COVID-19 ARDS is characterized by a dysregulated host response that differs from cytokine storm and is modified by dexamethasone

Aartik Sarma

University of California, San Francisco <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7508-7345>

Stephanie Christenson

University of California, San Francisco

Eran Mick

University of California, San Francisco <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7299-808X>

Thomas Deiss

University of California, San Francisco

Catherine DeVoe

University of California, San Francisco

Angela Pisco

Chan Zuckerberg Biohub

Rajani Ghale

University of California, San Francisco

Alejandra Jauregui

University of California, San Francisco

Ashley Byrne

Chan Zuckerberg Biohub

Farzad Moazed

University of California, San Francisco

Natasha Spottiswoode

University of California, San Francisco

Pratik Sinha

Washington University, Saint Louis

Beth Zha

University of California, San Francisco

Norma Neff

Chan Zuckerberg Biohub <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7141-5420>

Michelle Tan

Chan Zuckerberg Biohub

Paula Hayakawa Serpa

University of California, San Francisco

K. Mark Ansel

University of California, San Francisco <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4840-9879>

Jennifer Wilson

Stanford University

Aleksandra Leligdowicz

University of California, San Francisco

Emily Seigel

University of California, San Francisco

Marina Sirota

University of California, San Francisco

Joseph DeRisi

University of California, San Francisco

Michael Matthay

University of California, San Francisco <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3039-8155>

COMET Consortium

University of California, San Francisco

Carolyn Hendrickson

University of California, San Francisco

Kirsten Kangelaris

University of California, San Francisco

Matthew Krummel

University of California, San Francisco

Prescott Woodruff

University of California, San Francisco

David Erle

University of California, San Francisco <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2171-0648>

Carolyn Calfee

University of California, San Francisco

Charles Langelier (✉ Chaz.Langelier@ucsf.edu)

University of California, San Francisco <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6708-4646>

Article

Keywords: COVID-19, RNA-seq, transcriptomics, ARDS, cytokine storm, SARS-CoV-2, coronavirus, metagenomics

Posted Date: January 14th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-141578/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at Nature Communications on August 26th, 2021. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-25040-5>.

COVID-19 ARDS is characterized by a dysregulated host response that differs from cytokine storm and is modified by dexamethasone

Authors:

Aartik Sarma¹, Stephanie A. Christenson¹, Eran Mick^{1,2,3}, Catherine DeVoe², Thomas Deiss², Angela Oliveira Pisco³, Rajani Ghale^{1,2}, Alejandra Jauregui², Ashley Byrne³, Farzad Moazed¹, Natasha Spottiswoode⁴, Pratik Sinha⁵, Beth Shoshana Zha¹, Norma Neff³, Michelle Tan³, Paula Hayakawa Serpa^{2,3}, K. Mark Ansel^{7,8}, Jennifer G. Wilson⁹, Aleksandra Leligdowicz², Emily R. Siegel¹⁰, Marina Sirota¹¹, Joseph L. DeRisi^{3,6}, Michael A. Matthay¹, COMET Consortium⁺, Carolyn M. Hendrickson¹, Kirsten N. Kangelaris⁴, Matthew F. Krummel¹², Prescott G. Woodruff^{1,8}, David J. Erle^{4,13,14}, *Carolyn S. Calfee¹, **Charles R. Langelier^{2,3}

* These authors contributed equally

Affiliations

¹ Division of Pulmonary, Critical Care, Allergy, and Sleep Medicine, University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA

² Division of Infectious Diseases, University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA

³ Chan Zuckerberg Biohub, San Francisco, CA, USA

⁴ Department of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA

⁵ Department of Anesthesia, Washington University, Saint Louis, Missouri, MO, USA

⁶ Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA

⁷ Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA

⁸ Sandler Asthma Basic Research Center, University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA

⁹ Department of Emergency Medicine, Stanford University, Palo Alto, CA, USA

¹⁰ School of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA

¹¹ Division of Rheumatology, University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA

¹² Department of Pathology, University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA

¹³ Lung Biology Center, University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA

¹⁴ UCSF CoLabs, University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA

*COMET (COVID-19 Multiphenotyping for Effective Therapies) Consortium members are listed in the Supplementary Appendix.

* Correspondence: chaz.langelier@ucsf.edu

Keywords: COVID-19, RNA-seq, transcriptomics, ARDS, cytokine storm, SARS-CoV-2, coronavirus, metagenomics

Word Count: 2665

Funding

NIH R35 HL140026 (CSC), NIH/NIAID U19 AI1077439 (DE), NHLBI K23HL138461-01A1 (CL), K24HL137013 (PGW), F32 HL151117 (AS), Chan Zuckerberg Biohub (AOP, AB, JLD).

Abstract

We performed comparative lower respiratory tract transcriptional profiling of 52 critically ill patients with the acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) from COVID-19 or from other etiologies, as well as controls without ARDS. In contrast to a cytokine storm, we observed reduced proinflammatory gene expression in COVID-19 ARDS when compared to ARDS due to other causes. COVID-19 ARDS was characterized by a dysregulated host response with increased PTEN signaling and elevated expression of genes with non-canonical roles in inflammation and immunity that were predicted to be modulated by dexamethasone and granulocyte colony stimulating factor. Compared to ARDS due to other types of viral pneumonia, COVID-19 was characterized by impaired interferon-stimulated gene expression (ISG). We found that the relationship between SARS-CoV-2 viral load and expression of ISGs was decoupled in patients with COVID-19 ARDS when compared to patients with mild COVID-19. In summary, assessment of host gene expression in the lower airways of patients with COVID-19 ARDS did not demonstrate cytokine storm but instead revealed a unique and dysregulated host response predicted to be modified by dexamethasone.

Introduction

In its most severe form, coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) can precipitate the acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), which is characterized by low arterial oxygen concentrations, alveolar injury and a dysregulated inflammatory response in the lungs¹. Early reports hypothesized that COVID-19 ARDS was driven by a “cytokine storm” based on the detection of higher circulating inflammatory cytokine levels in critically ill COVID-19 patients compared to those with mild disease or healthy controls²⁻⁴. Recent studies, however, have found that patients with COVID-19 ARDS in fact have lower plasma cytokine levels compared to those with ARDS due to other causes⁵, highlighting a need to understand the underlying mechanisms of COVID-19 ARDS.

Clinical trials have demonstrated a significant mortality benefit for dexamethasone in COVID-19 patients with ARDS⁶, implicating a role for dysregulated inflammation in COVID-19 pathophysiology given the immunomodulatory effects of corticosteroids. In contrast, clinical trials of corticosteroids for ARDS prior to the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic have had mixed results, ranging from benefit to possible harm¹. These differences suggest distinct biology in COVID-19 ARDS, with important implications for pathogenesis and treatment.

While several studies have assessed host airway transcriptional responses to SARS-CoV-2^{7,8}, none have compared COVID-19 ARDS to other causes of ARDS. We hypothesized that this comparison would identify distinct biological features of SARS-CoV-2 related lung injury and tested this by evaluating lower respiratory tract gene expression in critically ill adults.

Results

We conducted a prospective case-control study of 52 adults requiring mechanical ventilation (**Table 1**) for ARDS from COVID-19 (COVID-ARDS, n= 15), ARDS from other etiologies (Other-ARDS, n= 32), or for airway protection in the absence of pulmonary disease (No-ARDS, n = 5). Other ARDS etiologies included pneumonia, aspiration, sepsis, and transfusion reaction. Patients were enrolled at two tertiary care hospitals in San Francisco,

California under research protocols approved by the University of California San Francisco Institutional Review Board (**Methods**). We excluded immunosuppressed patients to avoid confounding the measurement of host inflammatory responses (**Methods**). Tracheal aspirate (TA) was collected within five days of intubation and underwent RNA sequencing (**Methods**).

We compared TA gene expression between COVID-ARDS and Other-ARDS patients (**Methods, Fig. 1a, Supplementary Data 2**) and identified 696 differentially expressed genes at an adjusted P-value < 0.1, as well as differentially activated pathways using Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA)⁹. Notably, we did not observe elevated expression of genes encoding canonical proinflammatory cytokines, such as IL-1 or IL-6, in COVID-ARDS compared to Other-ARDS. In fact, IL-1, IL-6 and several other cytokine signaling pathways were more highly activated in Other-ARDS, whereas COVID-ARDS patients had comparable inflammatory pathway activation to No-ARDS controls (**Fig. 1b, Supplementary Data 3**). We also found attenuation of the proinflammatory HIF-1a and nitric oxide signaling pathways in COVID-ARDS compared to Other-ARDS patients. To relate these lower respiratory tract findings to systemic inflammatory responses, we also assessed circulating plasma cytokines. We found no difference in IL-6 or IL-8 levels, and lower concentrations of sTNFR1, in COVID-ARDS versus Other-ARDS patients (**Fig. 1c, Supplementary Data 4**).

Evaluation of genes with the most significant expression differences in COVID-ARDS compared to Other-ARDS did, however, reveal several differences in genes regulating immunity and inflammation (**Supplementary Data 2**). For instance, among genes upregulated in COVID-ARDS, *P2RY14* functions in purinergic receptor signaling to mediate inflammatory responses and its ligand UDP-glucose promotes neutrophil recruitment in the lung¹⁰. Conversely, *ARG1*, which promotes macrophage efferocytosis and inflammation resolution¹¹, was downregulated in COVID-ARDS versus Other-ARDS patients.

At the pathway level, we observed activation of PTEN signaling in COVID-ARDS compared to both Other-ARDS and No-ARDS patients (**Fig. 1b, Supplementary Data 3**). PTEN modulates both innate and adaptive immune responses by opposing the activity of PI3K¹².

Consistent with our observations, PTEN attenuates expression of certain cytokines while amplifying other innate immune responses in a manner that may promote injurious inflammation during respiratory infections¹³, suggesting a potentially pathologic role in COVID-ARDS. *In silico* prediction of cell type composition (**Methods, Supplementary Fig. 1, Supplementary Data 5**) did not identify differences in lymphocyte, macrophage or neutrophil populations but did identify markedly decreased proportions of type-2 alveolar epithelial cells and increased proportions of goblet and ciliated cells in COVID-ARDS compared to Other-ARDS. This may reflect alveolar epithelial injury, airway remodeling, and/or preferential SARS-CoV-2 infection of cells with the highest expression of *ACE2* and *TMPRSS2*¹⁴.

To test the hypothesis that existing pharmaceuticals might counter the dysregulated transcriptional signature of COVID-19 related ARDS, we compared differentially expressed genes against the IPA database of 12,981 drug treatment-induced transcriptional signatures derived from human studies and cell culture experiments⁹ (**Methods, Fig. 1c, Supplementary Data 6**). Dexamethasone was the compound predicted to most significantly counter-regulate the genes expressed in COVID-ARDS patients compared to No-ARDS patients, and was also significant with respect to the Other-ARDS group. This finding was striking given that dexamethasone is the only drug thus far proven to confer a mortality benefit in patients with severe COVID-19⁶. Granulocyte colony stimulating factor (G-CSF) was also significant, which is intriguing given that a recent clinical trial found a mortality benefit in COVID-19 patients treated with this agent¹⁵. Other corticosteroids (fluticasone, prednisolone) as well as omega-3 fatty acids (eicosapentenoic and docosahexaenoic acids) were also predicted to counteract the transcriptional profile of COVID-ARDS with respect to comparator groups and thus may represent possible therapeutic agents (**Fig. 1c, Supplementary Data 6**).

As our analysis did not reveal evidence of a cytokine storm in COVID-19 ARDS, we hypothesized that other steroid-responsive pathways may be responsible for the therapeutic benefit of dexamethasone. Although commonly thought of as indiscriminate immunosuppressive medications, glucocorticoids affect diverse biological processes. We therefore proceeded to

examine the genes comprising the transcriptional signature of COVID-ARDS that were also predicted to be regulated by dexamethasone (**Supplementary Data 7**). Interestingly, both dexamethasone and G-CSF were predicted to attenuate the expression of several genes highly upregulated in COVID-ARDS versus controls (e.g., *P2YR14*) as well as other genes with well-established roles in immunity, inflammation, and interferon responses. For instance, we found that COVID-ARDS patients had increased expression of the interferon-inducible and dexamethasone-regulated gene *EPSTI1*, which promotes M1 macrophage polarization¹⁶, and *STAT1*, which induces chemokine expression, regulates differentiation of hematopoietic cells, and promotes reactive oxygen species production¹⁷.

ARDS is a heterogeneous syndrome caused by diverse infectious and non-infectious insults¹. To more precisely understand host response relationships between subtypes of ARDS, we performed secondary analyses comparing the transcriptional signature of COVID-ARDS without co-infections (n = 8) to that of ARDS caused exclusively by other viral (n = 4, **Fig. 2a**, **Supplementary Data 1**) or bacterial (n = 9, **Fig. 2b**) lower respiratory tract infections (LRTI) (**Supplementary Data 8**). COVID-ARDS was characterized by lower expression of proinflammatory signaling pathways compared to bacterial LRTI-associated ARDS (**Fig. 2c**, **Supplementary Data 9**), but higher levels of the same pathways compared to viral LRTI-associated ARDS.

Although interferon-related gene expression was higher in COVID-ARDS compared to bacterial LRTIs and no-ARDS controls, it was markedly attenuated in ARDS patients with COVID-19 versus those with other viral LRTI (**Fig. 2d**, **Supplementary Data 10**, **Methods**). Prior studies found strong correlation between SARS-CoV-2 viral load and expression of interferon-stimulated genes (ISGs) in the upper respiratory tract of patients with mild disease, early during infection¹⁸. In contrast, in the lower respiratory tract of patients with severe disease, we observed decoupling of this relationship for several ISGs (**Figs. 2e-f**, **Supplementary Data 11**), suggesting that a dysregulated interferon response in the lower respiratory tract may characterize severe COVID-19. This hypothesis is supported by recent findings of impaired

interferon signaling in peripheral blood immune cells of patients with severe versus mild COVID-19¹⁹, and a recent report suggesting that a dysregulated interferon response may be a universal characteristic of severe viral infections²⁰.

Discussion

Our results challenge the cytokine storm model of COVID-19 ARDS. Instead, we observe a complex picture of host immune dysregulation that includes upregulation of genes with non-canonical roles in inflammation, immunity and interferon signaling that are predicted to be attenuated by dexamethasone, G-CSF and other potential therapeutics. Our work emphasizes the value of including clinically relevant control patients in COVID-19 immunophenotyping studies and underscores that detection of elevated cytokine levels in the blood does not necessarily equate to causality in pathogenesis. Single cytokine blockade was attempted unsuccessfully in the past for treatment of sepsis²¹, which like COVID-19, is characterized by dysregulated host response to infection as well as significant biologically meaningful heterogeneity²².

This work also builds on recent reports of dysregulated interferon responses in patients with severe COVID-19 pneumonia and suggests that decoupling of viral load from interferon signaling may be a relevant pathologic feature of severe disease. Further work in a larger cohort that also includes direct measurement of cytokine levels in the lower airway will be needed to validate these results. In conclusion, comparative lower respiratory transcriptional profiling of patients with ARDS did not find evidence of a COVID-19 related cytokine storm but did reveal a unique dysregulated host response predicted to be moderated by dexamethasone and other potential therapeutics.

Materials and Methods

Study design, clinical cohort and ethics statement

We conducted a case-control study of patients with ARDS due to COVID-19 (n = 15) versus two control groups of either patients with ARDS due to other causes (n = 32) or patients intubated for airway protection without evidence of pulmonary pathology on imaging (n = 5). We studied patients who were enrolled in either of two prospective cohort studies of critically ill patients at the University of California, San Francisco (UCSF) and Zuckerberg San Francisco General Hospital. Both studies were approved by the UCSF Institutional Review Board according under protocols 17-24056 and 20-30497, respectively, which granted a waiver of initial consent for tracheal aspirate and blood sampling. Informed consent was subsequently obtained from patients or their surrogates for continued study participation, as previously described²³.

For this analysis, inclusion criteria were: 1) admission to the intensive care unit for mechanical ventilation for ARDS or airway protection, 2) age $\geq$ 18 years, 3) availability of TA with 10^6 protein-coding reads collected within five days of intubation. Exclusion criteria were: 1) receipt of immunosuppressive medication or underlying immunocompromising condition prior to tracheal aspirate collection. Subject charts and chest x-rays were reviewed by at least two study authors (AS, PS, ES, FM, CD, MM, CL, CC) to confirm a diagnosis of ARDS using the Berlin Definition²⁴. Lower respiratory tract infections were adjudicated by two study physicians using the United States Centers for Disease Control surveillance definition of pneumonia²⁵. Of 72 patients initially screened, nine with ARDS due to COVID-19 and 10 with ARDS due to other causes were excluded because of treatment with immunosuppressant medications or because of an underlying immunocompromising condition (e.g., solid organ transplantation, bone marrow transplantation, human immunodeficiency virus infection).

Metagenomic sequencing

Following enrollment, TA was collected and mixed 1:1 with DNA/RNA shield (Zymo Research) to preserve nucleic acid. To evaluate host gene expression and detect the presence of SARS-CoV-2 and other viruses, metagenomic next generation sequencing (mNGS) of RNA was performed on TA specimens. Following RNA extraction (Zymo Pathogen Magbead Kit) and DNase treatment, human cytosolic and mitochondrial ribosomal RNA was depleted using FastSelect (Qiagen). To control for background contamination, we included negative controls (water and HeLa cell RNA) as well as positive controls (spike-in RNA standards from the External RNA Controls Consortium (ERCC))²⁶. RNA was then fragmented and underwent library preparation using the NEBNext Ultra II RNAseq Kit (New England Biolabs). Libraries underwent 146 nucleotide paired-end Illumina sequencing on an Illumina Novaseq 6000 instrument.

Host differential expression and pathway analysis

Following demultiplexing, sequencing reads were pseudo-aligned with kallisto²⁷ (v. 0.46.1; including bias correction) to an index consisting of all transcripts associated with human protein coding genes (ENSEMBL v. 99), cytosolic and mitochondrial ribosomal RNA sequences, and the sequences of ERCC RNA standards. Samples retained in the dataset had a total of at least 1,000,000 estimated counts associated with transcripts of protein coding genes, and the median across all samples was 7.3 million. Gene-level counts were generated from the transcript-level abundance estimates using the R package tximport²⁸, with the scaledTPM method.

Differential expression analysis was performed using DESeq2²⁹. We modeled the expression of individual genes using the design formula ~ARDSEtiology. In our primary analysis, the ARDS etiology was categorized as COVID-ARDS, Other-ARDS, or No-ARDS. In our secondary analysis, the ARDS etiology was categorized as COVID-ARDS, Viral-ARDS, Bacterial-ARDS, or No-ARDS. COVID-ARDS patients with viral or bacterial co-infections were

excluded from this secondary analysis. Significant genes were identified using an independent-hypothesis-weighted, Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate (FDR) less than 0.1^{30,31}. Empirical Bayesian adaptive shrinkage estimators for log₂-fold change were fit using *ashr*³². We generated heatmaps of the top 50 differentially expressed genes by absolute log₂-fold change. For visualization, gene expression was normalized using the variance stabilizing transformation, centered, and z-scaled. Heatmaps were generated using the *pheatmap* package. Patients were clustered using Euclidean distance and genes were clustered using Manhattan distance. Differentially expressed genes (FDR < 0.1) were analyzed using Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA, Qiagen)^{9,33}.

Canonical pathway analysis and drug/cytokine upstream regulator analysis

To evaluate signaling pathways and upstream transcriptional regulators from gene expression data, we employed IPA. Specifically, genes were analyzed using Core, Canonical Pathway and Upstream Regulator Analysis on shrunken log₂-fold change. IPA Upstream Regulator Analysis was employed to identify potential drug and cytokine regulators and predict their activation states based on expected effects between regulators and their known target genes or proteins annotated in the Ingenuity Knowledge Base (IKB)³³. IPA calculates a Fisher's exact p-value for overlap of differentially expressed genes with curated gene sets representing canonical biological pathways, or upstream regulators of gene expression, including cytokines and 12,981 drugs. In addition, IPA calculates a Z-score for the direction of gene expression for a pathway or regulator based on the observed gene expression in the dataset. The Z-score signifies whether expression changes for genes within pathways, or for known target genes of upstream regulators, are consistent with what is expected based on previously published analyses annotated in the IKB. Significant pathways and upstream regulators were defined as those with a Z-score absolute value greater than 2 and an overlap P value < 0.05.

In silico analysis of cell type proportions

Cell-type proportions were estimated from bulk host transcriptome data using the CIBERSORT X algorithm³⁴. We used the Human Lung Cell Atlas dataset³⁵ to derive the single cell signatures. The cell types estimated with this reference cover all expected cell types in the airway. The estimated proportions were compared between the three patient groups using a Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon test (two-sided) with Bonferroni correction.

Quantification of SARS-CoV-2 viral load by mNGS

All samples were processed through a SARS-CoV-2 reference-based assembly pipeline that involved removing reads likely originating from the human genome or from other viral genomes annotated in RefSeq with Kraken2 (v. 2.0.8_beta), and then aligning the remaining reads to the SARS-CoV-2 reference genome MN908947.3 using minimap2 (v. 2.17). We calculated SARS-CoV-2 reads-per-million (rpM) by dividing the number of reads that aligned to the virus with mapq ≥ 20 by the total number of reads in the sample (excluding reads mapping to ERCC RNA standards).

Regression of ISG counts against viral load in TA and NP samples

We assembled a set of 100 interferon-stimulated genes based on the “Hallmark interferon alpha response” gene set in MSigDB³⁶. We then performed robust regression of the quantile normalized gene counts (log₂ scale), generated using the R package *limma*, against log₁₀(rpM) of SARS-CoV-2. This was done in two separate datasets of COVID-19 patients: i) the tracheal aspirate (TA) samples from patients with COVID-19 ARDS reported in this study (n=15); and ii) the nasopharyngeal swab (NP) samples from patients with mostly early and mild disease that we previously reported (n=93)¹⁸. The analysis was performed using the R package *robustbase* (v. 0.93.6), which implements MM-type estimators for linear regression. Model predictions were generated using the R package *ggeffects* (v. 0.14.3) and used for display in the

individual gene plots. Error bands represent normal distribution 95% confidence intervals around each prediction. Reported P-values for significance of the difference of the regression coefficient from 0 are based on a t-statistic and Benjamini-Hochberg adjusted. Reported R² values represent the adjusted robust coefficient of determination.

Data and Code Availability

Human gene counts for the samples generated in this study can be obtained under NCBI GEO accession GSE163426. The published human lung single-cell datasets³⁷ used for cell type proportions analysis can be obtained through Synapse under accessions syn21560510 and syn21560511. Code for the differential expression and cell type proportions analysis is available at: <https://github.com/AartikSarma/COVIDARDS>.

Acknowledgements

This study was performed with support from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases-sponsored Immunophenotyping Assessment in a COVID-19 Cohort (IMPACC) Network. We gratefully appreciate support from Amy Kistler, PhD, Jack Kamm, PhD, Angela Deitweiller, PhD, Saharai Caldera, BS and Maira Phelps BS. We thank Mark and Carrie Casey, Julia and Kevin Hartz, Carl Kawaja and Wendy Holcombe, Eric Keisman and Linda Nevin, Martin and Leesa Romo, Three Sisters Foundation, Diana Wagner and Jerry Yang and Akiko Yamazaki for their support.

Author Contributions

CRL, CSC, AS and SC conceived and designed the study. TD, RG, PHS, NN, MT and KMA oversaw or performed sample processing, library preparation and sequencing. CSC, CMH, KNK RG, AJ, JGW and ERS coordinated or contributed to clinical operations including patient enrollment. CD, AS, CRL, FM, TD and NS performed metadata collation or clinical chart review.

320 AS, EM, AOP, CRL and SAC performed data analysis and interpretation. CSC, SAC, EM, DJE,
321 JLD, KMA, MFK, PFW, MAM, BSZ, JGW, AL, AB, FM, PS and MS provided guidance, advice
322 and comments on the study design and manuscript. CRL, AS and CSC wrote the manuscript
323 with input from all authors.

Table 1. Clinical and demographic characteristics of patients with ARDS due to COVID-19 (COVID-ARDS), control patients with ARDS due to other etiologies (Other-ARDS), and intubated control patients without ARDS (No-ARDS).

	COVID-ARDS	Other-ARDS	P	No-ARDS	P
N	15	32		5	
Age (median [IQR])	54.8 [42.5, 67.5]	61.4 [47.3, 71.5]	0.205	66.2 [62.0, 82.0]	0.190
Male	9 (60.0)	20 (62.5)	1.000	2 (40.0)	0.795
30-day mortality	3 (20.0)	11 (34.4)	0.508	2 (40.0)	0.546
Race (%)			<0.001		0.029
African American	0 (0.0)	2 (6.2)		0 (0.0)	
Asian	3 (20.0)	4 (12.5)		1 (20.0)	
Caucasian	1 (6.7)	23 (71.9)		3 (60.0)	
Other	11 (73.3)	3 (9.4)		1 (20.0)	
Hispanic ethnicity	8 (53.3)	3 (9.4)	0.003	0 (0.0)	0.114
PaO₂/FiO₂ (median [IQR])*	74.0 [60.5, 115.0]	96.0 [67.0, 148.0]	0.114	296.0 [216.0, 366.5]	0.003
ARDS etiology (%)			0.109		<0.001
Aspiration	0 (0.0)	5 (15.6)		0 (0.0)	
LRTI	15 (100.0)	20 (62.5)		0 (0.0)	
Sepsis	0 (0.0)	4 (12.5)		0 (0.0)	
Transfusion	0 (0.0)	2 (6.2)		0 (0.0)	
Unknown	0 (0.0)	1 (3.1)		0 (0.0)	
None	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)		5 (100.0)	
LRTI type (%)			<0.001		<0.001
Bacterial	0 (0.0)	9 (28.1)		0 (0.0)	
Viral	8 (60.0)	4 (12.5)		0 (0.0)	
Viral + Bacterial	4 (20.0)	3 (9.4)		0 (0.0)	
Viral + Viral	3 (20.0)	0 (0.0)		0 (0.0)	
Unknown	0 (0.0)	4 (12.5)		0 (0.0)	
None	0 (0.0)	12 (37.5)		5 (100.0)	

P-values represent comparisons versus COVID-ARDS. Reasons for intubation of No-ARDS patients included: hemorrhagic stroke, subdural hematoma, retroperitoneal hemorrhage or other neurosurgical procedure. Statistical significance was determined using Fisher's exact test (discrete variables) or by Wilcoxon test (continuous variables). *Lowest PaO₂/FiO₂ recorded in first five days of mechanical ventilation. PF ratios were not available for two Other-ARDS subjects, who were diagnosed with ARDS based on an SaO₂/FiO₂ < 315. IQR = Interquartile Range.

Figure 1

Figure 1. Lower respiratory tract transcriptional signature of COVID-19 ARDS. **a)** Heatmap of the top 50 differentially expressed genes by adjusted p value between patients with COVID-19 related ARDS (COVID-ARDS, red) versus controls with ARDS due to other etiologies (Other-ARDS, violet). Intubated controls with no ARDS were also included in the unsupervised clustering (No-ARDS, grey). **b)** Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) based on differential gene expression analyses demonstrating expression of signaling pathways by IPA activation Z-score with respect to a baseline of COVID-ARDS. Values are tabulated in (Supplementary Data 3). **c)** Differences in plasma inflammatory cytokine concentrations between patients with ARDS due to COVID-19 (COVID-ARDS, red) or other etiologies (Other-ARDS, violet). Lines indicate medians. P values calculated based on Mann-Whitney test. Values tabulated in (Supplementary Data 4). **d)** Pharmacologic agents predicted to mitigate the dysregulated host response of COVID-19 ARDS with respect to Other-ARDS (violet) or No-ARDS patients (grey) identified by computational matching against the IPA database of drug transcriptional signatures. Values tabulated in (Supplementary Data 5). Pathways with a Z-score absolute value > 2 and overlap P value < 0.05 are significant.

Figure 2

Figure 2. Lower respiratory tract transcriptional signature of ARDS due to COVID-19 versus other viral or bacterial lower respiratory tract infections. **a)** Heatmap depicting expression and unsupervised clustering of top differentially expressed genes by adjusted P value between patients with COVID-19 related ARDS (COVID-ARDS, red) versus ARDS due to viral LRTI (Viral-ARDS, blue). **b)** Heatmap depicting expression and unsupervised clustering of the top 50 differentially expressed genes between patients with COVID-19 related ARDS (COVID-ARDS, red) versus ARDS due to bacterial LRTI (Bacterial-ARDS, green). **c)** Pathway analysis based on differentially expressed genes depicting relative expression of signaling pathways by IPA Z-score with respect to a baseline of gene expression in COVID-ARDS. Values are tabulated in (Supplementary Data 8). **d)** Predicted activation of upstream interferons in patients with ARDS due to viral or bacterial LRTI compared to those with COVID-ARDS revealed downregulation of type-I/III interferons in COVID-ARDS versus other viral LRTI-related ARDS. Values tabulated in (Supplementary Data 9). **e)** Scatterplot of the relationship between interferon-stimulated gene (ISG) counts and SARS-CoV-2 viral load (reads per million, rpM), quantified by the regression slope, in nasopharyngeal (NP) samples from patients with mostly mild/early COVID-19 (x-axis) and in tracheal aspirate (TA) samples from patients with severe COVID-19 and ARDS (y-axis). **f)** *RSAD2* is an ISG whose expression (y-axis) is correlated with SARS-CoV-2 viral load (x-axis) in both early/mild (NP) and severe (TA) disease, while *OASL* is an ISG for which the correlation observed in early/mild COVID-19 is absent in severe COVID-19 patients with ARDS. Values are tabulated in (Supplementary Data 10).

References

1. Matthay, M. A. *et al.* Acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Nature Reviews Disease Primers* **5**, (2019).
2. Mehta, P. *et al.* COVID-19: consider cytokine storm syndromes and immunosuppression. *The Lancet* **395**, 1033–1034 (2020).
3. Blanco-Melo, Daniel. Imbalanced host response to SARS-CoV-2 drives development of COVID-19. *Cell*. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2020.04.026.
4. Giamarellos-Bourboulis, E. J. *et al.* Complex Immune Dysregulation in COVID-19 Patients with Severe Respiratory Failure. *Cell Host Microbe* **27**, 992-1000.e3 (2020).
5. Wilson, J. G. *et al.* Cytokine profile in plasma of severe COVID-19 does not differ from ARDS and sepsis. *JCI Insight* **5**, (2020).
6. The RECOVERY Collaborative Group. Dexamethasone in Hospitalized Patients with Covid-19 — Preliminary Report. *New England Journal of Medicine* (2020) doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2021436.
7. Bost, P. *et al.* Host-Viral Infection Maps Reveal Signatures of Severe COVID-19 Patients. *Cell* **181**, 1475-1488.e12 (2020).
8. Chua, R. L. *et al.* COVID-19 severity correlates with airway epithelium–immune cell interactions identified by single-cell analysis. *Nature Biotechnology* **38**, 970–979 (2020).
9. Krämer, A., Green, J., Pollard, J. & Tugendreich, S. Causal analysis approaches in Ingenuity Pathway Analysis. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 523–530 (2014).
10. Sesma, J. I. *et al.* UDP-glucose promotes neutrophil recruitment in the lung. *Purinergic Signalling* **12**, 627–635 (2016).
11. Cai, W. *et al.* STAT6/Arg1 promotes microglia/macrophage efferocytosis and inflammation resolution in stroke mice. *JCI Insight* **4**, (2019).
12. Chen, L. & Guo, D. The functions of tumor suppressor PTEN in innate and adaptive immunity. *Cellular & Molecular Immunology* **14**, 581–589 (2017).

13. Schabbauer, G. *et al.* Myeloid PTEN Promotes Inflammation but Impairs Bactericidal Activities during Murine Pneumococcal Pneumonia. *The Journal of Immunology* **185**, 468–476 (2010).
14. HCA Lung Biological Network *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 entry factors are highly expressed in nasal epithelial cells together with innate immune genes. *Nature Medicine* **26**, 681–687 (2020).
15. Cheng, L. *et al.* Effect of Recombinant Human Granulocyte Colony–Stimulating Factor for Patients With Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) and Lymphopenia: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Internal Medicine* (2020) doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.5503.
16. Kim, Y.-H., Lee, J.-R. & Hahn, M.-J. Regulation of inflammatory gene expression in macrophages by epithelial-stromal interaction 1 (Epsti1). *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **496**, 778–783 (2018).
17. Kaplan, M. H. STAT signaling in inflammation. *JAK-STAT* **2**, e24198 (2013).
18. Mick, E. *et al.* Upper airway gene expression reveals suppressed immune responses to SARS-CoV-2 compared with other respiratory viruses. *Nature Communications* **11**, (2020).
19. Hadjadj, J. *et al.* Impaired type I interferon activity and inflammatory responses in severe COVID-19 patients. *Science* **369**, 718–724 (2020).
20. Zheng, H. *et al.* Multi-cohort analysis of host immune response identifies conserved protective and detrimental modules associated with severity irrespective of virus. <http://medrxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2020.10.02.20205880> (2020) doi:10.1101/2020.10.02.20205880.
21. Cavaillon, J., Singer, M. & Skirecki, T. Sepsis therapies: learning from 30 years of failure of translational research to propose new leads. *EMBO Molecular Medicine* **12**, (2020).
22. Seymour, C. W. *et al.* Assessment of Clinical Criteria for Sepsis: For the Third International Consensus Definitions for Sepsis and Septic Shock (Sepsis-3). *JAMA* **315**, 762 (2016).

23. Langelier, C. *et al.* Integrating host response and unbiased microbe detection for lower respiratory tract infection diagnosis in critically ill adults. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 201809700 (2018) doi:10.1073/pnas.1809700115.
24. ARDS Definition Task Force. Acute respiratory distress syndrome: the Berlin definition. *JAMA* **307**, 2526–2533 (2012).
25. United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. CDC/NHSN Surveillance Definitions for Specific Types of Infections. (2017).
26. Pine, P. S. *et al.* Evaluation of the External RNA Controls Consortium (ERCC) reference material using a modified Latin square design. *BMC Biotechnology* **16**, 54 (2016).
27. Bray, N. L., Pimentel, H., Melsted, P. & Pachter, L. Near-optimal probabilistic RNA-seq quantification. *Nature Biotechnology* **34**, 525 (2016).
28. Sonesson, C., Love, M. I. & Robinson, M. D. Differential analyses for RNA-seq: transcript-level estimates improve gene-level inferences. *F1000Research* **4**, 1521 (2015).
29. Love, M. I., Huber, W. & Anders, S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biology* **15**, 550 (2014).
30. Ignatiadis, N., Klaus, B., Zaugg, J. B. & Huber, W. Data-driven hypothesis weighting increases detection power in genome-scale multiple testing. *Nature Methods* **13**, 577–580 (2016).
31. Benjamini, Y. & Hochberg, Y. Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Methodological)* **57**, 289–300 (1995).
32. Stephens, M. False discovery rates: a new deal. *Biostatistics* **18**, 275–294 (2017).
33. Ingenuity Systems. *Ingenuity Upstream Regulator Analysis in IPA*.
http://pages.ingenuity.com/rs/ingenuity/images/0812%20upstream_regulator_analysis_whitewater.pdf.

- 446 34. Newman, A. M. *et al.* Robust enumeration of cell subsets from tissue expression profiles.
447 *Nature methods* **12**, 453–457 (2015).
- 448 35. Travaglini, K. J. *et al.* A molecular cell atlas of the human lung from single-cell RNA
449 sequencing. *Nature* **587**, 619–625 (2020).
- 450 36. Subramanian, A. *et al.* Gene set enrichment analysis: A knowledge-based approach for
451 interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
452 *Sciences* **102**, 15545–15550 (2005).
- 453 37. Travaglini, K. J. *et al.* A molecular cell atlas of the human lung from single cell RNA
454 sequencing. *bioRxiv* 742320 (2020) doi:10.1101/742320.

Supplementary Materials

Supplementary Data 1. Detailed clinical, microbiological and demographic features of the cohort. Legend: *SaO₂/FiO₂ Ratio (SF Ratio) < 315 was used to verify ARDS diagnosis in these subjects.

Supplementary Data 2. Differentially expressed genes (adjusted P value (padj) < 0.1) between patients with ARDS due to COVID-19 (COVID-ARDS) versus **a)** controls with ARDS due to other etiologies (Other-ARDS) or **b)** intubated controls without ARDS (No-ARDS). Positive fold change indicates gene is upregulated in COVID-ARDS.

Supplementary Data 3. Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) of differentially expressed genes (padj < 0.1) between patients with ARDS due to COVID-19 (COVID-ARDS) versus **a)** controls with ARDS due to other etiologies (Other-ARDS) or **b)** intubated controls without ARDS (No-ARDS). Positive Z-score indicates pathway is upregulated in COVID-ARDS. Pathways with a Z-score absolute value ≥ 1 are included in table.

Supplementary Data 4. Plasma concentrations of inflammatory cytokines in patients with ARDS due to COVID-19 (COVID-ARDS) versus controls with ARDS due to other etiologies (Other-ARDS).

Supplementary Data 5. *In silico* deconvolution of cell type proportions from tracheal aspirate bulk RNA-sequencing data using lung single cell signatures. Data are plotted in (Supplementary Figure 1).

Supplementary Data 6. Chemical and biological drugs computationally predicted by IPA to attenuate the transcriptional response of ARDS due to COVID-19 (COVID-ARDS) against a comparator group of **a)** ARDS due to other causes (Other-ARDS) or **b)** intubated controls without ARDS (No-ARDS). Drugs with a Z-score > 2 are included in table.

Supplementary Data 7. Genes affected by drugs computationally predicted by IPA to modulate the transcriptional response of ARDS due to COVID-19 (COVID-ARDS) against a comparator group of **a)** ARDS due to other causes (Other-ARDS) or **b)** intubated controls without ARDS (No-ARDS). Predicted transcriptional effect of each drug on each gene is indicated with respect to comparator group 1 in the table.

Supplementary Data 8. Differentially expressed genes ($\text{padj} < 0.1$) between patients with ARDS due to COVID-19 (COVID-ARDS) versus controls with ARDS due to **a)** other viral lower respiratory tract infections (Other Viral-ARDS) or **b)** bacterial lower respiratory tract infections (Bacterial-ARDS). Positive $\log_2$ fold change indicates gene is upregulated in COVID-ARDS.

Supplementary Data 9. Pathway analysis (IPA) of differentially expressed genes ($\text{padj} < 0.1$) between patients with ARDS due to COVID-19 (COVID-ARDS) versus controls with ARDS due to other viral or bacterial lower respiratory tract infections. Z-scores are with respect to COVID-ARDS. Pathways with a Z-score absolute value ≥ 1 are included in table.

Supplementary Data 10. Computationally predicted (IPA) upstream cytokines based on the transcriptional signature of COVID-19 ARDS (COVID-ARDS) compared to ARDS from other viral LRTI. Pathways with a Z-score absolute value ≥ 1 are included in table.

Supplementary Data 11. Relationship between interferon-stimulated gene (ISG) expression and SARS-CoV-2 viral load measured in RNA-seq reads per million (rpM) for COVID-19 patients with late, severe disease and ARDS (COVID-ARDS) from lower respiratory tract samples (this study), and COVID-19 patients with early, mostly mild disease measured from upper respiratory tract samples¹⁸. Legend: reg_intercept = intercept in the robust regression of gene expression on SARS-CoV-2 viral load; reg_slope = slope in the robust regression of gene expression on SARS-CoV-2 viral load; reg_adj_R2 = adjusted robust coefficient of determination; reg_p_adj = Benjamini-Hochberg adjusted p-value for difference of the regression slope from 0.

Supplementary Appendix. COMET Consortium member list.

Supplementary Figure 1. *In silico* deconvolution of cell types from tracheal aspirate bulk RNA-sequencing data using lung single cell signatures. The horizontal line inside the box denotes the median and the lower and upper hinges correspond to the first and third quartiles, respectively. Whiskers extend from the hinge to the largest (smallest, respectively) value no more than 1.5*IQR away from the hinge, where IQR is the interquartile range. The y-axis in each panel was trimmed at the maximum value among the three patient groups of 1.5*IQR above the third quartile. Pairwise comparisons between patient groups were performed with a two-sided Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon test followed by Bonferroni's correction (n=15 COVID-ARDS, n=32 Other ARDS, n=5 No-ARDS). Data are tabulated in (Supplementary Data 5).

Figure S1

Figures

Figure 1

Lower respiratory tract transcriptional signature of COVID-19 ARDS. 332 a) Heatmap of the top 50 differentially expressed genes by adjusted p value between patients with COVID-19 related ARDS (COVID-ARDS, red) versus controls with ARDS due to other etiologies (Other- ARDS, violet). Intubated controls with no ARDS were also included in the unsupervised clustering (No-ARDS, grey). b) Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) based on differential geneexpression analyses demonstrating expression of signaling pathways by IPA activation Z-score with respect to a baseline of COVID-ARDS. Values are tabulated in (Supplementary Data 3). c) Differences in plasma inflammatory cytokine concentrations between patients with ARDS due to COVID-19 (COVID-ARDS, red) or other etiologies (Other-ARDS, violet). Lines indicate medians. P values calculated based on Mann-Whitney test. Values tabulated in (Supplementary Data 4). d) Pharmacologic agents predicted to mitigate the dysregulated host response of COVID-19 ARDS with respect to Other-ARDS (violet) or No-ARDS patients (grey) identified by computational matching against the IPA database of drug transcriptional signatures. Values tabulated in (Supplementary Data 5). Pathways with a Z-score absolute value > 2 and overlap P value < 0.05 are significant.

Figure 2

Lower respiratory tract transcriptional signature 349 of ARDS due to COVID-19 versus other viral or bacterial lower respiratory tract infections. a) Heatmap depicting expression and unsupervised clustering of top differentially expressed genes by adjusted P value between patients with COVID-19 related ARDS (COVID-ARDS, red) versus ARDS due to viral LRTI (Viral-ARDS, blue). b) Heatmap depicting expression and unsupervised clustering of the top 50 differentially expressed genes between patients with COVID-19 related ARDS (COVID-ARDS, red) versus ARDS due to bacterial LRTI (Bacterial-ARDS, green). c) Pathway analysis based on differentially expressed genes depicting relative expression of signaling pathways by IPA Z-score with respect to a baseline of gene expression in COVID-ARDS. Values are tabulated in (Supplementary Data 8). d) Predicted activation of upstream interferons in patients with ARDS due to viral or bacterial LRTI compared to those with COVID-ARDS revealed downregulation of type-I/III interferons in COVID-ARDS versus other viral LRTI-related ARDS. Values tabulated in (Supplementary Data 9). e) Scatterplot of the relationship between interferon-stimulated gene (ISG) counts and SARS-CoV-2 viral load (reads per million, rpM), quantified by the regression slope, in nasopharyngeal (NP) samples from patients with mostly mild/early COVID-19 (x-axis) and in tracheal aspirate (TA) samples from patients with severe COVID-19 and ARDS (y-axis). f) RSAD2 is an ISG whose expression (y-axis) is correlated with SARS-CoV-2 viral load (x-axis) in both early/mild (NP) and severe (TA) disease, while

OASL is an ISG for which the correlation observed in early/mild COVID-19 is absent in severe COVID-19 patients with ARDS. Values are tabulated in (Supplementary Data 10).

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [SupplementaryData1final.xlsx](#)
- [SupplementaryData2final.xlsx](#)
- [SupplementaryData3final.xlsx](#)
- [SupplementaryData4final.xlsx](#)
- [SupplementaryData5final.xlsx](#)
- [SupplementaryData6final.xlsx](#)
- [SupplementaryData8final.xlsx](#)
- [SupplementaryData7final.xlsx](#)
- [SupplementaryData9final.xlsx](#)
- [SupplementaryData10final.xlsx](#)
- [SupplementaryData11final.xlsx](#)
- [SupplementaryAppendixfinal.xlsx](#)