

Effects of Complex Spa Therapy in Patients with Osteoarthritis of the Spine Receiving Treatments in Health Resorts in South-Eastern Poland

Jolanta Zwolińska (✉ jolantazwolinska@op.pl)

University of Rzeszow

Monika Gąsior

St Queen Jadwiga's Regional Clinical Hospital

Article

Keywords: spa therapy, osteoarthritis of the spine, balneotherapy, HAQ-20, Lisat-9, Laitinen Questionnaire

Posted Date: March 18th, 2022

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1432237/v1>

License:   This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Management of patients with degenerative diseases commonly comprises health-resort based treatment programs, including spa therapies, balneotherapy as well as terrain therapy making use of microclimate factors. The study was designed to assess short- and long-term effects of spa therapy administered to patients with osteoarthritis of the spine who received treatment in health resorts located in Poland. The study involved 102 patients receiving treatment in health resorts, a group of patients receiving ambulatory treatment (100 patients) and a group receiving no therapy (100 patients). The assessment survey included: Pain VAS and Laitinen, LISAT-9 and HAQ-20 questionnaires. The assessments were carried out three times: at the start of the therapy program, as well as one month and six months after the end of the program. Short-term effects showed statistically significant improvement in all the outcome measures in spa group and outpatient treatment group. The long-term effects showed statistically significant improvement in all the outcome measures in spa group only. In conclusion spa therapy reduces pain, improves functional efficiency and increases the level of life satisfaction in patients with osteoarthritis of the spine. Its effects are sustained for at least six months. Spa therapy is more effective long-term, than outpatient treatment.

The study was registered at Clinical Trials: NCT03974308.

The study was approved by the Ethics Commission of the University of Rzeszów (Resolution No. Nr 7/04/2019 dated 11/04/2019). Fully anonymous survey was conducted.

Introduction

Pain syndromes in the spinal region are among the most common musculoskeletal complaints and may lead to disability and decreased quality of life^{1,2}. Spa therapy programs comprise complex medical care procedures provided in a health resort and are widely applied in management of musculoskeletal disorders^{3,4}. This is a valuable alternative treatment administered to patients with osteoarthritis and it potentially leads to decrease in absences at work and to a reduced need for pharmacotherapy associated with high costs and undesired side-effects⁵⁻⁸. Spa therapy may also be applied jointly with conventional treatment methods, such as pharmacotherapy, physiotherapy and surgical procedures¹. The basic therapeutic factors applied in spa therapy programs include natural materials and microclimate specific to a given health resort, as well as adequately designed exercise, including terrain therapy and other additional free-time activities as well as psychotherapy^{9,10}. A stay at a health resort is also associated with lifestyle changes related to dietary habits and physical activity⁹. Isolation from work, social activity and family life contributes to more effective relaxation^{10,11}. In the case of older individuals, spa therapy administered away from their place of residence may, for psychological reasons, lead to better effects, compared to treatment applied at home¹².

Balneotherapy is a basic element of health-resort based programs, and is applied to prevent and treat neurological, orthopaedic and rheumatic disorders and in the related rehabilitation programs^{3,9,13-15}. Its beneficial effects are linked to physical and chemical factors, yet the mechanism of its action is not fully understood¹³. Balneotherapeutic methods, specific to each health resort, produce anti-inflammatory and angiogenic effects and stimulate tissue regeneration^{16,17}. Balneotherapeutic procedures are frequently applied by doctors and they are favoured by patients with chronic low back pain^{18,19}.

Some researchers emphasise a need for studies assessing effectiveness of spa therapy based on long-term observation^{18,20}. If effectiveness of various balneotherapeutic factors is confirmed, it will be easier to adequately design treatment and rehabilitation programs, and it will be possible to increase financing of spa therapy²⁰.

Purpose: The study was designed to assess short- and long-term effects of spa therapy administered to patients with osteoarthritis of the spine who received treatment in health resorts located in south-eastern Poland.

Results

Study group

Ultimately, 246 subjects participated in all three surveys; 56 individuals did not take part in the follow-up assessments for various reasons. The analyses took into account scores obtained in the survey by the spa group (91 subjects), outpatient treatment group (80 subjects) and control group (75 subjects) (Fig. 1).

Statistically significant differences were identified between the groups as regards the location of the complaints, place of residence and BMI value. Pain was most frequently reported in the lumbosacral spine (overall in all the groups – 191 subjects). Majority of the subjects were residents of urban areas (overall – 148 subjects), and abnormal body weight was identified in 68% of all the subjects. Those receiving spa therapy reported they had experienced symptoms for a few years more, compared to outpatient treatment group (Table 1).

Table 1
Characteristics of the groups studied

Characteristics	Spa group		Outpatient treatment group			Control group			p_1	
	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%				
	Sex									
male	39	42.9%	31	38.8%	27	36.0%			0.6595	
female	52	57.1%	49	61.3%	48	64.0%				
Type of occupation										
blue-collar worker	38	41.8%	38	47.5%	30	40.0%			0.8918	
white-collar worker	49	53.8%	38	47.5%	41	54.7%				
mixed	4	4.4%	4	5.0%	4	5.3%				
Location of pain										
L-S	82	90.1%	62	77.5%	47	62.7%			0.0001***	
C	50	54.9%	16	20.0%	39	52.0%			0.0000***	
Th	11	12.1%	25	31.3%	8	10.7%			0.0007***	
Place of residence										
rural area	26	28.6%	47	58.8%	25	33.3%			0.0001***	
urban area	65	71.4%	33	41.3%	50	66.7%				
Classification based on BMI										
normal	17	18.7%	22	27.5%	40	53.3%			0.0000***	
overweight	40	44.0%	39	48.8%	27	36.0%				
obesity	34	37.4%	19	23.8%	8	10.7%				
	Mean	Median	Std Dev	Mean	Median	Std Dev	Mean	Median	Std Dev	p_2
Age [years]	66.7	66	4.6	68.0	68	4.7	67.1	68	4.6	0.1839
Duration of symptoms [years]	15.8	13	8.7	11.8	8	10.0	13.9	12	6.8	0.0004***
p_1 – probability value calculated using chi-square test of independence										
p_2 – probability value calculated using Kruskal-Wallis test										

Effects of spa therapy

Statistically significant differences were found between the three groups in all the measures. The controls, who were not receiving any systematic treatment, reported the least severe pain, and the highest efficiency and life satisfaction (Table 2).

Table 2
Mean psychometric measures in the specific examinations

Quality of life measures	Spa	Outpatients	Control	<i>p</i>
	group	treatment group	group	
Mean (95% c.i.)				
First assessment				
Laitinen Questionnaire	6.18 (5.64; 6.71)	6.60 (6.05; 7.15)	5.51 (5.10; 5.92)	0.0227*
Pain VAS	5.51 (5.08; 5.93)	6.19 (5.86; 6.52)	5.21 (4.79; 5.63)	0.0018**
LISAT-9	4.63 (4.47; 4.78)	4.36 (4.22; 4.50)	4.74 (4.58; 4.90)	0.0003***
HAQ-20	0.95 (0.83; 1.07)	1.13 (1.00; 1.25)	0.73 (0.59; 0.86)	0.0002***
Second assessment				
Laitinen Questionnaire	3.68 (3.13; 4.23)	4.89 (4.29; 5.49)	5.29 (4.90; 5.69)	0.0000***
Pain VAS	3.85 (3.30; 4.39)	4.84 (4.43; 5.25)	4.87 (4.47; 5.26)	0.0038**
LISAT-9	4.71 (4.55; 4.87)	4.51 (4.38; 4.64)	4.74 (4.59; 4.90)	0.0206*
HAQ-20	0.72 (0.60; 0.84)	0.97 (0.85; 1.08)	0.73 (0.59; 0.86)	0.0031**
Third assessment				
Laitinen Questionnaire	2.77 (2.30; 3.24)	5.73 (5.09; 6.36)	5.59 (5.15; 6.03)	0.0000***
Pain VAS	2.74 (2.29; 3.19)	5.91 (5.55; 6.27)	5.04 (4.62; 5.46)	0.0000***
LISAT-9	4.94 (4.80; 5.08)	4.38 (4.25; 4.52)	4.72 (4.57; 4.86)	0.0000***
HAQ-20	0.57 (0.47; 0.67)	1.10 (0.99; 1.20)	0.75 (0.62; 0.87)	0.0000***
<i>p</i> – probability value calculated using Kruskal-Wallis test				

Results of post-hoc tests show that statistically significant differences were related mainly to outpatient treatment group and control group, and in the case of VAS and LISAT-9 scores also to spa group and outpatient treatment group. Group 2 differed in terms of the baseline results from the two other groups. The second and third examination showed statistically significant differences between spa group and outpatient treatment group in all the measures taken into account. Differences of very high statistical significance were identified between spa group and outpatient treatment group during the third examination (Table 3).

Table 3
Results of multiple comparison test in the specific examinations

Quality of life measures	Post-hoc comparison results (p)		
	1 vs 2	1 vs 3	2 vs 3
First assessment			
Laitinen Questionnaire	0.3879	0.5748	0.0200*
Pain VAS	0.0338*	0.9653	0.0022**
LISAT-9	0.0058**	1.0000	0.0005***
HAQ-20	0.2089	0.0384*	0.0001***
Second assessment			
Laitinen Questionnaire	0.0052**	0.0000***	0.3390
Pain VAS	0.0123*	0.0168*	1.0000
LISAT-9	0.0386*	1.0000	0.0584
HAQ-20	0.0066**	1.0000	0.0150*
Third assessment			
Laitinen Questionnaire	0.0000***	0.0000***	1.0000
Pain VAS	0.0000***	0.0000***	0.0490*
LISAT-9	0.0000***	0.0721	0.0019**
HAQ-20	0.0000***	0.0693	0.0002***
1 – Spa group, 2 – Outpatients treatment group, 3 – Control group			

Assessment of the short-term effects showed positive changes in the measures in both the spa group and in the outpatient treatment group. In the control group a slight improvement was observed only in the scores on Laitinen scale and VAS. Results of post-hoc comparisons showed no statistically significant differences between spa group and outpatient treatment group in any measures taken into account. On the other hand, the tests showed significant differences between these two groups and the control group. As an exception no difference was identified between the outpatient treatment group and the controls in LISAT-9 scores (Table 4).

Table 4
Short-term effects in the groups studied

Short-term therapeutic effect	Spa group (1)	Outpatients treatment group (2)	Control group (3)	<i>p</i>
	Mean therapeutic effect (95% c.i.)			
Laitinen Questionnaire	-2.49 (-3.05; -1.94)	-1.71 (-2.09; -1.33)	-0.21 (-0.54; 0.11)	0.0000***
Pain VAS	-1.66 (-2.23; -1.09)	-1.35 (-1.67; -1.03)	-0.35 (-0.60; -0.09)	0.0000***
LISAT-9	0.08 (-0.01; 0.17)	0.15 (0.07; 0.22)	0.00 (-0.05; 0.05)	0.0026**
HAQ-20	-0.24 (-0.32; -0.15)	-0.16 (-0.21; -0.11)	0.00 (-0.07; 0.07)	0.0001***
Post-hoc comparison results (<i>p</i> value)				
	1 vs 2	1 vs 3	2 vs 3	
Laitinen Questionnaire	0.2965	0.0000***	0.0000***	
Pain VAS	1.0000	0.0000***	0.0002***	
LISAT-9	0.2134	0.2748	0.0024**	
HAQ-20	1.0000	0.0003***	0.0038**	
<i>p</i> – probability value calculated using Kruskal-Wallis test				

Assessment of the long-term effects showed clearly visible positive changes in all the measures only in the spa group. Results of post-hoc comparison tests showed that long-term effects identified in spa group differed significantly from the effects observed in outpatient treatment and control groups. Outpatient treatment group differed significantly from the controls only with regard to the scores in Laitinen Questionnaire (Table 5).

Table 5
Long-term effects in the groups studied

Long-term therapeutic effect	Spa group (1)	Outpatients treatment group (2)	Control group (3)	<i>p</i>
Mean therapeutic effect (95% c.i.)				
Laitinen Questionnaire	-3.41 (-3.99; -2.83)	-0.88 (-1.43; -0.32)	0.08 (-0.25; 0.41)	0.0000***
Pain VAS	-2.77 (-3.26; -2.28)	-0.28 (-0.59; 0.04)	-0.17 (-0.42; 0.08)	0.0000***
LISAT-9	0.31 (0.19; 0.43)	0.02 (-0.06; 0.10)	-0.02 (-0.08; 0.04)	0.0000***
HAQ-20	-0.39 (-0.48; -0.29)	-0.03 (-0.11; 0.05)	0.02 (-0.08; 0.12)	0.0000***
Post-hoc comparison results (p value)				
	1 vs 2	1 vs 3	2 vs 3	
Laitinen Questionnaire	0.0000***	0.0000***	0.0334*	
Pain VAS	0.0000***	0.0000***	1.0000	
LISAT-9	0.0005***	0.0000***	1.0000	
HAQ-20	0.0000***	0.0000***	1.0000	
<i>p</i> – probability value calculated using Kruskal-Wallis test				

Far better short- and long-term effects, reflected by the changes in the scores on Laitinen scale and in HAQ-20 were observed in the spa group. The related changes in the control group were insignificant (Fig. 2, Fig. 3)

The findings show that in the spa group the long-term changes in all the measures were statistically highly significant. The assessment in the outpatient treatment group showed highly significant long-term changes only in the scores achieved in Laitinen Questionnaire. In contrast, no significant long-term changes in the relevant measures were found in the control group (Table 6).

Table 6
Short- and long-term effects in the groups studied

Measure	Mean effect of therapy (with Wilcoxon test result)					
	Spa group		Outpatient treatment group		Control group	
	Short-term	Long-term	Short-term	Long-term	Short-term	Long-term
Laitinen Questionnaire	-2.49 (0.0000***)	-3.41 (0.0000***)	-1.71 (0.0000***)	-0.88 (0.0066**)	-0.21 (0.3463)	0.08 (0.7377)
VAS	-1.66 (0.0000***)	-2.77 (0.0000***)	-1.35 (0.0000***)	-0.28 (0.1392)	-0.35 (0.0143*)	-0.17 (0.1429)
LISAT-9	0.08 (0.0145*)	0.31 (0.0000***)	0.15 (0.0001***)	0.02 (0.6907)	0.00 (0.3638)	-0.02 (0.3722)
HAQ-20	-0.24 (0.0000***)	-0.39 (0.0000***)	-0.16 (0.0000***)	-0.03 (0.6535)	0.00 (0.9230)	0.02 (0.7312)

p – probability value calculated using Wilcoxon test

Discussion

The present study showed that complex spa therapy programs applied to individuals with osteoarthritis of the spine, in the patients' opinion, produce desirable effects which are maintained for a minimum of six months.

Spa therapy, an important element of complex non-pharmacological treatment, is commonly applied in Europe and worldwide in a variety of rheumatic diseases, e.g., in degenerative disorders affecting the joints and the spine^{12,21-23}. Spa therapy leads to reduced pain, improved efficiency in daily life, and increased resistance to stress^{11,12,17,23}. According to WHO early old age starts at 60 years. Those aged 65 + constitute approximately 8.3% of the global population, and in this group degenerative diseases of the peripheral joints and the spine are observed at a rate exceeding 60%³. The subjects enrolled for the present study on average were aged 67.3 years.

The study was designed to assess effectiveness of inpatient spa therapy administered at health resorts in comparison to ambulatory treatment program without balneotherapy and to changes occurring during the same period of time in a non-intervention control group. Short-term and long-term effects were investigated.

Assessment of short-term effects showed reduced pain, improved functional efficiency and greater life satisfaction in both the spa group and the outpatient treatment group. The observed changes were comparable in these two groups.

The current findings related to long-term effects reflected by reduced pain as well as improved functional efficiency and life satisfaction suggest that spa treatment produces better and more sustainable therapeutic outcomes in elderly patients with osteoarthritis of the spine, compared to ambulatory physiotherapy. The current findings are even more significant given the fact that the highest rate of obesity was identified in the spa therapy group, and this factor tends to adversely impact effectiveness of rehabilitation and treatments designed to reduce pain. The control group was not receiving any systematic treatments, and as it was anticipated, these subjects achieved the best results in the first

assessment. No significant short- or long-term changes were identified in this group. Although, the second survey showed improvement in the Pain VAS measurement, this effect was not confirmed by the scores on Laitinen scale.

Numerous studies have demonstrated effectiveness of spa therapy reflected by reduced pain, improved functional efficiency and better quality of life. Good effects were identified in studies which did not involve control groups^{1,3,4,24}. In other studies, better effects were found in spa therapy groups compared to outpatient treatment groups^{2,9} and compared to non-intervention control groups^{6,10}. Angioni et al. showed positive effects of inpatient spa therapy on pain severity and functional status, but the authors did not observe improvement in the quality of life¹⁶. Other researchers reported greater improvement as a result of inpatient spa therapy compared to outpatient spa therapy and non-intervention, although effectiveness of the outpatient spa therapy was also satisfying¹². Likewise, Yücesoy et al. reported significantly better results in a group receiving inpatient spa therapy compared to outpatient spa therapy, but they also emphasised that outpatient spa therapy produces satisfying effects and may be an alternative to inpatient spa therapy²⁵. Positive effects of balneotherapeutic factors on treatment outcomes were also reported in other studies where greater improvements were observed in outpatient treatment groups receiving balneotherapy compared to outpatient groups receiving treatments without balneotherapy^{5,8,19,20}. A review of the related literature also shows that spa therapy is effective in reducing pain, improving functional efficiency and quality of life in patients with rheumatic disorders, including osteoarthritis of the spine^{26,27}.

Effects of spa therapy are maintained for some time after the end of the treatment¹⁷. Healthy habits acquired during the stay in a health resort contribute to that¹⁰. According to studies by other authors, effects of spa therapy were maintained at the follow-up after 4 weeks¹², after 9 weeks⁵, after 10 weeks⁸, after 3 months^{2,20}, after 15 weeks¹⁸, after 4 months⁶ and after 6 months²⁸. In the current study better scores reflecting positive effects of spa therapy were observed after 6 months, compared to the non-intervention control group and the group receiving ambulatory treatment; in the latter long-term improvement was only reflected by the scores in Laitinen Questionnaire.

During the initial period of spa therapy problems related to pain may temporarily become aggravated¹⁷. As a rule, however, the therapy is well tolerated, as a result of which it can be applied multiple times^{17,18,21}. Other researchers reported such undesirable events as: more severe pain, increased blood pressure, weakness, allergic reaction and higher temperature. These symptoms usually disappeared after a few hours or a few days^{1,27,28}. A literature review showed that only 1% of patients receiving balneotherapy had to discontinue the treatment due to adverse effects²⁷. In the current study more severe pain was reported by two subjects and excessive decrease in blood pressure by one subject in the therapy group.

The authors of a literature reviews emphasise drawbacks of the related research, i.e., small study groups, inhomogeneity of the therapies investigated as well as insufficient duration of observation following the therapy^{27,29}. Furthermore, because of the complex nature of spa therapies and the characteristic features of the natural materials, it is often impossible to apply a placebo therapy in the control group^{6,12,30}. Another frequent limitation of the related research lies in the fact that a significant percentage of subjects refuse to participate in the follow-up assessments. In a study by Puszczalowska-Lizis the survey response rate at the follow-up after three months amounted to 61% in the study group and to 50% in the control group². In the present study the entire research procedure was completed by 89% of the subjects in the spa group, 80% of those in the outpatient treatment group and 75% of the controls. Limitations of the current study are related to the specificity of spa therapy in health resorts. Blinding was not applied in the specific groups because of the nature of the therapy and the research protocol adopted. Randomisation, blinding of the participants and placebo type interventions usually are not feasible in research focusing on balneotherapies. Furthermore, it would be unethical to deprive patients of the treatment in order to enable comparative analyses. In view

of the above the current study was an attempt to assess combined effects of the complex factors existing and applied in health resorts. The study applied methods of survey and subjective self-assessment, as a result of which it was possible to conduct the second assessment one month after the end of the therapy, and the third assessment six months after the end of the therapy, by means of phone calls.

Environmental factors and climate contribute to the effectiveness of spa therapy³. The current study was carried out in health resorts located in south-eastern Poland, where patients can benefit from heliotherapy and climate therapy throughout the year because of the excellent solar and wind related characteristics³¹. A study by Lewicka et al. showed improved health status in 82% of patients after they received treatment in health resorts located in south-eastern Poland³².

Spa towns in south-eastern Poland have for many years been attracting patients from all over Poland and from abroad, owing to their natural medicinal and scenic assets. The current findings suggest that rehabilitation and recreation in the climate of south-eastern Poland can be recommended as an effective and affordable therapy to individuals with osteoarthritis of the spine. In order to enable promotion and financing of this form of therapy it is necessary to present supporting evidence confirming the effectiveness of spa therapy provided in health resorts, in accordance with EBM requirements.

Conclusions

In conclusion, spa therapy reduces pain, improves functional efficiency and increases the level of life satisfaction in patients with osteoarthritis of the spine. Its effects are sustained for at least six months. Spa therapy is more effective long-term, compared to outpatient treatment.

Material And Methods

Participants

A prospective study, carried out in the period from April 2019 to June 2020, involved three separate groups. All the participants ranged in age between 60 and 75 years and had been diagnosed with osteoarthritis of the spine, based on both medical and imaging examinations. Individuals taking anti-inflammatory steroid drugs or those who had received spa therapy or physiotherapy up to 6 months before the start of the study were excluded. Before the first assessment all the participants gave their written consent to take part in the study, and were informed that at each stage they could withdraw from the study without stating any reasons. Since it was impossible for the authors of the study to determine the type of treatment received by the participants, the randomisation procedure was carried out within the specific groups of patients. The randomisation involved tossing a coin.

Ultimately 302 subjects took part in the first survey:

- Spa group- 102 patients receiving treatment in health resorts in south-eastern Poland (Horyniec Zdrój, Polańczyk Zdrój, Iwonicz Zdrój, Rymanów Zdrój); (Table 7).
- Outpatient treatment group – 100 patients receiving treatment at ambulatory physiotherapy clinics in south-eastern Poland;
- Control group- 100 individuals who did not participate in any rehabilitation programs during the observation period.

Table 7

Characteristics of the health resorts in south-eastern Poland ^{31,33,34}

Health resort	Climate	Bioclimate profile
Horyniec Zdrój, 260 m a.s.l.	Typical for lowlands	Mildly stimulating bioclimate; good conditions related to temperatures, precipitation and winds; the warmest region of Poland
Polańczyk Zdrój, 440 m a.s.l.	Submontane and montane	Varied bioclimate conditions; submontane health resort next to a massive lake
Iwonicz Zdrój, 410 m a.s.l.	Typical for submontane areas with features of continental climate	Moderate bioclimate, strongly stimulating at times
Rymanów Zdrój, 335 m a.s.l.	Submontane and montane with predominant features of continental climate	Moderately and at times strongly stimulating bioclimate; submontane health resort in a valley; very good solar conditions

In the spa group and in the outpatient treatment group the survey was conducted during the first three days of the therapy program, one month after the end of the program, and six months after the end of the therapy program. In the control group, the survey was carried out at the same time intervals. Assessment 2 and 3 were conducted over the phone.

Intervention

All the subjects in the spa group participated, on a daily basis, in morning exercise sessions (15 minutes) and in group exercise sessions designed for patients with spine disorders (30 minutes). Individual exercise, based on a neurophysiological approach, was applied in the case of patients with severe pain (30 minutes). Active terrain therapy (~ 60 minutes) was recommended to those with milder conditions. The physical therapies applied included conventional TENS (30 minutes, 100 Hz, 100 µsec), as well as magnetotherapy (30 minutes, 3 mT, 15 Hz) or low-level laser therapy (LLLT, 400 mW, 8J/point) and classic massage (20 minutes). The balneotherapies applied included: peloid therapy (20 minutes, local compresses, 42°C), individual bath in mineral water (20 minutes, 36–38°C) and crenotherapy. The mineral waters used in the treatments included: “hydrogen-sulphide and inorganic sulphide water”, and “chloride-hydrogen-carbonate-sodium, iodide, and acidulous water”. Specification of “hydrogen-sulphide and inorganic sulphide water” is Na⁺, K⁺, Li⁺, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, Fe²⁺, F⁻, Cl⁻. Mineralization of this water is 710–820 mg/dm³. Hydrogen sulphide level is 34.7–49.6 mg/dm³. Specification of “chloride-hydrogen-carbonate-sodium, iodide, and acidulous water” is Na⁺, K⁺, Li⁺, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, Fe²⁺, Sr²⁺, Ba²⁺, F⁻, Cl⁻, Br⁻, J⁻. Mineralization of this water is 10806.3974 mg/dm³. The specification of the peloids used is as follows: (a) solid content (non-degraded) ca. 1%, (b) Von Post Humification Scale: H6 or H7, (c) pH: 6.06–6.26, (d) water content: 89.6–90.3%, (e) organic substances: 96.48–95.07% of dry weight, (f) inorganic substances: 3.52–4.90% of dry weight, and (g) silica: 0.03–0.05% of dry weight.

All the patients in ambulatory care received treatment programs provided in their place of residence; the program comprised individual exercise based on neurophysiological approaches (30 minutes), classic massage (20 minutes), conventional TENS (30 minutes, 100 Hz, 100 µsec), magnetotherapy (30 minutes, 3 mT, 15 Hz) or LLLT (400 mW, 8J/point).

Both the spa therapy and the ambulatory treatment were continued for three weeks, five days a week (from Monday to Friday) with a total of 15 sessions. The controls during the observation period were only allowed to use analgesic pharmacotherapy in emergency.

Outcome measures

Intensity of pain was assessed using Visual Analogue Scale, where 0 rating corresponds to no pain, and 10 points reflect the most severe pain. Modified Laitinen Pain Questionnaire comprises questions related to intensity of pain, frequency of pain, frequency of using painkillers and level of physical activity. The rating for each of these factors is between 0 and 4 points. The final score is in the range from 0 to 16 points. A higher score reflects more severe pain and greater difficulties in daily life³⁵. Assessment was also carried out using Life Satisfaction Questionnaire (LISAT-9). The participants use a scale from 1 to 6 points to rate the quality of their life as a whole, their self-care abilities, leisure situation, vocational and financial situation, sexual life, partner relationship, family life, and contacts with friends. The highest score reflects the best subjective assessment in a given domain³⁶. Participants' self-reported health status and level of disability were measured using Health Assessment Questionnaire (HAQ-20). The tool comprises eight sections related to: dressing, changing one's position, eating, walking, activities related to hygiene, reaching and gripping and general daily activities. In total the questionnaire comprises 20 questions, with responses corresponding to scores ranging from 0 to 3, where 0 rating reflects no difficulties in performing a given activity, while a score of 3 reflects inability to perform a given activity. Arithmetic mean for the scores in all the sections constituted the overall score which was in the range from 0 (no limitations) to 3 (maximum limitations)³⁷.

Statistical methods

The differences in the distributions of Laitinen, VAS, LISAT-9 and HAQ-20 measures in the assessments before and after the rehabilitation program, as well as the differences in effects of the rehabilitation program between the three groups subject to comparative analyses were evaluated for statistical significance using Kruskal-Wallis test. The nonparametric test was applied due to the lack of the normal distribution of the measures in question. However, as verified, the use of parametric tests would have produced very similar *p* values. In this situation it was reasonable to present the average level of a given measure in the assessment before and after the therapy, as well as effects of the therapy in the form of the mean value (with 95% confidence interval). Additionally non-parametric post-hoc comparisons were made, if the results of Kruskal-Wallis test were significant. Significance of the effects of the therapy in each group was examined using Wilcoxon test. In line with the commonly adopted approach, the differences for which *p* value was below 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Such results are marked with * symbol. Additionally, the marking **, and *** was applied in the case of the results where *p* was below 0.01 or 0.001. The calculations were carried out using STATISTICA v. 13 software.

Ethics approval

The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and the protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of University of Rzeszow (Resolution No. Nr 7/04/2019 dated 11/04/2019). Participants gave written informed consent before data collection began.

Declarations

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to express their gratitude to the Management of the health resorts in Horyniec Zdrój, Iwonicz Zdrój, Rymanów Zdrój and Polańczyk Zdrój for making it possible to conduct the survey among the patients staying at the health resorts.

Authors' contributions:

- The rationale and design of the study: JZ
- Preparation of materials, data collection and analysis: JZ, MG

- Preparation of the manuscript: JZ, MG

Availability of data and material: The datasets generated during and/or analysed in the course of the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Conflicts of interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. The authors certify that they have no affiliations with or involvement in any organization or entity with any financial interest or non-financial interest in the subject matter or materials discussed in this manuscript.

Funding: This work was supported by University of Rzeszów, Medical College.

References

1. Costantino, M., Filippelli, A., Quenau, P., Nicolas, J.P. & Coiro, V. Rôle de l'eau minérale sulfurée dans la SPA thérapie de l'arthrose. *Thérapie* **67**, 43–48 (2012).
2. Puszczalowska-Lizis, E. & Zwiercan, I. Direct and remote evaluation of effectiveness of health resort therapy on people with discopathy of the lumbar spine. *Advances in Rehabilitation* **1**, 33–40 (2016).
3. Karagülle, M., Kardes, S., Dişçi, R., Gürdal, H. & Karagülle, M.Z. Spa therapy for elderly: a retrospective study of 239 older patients with osteoarthritis. *Int. J. Biometeorol.* **60**, 1481–1491 (2016).
4. Wardeńska, M. *et al.* The impact of spa treatment on quality of life of elderly people. *J. Educ. Health Sport* **7**, 341–360 (2017).
5. Gáti, T., Tefner, I.K., Kovács, L., Hodosi, K. & Bender, T. The effects of the calcium-magnesium-bicarbonate content in thermal mineral water on chronic low back pain: a randomized, controlled follow-up study. *Int. J. Biometeorol.* **62**, 897–905 (2018).
6. Huber, D. *et al.* Green exercise and mg-ca-SO₄ thermal balneotherapy for the treatment of nonspecific chronic low back pain: a randomized controlled clinical trial. *BMC Musculoskelet. Disord.* **20**, 221 (2019).
7. Nguyen, Ch. *et al.* Intensive spa and exercise therapy program for returning to work for low back pain patients: a randomized controlled trial. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 17956 (2017).
8. Tefner, I.K. *et al.* The effect of spa therapy in chronic low back pain: a randomized controlled, single-blind, follow-up study. *Rheumatol. Int.* **32**, 3163–3169 (2012).
9. KucielLewandowska, J. & PaprockaBorowicz, M. The impact of the spa therapy on reduction of the perception of pain intensity in patients with degenerative joints and disc disease. *Pom. J. Life Sci.* **61**, 257–262 (2015).
10. Wójcik, G., Skalska-Izdebska, R., Kolbuszewska, A. & Szulc A. The effect of comprehensive therapy Spa for the treatment of pain syndrome the spine lumbosacral. *J. Educ. Health Sport* **6**, 71–83 (2016).
11. Antonelli, M. & Donelli, D. Effects of balneotherapy and spa therapy on levels of cortisol as a stress biomarker: a systematic review. *Int. J. Biometeorol.* **62**, 913–924 (2018).
12. Özkuk, K. *et al.* The effects of inpatient versus outpatient spa therapy on pain, anxiety, and quality of life in elderly patients with generalized osteoarthritis: a pilot study. *Int. J. Biometeorol.* **62**, 1823–1832 (2018).
13. Cheleschi, S., Gallo, I. & Tenti, S. A comprehensive analysis to understand the mechanism of action of balneotherapy: why, how, and where they can be used? Evidence from in vitro studies performed on human and animal samples. *Int. J. Biometeorol.* **21**, 1–15 (2020).
14. Fioravanti, A., Karagülle, M., Bender, T. & Karagülle, M.Z. Balneotherapy in osteoarthritis: facts, fiction and gaps in knowledge. *Eur. J. Integrative Med.* **9**, 148–150 (2017).

15. Haji, Y., Taddesse, F., Serka, S. & Gebretsadik, A. Effect of balneotherapy on chronic low back pain at hot springs in Southern Ethiopia: perceived improvements from pain. *J. Pain Res.* **14**, 2491–2500 (2021).
16. Angioni, M.M. *et al.* Spa therapy induces clinical improvement and protein changes in patients with chronic back pain. *Reumatismo* **71**, 119–131 (2019).
17. Kuryliszyn-Moskal, A., Hryniewicz, A. & Dziura, J. Balneotherapy in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis and osteoarthritis. *Forum Reumatol.* **3**, 168–172 (2017).
18. Kulisch, Á. Efficacy of balneotherapy on pain, function and quality of life in patients with chronic low back pain, and hand or knee osteoarthritis. *Dissertation University of Szeged* (2016).
19. Dilekci, E., Özkuk, K. & Kaki B. The short-term effects of balneotherapy on pain, disability and fatigue in patients with chronic low back pain treated with physical therapy: A randomized controlled trial. *Complement. Ther. Med.* **54**, 102550 (2020).
20. Kesiktas, N. *et al.* Balneotherapy for chronic low back pain: a randomized, controlled study. *Rheumatol. Int.* **32**, 3193–3199 (2012).
21. Chary-Valckenaere, I. *et al.* Spa therapy together with supervised self-mobilisation improves pain, function and quality of life in patients with chronic shoulder pain: a single-blind randomised controlled trial. *Int. J. Biometeorol.* **62**, 1003–1014 (2018).
22. Forestier, R., Erol-Forestier, F.B. & Françon, A. Current role for spa therapy in rheumatology. *Joint Bone Spine* **84**, 9–13 (2017).
23. Karagülle, M., Kardes, S. & Karagülle, M.Z. Real-life effectiveness of spa therapy in rheumatic and musculoskeletal diseases: a retrospective study of 819 patients. *Int. J. Biometeorol.* **61**, 1945–1956 (2017).
24. Weber-Rajek, M., Czerniachowska, I., Radzimińska, A. & Strojek, K. Assessment of the effectiveness peat packs in the treatment of low back pain- a pilot study. *Acta Balneol.* **58**, 178–184 (2016).
25. Yücesoy, H., Geçmen, İ., Adigüzel, T., Karagülle, M. & Karagülle, M.Z. Efficacy of balneological outpatient treatment (hydrotherapy and peloidotherapy) for the management of chronic low back pain: a retrospective study. *Int. J. Biometeorol.* **63**, 351–357 (2019).
26. Morer, C., Roques, C.F., Françon, A., Forestier, R. & Maraver, F. The role of mineral elements and other chemical compounds used in balneology: data from double-blind randomized clinical trials. *Int. J. Biometeorol.* **61**, 2159–2173 (2017).
27. Roques, C.F. & Queneau, P. SPA therapy for pain of patients with chronic low back pain, knee osteo-arthritis and fibromyalgia. *Bull. Acad. Natl. Med.* **200**, 575–587 (2016).
28. Annegret, F. & Thomas, F. Long-term benefits of radon spa therapy in rheumatic diseases: results of the randomised, multi-centre IMuRa trial. *Rheumatol. Int.* **33**, 2839–2850 (2013).
29. Forestier, R. *et al.* Crenobalneotherapy for low back pain: systematic review of clinical trials. *Int. J. Biometeorol.* **66**, 13–23 (2022).
30. Karagülle, M. *et al.* Effect of spa therapy with saline balneotherapy on oxidant/antioxidant status in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a single-blind randomized controlled trial. *Int. J. Biometeorol.* **61**, 169–180 (2017).
31. Kuchcik, M. *et al.* *Potencjał leczniczy klimatu Polski* (ed. Puskarz, J.) (Polska Akademia Nauk. Instytut Geografii i Przestrzennego Zagospodarowania im. Stanisława Leszczyckiego, 2013).
32. Lewicka, S. & Sobczak-Kowalak, J. Leczenie w sanatoriach uzdrowiskowych województwa podkarpackiego w ocenie pacjentów. *Prz. Med. Uniw. Rzesz.* **1**, 54–65 (2010).
33. Kozłowska-Szczęśna, T., Błażejczyk, K., Krawczyk, B. & Limanówka, D. *The bioclimate of polish health resorts and the opportunities for its use in treatment* (ed. Starkel, L.) (Polish Academy of Sciences, 2002).

34. Straburzyńska- Lupa, A. & Straburzyński, G. Leczenie uzdrowiskowe in *Fizjoterapia* (ed. Straburzyński, G.) 323–376 (Wydawnictwo Lekarskie PZWL, 2007).

35. Kamper, S.J. Pain intensity ratings. *J. Physiother.* **58**, 61 (2012).

36. Boonstra, A.M., Reneman, M.F., Stewart, R.W. & Balk, G.A. Life satisfaction questionnaire (Lisat-9) reliability and validity for patients with acquired brain injury. *Int. J. Rehabil. Res.* **35**, 153–160 (2012).

37. Maska, L., Anderson, J. & Michaud, K. Measures of functional status and quality of life in rheumatoid arthritis: Health Assessment Questionnaire Disability Index (HAQ), Modified Health Assessment Questionnaire (MHAQ), Multidimensional Health Assessment Questionnaire (MDHAQ), Health Assessment Questionnaire II (HAQ-II), Improved Health Assessment Questionnaire (Improved HAQ), and Rheumatoid Arthritis Quality of Life (RAQoL). *Arthritis Care Res.* **63**, 4–13 (2011).

Figures




Figure 1

Flow diagram of study population




Figure 2

Mean changes in the scores on Laitinen Questionnaire in the groups




Figure 3

Mean changes in the scores in HAQ-20 in the groups