Arterial Chemoembolization For Patients With Hepatocellular Carcinoma And Elevated Lactate Dehydrogenase Is Associated With Low Survival: A Cohort Study

Genghui Zhuang

Fujian University of Traditional Chinese Medicine

Yuping Xie

The 900th Hospital of Joint Logistic Support Force, PLA, Clinical College of Fujian Medical University

Junfeng Hong

The 900th Hospital of Joint Logistics Support Force, PLA, (Fuzhou General Hospital of Fujian Medical University, East Hospital Affiliated to Xiamen University)

Shan Lin

The 900th Hospital of Joint Logistic Support Force, PLA, Clinical College of Fujian Medical University

Tingting Chen

Fujian University of Traditional Chinese Medicine

Wenzheng Fang (✉ fangwenzheng1978@163.com)

Fujian University of Traditional Chinese Medicine

Research Article

Keywords: hepatocellular carcinoma, transarterial chemoembolization, overall survival, lactate dehydrogenase, prognosis

Posted Date: March 2nd, 2022

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1392670/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Purpose: Serum lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) concentration has been used for the evaluation and prediction of prognosis of several tumors, including hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). However, the relationship between changes in LDH after treatment (Δ LDH) and prognosis is still unclear. Herein, we aimed to determine this association in patients with HCC.

Methods: Multivariate adjusted hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (95%CI) for HCC were obtained by Cox proportional hazard regression models. As for Δ LDH and overall survival (OS), the nonlinear relationship was evaluated through a restricted cubic spline regression analysis, and threshold effects were further calculated using a two-piece-wise Cox proportional hazard model.

Results: The study finally selected 749 patients with HCC treated by transarterial chemoembolization (TACE) for the secondary analysis. Considering the Δ LDH within ± 80 U/L group as the baseline, the risk of death in the Δ LDH ≥ 80 U/L group was significantly increased by 131% (95%CI: 1.74–3.06), and the risk of death in the Δ LDH ≤ -80 U/L group was increased by 24% (HR: 1.23, 95%CI: 0.99–1.55). However, this difference was not statistically significant. Furthermore, with Δ LDH=0 (100 U/L) as the turning point, an upward U-shaped curve could be formed between Δ LDH and OS. After adjusting for confounders, Δ LDH still had a significant effect on the threshold of OS ($P=0.021$).

Conclusion: After TACE, with the increase of LDH index, HCC patients will be closely related to worse OS.

1 Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is a widespread tumor in China and belongs to a category of primary liver cancers. It ranks third in the world and second in China in terms of mortality^[1]. Currently, the best treatment for HCC is surgery or liver transplantation in the early stage of the disease. However, patients with early-stage liver cancer have no specific clinical manifestations, and regular medical checkups are not yet popular in China; therefore, most HCC patients already present with moderate- or late-stage disease at diagnosis and are past the optimum treatment time. Patients with intermediate- and late-stage HCC are typically mainly treated with transarterial chemoembolization (TACE) or palliative treatment with targeted therapy and immunotherapy. Studies have shown ^[2-3] that transarterial chemoembolization (TACE) combined with sorafenib/immunotherapy has proven to be an effective treatment for advanced hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). The average overall survival (OS) is less than 12 months^[4]. TACE plays a crucial role in most patients with advanced HCC, with the advantage of significant short-term efficacy; however, the medium- and long-term outcomes are not ideal. Some HCC patients show strong heterogeneity for TACE treatment, making it difficult for clinicians to assess and predict clinical outcomes. Owing to the poor prognosis, there is an urgent need for reliable biomarkers to predict the efficacy of TACE treatment, thereby improving clinical outcomes and reducing mortality.

Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) is known to play an important role in human glycolysis and gluconeogenesis, and the main liver isoenzymes of LDH are LDH4 and LDH5. It has been confirmed by

multiple studies that serum LDH level is an indirect marker of neoangiogenesis and tumor hypoxia. When tumor cells undergo hypoxia or necrosis, LDH activity is upregulated, making tumor cells more glycolytic and less oxygen-dependent under hypoxic conditions; meanwhile, angiogenesis increases to alleviate hypoxia. Therefore, serum LDH is likely an important predictor for tumor progression. It has been demonstrated that serum LDH concentration can assess and predict the prognosis of many tumors such as rectal cancer, small cell carcinoma of lung, and pancreatic carcinoma. According to recent reports, serum LDH can be used as an indicator for evaluating and predicting the effect of sorafenib [5]. There are several predictive models for TACE, such as the “linear predictor = maximum tumor diameter (cm) + number of tumors” model, which is specific to the prognosis of ideal HCC patients [6]. A prospective study on HCC patients who underwent TACE reported that high pre-treatment serum LDH (>450 U/L) was associated with poorer survival. This poor prognosis of patients with higher serum LDH before and after treatment was confirmed in another retrospective study [7-8]. Based on these studies, it appears that pre-treatment serum LDH concentration has the potential to predict prognosis after TACE treatment, but the prediction model of LDH change values (Δ LDH) based on post-treatment requires further investigation. Whether Δ LDH is a promising biological marker after TACE treatment has generated considerable research interest. Therefore, we designed a retrospective study to analyze whether the Δ LDH of HCC patients after TACE treatment has an effect on OS.

2 Patients And Methods

2.1 Patient Selection

The information about patients' blood indicators and related data in this clinical study was obtained from a multicenter cohort study on predictive models of HCC patients [9]. In this cohort study, we included 979 patients with advanced HCC from Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center (SYSUCC) and analyzed their data. Among these 979 patients, 157 who had only one follow-up in the first two follow-ups were excluded, and 73 patients who lacked LDH data in the first and second follow-ups were also excluded. Finally, 749 HCC patients with TACE as the first-line therapy were screened for analysis. Specific information on the screening criteria is presented in Figure 1.

The relevant research department of the Chinese Academy of Sciences approved the research plan (approval number: 2017-FXY-129). This study draws conclusions through the secondary analysis of the original data. The data used during the study were anonymous, and patients were not involved in the design, implementation, or dissemination plan of our study. Therefore, the need for informed consent was waived.

2.2 Definition and Measurements

The most important outcome indicator in this study was OS, referring to the period of time from the first follow-up until the patient's death due to any cause. New lesions in the secondary follow-up records covered lymph node metastases, vascular invasion, and formation of new intrahepatic lesions. Further,

the LDH value at the first follow-up was considered the baseline, and Δ LDH was defined as the difference between the LDH value at the subsequent follow-up visit and the baseline LDH value. Of final interest is the definition of the two hepatic lobes with lesions as those of the left lobe of the liver versus the right lobe of the liver. The first measurement of LDH was obtained 1–3 months after TACE treatment, and the second measurement was obtained after an interval of 1–3 months. Except for the LDH value, this study only analyzes the clinical records, tumor markers, medical imaging data, and biochemical index data of the second follow-up ^[10].

2.3 Statistical Analysis

In the study, the normal value of serum LDH was 135–215 U/L, so Δ LDH within ± 80 U/L was in the normal range. The maximum value of Δ LDH normal range is 80, The minimum value of the normal range of Δ LDH is - 80, so we choose 80 and - 80 as the basis for our stratification. The study population was divided into three subgroups (Δ LDH within ± 80 U/L, Δ LDH $\leq$ -80U/L, and Δ LDH $\geq$ 80U/L). For this statistical analysis, EmpowerStats version 2.0 (<http://www.empowerstats.com>, X&Y Solutions, Inc., Boston, MA) was used. For data analysis, continuous variables were compared using Kruskal–Wallis test, while categorical variables were compared using the chi-square test. Meanwhile, Cox proportional risk models were adopted for univariate as well as multivariate analyses to assess the correlation between Δ LDH and OS in advanced HCC patients.

To further test whether there is a nonlinear relationship between Δ LDH and hazard risk ratio, the shape between the two was assessed by restrictive third spline plotting smoothed graphs. In the next step, the recursive method of maximum model likelihood was applied to draw a smooth curve and determine the inflection point. At the same time, the Cox proportional hazard regression model was applied to analyze and estimate the threshold effect of Δ LDH on OS. In addition, single linear regressions were contrasted using the log-likelihood ratio test, and log-likelihood ratios of <0.05 were considered to have segmental effects. We used statistical software packages R (<http://www.R-project.org>, The R Foundation) and EmpowerStats for data analysis.

3 Results

3.1 The second follow-up inquiry of patients and their baseline characteristics

The retrospective study initially selected 979 consecutive patients enrolled in the SYSUCC study between January 2007 and May 2012, with 749 patients were finally selected for analysis, nearly half of whom had a history of prior hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection (343/749, 45.8%). As of May 2012, the death toll was 404 (58.8%). The median follow-up time was approximately 16.6 months (range: 0.1–112.4), and the median follow-up interval was 2.0 months (range: 0.4–8.0). Overall, 120 patients had new progress after TACE. Among them, 36 had lymph node metastasis, 66 had distant metastasis, 59 had vascular invasion, and 74 had ascites.

Performance status (PS) score, major tumor diameter, white blood cell (WBC) count, alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) level, location of pathological changes, Child-Pugh class, and the number of intrahepatic pathological changes were significantly different among the three groups of patients, ($P<0.05$) (Table 1).

3.2 Correlation Between OS and Δ LDH After TACE Treatment

In the correlation study, we first used the Kaplan–Meier method and assessed the median OS of the three groups. Then, the log-rank test was used for statistical analysis. The median OS was 34.5 months (95%CI: 28.4–42.3) in the Δ LDH within ± 80 U/L group; 23.9 months (95%CI: 19.8–34.0) in the Δ LDH ≤ 80 U/L group; and 11.5 months (95%CI: 6.7–18.2) in the Δ LDH ≥ 80 U/L group (Figure 2). This difference among groups was statistically significant ($P<0.0001$).

Univariate analysis showed that PS score (1: HR=3.07, 95%CI=2.29–4.11); main tumor diameter (cm) (5 cm: HR=3.32, 95%CI=2.60–4.23); AFP (ng/mL) (≥ 25 : HR=2.27, 95%CI=1.77–2.90); number of intrahepatic pathological changes (≤ 3 : HR=2.10, 95%CI=1.53–2.90 and >3 : HR=4.07, 95%CI=3.05–5.44); location of pathological changes (left or right: HR=2.12; 95%CI=1.54–2.91 and both sides: HR=4.11, 95%CI=3.08–5.48); WBC (10^9 /L) (≥ 11 : HR=1.80, 95%CI=1.20–2.70); and Child–Pugh class (B: HR=1.84, 95%CI=1.43–2.36) were related to higher risk of death in the Δ LDH ≥ 80 U/L group than the Δ LDH within ± 80 U/L group and were considered risk factors. However, age (years) (≥ 55 : HR=0.87, 95%CI=0.70–1.68) and hemoglobin (g/L) (≥ 120 : HR=0.72, 95%CI=0.56–0.93) were related to a less serious risk and were protective factors (Table 2). Similar results were found in the Δ LDH ≤ 80 U/L group.

Confounding factors according to clinical and statistical reasons included age, sex, Hgb, Child–Pugh class, PS score, location of pathological changes, AFP, number of intrahepatic pathological changes, WBC count, and main tumor diameter.

Table 3 shows that the risk of death increased by 24% when Δ LDH ≤ 80 U/L (unadjusted HR: 1.24, 95%CI: 0.99–1.55) and by 131% when Δ LDH ≥ 80 U/L (unadjusted HR: 2.31, 95%CI: 1.74–3.06). After adjustment for confounders, the positive association was more consistent than before (Δ LDH ≤ 80 U/L: HR=1.11, 95%CI=0.88–1.39; : HR=1.40, 95%CI=1.04–1.87). Thus, it is evident that an increase (Δ LDH ≥ 80 U/L, $P<0.05$) in LDH levels after TACE treatment increases the risk of death in patients.

3.3 The nonlinear relationship and threshold effect of Δ LDH on OS

As shown in Figure 3, there is a non-linear U-shaped relation between Δ LDH and OS. After the lowest point (Δ LDH=0 U/L), HR gradually increased with decreasing or increasing LDH, and the risk increased significantly with increasing LDH. Δ LDH had a significant effect on the threshold for OS ($P<0.001$) (Table 4). Δ LDH <0 (100 U/L) had an HR of 0.96 (95%CI: 0.93–1.00) and Δ LDH >0 (100 U/L) had an HR of 1.11 (95%CI: 1.07–1.15). The significance of Δ LDH threshold effect on OS was also considerable ($P=0.021$) after adjusting for potential influencing factors including age, sex, WBC, Hgb, PS score, main tumor diameter, Child–Pugh class, location of pathological changes, AFP, and the of intrahepatic pathological changes.

In order to verify the data distribution and correlation between Δ LDH and OS, we drew a scatter diagram (Figure. 4), which further proved that the increase and decrease of LDH were related to the decreased OS.

3.4 Sensitivity Analysis

We first assessed the relationship between Δ LDH and patient mortality at 6 months, and then at 12, 24, and finally 36 months using a univariate logistic regression model to analyze the relationship between LDH and OS after TACE treatment. In order to more intuitively show the differences between groups, we drew a histogram. Further detailed information of the methods is presented as supplementary information (Table S1, Figure S2) ($P < 0.05$).

4 Discussion

From the present retrospective study, we can report that elevated LDH is associated with shorter OS in HCC patients treated with TACE. The current research used Δ LDH=0 (100 U/L) as a turning point to further illustrate the U-shaped relationship between Δ LDH and patient OS, indicating that both elevated or decreased LDH after TACE treatment increased the risk of death and shortened the OS of patients. As shown in Figure 3, the Δ LDH ≥ 80 U/L group significantly increased the risk of death ($P < 0.001$), and the Δ LDH ≤ -80 U/L group also showed a trend of increasing the risk of death. However after adjusting for confounding factors, $P = 0.021 > 0.01$, which is not statistically significant. The causes of LDH reduction are common in pathological conditions such as malnutrition, endocrine dysfunction, and physiological conditions such as fatigue and poor mood. Clinically, malnutrition after TACE is more common^[11], and malnutrition is not conducive to postoperative recovery of patients, so LDH after TACE Decreasing levels tend to increase the risk of death.

Elevated LDH levels more significantly caused an increased risk of death in patients than decreased LDH levels. After adjusting for confounding factors, each 100 U/L increase in LDH response to TACE in HCC patients was related to a 6% increase in mortality risk. We hypothesized that Δ LDH ≥ 80 U/L could be a high-risk subgroup for poor prognosis after TACE.

Several studies have shown that serum LDH levels are valid predictive markers for HCC. For early-stage HCC, a large-scale study showed that LDH >240 U/L before early hepatectomy can be an independent prognostic indicator for progression-free survival (PFS) as well as OS. Furthermore, with respect to systemic therapy, LDH concentration during sorafenib treatment was negatively correlated with clinical outcome^[12]. Previous relevant studies on TACE treatment mainly showed that high levels of pre-treatment LDH elevation predicted poor prognosis; whereas, in our study, we demonstrated for the first time that Δ LDH is a predictable biological indicator for patients with HCC after receiving TACE treatment^[7-8].

In colorectal cancer, the use of PTK/ZK (vatalanib, an oral VEGF inhibitor) in patients whose serum LDH concentration is higher was shown to improve median PFS^[13-14]. Therefore, tumor angiogenesis was closely related to high LDH levels. One of the important features of HCC is active angiogenesis. LDH is a

glycolytic enzyme that functions under anaerobic conditions, and cancer cells are in a hypoxic state when they generate therapeutic resistance through the formation of new blood vessels. Thus far, based on abnormal HIF-1 α activation, it has been proven that LDH, hypoxia, and angiogenesis pathways are biologically connected. Therefore, when HCC progresses and serum LDH concentration increases, in addition to the above-mentioned tumor hypoxia or angiogenesis, it may also be accompanied by abnormal activation of tumor pathways.

Common causes of elevated lactate dehydrogenase include malnutrition, kidney disease, myocardial damage, hepatobiliary disease, and lung and respiratory diseases. Lactate dehydrogenase is commonly found in various tissues of the human body. The kidney has the most content. However, any organ has various damages, the damage reaches a certain degree, and the balance of loss and self-repair is broken, which will cause the elevated of lactate dehydrogenase. However the original data did not provide data on the renal function and other related diseases of the patients involved in the study, so we cannot rule out the influence of the patients' own diseases on the results of the study.

The strengths of this study are: first, the patient data were followed-up for 115.3 months with clear baseline characteristics, which is significantly better than previous studies; second, plotting a U-shaped smoothed curve between Δ LDH and HR by three-sample regression, the study analyzed the threshold effect between Δ LDH and OS in patients with HCC by using a two-piece wise Cox proportional hazards model, thereby identifying the risky Δ LDH subgroup of HCC patients with poor prognosis after TACE treatment. Meanwhile, this study can provide clinicians with a simple and quick reference index for predicting the prognosis of patients with advanced liver cancer treated by TACE, which is convenient for guiding patients' back-line treatment plan, and provides a research direction for other interested researchers.

Our study also has some limitations. First, the study data were obtained from a single cancer center in a region in China, and it was not possible to generalize the study results to other regions and countries owing to geographical and ethnic differences. Second, the confounding factors in the study led to biased results, and although patients with hematologic-related diseases were excluded before TACE treatment, it was still not possible to exclude the effect of other diseases; Third, the cohort study was based on the original data for further analysis; the original data did not provide information on the specific drug regimens of participating patients and the medical history of immediate family members with or without liver cancer. Fourth, this study is a secondary data analysis study, limited by the quality of the original data, there are some missing data problems, although we use multiple data imputation method to supplement the missing data, reduce the error as much as possible, but it is not Avoid bias caused by missing data. Fifth, Since the data in this study are collected by other researchers, the relevant information obtained is limited, such as LDH test kits and corresponding brands, the number of times patients receive TACE, etc. At the same time, there are differences in LDH test methods in different regions, resulting in The significance of clinical guidance for patients in different regions and receiving different courses of TACE treatment is limited. Sixth the study selected patients who received TACE treatment from 2007 to 2012. The technology and methods of TACE treatment continued to develop and

mature in the following 10 years, which limited the reliability and effectiveness of this study. The clinical significance of guidance has certain limitations. Hence, the limitations of the data itself could not be avoided. However, in view of a retrospective study, we believe that the impact of the above issues on the study is negligible.

5 Conclusion

After a second analysis of the data, we found that after TACE treatment, patients with $\Delta\text{LDH} \geq 80$ U/L increased the risk of death by 131%, while patients with $\Delta\text{LDH} \leq -80$ U/L died. There was no statistically significant difference in risk increase. More importantly, there is a u-shaped relationship between ΔLDH and the patient's OS, and the turning point is $\Delta\text{LDH} = 0$ (100U/L). When $\Delta\text{LDH} > 0$ (100U/L), the risk of death increased significantly; after adjusting for confounding factors, the threshold effect of ΔLDH on OS was still significant.

In summary, our study confirms that after TACE treatment, elevated LDH indices in patients with HCC are strongly associated with worse OS. The change value of serum LDH is expected to become a powerful indicator for predicting the prognosis of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma after TACE treatment.

Abbreviations

HCC, Hepatocellular carcinoma; TACE, Transarterial chemoembolization; OS, overall survival; HR, hazard ratio; 95%CI, Confidence intervals; LDH, Lactate dehydrogenase

Declarations

Data Sharing Statement

The original data used in this article is free and comes from the Dryad Digital Repository database ([www.Datadryad.org](http://www.datadryad.org); Dryad data package: Shen, Lujun et al (2019), Data from: Dynamical prognostication of patients diagnosed with hepatocellular carcinoma suggested by survival paths mapping generated on the basis of time-series data, Dryad, Dataset, <https://datadryad.org/stash/dataset/doi:10.5061/dryad.pd44k8r>)^[9,15].

Ethics permission and Informed approval

The research proposal (2017-FXY-129) had obtained permission from SYSUCC's relevant research department, and we received ethical exemptions from the approval ethics committee/institutional review board (IRB) of The 900th Hospital of Joint Logistic Support Force, PLA. The current study, a secondary analysis study, is conducted on the basis of primary data. The data used in this study were anonymous and hence, the need for informed consent was waived. Finally, this study was conducted in accordance with the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Conflict of Interest

the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Author Contributions

All authors gave final approval of the version to be published, agreed to the submitted journal, and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work. All author's specific contributions are as follows:

Conceptualization: Genghui Zhuang; Data curation: Yuping Xie; Formal Analysis: Yuping Xie, Tingting Chen; Funding acquisition: Wenzheng Fang; Investigation: Genghui Zhuang; Methodology: Junfeng Hong, Shan Lin; Project administration: Wenzheng Fang; Resources: Tingting Chen; Software: Shan Lin; Supervision: Wenzheng Fang; Validation: Junfeng Hong; Visualization: Tingting Chen, Shan Lin; Writing—original draft: Genghui Zhuang, Yuping Xie; Writing – review & editing: Wenzheng Fang, Junfeng Hong.

Funding

This research was supported by funds from Fujian Science and Technology Innovation Joint Fund (No: 2016Y9064).

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Prof. Peihong Wu (E-mail: wuph@sysucc.org.cn) for providing the raw data and Dr. Chengzhong Chen (Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA) who developed Empower (R) and provided statistical guidance.

References

1. Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, Bray F (2021). Global Cancer Statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN Estimates of Incidence and Mortality Worldwide for 36 Cancers in 185 Countries. 71(3), 209–249. doi:<https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21660>
2. Rizzo A, Ricci AD, Brandi G. Immune-based combinations for advanced hepatocellular carcinoma: shaping the direction of first-line therapy. Future Oncol. 2021;17(7):755–757. doi:10.2217/fo-2020-0986
3. Dai Y, Jiang H, Jiang H, et al. Optimal timing of combining sorafenib with trans-arterial chemoembolization in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma: A meta-analysis. Transl Oncol. 2021;14(12):101238. doi:10.1016/j.tranon.2021.101238
4. Anwanwan D, Singh SK, Singh S, Saikam V, Singh R (2020). Challenges in liver cancer and possible treatment approaches. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Reviews on Cancer, 1873(1), 188314. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbcan.2019.188314>

5. Faloppi L, Scartozzi M, Bianconi M, Svegliati Baroni G, Toniutto P, Giampieri R, et al (2014). The role of LDH serum levels in predicting global outcome in HCC patients treated with sorafenib: implications for clinical management. *BMC Cancer*, 14(1), 110. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2407-14-110>
6. Wang Q, Xia D, Bai W, Wang E, Sun J, Huang M, et al (2019). Development of a prognostic score for recommended TACE candidates with hepatocellular carcinoma: A multicentre observational study. *J Hepatol*. 2019, 70. 893–903. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2019.01.013>The following formatting styles are meant as a guide, as long as the full citation is complete and clear, Frontiers referencing style will be applied during typesetting.
7. Scartozzi M, Faloppi L, Bianconi M, Giampieri R, Maccaroni E, Bittoni A, et al (2012). The role of LDH serum levels in predicting global outcome in HCC patients undergoing TACE: implications for clinical management. *PLoS One*. 2012, 7. e32653. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0032653>.
8. Kohles N, Nagel D, Jüngst D, Durner J, Stieber P, Holdenrieder S (2012). Prognostic relevance of oncological serum biomarkers in liver cancer patients undergoing transarterial chemoembolization therapy. *Tumor Biology*, 33(1), 33–40. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s13277-011-0237-7>
9. Shen L, Zeng Q, Guo P, Huang J, Li C, Pan T, et al (2018). Dynamically prognosticating patients with hepatocellular carcinoma through survival paths mapping based on time-series data. *Nature Communications*, 9(1), 2230. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-04633-7>
10. Lu L, Zhang Y, Zheng P, Wu Z, Wang X, Chen Y, et al (2020). Elevated Platelet Count is Associated with Poor Survival After Transarterial Chemoembolization Treatment in Patients with Hepatocellular Carcinoma: A Cohort Study. *J Hepatocell Carcinoma*. 2020, 7. 191–199. doi:<https://doi.org/10.2147/JHC.S274349>
11. Deng J, He JJ, Xie LP, Zheng QW, Wu SL, Shao HY (2021). Comprehensive nutritional index for predicting overall survival in hepatocellular carcinoma patients after multiple transarterial chemoembolization. *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr*, 30(1), 7–14. doi:[https://doi.org/10.6133/apjcn.202103_30\(1\).0002](https://doi.org/10.6133/apjcn.202103_30(1).0002)
12. Faloppi L, Bianconi M, Memeo R, Casadei Gardini A, Giampieri R, Bittoni A, et al (2016). Lactate Dehydrogenase in Hepatocellular Carcinoma: Something Old, Something New. *BioMed Research International*, 2016, 7196280. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/7196280>
13. **Van** Cutsem, E, Bajetta, E, Valle, J, Kohne, C. H, Hecht, J. R, Moore, M, et al (2011). Randomized, Placebo-Controlled, Phase III Study of Oxaliplatin, Fluorouracil, and Leucovorin With or Without PTK787/ZK 222584 in Patients With Previously Treated Metastatic Colorectal Adenocarcinoma. *J Clin Oncol*. 2011, 29. 2004-10. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2010.29.5436>
14. Hecht JR, Trarbach T, Hainsworth JD, Major P, Jäger E, Wolff RA, et al (2011). Randomized, Placebo-Controlled, Phase III Study of First-Line Oxaliplatin-Based Chemotherapy Plus PTK787/ZK 222584, an Oral Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Receptor Inhibitor, in Patients With Metastatic Colorectal Adenocarcinoma. 29(15), 1997–2003. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1200/jco.2010.29.4496>

15. Lu L, Su Z, Zheng P, Wu Z, Zhang Y, He H, et al (2020). Association between platelet count and hepatocellular carcinoma overall survival: a large retrospective cohort study. *BMJ Open*. 2020, 10. e038172. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2020-038172>

Tables

Table 1 Baseline characteristics of the second follow-up data of HCC patients treated with TACE

Characteristics	Δ LDH(U/L)			P# value	P\$ value
	>-80, <80	$\leq$ -80	$\geq$ 80		
N	444	217	88	0.997	0.639
Age (yrs, median $\pm$ SD)	52.1 $\pm$ 12.2	53.0 $\pm$ 12.4	51.3 $\pm$ 11.4		
$\leq$ 55	225(50.7%)	110(50.7%)	47(53.4%)		
$\geq$ 55	219(49.3%)	107(49.3%)	41(46.6%)		
Gender				0.292	0.436
Male	408(91.9%)	194(89.4%)	83(94.3%)		
Female	36(8.1%)	23(10.6%)	5(5.7%)		
PS score				0.001	0.001
0	384(86.5%)	117(54%)	64(72.7%)		
1	60(13.5%)	40(18.4%)	24(17.3%)		
Diameter of main tumour (cm)				0.035	$\leq$ 0.001
$\leq$ 5	200(45.0%)	79(36.4%)	16(18.2%)		
$\geq$ 5	244(55.0%)	138(63.6%)	72(81.8%)		
AFP (ng/mL)				0.205	0.001
<25	209(47.1%)	93(42.9%)	23(26.1%)		
$\geq$ 25	214(48.2%)	118(54.4%)	58(65.9%)		
Hgb (g/L)				0.567	0.129
<120	108(24.3%)	49(22.6%)	28(31.8%)		
$\geq$ 120	297(66.9%)	151(69.6%)	52(59.1%)		
WBC (10^9 /L)				0.072	$\leq$ 0.001
<11	380(85.6%)	184(84.8%)	65(73.9%)		
$\geq$ 11	22(5.0%)	19(8.8%)	15(17.0%)		
No. of intrahepatic lesions				0.021	$\leq$ 0.001
0	198(44.6%)	79(36.4%)	16(18.2%)		
$\leq$ 3	106(23.9%)	46(21.2%)	17(19.3%)		
>3	140(31.5%)	92(42.4%)	55(62.5%)		
Location of lesions				0.048	$\leq$ 0.001
None	198(4.3%)	79(36.4%)	16(18.2%)		

Left/Right	10924.5%	5123.5%	2629.5%		
Both	13730.9%	8740.1%	4652.3%		
Child-Pugh class					
A	34477.5%	17882.0%	4854.5%	0.178	0.001
B	10022.5%	3918.0%	4045.5%		

Notes: ΔLDH= the difference between the LDH value at the second follow-up and the baseline LDH value. The chi-square test for categorical measurement and the Kruskal-Wallis test for continuous measurement were used to compare the differences. Resolve missing data with multiple complementation method. #ΔLDH≤-80 vsΔLDH within ±80. \$ΔLDH≥80 vs ΔLDH within ±80.

Table 2 A univariate analysis of factors related to OS in HCC patients treated with TACE

	$\Delta\text{LDH} \geq 80 \text{ U/L}$		$\Delta\text{LDH} \leq 80 \text{ U/L}$	
	Statistics	HR (95% CI)	Statistics	HR (95% CI)
Gender				
Male	491 (92.29%)	1	602 (91.07%)	1
Female	41 (7.71%)	1.08 (0.70, 1.68)	59 (8.93%)	1.22 (0.85, 1.75)
Age (yrs)				
<55	272 (51.13%)	1	335 (50.68%)	1
≥ 55	260 (48.87%)	0.87 (0.69, 1.09)	326 (49.32%)	0.85 (0.69, 1.06)
PS score				
0	448 (84.21%)	1	561 (84.87%)	1
1	84 (15.79%)	3.07 (2.29, 4.11)	100 (15.13%)	3.35 (2.56, 4.38)
Diameter of main tumour (cm)				
<5	215 (40.41%)	1	278 (42.06%)	1
≥ 5	317 (59.59%)	3.32 (2.60, 4.23)	383 (57.94%)	3.05 (2.44, 3.81)
AFP (ng/mL)				
<25	232 (43.61%)	1	306 (46.29%)	1
≥ 25	300 (56.39%)	2.27 (1.77, 2.90)	355 (53.71%)	2.53 (2.03, 3.16)
Hgb (g/L)				
<120	136 (25.56%)	1	161 (24.36%)	1
≥ 120	396 (74.44%)	0.72 (0.56, 0.93)	500 (75.64%)	0.69 (0.54, 0.87)
WBC ($10^9/\text{L}$)				
<11	495 (93.05%)	1	620 (93.8%)	1
≥ 11	37 (6.95%)	1.80 (1.20, 2.70)	41 (6.20%)	1.43 (0.95, 2.14)
No. of intrahepatic lesions				
0	214 (40.23%)	1	277 (41.91%)	1
≤ 3	126 (23.68%)	2.10 (1.53, 2.90)	154 (23.30%)	2.35 (1.77, 3.13)
≥ 3	192 (36.09%)	4.07 (3.05, 5.44)	232 (34.80%)	4.08 (3.14, 5.29)

Location of Lesions				
None	214 40.23%	1	277 41.91%	1
Left/Right	135 25.38%	2.12 (1.54, 2.91)	160 24.21%	2.33 (1.75, 3.10)
Both	183 34.40%	4.11 (3.08, 5.48)	224 33.89%	4.15 (3.19, 5.38)
Child-Pugh class				
A	392 73.68%	1	522 78.97%	1
B	140 26.32%	1.84 (1.43, 2.36)	139 21.03%	2.11 (1.65, 2.68)

Note: Resolve missing data with multiple complementation method

Table 3 Multivariable HR and 95% CI between Δ LDH and OS in HCC patients treated by TACE

	Δ LDH U/L		
	-80-80	$\leq$ -80	$\geq$ 80
Deaths/Patients	226/444	117/217	62/88
Not adjusted	Reference	1.24 (0.99, 1.55)	2.31 (1.74, 3.06)
Model I*	Reference	1.28 (1.02, 1.60)	1.90 (1.43, 2.53)
Model II#	Reference	1.11 (0.88, 1.39)	1.40 (1.04, 1.87)

Notes: Due to missing data, the total of the above data is less than 749. *The model adjusted Gender(Male,Female),PS score (0,1), age (<55, $\geq$ 55), Child-Pugh class (A, B). #The model was adjusted based on Gender(Male,Female), PS score (0,1), age (<55, $\geq$ 55), Child-Pugh class (A, B), location of lesions (none, left/right, both), diameter of main tumour (<5, $\geq$ 5), No. of intrahepatic lesions (0, $\leq$ 3, >3), AFP (<25, $\geq$ 25), Hgb (g/L)(<120, $\geq$ 120) and WBC (10⁹/L)(<11, $\geq$ 11).

Table 4 Use the two-piece Cox proportional hazard model to perform a threshold effect analysis on the Δ LDH in the deduction cohort

	Unadjusted HR (95% CI)	Adjusted HR (95% CI) [#]
The one-line Cox proportional hazards model	1.02 (0.98, 1.06)	1.01 (0.98, 1.05)
The two-piece-wise Cox proportional hazards model		
<0 (80U/L)	0.96 (0.93, 1.00)	0.98 (0.94, 1.02)
≥0 (80U/L)	1.11 (1.07, 1.15)	1.06 (1.02, 1.11)
P for log-likelihood ratio test	0.001	0.021

Notes: One-line linear regression is compared with the log-likelihood ratio test. [#]This model was adjusted based on Gender(Male,Female), PS score (0,1), age (<55, ≥55), Child-Pugh class (A, B), location of lesions (none, left/right, both), diameter of main tumour (<5, ≥5), No.of intrahepatic lesions (0,≤3, >3), and AFP (<25, ≥25),Hgb (g/L)(<120,≥120),WBC (10⁹/L)(<11,≥11).

Figures

Figure 1

Flow chart of HCC patients after TACE treatment.

Figure 2

Figure 3

The smooth curve between Δ LDH and HCC after TACE treatment

Notes: It shows that there is a U-shaped relationship between Δ LDH and HCC mortality. The y-axis is the predicted logarithm (HR), and the x-axis is Δ LDH (continuous). Non-linear $P < 0.001$.

Figure 4

The Scatter plot between Δ LDH and OS in HCC patients after TACE treatment

Note: Figure 4(A) indicates that there is a positive correlation between Δ LDH and OS in the group of Δ LDH ≤ -80 , Figure 4(B) indicates that there is a negative correlation between Δ LDH and OS in the group of Δ LDH ≥ 80 , and Figure 4(C) indicates that there is no obvious positive and negative correlation between Δ LDH and OS in the group of $-80 < \Delta$ LDH < 80 .

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [SupplementaryMaterial.docx](#)