Blood and Faecal Biomarkers to Assess Dietary Energy, Protein and Amino acid Efficiency of Utilization by Growing and Finishing Pigs

Jordi Camp Montoro (✉ jordi.camp95@gmail.com)

Teagasc - The Irish Agriculture and Food Development Authority

David Solà-Oriol

Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona

Ramon Muns

Agri Food and Biosciences Institute

Josep Gasa

Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona

Núria Llanes

Cooperativa d'Ivars

Edgar Garcia Manzanilla

Teagasc - The Irish Agriculture and Food Development Authority

Research Article

Keywords: faeces, growth, lysine, metabolism, nutrition, requirements, swine

Posted Date: February 28th, 2022

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1389790/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background

This study aimed to use the blood serum metabolite (BSM) and faecal volatile fatty acid (VFA) profiles to identify changes in protein, amino acid and energy dietary content in growing and finishing pigs.

Results

Two studies were conducted. The first study (S1) included 20 pens of 11 pigs (87.0 ± 4.10 kg; 18 weeks old) assigned to 5 diets: control (C1), high and low crude protein (HP1 and LP1), and high and low net energy (HE1 and LE1). The second study (S2) included 28 pens of 11 pigs (41.3 ± 2.60 kg; 12 weeks old) assigned to 7 diets: control (C2), high and low crude protein (HP2 and LP2), high and low amino acid (HA2 and LA2), and high and low net energy (HE2 and LE2). Pigs were followed for 10 (S1) and 20 (S2) days and blood and faecal samples were collected at 20 (S1) and 14 (S2) weeks of age. Data was analysed using general linear models and ROC curve analysis. Urea nitrogen was higher in pigs fed HP1 (13.6 ± 0.95 mg/dL) and HP2 (11.6 ± 0.61) compared to those fed LP1 (6.0 ± 0.95) and LP2 (5.2 ± 0.61 ; $P < 0.001$) showing very good discrimination ability (AUC = 98.4 and 100%, respectively). In S1, creatinine (133.8 ± 3.97 μ mol/L), triglycerides (0.42 ± 0.03 mmol/L) and branched-chain fatty acids (BCFA; $6.1 \pm 0.38\%$ of total VFA; AUC = 96.9%) were higher in HE1 than LE1 pigs (117.2 ± 3.97 ; 0.28 ± 0.03 ; 4.4 ± 0.38 , respectively; $P < 0.05$). In S2, albumin was lower (32.1 ± 1.08 g/L) and propionic acid was higher ($24.5 \pm 0.57\%$ of total VFA) in LA2 pigs than HP2, HA2, LE2, and HE2 pigs (37.9 ± 1.08 ; 21.4 ± 0.57 , respectively; $P < 0.001$). Cholesterol was higher in HE2 pigs (2.8 ± 0.11 mmol/L) than LE2 pigs (2.4 ± 0.11 ; $P < 0.05$). Finally, BCFA were higher in HP2 pigs (6.6 ± 0.41) than HA2 and LE2 (4.8 ± 0.41 ; $P < 0.05$) and had a moderate accuracy to differentiate between HP2 and LP2 pigs (AUC = 87.5%).

Conclusions

Urea nitrogen is a good indicator with high accuracy to detect an excess of crude protein with inadequate amino acid profile in growing and finishing pig diets. Other biomarkers like albumin and BCFA showed promising results and need to be further studied.

Background

Pig diets are formulated to optimise growth, health, and welfare of the animals by meeting their nutritional requirements while avoiding the excess of nutrients, especially nitrogen and phosphorus, that result in environmental pollution. The actual nutritional value of a diet for a particular pig farm is affected by different factors such as quality of ingredients (1), feed form and delivery method (2), variability of body weight (BW) (3,4), farm management (5,6), or health status of the farm (7,8) among others. With so many factors affecting nutritional value of feed, suboptimal diets are not rare and can result in extra cost for the farmers, potential health and welfare problems for the pigs and environmental contamination.

The use of low protein diets, including more synthetic amino acids (**AAs**), is one of the most effective measures to reduce the incidence of diarrhoea in pigs (9) and to reduce ammonia emissions in pig farms (10). However, the optimum levels of AAs and the balance between AAs and energy can vary depending on the particularities of each farm and the same low protein diet can be adequate or not depending on the farm. Thus, methods to optimise diets at farm level are needed. Classical methods for feed assessment, such as digestibility trials, are expensive, time consuming and are not suited for use in commercial farms (11). Fast methods to optimise diets at individual farm level would be of interest to improve production efficiency, animal health and welfare, and to reduce the environmental footprint and production costs (12–14).

Blood biochemistry is a fast analysis method used regularly in clinical practice for many animal species and it can provide parameters directly related to energy and protein metabolism. Dietary changes affect blood metabolites such as total protein (15–17), albumin (16–18), serum urea nitrogen (**SUN**) (19–21) and creatinine (21) for the protein metabolism, and glucose (15–17), triglycerides (18,22) and cholesterol (17,18) for the energy metabolism.

Faecal samples are easy to collect in pig farms and fermentation components present in faeces, like volatile fatty acids (**VFAs**) can be easily measured and are directly affected by the composition of the diet and the metabolism of nutrients by the animal (23–25). Carbohydrates are catabolized to short-chain fatty acids such as acetic, propionic or butyric acid, while protein results in a higher concentration of branched-chain fatty acids (**BCFA**) produced from the deamination of branched-chain amino acids such as leucine, isoleucine and valine (25). Therefore, high proportions of BCFA measured in faeces could indicate an excess of protein reaching distal parts of the intestine and being inefficiently used by pigs.

Blood serum metabolites and faecal VFA profiles could be potential biomarkers to identify diets that are suboptimal at individual farm level. Therefore, we hypothesise that dietary energy, protein, and AA content could be optimised using blood metabolite and faecal VFA profiles in growing and finishing pigs. The objective of the present study was to evaluate the use of the blood serum metabolite and faecal VFA profiles to identify differences in the levels of dietary net energy (**NE**), crude protein (**CP**), and AA within normal ranges for growing and finishing pigs.

Methods

Animals, diets and experimental design

Two studies were conducted in the Teagasc Pig Research Facility in Fermoy, Co. Cork, Ireland. Both studies received ethical approval from the Teagasc Animal Ethics Committee (TAEC 244/2019). In both studies, pigs were housed in pens with fully slatted concrete floor (2.4 × 4.2 m) containing a single wet-dry feeder (330mm [Width] × 370mm [Depth] × 1000mm [Height]; MA37, Verba, Netherlands) and one supplementary nipple drinker. Water and pelleted feed were provided ad libitum. Temperature was controlled by a mechanical ventilation system with fan speed and air inlet area regulated by a climate controller. Pens were enriched with a larch wood post.

The first study (**S1**) was conducted in finishing pigs from 18 to 20 weeks of age. A total of 220 Danish Duroc × (Large White × Landrace) growing pigs born within one week were moved to the grower-finisher stage at 11 weeks of age and housed in balanced mixed sex pens. Pigs were fed a single soybean meal-maize-wheat based grower-finisher diet (9.67 MJ/NE, 161.8 g CP, and 9.2 g of SID Lys per kg of feed) from 11 to 18 weeks of age before the study started. The study started at 18 weeks of age when pigs were weighed per pen as a group (n = 20; 11 pigs/pen; 87.0 ± 4.10 kg BW), assigned per pen based on BW to five different dietary treatments, and followed for 10 days. Diets were formulated to obtain a control diet [**C1**; 10.03 MJ of NE, 160 g of CP, and 9.5 g of standard ileal digestive (**SID**) lysine (**Lys**) per kg of feed] which met or exceed the minimum nutrient requirements (26), and 4 modifications of this diet: low crude protein (**LP1**) by reducing CP to 132 g per kg of feed and AAs to 7.5 g of SID Lys per kg of feed; high crude protein (**HP1**) by increasing CP to 188 g per kg of feed and AAs to 11.5 g of SID Lys per kg of feed; low net energy (**LE1**) by reducing NE to 9.61 MJ/NE per kg of feed; and high net energy (**HE1**) by increasing NE to 10.45 MJ/NE per kg of feed. Ingredient, calculated and analysed nutrient diet composition is shown in Table 1. Feed was given to each pen by bags ensuring that pigs were fed ad libitum during the whole trial. Pigs went back to the common management of the Teagasc Pig Research Facility after the 10-day trial period.

Table 1

Ingredient, calculated and analysed nutrient composition on an as-fed basis of the five dietary treatments in study 1.¹

	Diets ²				
	C1	LP1	HP1	LE1	HE1
Ingredients, g/kg					
Wheat	350.0	350.0	350.0	330.0	306.2
Barley	282.5	345.0	0.0	310.5	200.0
Maize	150.0	150.0	286.6	100.0	275.5
Soybean meal 47.5	172.4	95.7	254.1	175.1	172.4
Soybean hulls	14.2	29.7	63.9	58.3	0.0
Vegetable Oil	5.0	5.0	17.6	0.0	21.5
Calcium carbonate	12.3	12.7	12.2	10.7	11.7
Dicalcium phosphate anhydrous	0.50	0.50	1.00	3.00	0.50
Sodium chloride	4.50	4.40	3.20	4.40	3.70
L-Lysine HCl	4.30	3.80	5.30	4.15	4.40
L-Threonine	1.60	1.20	2.20	1.15	1.60
DL-Methionine	1.30	0.70	2.20	1.30	1.20
L-Tryptophan	0.20	0.10	0.20	0.20	0.10
L-Valine	0.00	0.00	0.30	0.00	0.00
Vitamin and trace mineral mixture ³	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20
Calculated / Analysed Composition ⁴ , % as fed or as specified					
Dry Matter, analysed	88.00	87.70	88.30	87.90	87.90

¹ Diets were fed in finishing pigs during 10 days at 18 weeks of age.

² C1 = Control; LP1 = Low Crude Protein; HP1 = High Crude Protein; LE1 = Low Net Energy; HE1 = High Net Energy

³ Provided per each kg of feed: 60 mg Copper sulphate, 80 mg Ferrous sulphate monohydrate, 50 mg Manganese oxide, 100 mg Zinc oxide, 0.5 mg Potassium iodate, 0.4 mg Sodium selenite, 2 MIU Vitamin A, 0.5 MIU Vitamin D₃, 40 MIU Vitamin E, 4 mg Vitamin K, 0.015 mg Vitamin B₁₂, 2 mg Riboflavin, 12 mg Nicotinic acid, 10 mg Pantothenic acid, 2 mg Vitamin B₁, 3 mg Vitamin B₆.

⁴ NE = Net Energy; SID = Standardized Ileal Digestible; NDF = Neutral Detergent Fiber.

	Diets ²				
Ash, analysed	3.90	3.60	4.00	4.10	3.90
NE, MJ/kg	10.03	10.03	10.03	9.61	10.45
SID Lys:NE, g/MJ	0.95	0.75	1.15	0.99	0.91
Crude Protein, analysed	13.40	11.60	16.20	14.50	14.30
Total Lys, analysed	1.05	0.88	1.31	1.08	1.02
Total Thr / Lys ratio, analysed	0.58	0.58	0.56	0.57	0.62
Total Met-Cys / Lys ratio, analysed	0.64	0.64	0.63	0.65	0.68
Total Trp / Lys ratio, analysed	0.14	0.15	0.15	0.14	0.14
Total Val / Lys ratio, analysed	0.60	0.65	0.65	0.67	0.69
Total Leu / Lys ratio, analysed	1.09	1.14	1.08	1.14	1.14
Total Ile / Lys ratio, analysed	0.54	0.55	0.57	0.58	0.60
Total His / Lys ratio, analysed	0.34	0.38	0.37	0.36	0.38
SID Lys	0.95	0.75	1.15	0.95	0.95
SID Thr / Lys ratio	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.65
SID Met-Cys / Lys ratio	0.59	0.59	0.59	0.59	0.59
SID Trp / Lys ratio	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19
SID Val / Lys ratio	0.66	0.67	0.65	0.66	0.66
SID Leu / Lys ratio	1.16	1.20	1.11	1.16	1.15
SID Ile / Lys ratio	0.56	0.55	0.57	0.57	0.57
SID His / Lys ratio	0.36	0.36	0.34	0.35	0.36
Fat, analysed	2.79	2.74	3.78	2.21	4.19

¹ Diets were fed in finishing pigs during 10 days at 18 weeks of age.

² C1 = Control; LP1 = Low Crude Protein; HP1 = High Crude Protein; LE1 = Low Net Energy; HE1 = High Net Energy

³ Provided per each kg of feed: 60 mg Copper sulphate, 80 mg Ferrous sulphate monohydrate, 50 mg Manganese oxide, 100 mg Zinc oxide, 0.5 mg Potassium iodate, 0.4 mg Sodium selenite, 2 MIU Vitamin A, 0.5 MIU Vitamin D₃, 40 MIU Vitamin E, 4 mg Vitamin K, 0.015 mg Vitamin B₁₂, 2 mg Riboflavin, 12 mg Nicotinic acid, 10 mg Pantothenic acid, 2 mg Vitamin B₁, 3 mg Vitamin B₆.

⁴ NE = Net Energy; SID = Standardized Ileal Digestible; NDF = Neutral Detergent Fiber.

	Diets ²				
Crude Fiber, analysed	2.90	3.40	4.20	4.20	2.40
NDF	12.96	14.15	13.54	15.02	12.00
Calcium	0.75	0.75	0.80	0.77	0.72
Digestible Phosphorus	0.22	0.22	0.22	0.25	0.22
¹ Diets were fed in finishing pigs during 10 days at 18 weeks of age.					
² C1 = Control; LP1 = Low Crude Protein; HP1 = High Crude Protein; LE1 = Low Net Energy; HE1 = High Net Energy					
³ Provided per each kg of feed: 60 mg Copper sulphate, 80 mg Ferrous sulphate monohydrate, 50 mg Manganese oxide, 100 mg Zinc oxide, 0.5 mg Potassium iodate, 0.4 mg Sodium selenite, 2 MIU Vitamin A, 0.5 MIU Vitamin D ₃ , 40 MIU Vitamin E, 4 mg Vitamin K, 0.015 mg Vitamin B ₁₂ , 2 mg Riboflavin, 12 mg Nicotinic acid, 10 mg Pantothenic acid, 2 mg Vitamin B ₁ , 3 mg Vitamin B ₆ .					
⁴ NE = Net Energy; SID = Standardized Ileal Digestible; NDF = Neutral Detergent Fiber.					

The second study (**S2**) was conducted in growing pigs from 12 to 15 weeks of age. A total of 308 Danish Duroc × (Large White × Landrace) growing pigs born within one week were mixed and moved to the grower-finisher stage at 11 weeks of age and housed in balanced mixed sex pens. After one week of adaptation, pigs were assigned per pen (n = 28 pens; 11 pigs/pen; 41.3 ± 2.60 kg BW) based on BW to seven different dietary treatments at 12 weeks of age, and pigs were followed for 20 days. Diets were formulated to obtain a control diet [**C2**; 10.03 MJ/NE, 165 g of CP, and 9.5 g of SID Lys per kg of feed] which met or exceed the minimum nutrient requirements (26), and 6 modifications of this diet: low crude protein (**LP2**) by reducing CP to 140 g of CP per kg of feed; high crude protein (**HP2**) by increasing CP to 190 g of CP per kg of feed; low amino acid (**LA2**) by reducing AAs to 7.5 g of SID Lys per kg of feed; high amino acid (**HA2**) by increasing AAs to 11.5 g of SID Lys per kg of feed; low net energy (**LE2**) by reducing NE to 9.61 MJ/NE per kg of feed; and high net energy (**HE2**) by increasing NE to 10.45 MJ/NE per kg of feed. When the level of amino acids was modified all amino acids were adjusted following the ideal protein concept. Ingredient, calculated and analysed nutrient diet composition is shown in Table 2. Feed was given to each pen by bags ensuring that pigs were fed ad libitum during the whole trial. Pigs went back to the common management of the Teagasc Pig Research Facility after the 20-day trial period.

Table 2

Ingredient, calculated and analysed nutrient composition on an as-fed basis of the seven dietary treatments in study 2.¹

	Diets ²						
	C2	LP2	HP2	LA2	HA2	LE2	HE2
Ingredients, g/kg							
Maize	272.9	401.1	254.9	350.0	423.1	300.0	332.1
Barley	251.8	230.0	252.2	295.9	150.0	246.1	225.7
Wheat	218.1	150.0	150.0	150.0	150.0	150.0	150.0
Soybean meal 47.5	209.3	137.2	286.7	135.9	197.6	209.8	218.7
Soybean hulls	20.0	44.0	20.0	38.9	39.8	70.4	20.0
Vegetable Oil	5.00	5.00	17.6	5.00	5.00	0.00	31.0
Calcium carbonate	10.6	14.0	10.5	13.6	12.3	11.3	10.6
Dicalcium phosphate anhydrous	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.70	0.50	0.50
Sodium chloride	4.80	5.00	4.30	4.50	5.20	4.90	4.50
L-Lysine HCl	3.00	5.20	0.80	2.60	6.00	2.90	2.90
L-Threonine	1.30	2.30	0.40	1.00	2.80	1.30	1.30
DL-Methionine	1.20	1.80	0.70	0.60	2.50	1.30	1.20
L-Tryptophan	0.10	0.50	0.00	0.10	0.60	0.10	0.10
L-Valine	0.00	0.90	0.00	0.00	1.30	0.00	0.00
L-Isoleucine	0.00	0.90	0.00	0.00	0.70	0.00	0.00
L-Leucine	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.60	0.00	0.00
L-Histidine	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.40	0.00	0.00

¹ Diets were fed in growing pigs during 20 days at 12 weeks of age.

² C2 = Control; LP2 = Low Crude Protein; HP2 = High Crude Protein; LA2 = Low Amino Acid; HA2 = High Amino Acid; LE2 = Low Net Energy; HE2 = High Net Energy

³ Provided per each kg of feed: 60 mg Copper sulphate, 80 mg Ferrous sulphate monohydrate, 50 mg Manganese oxide, 100 mg Zinc oxide, 0.5 mg Potassium iodate, 0.4 mg Sodium selenite, 2 MIU Vitamin A, 0.5 MIU Vitamin D₃, 40 MIU Vitamin E, 4 mg Vitamin K, 0.015 mg Vitamin B₁₂, 2 mg Riboflavin, 12 mg Nicotinic acid, 10 mg Pantothenic acid, 2 mg Vitamin B₁, 3 mg Vitamin B₆.

⁴ NE = Net Energy; SID = Standardized Ileal Digestible; NDF = Neutral Detergent Fiber.

	Diets ²						
Vitamin and trace mineral mixture ³	1.40	1.40	1.40	1.40	1.40	1.40	1.40
Calculated / Analysed Composition ⁴ , % as fed or as specified							
Dry Matter, analysed	87.30	87.70	88.10	87.70	88.00	87.50	88.30
Ash, analysed	3.60	4.10	4.20	4.10	4.20	4.10	3.80
NE, MJ/kg	10.03	10.07	10.03	10.03	10.07	9.61	10.45
SID Lys:NE, g/MJ	0.95	0.94	0.95	0.75	1.14	0.99	0.91
Crude Protein, analysed	15.4	15.1	17.7	13.7	16.6	16.2	16.3
Total Lys, analysed	1.02	1.12	1.08	0.86	1.32	1.07	1.02
Total Thr / Lys ratio, analysed	0.71	0.69	0.73	0.74	0.67	0.68	0.72
Total Met-Cys / Lys ratio, analysed	0.62	0.62	0.63	0.64	0.58	0.60	0.63
Total Trp / Lys ratio, analysed	0.19	0.15	0.18	0.09	0.15	0.16	0.17
Total Val / Lys ratio, analysed	0.75	0.68	0.87	0.76	0.67	0.74	0.75
Total Leu / Lys ratio, analysed	1.19	0.98	1.38	1.27	1.04	1.18	1.23
Total Ile / Lys ratio, analysed	0.63	0.56	0.76	0.64	0.57	0.63	0.64
Total His / Lys ratio, analysed	0.41	0.37	0.48	0.40	0.37	0.41	0.42
SID Lys	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.75	1.15	0.95	0.95
SID Thr / Lys ratio	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.65
SID Met-Cys / Lys ratio	0.59	0.59	0.59	0.59	0.59	0.59	0.59
SID Trp / Lys ratio	0.19	0.19	0.22	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19
SID Val / Lys ratio	0.69	0.65	0.81	0.72	0.65	0.69	0.69

¹ Diets were fed in growing pigs during 20 days at 12 weeks of age.

² C2 = Control; LP2 = Low Crude Protein; HP2 = High Crude Protein; LA2 = Low Amino Acid; HA2 = High Amino Acid; LE2 = Low Net Energy; HE2 = High Net Energy

³ Provided per each kg of feed: 60 mg Copper sulphate, 80 mg Ferrous sulphate monohydrate, 50 mg Manganese oxide, 100 mg Zinc oxide, 0.5 mg Potassium iodate, 0.4 mg Sodium selenite, 2 MIU Vitamin A, 0.5 MIU Vitamin D₃, 40 MIU Vitamin E, 4 mg Vitamin K, 0.015 mg Vitamin B₁₂, 2 mg Riboflavin, 12 mg Nicotinic acid, 10 mg Pantothenic acid, 2 mg Vitamin B₁, 3 mg Vitamin B₆.

⁴ NE = Net Energy; SID = Standardized Ileal Digestible; NDF = Neutral Detergent Fiber.

	Diets ²						
SID Leu / Lys ratio	1.14	0.98	1.33	1.23	0.99	1.15	1.16
SID Ile / Lys ratio	0.59	0.55	0.71	0.60	0.52	0.59	0.60
SID His / Lys ratio	0.37	0.32	0.43	0.38	0.32	0.36	0.37
Fat, analysed	2.28	2.75	3.91	2.64	2.89	2.40	5.00
Crude Fiber, analysed	2.90	3.50	3.20	3.70	3.20	4.80	2.90
NDF	12.52	13.72	12.00	14.00	12.72	14.81	12.00
Calcium	0.70	0.80	0.70	0.80	0.77	0.75	0.70
Digestible Phosphorus	0.22	0.22	0.22	0.22	0.22	0.22	0.22
¹ Diets were fed in growing pigs during 20 days at 12 weeks of age.							
² C2 = Control; LP2 = Low Crude Protein; HP2 = High Crude Protein; LA2 = Low Amino Acid; HA2 = High Amino Acid; LE2 = Low Net Energy; HE2 = High Net Energy							
³ Provided per each kg of feed: 60 mg Copper sulphate, 80 mg Ferrous sulphate monohydrate, 50 mg Manganese oxide, 100 mg Zinc oxide, 0.5 mg Potassium iodate, 0.4 mg Sodium selenite, 2 MIU Vitamin A, 0.5 MIU Vitamin D ₃ , 40 MIU Vitamin E, 4 mg Vitamin K, 0.015 mg Vitamin B ₁₂ , 2 mg Riboflavin, 12 mg Nicotinic acid, 10 mg Pantothenic acid, 2 mg Vitamin B ₁ , 3 mg Vitamin B ₆ .							
⁴ NE = Net Energy; SID = Standardized Ileal Digestible; NDF = Neutral Detergent Fiber.							

Body Weight, Feed Intake and Feed Efficiency Traits

Pigs were weighed per pen at the beginning and at the end of the trial period. Feed intake was recorded per pen at the beginning and at the end of the trial period. Feed bags were weighed before feeding the pigs, and before weighing the pigs per pen, to know exactly the feed intake per pen on a week basis. On both studies, S1 and S2, average daily gain (**ADG**), average daily feed intake (**ADFI**) and feed conversion ratio (**FCR**) were

calculated for the overall trial period. Feed conversion ratio was calculated as
$$\frac{\text{kg of feed consumed}}{\text{BW gain}}$$
.

Feed Analysis

Feed samples of each diet were collected per duplicate and analysed for dry matter, ash, CP, crude fiber, fat, and total AA profile at the Scianteq Analytical Services (Stockbridge Technology Centre, Cawood, Yorkshire, UK). Dry matter was measured by oven drying for 4 h at 103°C (27); ash was measured via combustion in a muffle furnace at 550°C (28); CP was determined as N × 6.25 based on the DUMAS method (29) using LECO FP-628 analyser (Leco Instruments Ltd., Stockport, UK); crude fiber was determined by a Fibertec semi-automatic system (Tecator, Hoganas, Sweden) using the gravimetry method (27); fat was measured

using Randall/Soxtec/Submersion method (30); and total AA profile was determined based on ion exchange HPLC (31) using the Biochrom AA Analyser Sodium System (Biochrom Ltd., Cambridge, UK).

Blood sample collection and blood serum analysis

Blood samples were collected via venepuncture of the external jugular vein (approximately 10 ml/pig) from 2 pigs/pen selected randomly at 20 (S1) and 14 (S2) weeks of age. A total of 40 and 56 ($n = 8$ per treatment) blood samples were collected in S1 and S2 respectively. Blood samples were collected early in the morning in a non-fasting state as per commercial practice. Blood samples were kept immediately on ice at 4°C after collection until serum was separated by centrifugation for 15 min at 2000 rcf. Blood serum samples were analysed the same day using the ABX Pentra 400 Clinical Chemistry benchtop analyser (HORIBA Medical, Irvine, California, USA) and ABX Pentra 400 re-agents (HORIBA ABX SAS, Montpellier, France) at the Teagasc Chemistry Lab in Fermoy, Co. Cork, Ireland. Selected blood serum metabolites (and techniques). Albumin (bromocresol green dye-binding procedure), glucose (hexokinase method), triglycerides (enzymatic method), cholesterol (enzymatic photometric test), SUN (enzymatic UV test), total protein concentration (Biuret reaction), and creatinine (enzymatic method).

Faecal sample collection and volatile fatty acid analysis

Faecal samples were collected using BioFreeze™ vials (Alimetrics Diagnostics Ltd, Espoo, Finland) from 2 pigs/pen selected randomly at 20 (S1) and 14 (S2) weeks of age. A total of 40 and 56 ($n = 8$ per treatment) faecal samples were collected in S1 and S2 respectively. BioFreeze™ vials enable to collect the fresh samples and stop all biological activity at ambient temperature until the analysis. Faecal VFA analysis was conducted via gas chromatography using pivalic acid as an internal standard (32) at Alimetrics Diagnostics. The VFA profile included acetic, propionic, butyric, valeric, BCFA and total VFA.

Data management and statistical analysis

Performance, blood serum and faecal VFA data analyses were carried out using SAS v9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). Plots were created using R v4.0.2 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). Pen was considered as the experimental unit for all performance, serum and faecal data analyses. Alpha level for determination of significance was 0.05 and trends were identified as alpha of 0.10. Data were tested for normality using the Shapiro-Wilk test and by examining the normal probability plot. Initial BW data were analysed using general linear models including treatment as fixed effect. Models for BW, ADG, ADFI and FCR variables were analysed using general linear models including treatment diet as fixed effect and initial BW as a co-variable. For blood serum, models for albumin, glucose, triglycerides, cholesterol, SUN, creatinine, and total protein were analysed using general linear models including treatment diet as fixed effect. For faecal VFA, models for acetic, propionic, butyric, valeric, BCFA and VFA were analysed using general linear models including treatment diet as fixed effect. Multiple means comparisons were done using Tukey-Kramer's correction in all cases. Results for fixed effects are reported as least square means $\pm$ standard error mean.

Receiver Operating Characteristic (**ROC**) Curves analysis was used to identify biomarkers that discriminated between diets. Data were analysed using the *pROC* package (33) for R v4.0.2. Univariable ROC curves were

calculated for SUN and BCFA comparing LP1 vs HP1, LE1 vs HE1, LP2 vs HP2, LE2 vs HE2, and LA2 vs HA2. The accuracy of the models was assessed by calculating the area under the curve (**AUC**). Values of AUC were interpreted as non-accurate (AUC = 0.5), less accurate ($0.5 < \text{AUC} \leq 0.7$), moderately accurate ($0.7 < \text{AUC} \leq 0.9$), highly accurate ($0.9 < \text{AUC} < 1$) and perfect (AUC = 1). The AUC is significant when the confidence interval does not include 50%. Cut-off concentrations were calculated for each ROC curve and the corresponding sensitivities and specificities, and 95% confidence intervals were obtained.

Results

Body Weight, Feed Intake and Feed Efficiency Traits

In S1, there were no differences on BW, ADG, ADFI and FCR between dietary treatments (Table 3). In S2, pigs fed the LA2 diet were 3.5 kg lighter and gained 171.0 g/d less in average than those pigs fed with the C2, LP2, HP2, HA2, and HE2 diets at the end of the trial ($P < 0.01$; Table 4). Moreover, pigs fed the LP2 diet consumed 229.3 g/d more than HP2 pigs ($P = 0.035$) at the end of the trial. Finally, pigs fed the LA2 diet had higher FCR than pigs fed with the C2, HP2, HA2, LE2, and HE2 diets ($P < 0.001$); while HP2 pigs had lower FCR than those pigs fed with the C2, LP2, LA2, and LE2 dietary treatments ($P < 0.001$) at the end of the trial.

Table 3
Productive performance of finishing pigs grouped by dietary treatment in study 1.¹

Dietary Treatment ²							
Traits	C1	LP1	HP1	LE1	HE1	SEM	p-Value
BW 128 d, kg	87.1	88.1	87.8	85.4	86.9	2.32	0.926
BW 138 d, kg	100.2	99.9	101.0	100.2	100.2	0.70	0.828
ADG, g	1315.0	1283.7	1399.1	1312.1	1317.7	71.49	0.825
ADFI, g	3024.2	3078.4	3110.0	3284.8	2977.7	128.86	0.531
FCR	2.33	2.39	2.23	2.48	2.27	0.08	0.228
¹ Body weight (BW), average daily gain (ADG), average daily feed intake (ADFI), feed conversion ratio (FCR) (SEM = Standard error mean [SEM]). Pigs were followed from 128 to 138 days of age (n = 4).							
² Dietary treatments: C1 (Control; 10.03 MJ/kg of NE; 160.0 g of CP; 9.5 g of SID Lys per kg of feed), LP1 (Low Protein; 10.03 MJ/kg of NE; 132.0 g of CP; 7.5 g of SID Lys per kg of feed), HP1 (High Protein; 10.03 MJ/kg of NE; 188.0 g of CP; 11.5 g of SID Lys per kg of feed), LE1 (Low Energy; 9.61 MJ/kg of NE; 160.0 g of CP; 9.5 g of SID Lys per kg of feed), and HE1 (High Energy; 10.45 MJ/kg of NE; 160.0 g of CP; 9.5 g of SID Lys per kg of feed).							

Table 4

Productive performance of growing pigs grouped by dietary treatment in study 2.¹

Dietary Treatment ²									
Traits	C2	LP2	HP2	LA2	HA2	LE2	HE2	SEM	<i>p</i> -Value
BW 84 d, kg	41.1	41.7	41.3	41.5	41.1	41.2	41.3		
BW 104 d, kg	62.6 ^a	62.1 ^a	62.7 ^a	59.3 ^b	63.2 ^a	61.5 ^{ab}	63.2 ^a	0.57	0.001
ADG, g	1061.0 ^a	1038.9 ^a	1075.5 ^a	900.9 ^b	1090.1 ^a	1011.5 ^{ab}	1093.8 ^a	28.90	0.002
ADFI, g	1932.3 ^{ab}	1950.2 ^a	1720.9 ^b	1819.7 ^{ab}	1933.2 ^{ab}	1850.3 ^{ab}	1878.4 ^{ab}	46.76	0.030
FCR	1.84 ^b	1.89 ^{ab}	1.61 ^c	2.03 ^a	1.78 ^{bc}	1.85 ^b	1.73 ^{bc}	0.04	< 0.001
¹ Body weight (BW), average daily gain (ADG), average daily feed intake (ADFI) and feed conversion ratio (FCR) (SEM = Standard error mean [SEM]). Pigs were followed from 84 to 104 days of age (n = 4).									
² Dietary treatments: C2 (Control; 10.03 MJ/kg NE; 165.0 g of CP; 9.5 g of SID Lys per kg of feed), LP2 (Low Protein; 10.07 MJ/kg NE; 140.0 g of CP; 9.5 g of SID Lys per kg of feed), HP2 (High Protein; 10.03 MJ/kg NE; 190.0 g of CP; 9.5 g of SID Lys per kg of feed), LA2 (Low Amino Acid; 10.03 MJ/kg NE; 135.0 g of CP; 7.5 g of SID Lys per kg of feed), HA2 (High Amino Acid; 10.07 MJ/kg NE; 165.0 g of CP; 11.5 g of SID Lys per kg of feed), LE2 (Low Energy; 9.61 MJ/kg NE; 165.0 g of CP; 9.5 g of SID Lys per kg of feed), and HE2 (High Energy; 10.45 MJ/kg NE; 165.0 g of CP; 9.5 g of SID Lys per kg of feed).									
^{a-b} Within rows, significant differences between groups ($P < 0.05$).									

Blood serum metabolites

Blood metabolite profile for S1 and S2 are presented in Table 5 and 6 respectively. In S1, albumin and glucose did not differ between dietary treatments, although HE1 pigs tended to have higher glucose concentration levels than LE1 pigs ($P = 0.063$). For creatinine and triglycerides, HE1 pigs had higher levels than LE1 pigs ($P < 0.05$) however, HE1 pigs had lower total protein levels than C1 pigs ($P = 0.033$). Furthermore, LP1 pigs had higher cholesterol concentration levels than LE1 pigs ($P = 0.015$) while HP1 pigs had higher SUN (13.63 ± 0.951 mg/dL) than the other dietary treatments (7.47 ± 0.951 mg/dL; $P < 0.001$; Fig. 1). In S2, glucose and creatinine did not differ between dietary treatments. For albumin, LA2 pigs had lower concentrations than pigs fed with HP2, HA2, LE2, and HE2 diets ($P < 0.001$). Also, LA2 pigs had lower total protein levels than HP2 pigs ($P = 0.05$). Pigs fed HP2 diet had higher SUN (11.60 ± 0.613 mg/dL) than the rest of the dietary treatments (7.65 ± 0.613 mg/dL; $P < 0.001$; Fig. 1). while LP2 pigs had lower SUN (5.2 ± 0.61 mg/dL) than those pigs fed with C2 (8.2 ± 0.61), HA2 (8.7 ± 0.61), LE2 (8.8 ± 0.61), HE2 (8.5 ± 0.61), and HP2 ($P < 0.001$). Finally, C2 pigs showed lower triglycerides levels than pigs fed with LE2 and HE2 dietary treatments ($P < 0.01$); however, HE2 pigs had higher cholesterol concentration levels than C2 and LE2 pigs ($P < 0.05$).

Table 5
Blood serum and faecal volatile fatty acid (VFA) profile from 40 finishing pigs grouped by dietary treatment
(n = 4) in study 1.¹

Dietary Treatment ²							
Traits	C1	LP1	HP1	LE1	HE1	SEM	<i>p</i> -Value
Blood Serum							
Albumin, g/L	36.54	37.08	38.04	36.04	36.53	0.880	0.565
Glucose, mmol/L	5.31	5.24	5.39	4.61	5.68	0.273	0.105
Triglycerides, mmol/L	0.38 ^{ab}	0.35 ^{ab}	0.37 ^{ab}	0.28 ^b	0.42 ^a	0.030	0.023
Cholesterol, mmol/L	2.12 ^{ab}	2.44 ^a	2.27 ^{ab}	2.03 ^b	2.24 ^{ab}	0.084	0.021
Creatinine, µmol/L	123.03 ^{ab}	127.50 ^{ab}	123.85 ^{ab}	117.20 ^b	133.81 ^a	3.966	0.070
Total Protein, g/L	67.36 ^a	64.20 ^{ab}	63.61 ^{ab}	61.46 ^{ab}	60.86 ^b	1.509	0.035
Faecal VFA, % of total VFA							
Acetic	57.4 ^b	61.6 ^a	62.0 ^a	60.6 ^{ab}	58.5 ^{ab}	1.01	0.012
Propionic	21.9	21.4	21.2	22.0	21.7	0.59	0.827
Butyric	11.4	9.8	9.3	10.9	11.0	0.55	0.061
Valeric	2.9 ^a	2.3 ^{ab}	2.4 ^{ab}	2.1 ^b	2.6 ^{ab}	0.15	0.010
VFA, mmol/kg	193.0	158.2	166.9	170.5	154.7	14.98	0.451
¹ Blood and faecal samples were collected from 2 pigs/pen selected randomly at 20 weeks of age (Means ± Standard error mean [SEM]).							
² Dietary treatments: C1 (Control; 10.03 MJ/kg of NE; 160.0 g of CP; 9.5 g of SID Lys per kg of feed), LP1 (Low Protein; 10.03 MJ/kg of NE; 132.0 g of CP; 7.5 g of SID Lys per kg of feed), HP1 (High Protein; 10.03 MJ/kg of NE; 188.0 g of CP; 11.5 g of SID Lys per kg of feed), LE1 (Low Energy; 9.61 MJ/kg of NE; 160.0 g of CP; 9.5 g of SID Lys per kg of feed), and HE1 (High Energy; 10.45 MJ/kg of NE; 160.0 g of CP; 9.5 g of SID Lys per kg of feed).							
^{a-b} Within rows, significant differences between groups (<i>P</i> < 0.05).							

Table 6
Blood serum and faecal volatile fatty acid (VFA) profile from 56 growing pigs grouped by dietary treatment (n = 4) in study 2.¹

Dietary Treatment ²									
Traits	C2	LP2	HP2	LA2	HA2	LE2	HE2	SEM	<i>p</i> -Value
Blood Serum									
Albumin, g/L	36.04 _{ab}	34.76 _{ab}	38.05 _a	32.10 _b	39.08 _a	37.25 _a	37.19 _a	1.083	< 0.001
Glucose, mmol/L	5.37	5.83	5.72	5.94	6.05	5.69	5.57	0.253	0.559
Triglycerides, mmol/L	0.33 _b	0.55 _{ab}	0.44 _{ab}	0.57 _{ab}	0.47 _{ab}	0.67 _a	0.61 _a	0.059	0.004
Cholesterol, mmol/L	2.34 _b	2.61 _{ab}	2.45 _{ab}	2.52 _{ab}	2.39 _{ab}	2.35 _b	2.80 _a	0.107	0.046
Creatinine, µmol/L	111.01	100.29	117.56	113.89	112.97	113.00	107.34	4.422	0.168
Total Protein, g/L	61.98 _{ab}	64.60 _{ab}	65.95 _a	59.98 _b	63.49 _{ab}	61.64 _{ab}	63.44 _{ab}	1.391	0.077
Faecal VFA, % of total VFA									
Acetic	55.99	55.22	54.64	54.66	56.84	56.37	56.01	1.192	0.798
Propionic	21.15 _b	23.18 _{ab}	21.40 _b	24.53 _a	21.19 _b	21.57 _b	21.51 _b	0.567	< 0.001
Butyric	13.53	12.57	13.25	11.81	13.42	13.04	13.42	0.710	0.608
Valeric	4.08	3.96	4.09	3.99	3.72	4.18	3.49	0.367	0.844
VFA, mmol/kg	93.78	88.44	91.55	86.58	95.75	99.86	88.38	6.281	0.754
¹ Blood and faecal samples were collected from 2 pigs/pen selected randomly at 14 weeks of age (Means ± Standard error mean [SEM]).									
² Dietary treatments: C2 (Control; 10.03 MJ/kg NE; 165.0 g of CP; 9.5 g of SID Lys per kg of feed), LP2 (Low protein; 10.07 MJ/kg NE; 140.0 g of CP; 9.5 g of SID Lys per kg of feed), HP2 (High protein; 10.03 MJ/kg NE; 190.0 g of CP; 9.5 g of SID Lys per kg of feed), LA2 (Low amino acid; 10.03 MJ/kg NE; 135.0 g of CP; 7.5 g of SID Lys per kg of feed), HA2 (High amino acid; 10.07 MJ/kg NE; 165.0 g of CP; 11.5 g of SID Lys per kg of feed), LE2 (Low energy; 9.61 MJ/kg NE; 165.0 g of CP; 9.5 g of SID Lys per kg of feed), and HE2 (High energy; 10.45 MJ/kg NE; 165.0 g of CP; 9.5 g of SID Lys per kg of feed).									
^{a-b} Within rows, significant differences between groups (<i>P</i> < 0.05).									

Volatile fatty acids profile

Total VFA and VFA profiles for S1 and S2 are presented in Table 5 and 6 respectively. In S1, total VFA (mmol/kg) did not differ between dietary treatments. Pigs fed C1 had lower percentage of acetic than LP1 and HP1 pigs ($P < 0.05$) but higher percentage of valeric than LE1 pigs ($P = 0.010$). Pigs fed LE1 showed lower % of BCFA (4.4 ± 0.38) of total VFA than C1 and HE1 pigs (6.4 ± 0.38 ; 6.1 ± 0.38 , respectively; $P < 0.01$; Fig. 1). In S2, total VFA (mmol/kg) did not differ between dietary treatments ($P > 0.05$). Moreover, acetic, butyric, and valeric (as % of total VFA) did not differ between dietary treatments ($P > 0.05$). Nevertheless, LA2 pigs had higher % of propionic of total VFA than those pigs fed with C2, HP2, HA2, LE2, and HE2 dietary treatments ($P < 0.001$). Finally, HP2 pigs had higher % of BCFA (6.61 ± 0.408) of total VFA than HA2 and LE2 pigs (4.84 ± 0.408 ; 4.84 ± 0.408 , respectively; $P < 0.05$; Fig. 1); and HP2 pigs tended to have higher % of BCFA of total VFA than LP2 and LA2 pigs (5.06 ± 0.408 ; 5.01 ± 0.408 , respectively; $P < 0.10$; Fig. 1).

ROC curve analysis

The ROC curve analysis for S1 is presented in Fig. 2. The AUC for SUN was of 98.4% with two optimal cut-offs of 9.4 mg/dL (100% sensitivity, 87.5% specificity) and 11.2 mg/dL (87.5% sensitivity, 100% specificity) that could serve to differentiate the LP1 vs HP1 dietary treatments. Branched-chain fatty acids showed a high accuracy (AUC = 96.9%) to differentiate the LE1 vs HE1 dietary treatments. The ROC curve analysis for S2 is presented in Fig. 3. The AUC for SUN was 100% with an optimal cut-off of 9.4 mg/dL to differentiate LP2 vs HP2 dietary treatments. Branched-chain fatty acids showed a moderate-high accuracy to differentiate LP2 vs HP2, and LE2 vs HE2 dietary treatments (AUC = 87.5% and 81.2%, respectively).

Discussion

Although these trials were not designed to study differences in productive performance due to the short period of study and low sample size, productive performance was monitored and there were some interesting findings. In S1, there were no differences in productive performance among diets. Thus, for S2, the authors decided to use younger pigs which are more likely to be affected by reductions in dietary AA levels similar to those used in S1 (from 1.15 to 0.75 g SID lysine per MJ). Pigs fed LA2 diet were the lightest and had the worst efficiency of all the diets in S2 probably because the levels of AAs were not enough to meet the requirements of the pigs (26). Pigs in S2 were also followed for a slightly longer period of time which may have allowed them to show differences in productive performance. It is interesting to note that productive performance of pigs fed LP2 diet was not affected compared to HP2. This diet was supplemented with AAs and both LP2 and HP2 had the same level of SID lysine (9.5 g per MJ). Thus, LP2 would achieve a lower risk of abnormal protein fermentations and lower emissions than HP2 with no negative effects in performance.

Serum metabolites have been used in pigs for research purposes but the literature on their use for clinical purposes is scarce and reference values are needed considering different factors such as age, breed, sex, diet, and methods of sample collection and analysis (34). In this study, the authors aimed to use serum metabolites as biomarkers to discriminate between diets differing on CP, AA, and NE levels with the final intention to use these biomarkers in daily practice as indicator of suboptimal diets. In S1, SUN was the clearest indicator of differences between diets with high and low CP discriminate in the ROC curve analysis

with AUC close to 1. The principal end product of protein catabolism is SUN (35), thus it makes sense that SUN increases when the diet has an excess of CP that cannot be used by the animal due to CP excess or AA imbalances. Diets LP1 and HP1 differed in both, the level of CP and the level of AAs. To separate these 2 effects, in S2, LP2 and HP2 were formulated to have different levels of CP but the same levels of AAs and LA2 and HA2 were formulated to differ on AA levels. As expected, diet HP2, with an excess of CP, resulted in an increased SUN level compared to LP2. However, diet HA2 compared to LA2 did not induce the same increase in SUN that HP2 compared to LP2, despite having a similar increase in CP. The increase in CP in diet HA2 could be used by the pig for growth because it was achieved by increasing AAs levels according to ideal protein profile. Overall, SUN may be a useful indicator of protein efficiency at farm level when pigs are fed suboptimal dietary CP diets not balanced in AAs. The use of SUN is also an advantage because of its short time to achieve a constant concentration in blood after changing the diet (20).

Total protein and albumin are also involved in protein metabolism and were studied as interesting biomarkers. There were no differences in S1 in total protein and albumin between diets. The latter is in agreement with Regmi et al. (17), who did not observe differences in serum total protein concentration in finishing pigs, of similar age to those in S1, fed insufficient (0.32%), adequate (0.60%) or excessive (0.87%) SID lysine diets during 4 weeks, and only observed reduced plasma albumin concentration in finishing pigs when fed the 0.32% SID lysine diet which is far below the SID lysine levels of the dietary treatments of the present study. Nevertheless, in S2, pigs fed higher amounts of CP showed an increase in serum total protein and albumin levels. These findings are in accordance with some of the previous literature (16,18). Thus, the age of the pig may affect serum total protein and albumin levels and finishing pigs may be able to show a homeostatic control besides the dietary CP content. Moreover, finishing pigs have already reached the maximum protein deposition (36–38) and their metabolism may not be focused on protein turn-over contrary to early stages of the grower-finisher period. Albumin was a good biomarker to differentiate between LA2 and HA2 in S2, but it was not as consistent as SUN. Further research is needed to explore its use in multivariable biomarkers in combination with SUN.

Serum creatinine is also related to protein metabolism because is the product from muscle metabolism (34) and has a positive correlation with total and striated muscle (39). In the current studies, creatinine did not show any clear patterns and may not be as good as SUN as a biomarker.

Concerning energy, serum glucose did not show clear patterns between dietary treatments either which agrees with previous literature (15–17) and shows a good homeostatic control of serum glucose concentration by either growing and finishing pigs in commercial conditions fed ad libitum. Triglycerides and cholesterol are both metabolites involved in lipid metabolism (34). They did not show any consistent pattern despite showing some differences between diets differing in NE levels. The inconsistency in the results may be related to the age of the animals. The hypercholesterolemic effect observed in S2 pigs but not so clear in S1 is in agreement with previous literature (16–18), although the exact mechanism of this effect is not clear yet. Further research should be carried out in order to further define these results.

No differences in total VFAs concentrations were observed between the dietary treatments in any of the two trials, and none of the individual VFAs showed difference worth discussing except for BCFA. The authors

hypothesised that BCFA would show CP excess in the diets. However, the pattern differed between trials. Growing pigs in S2 fed HP2 diet showed a higher percentage of BCFA than pigs fed the HA2 and LE2 diets. Moreover, HP2 pigs had numerically greater amounts of BCFA compared to those pigs fed the LP2 and LA2 diets. ROC curve analysis showed that BCFA has a moderate-high accuracy to differentiate LP2 and HP2 diets in growing pigs. These findings agree with previous literature that reported an increased production of BCFA in manure in grower-finisher pigs fed high CP diets (23,40). The low production of BCFA in pigs fed the HA2 diet compared to those fed the HP2 diet might be related to a fast absorption rate of free AA and a lower level of CP available for fermentation which agrees with the pattern observed for SUN. In S1, finishing pigs fed the HP1 diet did not show higher percentage of BCFA than any other dietary treatment. This absence of differences in BCFA might be explained by the fact that older pigs have a more developed gastrointestinal tract with a high fermentation capacity that makes it difficult to observe differences between the dietary treatments at faecal level. The differences may exist in cecum or proximal colon but are not present in faeces. On the other hand, a higher percentage of BCFA between pigs was found in pigs fed diet HE1 when compared to LE1. This difference could be related to the added fibre in the LE1 diet. In this line, a recent study reported that the increased body weight and age of the pigs resulted in an improved digestibility of dietary fibre fractions (41), which will influence the VFA profile as it is positively correlated with the apparent total tract digestibility of insoluble dietary fibre and cellulose (42). Therefore, the fermentation of soybean hulls could have produced a shift in the VFA profile reducing the BCFA production by the microbial population. Although BCFA did not show the same consistency as SUN, more research is warranted. The deamination of branched AAs may also cause a shift in the microbiome population to increase production of BCFA (25) which may be a more sensitive biomarker.

Conclusion

This study aimed to assess the feasibility of blood serum metabolite and faecal VFA profiles as biomarkers for suboptimal diets on energy, protein, and amino acids in growing and finishing pig at farm level. Out of all the blood serum metabolites studied, SUN seems to be the best indicator to assess protein efficiency at farm level. Regarding the faecal VFA profile, BCFAs could be a potential indicator for high CP diets but may be affected by the age of the pig. Further studies at commercial scale are needed to fully understand the applicability of SUN in a cohort of commercial farms. The use of other biomarkers as part of a multivariable indicator should also be considered.

Abbreviations

AA
Amino Acids
ADFI
Average Daily Feed Intake
ADG
Average Daily Gain
BCFA

Branched-Chain Fatty Acids

BW

Body Weight

C1

Control Study 1

C2

Control Study 2

CP

Crude Protein

FCR

Feed Conversion Ratio

HA2

High Amino Acids Study 2

HE1

High Net Energy Study 1

HE2

High Net Energy Study 2

HP1

High Crude Protein Study 1

HP2

High Crude Protein Study 2

LA2

Low Amino Acids Study 2

LE1

Low Net Energy Study 1

LE2

Low Net Energy Study 2

LP1

Low Crude Protein Study 1

LP2

Low Crude Protein Study 2

Lys

Lysine

NE

Net Energy

S1

Study 1

S2

Study 2

SID

Standardized Ileal Digestible

SUN
Serum Urea Nitrogen
VFA
Volatile Fatty Acids.

Declarations

Authors' contributions

J.C.M and E.G.M conceived and designed the study. J.C.M collected the data. J.C.M and E.G.M analysed the data. J.C.M drafted the manuscript. E.G.M, D.S.O, R.M, J.G and N.L. reviewed and edited the manuscript. E.G.M supervised the study. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding

Jordi Camp Montoro was supported by the Teagasc Walsh Scholarship Scheme grant-in-aid project *Introducing new feeding programs and facilities for Irish finishing pigs* ref 0415. The funders had no role in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the Teagasc Pig Research Facility staff for their help on this study.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study received ethical approval from the Teagasc Animal Ethics Committee (TAEC 244/2019). The authors confirm that they have followed the European Union Directive 2010/63 on the protection of animals used for scientific purposes.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

1. Shurson GC, Hung YT, Jang JC, Urriola PE. Measures matter—determining the true nutri-physiological value of feed ingredients for swine. *Animals*. 2021;11(5):1–50.

2. O'Meara FM, Gardiner GE, O'Doherty J V., Lawlor PG. The effect of feed form and delivery method on feed microbiology and growth performance in grow-finisher pigs. *J Anim Sci.* 2020;98(3):1–11.
3. Camp Montoro J, Solà-Oriol D, Muns R, Gasa J, Llanes N, Manzanilla EG. High levels of standardized ileal digestible amino acids improve feed efficiency in slow-growing pigs at late grower-finisher stage. *J Anim Physiol Anim Nutr (Berl).* 2021;00(February):1–8.
4. Camp Montoro J, Manzanilla EG, Solà-Oriol D, Muns R, Gasa J, Clear O, et al. Predicting productive performance in grow-finisher pigs using birth and weaning body weight. *Animals.* 2020;10(6):1–14.
5. Camp Montoro J, Pessoa J, Sol D, Muns R, Gasa J, Manzanilla EG. Effect of Phase Feeding, Space Allowance and Mixing on Productive Performance of Grower-Finisher Pigs. *Animals.* 2022;12(3):1–14.
6. Camp Montoro J, Boyle LA, Solà-Oriol D, Muns R, Gasa J, Garcia Manzanilla E. Effect of space allowance and mixing on growth performance and body lesions of grower-finisher pigs in pens with a single wet-dry feeder. *Porc Heal Manag.* 2021;7(7):1–8.
7. Van der Meer Y, Lammers A, Jansman AJM, Rijnen MMJA, Hendriks WH, Gerrits WJJ. Performance of pigs kept under different sanitary conditions affected by protein intake and amino acid supplementation. *J Anim Sci.* 2016;94(11):4704–19.
8. Van Der Meer Y, Jansman AJM, Gerrits WJJ. Low sanitary conditions increase energy expenditure for maintenance and decrease incremental protein efficiency in growing pigs. *Animal.* 2020;14(9):1811–920.
9. Rist VTS, Weiss E, Eklund M, Mosenthin R. Impact of dietary protein on microbiota composition and activity in the gastrointestinal tract of piglets in relation to gut health: A review. *Animal.* 2013;7(7):1067–78.
10. Prandini A, Sigolo S, Morlacchini M, Grilli E, Fiorentini L. Microencapsulated lysine and low-protein diets: Effects on performance, carcass characteristics and nitrogen excretion in heavy growing-finishing pigs. *J Anim Sci.* 2013;91(9):4226–34.
11. Le Goff G, Noblet J. Comparative total tract digestibility of dietary energy and nutrients in growing pigs and adult sows. *J Anim Sci.* 2001;79(9):2418–27.
12. Patience JF, Rossoni-Serão MC, Gutiérrez NA. A review of feed efficiency in swine: Biology and application. *J Anim Sci Biotechnol.* 2015;6(1):1–9.
13. Patience JF, Engele K, Beaulieu AD, Gonyou HW, Zijlstra RT. Variation : Costs and Consequences. *Adv Pork Prod.* 2004;15(Cv):257–66.
14. Jongbloed AW, Lenis NP. Alteration of nutrition as a means to reduce environmental pollution by pigs. *Livest Prod Sci.* 1992;31(1–2):75–94.
15. Zeng PL, Yan HC, Wang XQ, Zhang CM, Zhu C, Shu G, et al. Effects of dietary lysine levels on apparent nutrient digestibility and serum amino acid absorption mode in growing pigs. *Asian-Australasian J Anim Sci.* 2013;26(7):1003–11.
16. Kamalakar RB, Chiba LI, Divakala KC, Rodning SP, Welles EG, Bergen WG, et al. Effect of the degree and duration of early dietary amino acid restrictions on subsequent and overall pig performance and physical and sensory characteristics of pork. *J Anim Sci.* 2009;87(11):3596–606.

17. Regmi N, Wang T, Crenshaw MA, Rude BJ, Liao SF. Effects of dietary lysine levels on the concentrations of selected nutrient metabolites in blood plasma of late-stage finishing pigs. *J Anim Physiol Anim Nutr (Berl)*. 2018;102(2):403–9.
18. Mule HR, Chiba LI, Fabian J, Kuhlers DL, Jungst SB, Frobish LT, et al. Effect of early dietary amino acid restrictions on serum metabolites in pigs selected for lean growth efficiency. *Can J Anim Sci*. 2006;86(4):489–500.
19. Chen HY, Lewis AJ, Miller PS, Yen JT. The effect of excess protein on growth performance and protein metabolism of finishing barrows and gilts. *J Anim Sci*. 1999;77(12):3238–47.
20. Coma J, Carrion D, Zimmerman DR. Use of plasma urea nitrogen as a rapid response criterion to determine the lysine requirement of pigs. *J Anim Sci*. 1995;73(2):472–81.
21. Hong JS, Lee GI, Jin XH, Kim YY. Effect of dietary energy levels and phase feeding by protein levels on growth performance, blood profiles and carcass characteristics in growing-finishing pigs. *J Anim Sci Technol*. 2016;58(1):1–10.
22. Kerr BJ, Kellner TA, Shurson GC. Characteristics of lipids and their feeding value in swine diets. *J Anim Sci Biotechnol*. 2015;6(1):1–23.
23. Cho S, Hwang O, Park S. Effect of dietary protein levels on composition of odorous compounds and bacterial ecology in pig manure. *Asian-Australasian J Anim Sci*. 2015;28(9):1362–70.
24. Dahl WJ, Rivero Mendoza D, Lambert JM. Diet, nutrients and the microbiome. 1st ed. Vol. 171, *Progress in Molecular Biology and Translational Science*. Elsevier Inc.; 2020. 237–263 p.
25. Le PD, Aarnink AJA, Ogink NWM, Becker PM, Verstegen MWA. Odour from animal production facilities: its relationship to diet. *Nutr Res Rev*. 2005;18(1):3–30.
26. NRC. Nutrient requirements of swine. National Academies Press, Washington, DC, USA.; 2012.
27. Thiex N. Evaluation of analytical methods for the determination of moisture, crude protein, crude fat, and crude fiber in distillers dried grains with solubles. *J AOAC Int*. 2009;92(1):61–73.
28. Thiex N, Novotny L, Crawford A. Determination of ash in animal feed: AOAC Official Method 942.05 revisited. *J AOAC Int*. 2012;95(5):1392–7.
29. Ebeling ME. The Dumas Method for Nitrogen in Feeds. *J AOAC Int*. 1968;51(4):766–70.
30. Thiex NJ, Anderson S, Gildemeister B. Crude fat, diethyl ether extraction, in feed, cereal grain, and forage (Randall/Soxtec/Submersion method): Collaborative study. *J AOAC Int*. 2003;86(5):888–98.
31. Otter DE. Standardised methods for amino acid analysis of food. *Br J Nutr*. 2012;108(SUPPL. 2):230–7.
32. Czerkawski JW. The use of pivalic acid as a reference substance in measurements of production of volatile fatty acids by rumen micro-organisms in vitro. *Br J Nutr*. 1976;36(2):311–5.
33. Turck N, Vutskits L, Sanchez-Pena P, Robin X, Hainard A, Gex-Fabry M, et al. pROC: an open-source package for R and S + to analyze and compare ROC curves. *BMC Bioinformatics*. 2011;8:12–77.
34. Constable PD, Hinchcliff KW, Done SH, Grünberg W. *Veterinary Medicine A Textbook of the Diseases of Cattle, Horses, Sheep, Pigs and Goats*. 11th ed. Vols. 1–2, Elsevier. St. Louis, Missouri, USA: Saunders Ltd; 2017. 2278 p.

35. Wu G. Amino acids: biochemistry and nutrition. CRC Press. 6000 Broken Sound Parkway NW, Suite 300 Boca Raton, FL 33487 – 2742: Taylor & Francis Group, LLC; 2013. 458 p.
36. Brossard L, Dourmad JY, Rivest J, Van Milgen J. Modelling the variation in performance of a population of growing pig as affected by lysine supply and feeding strategy. *Animal*. 2009;3(8):1114–23.
37. Remus A, Hauschild L, Methot S, Pomar C. Precision livestock farming: real-time estimation of daily protein deposition in growing–finishing pigs. *Animal*. 2020;14:s360–70.
38. van Milgen J, Valancogne A, Dubois S, Dourmad JY, Sève B, Noblet J. InraPorc: A model and decision support tool for the nutrition of growing pigs. *Anim Feed Sci Technol*. 2008;143(1–4):387–405.
39. Baxmann AC, Ahmed MS, Marques NC, Menon VB, Pereira AB, Kirsztajn GM, et al. Influence of muscle mass and physical activity on serum and urinary creatinine and serum cystatin C. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2008;3(2):348–54.
40. Le PD, Aarnink AJA, Jongbloed AW, Van Der Peet-Schwering CMC, Ogink NWM, Verstegen MWA. Effects of dietary crude protein level on odour from pig manure. *Animal*. 2007;1(5):734–44.
41. Zhao J, Liu X, Zhang Y, Liu L, Wang J, Zhang S. Effects of body weight and fiber sources on fiber digestibility and short chain fatty acid concentration in growing pigs. *Asian-Australasian J Anim Sci*. 2020;33(12):1975–84.
42. Zhao J, Bai Y, Zhang G, Liu L, Lai C. Relationship between dietary fiber fermentation and volatile fatty acids' concentration in growing pigs. *Animals*. 2020;10(2):1–14.

Additional Files

Additional File 1 is not available with this version.

Figures

Figure 1

Serum urea nitrogen (SUN; mg/dL) and branched-chain fatty acids (BCFA; % of total VFA) levels grouped by dietary treatment (n = 4) from study 1 (**A, C**) and study 2 (**B, D**).

Results are presented as Means $\pm$ Standard error mean. ^{a, b} Significant differences between treatments ($P < 0.05$). Blood and faecal samples were collected from 2 pigs/pen selected randomly at 20 weeks of age (study 1) and 14 weeks of age (study 2). Dietary treatments from study 1: C1 (Control; 10.03 MJ/kg of NE; 160.0 g of CP; 9.5 g of SID Lys per kg of feed), LP1 (Low Protein; 10.03 MJ/kg of NE; 132.0 g of CP; 7.5 g of SID Lys per kg of feed), HP1 (High Protein; 10.03 MJ/kg of NE; 188.0 g of CP; 11.5 g of SID Lys per kg of feed), LE1 (Low Energy; 9.61 MJ/kg of NE; 160.0 g of CP; 9.5 g of SID Lys per kg of feed), and HE1 (High Energy; 10.45 MJ/kg of NE; 160.0 g of CP; 9.5 g of SID Lys per kg of feed). Dietary treatments from study 2: C2 (Control; 10.03 MJ/kg NE; 165.0 g of CP; 9.5 g of SID Lys per kg of feed), LP2 (Low protein; 10.07 MJ/kg NE; 140.0 g of CP; 9.5 g of SID Lys per kg of feed), HP2 (High protein; 10.03 MJ/kg NE; 190.0 g of CP; 9.5 g of SID Lys per kg of feed), LA2 (Low amino acid; 10.03 MJ/kg NE; 135.0 g of CP; 7.5 g of SID Lys per kg of feed), HA2 (High amino acid; 10.07 MJ/kg NE; 165.0 g of CP; 11.5 g of SID Lys per kg of feed), LE2 (Low energy; 9.61 MJ/kg NE; 165.0 g of CP; 9.5 g of SID Lys per kg of feed), and HE2 (High energy; 10.45 MJ/kg NE; 165.0 g of CP; 9.5 g of SID Lys per kg of feed).

Low vs High Protein diet
20 weeks of age

Low vs High Energy diet
20 weeks of age

Figure 2

Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves for serum urea nitrogen (SUN) and branched-chain fatty acids (BCFA) biomarkers used to differentiate low vs high protein and energy diets in finishing pigs at 20 weeks of age (Study 1). Dietary treatments from study 1: Low Protein (10.03 MJ/kg of NE; 132.0 g of CP; 7.5 g of SID Lys per kg of feed), High Protein (10.03 MJ/kg of NE; 188.0 g of CP; 11.5 g of SID Lys per kg of feed), Low Energy (9.61 MJ/kg of NE; 160.0 g of CP; 9.5 g of SID Lys per kg of feed), and High Energy (10.45 MJ/kg of NE; 160.0 g of CP; 9.5 g of SID Lys per kg of feed). Headings include the area under the curve (AUC) and the 95% confidence interval (CI). The AUC is significant when the CI does not include 50%. Within each graph, the optimal cut-off concentration and the corresponding specificity and sensitivity (parenthesis) are shown.

Low vs High Protein diet
14 weeks of age

Low vs High Amino acid diet
14 weeks of age

S

Figure 3

Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves for serum urea nitrogen (SUN) and branched-chain fatty acids (BCFA) biomarkers used to differentiate low vs high protein, amino acids, and energy diets in growing pigs at 14 weeks of age (Study 2). Dietary treatments from study 2: Low protein (10.07 MJ/kg NE; 140.0 g of CP; 9.5 g of SID Lys per kg of feed), High protein (10.03 MJ/kg NE; 190.0 g of CP; 9.5 g of SID Lys per kg of feed), Low amino acid (10.03 MJ/kg NE; 135.0 g of CP; 7.5 g of SID Lys per kg of feed), High amino acid (10.07 MJ/kg NE; 165.0 g of CP; 11.5 g of SID Lys per kg of feed), Low energy (9.61 MJ/kg NE; 165.0 g of

CP; 9.5 g of SID Lys per kg of feed), and High energy (10.45 MJ/kg NE; 165.0 g of CP; 9.5 g of SID Lys per kg of feed). Headings include the area under the curve (AUC) and the 95% confidence interval (CI). The AUC is significant when the CI does not include 50%. Within each graph, the optimal cut-off concentration and the corresponding specificity and sensitivity (parenthesis) are shown.