

Correlation Between Albuminuria and Interstitial Injury Marker Reductions Associated with SGLT2 Inhibitor Treatment in Diabetic Patients with Renal Dysfunction

Saeko Sato

Saitama Medical University

Kaori Takayanagi

Ishikawa Kinenkai Kawagoe Ekimae Clinic

Taisuke Shimizu

Saitama Medical University

Koichi Kanozawa

Saitama Medical University

Takatsugu Iwashita

Saitama Medical University

Hajime Hasegawa (✉ hase2126@saitama-med.ac.jp)

Saitama Medical University

Research Article

Keywords: diabetic tubulopathy, fibrosis, MCP-1, proteinuria, Type 2 diabetes, N-acetyl- β -D-glucosaminidase

Posted Date: March 24th, 2022

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1437019/v1>

License:   This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background

We investigated the effects of sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitor (SGLT2i) administration focusing its involvement in tubulo-interstitial disorders in diabetic kidney.

Methods

This study was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board of Saitama Medical Center, Saitama Medical University (#1062 and #2470). Enrolled patients with diabetic kidney disease received a mean dose of 52.3 mg of an SGLT2i (ipragliflozin) daily. Blood and urine were sampled at 0, 1, and 12 months (M).

Results

Non-renal-dysfunction patients (NRD: baseline eGFR \geq 60 mL/min/1.73 m², n=12) and renal-dysfunction patients (RD: baseline eGFR<60 mL/min/1.73 m², n=9) were analyzed separately. The median urine albumin-to-Cr ratio (ACR) was significantly decreased at 1M in both groups (NRD: 163.1 at 0M vs 118.5 mg/gCr at 1M, RD: 325.2 at 0M vs 136.0 mg/gCr at 1M). In the RD, but not the NRD group, reduction of urine monocyte chemotactic protein-1 (MCP-1) by SGLT2i showed a significant difference between high-responders (HR: -25.7 \pm 11.4%) and low-responders (LR: 59.2 \pm 17.0%), defined by ACR reduction at 1M. Univariate analysis showed a significant correlation between the reduction of ACR and MCP-1 (R=0.683, p=0.042) in RD. There is no possible confounder in the correlation, as shown by multivariate analysis (β =0.683, R²=0.467).

Conclusion

SGLT2i exerted an anti-albuminuric effect regardless of the presence/absence of renal dysfunction. However, the anti-albuminuric effect of SGLT2i in patients with renal dysfunction appears more closely associated with amelioration of tubulointerstitial disorders compared to patients without renal dysfunction.

Introduction

Diabetic kidney disease (DKD) remains the leading cause of end-stage renal disease, and its management is an important issue in clinical nephrology. Diabetic nephropathy (DN) is a principal type of DKD and is characterized by a series of histological features, such as thickening of the glomerular basement membrane, loss of podocytes, mesangial expansion, segmental mesangiolysis and Kimmelstiel–Wilson nodules, all of which lead to micro- and macro-albuminuria (1). Although many factors such as metabolic abnormalities and inflammation are known to contribute to DN, glomerular hyperfiltration (GHF) is recognized as one of the principal mechanisms leading to the elevation of the glomerular filtration rate (GFR) in early DN. It is generally known that the GHF in DN is derived from the

pathological dilation of the afferent arterioles and the contraction of the efferent arterioles resulting from the activation of the renin-angiotensin system and the increase in extracellular fluid (ECF) volume. In addition, disturbed regulation of tubulo-glomerular feedback (TG feedback) is also recognized in DN (2). Increased sodium reabsorption in the proximal tubules results in the sodium delivery to the macula densa being disproportionately decreased in relation to the GFR, leading to pathologically dilated afferent arterioles and finally causing sustained GHF, also known as tubular hyperfiltration (3). Tubular hyperfiltration is thought to play a principal role in the development of GHF and resultant glomerular disorders in DN (4).

Independent of these glomerular damages, tubulo-interstitial disorders including tubular atrophy, interstitial fibrosis, and a reduced number of peritubular capillaries are also observed in diabetic kidneys; the presence of such lesions is known as diabetic tubulopathy (5-7). A decreased partial pressure of oxygen has been reported in the cortical areas of diabetic kidneys, due to the increased oxygen demand, as required for glucose metabolism, and decreased oxygen supply due to a decreased number of peritubular capillaries (7, 8). The resultant ischemia stimulates tubular cells and fibroblasts, leading to a transforming growth factor (TGF)- β -dependent or -independent extracellular matrix expansion, resulting in fibrosis (7, 9). These various pathological settings are together involved in the progression of tubulopathy.

Sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 (SGLT2) inhibitor, a widely used oral anti-hyperglycemic agent, inhibits tubular glucose reabsorption (10). SGLT2 localizes on the cell membrane's apical side, predominantly in the S1 and S2 segments of proximal tubules, and couples cell glucose uptake and sodium influx with high-capacity and low-affinity transporting properties (10). Several renoprotective effects of SGLT2 inhibitors are known (11-13), including the correction of GHF via a reduction of sodium delivery to the macula densa (14). Additionally, recent work has revealed that SGLT2 inhibitors improve tissue hypoxia by reducing the energy consumption due to reduced glucose accumulation, resulting in an improvement of tubulopathy (15). Less accumulation of advanced glycation end products (AGEs) is also associated with the improvement of tubulopathy (16, 17). Indeed, SGLT2 inhibitor reduces urinary excretions of kidney injury molecule 1 (Kim-1), liver-type fatty acid binding protein (L-FABP), N-acetyl- β -D-glucosaminidase (NAG), and other biomarkers relevant to tubulo-interstitial disorders (7). In type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM), the disappearance of microalbuminuria rarely occurs during the clinical course, with the exception of individuals with lower urinary NAG and Kim-1 excretions, suggesting that tubulo-interstitial disorders have a key role in the development of albuminuria in DN (18).

Here, we observed that (1) an SGLT2 inhibitor exerted anti-albuminuric effects regardless of the presence/absence of renal dysfunction, and (2) the reduction of albuminuria was correlated with the reduction of monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1) excretions in patients with renal dysfunction. We thus speculate that the underlying mechanisms of albuminuria reduction of SGLT2 inhibitors may differ according to the presence or absence of renal dysfunction, and that the amelioration of tubulo-interstitial disorders might be an important aspect of the anti-albuminuric effect of SGLT2 inhibitors in patients with renal dysfunction.

Patients And Methods

Study design

The population for the analysis in this study was originally planned as a prospective controlled open-label trial (#1062 study of Saitama Medical Center, Saitama Medical University) of an SGLT2 inhibitor and sulfonylurea. Patients were enrolled between October 3, 2014 and December 31, 2017 (UMIN registration: #000016754). The #1062 study was an open-label allocation, which resulted in a marked imbalance in the numbers of enrolled patients between the two groups, making it difficult to compare the two groups. Therefore, we conducted a retrospective observational study (#2470 study) that included only those who opted for the SGLT2 inhibitor in the #1062 study.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Participants in the #1062 study met the following criteria: (1) Japanese adult with type 2 (T2) DM, and (2) HbA1c (NGSP) $\geq 6.0\%$ with diet and exercise therapy for ≥ 1 month, or with oral hypoglycemic agents other than an SGLT2 inhibitor for ≥ 1 month. Patients who showed diabetic ketoacidosis, diabetic coma, severe infection, severe trauma or malignant diseases were excluded. Women who were pregnant, possibly pregnant, or currently breastfeeding were also excluded. Patients with severe renal dysfunction, an estimated GFR (eGFR) < 30 mL/min/1.73 m², or undergoing dialysis therapy were excluded. Twenty-four patients were enrolled in this study, but two patients were additionally excluded due to malignancy onset (uterine sarcoma) and the discontinuation of ambulatory visits during the follow-up period. Because only one patient was allocated to sulfonylurea in the #1062 study, the remaining 21 patients were carried over to the retrospective analysis (#2470 study).

Study protocol

Blood and urine tests and blood pressure measurements were performed and recorded just before the start of the Ipragliflozin treatment (0M, baseline) and at 1 month (1M) and 12 months (12M) later. In the #1062 study, all enrolled patients were administered 50 mg of the SGLT2 inhibitor Ipragliflozin daily. If the attending physician judged that HbA1c decreased poorly after 1 month, Ipragliflozin could be increased to 100 mg, as it was in 4 patients. The resulting mean dose in all patients at 12M was 52.3 mg. The eGFR was calculated by the Japanese Society of Nephrology estimating equation (19). The parameters used to evaluate the effects of the SGLT2 inhibitor were ACR as a glomerular injury marker, tubular function markers including fractional excretion of sodium (FENa) and uric acid (FEUA), tubulo-interstitial injury markers including urine L-FABP and NAG to Cr ratios, urine MCP-1 as the inflammation and fibrosis marker, 8-iso prostaglandin F₂ α (iPF₂ α -III) as the oxidative stress marker, and the hemodynamics/extracellular fluid (ECF) volume marker N-terminal pro-B type natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP). Measurements of these biomarkers were commissioned to SRL, Inc. (Tokyo, Japan).

Statistical analyses

All statistical analyses were performed with SPSS (ver. 25.0) for Mac OS (SPSS, Chicago, IL). Data with normal distributions confirmed by the Shapiro-Wilk test are shown as means \pm SDs; all other data without normal distribution are presented as medians and 1st, 3rd quantiles. For comparisons between the two paired groups, data with confirmed normal distribution were tested using a paired *t*-test, and data without confirmed normal distribution were tested using a Wilcoxon signed-rank test. For comparisons between the two unpaired groups, data with confirmed normal distribution were tested using Student's *t*-test, and data without confirmed normal distribution were tested using the Mann-Whitney U-test. Probability (p)-values < 0.05 were considered significant.

Results

The clinical profile of the study population is provided in Table 1. The study population included many cases presenting with obesity and hypertension. Oral hypoglycemic agents were being taken by 28.6% of patients while the remaining 71.4% of patients were on diet and exercise therapy only. None of the patients was receiving insulin.

Table 2 lists the changes in parameters of the patients during the observation period. The HbA1c level was significantly decreased at 1M and persisted until 12M; however, HOMA-R was not changed. The median value of baseline eGFR was 62.6 mL/min/1.73 m², and only one remarkably obese (BMI: 41.9) patient showed apparent hyperfiltration, with an eGFR \geq 90 mL/min/1.73 m². The values of eGFR in all cases were not significantly changed during the observational period. The median value of ACR was significantly decreased at 1M; however, the significance disappeared at 12M due to large deviations. The hemoglobin concentration was significantly increased at 1M and 12M.

We performed an analysis stratified by baseline eGFR to compare the ACR reduction between the patients with and without renal dysfunction (Table 3). In the non-renal-dysfunction (NRD) group, the median ACR value was significantly decreased by 72.7% at 1M without eGFR changes and remained low at 12M but not significantly. In the RD group, the mean ACR was decreased by 41.8% at 1M, also without eGFR changes. In the NRD group, blood pressures were significantly decreased at 1M; in the RD group they did not change significantly during the observational period. These results demonstrated that the SGLT2 inhibitor treatment resulted in an ACR reduction regardless of the presence or absence of renal dysfunction, and did not entail eGFR changes. The hemoglobin concentration was significantly increased in the NRD group but not the RD group.

To investigate the factors related to the ACR reduction, we stratified the patients into high and low ACR reduction rate groups (high and low responders) and examined the changing rate of each parameter at 1M according to NRD and RD designation. The rates of change of all parameters, including ACR, were analyzed with the following formula:

$$\text{Rate of change (\%}\Delta\text{)} = 100 \times [(\text{post-value}) - (\text{pre-value})] / (\text{pre-value})$$

A negative or positive value indicates a decrease or increase in the value compared to the baseline value, respectively. As shown in Table 4, a significant difference between high and low responders was only observed in the $\% \Delta \text{MCP-1}$ in the RD group.

To elucidate the relationship between the reduction of ACR and each parameter, we investigated the correlation between the ACR reduction rate and each parameter's change rate at 1M (Table 5); the results are also depicted by scatter plot (Figure 1). The ACR reduction rate ($\% \Delta \text{ACR}$) was significantly correlated with the MCP-1 reduction rate ($\% \Delta \text{MCP-1}$) in the RD group but not in NRD group, possibly indicating that among patients with renal dysfunction, more pronounced ACR reduction could be expected in those with greater reduction in MCP-1.

To analyze the specificity of the correlation between the reduction rate of ACR and MCP-1, the factors that were possibly confounded with their correlation were applied to multiple regression analysis by stepwise method and examined (Table 6). Factors used in the analysis showed significant changes before and after administration of the SGLT2 inhibitor in the analysis shown in Table 3. The findings showed that the MCP-1 reduction rate was specifically correlated with the ACR reduction.

Discussion

In the present study, we confirmed that the ACR was significantly reduced after administration of an SGLT2 inhibitor in diabetic patients with or without renal dysfunction. The ACR reduction was correlated with the reduction of urine MCP-1 in the RD group, independently of other factors including obesity, systemic blood pressure and hyperglycemia, whereas no parameter showed a significant correlation in the NRD group. These results suggest that the underlying mechanism of ACR reduction by SGLT2 inhibitor in RD patients might be different from that of patients without renal dysfunction, and its effect on tubulo-interstitial disorders might play a key role in the ACR reduction of patients with RD.

The persistence of GHF resulting from TG feedback signal modification is a common phenomenon, particularly in early diabetes (20), which is basically caused by inappropriate macula densa responses due to facilitated proximal salt reabsorption (4). SGLT2 inhibitors decrease the tubular sodium reabsorption and increase the sodium delivery to the macula densa, resulting in the normalization of pathologically activated TG feedback (14, 21). This TG feedback resetting is believed to be one of the principal mechanisms underlying the amelioration of albuminuria and renal damage progression by SGLT2 inhibitors. However, few cases presented with clear GHF in our population, and the median eGFR of NRD group was $72.7 \text{ mL/min/1.73 m}^2$. This may be the reason why no significant change in eGFR was observed even in NRD group in the present study, and it does not seem to deny the effectiveness of SGLT2 inhibitor in improving GHF.

Tubulo-interstitial disorders are closely involved in the progression of chronic kidney disease. Persistent albuminuria induces inflammation and chemokine secretions in the proximal tubules, and it finally accelerates fibrosis. In diabetes, excess filtered glucose is reabsorbed primarily through SGLT2, resulting

in its accumulation in the tubular cells and surrounding interstitium. Accordingly, the accumulated glucose accelerates the production of AGEs, leading to chronic inflammation and oxidative stress and finally to fibrosis (6, 22, 23). These tubulo-interstitial disorders related to high glucose loading in diabetic kidneys are known as diabetic tubulopathy, which seems to be one of the central pathogeneses of renal dysfunction.

It has been expected that SGLT2 inhibitors inhibit tubular glucose accumulation and the progression of tubulopathy. Indeed, SGLT2 inhibitors have been shown to ameliorate renal interstitial fibrosis more effectively than other oral hypoglycemic agents or insulins (24, 25). The SGLT2 inhibitor empagliflozin was reported to inhibit the inflammatory cascade by restoring the impaired mitochondria function and autophagy in human tubular cells cultured in high-glucose conditions (26). The anti-fibrotic effect of SGLT2 inhibitors is not limited to patients with diabetes; an animal study using unilateral ureteral obstruction, a typical renal fibrosis model, showed that use of an SGLT2 inhibitor inhibits fibrosis via a variety of fibrotic pathway-related mechanisms involving TGF- β 1, α SMA, the Wnt cascade, CTGF, and fibronectin (27). The anti-fibrotic effect of an SGLT2 inhibitor on tubulo-interstitial disorders is pleiotropic, and inhibitions of the AGEs accumulation and oxidative stress due to reduced ATP consumption have also been demonstrated to be involved (16, 28).

In this study, we observed correlations in the RD group between ACR and MCP-1 reductions of urinary excretion, but not between ACR and GFR, ECF volume, or salt excretion. MCP-1 is a molecule that drives functional transformation in leukocytes responding to inflammation (29). Our present results might indicate that the amelioration of interstitial inflammation is involved in ACR reduction in those patients. Terami et al. demonstrated that the SGLT2 inhibitor dapagliflozin inhibited interstitial fibrosis in a dose-dependent manner in diabetic mice, and in cultured cells dapagliflozin dose-dependently inhibited macrophage infiltration and the expressions of TGF- β , intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1) and MCP-1, which are up-regulated in high-glucose conditions, and concomitantly inhibited the gene expression of inflammatory cytokines and oxidative stress (30).

These results indicate that the inhibition of urinary MCP-1 excretion would indeed reflect the amelioration of tubulo-interstitial disorders by SGLT2 inhibitors. This study's finding of a correlation of ACR reduction with MCP-1 reduction, but not with eGFR or ECF volume, might indicate that ACR reduction by SGLT2 inhibitor is predominantly derived from the amelioration of tubulo-interstitial disorders in RD patients. The mechanism by which improved tubulo-interstitial disorders resulted in the reduction of ACR might be a consequence of improved tubular albumin-handling capability. In addition, it has also been reported that tubular cell damage causes changes in the slit membrane function of podocytes and exacerbates albuminuria through changes in the tubular expression of sirtuin 1 and nicotinamide mononucleotide. The results referred above have indicated that tubulo-interstitial disorders may cause the podocyte damage and resultant albuminuria (31, 32).

In conclusion, the SGLT2 inhibitor ipragliflozin showed anti-albuminuric effects regardless of the presence or absence of renal dysfunction; however, this effect may differ according to the presence or

absence of renal dysfunction. The hypothesis we consider from our results is shown in Figure 2. In patients with renal dysfunction, its effect on glomerular hyperfiltration might become less advantageous, and the ameliorating effect on the tubulo-interstitial disorders might be more critical to the anti-albuminuric effect of SGLT2 inhibitors.

Our study has several limitations. Since this was a prospective observational study and no randomized controlled trial was conducted, conclusions regarding the efficacy of the SGLT2 inhibitor cannot be made. This study focused on differences in the underlying mechanisms between RD and NRD patients. In addition, this was a single-center small-scale study, and the small patient population may have influenced the results. However, renal dysfunction and non-dysfunction patients each accounted for roughly half of the patients, which may be a reasonable population for comparisons between these two groups.

Conclusions

In conclusion, the SGLT2 inhibitor ipragliflozin showed anti-albuminuric effects regardless of the presence/absence of renal dysfunction; however, this effect may differ according to the presence or absence of renal dysfunction. The hypothesis we consider from our results is shown in Figure 2. In patients with renal dysfunction, its effect on glomerular hyperfiltration might become less advantageous, and the ameliorating effect on the tubulo-interstitial disorders might be more critical to the anti-albuminuric effect of SGLT2 inhibitors.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional research committee at which the studies were conducted (Saitama Medical Center, Saitama Medical University Ethics Committee; IRB approval number 2470) and with the 1964

Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

Written and oral Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests

The authors have declared that no conflict of interest exists.

Funding

Not applicable

Authors' contributions

All authors contributed to the study conception and design. Material preparation, data collection and analysis were performed by [S S], [K T], [T I] and [K K]. The first draft of the manuscript was written by [S S] and then [K T], [T S] and [H H] commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge Ms. Mai Aoyagi and Ms. Saori Nakahashi for their assistance. We also thank to Dr. Michio Shiibashi for his professional advice regarding statistical analyses.

References

1. Alicic RZ, Rooney MT, Tuttle KR. Diabetic Kidney Disease: Challenges, Progress, and Possibilities. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2017;12(12):2032-45.
2. Vallon V, Rose M, Gerasimova M, Satriano J, Platt KA, Koepsell H, et al. Knockout of Na-glucose transporter SGLT2 attenuates hyperglycemia and glomerular hyperfiltration but not kidney growth or injury in diabetes mellitus. *Am J Physiol Renal Physiol*. 2013;304(2):F156-67.
3. Vallon V, Thomson SC. Renal function in diabetic disease models: the tubular system in the pathophysiology of the diabetic kidney. *Annu Rev Physiol*. 2012;74:351-75.
4. Asakura J, Hasegawa H, Takayanagi K, Shimazu T, Suge R, Shimizu T, et al. Renoprotective effect of pioglitazone by the prevention of glomerular hyperfiltration through the possible restoration of altered macula densa signaling in rats with type-2 diabetic nephropathy. *Exp Nephrol*. 2013;122(3-4):83-94.
5. Gilbert RE, Cooper ME. The tubulointerstitium in progressive diabetic kidney disease: more than an aftermath of glomerular injury? *Kidney Int*. 1999;56(5):1627-37.
6. Tang SC, Leung JC, Lai KN. Diabetic tubulopathy: an emerging entity. *Contrib Nephrol*. 2011;170:124-34.
7. Gilbert RE. Proximal Tubulopathy: Prime Mover and Key Therapeutic Target in Diabetic Kidney Disease. *Diabetes*. 2017;66(4):791-800.

8. Laustsen C, Østergaard JA, Lauritzen MH, Nørregaard R, Bowen S, Søgaard LV, et al. Assessment of early diabetic renal changes with hyperpolarized [1-(13) C]pyruvate. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev*. 2013;29(2):125-9.
9. Fine LG, Norman JT. Chronic hypoxia as a mechanism of progression of chronic kidney diseases: from hypothesis to novel therapeutics. *Kidney Int*. 2008;74(7):867-72.
10. Rieg T, Vallon V. Development of SGLT1 and SGLT2 inhibitors. *Diabetologia*. 2018;61(10):2079-86.
11. Neal B, Perkovic V, Mahaffey KW, de Zeeuw D, Fulcher G, Erondou N, et al. Canagliflozin and Cardiovascular and Renal Events in Type 2 Diabetes. *N Engl J Med*. 2017;377(7):644-57.
12. Perkovic V, Jardine MJ, Neal B, Bompoint S, Heerspink HJL, Charytan DM, et al. Canagliflozin and Renal Outcomes in Type 2 Diabetes and Nephropathy. *N Engl J Med*. 2019;380(24):2295-306.
13. Wiviott SD, Raz I, Bonaca MP, Mosenzon O, Kato ET, Cahn A, et al. Dapagliflozin and Cardiovascular Outcomes in Type 2 Diabetes. *N Engl J Med*. 2019;380(4):347-57.
14. Cherney DZ, Perkins BA, Soleymanlou N, Maione M, Lai V, Lee A, et al. Renal hemodynamic effect of sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibition in patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus. *Circulation*. 2014;129(5):587-97.
15. Wanner C, Inzucchi SE, Lachin JM, Fitchett D, von Eynatten M, Mattheus M, et al. Empagliflozin and Progression of Kidney Disease in Type 2 Diabetes. *N Engl J Med*. 2016;375(4):323-34.
16. Tanaka S, Sugiura Y, Saito H, Sugahara M, Higashijima Y, Yamaguchi J, et al. Sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibition normalizes glucose metabolism and suppresses oxidative stress in the kidneys of diabetic mice. *Kidney Int*. 2018;94(5):912-25.
17. Oraby MA, El-Yamany MF, Safar MM, Assaf N, Ghoneim HA. Dapagliflozin attenuates early markers of diabetic nephropathy in fructose-streptozotocin-induced diabetes in rats. *Biomed Pharmacother*. 2019;109:910-20.
18. Fiseha T, Tamir Z. Urinary Markers of Tubular Injury in Early Diabetic Nephropathy. *Int J Nephrol*. 2016;2016:4647685.
19. Matsuo S, Imai E, Horio M, Yasuda Y, Tomita K, Nitta K, et al. Revised equations for estimated GFR from serum creatinine in Japan. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 2009;53(6):982-92.
20. De Nicola L, Gabbai FB, Liberti ME, Sogliocca A, Conte G, Minutolo R. Sodium/glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors and prevention of diabetic nephropathy: targeting the renal tubule in diabetes. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 2014;64(1):16-24.
21. Zinman B, Wanner C, Lachin JM, Fitchett D, Bluhmki E, Hantel S, et al. Empagliflozin, Cardiovascular Outcomes, and Mortality in Type 2 Diabetes. *N Engl J Med*. 2015.
22. Tang SC, Lai KN. The pathogenic role of the renal proximal tubular cell in diabetic nephropathy. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2012;27(8):3049-56.
23. Zeni L, Norden AGW, Cancarini G, Unwin RJ. A more tubulocentric view of diabetic kidney disease. *J Nephrol*. 2017;30(6):701-17.

24. Kojima N, Williams JM, Takahashi T, Miyata N, Roman RJ. Effects of a new SGLT2 inhibitor, luseogliflozin, on diabetic nephropathy in T2DN rats. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther.* 2013;345(3):464-72.
25. Shin SJ, Chung S, Kim SJ, Lee EM, Yoo YH, Kim JW, et al. Effect of Sodium-Glucose Co-Transporter 2 Inhibitor, Dapagliflozin, on Renal Renin-Angiotensin System in an Animal Model of Type 2 Diabetes. *PLoS One.* 2016;11(11):e0165703.
26. Lee YH, Kim SH, Kang JM, Heo JH, Kim DJ, Park SH, et al. Empagliflozin attenuates diabetic tubulopathy by improving mitochondrial fragmentation and autophagy. *Am J Physiol Renal Physiol.* 2019;317(4):F767-F80.
27. Abbas NAT, El Salem A, Awad MM. Empagliflozin, SGLT2 inhibitor, attenuates renal fibrosis in rats exposed to unilateral ureteric obstruction: potential role of klotho expression. *Naunyn Schmiedebergs Arch Pharmacol.* 2018;391(12):1347-60.
28. Zhang Y, Nakano D, Guan Y, Hitomi H, Uemura A, Masaki T, et al. A sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitor attenuates renal capillary injury and fibrosis by a vascular endothelial growth factor-dependent pathway after renal injury in mice. *Kidney Int.* 2018;94(3):524-35.
29. Tofik R, Ohlsson S, Bakoush O. Urinary concentration of monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 in idiopathic glomerulonephritis: a long-term follow-up study. *PLoS One.* 2014;9(1):e87857.
30. Terami N, Ogawa D, Tachibana H, Hatanaka T, Wada J, Nakatsuka A, et al. Long-term treatment with the sodium glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitor, dapagliflozin, ameliorates glucose homeostasis and diabetic nephropathy in db/db mice. *PLoS One.* 2014;9(6):e100777.
31. Hasegawa K, Wakino S, Simic P, Sakamaki Y, Minakuchi H, Fujimura K, et al. Renal tubular Sirt1 attenuates diabetic albuminuria by epigenetically suppressing Claudin-1 overexpression in podocytes. *Nat Med.* 2013;19(11):1496-504.
32. Hasegawa K, Wakino S, Sakamaki Y, Muraoka H, Umino H, Minakuchi H, et al. Communication from Tubular Epithelial Cells to Podocytes through Sirt1 and Nicotinic Acid Metabolism. *Curr Hypertens Rev.* 2016;12(2):95-104.

Tables

Table1 Baseline characteristics of patients before starting medication with a SGLT2 inhibitor.

n	21
year of age	57.7±14.5
male (n)	12 (57.1%)
months in clinical course	34.6 ± 28.0
systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	131.7±14.6
diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	81.9±10.0
body mass index (BMI, kg/m ²)	28.3(26.1, 31.6)
administration of anti-hypertensive agents (%)	18 (85.7%)
angiotensin \square receptor blockers (ARB)	15 (71.4%)
angiotensin-converting enzyme Inhibitors (ACEi)	1 (4.8%)
calcium channel blockers (CCB)	13 (61.9%)
β -blockers	4 (19.0%)
diuretics	5 (23.8%)
loop diuretic	2 (9.5%)
thiazide diuretic	3 (14.3%)
administration of oral hypoglycemic agents	6 (28.6%)
sulfonyl-ureas (SU)	2 (9.5%)
dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors (DPP4i)	1 (4.8%)
biguanides	3 (14.3%)
thiazolidine deriveatives	1 (4.8%)
α -glucosidase inhibitors (α -GI)	1 (4.8%)
administration of lipid-lowering agents	14 (66.7%)
statins	14 (66.7%)

Each value represents the mean \pm SD, or median, 1st quartile and 3rd quartile value

Table2 Changes in parameters in response to SGLT2 inhibitor administration

	Ipragliflozin 50 mg/day		
	0 M	1 M	12 M
BMI (kg/m ²)	28.3 (26.1, 31.6)	28.0 (26.0, 32.3)	27.5 (25.0, 29.9) **
systolic BP (mmHg)	131.7 ± 14.6	127.6 ± 12.0	125.4 ± 15.0
diastolic BP (mmHg)	81.9 ± 10.0	78.7 ± 8.2	76.2 ± 9.4 *
HbA1c (%)	6.60 (6.50, 7.10)	6.50 (6.40, 6.90) *	6.50 (6.30, 6.80) *
HOMA-R	3.1 (2.6, 5.6)	3.7 (2.8, 5.1)	3.9 (2.4, 9.8)
Hb (g/dL)	14.4 (13.3, 15.3)	14.6 (13.7, 15.5) *	14.7 (13.9, 15.7) *
ACR (mg/gCr)	174.1 (24.3, 794.8)	136.0 (15.6, 268.0) **	121.1 (24.5, 288.0)
eGFR (ml/min/1.73m ²)	62.6 (48.4, 74.4)	60.4 (48.0, 74.9)	61.1 (45.7, 75.8)
NTpro-BNP (pg/mL)	39.0 (15.0, 91.0)	53.0 (22.5, 72.5)	29.0 (16.5, 47.5)
FENa (%)	0.89 ± 0.58	0.94 ± 0.61	1.03 ± 0.74
uric Acid (mg/dL)	6.40 ± 1.08	5.48 ± 1.35 **	5.57 ± 0.96 **
FEUA (%)	5.21 ± 2.49	7.03 ± 2.63 **	7.12 ± 2.11 **
urine L-FABP/Cr (µg/gCr)	3.83 (2.66, 6.23)	2.40 (1.79, 4.77)	2.86 (1.88, 4.08)
urine NAG/Cr (U/gCr)	7.87 (6.22, 9.96)	8.95 (6.30, 12.60)	9.04 (5.80, 12.61)
urine MCP-1/Cr (pg/gCr)	1.83 (1.10, 2.41)	2.34 (1.54, 3.06)	2.13 (1.76, 3.32)
urine iPF2α-III/Cr (pg/mgCr)	236.0 (194.5, 285.0)	258.0 (170.0, 358.0)	255.5 (212.0, 388.0)

Each value represents the mean ±SD, or median, 1st quartile and 3rd quartile value.

BMI: body mass index, HOMA-R: homeostasis model assessment insulin resistance, ACR: urine albumin-to-Cr ratio, NTproBNP: N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide, FENa: fractional excretion of Na, L-FABP: liver type fatty acid binding protein, NAG: N-acetyl-β-D-glucosaminidase, MCP-1: monocyte chemotactic protein-1, iPF2α-III: 8-iso prostaglandin F2α

p*<0.05 vs baseline, *p*<0.01 vs baseline

Table 3 Reduction of ACR by SGLT2 inhibitor in two groups with higher or lower values of baseline eGFR.

	Ipragliflozin		
	0 M	1 M	12 M
NRD (eGFR \geq 60, n=12)			
ACR (mg/gCr)	163.1 (21.7, 945.8)	118.5 (13.0, 366.5) *	114.1 (19.1, 539.4)
eGFR (ml/min/1.73m ²)	72.7 (66.4, 80.9)	71.0 (64.1, 80.3)	72.7 (62.7, 83.6)
systolic BP (mmHg)	133.4 \pm 13.1	127.0 \pm 13.7 *	123.2 \pm 12.5 *
diastolic BP (mmHg)	83.8 \pm 7.2	77.8 \pm 5.7 *	76.8 \pm 10.2
BMI (kg/m ²)	28.6 (26.5, 31.1)	28.5 (26.2, 31.5)	28.5 (25.5, 29.7) *
HbA1c (%)	6.9 \pm 0.5	6.7 \pm 0.5 *	6.6 \pm 0.6 *
HOMA-R	4.5 (2.6, 5.6)	3.8 (3.1, 5.1)	3.6 (1.9, 4.2)
Hb (g/dL)	14.6 \pm 1.8	15.1 \pm 1.7 *	15.2 \pm 1.4 *
RD(eGFR < 60, n=9)			
ACR (mg/gCr)	325.2 (111.0, 751.3)	136.0 (62.2, 264.0)	121.1 (95.9, 233.5)
eGFR (ml/min/1.73m ²)	44.4 \pm 8.2	42.3 \pm 9.8	44.1 \pm 9.2
systolic BP (mmHg)	129.3 \pm 16.9	128.4 \pm 10.0	128.3 \pm 18.1
diastolic BP (mmHg)	79.3 \pm 12.9	79.8 \pm 10.9	75.4 \pm 8.9
BMI (kg/m ²)	29.1 \pm 8.1	28.9 \pm 7.5	28.6 \pm 7.5
HbA1c (%)	6.7 \pm 0.4	6.5 \pm 0.2	6.6 \pm 0.2
HOMA-R	2.8 (2.8, 4.8)	3.5 (2.3, 10.8)	13.5 (6.5, 24.1)
Hb (g/dL)	13.6 \pm 2.3	13.8 \pm 2.1	14.0 \pm 2.4

Each value represents the mean \pm SD, or median, 1st quartile and 3rd quartile value.

NRD: non-renal dysfunction group, RD: renal dysfunction group

ACR: urine albumin-to-Cr ratio, BMI: body mass index, HOMA-R: homeostasis model assessment insulin resistance

* p <0.05 vs baseline

Table 4 Comparison of parameter-response to SGLT2 inhibitor in groups showing high or low response of ACR at 1M

	NRD(Baseline eGFR \geq 60)		RD(Baseline eGFR < 60)	
	high responders	low responders	high responders	low responders
	n=6	n=6	n=5	n=4
% Δ ACR	-62.5 \pm 16.3	2.0 \pm 35.3 **	-51.5 (-51.7, -45.9)	-27.9 (-33.6, -10.0) †
% Δ BMI	0.0 \pm 1.7	-0.8 \pm 1.3	0.0 (-0.6, 0.0)	0.0 (-2.6, 1.2)
% Δ systolic BP	-7.3 \pm 2.0	-2.2 \pm 5.0	-6.5 \pm 12.2	10.0 \pm 16.1
% Δ diastolic BP	-8.0 \pm 7.4	-5.7 \pm 6.9	-2.5 \pm 7.9	7.3 \pm 23.8
% Δ HbA1c	-2.2 (-7.1, -1.5)	-3.5 (-4.0, 2.6)	-3.9 \pm 4.4	0.9 \pm 4.6
% Δ HOMA-R	-36.0 \pm 20.0	72.0 \pm 131.1	24.1 \pm 51.7	-4.1 \pm 22.9
% Δ Hb	4.6 \pm 4.4	2.8 \pm 3.4	2.1 (0.0, 5.4)	0.7 (-0.3, 0.7)
% Δ eGFR	-5.3 \pm 10.4	-0.8 \pm 7.9	-4.9 \pm 7.5	-5.4 \pm 8.8
% Δ NTproBNP	50.3 \pm 56.8	42.7 \pm 106.1	-17.2 \pm 31.6	21.3 \pm 21.3
% Δ FENa	-29.8 (-48.5, 36.1)	-14.7 (-34.2, 9.9)	7.8 \pm 54.7	116.3 \pm 176.5
% Δ NAG/Cr	41.9 \pm 72.7	28.5 \pm 51.3	-12.3 \pm 26.4	42.7 \pm 59.2
% Δ MCP-1/Cr	16.0 \pm 35.2	45.8 \pm 83.1	-25.7 \pm 11.4	59.2 \pm 17.0 ††
% Δ iPF2 α -III/Cr	14.1 \pm 36.6	11.2 \pm 66.2	20.1 \pm 50.4	-11.4 \pm 17.9

Each value represents the mean \pm SD, or median, 1st quartile and 3rd quartile value.

NRD: non-renal dysfunction group, RD: renal dysfunction group

"% Δ " indicates percent increase or decrease rate comparing to the baseline as described in the text.

ACR: urine albumin-to-Cr rate, BMI: body mass index, HOMA-R: homeostasis model assessment insulin resistance, NTproBNP: N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide, FENa: fractional excretion of Na, NAG: N-acetyl- β -D-glucosaminidase, MCP-1: monocyte chemotactic protein-1, iPF2 α -III: 8-iso prostaglandin F2 α

** p <0.01 vs high responders in NRD, † p <0.05, †† p <0.01 vs high responders in RD

Table 5 Correlation analysis of the changes in the multiple parameters with the reduction of ACR at 1M.

vs % Δ ACR	NRD(eGFR \geq 60, n=12)		RD(eGFR < 60, n=9)	
	<i>R</i>	<i>p</i> -value	<i>R</i>	<i>p</i> -value
% Δ BMI	-0.100	<i>n.s.</i>	0.236	<i>n.s.</i>
% Δ systolic BP	0.354	<i>n.s.</i>	0.578	<i>n.s.</i>
% Δ diastolic BP	-0.005	<i>n.s.</i>	0.578	<i>n.s.</i>
% Δ HbA1c	0.475	<i>n.s.</i>	0.386	<i>n.s.</i>
% Δ HOMA-R	0.464	<i>n.s.</i>	-0.320	<i>n.s.</i>
% Δ Hb	-0.103	<i>n.s.</i>	0.087	<i>n.s.</i>
% Δ eGFR	0.299	<i>n.s.</i>	0.016	<i>n.s.</i>
% Δ NTproBNP	0.474	<i>n.s.</i>	0.723	<i>n.s.</i>
% Δ FENa	0.194	<i>n.s.</i>	0.200	<i>n.s.</i>
% Δ NAG/Cr	0.137	<i>n.s.</i>	0.179	<i>n.s.</i>
% Δ MCP-1/Cr	0.476	<i>n.s.</i>	0.683	0.042
% Δ iPF2 α -III/Cr	-0.006	<i>n.s.</i>	-0.156	<i>n.s.</i>

NRD: non-renal dysfunction group, RD: renal dysfunction group

R: Pearson's correlation coefficient

BMI: body mass index, HOMA-R: homeostasis model assessment insulin resistance, ACR: urine albumin-to-Cr ratio, NTproBNP: N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide, FENa: fractional excretion of Na, NAG: N-acetyl- β -D-glucosaminidase, MCP-1: monocyte chemotactic protein-1, iPF2 α -III: 8-iso prostaglandin F2 α

n.s. not significant

Table 6 Multiple regression analysis to predict markers with the reduction of ACR in renal dysfunction group.

RD (Baseline eGFR < 60, n=9)	
%ΔACR	
Variable	β
%ΔBMI	—
%Δsystolic BP	—
%ΔHbA1c	—
%ΔMCP-1/Cr	0.683*
R^2	0.467*

RD: renal dysfunction group, β : standardized partial regression coefficient, R^2 : coefficient of determination, —: Not entered

ACR: urine albumin-to-Cr rate, BMI: body mass index, MCP-1: monocyte chemotactic protein-1

* $p < 0.05$

Figures

Fig. 1




Figure 1

Scatter plots of selected results of the correlation analysis. Correlations of the change rate of ACR with the change rate of eGFR (a) and urine MCP-1 (b) in the non-renal-dysfunction group are depicted in the upper panel. Correlations with the change rate of eGFR (c) and urine MCP-1 (d) in the renal-dysfunction group are depicted in the lower panel. Solid lines represent linear approximation lines with statistical significance.

Fig. 2




Figure 2

Theoretical schema of the underlying mechanism of SGLT2 inhibitors in patients with and without renal dysfunction. In early diabetes, patients do not show renal dysfunction, and glomerular hypertension due to hyperfiltration is generally observed. In this period, interstitial injury around the proximal tubule is typically only mild, and peritubular glucose and AGEs accumulation (represented by small red circles) is often limited (left panel). In more advanced periods of diabetes, glomerular damage becomes more pronounced and GFR also decreases. Accumulation of glucose and AGEs to the tubulointerstitial tissue advances, and tubulointerstitial damage and fibrosis progress (right panel).