Early Human Trophoblast Development: From Morphology To Function

Martin Gauster

Medizinische Universität Graz: Medizinische Universität Graz

Gerit Moser

Medizinische Universität Graz: Medizinische Universität Graz

Stefan Wernitznig

Medizinische Universität Graz: Medizinische Universität Graz

Nadja Kupper

Medizinische Universität Graz

Berthold Huppertz (✉ berthold.huppertz@medunigraz.at)

Medizinische Universität Graz <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4814-2158>

Research Article

Keywords: Blastocyst, trophectoderm, extravillous trophoblast, villous trophoblast, syncytialization

Posted Date: February 28th, 2022

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1384728/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Human pregnancy depends on the proper development of the embryo prior to implantation and the implantation of the embryo into the uterine wall. During the preimplantation phase, formation of the morula is followed by internalization of blastomeres that differentiate into the pluripotent inner cell mass lineage, while the cells on the surface undergo polarization and differentiate into the trophectoderm of the blastocyst. The trophectoderm mediates apposition and adhesion of the blastocyst to the uterine epithelium. These processes lead to a stable contact between embryonic and maternal tissues, resulting in the formation of a new organ, the placenta. During implantation, the trophectoderm cells start to differentiate and form the basis for multiple specialized trophoblast subpopulations, all of which fulfilling specific key functions in placentation. They either differentiate into polar cells serving typical epithelial functions, or into apolar invasive cells that adapt the uterine wall to progressing pregnancy. The composition of these trophoblast subpopulations is crucial for human placenta development and alterations are suggested to result in placenta-associated pregnancy pathologies. This review article focuses on what is known about very early processes in human reproduction and emphasizes on morphological and functional aspects of early trophoblast differentiation and subpopulations.

I. Introduction

Successful human pregnancy strongly depends on crucial mechanisms such as fertilization of an oocyte, preimplantation development of the embryo and its implantation into the uterine wall. Embryo implantation is followed by development of the placenta, a tissue of limited life span that fulfills a remarkable array of functions at the maternal-fetal interface to ensure adequate fetal growth. Especially in the early stages of pregnancy, it substitutes fetal organs until they reach full maturity. One of the key functions of the placenta is the transfer of almost all nutrients and gases between mother and fetus [39]. Moreover, the placenta acts as an endocrine organ, secreting a plethora of steroid and protein hormones, metabolic proteins, growth factors, and cytokines to adapt maternal physiology to pregnancy [138]. The key placental cell type involved in all these processes is the trophoblast, a term derived from the two ancient greek words *trephein* and *blastos*, which mean 'to feed' and 'germinator'.

The trophoblast originates from the trophectoderm (see below) and differentiates into multiple specialized trophoblast subpopulations and phenotypes. They either differentiate into polar cells serving typical epithelial functions, or into apolar invasive cells that remodel and adapt the maternal uterine wall to progressing pregnancy. Differentiation into trophoblast subpopulations and acquisition of diverse phenotypes include molecular changes such as remodeling of the cytoskeletal composition and a switch of the adhesion molecule pattern, depending on spatiotemporal requirements of the respective cells [57, 88, 102]. Impaired trophoblast differentiation and altered composition of these cell populations in the placenta is associated with placental dysfunction and pregnancy complications that are suggested to give rise to aberrant fetal development and increased risk of long-term development of chronic diseases in the offspring later in life, in a concept known as the Fetal Origins Hypothesis or Developmental Origin of Health and Diseases (DOHaD) [16]. This review article focuses on what is known about very early

processes in human reproduction and emphasizes on morphological and functional aspects of early trophoblast subpopulations.

II. Trophoblast Lineage Morphogenesis In The Blastocyst

In the human, fertilization of the oocyte by a sperm forms a diploid zygote and is the earliest developmental stage. The zygote does not possess any implantation competencies and hence undergoes three rounds of cleavage divisions within days 2 to 3 post fertilization, which, in contrast to conventional cell divisions, are not accompanied by a significant overall cell growth during the interphase. Hence, the early embryo does not increase in total volume during this stage of development. The cells arising from cleavage divisions are referred to as blastomeres and start to arrange in a compact cluster of cells called morula (**Fig. 1**).

The early cleavage rounds are frequently prone to errors, such as inaccurate cytokinesis or chromosome segregation anomalies. While the latter can partly result from failure to cluster the parental genomes prior to chromosome segregation [25, 27, 124], cytokinesis can be asymmetric and may produce blastomeres of unequal size [131]. Another cytokinesis defect, referred to as direct cleavage, occurs when tripolar mitotic spindles produce three daughter cells instead of two.

In the human, the cleavage stage is followed by the process of compaction, which has recently been suggested as an important checkpoint involved in mechanisms of self-correction [94]. Compaction classically occurs between the 8- and 10-cell stage at 3 to 4 days post fertilization [72], which is a period of largely underestimated significance. During normal compaction, blastomeres show early signs of polarization, increase the contact area between cells and reduce the surface exposed to the outside to form the compacted morula [49, 114]. Hence, blastomeres move closer together forming a tighter structure by using their contractile actomyosin machinery and by involving the calcium-dependent cell-cell adhesion molecule E-cadherin (*CDH1*) [2, 137].

Similar to the early stage of cleavage divisions, also during compaction the embryo is prone to errors, including either complete failure of compaction or less severe defects leading to unequal or partial compaction. Observations from intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) procedures suggest that unequal compaction, i.e. exclusion of one or more blastomeres from the compacted morula, is associated with reduced developmental competence [41]. Excluded cells are suggested to subsequently contribute to the outer cell mass of the emerging blastocyst or may be excluded entirely from the compacted morula [49]. In this context, unequal compaction has recently been suggested as a potential aneuploidy rescue mechanism, ensuring that defective, aneuploid cells are excluded from mosaic embryos [94]. When excluded, aneuploid blastomeres contribute to the outer cell mass, and they eventually end up in the placenta, which indeed shows a high rate of aneuploidy. Indeed, the placenta has long been considered as a deposition site for eliminated defective cells from embryonic tissues [31].

Beside the important step of compaction, transformation from the morula to the blastocyst stage comprises additional fundamental cellular rearrangements including internalization and lumen

formation. During internalization at the time of the fifth cleavage, a subset of blastomeres becomes entirely surrounded by neighboring cells and thus is isolated from the surface. Observations in mouse embryos suggest that oriented cell division by aligning the mitotic spindle vertically to the embryonic surface and contractility-mediated cell sorting contribute to internalization of cells [49, 90, 99, 149].

This cell positioning is considered a prerequisite for the first lineage formation, guiding the differentiation of internalized cells into the pluripotent inner cell mass lineage that gives rise to all embryonic cells and tissues. Those cells remaining on the surface undergo apicobasal polarization and differentiate into the trophectoderm that is the precursor of a specific subset of cells in the extraembryonic tissues after implantation, the trophoblast residing in the placenta and the fetal membranes. The polarization process and tight sealing of the trophectoderm enables formation of the blastocoel, a fluid-filled cavity that is generated when fluid is pumped through the polarized surface of the trophectoderm into intercellular spaces between trophectoderm cells and cells of the inner cell mass. This way, initial accumulation of fluid leads to swelling of the embryo to almost 10 times its original volume [93], and the increasing pressure eventually breaks down cell-cell contacts and pushes the inner cell mass into one quadrant of the arising blastocyst at 5 days post fertilization [40]. The region where the inner cell mass is attached to the trophectoderm is called the embryonic pole, which is the crucial site for interaction of the blastocyst with the endometrium.

The early blastocyst is still surrounded by the *zona pellucida*, a glycoprotein-rich extracellular matrix already formed during oogenesis. Further uptake of fluid into the blastocoel and an increase in internal hydrostatic pressure leads to flattening of the trophoblast epithelium and a two- to three-fold enlargement of the blastocyst volume [130]. Then, the blastocyst starts to hatch from the *zona pellucida* with the aid of so-called zona-breaker cells, which are described as specialized, plump trophectoderm cells at the point of hatching. Paradoxically, this area usually comprises the abembryonic pole of the blastocyst, where finger-like trophectodermal projections penetrate the *zona pellucida*, and provide hatching-associated factors such as growth factors, cytokines and zona lysing proteases (e.g. cathepsin) to induce its focal lysis [130, 132]. The blastocyst gradually egresses from the otherwise intact *zona pellucida* and is now prepared for implantation.

iii. Blastocyst Apposition And Adhesion – The Role Of The Trophectoderm

Blastocyst formation is synchronized with differentiation of the uterine endometrium under the control of ovarian steroid hormones to reach an appropriate level of maturity. The period when the endometrium shows the highest receptivity for embryo implantation is defined as the implantation window, which occurs in the mid-secretory phase of the menstrual cycle [14, 63]. Due to ethical considerations, our knowledge about initial events of the attachment phase in which the trophectoderm interacts with the lining epithelium of the uterus is very limited and is mostly based on observations from animal models. Studies in rodents suggest that implantation interactions between the embryo and the endometrium are restricted to only a short period of less than 24 hours [84]. In women, the implantation window is

considered to be longer, probably between days 20 and 24 of a standard menstrual cycle (or 7 to 10 days after ovulation) [26, 117]. Upon closure of the implantation window, the endometrium becomes refractory and hostile to unimplanted embryos.

In general, the luminal epithelium of the human uterus shows an apical glycocalyx that enables diffusion of small molecules but is suggested to protect against cell adhesion and bacterial infection in the upper tract [5, 7]. Among the anti-adhesive glycoproteins, large glycosylated mucins such as MUC1 and MUC4 are considered important regulators of uterine receptivity [84]. Respective concepts suggest that during the pre-receptive phase mucins mask potential adhesive ligands that are only demasked in the receptive window to allow bridging of the blastocyst to the endometrial epithelium. Studies in mice and rats, but also *in vitro* studies with hatched human blastocysts and endometrial epithelial cell monolayer cultures, indicate that anti-adhesive mucins disappear precisely or get at least modified at the time of expected implantation when the endometrium becomes receptive [38, 81, 101]. Interestingly, *in vitro* studies show that disappearance of MUC1 is confined to areas beneath and close to the attached embryo, while normal mucin expression persists in neighboring endometrial epithelial cells [8, 103]. Transition to the receptive phase of the endometrium is also reflected in ultrastructural changes, including gradual loss of epithelial cell polarity and formation of microprotrusions on the apical surface called pinopodes or uterodomes [26, 34, 115].

From rodents, it is known that the period of highest endometrial receptivity coincides with the important process of blastocyst activation. The latter is defined as the stage when the blastocyst acquires implantation competency in response to hydroxyestradiol-17 β that is produced locally in the endometrium as a metabolite of estradiol [7, 84, 89, 121]. By local expression of adhesion molecules, the activated blastocyst undergoes apposition to the endometrial epithelium, a process suggested to have many features in common with leukocytes rolling along the vascular endothelium [47]. However, apposition is only a weak interaction of the trophectoderm with the uterine epithelium that is unstable to shear stress. Apposition is also reversible and thus allows repositioning of the blastocyst in the uterus [116, 134].

Initial interaction is suggested to be mediated by carbohydrate-binding glycoproteins, such as galectins and selectins that are expressed on the trophectoderm but not the inner cell mass of the hatched blastocyst [48, 84]. Observations in human hatched blastocysts and endometrial biopsies suggest that L-selectin and its binding ligands play a crucial role in this initial step [58]. Consistent with this finding, L-selectin ligands are expressed on pinopodes of human endometrial epithelium in the mid-luteal phase, on top of which blastocyst attachment is suggested to take place [12, 13, 112]. Once the initial interaction is established, the blastocyst - in particular the trophectoderm - may release soluble factors that are taken up by endometrial epithelial cells and potentially regulate their adhesive capacity via targeting gene and protein expression [32, 33].

This juxtacrine signaling shortly before and during the attachment reaction is thought to coordinate expression of cell adhesion molecules such as heparin-binding EGF-like growth factor (HBEGF), trophinin

and integrins that contribute to a stable, shear stress-resistant adhesion of the blastocyst. HBEGF is expressed as a transmembrane form by the uterine epithelium and binds to heparan sulfate proteoglycan as well as to EGF-receptor family members ErbB1 and ErbB4, all three of which are expressed on the trophectoderm of the hatched blastocyst [29, 120, 122, 125, 136]. ErbB4 tyrosine phosphorylation is reported to be required for trophectoderm differentiation into an invasive trophoblast phenotype, which is, however, inhibited in presence of the transmembrane protein trophinin and its associated cytoplasmic proteins bystin and tatin [4, 55]. Trophinin is expressed in both the trophectoderm as well as in the uterine epithelium [53, 54], and once homophilic binding of trophinin between trophectoderm and endometrial epithelial cells is established, bystin dissociates to enable ErbB4 autophosphorylation in the trophectoderm [139]. While homophilic trophinin binding triggers trophectoderm differentiation in the attached blastocyst, it is proposed to be at least partially involved in the process of trophectoderm contact-induced apoptosis of the endometrial epithelial cells [142]. In addition to trophinin, the Fas/Fas-L death system is proposed to locally induce apoptosis of endometrial epithelial cells to breach the uterine epithelial barrier [56, 67]. The loss of uterine epithelial cells adjacent to the blastocyst attachment site gives rise to exposure of extracellular matrix (ECM) components of the underlying basement membrane. Now trophoblast attachment to the ECM appears to be mediated predominantly by integrins that strengthen adhesion.

Iv. Implantation Period And Initial Syncytiotrophoblast Formation

As outlined above, the trophectoderm cells of the blastocyst are engaged in apposition and adhesion of the blastocyst to the receptive luminal epithelium of the uterus. These processes lead to a close and stable contact between embryonic and maternal tissues, which results in the formation of the placenta. Only after proper apposition and adhesion of the blastocyst, the trophectoderm cells start their next differentiation steps during implantation.

One of the key differentiation steps to enable invasion of the early embryo into uterine tissues is the formation of the first syncytiotrophoblast. Although formation of the syncytiotrophoblast at this stage of human embryonic development is only anticipated using the approaches described below, it is more than tempting to speculate that this step is crucial for implantation in the human as well. Those trophectoderm cells at the embryonic pole that come into direct contact with uterine epithelial cells (Fig. 2A), start proliferation and differentiation. The daughter cells, now termed cytotrophoblasts, initiate cell-cell fusion and thus generate a cell with more than one nucleus. Further fusion events with this multinucleated cell result in the formation of the first syncytiotrophoblast, a multinucleated layer that has lost its proliferative capacity (Fig. 2B). It seems as if only this multinucleated layer is able to penetrate the uterine epithelium and allows embedding of the embryo into the uterine stroma (Fig. 2B, 2C). So far, it is not clear whether multiple syncytia are generated at the very beginning that fuse to become one syncytiotrophoblast, or whether there is the formation of only one syncytiotrophoblast right from the beginning.

So far, the initial phases of trophoctoderm penetration through the uterine epithelium have not been observed in the human *in vivo*. Thus, not only the underlying processes, but also the morphological changes of the trophoctoderm cells at that specific time of embryo development have not been assessed so far. So far, processes and cellular structures of human implantation have been deduced using three different approaches:

1) Identifying structures using historical tissue slides that depict human implantation stages starting from day one after implantation [66, 118]. Allen Enders has generously given permission to view the images of his unique and extensive collection of placental material (<https://www.trophoblast.cam.ac.uk/Resources/enders>). The earliest human specimens in this collection are from day one after initiation of implantation and show embryos that have already crossed the uterine epithelium. Hence, although such images cannot tell us anything about the processes leading to breaching the uterine epithelium, they can display some interesting aspects of the embryo and especially the placenta at this stage of development.

The findings of the cases with day one stages (cases # 8020, # 8155 and # 8225) can be summarized as follows:

- The early invasive syncytiotrophoblast is always at the forefront of the embryo penetrating into uterine tissues. The syncytiotrophoblast is also the extra-embryonic tissue taking over most of the contact area between embryonic and maternal tissues.
- Presence of multiple syncytiotrophoblast masses invading into the uterine stroma. This may indicate that there is more than one initial fusion event between two cytotrophoblasts resulting in the formation of a syncytiotrophoblast. However, since these are single sections of a three-dimensional structure, the multiple syncytiotrophoblast masses may still be parts of a single syncytiotrophoblast when looking at the complete 3D structure. Later in gestation, when the syncytiotrophoblast has further differentiated into the coverage of the villous tree, there is only one single multinucleated layer that is constantly fed by fusion of underlying villous cytotrophoblasts. Only the very early initial fusion events occur between two cytotrophoblasts. Later in pregnancy, syncytial fusion of two cytotrophoblasts does no longer occur, as there is no second syncytial layer in the human placenta – different to the rat and mouse placenta that show two layers of syncytiotrophoblast.
- While invading into the uterine stroma, the syncytiotrophoblast comes into close contact with small capillaries as well as larger uterine glands. One image of case # 8020 shows that the syncytiotrophoblast has already invaded into a uterine gland, while there is no indication of invasion into blood vessels at this stage of development.

2) Deploying comparative placentology to identify respective structures in other animals, especially non-human primates [46, 135]. Again, the images of Allen Enders' collection of placental material give a deep insight into the implantation process in monkeys such as the baboon or the macaque (<https://www.trophoblast.cam.ac.uk/Resources/enders>).

For the macaque, images are available that depict the first day of implantation. These images clearly show that it is the syncytiotrophoblast that penetrates through the uterine epithelium, while the cytotrophoblasts remain in second row behind the syncytial masses. Interestingly, in the macaque the syncytiotrophoblast extends into the layer of the uterine epithelial cells and then seems to rest on the basement membrane of this epithelium pushing the epithelial cells towards the margin of this area or even completely surrounding such cells. Also, at the second day of implantation, the syncytiotrophoblast remains on the epithelial basement membrane and may use this layer to move into adjacent uterine glands, eliminating the glandular epithelium on its way into the gland. Only in the early lacunar stage of placental development, the syncytiotrophoblast invades into the uterine stroma and opens capillaries [42, 43].

This description already reveals obvious differences even between human and primates such as the macaque implantation. Hence, a direct interpolation from the animal to the human situation should be done with great caution.

3) Performing *in vitro* studies that use blastocysts or cell lines (human or other animals) and endometrial epithelial cells or cell lines (again human or other animals) [11, 15, 77, 128].

Quite a number of studies has shown direct interactions between a human blastocyst and luminal epithelial cells of the uterus *in vitro* [1, 11, 76, 96, 103, 128]. However, since the blastocysts were cultured on a cell monolayer, the studies focused primarily on adhesion of the blastocyst to the epithelial cells rather than identifying penetration of trophoblast structures through the epithelial layer. The study of Bentin-Ley et al. [11] performed ultrastructural analysis of blastocysts that attached to epithelial cells. Using this technique, the authors identified desmosomes between trophoblast and epithelial cells as well as the formation of first syncytia. So far, the knowledge on the blastocyst breaching the uterine epithelium in the human is still highly fragmented.

V. Villous Formation And The Villous Trophoblast Population

a) Villous formation

The placental villous trees represent the main functional units of the placenta [60, 97], which is why their adequate formation is crucial for the development of a healthy fetus [148]. The early villous formation phase starts within 12 to 18 days post conception (p.c.) [23, 85] and lasts until day 28 p.c., followed by the villous phase which lasts until the end of pregnancy [10, 68]. During early formation of placental villi, i.e. during the lacunar phase of placental development, the differentiation of the villous trophoblast results in two layers consisting of the outer syncytiotrophoblast and the underlying, proliferating cytotrophoblasts. Around day 14 p.c., mesenchymal cells outgrow from the embryoblast forming an additional cell layer underneath the cytotrophoblasts, developing into the inner stromal core of placental villi. Concomitantly, the cytotrophoblasts start to invade into the syncytial trabeculae forming finger-like outgrowths filled by mononuclear cytotrophoblasts, surrounded by the multinuclear syncytiotrophoblast layer. This formation is also known as trophoblastic sprouting and the structure represents the primary

villi which consist of villous trophoblast only [69, 85] Subsequently, the extra-embryonic mesenchyme follows the cytotrophoblasts and invades the primary villi. Mesenchymal cells supersede the cytotrophoblast core, transforming them into secondary villi [69]. These secondary villi consist of two trophoblast layers (outer syncytiotrophoblast, inner cytotrophoblast) filled with a mesenchymal core [68, 85, 87]. Vasculogenesis, by means of *de novo* formation of blood vessels within the mesenchyme of the secondary villi begins at 18-20 days p.c., which remodels them into tertiary villi [37, 68, 85, 87, 106]. This early phase of vasculogenesis occurs independent from the development of vessels and blood cells in the embryo. Mostly all of the tertiary villi will be vascularized until the end of the first trimester [10, 68, 85]. The formation of new villi and thus the continuous growth of the villous trees lasts until the end of pregnancy following the uniform process as described above (1. trophoblast sprouting – primary villi, 2. mesenchymal invasion – secondary villi, 3. local angiogenesis – tertiary villi) [23, 24, 68].

b) Villous trophoblast population

As stated above, the placenta undergoes a considerable transformation within the first trimester of pregnancy. It represents an exceedingly cellular heterogeneous and dynamic organ leading to an intriguing field of research [97]. Single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) analysis represents a promising tool to identify new cell subtypes of the early human placenta and may enlarge our understating of early human placental development and functions [97]. Recent studies provide a blueprint of the dynamic changes within placental cells and their composition, thus inaugurating evidences for different roles of newly identified subtypes of villous trophoblasts in early gestation [97, 140]. Suryawanshi et al. showed transcriptome definitions of 9 cell populations from villous cell types, including villous cytotrophoblast, syncytiotrophoblast, extravillous trophoblast, three fibroblast cell clusters, vascular endothelial cells, erythroblasts, and Hofbauer cells [140]. In the first trimester, the proportion of trophoblasts is about 41% while villous cytotrophoblast represent the most abundant trophoblast cell type throughout gestation followed by syncytiotrophoblast and extravillous trophoblasts (based on the number of nuclei) [140]. Concomitantly with another study, analysis showed a proliferating subpopulation of villous cytotrophoblasts [97, 140]. The authors attributed this subpopulation to reflect the differentiation of villous cytotrophoblast to extravillous trophoblasts [140], while the other study assigned them to represent the reservoir that refills the cytotrophoblast pool [97]. Moreover, two additional non-proliferative villous cytotrophoblast subtypes were found. One not expressing syncytin-2 (encoded by *ERVFRD-1*) while the other subtype showed to be syncytin-2 positive, serving as a progenitor cell of the syncytiotrophoblast [97].

c) Function of villous trophoblast subpopulations

During early gestation, the general histological structure of the placental villi results in the placental barrier consisting of the villous syncytiotrophoblast, villous cytotrophoblasts, a trophoblastic basal membrane, mesenchymal stroma and placental blood vessels without a basement membrane. Later in gestation, the placental blood vessels are also covered by a basal membrane [10, 68]. Apart from the barrier function between maternal and fetal blood circulation, the placental villi, in particular the outer

syncytiotrophoblast, have a significant role in the fetal-maternal gas and nutrient exchange as well as endocrine and metabolic activity [20, 60]. Microvilli at the apical side of the syncytiotrophoblast enlarge the surface area to increase the exchange ability [10, 68]. The constant fusion of villous cytotrophoblasts with the syncytiotrophoblast maintains the highly active metabolic status of the syncytiotrophoblast through the steady transfer of new nuclei, mRNA transcripts and organelles [85].

Furthermore, the syncytiotrophoblast produces a variety of hormones from first trimester of pregnancy onwards, affecting the cross talk at the maternal fetal interface [51, 85, 87]. Human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) is mainly synthesized in the syncytiotrophoblast. The hCG levels exponentially rise during the first 7 weeks of pregnancy, which is important for the regulation of the hemochorial placentation and placental and fetal growth [30, 51]. Moreover, it has been shown, that hCG provokes the differentiation of trophoblasts and the fusion of cytotrophoblasts with the syncytiotrophoblast [51, 133]. Additionally, a study showed a positive feedback loop between hCG triggered placental development and hCG production [28, 51]. Notably, maternal platelets adhere at the surface of the syncytiotrophoblast of first trimester placental villi [17, 62] and can also be found in interstices of trophoblast columns of anchoring villi [61, 62, 106]. In this manner, platelets and platelet derived-factors may well affect villous trophoblast physiology, which for example has been shown by the impairment of hCG synthesis and secretion [51].

Beside hormones, the growing syncytiotrophoblast releases waste products and particles into the maternal body, modulating fetomaternal signaling [21, 129]. An adequate interaction between placenta-released particles and hormones into the maternal circulation and the response of the mother to these factors is a key mechanism for uncomplicated pregnancies [68]. With progressing pregnancy, apoptotic structures, known as syncytial knots, are released from the outer surface of the placenta carrying multiple late apoptotic nuclei [69] and then get stuck in the capillary bed of the maternal lungs [10, 69, 71]. The formation of syncytial knots before 20 weeks of pregnancy is rare [50], however, the frequency increases with gestational age [22, 50, 98]. Importantly, this process is the last step in the villous trophoblast turnover and typifies a regular turnover as seen in other stratified epithelia rather than triggering a maternal inflammatory response [70].

Concomitantly with syncytial knots, extracellular vesicles (EVs) are also released from the syncytiotrophoblast during the first trimester of pregnancy [91] with increasing amounts until term [86, 92]. They are carrying transcriptionally active and immunomodulatory cargo from the placenta to maternal cells [91, 119, 126, 143]. It seems as if such EVs also have a positive effect on the invasiveness of extravillous trophoblasts [141]. Of note, signaling between mother and fetus occurs in a bidirectional manner [111], which is why also maternally derived EVs affect implantation as well as physiological functions of trophoblasts and thus placental development [59, 113, 155]. Interestingly, *in vitro*, internalized endometrial EVs by HTR8 trophoblast cells promote their adhesive capacity [59]. *In vivo* this could increase the capability of trophoblasts to attach to the endometrium [59]. To this end, the highly dynamic and extremely regulated interaction between first trimester placenta and maternal cells determines the establishment of a healthy pregnancy [100, 140].

Vi. Extravillous Trophoblast Subpopulations And Trophoblast Invasion

As outlined above, primary villi start to emerge from day 13 p.c. and consequently result in the formation of primitive villous trees. Some stems of those villous trees remain attached to the maternal side, where they are called anchoring villi. This villous type does not only facilitate mechanical stability but is also the source for the extravillous trophoblast populations [44, 45]. The connection between an anchoring villus and the uterine decidua basalis is characterized by a cell column of mononuclear extravillous trophoblasts (EVTs). At the very tip of anchoring villi, there is no covering syncytiotrophoblast. Hence, proliferation of cytotrophoblasts results in the formation of multiple layers of daughter cells, called trophoblast cell column. During the first trimester of pregnancy, the cell columns still have a surrounding syncytiotrophoblast layer [45]. The cell columns show a differentiation gradient of trophoblasts from the proximal part attached to the basement membrane of the anchoring villus down to the distal part within the uterine stroma. The most proximal EVT, attached to the basement membrane of the anchoring villus, are of similar character to villous cytotrophoblasts and express the typical epithelial integrin types $\alpha 3\beta 1$ and $\alpha 6\beta 4$ [6, 35]. The EVTs of this first layer are of the proliferating phenotype [82] and their daughter cells are arranged in up to 6 layers in first trimester cell columns, forming a compact zone with little intercellular space that largely lacks ECM components [52]. The daughter cells in the compact zone may have already left the cell cycle [79] although immunoreactivity for the proliferation marker Mib-1 is still observable [82], and $\alpha 6\beta 4$ integrins are still expressed [35]. Recently, Liu et al. showed with scRNA-seq that the EVTs from the proximal portion of the cell column have an increased expression of ribonucleotide reductase regulatory subunit M2 (RRM2), which plays a role in DNA replication [97]. Both, the proliferating and post-proliferating, EVT populations show keratin 7 immunoreactivity [82], as do all trophoblast subtypes. Beneath the compact zone, the EVTs become larger and polygonally shaped with irregular microvilli-like processes and cytoplasmic glycogen particles [95]. There is more intercellular space between the cells than in the compact zone enabling a possible route for maternal plasma components, including particles such as platelets and multivesicular cargos entering the early intervillous space [61]. In this transition zone, the EVTs are embedded in a self-secreted matrix of laminins, collagen IV, vitronectin, heparan sulphate, cellular fibronectins including oncofetal isoforms and fibrillin [52, 78, 82]. This matrix is termed “matrix-type fibrinoid” [78]. Here, the cells are not of the ‘true’ invasive character as they still express $\alpha 6\beta 4$ integrins but also the $\alpha 5\beta 1$ integrins, the ‘interstitial integrin’ [35, 36]. Based on scRNA-seq data, at least two different subtypes of EVTs in the distal portion of the cell column are found, plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 (PAI-1, encoded by *SERPINE1*) positive and negative ones [97]. Acquisition of the invasive trophoblast phenotype is associated with an integrin switch from $\alpha 6\beta 4$ (proliferative phenotype) to $\alpha 1\beta 1$ (invasive phenotype) [36]. Recently, a member of fermitin family of adapter proteins (FERMT) has been suggested to regulate integrin activation and the subsequent integrin-mediated signaling in EVTs [80]. The intercellular space between the EVTs increases toward the distal end of the cell column. The cell size and the amount of glycogen of the non-invading EVTs increases and the cells become morphologically identical with those found in the basal plate in a term placenta [44, 95]. At the same time, the invasive phenotype of EVTs shows a spindle-shaped morphology similar to invasive tumor cells [82].

Once trophoblasts leave the trophoblast cell columns and switch into an invasive phenotype, they start to invade the maternal decidua to meet two important requirements of successful human placentation. First, EVT invasion guarantees anchorage of the placenta within the uterus (via interstitial trophoblasts), and second, EVTs erode uterine vessels and glands to allow fetal supply with nutrients and oxygen (endoarterial, endovenous, endolymphatic and endoglandular trophoblasts) (**Fig. 3**). Depending on location and function, there are different types of EVTs described along the invasive pathway.

a) The interstitial EVT population

Dispersed in the decidual stroma of the placental bed, mononuclear interstitial EVTs appear in large numbers usually as small spindle-shaped cells (invasive) and large polygonal cells (non-invasive). Their common features are the expression of keratin 7 and major histocompatibility complex, class I, G (HLA-G) on their surface. They invade as far as the inner third of the myometrium. At the border between decidua and myometrium, a large number of these cells transform into multinucleated giant trophoblasts (also referred to as 'giant cells') [82]. Multinucleated giant trophoblasts are characterized by their large size and display clusters of nuclei surrounded by ample cytoplasm that only focally shows keratin 7 immunoreactivity. It is suggested, that they are formed within a terminal differential step during a progressive reduction of the invasive population and so far no active function is known. Interestingly, even desmosomal contacts between giant cells and mononuclear EVTs have been described and the giant cells resemble characteristics of the villous syncytiotrophoblast in many ways (microvilli, occasionally secretory droplets) [74] and may also be generated by fusion of mononucleated trophoblasts. No specific transcriptome signature of this cell phenotype has been found by scRNA-seq of placental bed tissue [147].

b) The endovascular EVT populations

Endoarterial trophoblast

Beside other cell populations, endoarterial EVTs contribute to the complex process of uterine spiral artery remodeling [64]. This process is characterized by vascular smooth muscle cell separation and loss, vessel dilatation and invasion of EVTs. Uterine spiral artery remodeling is an essential process for a successful pregnancy. Recently, achaete-scute family BHLH transcription factor 2 (ASCL2) was confirmed as a critical and conserved regulator of the invasive trophoblast cell lineage and *ASCL2* expression was especially prominent in the endovascular EVT population [145]. In this study the authors did not stratify/differentiate between endoarterial, endovenous and endolymphatic trophoblasts.

Within the spiral arteries endoarterial EVTs aggregate to trophoblast plugs. These aggregates transiently inhibit the blood flow to the placenta in early pregnancy and thus have an important impact on the utero-placental hemodynamics. They persist in the decidual and myometrial parts of the spiral arteries beyond the first trimester. This challenges the current opinion, that spiral artery remodeling and trophoblast plugging are exclusive events of the first trimester [3]. Despite building a physiological barrier to restrict flow of maternal blood cells into the placental intervillous space, trophoblast plugs are not completely

leak-tight, there are channels within the plugs that enable an early microvascular filling of the intervillous space with blood plasma [73, 127].

It is still controversially discussed whether EVT's really fully replace the arterial endothelium and take over endothelial characteristics or not. Although HTR8 trophoblast cells *in vitro* integrate into already existing endothelial cellular networks via integrins $\alpha 1\beta 1$ and $\alpha 6\beta 4$ [153], it was recently demonstrated, that plugged vessels are still covered by more than two thirds with endothelial cells and that the so called endothelial mimicry by EVT's does not occur [18].

Endovenous and endolymphatic trophoblast

Formerly strictly allocated to the uterine spiral arteries, it is now clear that endovascular trophoblasts locate to all branches of the vascular system. Hence, they were described to be located in maternal veins (endovenous trophoblast) as well as lymphatic vessels (endolymphatic trophoblast)[65, 109, 151]. Uterine veins are even invaded prior to spiral arteries and connected to the intervillous space of the placenta to ensure fluid drainage from the placenta back into the maternal system [65, 109, 151]. Lymphatic vessels are invaded by endolymphatic trophoblasts resulting in the presence of EVT's in local lymph nodes [65]. Therefore, further stratification of endovascular trophoblast into endoarterial, endovenous and endolymphatic trophoblast is helpful in regard to differentially expressed cell-specific markers. As an example, CD56 is expressed on endoarterial trophoblasts within trophoblast plugs (= endoarterial trophoblast), but not on interstitial trophoblasts. Although this is just an estimation based on extensive microscopic experience, we suggest that endovenous and endolymphatic trophoblasts are phenotypically closer related to interstitial and endoglandular trophoblasts than to endoarterial trophoblast [75, 110, 146].

c) The endoglandular EVT population

The frequent connection between invading EVT's and uterine glands has been demonstrated in the last decade [105, 107, 108, 110]. During early pregnancy, EVT's invade towards the numerous uterine glands within the decidua, locate themselves within the glandular epithelium, reach the lumen of the gland and thereby connect the glands with the intervillous space of the placenta. Hence, this type of EVT has been termed endoglandular trophoblast. Endoglandular trophoblasts invade the maternal uterine glands and thus enable flow of their glandular secretion products into the intervillous space of the placenta. Other than hitherto assumed, the uterine glands within the endometrium are no singular tubes, instead they have branches and connections and even form a plexus network within the stratum basalis and expand along the myometrium [154]. Although demonstrated so far only in non-pregnant endometrium, it is unlikely that this plexus network vanishes in the decidua with the beginning of pregnancy rather than persisting as a glandular network throughout (at least) early pregnancy. Thus, endoglandular trophoblast invasion serves to connect the placenta, precisely the early intervillous space, with the whole glandular plexus.

In regions of strong trophoblast invasion, the glandular epithelium appears often disintegrated, the glands partially lose their epithelial lining, likely due to secretion of paracrine factors secreted by interstitial and endoglandular trophoblasts. Pro-invasive proteins such as matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)1, MMP9 and integrin β 1 are expressed by endoglandular trophoblasts [104, 108, 150], further factors still need to be investigated. Within the first trimester, even more cross sections of uterine glands than of vessels are invaded by invasive trophoblasts [108], which is well justified with the need of histiotrophic nutrition of the embryo before hemotrophic supply of the fetus. Factors secreted by the uterine glands (such as EGF and other so-called uterine milk components) are likely to stimulate and trigger trophoblast development and invasion. Details and evidence of the histiotrophic nutrition in the human development were recently reviewed by Burton et al. [19].

So far, the recent scRNA-seq approaches did not stratify between the above described phenotypes of invasive EVT. Hence, so far there is no information whether these subtypes display differences in their expression signatures [140, 147].

Vii. Conclusion And Outlook

Advancements in single-cell transcriptomics and multi-omics technologies enable profiling of thousands of human trophoblasts obtained either from first trimester placenta specimens, 3D organoids or bone morphogenetic protein 4 (BMP4)-exposed pluripotent stem cells. These novel approaches will contribute to more precisely characterize new sub-clusters of previously poorly described trophoblast subpopulations [9, 83, 123, 144, 152]. Integration of spatial transcriptomics and advanced imaging techniques will further enhance the characterization of the spatiotemporal distribution of these trophoblast sub-clusters during human placental development and will shed light on altered compositions of these clusters in placenta-associated pregnancy pathologies.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

N.A.

Consent for publication

N.A.

Availability of Data and Material

This review does not contain any original research data and hence the authors cannot provide information on where data supporting the results reported in the article can be found.

Competing Interests

The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

Funding

Martin Gauster, Nadja Kupper and Berthold Huppertz were supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) (Doc 31-B26) and the Medical University Graz, Austria, through the PhD program Inflammatory Disorders in Pregnancy (DP-iDP). Martin Gauster was further supported by the FWF (P33554), the Oesterreichische Nationalbank (Austrian Central Bank, Anniversary Fund, project number: 18175) and the Medical University Graz through the PhD program MolMed. Stefan Wernitznig was supported by Austrian Science Fund (FWF) (P32376).

Authors' Contributions

MG and BH contributed to the manuscript conception, all authors contributed to the design of the manuscript. Each author contributed a part of the manuscript, and MG wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

N.A.

References

- 1 Aberkane A, Essahib W, Spits C, De Paepe C, Sermon K, Adriaenssens T, Mackens S, Tournaye H, Brosens JJ and Van de Velde H (2018) Expression of adhesion and extracellular matrix genes in human blastocysts upon attachment in a 2D co-culture system. *Mol Hum Reprod* 24:375-387
- 2 Alikani M (2005) Epithelial cadherin distribution in abnormal human pre-implantation embryos. *Hum Reprod* 20:3369-3375
- 3 Allerkamp HH, Clark AR, Lee TC, Morgan TK, Burton GJ and James JL (2021) Something old, something new: digital quantification of uterine vascular remodelling and trophoblast plugging in historical collections provides new insight into adaptation of the utero-placental circulation. *Hum Reprod* 36:571-586
- 4 Aoki R and Fukuda MN (2000) Recent molecular approaches to elucidate the mechanism of embryo implantation: trophinin, bystin, and tastin as molecules involved in the initial attachment of blastocysts to the uterus in humans. *Semin Reprod Med* 18:265-271
- 5 Aplin JD (1997) Adhesion molecules in implantation. *Rev Reprod* 2:84-93
- 6 Aplin JD (1993) Expression of integrin alpha 6 beta 4 in human trophoblast and its loss from extravillous cells. *Placenta* 14:203-215

- 7 Aplin JD and Kimber SJ (2004) Trophoblast-uterine interactions at implantation. *Reprod Biol Endocrinol* 2:48-7827-2-48
- 8 Aplin JD, Meseguer M, Simon C, Ortiz ME, Croxatto H and Jones CJ (2001) MUC1, glycans and the cell-surface barrier to embryo implantation. *Biochem Soc Trans* 29:153-156
- 9 Barrozo ER and Aagaard KM (2022) Human placental biology at single-cell resolution: a contemporaneous review. *BJOG* 129:208-220
- 10 Benirschke K, Burton GJ, Baergen RN (2012) *Pathology of the Human Placenta*. Springer, Heidelberg
- 11 Bentin-Ley U, Horn T, Sjogren A, Sorensen S, Falck Larsen J and Hamberger L (2000) Ultrastructure of human blastocyst-endometrial interactions in vitro. *J Reprod Fertil* 120:337-350
- 12 Bentin-Ley U, Lindenberg S, Horn T and Larsen JF (1995) Ultrastructure of endometrial epithelial cells in a three-dimensional cell culture system for human implantation studies. *J Assist Reprod Genet* 12:632-638
- 13 Bentin-Ley U, Pedersen B, Lindenberg S, Larsen JF, Hamberger L and Horn T (1994) Isolation and culture of human endometrial cells in a three-dimensional culture system. *J Reprod Fertil* 101:327-332
- 14 Bergh PA and Navot D (1992) The impact of embryonic development and endometrial maturity on the timing of implantation. *Fertil Steril* 58:537-542
- 15 Berneau SC, Ruane PT, Brison DR, Kimber SJ, Westwood M and Aplin JD (2019) Characterisation of Osteopontin in an In Vitro Model of Embryo Implantation. *Cells* 8:10.3390/cells8050432
- 16 Bhattacharya A, Freedman AN, Avula V, Harris R, Liu W, Pan C, Lusi AJ, Joseph RM, Smeester L, Hartwell HJ, Kuban KCK, Marsit CJ, Li Y, O'Shea TM, Fry RC and Santos HPJr (2022) Placental genomics mediates genetic associations with complex health traits and disease. *Nat Commun* 13:706-022-28365-x
- 17 Blaschitz A, Siwetz M, Schlenke P and Gauster M (2015) Adhering maternal platelets can contribute to the cytokine and chemokine cocktail released by human first trimester villous placenta. *Placenta* 36:1333-1336
- 18 Bulmer JN, Innes BA, Robson SC and Lash GE (2020) Transient loss of endothelial cells in human spiral artery remodelling during early pregnancy: Challenging the dogma. *Placenta* 101:230-233
- 19 Burton GJ, Cindrova-Davies T and Turco MY (2020) Review: Histotrophic nutrition and the placental-endometrial dialogue during human early pregnancy. *Placenta* 102:21-26
- 20 Burton GJ and Jauniaux E (2018) Development of the Human Placenta and Fetal Heart: Synergic or Independent? *Front Physiol* 9:373
- 21 Burton GJ and Jauniaux E (2015) What is the placenta? *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 213:S6.e1, S6-8

- 22 Burton GJ and Jones CJ (2009) Syncytial knots, sprouts, apoptosis, and trophoblast deportation from the human placenta. *Taiwan J Obstet Gynecol* 48:28-37
- 23 Castellucci M, Kosanke G, Verdenelli F, Huppertz B and Kaufmann P (2000) Villous sprouting: fundamental mechanisms of human placental development. *Hum Reprod Update* 6:485-494
- 24 Castellucci M, Scheper M, Scheffen I, Celona A and Kaufmann P (1990) The development of the human placental villous tree. *Anat Embryol (Berl)* 181:117-128
- 25 Cavazza T, Takeda Y, Politi AZ, Aushev M, Aldag P, Baker C, Choudhary M, Bucevicius J, Lukinavicius G, Elder K, Blayney M, Lucas-Hahn A, Niemann H, Herbert M and Schuh M (2021) Parental genome unification is highly error-prone in mammalian embryos. *Cell* 184:2860-2877.e22
- 26 Cha J, Sun X and Dey SK (2012) Mechanisms of implantation: strategies for successful pregnancy. *Nat Med* 18:1754-1767
- 27 Chavez SL, Loewke KE, Han J, Moussavi F, Colls P, Munne S, Behr B and Reijo Pera RA (2012) Dynamic blastomere behaviour reflects human embryo ploidy by the four-cell stage. *Nat Commun* 3:1251
- 28 Cheong ML, Wang LJ, Chuang PY, Chang CW, Lee YS, Lo HF, Tsai MS and Chen H (2015) A Positive Feedback Loop between Glial Cells Missing 1 and Human Chorionic Gonadotropin (hCG) Regulates Placental hCGbeta Expression and Cell Differentiation. *Mol Cell Biol* 36:197-209
- 29 Chobotova K, Spyropoulou I, Carver J, Manek S, Heath JK, Gullick WJ, Barlow DH, Sargent IL and Mardon HJ (2002) Heparin-binding epidermal growth factor and its receptor ErbB4 mediate implantation of the human blastocyst. *Mech Dev* 119:137-144
- 30 Cole LA (2012) hCG, the wonder of today's science. *Reprod Biol Endocrinol* 10:24-7827-10-24
- 31 Coorens THH, Oliver TRW, Sanghvi R, Sovio U, Cook E, Vento-Tormo R, Haniffa M, Young MD, Rahbari R, Sebire N, Campbell PJ, Charnock-Jones DS, Smith GCS and Behjati S (2021) Inherent mosaicism and extensive mutation of human placentas. *Nature* 592:80-85
- 32 Cuman C, Menkhurst EM, Rombauts LJ, Holden S, Webster D, Bilandzic M, Osianlis T and Dimitriadis E (2013) Preimplantation human blastocysts release factors that differentially alter human endometrial epithelial cell adhesion and gene expression relative to IVF success. *Hum Reprod* 28:1161-1171
- 33 Cuman C, Van Sinderen M, Gantier MP, Rainczuk K, Sorby K, Rombauts L, Osianlis T and Dimitriadis E (2015) Human Blastocyst Secreted microRNA Regulate Endometrial Epithelial Cell Adhesion. *EBioMedicine* 2:1528-1535
- 34 Daikoku T, Cha J, Sun X, Tranguch S, Xie H, Fujita T, Hirota Y, Lydon J, DeMayo F, Maxson R and Dey SK (2011) Conditional deletion of Msx homeobox genes in the uterus inhibits blastocyst implantation by altering uterine receptivity. *Dev Cell* 21:1014-1025

- 35 Damsky CH, Fitzgerald ML and Fisher SJ (1992) Distribution patterns of extracellular matrix components and adhesion receptors are intricately modulated during first trimester cytotrophoblast differentiation along the invasive pathway, in vivo. *J Clin Invest* 89:210-222
- 36 Damsky CH, Librach C, Lim KH, Fitzgerald ML, McMaster MT, Janatpour M, Zhou Y, Logan SK and Fisher SJ (1994) Integrin switching regulates normal trophoblast invasion. *Development* 120:3657-3666
- 37 Demir R, Kaufmann P, Castellucci M, Erben T and Kotowski A (1989) Fetal vasculogenesis and angiogenesis in human placental villi. *Acta Anat (Basel)* 136:190-203
- 38 DeSouza MM, Surveyor GA, Price RE, Julian J, Kardon R, Zhou X, Gendler S, Hilkens J and Carson DD (1999) MUC1/episialin: a critical barrier in the female reproductive tract. *J Reprod Immunol* 45:127-158
- 39 Desoye G, Gauster M and Wadsack C (2011) Placental transport in pregnancy pathologies. *Am J Clin Nutr* 94:1896S-1902S
- 40 Dumortier JG, Le Verge-Serandour M, Tortorelli AF, Mielke A, de Plater L, Turlier H and Maitre JL (2019) Hydraulic fracturing and active coarsening position the lumen of the mouse blastocyst. *Science* 365:465-468
- 41 Ebner T, Moser M, Shebl O, Sommergruber M, Gaiswinkler U and Tews G (2009) Morphological analysis at compacting stage is a valuable prognostic tool for ICSI patients. *Reprod Biomed Online* 18:61-66
- 42 Enders AC (2007) Implantation in the macaque: expansion of the implantation site during the first week of implantation. *Placenta* 28:794-802
- 43 Enders AC (1995) Transition from lacunar to villous stage of implantation in the macaque, including establishment of the trophoblastic shell. *Acta Anat (Basel)* 152:151-169
- 44 Enders AC (1968) Fine structure of anchoring villi of the human placenta. *Am J Anat* 122:419-451
- 45 Enders AC, Blankenship TN, Fazleabas AT and Jones CJ (2001) Structure of anchoring villi and the trophoblastic shell in the human, baboon and macaque placenta. *Placenta* 22:284-303
- 46 Enders AC, Hendrickx AG and Schlafke S (1983) Implantation in the rhesus monkey: initial penetration of endometrium. *Am J Anat* 167:275-298
- 47 Fazleabas AT and Kim JJ (2003) Development. What makes an embryo stick? *Science* 299:355-356
- 48 Feng Y, Ma X, Deng L, Yao B, Xiong Y, Wu Y, Wang L, Ma Q and Ma F (2017) Role of selectins and their ligands in human implantation stage. *Glycobiology* 27:385-391
- 49 Firmin J and Maitre JL (2021) Morphogenesis of the human preimplantation embryo: bringing mechanics to the clinics. *Semin Cell Dev Biol* 120:22-31

- 50 Fogarty NM, Ferguson-Smith AC and Burton GJ (2013) Syncytial knots (Tenney-Parker changes) in the human placenta: evidence of loss of transcriptional activity and oxidative damage. *Am J Pathol* 183:144-152
- 51 Forstner D, Maninger S, Nonn O, Guettler J, Moser G, Leitinger G, Pritz E, Strunk D, Schallmoser K, Marsche G, Heinemann A, Huppertz B and Gauster M (2020) Platelet-derived factors impair placental chorionic gonadotropin beta-subunit synthesis. *J Mol Med (Berl)* 98:193-207
- 52 Frank HG, Malekzadeh F, Kertschanska S, Crescimanno C, Castellucci M, Lang I, Desoye G and Kaufmann P (1994) Immunohistochemistry of two different types of placental fibrinoid. *Acta Anat (Basel)* 150:55-68
- 53 Fukuda MN, Miyoshi M and Nadano D (2008) The role of bystin in embryo implantation and in ribosomal biogenesis. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 65:92-99
- 54 Fukuda MN and Nozawa S (1999) Trophinin, tastin, and bystin: a complex mediating unique attachment between trophoblastic and endometrial epithelial cells at their respective apical cell membranes. *Semin Reprod Endocrinol* 17:229-234
- 55 Fukuda MN and Sugihara K (2012) Trophinin in cell adhesion and signal transduction. *Front Biosci (Elite Ed)* 4:342-350
- 56 Galan A, O'Connor JE, Valbuena D, Herrero R, Remohi J, Pampfer S, Pellicer A and Simon C (2000) The human blastocyst regulates endometrial epithelial apoptosis in embryonic adhesion. *Biol Reprod* 63:430-439
- 57 Gauster M, Blaschitz A, Siwetz M and Huppertz B (2013) Keratins in the human trophoblast. *Histol Histopathol* 28(7):817-825
- 58 Genbacev OD, Prakobphol A, Foulk RA, Krtolica AR, Ilic D, Singer MS, Yang ZQ, Kiessling LL, Rosen SD and Fisher SJ (2003) Trophoblast L-selectin-mediated adhesion at the maternal-fetal interface. *Science* 299:405-408
- 59 Greening DW, Nguyen HP, Elgass K, Simpson RJ and Salamonsen LA (2016) Human Endometrial Exosomes Contain Hormone-Specific Cargo Modulating Trophoblast Adhesive Capacity: Insights into Endometrial-Embryo Interactions. *Biol Reprod* 94:38
- 60 Gude NM, Roberts CT, Kalionis B and King RG (2004) Growth and function of the normal human placenta. *Thromb Res* 114:397-407
- 61 Guettler J, Forstner D, Cvirn G, Maninger S, Brugger BA, Nonn O, Kupper N, Pritz E, Wernitznig S, Dohr G, Hutter H, Juch H, Isermann B, Kohli S and Gauster M (2021) Maternal platelets pass interstices of trophoblast columns and are not activated by HLA-G in early human pregnancy. *J Reprod Immunol* 144:103280

- 62 Guettler J, Forstner D and Gauster M (2021) Maternal platelets at the first trimester maternal-placental interface - Small players with great impact on placenta development. *Placenta*
- 63 Harper MJ (1992) The implantation window. *Baillieres Clin Obstet Gynaecol* 6:351-371
- 64 Harris LK (2010) Review: Trophoblast-vascular cell interactions in early pregnancy: how to remodel a vessel. *Placenta* 31 Suppl:S93-8
- 65 He N, van Iperen L, de Jong D, Szuhai K, Helmerhorst FM, van der Westerlaken LA and Chuva de Sousa Lopes SM (2017) Human Extravillous Trophoblasts Penetrate Decidual Veins and Lymphatics before Remodeling Spiral Arteries during Early Pregnancy. *PLoS One* 12:e0169849
- 66 HERTIG AT, ROCK J and ADAMS EC (1956) A description of 34 human ova within the first 17 days of development. *Am J Anat* 98:435-493
- 67 Hsu WL, Chen YH, Chao KC, Chang SP, Tsui KH, Li HY and Sung YJ (2008) Anti-fas activating antibody enhances trophoblast outgrowth on endometrial epithelial cells by induction of P38 MAPK/JNK-mediated apoptosis. *Placenta* 29:338-346
- 68 Huppertz B. SE (2018) Die Plazenta Grundlagen und klinische Bedeutung. 382-382
- 69 Huppertz B (2008) The anatomy of the normal placenta. *J Clin Pathol* 61:1296-1302
- 70 Huppertz B and Kingdom JC (2004) Apoptosis in the trophoblast–role of apoptosis in placental morphogenesis. *J Soc Gynecol Investig* 11:353-362
- 71 IKLE FA (1964) Dissemination of Syncytial Trophoblastic Cells in the Maternal Blood Stream during Pregnancy. *Bull Schweiz Akad Med Wiss* 20:62-72
- 72 Iwata K, Yumoto K, Sugishima M, Mizoguchi C, Kai Y, Iba Y and Mio Y (2014) Analysis of compaction initiation in human embryos by using time-lapse cinematography. *J Assist Reprod Genet* 31:421-426
- 73 James JL, Saghian R, Perwick R and Clark AR (2018) Trophoblast plugs: impact on utero-placental haemodynamics and spiral artery remodelling. *Hum Reprod* 33:1430-1441
- 74 Jones CJP and Aplin JD (2021) A re-examination of the origins of placental bed giant cells. *Placenta* 114:39-41
- 75 Kam EP, Gardner L, Loke YW and King A (1999) The role of trophoblast in the physiological change in decidual spiral arteries. *Hum Reprod* 14:2131-2138
- 76 Kang YJ, Forbes K, Carver J and Aplin JD (2014) The role of the osteopontin-integrin α 5 β 3 interaction at implantation: functional analysis using three different in vitro models. *Hum Reprod* 29:739-749

- 77 Karvas RM, McInturf S, Zhou J, Ezashi T, Schust DJ, Roberts RM and Schulz LC (2020) Use of a human embryonic stem cell model to discover GABRP, WFDC2, VTCN1 and ACTC1 as markers of early first trimester human trophoblast. *Mol Hum Reprod* 26:425-440
- 78 Kaufmann P, Huppertz B and Frank HG (1996) The fibrinoids of the human placenta: origin, composition and functional relevance. *Ann Anat* 178:485-501
- 79 Kaufmann P and Castellucci M (1997) Extravillous trophoblast in the human placenta: A review. *Placenta* 18:21-65. doi: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0143-4004\(97\)80079-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0143-4004(97)80079-3)
- 80 Kawamura E, Hamilton GB, Miskiewicz EI and MacPhee DJ (2021) Examination of FERMT1 expression in placental chorionic villi and its role in HTR8-SVneo cell invasion. *Histochem Cell Biol* 155:669-681
- 81 Kelleher AM, Burns GW, Behura S, Wu G and Spencer TE (2016) Uterine glands impact uterine receptivity, luminal fluid homeostasis and blastocyst implantation. *Sci Rep* 6:38078
- 82 Kemp B, Kertschanska S, Kadyrov M, Rath W, Kaufmann P and Huppertz B (2002) Invasive depth of extravillous trophoblast correlates with cellular phenotype: a comparison of intra- and extrauterine implantation sites. *Histochem Cell Biol* 117:401-414
- 83 Khan T, Seetharam AS, Zhou J, Bivens NJ, Schust DJ, Ezashi T, Tuteja G and Roberts RM (2021) Single Nucleus RNA Sequence (snRNAseq) Analysis of the Spectrum of Trophoblast Lineages Generated From Human Pluripotent Stem Cells in vitro. *Front Cell Dev Biol* 9:695248
- 84 Kimber SJ (2000) Molecular interactions at the maternal-embryonic interface during the early phase of implantation. *Semin Reprod Med* 18:237-253
- 85 Kingdom J, Huppertz B, Seaward G and Kaufmann P (2000) Development of the placental villous tree and its consequences for fetal growth. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 92:35-43
- 86 Knight M, Redman CW, Linton EA and Sargent IL (1998) Shedding of syncytiotrophoblast microvilli into the maternal circulation in pre-eclamptic pregnancies. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol* 105:632-640
- 87 Knofler M, Haider S, Saleh L, Pollheimer J, Gamage TKJB and James J (2019) Human placenta and trophoblast development: key molecular mechanisms and model systems. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 76:3479-3496
- 88 Kokkinos MI, Murthi P, Wafai R, Thompson EW and Newgreen DF (2010) Cadherins in the human placenta—epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) and placental development. *Placenta* 31:747-755
- 89 Kong S, Zhou C, Bao H, Ni Z, Liu M, He B, Huang L, Sun Y, Wang H and Lu J (2019) Epigenetic control of embryo-uterine crosstalk at peri-implantation. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 76:4813-4828

- 90 Korotkevich E, Niwayama R, Courtois A, Friese S, Berger N, Buchholz F and Hiiragi T (2017) The Apical Domain Is Required and Sufficient for the First Lineage Segregation in the Mouse Embryo. *Dev Cell* 40:235-247.e7
- 91 Kshirsagar SK, Alam SM, Jasti S, Hodes H, Nauser T, Gilliam M, Billstrand C, Hunt JS and Petroff MG (2012) Immunomodulatory molecules are released from the first trimester and term placenta via exosomes. *Placenta* 33:982-990
- 92 Kupper N and Huppertz B (2021) The endogenous exposome of the pregnant mother: Placental extracellular vesicles and their effect on the maternal system. *Mol Aspects Med* 100955
- 93 Lagalla C, Barberi M, Orlando G, Sciajno R, Bonu MA and Borini A (2015) A quantitative approach to blastocyst quality evaluation: morphometric analysis and related IVF outcomes. *J Assist Reprod Genet* 32:705-712
- 94 Lagalla C, Tarozzi N, Sciajno R, Wells D, Di Santo M, Nadalini M, Distratis V and Borini A (2017) Embryos with morphokinetic abnormalities may develop into euploid blastocysts. *Reprod Biomed Online* 34:137-146
- 95 Larsen JF and Knoth M (1971) Ultrastructure of the anchoring villi and trophoblastic shell in the second week of placentation. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand* 50:117-128
- 96 Lindenberg S, Nielsen MH and Lenz S (1985) In vitro studies of human blastocyst implantation. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 442:368-374
- 97 Liu Y, Fan X, Wang R, Lu X, Dang YL, Wang H, Lin HY, Zhu C, Ge H, Cross JC and Wang H (2018) Single-cell RNA-seq reveals the diversity of trophoblast subtypes and patterns of differentiation in the human placenta. *Cell Res* 28:819-832
- 98 Loukeris K, Sela R and Baergen RN (2010) Syncytial knots as a reflection of placental maturity: reference values for 20 to 40 weeks' gestational age. *Pediatr Dev Pathol* 13:305-309
- 99 Maitre JL, Turlier H, Illukkumbura R, Eismann B, Niwayama R, Nedelec F and Hiiragi T (2016) Asymmetric division of contractile domains couples cell positioning and fate specification. *Nature* 536:344-348
- 100 Maltepe E and Fisher SJ (2015) Placenta: the forgotten organ. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* 31:523-552
- 101 McNeer RR, Carraway CA, Fregien NL and Carraway KL (1998) Characterization of the expression and steroid hormone control of sialomucin complex in the rat uterus: implications for uterine receptivity. *J Cell Physiol* 176:110-119
- 102 Merviel P, Challier JC, Carbillon L, Foidart JM and Uzan S (2001) The role of integrins in human embryo implantation. *Fetal Diagn Ther* 16:364-371

- 103 Meseguer M, Aplin JD, Caballero-Campo P, O'Connor JE, Martin JC, Remohi J, Pellicer A and Simon C (2001) Human endometrial mucin MUC1 is up-regulated by progesterone and down-regulated in vitro by the human blastocyst. *Biol Reprod* 64:590-601
- 104 Moser G, Drewlo S, Huppertz B and Armant DR (2018) Trophoblast retrieval and isolation from the cervix: origins of cervical trophoblasts and their potential value for risk assessment of ongoing pregnancies. *Hum Reprod Update* 24:484-496
- 105 Moser G, Gauster M, Orendi K, Glasner A, Theuerkauf R and Huppertz B (2010) Endoglandular trophoblast, an alternative route of trophoblast invasion? Analysis with novel confrontation co-culture models. *Hum Reprod* 25:1127-1136
- 106 Moser G, Guettler J, Forstner D and Gauster M (2019) Maternal Platelets-Friend or Foe of the Human Placenta? *Int J Mol Sci* 20:10.3390/ijms20225639
- 107 Moser G and Huppertz B (2017) Implantation and extravillous trophoblast invasion: From rare archival specimens to modern biobanking. *Placenta* 56:19-26
- 108 Moser G, Weiss G, Gauster M, Sundl M and Huppertz B (2015) Evidence from the very beginning: endoglandular trophoblasts penetrate and replace uterine glands in situ and in vitro. *Hum Reprod* 30:2747-2757
- 109 Moser G, Weiss G, Sundl M, Gauster M, Siwetz M, Lang-Olip I and Huppertz B (2017) Extravillous trophoblasts invade more than uterine arteries: evidence for the invasion of uterine veins. *Histochem Cell Biol* 147:353-366
- 110 Moser G, Windsperger K, Pollheimer J, de Sousa Lopes SC and Huppertz B (2018) Human trophoblast invasion: new and unexpected routes and functions. *Histochem Cell Biol* 150:361-370
- 111 Murrieta-Coxca JM, Aengenheister L, Schmidt A, Markert UR, Buerki-Thurnherr T and Morales-Prieto DM (2022) Addressing microchimerism in pregnancy by ex vivo human placenta perfusion. *Placenta* 117:78-86
- 112 Nejatbakhsh R, Kabir-Salmani M, Dimitriadis E, Hosseini A, Taheripana R, Sadeghi Y, Akimoto Y and Iwashita M (2012) Subcellular localization of L-selectin ligand in the endometrium implies a novel function for pinopodes in endometrial receptivity. *Reprod Biol Endocrinol* 10:46-7827-10-46
- 113 Ng YH, Rome S, Jalabert A, Forterre A, Singh H, Hincks CL and Salamonsen LA (2013) Endometrial Exosomes/Microvesicles in the Uterine Microenvironment: A New Paradigm for Embryo-Endometrial Cross Talk at Implantation. *PLoS One* 8(3):e58502
- 114 Nikas G, Ao A, Winston RM and Handyside AH (1996) Compaction and surface polarity in the human embryo in vitro. *Biol Reprod* 55:32-37

- 115 Nikas G and Psychoyos A (1997) Uterine pinopodes in peri-implantation human endometrium. Clinical relevance. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 816:129-142
- 116 Norwitz ER, Schust DJ and Fisher A-e (2001) Implantation and the survival of early pregnancy. *N Engl J Med* 345:1400-1408
- 117 Ochoa-Bernal MA and Fazleabas AT (2020) Physiologic Events of Embryo Implantation and Decidualization in Human and Non-Human Primates. *Int J Mol Sci* 21:10.3390/ijms21061973
- 118 O'Rahilly R and Muller F (2010) Developmental stages in human embryos: revised and new measurements. *Cells Tissues Organs* 192:73-84
- 119 Ouyang Y, Bayer A, Chu T, Tyurin VA, Kagan VE, Morelli AE, Coyne CB and Sadovsky Y (2016) Isolation of human trophoblastic extracellular vesicles and characterization of their cargo and antiviral activity. *Placenta* 47:86-95
- 120 Paria BC, Elenius K, Klagsbrun M and Dey SK (1999) Heparin-binding EGF-like growth factor interacts with mouse blastocysts independently of ErbB1: a possible role for heparan sulfate proteoglycans and ErbB4 in blastocyst implantation. *Development* 126:1997-2005
- 121 Paria BC, Huet-Hudson YM and Dey SK (1993) Blastocyst's state of activity determines the "window" of implantation in the receptive mouse uterus. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 90:10159-10162
- 122 Paria BC, Lim H, Das SK, Reese J and Dey SK (2000) Molecular signaling in uterine receptivity for implantation. *Semin Cell Dev Biol* 11:67-76
- 123 Popovic M and Chuva de Sousa Lopes SM (2022) Emerging in vitro platforms and omics technologies for studying the endometrium and early embryo-maternal interface in humans. *Placenta*
- 124 Popovic M, Dhaenens L, Boel A, Menten B and Heindryckx B (2020) Chromosomal mosaicism in human blastocysts: the ultimate diagnostic dilemma. *Hum Reprod Update* 26:313-334
- 125 Raab G, Kover K, Paria BC, Dey SK, Ezzell RM and Klagsbrun M (1996) Mouse preimplantation blastocysts adhere to cells expressing the transmembrane form of heparin-binding EGF-like growth factor. *Development* 122:637-645
- 126 Rajakumar A, Cerdeira AS, Rana S, Zsengeller Z, Edmunds L, Jeyabalan A, Hubel CA, Stillman IE, Parikh SM and Karumanchi SA (2012) Transcriptionally active syncytial aggregates in the maternal circulation may contribute to circulating soluble fms-like tyrosine kinase 1 in preeclampsia. *Hypertension* 59:256-264
- 127 Roberts VHJ, Morgan TK, Bednarek P, Morita M, Burton GJ, Lo JO and Frias AE (2017) Early first trimester uteroplacental flow and the progressive disintegration of spiral artery plugs: new insights from contrast-enhanced ultrasound and tissue histopathology. *Hum Reprod* 32:2382-2393

- 128 Ruane PT, Buck CJ, Babbington PA, Aboussahoud W, Berneau SC, Westwood M, Kimber SJ, Aplin JD and Brison DR (2020) The effects of hyaluronate-containing medium on human embryo attachment to endometrial epithelial cells in vitro. *Hum Reprod Open* 2020:hoz033
- 129 Sadovsky Y, Ouyang Y, Powell JS, Li H, Mouillet JF, Morelli AE, Sorkin A and Margolis L (2020) Placental small extracellular vesicles: Current questions and investigative opportunities. *Placenta* 102:34-38
- 130 Sathananthan H, Menezes J and Gunasheela S (2003) Mechanics of human blastocyst hatching in vitro. *Reprod Biomed Online* 7:228-234
- 131 Sedzinski J, Biro M, Oswald A, Tinevez JY, Salbreux G and Paluch E (2011) Polar actomyosin contractility destabilizes the position of the cytokinetic furrow. *Nature* 476:462-466
- 132 Seshagiri PB, Sen Roy S, Sireesha G and Rao RP (2009) Cellular and molecular regulation of mammalian blastocyst hatching. *J Reprod Immunol* 83:79-84
- 133 Shi QJ, Lei ZM, Rao CV and Lin J (1993) Novel role of human chorionic gonadotropin in differentiation of human cytotrophoblasts. *Endocrinology* 132:1387-1395
- 134 Singh H, Nardo L, Kimber SJ and Aplin JD (2010) Early stages of implantation as revealed by an in vitro model. *Reproduction* 139:905-914
- 135 Smith CA, Moore HD and Hearn JP (1987) The ultrastructure of early implantation in the marmoset monkey (*Callithrix jacchus*). *Anat Embryol (Berl)* 175:399-410
- 136 Smith SE, French MM, Julian J, Paria BC, Dey SK and Carson DD (1997) Expression of heparan sulfate proteoglycan (perlecan) in the mouse blastocyst is regulated during normal and delayed implantation. *Dev Biol* 184:38-47
- 137 Stephenson RO, Yamanaka Y and Rossant J (2010) Disorganized epithelial polarity and excess trophectoderm cell fate in preimplantation embryos lacking E-cadherin. *Development* 137:3383-3391
- 138 Stern C, Schwarz S, Moser G, Cvitic S, Jantscher-Krenn E, Gauster M and Hiden U (2021) Placental Endocrine Activity: Adaptation and Disruption of Maternal Glucose Metabolism in Pregnancy and the Influence of Fetal Sex. *Int J Mol Sci* 22:10.3390/ijms222312722
- 139 Sugihara K, Sugiyama D, Byrne J, Wolf DP, Lowitz KP, Kobayashi Y, Kabir-Salmani M, Nadano D, Aoki D, Nozawa S, Nakayama J, Mustelin T, Ruoslahti E, Yamaguchi N and Fukuda MN (2007) Trophoblast cell activation by trophinin ligation is implicated in human embryo implantation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 104:3799-3804
- 140 Suryawanshi H, Morozov P, Straus A, Sahasrabudhe N, Max KEA, Garzia A, Kustagi M, Tuschl T and Williams Z (2018) A single-cell survey of the human first-trimester placenta and decidua. *Sci Adv*

- 141 Takahashi H, Ohkuchi A, Kuwata T, Usui R, Baba Y, Suzuki H, Chaw Kyi TT, Matsubara S, Saito S and Takizawa T (2017) Endogenous and exogenous miR-520c-3p modulates CD44-mediated extravillous trophoblast invasion. *Placenta* 50:25-31
- 142 Tamura N, Sugihara K, Akama TO and Fukuda MN (2011) Trophinin-mediated cell adhesion induces apoptosis of human endometrial epithelial cells through PKC-delta. *Cell Cycle* 10:135-143
- 143 Tong M and Chamley LW (2015) Placental extracellular vesicles and feto-maternal communication. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med* 5:a023028
- 144 Turco MY and Moffett A (2019) Development of the human placenta. *Development* 146:10.1242/dev.163428
- 145 Varberg KM, Iqbal K, Muto M, Simon ME, Scott RL, Kozai K, Choudhury RH, Aplin JD, Biswell R, Gibson M, Okae H, Arima T, Vivian JL, Grundberg E and Soares MJ (2021) ASCL2 reciprocally controls key trophoblast lineage decisions during hemochorial placenta development. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 118:10.1073/pnas.2016517118
- 146 Varberg KM and Soares MJ (2021) Paradigms for investigating invasive trophoblast cell development and contributions to uterine spiral artery remodeling. *Placenta* 113:48-56
- 147 Vento-Tormo R, Efremova M, Botting RA, Turco MY, Vento-Tormo M, Meyer KB, Park JE, Stephenson E, Polanski K, Goncalves A, Gardner L, Holmqvist S, Henriksson J, Zou A, Sharkey AM, Millar B, Innes B, Wood L, Wilbrey-Clark A, Payne RP, Ivarsson MA, Lisgo S, Filby A, Rowitch DH, Bulmer JN, Wright GJ, Stubbington MJT, Haniffa M, Moffett A and Teichmann SA (2018) Single-cell reconstruction of the early maternal-fetal interface in humans. *Nature* 563:347-353
- 148 Wang Y and Zhao S (2010) *Vascular Biology of the Placenta*
Colloquium Series on Integrated Systems Physiology: From Molecule to Function. San Rafael (CA): Morgan & Claypool Life Sciences;
- 149 Watanabe T, Biggins JS, Tannan NB and Srinivas S (2014) Limited predictive value of blastomere angle of division in trophectoderm and inner cell mass specification. *Development* 141:2279-2288
- 150 Weiss G, Sundl M, Glasner A, Huppertz B and Moser G (2016) The trophoblast plug during early pregnancy: a deeper insight. *Histochem Cell Biol* 146:749-756
- 151 Windsperger K, Dekan S, Pils S, Golletz C, Kunihs V, Fiala C, Kristiansen G, Knofler M and Pollheimer J (2017) Extravillous trophoblast invasion of venous as well as lymphatic vessels is altered in idiopathic, recurrent, spontaneous abortions. *Hum Reprod* 32:1208-1217

- 152 Xiao Z, Yan L, Liang X and Wang H (2020) Progress in deciphering trophoblast cell differentiation during human placentation. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* 67:86-91
- 153 Xu B, Shanmugalingam R, Chau K, Makris A and Hennessy A (2020) Galectin-1-Related Modulation of Trophoblast Endothelial Interactions by Integrins alpha1 and beta1. *Reprod Sci* 27:1097-1109
- 154 Yamaguchi M, Yoshihara K, Suda K, Nakaoka H, Yachida N, Ueda H, Sugino K, Mori Y, Yamawaki K, Tamura R, Ishiguro T, Motoyama T, Watanabe Y, Okuda S, Tainaka K and Enomoto T (2021) Three-dimensional understanding of the morphological complexity of the human uterine endometrium. *iScience* 24:102258
- 155 Zhang J, Li H, Fan B, Xu W and Zhang X (2020) Extracellular vesicles in normal pregnancy and pregnancy-related diseases. *J Cell Mol Med* Apr;24(8):4377-4388

Figures

Figure 1

Blastocyst formation

Fertilization of the oocyte gives rise to a diploid zygote (day 0) that undergoes three rounds of cleavage divisions until day 3 postfertilization. Thereafter, compaction of the arising blastomeres starts to arrange cells in a compact cell cluster. By day 4 postfertilization, the morula stage becomes finalized when the embryo is compacted and contains internalized cells (purple), giving rise to the inner cell mass. The outer cells (orange) become the trophectoderm and fluidic uptake leads to a growing lumen (blastocoel), flattening of the outer cell layer and arrangement of the inner cell mass on one pole (embryonic pole). Note that the blastocyst is still surrounded by the zona pellucida, a glycoprotein-rich membrane formed already during oogenesis. Adapted from [49].

Figure 2

Implantation and initial syncytium formation

A: The blastocyst is attached to the uterine epithelium. This epithelium is covered by a massive glycocalyx. At the site of blastocyst attachment, the pinopodes of the uterine epithelial cells allow direct interaction between these cells and the trophectoderm cells of the embryonic pole of the blastocyst, bypassing the glycocalyx. **B:** At the embryonic pole of the blastocyst, the trophectoderm cells start to

proliferate and form multiple cell layers of daughter cells, the cytotrophoblasts. Some of the cytotrophoblasts further differentiate and fuse with each other to generate the first syncytiotrophoblast, a multinucleated trophoblast structure. Only this syncytiotrophoblast seems to be able to penetrate the uterine epithelium, allowing invasion into the uterine stroma. **C:** By means of the invading early syncytiotrophoblast, the embryo has passed the uterine epithelium and is now fully embedded in the uterine stroma. The syncytiotrophoblast fully surrounds the underlying mononucleated cytotrophoblasts as well as the embryo and thus is the only embryonic layer in contact with maternal tissues. Already at this stage of placental development, the syncytiotrophoblast invades into a uterine gland to allow nutritive support of the embryo using glandular secretion products, called uterine milk.

Figure 3

Extravillous trophoblast invasion: The interstitial, endoglandular and endovascular trophoblast subtypes

Rows (**a & b** and **c & d**): Serial sections from first trimester decidua basalis (gestational age 7 weeks). Columns (**a & c, b & d**): Immuno double staining for HLA-G (dark brown) and keratin 7 (blue) (**a & c**) or HLA-G (brown) and von Willebrand factor (blue) (**b & d**). EVT's (brown) appear spindle-shaped or polygonal within the decidual stroma (**a** to **d**). Glands (red asterisks) are invaded by endoglandular EVT's (arrows) from the interstitial side, glandular epithelium (blue in **a & c**) looks disintegrated in the invaded regions (**a & c**). EVT's (arrows) are also present within all types of uterine vessels (circles, endothelium stained in blue) (**b & d**). A clear differentiation of endovascular subtypes is not possible in such small vessels. Scale bar represents 50µm, no nuclear counterstain.