Oral Status of elderly patients in long stay centres: A systematic review

Juan Antonio Ruiz-Roca

University of Murcia

Dora Martin-Fuentes

University of Murcia

Yolanda Martinez-Beneyto (✉ yolandam@um.es)

University of Murcia <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1523-9415>

Ricardo Elias Oñate-Sanchez

University of Murcia

Research article

Keywords: elderly inpatients, elderly hospitalized patients, long term hospitalization, long term inpatients, oral health, oral status

Posted Date: April 22nd, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.2.23435/v2>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background: Elderly patients who spend long periods hospitalized or those who are in a situation of institutionalization represent a risk group in this regard, as many of them suffer a degree of dependence and need help to perform the basic tasks of personal care. It is therefore important to learn more of the oral health status of this group of patients in order to make a proper assessment of the situation and to develop protocols for its management. The purpose of the study was to conduct a systematic review to ascertain the oral health status of elderly patients admitted to institutions or hospitalized for a long period of time.

Methods: a systematic review of the literature published in two different databases (PubMed, Embase and Cochrane Library) was carried out, with 12 different combinations of keywords based on the following selection criteria: studies published in the last 5 years, in English and/or Spanish and/or Portuguese, with samples of ≥ 30 patients, performed in patients older than 65 years, admitted to any type of institution and/or hospital center for at least 7 days and in which the state of hard and/or soft tissues of the oral cavity were evaluated in some way. The selected articles were subjected to a thorough analysis.

Results: The search strategy covered 1,014 articles: 689 from Pubmed and 325 from Cochrane Library. After applying the eligibility criteria, five articles were selected for our review. The level of evidence of the articles was 3, a sample of 773 patients most of them were women with an average age older than 70 years old.

Conclusions: the oral health of patients aged more than 65 is worse than that of the rest population. Long hospital stays or being institutionalized in a residence makes this group susceptible to a worsening of their oral health status. It is necessary to develop protocols for the oral health care of these patients, accompanied by training programs for the personnel responsible.

Background

In light of the increase in life expectancy, aging is "on the verge of becoming one of the most significant social transformations of the twenty-first century"¹. In Spain, people over 65 represent 19.2% of the total population², a figure that will reach 25.2% in 2033³.

This makes it necessary to reconsider the way in which we attend and treat elderly patients in society⁴, not just those who have sufficient personal autonomy but also those, estimated to represent around 3% of the elderly^{5,6}, who live in institutions and need some kind of specific care. Despite this need, there are few studies that describe the situation in which this population group find themselves and which might contribute to improving the attention given to them and therefore increase their quality of life. In Spain there are no studies published in which the physical, medical and psychological conditions of the institutionalized elderly population are evaluated⁵.

The progress and improvements that have been made in dentistry, as well as new patterns of care and prevention, have meant that it is increasingly possible to reach elderly people with a large number of teeth and in a better state of dentition than ever before^{7,8} although there is still a tendency for the elderly to be vulnerable to caries and periodontitis⁸. Oral pathologies can significantly affect health and general welfare of the population, and lead to alterations in speech, the poor pronunciation of certain words, or deficient food intake, raising the risk of malnutrition⁹ due to problems with chewing or swallowing. Moreover, oral health can have a negative effect on facial aesthetics, lowering self-esteem and harming the psychosocial well-being of the individual^{10,11,12}. Numerous studies have described the relationship between poor oral health and the emergence of systemic diseases, ranging from heart disease or Diabetes Mellitus to respiratory diseases, such as pneumonia^{8,10,13,14}.

Diseases such as Parkinson's or Alzheimer's, or neuromuscular disorders, are some of the reasons that many are no longer able to carry out oral care tasks, due to a loss of manual dexterity, basically because of a loss of motor and cognitive skill, or because they do not remember how to brush their teeth or are not able to follow the instructions on how to do so themselves¹¹.

In the case of geriatric patients, the frequent coexistence of several diseases and disorders in the same patient must also be taken into account. Comorbidity in this population makes them especially susceptible to oral pathologies, often as a result of the medication they are taking, which increase the risk of tooth decay through alterations in saliva flow¹⁰. In addition, some disorders may give rise to physical, cognitive or even motivational limitations that interfere with the development and habit of practising good oral hygiene^{11,15,16}.

Added to the vulnerability of geriatric patients in this respect, other factors may limit their access to oral attention, such as an inability to assume the costs of treatment, reduced physical mobility, the lack of transport or the absence of caregivers or family members who can accompany them. In addition, the work they used to do, their social environment or their own idiosyncrasies may mean the person lacks the ability to recognize the need for an a dental examination or treatment¹⁰.

Despite the high prevalence of oral health problems in this group of patients, little or no importance is given to this problem¹⁰, leading the World Health Organization (WHO) to advise on the need to increase awareness, on a social, cultural and medical level, of oral health as a major component of overall health and quality of life¹⁵. The organization strongly recommends that countries develop programs to meet the needs of their elderly citizens in this respect and to research the problem of oral care in the elderly, due to an increase in the overall incidence of non-transmissible diseases¹⁷. A survey of the oral health of elderly patients carried out by the WHO revealed that oral health programs targeting this population group are very rare¹⁷, and that dental intervention tends to be therapeutic rather than (ideally) preventive. That is why hospitalization or long stays in care centres present a good opportunity for providing dental assistance that would otherwise not be offered to the general elderly population¹⁰.

The removal of bacterial plaque at least twice a day (morning and evening) is essential for maintaining oral health, especially in dependent older people. However, despite the important role that staff in hospitals and other long stay centers such as nursing homes, could play in maintaining and influencing oral health, they do not know what care and oral hygiene protocols should be followed with the elderly, except those patients who are at risk of pneumonia associated with mechanical ventilation ¹¹.

Although oral pathologies are among the most common chronic diseases and represent an important public health problem due to their prevalence and the expense of treatment¹⁵, there is a general but erroneous belief that oral hygiene and care are unimportant¹¹. When patients, for different reasons, reject oral care, staff simply accept their refusal. However, refusing treatment would not be tolerated in other interventions - for example, measuring the level of glucose in the blood or the blood pressure of a patient. This situation is doubly severe in elderly patients with dementia who are reluctant to be cared for by third parties. Moreover, care providers may not be in a position to offer proper care, either because the patient refuses or because they are overworked and decide not to assist them. For all of the above, these patients can be considered extremely vulnerable and are at higher risk than the general elderly population¹¹.

Bilder et al.¹⁵ describes how poor oral health and limited access to oral care for adults in long-term care centres, as well as the lack of detailed guidelines, are a reflection of insufficient scientific evidence concerning the dental care support techniques that can be offered¹¹. This clearly does not help when attempts are made to reverse this situation. However, problems of oral health, ranging from dental caries to chewing problems or pain, constitute the most frequent treatment needs and are among the least successfully resolved health problems in the population group consisting of older people and the disabled¹⁵.

For all these reasons, we think that the lack of information, documentation and prevention concerning the oral health of elderly patients can have an adverse impact on health, i.e., on the state of complete physical, mental and social well-being.

Our main objective, was to conduct a systematic review to ascertain the state of oral health of elderly patients in an institution for a long period of time, analysing those parameters that could reveal their current oral situation. Secondary objectives were: to see whether any deterioration of oral health detected in these patients is affected by their being in a hospital or residence; to ascertain whether a standard protocol exists concerning the oral health care of these patients; to compare the information obtained with published scientific literature, and, if no relevant information exists, to propose a line of research to establish a prevention-based protocol for oral care in the elderly population, especially those in long stay facilities.

The literature search strategy followed in making this systematic review was in accordance with the PICOS framework¹⁸. The focus question was: What is the state of oral health of institutionalized elderly patients?

Methods

Study design

A systematic review of the literature was managed by two reviewers (JARR and DMF) independently and conducted an exhaustive search of each database.

following PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyzes Statement Check-list) 2009 statements (<http://www.prismastatement.org>) throughout the selection process and the last manual updated by the Cochrane Collaboration, for the preparation of systematic reviews of the literature of the year 2009¹⁹. Institutional review board approval was not required for this review.

In the first round only titles and abstracts of retrieved articles were analyzed. Then in a second round all considered eligible studies were fully examined and final decisions about inclusions were made. In case of disagreement a third reviewer (YMB) participated in order to reach consensus. Cohen's kappa coefficient was used to evaluate the disagreement between the researchers.

Following the methodology of evidence-based medicine, the PICO strategy was used, in order to prepare the research question to which we will try to answer in this work; Population (P): Elderly patients, aged 65 and over admitted to hospital or geriatric center for periods of more 7 days; Intervention (I): To analyze the following parameters: Oral health indexes such as DMFT (Decayed, Missing, Filled Index) and treatment needs index and oral hygiene protocols Comparison (C): Oral health status among patients who are institutionalized versus non-elderly subjects; Outcome (O): Poorer results in patients institutionalized in the periodontal index score.

Search strategy and databases

An intensive search was performed in three of the main scientific databases such as the Cochrane Library, Medline via Pubmed and Embase. Only articles published in English, Spanish or Portuguese within the 5 year period 1 January 2014 to 1 January 2019 were consulted. The search strategy used terms from the controlled vocabulary MeSH (Medical Subject Headings) and the Boolean operators "AND", "OR" and "NOT", as well as terms related to the study population (*elderly inpatients, elderly hospitalized patients, long term hospitalization, long term inpatients, oral health oral status and oral pathology*).

Selection Criteria

The following inclusion and exclusion criteria were followed in this systematic review (Table 1).

Assessment of Bias in Studies

From each of the articles, information was extracted, such as sample size, study design, any intervention and how the results were analyzed. Articles are classified by reference to their level of scientific evidence

according to the criteria described by the Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN), which provides checklists to assess the quality of: systematic reviews & meta-analyses, randomized clinical trials, cohort studies, case-control studies, diagnostic studies, and economic studies. Each checklist is accompanied by notes to aid completion, and written responses to the individual questions are used, with users then assigning studies an overall rating according to specified criteria. The full set of checklists and detailed notes on their use are available from SIGN¹⁹.

Results

The search culminated in five studies that fulfilled both the inclusion and exclusion criteria and which were conducted from 1 January 2014 to 1 January 2019 (figure 1).

Quality assessment

According to these criteria, the articles selected for our systematic review about the following levels of evidence and degrees of recommendation are shown in table 2.

Basic results

Of the five studies selected for this systematic review, two were carried out in Europe^{9,20}, two in Asia^{21,22}, and one in Australia²³.

All the works were based on with a sample size that could offer extrapolated data (more than 50 elderly patients): Poisson et al.⁹ 159 patients, Gerritsen et al.²⁰ 355, Chen et al.²¹ 120, and that smallest, Murray et al.²³ and Nakayama et al.²² with 89 and 50 patients, respectively, making a total of 773 patients.

Although only two studies^{20,21} specified the age range of the patients; the average age of all participants in the studies was over 70 years.

In three studies^{9,20,21} the proportion of women in the sample was higher than that of men. As regards the total number of participants in the papers included in the review, the proportion of women who participated in the studies was higher (1:1.6 male to female ratio), which can be explained by the greater life expectancy of women.

Gerritsen and co-workers²⁰ took as their sample a group of elderly subjects from three retirement homes, while Chen et al.²¹ studied subjects from a geriatric medical centre and Murray et al.²³ patients from three rehabilitation centres for patients who had suffered a cerebrovascular accident (CVA). Poisson et al. and Nakayama et al.^{9,22} developed their studies in hospitals, and Poisson et al. (Poisson et al., 2014) worked in the geriatrics area of a hospital. Nakayama et al.²² focused on patients suffering ALS (Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis) with nasogastric and artificial respiration. None of the selected studies specified whether they were in public or private centres.

Causes of admission of patients

Except for Murray et al.²³ and Nakayama et al. (Nakayama et al., 2017), who worked with very specific types of patient (patients in rehabilitation after CVA and patients with ALS, respectively), none of the studies specified the reason for admission to the centres, although Gerritsen et al.²⁰ and Chen et al.²¹ gave a general outline. In particular, Gerritsen et al.²⁰ specified that 47% were in the residence for somatic reasons and 53% for psychogeriatric reasons, while Chen et al.²¹ pointed out that the main diagnoses of their sample at admission were pneumonia, sepsis, idiopathic fever and infection of the urinary tract.

Two of the studies^{22, 23} did not specify the length of the stay in the institution, but, from the information provided in the articles, we understand that all the studied patients had been in institution for at least 7 days^{21, 23}, while the longest times were those mentioned by Gerritsen et al.²⁰ (more than two years). Therefore, the subjects who had been the longest time in care were those mentioned in the only study carried out in retirement homes.

Three of the five studies^{9, 21, 23} specify at least part of the systemic pathology that participants were suffering. The remaining two^{20, 22} did not mention whether the patients described in their studies suffered any other pathologies beyond those specified as the time of admission: somatic or psychogeriatric reasons in the case of Gerritsen et al.²⁰, and ALS in the case of Nakayama et al.²². In the study of Poisson et al.⁹, 74.2% of the patients had some sort of cognitive problem. Murray et al.²³ mentioned only comorbidities derived from the CVA suffered by their patients (aphasia, apraxia, dependency, among others) and Chen et al.²¹ describes the degree of dependence of their patients (total 45%; severe 35% and slight 20%), along with the more common pathologies such as Diabetes Mellitus (58.3% of patients) and high blood pressure (77.5%). However, the most striking thing in all the studies was the number of patients who had some sort of cognitive problem or degree of dependence that made them vulnerable if they did not receive good oral care (Table 3).

None of the studies evaluated the medication that the participants were taking despite the fact that medication could be associated with the state of their oral health. Nakayama et al.²², who measured the salivation index of their participants, only mentioned that none of the patients in the study were following any treatment that would have affected their salivary flow (radiotherapy or botulinum toxin treatment).

Oral health and hygiene

Regarding the oral health of the participants in the studies, we conclude that the authors used different methods of assessment, and only Poisson et al.⁹ and Nakayama et al.²² used the DMFT index (Decayed, Missing, Filled Teeth). However, the vast majority of patients in all the studies had poor oral health and, we understand that they were also in great need of treatment, although only Gerritsen et al.²⁰ specified so.

As regards oral care measures, only one study ⁹ did not mention that subjects follow any kind of oral hygiene protocol. Gerritsen et al. ²⁰ mentioned that patients in the caring homes had access to 16 hours of dental care a week and 8 hours of oral hygiene. This is probably why new patients had greater need of treatment than long-standing residents, although this relationship was only clear in the group of edentulous patients admitted for psychogeriatric reasons, possibly because the very fact that they had no teeth made it easier to offer care and because their mental condition meant that they received special attention because of their. Nakayama et al. ²² described the protocol followed by nurses twice a day, in which they paid attention to both the hard and soft tissues. However, it must be borne in mind that the patients who participated in the study by these authors suffered from ALS, suggesting that they followed a special protocol (even though, in our opinion, such care should be considered normal). Chen et al. ²¹ suggested that the oral hygiene of patients is the responsibility of the nursing staff, but did not specify any guidelines or the frequency concerning the same. However, the authors do mention the improvements shown following the intervention (brushing and rinsing twice a day) with regard to halitosis, plaque and the state of mucous membranes. No significant differences were observed between the three types of rinses used for the different groups (chlorhexidine, saline solution and boiled water) during the examinations carried out on the 7th day of the intervention, except for cases of halitosis, for which the best result was seen in the group that used boiled water as a rinse.

In the case of Murray et al. ²³ it seems that patients only had their teeth brushed in the morning but that, due to the hygiene guidelines provided during the study (brushing with toothpaste after breakfast and dinner, and rinsing with water after the main meal, with the assistance of the staff when necessary), the oral situation of most of the patients with dysphagia improved; patients without dysphagia also improved, but not significantly so. In addition, the authors established a relationship between patient autonomy and their oral status. Improvement in the oral health of patients were recorded in the only two studies that provided oral hygiene guidelines during the studies and reassessed the oral situation of patients later ²³. It should be noted that only the studies of Poisson et al. and Gerritsen et al. ^{9,20} were supervised by dentists (Table 4).

In general, the studies included in our systematic review ^{9,20-3} found that the attention that should be given to the hygiene and oral care of patients is simply not given, and that staff, by implementing measures that are considered basic for maintaining good oral health, could improve the oral health of many people in this population.

Discussion

There is no doubt that a good oral health status is crucial for maintaining good general health ^{8,10,13}. In the elderly, this relationship is much clearer, since many tend to suffer from conditions that make them susceptible to poorer oral health ^{10,11,15}.

In a study carried out in 2001, Shimazaki et al.²⁴ showed that older edentulous subjects not using dentures were significantly ($p<0.05$) associated with high risk of physical disability and mortality, independent of age and other variables ($OR=1.8$, 95% CI). The decline in occlusal function resulting from tooth loss causes problems with chewing, swallowing, and food selection, and the nutritional status of edentulous people deteriorates. Therefore, Shimazaki et al.²⁴ concluded that those elderly inpatients with 20 or more teeth, leads to think that the conservation of teeth as the years pass exerts a protective role in the general state of health. These same authors studied the influence of oral health on febrile states in elderly inpatients during long hospital stays, and found that poor dental and oral health was linked to episodes of fever in both dentate and edentulous patients. In addition, many authors have described the relationship between poor oral health and the development of pneumonia as a result of aspiration and respiratory infections in patients with assisted ventilation¹⁶. This suggests that, while dental conservation work can favor the maintenance of a good general state of health in old age, the same does not apply if little attention has been paid to maintaining oral health previously.

Hospitalization changes the routines of people, and may cause stress or anxiety because of the pain and discomfort that they may experience during an illness²⁵. For this reason, being hospitalized is an added risk when it comes to good oral health¹⁶, as it usually results in a decline in self-esteem, leading patients to neglect personal care and hygiene at that same time that they feel worried about their disease^{16, 25}. This circumstance particularly affects patients with physical or cognitive limitations^{12, 25, 26, 27}, who are the most vulnerable in terms of developing problems or deterioration in terms of oral health, especially during a long hospital stay or a situation of institutionalization.

Studies that have attempted to look for a relation between hospitalization and oral health were developed in Intensive Care Units (ICU), and so provide insufficient evidence since the vast majority of hospitalized patients attend other departments²⁵. In addition, Sousa et al.²⁵ and Gibney et al.¹⁶ found that short hospital stays in units that did not involve intensive care had a negative effect on the oral health of patients, corroborating the evidence of studies conducted in these units, and underlining the importance of studying the situation in other hospital services.

During the article selection process we were faced with the problem of the paucity of studies on elderly institutionalized or long-stay hospital patients and their oral health, although many authors^{10, 16, 28} studied emergency and short-stay patients, who were found to have previous oral health as well as systemic problems. Other studies focused on dysphagia which elderly patients frequently suffer, its risk factors and relationship with malnutrition, but without analyzing the state of their oral health²⁹⁻³², despite its importance in this disorder. In our review^{9, 20, 21, 22}, we observed that the vast majority of participants had poor oral health. For example, Gerritsen et al.²⁰ established the need for treatment in 70% of the patients in their sample, even though dental care was provided by their institution, which makes the lack of studies assessing the oral health of the elderly in this situation or during long hospital stays even more incomprehensible.

As we have seen in two of the studies included in our review ^{21, 23}, compliance with the protocols that involve the basic oral hygiene measures recommended for any patient these days leads to unquestionable improvements in the oral health of patients. This has also been found in other studies carried out in chronic care facilities and in areas of geriatric rehabilitation where oral hygiene measure were under the supervision of dental professionals and/or nursing staff following a standardized protocol ²⁸.

Health care personnel recognize the importance of hygiene and oral care ³³. However, the lack of such care in long stay institutions and hospitals ³⁴ is frequently attributed to a lack of training and time and the little cooperation of geriatric patients themselves ³³. Many studies have pointed to the difficulty posed by applying protocols of oral hygiene in institutions such as old people's homes ²⁰ due to the little training received by care workers concerning protocols of oral hygiene, the oral needs of elderly patients, and the risks and negative consequences of poor oral health ^{15, 16}, as well as on the availability of and access to material to carry out related tasks ^{11, 35}. In addition, it has been described how a theoretical training program is not sufficient to improve the oral care of these patients. In this context, Gammack et al. ³⁶ found that when hygienists, auxiliary staff and nurses were given oral hygiene training on a theoretical basis using audiovisual aids and dummies rather than "real" patients, the oral health of dependent patients did not improve, perhaps because, among other reasons, staff had not received adequate training or information on the correct way to deal with the reactions of patients opposed to receiving such care ²⁸. However, some studies suggest that the attitude of the staff themselves towards providing oral care makes the difference between a patient accepting, asking for or neglecting oral care ¹¹. It is clear that oral health is not a priority in situations of lengthy hospitalization or institutionalization ^{11, 37}. As we have seen in the results of the review, only one study ²² presented a detailed oral hygiene protocol to be applied twice a day, although, being a protocol used in patients with ALS, we understand that this is a special feature because of the medical condition in question.

In Spain, according to National Oral Health Surveys, carried out in 2015, the 20% of the population over 65 years old, worry less about their oral health, and visit the dentist less frequently ³⁸. Perhaps, for this reason, in the group of 65-74 years, the SIC (Significant Caries Index) of Bratthall, represents the highest value, a 25.27 ± 2.80 , compared to the adult population (34-44 years) or adolescent population of 15 years old, whose values are 14.29 ± 3.86 and 3.73 ± 2.11 , respectively³⁹.

Despite this situation, and the greater risk of developing oral pathologies as mentioned above, the number of complications and problems that can occur in this population group due to deficient oral health, there are no specific programs dedicated to the prevention or promotion of oral health in the elderly population in Spain. In the published scientific literature, we only found one study dedicated to the development of a geriatric dental care program (PADGE, in its Spanish acronym) ⁴⁰ developed in the Public University of Navarra (Spain).

However, as in many cases the proposed program remained just a proposal, and to this day remains to be implemented even at a regional level, and this in a Autonomous Community regarded as being a leader in preventive oral health programs. It seems that neither governmental nor local authorities consider worthwhile the logistic and economic effort that such a program would involve. Population aging and the poor dental state of many people over 65 years of age, accompanied by a lack of specific studies and the quality of those that exist (the studies included in our systematic review had a level of evidence of 3 and grade of recommendation D, according to the SIGN criteria) on long term stays in hospitals and other institutions, together with the lack of protocols for promoting good oral hygiene and health care in nursing homes and hospitals, underline the importance of this line of study in the future. For this reason, and due to the lack of time available to develop the present overview, we intend to expand the study by developing a universal protocol for dental care in institutionalized patients.

Conclusions

The oral health of elderly patients aged over 65 years, whether hospitalized for long periods of time or living in institutions, is deficient, and well-designed studies that assess the oral status of this group are lacking.

Furthermore, there are no adequate protocols or guidelines for oral care for institutionalized patients. These programs must contain, on the one hand, theoretical and practical continuous training courses, with the aim of training caregivers (nurses or nursing assistants who work in the different types of centers mentioned above) in the techniques that can be used to provide good oral care, such as topical application of fluorine and /or chlorhexidine, cleaning of the teeth and / or the prosthesis, and continuous reviews of possible oral lesions. On the other hand, the awareness of the problems associated with poor oral hygiene and the time dedicated to the care of each patient must be increased.

Abbreviations

WHO: World Health Organization

DMFT: Decayed, Missing, filled teeth

JARR: Juan Antonio Ruiz Roca

DMT: Dora Martin Fuentes

YMB: Yolanda Martínez Beneyto

REOS: Ricardo Elías Oñate Sanchez

SIGN: Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network

RCT'S: Ramdomized Controlled Trials

CVA: Cerebral vascular accident

ALS: Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis

ICU: Intensive Care Unit

SIC: Significant Caries Index

PADGE: Geriatric Dental Care Programme.

OR: Odds Ratio

CI: Confidence Interval

DMFT: Decayed, Missing, Filled Index

Declarations

Availability of Data and Materials

The datasets used for the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Authors' contributions

J.A.R.R. and D.M.F. conducted the systematic literature search and carried out study selection. In cases of disagreement, the two authors consulted Y.M.B., who helped them reach a consensus. J.A.R.R. and R.E.O.S. extracted data from the articles included in the review and assessed the quality of the studies. J.A.R.R., Y.M.B. and R.E.O.S wrote the main text. J.A.R.R. and D.M.F. prepared the figures and tables. All authors have reviewed the manuscript

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable

Consent to publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interest

References

1. Organización de las Naciones Unidas. Asuntos globales. Envejecimiento.2018 <http://www.un.org/en/sections/issues-depth/ageing/>. Accessed 15 January 2019.
2. INE a: Instituto Nacional de Estadística. Demografía y población. Cifras de población y censos demográficos 2018 http://www.ine.es/prensa/cp_e2018_p.pdf Accessed 20 March 2019.
3. INE b: Instituto Nacional de Estadística. Demografía y población. Cifras de población y censos demográficos. Proyecciones de población. Últimos datos. 2018https://www.ine.es/prensa/pp_2018_2068.pdf . Accessed 25 April 2019.
4. Organización Mundial de la Salud. Informe mundial sobre el envejecimiento y la salud. 2015. <https://www.who.int/ageing/publications/world-report-2015/es/> . Accessed 2 February 2019.
5. Acevedo Alcaraz E, Alcaraz Baños M, Benito Martínez J, Robert Muir B, Navalon Vila C. Situación de nuestros mayores institucionalizados en residencias y necesidades para su integración social. Azarbe, revista internacional de trabajo social y bienestar. 2014; 3: 279-82.
6. INE c: Instituto Nacional de Estadística. Demografía y población. Cifras de población y censos demográficos. Censos de población y viviendas. Resultados. Características de población en colectivos.2018 <https://www.ine.es/prensa/np777.pdf> . Accessed 24 April 2019.
7. Peltola P, Vehkalahti MM, Wuolijoki-Saaristo K. Oral health and treatment needs of the long-term hospitalised elderly. Gerodontology . 2004; 21:93-9.
8. Scannapieco FA, Cantos A. Oral inflammation and infection, and chronic medical diseases: implications for the elderly. Periodontol 2000. 2016;72:153-75.
9. Poisson P, Laffond T, Campos S, Dupuis V, Bourdel-Marchasson I. Relationships between oral health, dysphagia and undernutrition in hospitalised elderly patients. Gerodontology. 2016; 33: 161-8.
10. Chróinín D, Montalto A, Jahromi S, Ingham N, Beveridge A, Foltyn P Oral Health Status Is Associated with Common Medical Comorbidities in Older Hospital Inpatients. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2016; 64 (8): 1696-700.
11. Coker E, Ploeg J, Kaasalainen S, Carter N. Nurses' oral hygiene care practices with hospitalised older adults in postacute settings. Int J Older People Nurs, 2017; 12: 1-12.
12. Ngo DYJ, Thomson WM, Subramaniam M, Abdin E, Ang KY. The oral health of long-term psychiatric inpatients in Singapore. Psychiatry Res. 2018; 266: 206-11.
13. Liao YM1, Tsai JR, Chou FH. The effectiveness of an oral health care program for preventing ventilator-associated pneumonia. Nurs Crit Care. 2015; 20 (2): 89-97.
14. Górska R, Dembowska E, Konopka TP, Wysokinska-Miszczuk J, Pietruska M, Ganowicz E. Correlation between the state of periodontal tissues and selected risk factors for periodontitis and myocardial

- infarction. [Adv Clin Exp Med](#). 2017; 26 (3): 505-14.
15. Bilder L, Yavnai N, Zini A. Oral health status among long-term hospitalized adults: a cross sectional study. [Peer J](#). 2014; 2,E423.
 16. [Gibney JM, Wright C, Sharma A, D'Souza M, Naganathan V](#) . The oral health status of older patients in acute care on admission and Day 7 in two Australian hospitals. [Age Ageing](#). 2017; 46: 852-6.
 17. [Sharif-Abdullah SS, Chong MC, Surindar-Kaur SS, Kamaruzzaman SB, Ng KH](#). The effect of chlorhexidine in reducing oral colonisation in geriatric patients: a randomised controlled trial. [Singapore Med J](#),. 2016; 57: 262-6.
 18. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG; PRISMA GROUP. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. [Int J Surg](#). 2010; 8(5): 336-341.
 19. Harbour R, Miller J. A new system for grading recommendations in evidence based guidelines. [BMJ](#). 2001; 323(7308),334-6.
 20. [Gerritsen P, Cune M, van der Bilt A, Abbink J, de Putter C](#) . Effects of integrated dental care on oral treatment needs in residents of nursing homes older than 70 years. [Spec Care Dentist](#). 2015; 35: 132-7.
 21. [Chen SC, Weng LC, Tsai SC, Wang SM, Han HM](#). Effectiveness of Oral Rinsing Solutions on Mucus, Odor, and Plaque in the Hospitalized Elderly in Taiwan. [Clin Nurs Res](#), 2017; 1:1054773817744151.
 22. Nakayama R, Nishiyama A, Matsuda C, Nakayama Y, Hakuta C, Shimada M. Oral health status of hospitalized amyotrophic lateral sclerosis patients: a single-centre observational study. [Acta Odontol Scand](#). 2018; 76: 294-8.
 23. [Murray J, Scholten I](#). An oral hygiene protocol improves oral health for patients in inpatient stroke rehabilitation. [Gerodontology](#), 2018; 35:18-24.
 24. Shimazaki Y, Soh, I, Saito T, Yamashita Y, Koga T, Miyazaki H, Takehara T. Influence of dentition status on physical disability, mental impairment and mortality in institutionalized elderly people. [J Dent Res](#). 2001; 80:340–5.
 25. Sousa LLA, Silva Filho WLSe, Mendes RF, Moita Neto JM, Prado Junior RR. Oral health of patients under short hospitalization period: observational study. [J Clin Periodontol](#). 2014; 41:558–63.
 26. [Chu KY, Yang NP, Chou P, Chiu HJ, Chi LY](#). Comparison of oral health between inpatients with schizophrenia and disabled people or the general population. [J Formos Med Assoc](#), 2012; 111: 214-9.
 27. [Kossioni AE, Kossionis GE, Polychronopoulou A](#). Oral health status of elderly hospitalised psychiatric patients. [Gerodontology](#), 2012; 29 (4): 272-83.
 28. [Gibney JM, Wright FA, D'Souza M, Naganathan V](#). Improving the oral health of older people in hospital. [Australas J Ageing](#). 2019; 38,33-38.
 29. [Kuroda Y](#) Factors associated with the level of oral intake in hospitalized older adults with dysphagia: The importance of mental activity. [Clin Nutr ESPEN](#).2016; 13: e52-e54.

30. Leder SB, Suiter DM, Agogo GO, Cooney LM Jr. An Epidemiologic Study on Ageing and Dysphagia in the Acute Care Geriatric-Hospitalized Population: A Replication and Continuation Study. *Dysphagia*. 2016; 31 (5): 619-25.
31. Maeda K, Akagi J. Decreased tongue pressure is associated with sarcopenia and sarcopenic dysphagia in the elderly. *Dysphagia*, 2015; 30 (1): 80-7.
32. Martín A, Ortega O, Roca M, Arús M, Clavé P. Effect of A Minimal-Massive Intervention in Hospitalized Older Patients with Oropharyngeal Dysphagia: A Proof of Concept Study. *J Nutr Health Aging*, 2018; 22:739-47.
33. Frenkel H, Harveys I, Needs K. Oral health care education and its effect on caregivers' knowledge and attitudes: a randomized controlled trial. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol* 2002; 30: 91-100.
34. Shay K. Oral neglect in the institutionalized elderly part 2: The role of the dentist and the standard of care. *Spec Care Dentist*. 1990; 10:200-3.
35. Zimmerman S, Austin S, Cohen L, Reed D, Poole P, Ward K, Sloane PD. Readily Identifiable Risk Factors of Nursing Home Residents' Oral Hygiene: Dementia, Hospice, and Length of Stay. *J AM Geriatr Soc*. 2017; 65:2516-21.
36. Gammack J, Pulisetty S. Nursing education and improvement in oral care delivery in long term care. *JAMDA*. 2009; 10: 658–61.
37. Fitzpatrick J. Oral health care needs of dependent older people: Responsibilities of nurses and care staff. *J Adv Nurs*. 2000; 32: 1325-32.
38. Libro blanco. Encuesta poblacional. La salud bucodental en España 2015. Consejo General de Colegios de Dentistas de España. Procter & Gamble España, SA. 2016.
<https://www.consejodentistas.es/comunicacion/actualidad-del-consejo/publicaciones-del-consejo/libros-del-consejo/item/1204-libro-blanco-2015.html> . Accessed 2 April 2019.
39. Bravo Pérez M, Almerich Silla JM, Ausina Márquez V, et al. Encuesta de Salud Oral en España 2015. *R* 2016; 21: 8-48.
40. Fernández Pan A. Plan asistencia dental gerontológica-PADGE [TFM en Internet]. [Navarra]: Universidad pública de Navarra. 2014.
<http://academicae.unavarra.es/bitstream/handle/2454/14023/Adriana%20Fernández%20Pan.pdf?sequence=1> . Accessed 19 April 2019.

Tables

INCLUSION CRITERIA	EXCLUSION CRITERIA
Studies published in the last 5 years	Not published in english, spanish or portuguese language
Humans	Animals
Case-control, cross-sectional, longitudinal and cohort studies	Systematic Review, Meta-Analysis, Case Report, Letter, Editorial, Congress
Elderly patients (> 65 years old)	Children, teenagers and/or non-elderly adults
Sample $\geq$ 30 patients	Sample < 30 patients
In studies that did not show the age range of patients: sample $\geq$ 50 patients with a mean age $\geq$ 70 years	Studies in which the essential data are missing in order to obtain a profile of homogeneous works
Entered in some type of institution or hospital center for a period $\geq$ 7 days	Time of stay < 7 days
In which the situation of hard and / or soft tissues of the oral cavity was evaluated in some way	Studies whose access to the complete text was under private subscription

TABLE 1. Inclusion and exclusion criteria.

AUTHOR	STUDY DESIGN	LEVEL OF EVIDENCE	DEGREES OF RECOMMENDATION	SAMPLE	MEAN AGE	GENDER	TYPE OF CENTER	REASON FOR ADMISSION	DURATION OF INCOME	SYSTEMIC PATHOLOGY
Poisson et al., (9)	Cross sectional	3	D	159	85,28	51M 108F (1:2)	Hospital	-----	17,2 days	74.2% cognitive disease
Gerritsen et al., (20)	Cohort	3	D	355	84,1 ±6,9	110M 245F (1:2,3)	3 Nursing homes	Somatic (47%) psychogeriatric (53%)	2,3±2,6 years	unspecified
Murray et al., (21)	Cohort	3	D	89	74	57M 32F (1,7:1)	3 Rehabilitation homes	CVA (cerebrovascular accident)	≥ 7 days	Co-morbidities of CVA (aphasia, apraxia,)
Nakayama et al. (22)	Cross sectional	3	D	50	70,7	31M 19F (1,6:1)	Hospital	ALE	≥ 7 days	ALE
Chen et al. (23)	Case control	3	D	120	80,28	52M 68F (1:1,3)	Geriatric medical center	Pneumonía, sepsis, urinary tract infection idiopathic fever	7-10 days	Diabetes (58.3%), arterial hypertension (77.5%), dependence (45% total; 35% serious; 20% light)

TABLE 2. Quality assessment and general characteristics of included studies.

AUTHOR	MEDICATION	ORAL HEALTH ASSESSMENT	GUIDELINES OF ORAL HYGIENE (1)	GUIDELINES OF ORAL HYGIENE (2)	p-value
Poisson et al., (9)	NO	DMFT (20.2) need for treatment (89.3%)	NO	NO	p=0.004* (autonomy for oral care vs not autonomy)
Gerritsen et al., (20)	NO	Need for treatment (70%)	Oral hygiene 8h/week	NO	p=0.053
Murray et al., (21)	NO	OHAT (dysphagia 4 (0-10) // not dysphagia 2 (0-8)	1 brushing in the morning	Brushing toothpaste (after breakfast and dinner), rinses with water (after lunch)	dysphagia p=0.024* not dysphagia p=0.282
Nakayama et al. (22)	Decrease salival flow (botox, ...)	DMFT (13)	Twice in a day	NO	p>0.05
Chen et al. (23)	NO	Own index reviewed by 2 dentists and 1 nurses to measure halitosis, bacterial plaque and mucosal status	Assisted by nurses (does not specify)	10-15 min. after lunch and after dinner with toothbrush and 3 different mouthwashes Clorhedin, saline solution and boiled water)	p=0.002*

TABLE 3. General characteristics of included studies and statistical significance. (*significant at p<0.05)

Figures

Figure 1

Flow diagram of the search processes and results.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [PRISMA2009ChecklistMSWord.pdf](#)