederer S, et al. Synthesis of Novel Hybrids of Thymoquinone and Artemisinin with High Activity and Selectivity Against Colon Cancer. *ChemMedChem.* 2017;12(3):226–34.
6. Fröhlich T, Mai C, Bogautdinov RP, Morozkina SN, Shavva AG, Friedrich O, et al. Synthesis of Tamoxifen-Artemisinin and Estrogen-Artemisinin Hybrids Highly Potent Against Breast and Prostate

- Cancer. ChemMedChem. 2020;15(15):1473–9.
7. Chen S, Gan S, Han L, Li X, Xie X, Zou D, et al. Artesunate induces apoptosis and inhibits the proliferation, stemness, and tumorigenesis of leukemia. Ann Transl Med. 2020;8(12):767.
 8. Romero MR, Efferth T, Serrano MA, Castaño B, Macias RI, Briz O, et al. Effect of artemisinin/artesunate as inhibitors of hepatitis B virus production in an "in vitro" replicative system. Antiviral Res. 2005;68(2):75–83.
 9. Martin VJ, Pitera DJ, Withers ST, Newman JD, Keasling JD. Engineering a mevalonate pathway in *Escherichia coli* for production of terpenoids. Nat Biotechnol. 2003;21(7):796–802.
 10. Xu P, Bhan N, Koffas MA. Engineering plant metabolism into microbes: from systems biology to synthetic biology. Curr Opin Biotechnol. 2013;24(2):291–9.
 11. Bouwmeester HJ, Wallaart TE, Janssen MH, van Loo B, Jansen BJ, Posthumus MA, et al. Amorpha-4,11-diene synthase catalyses the first probable step in artemisinin biosynthesis. Phytochemistry. 1999;52(5):843–54.
 12. Hinson DD, Chambliss KL, Toth MJ, Tanaka RD, Gibson KM. Post-translational regulation of mevalonate kinase by intermediates of the cholesterol and nonsterol isoprene biosynthetic pathways. J Lipid Res. 1997;38(11):2216–23.
 13. Davis EM, Croteau R. Cyclization Enzymes in the Biosynthesis of Monoterpenes, Sesquiterpenes, and Diterpenes. In: Leeper FJ, Vederas JC, editors. Biosynthesis: Aromatic Polyketides, Isoprenoids, Alkaloids. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg; 2000. p. 53–95.
 14. Westfall PJ, Pitera DJ, Lenihan JR, Eng D, Woolard FX, Regentin R, et al. Production of amorphadiene in yeast, and its conversion to dihydroartemisinic acid, precursor to the antimalarial agent artemisinin. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2012;109(3):E111–8.
 15. Paddon CJ, Westfall PJ, Pitera DJ, Benjamin K, Fisher K, McPhee D, et al. High-level semi-synthetic production of the potent antimalarial artemisinin. Nature. 2013;496(7446):528–32.
 16. Tsuruta H, Paddon CJ, Eng D, Lenihan JR, Horning T, Anthony LC, et al. High-level production of amorpha-4,11-diene, a precursor of the antimalarial agent artemisinin, in *Escherichia coli*. PLoS One. 2009;4(2):e4489.
 17. Shukal S, Chen X, Zhang C. Systematic engineering for high-yield production of viridiflorol and amorphadiene in auxotrophic *Escherichia coli*. Metab Eng. 2019;55:170–8.
 18. Pramastya H, Xue D, Abdallah II, Setroikromo R, Quax WJ. High level production of amorphadiene using *Bacillus subtilis* as an optimized terpenoid cell factory. New Biotechnology. 2021;60:159–67.
 19. Mishra S, Pandey P, Dubey AP, Zehra A, Chanotiya CS, Tripathi AK, et al. Engineering a Carotenoid-Overproducing Strain of *Azospirillum brasilense* for Heterologous Production of Geraniol and Amorphadiene. Applied and Environmental Microbiology. 2020;86(17):e00414–20.
 20. Marsafari M, Xu P. Debottlenecking mevalonate pathway for antimalarial drug precursor amorphadiene biosynthesis in *Yarrowia lipolytica*. Metabolic Engineering Communications. 2020;10:e00121.

21. Peplow M. Synthetic biology's first malaria drug meets market resistance. *Nature*. 2016;530(7591):389–90.
22. Zinjarde S, Apte M, Mohite P, Kumar AR. *Yarrowia lipolytica* and pollutants: Interactions and applications. *Biotechnol Adv*. 2014;32(5):920–33.
23. Liu H, Marsafari M, Wang F, Deng L, Xu P. Engineering acetyl-CoA metabolic shortcut for eco-friendly production of polyketides triacetic acid lactone in *Yarrowia lipolytica*. *Metabolic Engineering*. 2019;56:60–8.
24. Liu H, Marsafari M, Deng L, Xu P. Understanding lipogenesis by dynamically profiling transcriptional activity of lipogenic promoters in *Yarrowia lipolytica*. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*. 2019;103(7):3167–79.
25. Lv Y, Edwards H, Zhou J, Xu P. Combining 26s rDNA and the Cre-loxP System for Iterative Gene Integration and Efficient Marker Curation in *Yarrowia lipolytica*. *ACS Synth Biol*. 2019;8(3):568–76.
26. Lv Y, Marsafari M, Koffas M, Zhou J, Xu P. Optimizing Oleaginous Yeast Cell Factories for Flavonoids and Hydroxylated Flavonoids Biosynthesis. *ACS Synthetic Biology*. 2019.
27. Wong L, Engel J, Jin E, Holdridge B, Xu P. YaliBricks, a versatile genetic toolkit for streamlined and rapid pathway engineering in *Yarrowia lipolytica*. 2017;5:68–77.
28. Yang Z, Edwards H, Xu P. CRISPR-Cas12a/Cpf1-assisted precise, efficient and multiplexed genome-editing in *Yarrowia lipolytica*. *Metabolic Engineering Communications*. 2020;10:e00112.
29. Zhu Q, Jackson EN. Metabolic engineering of *Yarrowia lipolytica* for industrial applications. *Curr Opin Biotechnol*. 2015;36:65–72.
30. Qiao K, Wasylenko TM, Zhou K, Xu P, Stephanopoulos G. Lipid production in *Yarrowia lipolytica* is maximized by engineering cytosolic redox metabolism. *Nature Biotechnology*. 2017;35(2):173–7.
31. Zhang H, Wang X. Modular co-culture engineering, a new approach for metabolic engineering. 2016;37:114–21.
32. Wang R, Zhao S, Wang Z, Koffas MAG. Recent advances in modular co-culture engineering for synthesis of natural products. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*. 2020;62:65–71.
33. Cui Y, Yang K-L, Zhou K. Using Co-Culture to Functionalize *Clostridium* Fermentation. *Trends in Biotechnology*. 2020.
34. Qin L, Liu L, Wang Z, Chen W, Wei D. The mixed culture of microalgae *Chlorella pyrenoidosa* and yeast *Yarrowia lipolytica* for microbial biomass production. *Bioprocess and Biosystems Engineering*. 2019;42(9):1409–19.
35. Ariana M, Hamedi J. Enhanced production of nisin by co-culture of *Lactococcus lactis* sub sp. *lactis* and *Yarrowia lipolytica* in molasses based medium. *Journal of Biotechnology*. 2017;256:21–6.
36. Koning AJ, Roberts CJ, Wright RL. Different subcellular localization of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* HMG-CoA reductase isozymes at elevated levels corresponds to distinct endoplasmic reticulum membrane proliferations. *Mol Biol Cell*. 1996;7(5):769–89.

37. Xu P, Qiao K, Ahn WS, Stephanopoulos G. Engineering *Yarrowia lipolytica* as a platform for synthesis of drop-in transportation fuels and oleochemicals. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2016;113(39):10848.
38. Tsakraklides V, Brevnova E, Stephanopoulos G, Shaw AJ. Improved Gene Targeting through Cell Cycle Synchronization. *PLoS One*. 2015;10(7):e0133434.
39. Jang IS, Yu BJ, Jang JY, Jegal J, Lee JY. Improving the efficiency of homologous recombination by chemical and biological approaches in *Yarrowia lipolytica*. *PLoS One*. 2018;13(3):e0194954.
40. Chen Y, Siewers V, Nielsen J. Profiling of cytosolic and peroxisomal acetyl-CoA metabolism in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *PLoS One*. 2012;7(8):e42475.
41. van Rossum HM, Kozak BU, Pronk JT, van Maris AJA. Engineering cytosolic acetyl-coenzyme A supply in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: Pathway stoichiometry, free-energy conservation and redox-cofactor balancing. *Metabolic Engineering*. 2016;36:99–115.
42. Yuan J, Ching CB. Dynamic control of ERG9 expression for improved amorphadiene production in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Microb Cell Fact*. 2015;14:38.
43. Feldheim D, Rothblatt J, Schekman R. Topology and functional domains of Sec63p, an endoplasmic reticulum membrane protein required for secretory protein translocation. *Mol Cell Biol*. 1992;12(7):3288–96.
44. Robak M. YARROWIA LIPOLYTICA SPECIFIC GROWTH RATE ON ACETATE MEDIUM SUPPLEMENTED WITH GLUCOSE, GLYCEROL OR ETHANOL. 2007.
45. Prabhu AA, Ledesma-Amaro R, Lin CSK, Coulon F, Thakur VK, Kumar V. Bioproduction of succinic acid from xylose by engineered *Yarrowia lipolytica* without pH control. *Biotechnology for Biofuels*. 2020;13(1):113.
46. Simmons-Rawls B. Utilization of PAH1 Disruptions for the Overexpression of Native P450s in *Yarrowia lipolytica*: University of California, Riverside 2017.

Figures

Figure 1

Boosting acetyl-CoA production by optimization the β -oxidation pathway.

Figure 2

The effect of glucose co-utilization with sodium acetate and acetic acid on amorphadiene production in a co-culture system.

Figure 3

Glucose co-utilization with xylose affects amorphadiene production in a co-culture system.

Figure 4

The effect of PAH1 disturbing on amorphadiene titration in single strain fermentation and co-culture systems. a. amorphadiene titer in single culture fermentations. b. amorphadiene titration through co-culture of Po1g and disturbing Po1f strains.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [GA.jpg](#)
- [SupplementaryMaterials.docx](#)