

Application of the Health Action Process Approach Model for Improving Excessive Internet use Behaviors Among Rural Adolescents in China: A School-Based Intervention Pilot Study

Chengmeng Tang

Department of Health Behavior and Social Medicine, West China School of Public Health and West China Fourth Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China

Hein Raat

Department of Public Health, Erasmus MC - University Medical Center Rotterdam, The Netherlands

Mingxia Yan

Department of Health Behavior and Social Medicine, West China School of Public Health and West China Fourth Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China

Qiang Zhang

Department of Health Behavior and Social Medicine, West China School of Public Health and West China Fourth Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China

Kehan Li

Department of Health Behavior and Social Medicine, West China School of Public Health and West China Fourth Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China

Min Jiang

Department of Epidemiology and Health Statistics, West China School of Public Health and West China Fourth Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China

Wanjie Tang

Centre for Educational and Health Psychology, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China

Jiayi Chen

Department of Health Behavior and Social Medicine, West China School of Public Health and West China Fourth Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China

Ying Zhao

Department of Health Behavior and Social Medicine, West China School of Public Health and West China Fourth Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China

Qiaolan Liu (✉ liuqiaol@scu.edu.cn)

Department of Health Behavior and Social Medicine, West China School of Public Health and West China Fourth Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China

Research Article

Keywords: Health action process approach model, Internet use behavior, Rural adolescent, China

Posted Date: January 13th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-140216/v1>

License:   This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Objective: There are few studies regarding Internet use behaviors of Chinese rural adolescents based on behavioral theory. The aim of this study is to examine the applicability and effectiveness of the health action process approach model (HAPA) in the intervention of excessive Internet use behaviors among rural adolescents in China.

Methods: 327 participants who met the excessive Internet use criteria were involved in this study. Four interventions based on the HAPA model were conducted during 2015-2017. The structural equation model (SEM) was applied to fit the HAPA model.

Results: The rate of average daily time spent online on weekends more than four hours dropped from 57.2% to 39.1% ($P < 0.001$). The rate of daily game time more than two hours decreased from 51.1% to 35.2% ($P < 0.001$). The result of SEM showed that both the applicability and effectiveness of the HAPA model were well in the intervention of excessive Internet use behaviors with good fitted indicators ($\chi^2/df = 2.066$, $GFI = 0.889$, $CFI = 0.938$, $TLI = 0.928$, $IFI = 0.938$, $RMSEA = 0.057$). The direct and indirect effects of the main pathways in HAPA model were statistically significant ($P < 0.05$). The comparison analysis of HAPA model variables identified that outcome expectancy, intention, maintenance self-efficacy had been improved significantly after interventions.

Conclusion: The intervention measures based on the HAPA model can effectively improve excessive Internet use behaviors of Chinese rural adolescents, mainly through strengthen outcome expectancy, intention, and maintenance self-efficacy.

Introduction

The Internet increasingly has become one of the ways for adolescents to learn, as well as to entertain (1). According to data released by the China Internet Network Information Center (CNNIC) in 2020, the number of users below the age of 18 years has been growing steadily; the Internet coverage rate among children and adolescents in rural areas in 2019 was 90.3%, which is almost the same rate as in urban areas (93.9%) (2). The Internet may provide adolescents with more chances to acquire knowledge, and to communicate with others (1, 3), and even become an important medium for maintaining and consolidating friendship (4). However, excessive Internet use may have a negative impact on the physical and mental health of adolescents (5–7). Excessive Internet use is a behavior that is more prone to addiction, mainly manifested as a loss of control over Internet use (8). Excessive use of Internet may be associated with visual fatigue and with the risk to develop myopia; it is also associated with sedentary behavior, which is a risk factor of obesity and cardiovascular diseases (7, 9). Previous studies have shown that adolescents who spend relatively more time online tend to acquire more information about health risk behaviors such as smoking and drinking alcohol, but on the other hand tend to adopt such health risk behaviors through the Internet (4). Moreover, adolescents who use the Internet relatively more often and longer, were shown to have more often problems with sleep disorders, depression, anxiety, and suicide (7, 10).

Exploring the influencing factors of adolescents' Internet use behaviors is crucial for the development of targeted interventions. Previous studies have found that age (4, 11, 12), gender (4, 11, 13), academic pressure (4, 14, 15), and parental restrictions (6, 16) are related to adolescents' Internet use behaviors. However,

cognitive psychological factors of adolescents themselves were not studied well; so far, most studies paid more attention to sociodemographic characteristics and social factors about family and peers (17). As a consequence, few interventions to improve excessive Internet use behaviors of adolescents are based on psychological-behavioral theories. A meta-analysis identified that interventions based on such theories, like cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT) and peer support services, have positive effects when applied to Internet-addicted adolescents (18). This suggests that to prevent addictive Internet use, it is also relevant to explore the psychological factors influencing Internet use behaviors of normal adolescents.

Some evidence suggests that behavior interventions based on theoretical frameworks are more effective than interventions that lack a theoretical basis (19). Cognitive psychological factors were included in several behavioral change theories, one of which is the health action processes approach model (HAPA). The HAPA model combines the stages of change model with the continuous change model to explain the emergence and maintenance of behaviors, as well as related processes from the perspective of motivation and volition (20, 21). According to the HAPA model, there are two phases before a behavior occurs: the motivational phase and the volitional phase. In the motivational phase, the behavior intention is determined by risk perception, outcome expectancy, and action self-efficacy. The volitional phase mainly includes planning and maintenance self-efficacy (20, 21).

The HAPA model has been used to study the determinants of behavior, as well as for the development of behavior change interventions in many studies; examples are studies with regard to physical activities and eating behaviors (20). Studies show that the HAPA model can explain food safety behaviors very well (22). And, for example, a study employing the HAPA model as a theoretical framework for an intervention to target sedentary behavior of college students was successful to reduce the frequency of sedentary behaviors (23). Pinidiyapathirage et al. illustrated that the HAPA model is useful to develop effective interventions to promote physical exercise of women with a history of gestational diabetes (24).

To date, only very few studies addressed the determinants of excessive Internet use by adolescents in rural areas (13, 25, 26); most studies focus on populations in urban areas (5, 27–31). However, since the Internet coverage in rural areas has reached similar levels as in urban areas there is an urgent need to study the determinants of excessive Internet use of adolescents in rural areas to inform the development of effective interventions to promote ‘healthy’ Internet use by adolescents in rural areas. The HAPA model is expected to be very relevant to study this topic. Therefore, the objective of this study is to examine the applicability and effectiveness of the HAPA model in the intervention of excessive Internet use behaviors in Chinese rural adolescents.

Materials And Methods

Participants

The participants were derived from the first, third, and fifth survey data of a 2-year longitudinal study for rural adolescents in Sichuan Province (2015–2017). Typical sampling and cluster sampling were used to select the study areas and participants in November 2015. In the first stage, a typical sampling method was used to select Zizhong County as the study area, representing rural areas in Sichuan. In the second stage, cluster

sampling was used to randomly select two middle schools in the study area, one as the control school and the other as the experimental school. All seventh and tenth graders in both schools were included. After the first baseline survey, a follow-up survey was conducted every six months with a total of five surveys. In the fifth survey, the samples were in the nine-grade and twelve-grade. 1044, 973, and 874 samples were obtained in the experimental school in the first, third, and fifth survey, respectively, and 1399, 1777, 1583 samples respectively in the control school.

According to the baseline survey, participants from the experimental school who met one of the inclusion criteria were considered to have excessive use of Internet behavior and were involved in this study. The inclusion criteria were (1) average daily online time from Monday to Friday ≥ 2 h (hour); (2) average daily online time on weekends ≥ 4 h; (3) usually daily game time ≥ 2 h; (4) being online overnight at least once in the past 30 days. 327 eligible participants were enrolled in the current analysis.

Intervention measures

After the baseline, four waves of intervention were conducted on the participants in the experimental school and each wave intervention was conducted before each follow-up survey. The purpose of the first intervention was to cultivate the awareness and willingness of participants about Internet use behaviors. The main contents embraced publicizing the harms caused by excessive Internet use and the benefits of reducing Internet use time, with the objective of promoting the action self-efficacy about Internet use behaviors. Health education courses and intervention manuals were the primary methods. The second intervention aimed to consolidate the effect of the first intervention and to promote the formation of the intention of Internet use behavior. The professional guidance on plan formulation was added to the third intervention while strengthening the previous intervention contents. The main purpose of the fourth intervention was to strengthen the maintenance self-efficacy to improve Internet use behaviors. The principal method, health education courses with specific cases, aimed to build up confidence via ameliorating Internet use behaviors. Moreover, freely available table tennis rackets and other sports equipment were provided to encourage participants to take part in outdoor activities, thereby prompting them to cut down the online time.

Measures

Sociodemographic characteristics

Sociodemographic characteristics included age, gender, grade, and left-behind status. The left-behind status was referred to adolescents aged 17 years or younger who have been left in their rural communities by one or both parents migrating in search of work in cities.

Internet use behaviors

Internet use behaviors included four items: (1) "Your average daily Internet time from Monday to Friday (included smartphone and computer)", the answers were scored 1–5, representing " ≥ 4 hours", "3 hours", "2 hours", "1 hour", and "almost not", respectively; (2) "Your average daily Internet time on weekends (included smartphone, and computer)", the answers were scored 1–5, representing " ≥ 9 hours", "7–8 hours", "4–6 hours", "2–3 hour", and " ≤ 1 hour", respectively; (3) "Your usually daily game time (included game devices, hand-held game devices, smartphone and computer)", the answers were ranked 1–6, representing " ≥ 4 hours", "3 hours",

“2 hours”, “1 hour”, “ \geq 1 hour”, and “never”, respectively; (4) “Numbers of online overnight in the past thirty days”, the answers were scored 1–5, representing “ \geq 4 times”, “3 times”, “2 times”, “1 time” and “never”, respectively. Cronbach's alpha was 0.662.

Variables of the HAPA model

The items of the HAPA model variables were measured and modified in accordance with the compilation principles of Schwarzer Ralf, and related behaviors (32, 33).

Risk perception was assessed by three items (for example, “If you compare your current Internet use behaviors to that of individuals with the same gender and age, how likely do you think your academic performance will decline?”). Items were scored with 5 Likert scales (1 = very low, 5 = very high). Cronbach's alpha was 0.809.

Outcome expectancy was examined by five items (for example, “Reducing Internet time is beneficial for maintaining vision.”). Items were scored with 6 Likert scales (1 = completely disagree, 6 = completely agree). Cronbach's alpha was 0.864.

Action self-efficacy was estimated by five items (for example, “I am confident that I would rarely go online in my free time.”). Items were scored with 5 Likert scales (1 = completely disagree, 5 = completely agree). Cronbach's alpha was 0.876.

Intention was assessed by three items (for example, “I plan to reduce my Internet use time in the next month.”). The item was scored with 6 Likert scales (1 = completely disagree, 6 = completely agree). Cronbach's alpha was 0.896.

Planning was determined by three items (for example, “I have made a plan for controlling the time spent online every week.”). Items were scored with 6 Likert scales (1 = completely disagree, 5 = completely agree). Cronbach's alpha was 0.939.

Maintenance self-efficacy was assessed by two items (for example, “After insisting on controlling the internet use time for one month, I can keep controlling even if one day exceeds the prescribed time.”) Items were scored with 6 Likert scales (1 = completely disagree, 6 = completely agree). Cronbach's alpha was 0.833.

Sociodemographic characteristics and excessive Internet use behaviors were derived from the baseline survey. When fitting the HAPA model, we used risk perception, outcome expectancy, action self-efficacy, and intention from the third survey, and obtained planning, maintenance self-efficacy, and Internet use behaviors from the fifth survey.

Data collection and analysis

We used unified questionnaires and survey process in the investigations. Before the interventions and surveys, we conducted uniform training for investigators to standardize the intervention and investigation process. Self-administered questionnaires were used to obtain the data of participants. After each investigation, interactive inspections were executed in investigators to find and rectify mistakes such as misfiled, omissions, and logic errors. To ensure the accuracy of the data, double entry was used for questionnaire data entry.

Epidata 3.1 was used to establish the database and data entry. SPSS 24.0 was used to sort and analyze the data. AMOS 21.0 was used to fit the SEM. The Maximum Likelihood (ML) method was used for parameter estimation, and the Bootstrap method was used synchronously when fitting the model. P-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

In the descriptive analysis, the mean \pm standard deviation ($M \pm SD$) was used to describe quantitative variables and the frequency (%) was used to describe qualitative variables. The Chi-square test and the t-test were used to compare the differences of HAPA variables and Internet use behaviors before and after the interventions. The bivariate analysis was adopted to analyze the correlations between the variables of the HAPA model. The SEM was constructed based on the HAPA model and previous research results, and the model fitting was evaluated according to the criteria of $\chi^2/df \leq 5.0$, GFI>0.90, CFI>0.90, TLI>0.90, NFI>0.90, RMSEA<0.08.

Results

Sociodemographic characteristics and Internet use behaviors

In the baseline survey, the average age of the participants was (15.37 ± 1.31) years old, 168 (51.4%) were boys, 279 (85.3%) were in tenth grade, and 217 (66.4%) were left-behind adolescents. The results in Table 1 displayed that outcome expectancy, intention, and maintenance self-efficacy were significantly improved. The rate of excessive Internet use was declined from 100–70%, meanwhile, all Internet use behaviors have been declined to some extent, and there were statistically significant differences in daily Internet time on weekends and daily game time.

Table 1
HAPA variables and Internet use behaviors in the baseline and the fifth survey

Variables	Baseline survey	The fifth survey	P-value
	M ± SD / N(%)	M ± SD / N(%)	
Excessive Internet user	327 (100.0%)	229 (70.0%)	
Risk perception	3.29 ± 0.97	3.20 ± 0.88	0.122
Outcome expectancy	3.86 ± 0.72	4.85 ± 0.82	< 0.001
Action self-efficacy	2.92 ± 0.92	2.97 ± 0.90	0.388
Intention	3.55 ± 1.18	4.14 ± 1.24	< 0.001
Planning	3.70 ± 1.40	3.69 ± 1.41	0.851
Maintenance self-efficacy	3.43 ± 1.27	4.15 ± 1.11	< 0.001
Internet use behaviors			
Average daily Internet time from Monday to Friday ≥ 2 h	169(51.7%)	155(47.4%)	0.258
Average daily Internet time on weekends ≥ 4 h	187(57.2%)	128(39.1%)	< 0.001
Usually daily game time ≥ 2 h	167(51.1%)	115(35.2%)	< 0.001
Have been online overnight at least once in the past 30 days	75(22.9%)	64(19.5%)	0.289
Note: In the comparison of HAPA variables, the average scores of items were compared.			

Scores of the HAPA model variables

Table 2 illustrated the comparison results of the HAPA model variables of participants with different sociodemographic characteristics after the interventions. Action self-efficacy of females was higher than that of males ($P \leq 0.05$). Compared to low grade, senior students had a better performance in planning making and Internet use behaviors ($P \leq 0.05$). However, there was no statistical difference between left-behind and non-left-behind adolescents in the scores of the HAPA model variables.

Table 2
scores of the HAPA model variables in different sociodemographic characteristics (n = 327)

	Left-behind status		Gender		Grade	
	yes	no	male	female	seventh	tenth
Outcome expectancy	4.85 ± 0.79	4.84 ± 0.87	4.80 ± 0.81	4.90 ± 0.82	4.81 ± 1.02	4.85 ± 0.78
Risk perception	3.22 ± 0.89	3.16 ± 0.85	3.16 ± 0.88	3.24 ± 0.87	3.01 ± 0.96	3.23 ± 0.86
Action self-efficacy ^a	2.95 ± 0.87	2.99 ± 0.97	2.86 ± 0.98	3.08 ± 0.81	2.99 ± 1.17	2.96 ± 0.85
Intention	4.18 ± 1.23	4.07 ± 1.27	4.03 ± 1.25	4.25 ± 1.23	3.88 ± 1.65	4.19 ± 1.15
Planning ^b	3.62 ± 1.41	3.83 ± 1.40	3.54 ± 1.45	3.84 ± 1.34	3.13 ± 1.58	3.78 ± 1.36
Maintenance self-efficacy ^b	4.13 ± 1.11	4.19 ± 1.10	4.15 ± 1.08	4.15 ± 1.14	3.96 ± 1.26	4.18 ± 1.08
Internet use behaviors ^{*, a, b}	15.50 ± 3.43	15.73 ± 3.64	14.95 ± 3.48	16.23 ± 3.41	14.13 ± 3.42	15.82 ± 3.45
Note: * Internet use behaviors were obtained by adding up four items about Internet use;						
^a means that different gender adolescents have statistically different scores in this variable;						
^b means that different grade adolescents have statistically different scores in this variable;						

P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Correlation analysis of the HAPA model variables

The results of the correlation analysis showed that there was no statistical correlation between outcome expectancy and risk perception, maintenance self-efficacy, as well as Internet use behaviors, while other variables were all correlated (P<0.05) (Table 3). Risk perception was negatively related to action self-efficacy, intention, planning, maintenance self-efficacy, and Internet use behaviors (P<0.01). The correlation coefficients between other variables were positive (P<0.05).

Table 3
Correlation analysis of the HAPA model variables($r, n = 327$)

	outcome expectancy	risk perception	action self-efficacy	intention	planning	maintenance self-efficacy	Internet use behaviors
Outcome expectancy	1						
Risk perception	0.107	1					
Action self-efficacy	0.231 ^{***}	-0.205 ^{***}	1				
Intention	0.258 ^{***}	-0.200 ^{***}	0.637 ^{***}	1			
Planning	0.122 [*]	-0.184 ^{**}	0.317 ^{***}	0.361 ^{***}	1		
Maintenance self-efficacy	0.064	-0.176 ^{***}	0.257 ^{***}	0.235 ^{***}	0.449 ^{***}	1	
Internet use behaviors	0.096	-0.178 ^{**}	0.266 ^{***}	0.353 ^{***}	0.414 ^{***}	0.205 ^{***}	1

Note: * $P \leq 0.05$, ** $P \leq 0.01$, *** $P \leq 0.001$

Model fitting and analysis results

The initial model was obtained by adjusting action self-efficacy and risk perception, outcome expectancy, as well as maintenance self-efficacy, all of which were found relevant in previous studies(20, 34). The modified model (model 1) was obtained by appropriate adjustment according to the modification index (MI) (Figure.1). The fitting indices of model 1 were as follows:

$\chi^2/df=2.066, GFI=0.889, CFI=0.938, TLI=0.928, IFI=0.938, RMSEA=0.057$, indicating that the model was acceptable. The results of group analysis of SEM illustrated that model 1 was applicable to the Internet use behaviors of rural adolescents with different gender, grade, and left-behind status.

The path coefficients of model 1 showed that except for the path from risk perception to intention and the path from maintenance self-efficacy to Internet use behaviors, other paths were statistically significant ($P \leq 0.05$). The indirect effects of model 1 were presented in Table 4. The results showed that the 95% confidence interval of the intermediate paths with risk perception as an independent variable contained 0, while other intermediate paths did not contain 0.

Note

ASE: action self-efficacy; RP: risk perception; OE: outcome expectancy; MSE: maintenance self-efficacy; IUB: Internet use behaviors

Table 4
The path coefficients of the HAPA model (model 1, n = 327)

		Standardized coefficient (95%CI)	Unstandardized coefficient (standard error)	P-value
Direct effects	Action self-efficacy→ Intention**	0.660(0.525,0.779)	0.904(0.104)	0.001
	Risk perception→ Intention	-0.088(-0.193,0.023)	-0.124(0.077)	0.114
	Outcome expectancy→ Intention*	0.144(0.021,0.265)	0.224(0.093)	0.023
	Intention→ Planning**	0.293(0.176,0.413)	0.332(0.069)	0.001
	Maintenance self-efficacy→ Planning**	0.424(0.272,0.560)	0.584(0.110)	0.001
	Maintenance self-efficacy→ Internet use behaviors	0.008(-0.180,0.189)	0.006(0.073)	0.976
	Planning→ Internet use behaviors**	0.494(0.346,0.643)	0.274(0.051)	0.001
Indirect effects	Outcome expectancy→ Intention→ Planning*	0.042(0.006,0.088)	0.074(0.037)	0.023
	Outcome expectancy→ Intention→ Planning→ Internet use behaviors*	0.021(0.003,0.048)	0.020(0.011)	0.023
	Risk perception→ Intention→ Planning	-0.026(-0.063,0.006)	-0.041(0.028)	0.114
	Risk perception→ Intention→ Planning→ Internet use behaviors	-0.013(-0.033,0.003)	-0.011(0.008)	0.114
	Action self-efficacy→ Intention→ Planning**	0.193(0.116,0.279)	0.300(0.068)	0.001
	Action self-efficacy→ Intention→ Planning→ Internet use behaviors**	0.095(0.048,0.154)	0.082(0.025)	0.001
	Intention→ Planning→ Internet use behaviors**	0.145(0.072,0.231)	0.091(0.027)	0.001
	Maintenance self-efficacy→ Planning→ Internet use behaviors**	0.209(0.117,0.314)	0.160(0.044)	0.001

Note: *P<0.05, **P<0.01. The starting of the arrows were independent variables, and the end of the arrows were dependent variables.

Discussion

The results of this study illustrated that interventions based on the HAPA model can effectively improve psychological cognitive factors of the excessive Internet use behaviors of Chinese rural adolescents. After the interventions, the rate of excessive Internet users reduced by 30%. Among participants, the rate of average daily

Internet time on weekends ≥ 4 h dropped from 57.2–39.1%, and the rate of usually daily game time ≥ 2 h reduced from 51.1–35.2%. Although no improvement was observed in average daily Internet time on weekdays ≥ 2 h and online overnight in the past thirty days, the rates after intervention did not increase. Meanwhile, the interventions improved outcome expectancy, intention, and maintenance self-efficacy of rural adolescents.

Previous school-based studies were designed to improved Internet use behaviors of urban adolescents. The studies focused on health education courses have positive effects on game time, while no impact on daily online time (17, 35). Different from urban adolescents, Internet use behaviors of rural adolescents have not received enough attention and lacked targeted intervention measures. This may be the reason when facing the rapidly developing Internet rural adolescents lack sufficient correct knowledge and lack of self-efficacy, which may lead to excessive Internet use. As far as we know, this is the first intervention study aimed to improve rural adolescents' excessive Internet use. And the results illustrate that the HAPA model can be used to develop effective interventions for rural adolescents.

In this study, the changes in outcome expectancy, intention, and maintenance self-efficacy were key indicators to the reduction of Internet use. Previous studies have claimed that outcome expectancy is the strongest predictor of intention (36–38). Adolescents are inclined to generate the intention of reducing online time based on the awareness that reduction of online time could bring benefits for themselves such as improving the eyesight and academic performance.

Meanwhile, intention is the most predictable factor for future behaviors (20). The generation of intentions is a prerequisite for planning and transforming planning to behaviors. Besides, maintenance self-efficacy is fundamental in the production of behaviors. Individuals with high maintenance self-efficacy are more likely to convert planning into behaviors and believe that they can overcome the potential obstacles when adopting aim behavior (39). Interventions based on the HAPA model lead to changes in behaviors by enhancing the cognition of these three key variables of the participants, so as to investigate the applicability and effectiveness of HAPA model in intervening excessive Internet use. Interestingly, there were certain differences in the HAPA variable scores of adolescents with different genders and different grades after the intervention, which denotes differences in their perception corresponding to targeted intervention. It meant that boys should strengthen the interventions of action self-efficacy, and younger adolescents are supposed to focus on planning and maintaining self-efficacy.

The results of SEM identified that the HAPA model has good applicability in rural adolescents' Internet use behaviors. In model 1, most paths had been effectively verified, apart from risk perception to intention, and maintenance self-efficacy to Internet use behaviors. We found that there was only an indirect impact between maintenance self-efficacy and Internet use behaviors, while the direct effect was not observed. It demonstrated that for Internet use behaviors of adolescents, the role of maintaining self-efficacy was to promote planning convert into behaviors and to maintain the implementation of planning. Further, the strong correlation between action self-efficacy and maintenance self-efficacy had been verified (20).

Different from the HAPA hypothesis, this study did not find the association between risk perception and intention similarly to other studies (36, 40, 41). It might be related to the description of the items. In this study, the items of risk perception were described as "If you compare your current Internet use behaviors to that of

individuals with the same gender and age, how likely do you think...". They might not deem that their Internet use behaviors were worse than that of same-gender peers, nor they would encounter the risks mentioned in the items (42). Risk perception having little effect on intention and behaviors have been reported by previous studies applying the HAPA model in different behaviors (20, 36, 41, 43). Behaviors such as taking medicines and vaccination could reduce the risk of diseases, closely associated with risk perception. On the contrary, the risks brought by daily lifestyles (including Internet use behaviors, physical activity, and diet) were not easy to be perceived, which may attenuate the impact of risk perception on behaviors in the general population. It was difficult for adolescents to perceive the risk of excessive Internet use, for whom the comprehension and perception abilities were slightly lower than those of adults.

It is worth mentioning that the ideal results of interventions should be obtained from the comparison between the intervention school and the control school. However, because of the heavy academic pressure, the control school had added a strict system of the residence in the research process, and forbidden students to bring mobile phones and any other electronic products into campus. And students can go home for only 2-day holidays each month. While the experimental school didn't have such rules. Therefore, it is impossible to directly compare the change degree of excessive Internet use in the experimental school with the control school. Nevertheless, it could be seen that the psychological cognitive factors from HAPA in the experimental school were improved more than those in the control school (Appendix Table 1).

There were some limitations to this study. First, the data came from the self-reports of the participants, and there might be reporting bias. Second, previous studies confirmed that planning could be divided into action planning and coping planning. Action planning is important for the beginning of behaviors, and coping planning is crucial to the maintenance of behaviors(44). However, this study did not refine them. Third, in the research process, the subjects of the control school were affected by the external environment such as the strict management system, which made it difficult to directly compared the status of excessive Internet use in the experimental school with the control school. It is hoped that future researches can improve this deficiency in this study and obtain more realistic results to illustrate the effectiveness of interventions based on the HAPA model.

Despite these limitations, the strengths of this study could not be ignored. It was the first study applying the HAPA model to intervene in adolescents' excessive Internet use behaviors, which could provide new ideas for understanding the cognitive process of Internet use behaviors in adolescents. Further, longitudinal data in this study were used for analysis to better illustrate the continuous process of cognitive, intention, and behavioral change. Since the transformation of intention, planning and behaviors takes time, the longitudinal design was able to describe the transformation process. Besides, targeted intervention measures were formulated based on the HAPA model, and a positive effect had been obtained in the Internet use behaviors of adolescents. This study not only verified the effectiveness of the HAPA model in guiding behavioral interventions but also proposed several effective measures to improve rural adolescents' excessive Internet use behaviors.

Conclusion

This study applied the HAPA model as the theoretical framework to examine its applicability and effectiveness for improving excessive Internet use behaviors in Chinese rural adolescents. The results of confirmatory

research revealed that the HAPA model was effectively verified in Internet use behaviors in rural adolescents. The results of intervention research suggested that interventions based on the HAPA model could effectively improve excessive Internet use behaviors in rural adolescents, mainly through the enhancement of outcome expectancy, intention, and maintenance self-efficacy.

Abbreviations

HAPA: Health Action Process Approach model; SEM: structural equation model; CNNIC: China Internet Network Information Center; CBT: cognitive-behavioral therapy; ML: Maximum Likelihood; $M \pm SD$: mean \pm standard deviation; MI: modification index; ASE: action self-efficacy; RP: risk perception; OE: outcome expectancy; MSE: maintenance self-efficacy; IUB: Internet use behaviors; CI: confidence interval.

Declarations

Acknowledgements

The authors thank all participants in this study, as well as all the interviewers for data collection.

Authors' contributions

CMT, HR and QLL wrote the main manuscript text. CMT, MXY and QZ participated in the analysis of the data. HR and KHL revised the manuscript. MJ and WJT provided supports for the study design. CMT, JYC and YZ participated in data cleaning. All authors reviewed and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This research was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 81472994), and the Fundamental Research Fund for Chinese Central Universities (NO: skqy201212 and 20826041C4234). The funding had no role in the whole process of this study including design, collecting and analyzing data, preparing for publication.

Availability of data and materials

The data and materials used in this paper are not public without the permission of Sichuan University. If there is a reasonable request, please contact the corresponding author for more data.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The survey procedures and informed consent protocols were approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of Sichuan University in China (No.20140307). All methods used in this study were in accordance with ethics and relevant regulations. Written informed consent was obtained from all students and their parents prior to the participation.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

No competing interests was reported by the authors.

References

1. Reid Chassiakos YL, Radesky J, Christakis D, Moreno MA, Cross C. Children and Adolescents and Digital Media. *Pediatrics*. 2016;138(5).
2. 2019 National Internet Research Report on Minors [Available from: <http://www.cnnic.net.cn/hlwfzyj/hlwzxbg/qsnbg/>].
3. Pujazon-Zazik M, Park MJ. To tweet, or not to tweet: gender differences and potential positive and negative health outcomes of adolescents' social internet use. *Am J Mens Health*. 2010;4(1):77–85.
4. Yu JJ, Park SJ. Predictors and the distal outcome of general Internet use: The identification of children's developmental trajectories. *Br J Dev Psychol*. 2017;35(4):483–97.
5. Bélanger RE, Akre C, Berchtold A, Michaud PA. A U-shaped association between intensity of Internet use and adolescent health. *Pediatrics*. 2011;127(2):e330-5.
6. Berchtold A, Akre C, Barrense-Dias Y, Zimmermann G, Surís JC. Daily internet time: towards an evidence-based recommendation? *Eur J Public Health*. 2018;28(4):647–51.
7. Lissak G. Adverse physiological and psychological effects of screen time on children and adolescents: Literature review and case study. *Environ Res*. 2018;164:149–57.
8. Salmela-Aro K, Upadaya K, Hakkarainen K, Lonka K, Alho K. The Dark Side of Internet Use: Two Longitudinal Studies of Excessive Internet Use, Depressive Symptoms, School Burnout and Engagement Among Finnish Early and Late Adolescents. *J Youth Adolesc*. 2017;46(2):343–57.
9. Henderson M, Benedetti A, Barnett TA, Mathieu ME, Deladoëy J, Gray-Donald K. Influence of Adiposity, Physical Activity, Fitness, and Screen Time on Insulin Dynamics Over 2 Years in Children. *JAMA Pediatr*. 2016;170(3):227–35.
10. Zhang HX, Jiang WQ, Lin ZG, Du YS, Vance A. Comparison of psychological symptoms and serum levels of neurotransmitters in Shanghai adolescents with and without internet addiction disorder: a case-control study. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(5):e63089.
11. Reiner I, Tibubos AN, Hardt J, Müller K, Wölfling K, Beutel ME. Peer attachment, specific patterns of internet use and problematic internet use in male and female adolescents. *Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2017;26(10):1257–68.
12. Park E, Kwon M. Health-Related Internet Use by Children and Adolescents: Systematic Review. *J Med Internet Res*. 2018;20(4):e120.
13. Lee JY, Kim SY, Bae KY, Kim JM, Shin IS, Yoon JS, et al. Prevalence and risk factors for problematic Internet use among rural adolescents in Korea. *Asia Pac Psychiatry*. 2018;10(2):e12310.
14. Yu L, Shek DT. Internet addiction in Hong Kong adolescents: a three-year longitudinal study. *J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol*. 2013;26(3 Suppl):S10-7.
15. Park S. The association between Internet use and depressive symptoms among South Korean adolescents. *J Spec Pediatr Nurs*. 2009;14(4):230–8.

16. Mak KK, Lai CM, Watanabe H, Kim DI, Bahar N, Ramos M, et al. Epidemiology of internet behaviors and addiction among adolescents in six Asian countries. *Cyberpsychol Behav Soc Netw*. 2014;17(11):720–8.
17. de Leeuw JR, de Bruijn M, de Weert-van Oene GH, Schrijvers AJ. Internet and game behaviour at a secondary school and a newly developed health promotion programme: a prospective study. *BMC Public Health*. 2010;10:544.
18. Malinauskas R, Malinauskiene V. A meta-analysis of psychological interventions for Internet/smartphone addiction among adolescents. *J Behav Addict*. 2019;8(4):613–24.
19. Glanz K, Bishop DB. The role of behavioral science theory in development and implementation of public health interventions. *Annu Rev Public Health*. 2010;31:399–418.
20. Zhang CQ, Zhang R, Schwarzer R, Hagger MS. A meta-analysis of the health action process approach. *Health Psychol*. 2019;38(7):623–37.
21. Schwarzer R. Self-Efficacy in the Adoption and Maintenance of Health Behaviors: Theoretical Approaches and a New Model. *Self-efficacy: Thought control of action*. 1992.
22. Chow S, Mullan B. Predicting food hygiene. An investigation of social factors and past behaviour in an extended model of the Health Action Process Approach. *Appetite*. 2010;54(1):126–33.
23. Sui W, Prapavessis H. Standing Up for Student Health: An Application of the Health Action Process Approach for Reducing Student Sedentary Behavior-Randomised Control Pilot Trial. *Appl Psychol Health Well Being*. 2018;10(1):87–107.
24. Pinidiyapathirage J, Jayasuriya R, Cheung NW, Schwarzer R. Self-efficacy and planning strategies can improve physical activity levels in women with a recent history of gestational diabetes mellitus. *Psychol Health*. 2018;33(8):1062–77.
25. Kojima R, Sato M, Akiyama Y, Shinohara R, Mizorogi S, Suzuki K, et al. Problematic Internet use and its associations with health-related symptoms and lifestyle habits among rural Japanese adolescents. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci*. 2019;73(1):20–6.
26. Li Y, Zhang X, Lu F, Zhang Q, Wang Y. Internet addiction among elementary and middle school students in China: a nationally representative sample study. *Cyberpsychol Behav Soc Netw*. 2014;17(2):111–6.
27. Wang L, Liu X, Liu ZZ, Jia CX. Digital media use and subsequent self-harm during a 1-year follow-up of Chinese adolescents. *J Affect Disord*. 2020;277:279–86.
28. Wang H, Zhou X, Lu C, Wu J, Deng X, Hong L. Problematic Internet Use in high school students in Guangdong Province, China. *PLoS One*. 2011;6(5):e19660.
29. Cao H, Sun Y, Wan Y, Hao J, Tao F. Problematic Internet use in Chinese adolescents and its relation to psychosomatic symptoms and life satisfaction. *BMC Public Health*. 2011;11:802.
30. Chen L, Liu R, Zeng H, Xu X, Zhu R, Sharma M, et al. Predicting the Time Spent Playing Computer and Mobile Games among Medical Undergraduate Students Using Interpersonal Relations and Social Cognitive Theory: A Cross-Sectional Survey in Chongqing, China. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2018;15(8).
31. Mei S, Yau YH, Chai J, Guo J, Potenza MN. Problematic Internet use, well-being, self-esteem and self-control: Data from a high-school survey in China. *Addict Behav*. 2016;61:74–9.

32. Behling O, Law K. Translating Questionnaires and Other Research Instruments: Problems and Solutions. 2000;07–133.
33. Schwarzer R, Luszczynska A, Ziegelmann JP, Scholz U, Lippke S. Social-cognitive predictors of physical exercise adherence: three longitudinal studies in rehabilitation. *Health Psychol.* 2008;27(1 s):S54-63.
34. Schwarzer R, Antoniuk A, Gholami M. A brief intervention changing oral self-care, self-efficacy, and self-monitoring. *Br J Health Psychol.* 2015;20(1):56–67.
35. Walther B, Hanewinkel R, Morgenstern M. Effects of a brief school-based media literacy intervention on digital media use in adolescents: cluster randomized controlled trial. *Cyberpsychol Behav Soc Netw.* 2014;17(9):616–23.
36. Hattar A, Pal S, Hagger MS. Predicting Physical Activity-Related Outcomes in Overweight and Obese Adults: A Health Action Process Approach. *Appl Psychol Health Well Being.* 2016;8(1):127–51.
37. Schwarzer R, Lippke S, Luszczynska A. Mechanisms of health behavior change in persons with chronic illness or disability: the Health Action Process Approach (HAPA). *Rehabil Psychol.* 2011;56(3):161–70.
38. Schwarzer R, Luszczynska A. How to overcome health-compromising behaviors - The health action process approach. *European Psychologist.* 2008;13(2):141–51.
39. Zarski AC, Berking M, Reis D, Lehr D, Buntrock C, Schwarzer R, et al. Turning Good Intentions Into Actions by Using the Health Action Process Approach to Predict Adherence to Internet-Based Depression Prevention: Secondary Analysis of a Randomized Controlled Trial. *J Med Internet Res.* 2018;20(1):e9.
40. Karl FM, Smith J, Piedt S, Turcotte K, Pike I. Applying the health action process approach to bicycle helmet use and evaluating a social marketing campaign. *Inj Prev.* 2018;24(4):288–95.
41. Barg CJ, Latimer AE, Pomery EA, Rivers SE, Rench TA, Prapavessis H, et al. Examining predictors of physical activity among inactive middle-aged women: an application of the health action process approach. *Psychol Health.* 2012;27(7):829–45.
42. Fleig L, Ngo J, Roman B, Ntzani E, Satta P, Warner LM, et al. Beyond single behaviour theory: Adding cross-behaviour cognitions to the health action process approach. *Br J Health Psychol.* 2015;20(4):824–41.
43. Hagger MS, Hardcastle SJ, Hingley C, Strickland E, Pang J, Watts GF. Predicting Self-Management Behaviors in Familial Hypercholesterolemia Using an Integrated Theoretical Model: the Impact of Beliefs About Illnesses and Beliefs About Behaviors. *Int J Behav Med.* 2016;23(3):282–94.
44. Scholz U, Schüz B, Ziegelmann JP, Lippke S, Schwarzer R. Beyond behavioural intentions: planning mediates between intentions and physical activity. *Br J Health Psychol.* 2008;13(Pt 3):479–94.

Figures




Figure 1

Standardized Path Coefficient of the HAPA model of Internet use behaviors Note: ASE: action self-efficacy; RP: risk perception; OE: outcome expectancy; MSE: maintenance self-efficacy; IUB: Internet use behaviors

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [Appendixtable1.docx](#)