

Lessons learned through piloting a community-based SMS referral system for common mental health disorders used by female community health volunteers in rural Nepal

Anvita Bhardwaj

Johns Hopkins University Bloomberg School of Public Health

Prasansa Subba (✉ limbu.prasansa@gmail.com)

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6930-7575>

Sauharda Rai

Duke Global Health Institute

Chaya Bhat

Duke Global Health Institute

Renasha Ghimire

HealthNet TPO

Mark Jordans

King's College London

Eric Green

Duke Global Health Institute

Lavanya Vasudevan

Duke Global Health Institute

Brandon Kohrt

George Washington University

Research note

Keywords: Nepal; developing countries; mHealth; mental health; help-seeking; referral; case-finding

Posted Date: June 19th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.2.23695/v3>

License:  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published on July 1st, 2020. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-020-05148-5>.

Abstract

Objective: The Community Informant Detection Tool (CIDT) is a paper-based proactive case detection strategy with evidence for improving help-seeking behavior for mental healthcare. Key implementation barriers for the paper-based CIDT include delayed reporting of cases and lack of active follow up. We used mobile phones and the structured text messages to improve timeliness of case reporting, encouraging follow up, and case record keeping. 36 female community health volunteers piloted this mobile phone CIDT (mCIDT) for three months in 2017 in rural Nepal.

Results: Only 8 cases were identified by health volunteers using mCIDT, and only two of these cases engaged with health services post-referral. Accuracy with the mCIDT was considerably lower than paper-based CIDT, especially among older health volunteers, those with lower education, and those having difficulties sending text messages. Qualitative findings revealed implementation challenges including cases not following through on referrals due to perceived lack of staff at health facilities, assumptions among health volunteers that all earthquake-related mental health needs had been met, and lack of financial incentives for use of mCIDT. Based on study findings, we provide 5 recommendations—in particular attitudinal and system preparedness changes—to effectively introduce new mental healthcare technology in low resource health systems.

Introduction

Mobile health (mHealth) has the potential to improve mental health in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) by increasing awareness of and access to treatment [1-4]. The Community Informant Detection Tool (CIDT) was developed by Transcultural Psychosocial Organization Nepal (TPO-Nepal), under the Programme for Improving Mental Health Care (PRIME) [5, 6] to facilitate detection of and help-seeking for mental illness at the community level. The validated tool is a paper-based form consisting of vignettes of common symptoms using local idioms and illustrations [7-9]. The CIDT works by training trusted people in the community to detect people who match the vignettes and encourage them to seek care from mental health trained facility-based health workers (HW). In a pragmatic trial, use of paper-based CIDT was associated with 50% greater mental health treatment initiation compared to referral as usual [10].

Challenges for CIDT implementation have been identified, such as communication gaps between FCHVs and HW along with poorly maintained outpatient logs of CIDT referrals [8]. To address this, we designed a structure Short Messaging Service (SMS), i.e., text messaging, referral system to complement the paper-based CIDT process. This aimed to (i) reduce the communication gap between FCHVs and HWs at health posts, (ii) digitize the referral process and (iii) maintain online documentation. Our goals were to increase the rate of help-seeking, the number of people initiating care after being referred, and facilitating active follow-up from FCHVs.

Methods

Setting

This study was conducted in Nepal in 2017, two years after a 7.8 magnitude earthquake that saw an increase in mental illnesses in affected regions. The study site, 4 village development committees (VDCs) in Sindhuli district, was an earthquake affected region that had received immediate post-earthquake mental health services sponsored by an international organization and was now transitioning to government-funded operations. The introduction of the mCIDT was to foster sustaining and scaling of mental health referrals for this government transition.

Development of mCIDT

Due to the widespread use of standard feature phones (i.e., not smartphones), an SMS approach was selected for digitizing CIDT. An iterative process was used to develop and finalize the workflow for the SMS system. Digitization of the referral component of the CIDT was selected as the high value target to achieve this and a workflow was drafted (**Figure 1a**). Because we only digitized the referral mechanism, the FCHVs continued to use the paper-based CIDT for detection of people with potential mental illness. Based on our previous CIDT experience, we estimated that one week would be an appropriate time window to visit the health facility once referred by the FCHV. If the person visited the health facility, s/he was registered in the system as a “complete case”, otherwise a reminder SMS was sent to the FCHV to follow up.

Project Implementation

A 3-day training for the FCHVs and HWs was conducted in July 2017. The training included use of basic mobile phone functions, role-playing using mCIDT, and a review of conditions included in CIDT. A codebook describing basic phone functions and the steps to refer using mCIDT was given to each FCHV for reference. After the training, we conducted 4 focus group discussions (FGD) with 36 FCHVs, 8 key informant interviews (KIIs) with HW, 2 KIIs with mental health experts, and 1 KII with an mHealth expert.

After the training, the mCIDT platform was implemented from August-October 2017. Supervision visits were completed twice at the health post at each VDC by the community clinical supervisor. In response to the lower than expected referrals seen near the end of the third month, a simulation workshop was held to re-train the FCHVs. A written vignette describing a mock case was read out loud to the FCHVs, who were asked to identify the case using CIDT. FCHVs were asked to use the mCIDT platform to refer the mock case (See **Supplementary Figure 1, Additional File 1**). These steps were completed for a total of five vignettes addressing depression, postpartum depression, alcohol use disorder (AUD), psychosis, and epilepsy.

Results

Demographics of the 36 FCHVs trained on mCIDT are included in (**Supplementary Table 1, Additional File 2**). Of these 36 FCHVs, only 8 FCHVs successfully implemented mCIDT, defined as referring someone. Several error messages including typos, missing spaces, wrong disorder codes, and incorrect sequencing were recorded in the system (**Supplementary Table 2, Additional File 3**). Over 3 months of implementation, 8 FCHVs registered and referred 8 cases through mCIDT: 4 depression, 2 psychosis, 1 epilepsy, and 1 antenatal depression. No FCHV registered more than 1 case in the study period. Of those 8 cases who were referred, 2 cases visited the health facility, 2 could not be contacted in the follow-up. Four cases stated they did not seek treatment because the government health facility was not staffed with health workers.

After piloting the technology for 3 months, a simulation exercise was held with the FCHVs (n=34) to determine their accuracy of using mCIDT (**Figure 1b**). Level of education was significantly higher and age was significantly lower for the FCHVs who were able to correctly use mCIDT in comparison to those who were not able (**Supplementary Table 3, Additional File 4**). Those who self-reported the ability to send an SMS and use the mCIDT Codebook were significantly more likely to correctly use mCIDT across all disorders.

Qualitative analysis of the KIIs, FGDs, field notes and observations by the research team elucidated the benefits of mCIDT, challenges, and recommendations to improve the program (See sample quotes from KIIs and FGDs in **Table 1**).

Table 1. Sample quotes from interviewees regarding use of mobile health Community Informant Detection Tool (mCIDT)

Theme	Description	Quote
Perceived benefits	Reduced travel burden for FCHVs when using mobile phone SMS for mCIDT	<p><i>"We don't have to go to the hospital time and again to ask about a patient. We don't have to ask the health worker. Previously we had to walk for 1 or 2 hours to reach the health institution but we can know about them if we send the message from our home. We can also find if the patient has gone for the treatment or not through messages." - FCHV in FGD</i></p> <p><i>"We got to know whether the patient went to hospital or not within a week after we had sent message despite the distance of patient's location. Otherwise, we need to go to the hospital to know if the patient went there or not. Now it is easy for us to go to patient's home twice and ask about not going to the hospital." - FCHV in FGD</i></p> <p><i>"FCHVs are engaged in other programs such as Vitamin A distribution, visiting the pregnant and recent mothers and were very busy in these activities due to which they had neglected mental health initially. But later when they were oriented about the mobile, they had a sense that they should work on this otherwise." - FCHV in FGD</i></p>
Feasibility	Lack of feasibility for implementing mCIDT because FCHVs are overburdened	<p><i>"Because recently what we have been doing is the government has been mobilizing the FCHVs and we can see that FCHVs has been mobilized a lot. Because they have been engaging in programs related to maternal health, related to child health, and population statistics. And they have also been providing services regarding distribution of hygiene issues and home infestations so they're quite busy so many times we have seen that they have not been referring the cases using CIDT due to the fact that they're over-engaged and they have not been provided basic salary. Due to this fact I think if we can use other people, like teachers, or local clubs, or mothers' groups I think there are a lot of mothers' groups in the community, if we can mobilize them, we can better provide coverage to a large number of people. The FCHVs are overly busy with their schedule so if we can ease the burden of FCHVs on part and shift it to people to mothers group, teachers, and local leaders who have recently been elected, I think that can cause a huge impact and we might be getting a large number of referrals." -KII with Mental Health Expert</i></p>
Lack of perceived need	Some FCHVs did not see mental health care as a need for their communities	<p><i>"There aren't many cases in my ward. I cannot register anyone who doesn't have problem." - FCHV in FGD</i></p>
Stigma	Inability to use mCIDT because of MHDs stigma	<p><i>"When people hear the word manasik (mental), they feel different. They don't want to engage at all. May be because of such stigma in the community, the FCHVs might have had problems." - KII with Government Health Official</i></p>
Perceived difficulty of mental health care	Reluctance to work with mental health patients because of perceived difficulties	<p><i>"It's difficult to work with manasik samasya (mental problems)? It's easy to work on other areas but for mental problems, it's quite difficult." - FCHV in FGD</i></p>
Privacy concerns	Reluctance to use mobile phones for	<p><i>"We need to create public awareness. Some patient has feeling that their illness is recorded in the phone and that information will be given to someone else." - FCHV in FGD</i></p>

	mental health information	
Low technological literacy	Inability to use SMS function on mobile phones	<p><i>"Some of the FCHVs were finding it difficult to use the mobile phones and using the menu key." -KII with Mental Health CIDT Trainer</i></p> <p><i>"Another challenge would be difficulty in typing. We don't know how to type messages here. If it had been hand written, we could have written down some according to our capability but it is difficult to type it in the mobile." - FCHV in FGD</i></p>
Supervision needs	Recommendation from FCHVs for more regular supervision when introducing technology	<p><i>"You taught them today, and when you call them after a month, they will get embarrassed if they are not able to do it. They might think that you'll scold them if they can't do it. Because of that fear, they will learn by whatever way they can e.g. by asking children, or looking at books, and come. But, if you leave as it is, then they might not care about it. Even if you don't scold later, if you keep following up with them from time to time, they might feel that they will be embarrassed, which will urge them to learn. I think the monthly supervision will be very beneficial." - FCHV in FGD</i></p>

Acceptability, Feasibility and Benefits

Among the FCHVs, HWs and mental health experts, the greatest benefit of mCIDT could be reducing the burden of work on FCHVs. Secondary benefits included the potential for better communication between HWs and FCHVs. Mental health and mHealth experts were wary of the FCHVs ability to use the mobile phones and stated that maintaining patient confidentiality was not feasible.

Challenges

The simulation results showed how most FCHVs were unable to utilize mCIDT, this challenge was evident in the interviews as well. We summarized these challenges in 5 domains: Community, Participant, Facility, Program, and Technological.

The most prominent challenges were mentioned at the community level. FCHVs repeatedly said no mental health cases were present in the community, which is inconsistent with assessments finding high rates of mental health and psychosocial problems in the area [11]. This pointed to a lack of community awareness of the burden of mental illnesses. FCHVs mentioned that previously Home-Based Community Workers (HBCW) in the area were responsible for identification of mental health cases, and they had been paid by an international organization to implement CIDT after the earthquake.

FCHVs acknowledged that stigma towards mental health is persistent in the community. If an FCHV identified someone with a potential mental illness, it was difficult to gain support from the family to get the patient to care. FCHVs were aware that AUD cases resided in the communities, but they were uncomfortable interacting with the patient fearing he was violent or thinking the patient cannot get better. FCHVs particularly felt discomfort dealing with male patients citing their gender roles. The fact that these FCHVs lived in the same community and they did not want to have potential conflict also contributed.

FCHVs said that community members were worried about breaches of confidentiality due to the use of a mobile phone.

FCHVs struggled to use the mobile phone for reasons ranging from poor eyesight among older FCHVs to lack of confidence using technology. Lack of technological literacy was the most frequent issue observed during training sessions. It was also noted by trainers that the need to focus on how to use a mobile phone was unanticipated. Lower education became a barrier when trying to type and send the structured SMS. A major challenge was transferring the visual information on paper-based CIDTs into appropriate syntax for the structured SMS. Lower education also became an issue when receiving error messages and the inability to read and respond with the correction. Interviewees, who were not FCHVs, discussed government challenges in the context of implementing a policy that would set an educational threshold for FCHVs.

FCHVs are also overburdened through engagement in many parts of the health sector. Absenteeism of HW at the health post discouraged one FCHV whose referred case had to return without services. Financial incentives were brought up by most FCHVs as a way to increase motivation for them to engage in the mental health sector. Network instability was one technological challenge.

Suggested Recommendations from Participants

The main recommendations centered around more supervision for the FCHVs and increasing the level of awareness about mental health in the community.

Discussion

mCIDT was designed as a tool to strengthen the government's mental health services by increasing the number of cases referred from the community for care-seeking. Piloting of the mCIDT platform for 3 months in 4 VDCs resulted in only 8 referrals. This equates to 0.67 mCIDT referrals per VDC per month, with a 25% treatment seeking rate. This is considerably lower than the 615 paper CIDT referrals with 364 seeking treatment in 24 VDCs during the 2-year post-earthquake period, which equates to 1 CIDT per VDC per month, with 60% treatment seeking rate [11]. Our prior studies in non-earthquake settings in Nepal also identified a 67% treatment seeking rate [9]. Qualitative and quantitative data from the study revealed numerous barriers and challenges with implementation of mCIDT. After this pilot period, mCIDT was not continued or scaled-up due to these challenges.

Although prior studies have highlighted technological barriers (e.g., lack of electricity and poor networks) as prominent barriers to mHealth in LMIC settings [12], we found that these were not among the most important barriers when introducing mCIDT as a novel mental health mHealth initiative in rural Nepal. In our study, lower educational levels, older age, and inability to send text messages were associated with incorrect use of mCIDT. This is consistent with systematic review of mHealth tools used by frontline health workers in LMICs that identified age, level of education, and years of experience of the health workers as primary barriers to adoption of mobile technologies [13]. Similarly, a study in rural Nigeria also

showed that younger and more educated midwives demonstrated higher scores on knowledge assessments for mHealth technology use [14].

A striking lesson from our study was the lack of motivation to engage in mental health work. This is consistent with overall lack of importance placed upon mental health among health workers and stigmatization of providing mental health care [15]. Another challenge to motivation among FCHVs was the shift from CIDT work being compensated through an international organization in the immediate post-earthquake period to FCHVs expected to conduct their work, including CIDT, through the government system without pay after the earthquake relief phase.

LIMITATIONS

With the mCIDT tool only piloted for 3-months, the study duration was relatively short and it is difficult to identify patterns with only 8 potential mental illness cases identified and only 2 seeking treatment after the referral. When the paper version was used in other areas, this was in the context of a broader district mental health plan to improve primary care services and community awareness [16]. We did not evaluate the community's perceptions of the feasibility and acceptability of the tool prior to its implementation. In our other work with introduction of mHealth technologies in Nepal, we first conducted qualitative studies to identify acceptability and potential barriers to implementation [17].

Based on the experiences and challenges encountered in this study, we have provided recommendations for future studies introducing new technology in low resource settings to address mental health needs (see **Table 2** for recommendations). Of particular importance, we recommend that any technological introduction for mental health services should be accompanied by approaches to also assure motivation to work with mental health patients [15]. This is crucial not only among community health volunteers using new technology but also throughout the health system to assure that there are health workers are motivated to treat newly identified mental health patients.

Table 2. Recommendations for introduction of novel technological applications for mental health care in low resource settings

Recommendation	Example
1. Conduct qualitative assessments prior to implementing new technology	Qualitative methods accompanied by demonstration of new technology can be used to determine acceptability, feasibility, and other potential implementation barriers
2. Consider how stigma related to mental illness impacts use of technology	In settings with high stigma against mental illness, consider how technology could be used to address anonymity and reduce risk of breaching confidentiality
3. Assure that adequate services are in place for mental health care	When piloting new technology, assure availability of basic mental health services to reduce risk of low adoption because of perceived lack of care/supportive human resources or infrastructure.
4. Address perceived need for both care and technological solutions	Prior to implementation, explore community and health worker perceptions of need, and address these needs alongside technical skills in trainings
5. Explore multiple stakeholder groups for adopting technology	Given the potential for differential uptake of technology, pilot with multiple stakeholder groups (e.g., community health workers, teachers, community groups, religious leaders)

Abbreviations

CIDT – Community Informant Detection Tool

FCHV – Female community health volunteer

mHealth – Mobile health

mCIDT – Mobile health community informant detection tool

TPO Nepal- Transcultural Psychosocial Organization Nepal

LMIC – Low- and middle-income countries

PRIME - Programme for Improving Mental Health Care

SMS – Short messaging service

HBCW- Home based care worker

HW – Health workers

AUD – Alcohol use disorder

PTSD – Post-traumatic stress disorder

IRB – Institutional review board

Declarations

All authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

Ethical Approval

The study was approved by the Nepal Health Research Council (60/2017) and Duke University IRB board (E0109). A written consent was obtained from participants prior to interviews.

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Availability of data and materials

The datasets analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests

Funding

Funding was provided from the Duke Global Health Institute.

Authors' Contribution

AB, PS, and BK drafted the manuscript. BK, EG, LV, and SR conceptualized the study and design. BK, EG, and LV obtained the funding. MJ, BK, and PS developed the CIDT. EG supervised development of the mCIDT. SR and PS supervised data collection. RG supervised FCHVs and mCIDT training. AB and CB conducted the data analysis. BK supervised the qualitative data analysis. All authors reviewed and revised the manuscript.

This study was funded by the Duke Global Health Institute, Durham United States. The authors would like to thank Jananee Magar, Shristi Rijal, Nagendra Prasad Luitel, Suraj Koirala, Dr. Kamal Gautam at Transcultural Psychosocial Organization Nepal along with the Sindhuli District Public Health Office. The authors would also like to thank Elizabeth Turner at the Duke Global Health Institute with her assistance with analysis.

References

1. Brian RM, Ben-Zeev D: **Mobile health (mHealth) for mental health in Asia: objectives, strategies, and limitations.** *Asian J Psychiatr* 2014, **10**:96-100.
2. Price M, Yuen EK, Goetter EM, Herbert JD, Forman EM, Acierno R, Ruggiero KJ: **mHealth: a mechanism to deliver more accessible, more effective mental health care.** *Clin Psychol Psychother* 2014, **21**(5):427-436.
3. Citrin D, Thapa P, Nirola I, Pandey S, Kunwar LB, Tenpa J, Acharya B, Rayamazi H, Thapa A, Maru S: **Developing and deploying a community healthcare worker-driven, digitally-enabled integrated care system for municipalities in rural Nepal.** In: *Healthcare: 2018*. Elsevier; 2018: 197-204.
4. Free C, Phillips G, Watson L, Galli L, Felix L, Edwards P, Patel V, Haines A: **The effectiveness of mobile-health technologies to improve health care service delivery processes: a systematic review and meta-analysis.** *PLoS medicine* 2013, **10**(1).
5. Lund C, Tomlinson M, De Silva M, Fekadu A, Shidhaye R, Jordans M, Petersen I, Bhana A, Kigozi F, Prince M *et al*: **PRIME: a programme to reduce the treatment gap for mental disorders in five low- and middle-income countries.** *PLoS Med* 2012, **9**(12):e1001359.
6. Luitel NP, Jordans MJ, Adhikari A, Upadhaya N, Hanlon C, Lund C, Komproe IH: **Mental health care in Nepal: current situation and challenges for development of a district mental health care plan.** *Conflict and Health* 2015, **9**:3.
7. Jordans MJ, Kohrt BA, Luitel NP, Komproe IH, Lund C: **Accuracy of proactive case finding for mental disorders by community informants in Nepal.** *Br J Psychiatry* 2015, **207**(6):501-506.
8. Subba P, Luitel NP, Kohrt BA, Jordans MJD: **Improving detection of mental health problems in community settings in Nepal: development and pilot testing of the community informant detection tool.** *Confl Health* 2017, **11**:28.
9. Jordans MJ, Kohrt BA, Luitel NP, Lund C, Komproe IH: **Proactive community case-finding to facilitate treatment seeking for mental disorders, Nepal.** *Bull World Health Organ* 2017, **95**(7):531-536.
10. Jordans MJD, Luitel NP, Lund C, Kohrt BA: **Evaluation of Proactive Community Case Detection to Increase Help Seeking for Mental Health Care: A Pragmatic Randomized Controlled Trial.** *Psychiatric Services* 2020, **in press**:DOI: 10.1176/appi.ps.201900377.
11. Jules Patrick Antigua AL, Inka Weissbecker **Exploring the Mental Health and Psychosocial Support Needs and Resources among Earthquake-Affected Communities in Nepal** In.: International Medical Corps; 2015.
12. Chang LW, Kagaayi J, Arem H, Nakigozi G, Ssempijja V, Serwadda D, Quinn TC, Gray RH, Bollinger RC, Reynolds SJ: **Impact of a mHealth intervention for peer health workers on AIDS care in rural Uganda: a mixed methods evaluation of a cluster-randomized trial.** *AIDS and Behavior* 2011, **15**(8):1776.
13. Agarwal S, Perry HB, Long LA, Labrique AB: **Evidence on feasibility and effective use of mHealth strategies by frontline health workers in developing countries: systematic review.** *Tropical medicine & international health* 2015, **20**(8):1003-1014.
14. Jimoh L, Pate MA, Lin L, Schulman KA: **A model for the adoption of ICT by health workers in Africa.** *International journal of medical informatics* 2012, **81**(11):773-781.

15. Kohrt BA, Turner EL, Rai S, Bhardwaj A, Sikkema KJ, Adelekun A, Dhakal M, Luitel NP, Lund C, Patel V *et al*: **Reducing mental illness stigma in healthcare settings: Proof of concept for a social contact intervention to address what matters most for primary care providers.** *Social Science & Medicine* 2020, **250**:112852.
16. Jordans MJ, Luitel NP, Kohrt BA, Rathod SD, Garman EC, De Silva M, Komproe IH, Patel V, Lund C: **Community-, facility-, and individual-level outcomes of a district mental healthcare plan in a low-resource setting in Nepal: A population-based evaluation.** *PLoS medicine* 2019, **16**(2).
17. Kohrt BA, Rai S, Vilakazi K, Thapa K, Bhardwaj A, van Heerden A: **Procedures to select digital sensing technologies for passive data collection with children and their caregivers: qualitative cultural assessment in south Africa and Nepal.** *JMIR pediatrics and parenting* 2019, **2**(1):e12366.

Additional Files

Additional File 1.pdf – Supplementary Figure 1. Steps for using mCIDT to refer patient

Additional File 2.pdf – Supplementary Table 1. Demographics of Female Community Health Volunteers (n=36) trained in mCIDT platform

Additional File 3.pdf – Supplementary Table 2. Types of messages stored in server

Additional File 4.pdf – Supplementary Table 3a. Factors associated with correct and incorrect use of mCIDT for each diagnosis, categorical variables (n=34); Supplementary Table 3b. - Factors associated with correct and incorrect use of mCIDT for each diagnosis, continuous variables (n=34)

Figures





Figure 1

1a) mCIDT workflow; Abbreviations: CIDT, Community Informant Detection Tool; FCHV, Female Community Health Volunteer; SMS, Short Messaging Service. 1b) Simulation data, performance of FCHVs (n=34) for correct diagnosis using paper based CIDT compared to mobile CIDT.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [AdditionalFile1SupplementaryFigure1.pdf](#)
- [AdditionalFile3SupplementaryTable2.pdf](#)
- [AdditionalFile2SupplementaryTable1.pdf](#)
- [AdditionalFile4SupplementaryTable3.pdf](#)