Correlation analysis of cortisol concentration in hair versus concentrations in serum, saliva and urine.

Lukasz Cieszynski (✉ lukaszdoc@wp.pl)

Medical University of Gdansk   https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8558-9771

Jaroslaw Jendrzejewski

Department of Endocrinology and Internal Medicine, Medical University of Gdansk

Piotr Wisniewski

Department of Endocrinology and Internal Medicine, Medical University of Gdansk

Przemyslaw Klosowski

Department of Endocrinology and Internal Medicine, Medical University of Gdansk

Krzysztof Sworczak

Department of Endocrinology and Internal Medicine, Medical University of Gdansk

Research article

Abstract

Background: Cortisol concentration is measured in blood, urine, and saliva samples. It has been recently proven that cortisol could be also detected in hair samples. Cortisol measurements in different samples have their own individual characteristics and clinical utility. We aimed to investigate the correlation between hair cortisol concentration and standard cortisol measurements used in clinical practice.

Methods: Fifty adult volunteers with a negative history of endocrine disorders were enrolled into the study. Morning serum cortisol (MSC), evening serum cortisol (ESC), evening free salivary cortisol (EFSC), urine free cortisol (UFC) and hair cortisol were analyzed in all participants. Eventually, 41 volunteers were included into the study, whose cortisol concentration in the 1 mg overnight dexamethason suppression test (1mgONDST) were <50 nmol/l, and cortisol levels in serum, saliva and urine were within reference ranges. Hair cortisol concentration (HCC) was performed for 20 mg of hair strands of the proximal 1 cm hair segments.

Results: HCC ranged from 0.3036 to 2.65 nmol/l/mg, and the average value was 0.8125±0.4834 nmol/l/mg. No significant correlations were found between HCC and MSC (rho=0.04419, p=0.7838), HCC and ESC (rho=-0.2071, p=0.1938), HCC and EFSC (rho=0.1005, p=0.532), and HCC and UFC (rho = 0.1793, p = 0.262).

Conclusions : This work is another step in the discussion on the application of HCC determinations in clinical practice. Our results have showed no correlations between HCC and single point cortisol assessment in blood, saliva and urine in patients with reference cortisol levels.

Keywords: Hair cortisol, Serum cortisol, Salivary cortisol, Urine cortisol, Cortisol assessment, Cortisol correlation

Background

Evaluation of disorders of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis (HPA) is often challenging in endocrine diagnostics. The most common pathologies of HPA include Cushing's syndrome/disease (overt, subclinical, cyclic and iatrogenic), primary/secondary adrenal insufficiency and assessment of possible hormonal adjustment in patients receiving glucocorticosteroids (GCS) substitution doses (1,2). In addition, pseudo-Cushing's syndrome in the course of e.g. depression, alcoholism, type 2 diabetes, abdominal obesity, or polycystic ovary syndrome is also an important diagnostic challenge (3). Cortisol concentration is routinely measured in blood, urine, and saliva samples. It has been recently showed that cortisol could be also detected in hair samples. Cortisol measurements in different samples have their own individual characteristics and clinical utility.

The determination of serum cortisol mostly refers to its total fraction (serum total cortisol, STC), including cortisol bound to plasma proteins like transcortin (cortisol binding globulin, CBG), but also to sex hormone binding globulin (SHBG) and albumin (4). Hence, non-primary HPA-related factors may influence

the laboratory measurements. Oral contraception, pregnancy or hyperthyroidism increase STC level (false positive results), while significant hypoalbuminaemia < 25 g/dl lowers STC concentration (false negative results) (4–6). Serum free cortisol (SFC) measurement is not routinely performed. It is assumed that SFC constitutes about 3–5% of the total cortisol fraction (5). Serum cortisol levels are tested in the morning, evening and night hours, in order to assess its circadian rhythm of secretion. The absence of circadian cortisol rhythm is one of the first manifestations of endogenous hypercortislemia. Therefore, circadian cortisol measurement is one of the first line tests in the HPA disorders assessment. However, determination of cortisol concentrations at night might be difficult for outpatient departments due to work hours of laboratories. Serum cortisol is also assessed in dexamethasone suppression test, synacthen stimulation test, corticotrophin releases hormone (CRH) or vasopresin stimulation tests used in the diagnosis and differentiation of cortisol level disorders (6,7).

The determination of cortisol in saliva reflects its free fraction (free salivary cortisol, FSC), because its presence in saliva is the result of passive diffusion of SFC from the blood into saliva. Same amount of cortisol is converted to cortisone in the salivary glands by 11b-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 2 (11bHSD2). Therefore, FSC concentrations are lower than serum cortisol. It is assumed that FSC constitutes about 50–70% of SFC (8). A saliva sample collection is stress free non-invasive procedure, it does not require the involvement of medical personnel, hence, it is cost effective and minimizes the impact of stress-induced hypercortisolemia related to hospitalization and invasive derivation of blood samples. Furthermore, cortisol in saliva is stable at room temperature for up to 7 days, which allows to take a sample at any time of the day and night. This in turn allows to easily analyzing the circadian rhythm of cortisol. All this makes the assessment of cortisol in saliva as a very good screening test for cortisol level deviation (9,10).

In the past, urine free cortisol (UFC) was recognized as the main test (gold standard) in cortisol secretion disorder assessment. Currently it is used as a complementary assay. Similar to salivary cortisol, UFC concentrations are also significantly reduced due to the renal activity of 11bHSD2 (11). Difficulties in assessing UFC include frequent interference of determinations with drugs or GCS metabolites, such as prednisolone, methylprednisolone, spironolactone, dexamethasone, cortisone, 17-hydroxyprogesterone, or carbamazepine. In addition, patients' self-urine collection is not always proper performed, which in turn might affect UFC results. In patients with renal failure (the glomerular filtration rate (GFR) < 30 ml/min), it is possible to obtain false negative results. Due to these disadvantages, instead of UFC assessment it has been suggested to measure the cortisol:creatinine ratio in a single urine sample (12).

Hair cortisol concentration (HCC) assessment is not routinely performed due to a number of pre-laboratory impairing factors. The first determinations of HCC in humans were described in 2004 (13). Considering the average hair growth of 1 cm per month, a hair sample depending on its length might reflect cortisol levels over the last days, weeks or months (14). An additional benefit of the HCC assessment is the non-invasive sample collection, and the ability to store it at room temperature for an extended period of time without any preparation (15). The presence of cortisol in hair, like other endogenous substances and xenobiotics, is the result of the passive diffusion of free cortisol from the

blood to the hair matrix during its formation in the bulb (16). In addition, a certain amount of cortisol may come from sweat and sebum. Therefore, HCC may depend on additional factors, such as gender (stimulation of sweat and sebum production by androgens), age (slower hair growth with age), dyeing hair (possible leaching of cortisol by chemical agents, interference in the assay), physical activity, hygiene habits (frequency of hair washing), or exposure to sunlight (17–23). One study demonstrated the possibility of cortisol production by hair bulb cells itself (24). HCC determinations are performed by liquid chromatography tandem-mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MA) or immunoenzymatic assays (25,26). Assessment of HCC in healthy volunteers versus standard blood cortisol measurement methods was presented in our previous work (27).

Methods

Fifty adult volunteers with a negative history of endocrine disorders were enrolled into the study. Subjects treated with GCS over the past year prior to the enrollment were excluded from the study. Whole blood (3 ml) was collected at 8.00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m. the same day to assess serum total cortisol concentration in the morning (morning serum cortisol, MSC) and evening (evening serum cortisol, ESC), respectively. In order to assess the daily excretion of UFC, 24 h urine collection under medical supervision was done, and 5 ml of urine sample was immediately sent for analysis. Saliva collection was carried out using a dedicated kit at 8 p.m. (evening free salivary cortisol, EFSC). The obtained material was frozen at -32 ° C and stored for further analysis. After collecting samples for MSC, ESC, UFC, and EFSC, 1 mg overnight dexamethason suppression test (1mgONDST) was performed. Eventually, 41 volunteers were included into the study, whose cortisol concentration in the 1mgONDST were < 50 nmol/l, and cortisol levels in serum, saliva and urine were within reference ranges (Table 1).

Table 1
Reference ranges of the laboratory assay. 1 mg ONDST-
1 mg overnight dexamethasone suppression test

Assay	Reference ranges
1 mg ONDST	< 50 nmol/l
Morning serum cortisol	138–690 nmol/l
Evening serum cortisol	55–331 nmol/l
Evening free salivary cortisol	1.10- 11.32 nmol/l
Urine free cortisol	138–524 nmol/24hr
Hair cortisol concentration	Not validated

The hair sampling technique consisted of cutting off approximately 100 hair strands from the posterior vertex area of the head with a sterile scalpel close to the scalp. Next, 1 cm hair fragments were cut away close to their follicles, and after weighing of 20 mg they were sealed in a paper envelope until further

analysis. In HCC assessment, the hair samples were placed into a 10 ml plastic tube, and flushed with methanol. Next, they were transferred into 5 ml tubes and incubated at 50°C in methanol for 24 hours. The extract was transferred into a 3 ml tube for methanol evaporation. Subsequently, the residue was dissolved in 250 μl of phosphate buffered saline (PBS) by incubation for 1 hour and send for cortisol measurement. Finally, HCC obtained in the samples, were calculated for 1 mg hairs specimen (nmol/l/mg). All cortisol assessments were performed in the Central Clinical Laboratory of the Medical University of Gdansk, Poland. Commercial ELISA kit (IBL International GmbH, Hamburg, Germany, catalog number RE 52611) was used for the MSC, ESC, EFSC and HCC measurements. According to the manufacturer's data, cross-reactivity was determined to be 30% for prednisolone, 7% for 11-desoxycortisol, 4.2% for cortisone, 2.5% for prednisone, 1.4% for corticosterone, and < 1% for other test substances. The detection threshold was set at 0.138 nmol/l, with functional sensitivity set at 0.828 nmol/l.

The intra and interassay coefficient of variation was determined at 7.3% for concentrations 7.452 nmol/l and 8.8% for concentrations 14.904 nmol/l (saliva), and 9.9% for concentrations 49.68 nmol/l and 20% for concentrations 41.4 nmol/l (serum). UFC determinations were made with the set from the same manufacturer (IBL International GmbH, Hamburg, Germany, catalog number RE52241). In UFC measurements, cross-reactivity was determined at 18.7% for 11-alpha-desoxycortisol, 10.8% for cortisone, 2.4% for corticosterone and < 0.1% for the other substances. The declared intra assay variation was 7%, and inter assay variation < 9%. Cortisol measurements in saliva and hair were done twice and arithmetic average values were used in statistical analysis.

Results

The assessment of variable distribution was performed by using the quantile-quantile plots. The t-test and the Mann-Whitney U test were used for comparisons between subgroups. Correlations were analyzed by the Spearman test. The general linear model was fitted to perform multivariate analysis. Some variables were transformed before inclusion into the linear model. Values of $p < 0.05$ were considered to be statistically significant. R Studio software (RStudio Inc., Boston, USA, ver. 1.2.1335) was employed for the statistical analysis.

The values had a normal distribution for: age ($p = 0.5999$), MSC ($p = 0.3008$), ESC ($p = 0.3725$), and UFC ($p = 0.6905$). A non-normal distribution was detected for: 1mgONDST ($p = 0.2741$), EFSC ($p = 0.9886$), and HCC ($p = 0.1873$). HCC ranged from 0.3036 to 2.65 nmol/l/mg, and the average value was 0.8125 ± 0.4834 nmol/l/mg. The characteristic of the studied group is presented in the Table 2.

Table 2

Characteristic of the population. Data is presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD) and median ± interquartile range (IQR). 1 mg ONDST 1 mg overnight suppression test

Variable	Total (n = 41)	Female (n = 29)	Male (n = 12)	P-value
Age (years)	62.97 ± 10.92	63.65 ± 9.78	61.33 ± 13.64	0.56
1 mg ONDST (pmol/l)	34.49 ± 6.32 (28 ± 8)	33.41 ± 6.94 (30 ± 9)	30.25 ± 3.88 (28 ± 3)	0.07
Morning serum cortisol (nmol/l)	329.5 ± 108.7	318.1 ± 109.6	357.1 ± 106	0.3
Evening serum cortisol (nmol/l)	110.5 ± 48.68	114.9 ± 48.78	99.67 ± 48.78	0.37
Urine free cortisol (nmol/24hr)	207.7 ± 130.1	213.1 ± 130.4	194.7 ± 134.1	0.69
Evening free salivary cortisol (nmol/l)	2.09 ± 1.18 (1.78 ± 0.99)	2.07 ± 1.21 (1.83 ± 0.91)	2.16 ± 1.18 (1.71 ± 1.55)	0.99
Hair cortisol concentration (nmol/l/mg)	0.81 ± 0.48 (0.65 ± 0.3)	0.70 ± 0.28 (0.65 ± 0.26)	1.08 ± 0.73 (0.78 ± 0.75)	0.19

No significant correlations were found between HCC and EFSC (rho = 0.1005, p = 0.532), HCC and MSC (rho = 0.04419, p = 0.7838), HCC and ESC (rho=-0.2071, p = 0.1938), and HCC and UFC (rho = 0.1793, p = 0.262) (Fig. 1). The general linear model was fitted to perform multivariate analysis. The dependent variable was the concentration of cortisol in the hair. Independent variables for the model were selected by the step method based on the AIC (Akaike Information Criterion) values. However a statistically significant linear regression model could not be fitted.

Discussion

Several publications have indicated a strong relationship between serum cortisol and salivary cortisol (4, 28–32). It is a result of the simultaneous cortisol secretion into the blood by the adrenal glands and subsequent cortisol diffusion from blood into saliva. Hence, salivary cortisol correlates with cortisol concentrations in blood in a given time point. On the other hand, correlations of HCC with cortisol concentrations in blood, urine or saliva have been assessed as moderate or weak (33). Our results have showed no correlation between HCC and cortisol concentrations in saliva, urine, and blood. The passive diffusion of free cortisol from the blood into hair bulb is the main mechanism of cortisol presence in hair. Thus, HCC reflects the average concentration of serum cortisol over a given period of time depending of hair length used for analysis. Indeed, statistically significant correlations between HCC and multi-point measurements of urine and salivary cortisol have been reported (33–36). Our results are in turn consistent with a number of studies assessing the relationship between HCC and single point cortisol measurement in saliva and serum where such correlations were weak or absent (34,36,37). In our opinion, despite a progress in laboratory diagnostics including cortisol assays, it is still challenging to transfer

complex regulation of cortisol production in vivo to relatively simple statistical models based on laboratory tests. Cortisol is secreted in a pulsating manner subject to circadian rhythms, negative and positive feedback may depend on exogenous factors, and numerous genetic variants determining the sensitivity of the cortisol receptor, which in turn, might alter its level and impact on clinical manifestations (4). This may lead to misinterpretation of laboratory results and wrong diagnosis (6,7). Another problem raised by many other authors is the lack of sufficient validation of studies assessing the correlation of HCC with other biological samples (18,34,38). Additional, possibility of pre-analytical errors, especially for more complex determinations such as HCC should be also taken into account.

For instance, the impact of substances used in hair care has not been fully evaluated. Interestingly, we did not see any difference in HCC between males and females despite the fact that the latter population likely use more frequently e.g. hair bleach.

Unlike other studies, our enrollment protocol was based on a preliminary assessment of cortisol levels in routine laboratory determinations of saliva, blood and urine. Therefore, a number of external factors affecting the HPA axis have been eliminated, such as social status, anthropometric parameters or emotional state (39–42). The impact of these factors is prominent (20). Assessed cortisol levels reflected various time intervals: minutes and hours (blood, saliva), hours and days (urine), days and months (hair). Such wide time slot of determination of cortisol concentrations may better reflect the variability of it's concentrations in analyzed individuals. Additionally, we assessed the patients' clinical status during the last year before enrollment into the study. The studied group was not large, however, it was homogeneous in terms of hormonal status.

Limitations

The study has one major limitation that is a relatively small population that was studied. It results from the protocol of the study that included rigorist exclusion criteria. Any potential factors that might have impacted the HPA axis excluded individuals from the study. Hence, we tested only individuals with no HPA axis impairment. The enrolment was performed in a hospital where many patients are exposed for steroid treatment or suffer from diseases impacting glucocorticosteroids levels. However, the studied population is small, it is very carefully selected and represents individuals with no disorders of the HPA axis which is a major advantage of our study. We believe that if the correlation across saliva, blood and hair cortisol was strong it would be displayed even in a relatively small populations. Indeed, our data are consistent with other studies showing no such correlations.

Conclusion

Summary, this work is another step in the discussion on the application of HCC determinations in clinical practice. The studied group was not large, but it was homogeneous in terms of hormonal status (HPA axis disorders have been excluded). Our results have showed no correlations between HCC and single point cortisol assessment in blood, saliva and urine in patients with reference cortisol levels. Further

analyses of HCC in healthy individuals vs. hyper/ hypocortisolemia patients are needed in order to assess HCC efficient cut off points for HPA axis disorders.

Abbreviations

11bHSD2: 11b-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 2; 1mgONDST: 1 mg overnight dexamethason suppression test; CBG: Cortisol binding globulin; CRH: Corticotrophin releases hormone; ELIZA: Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; ESC: Evening serum cortisol; EFSC: Evening free salivary cortisol; GCS: Glucocorticosteroids; GFR: Glomerular filtration rate; HCC: Hair cortisol concentration; HPA: Hypothalamic pituitary adrenal axis; LC-MS/MA: Liquid chromatography tandem-mass spectrometry; MSC: Morninig serum cortisol; PBS: Phosphate buffered saline; SFC: Serum free cortisol; STC: Serum total cortisol; FSC: Free salivary cortisol; SHBG: Sex hormone binding globulin; UFC: Urine free cortisol

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

All participants gave written informed consent. All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. The study was approved by the Bioethics Committee at the Medical University of Gdansk. This article does not contain any studies with animals performed by any of the authors.

Consent for publication

The manuscript does not report personal data such as individuals details, images or videos, therefore, consent for publication is not required.

Availability of data and materials

The data sets analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on a reasonable request.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Funding

No funding was obtained for this study.

Authors' contributions

All authors made substantial contributions to the concept and designed of the study, LC- collection/ assembly of data and writing the article, JJ- critical revision of the article, PW- data analysis and interpretation, PK- collection of data, SK- research concept and design. All authors reviewed the manuscript and approved its final version.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Authors' information

¹Department of Endocrinology and Internal Medicine, Medical University of Gdansk, Debinki 7 street, 80-952 Gdansk, Poland.

References

1. Haddad RA, Giacherio D, Barkan AL. Interpretation of common endocrine laboratory tests: technical pitfalls, their mechanisms and practical considerations. Clinical diabetes and endocrinology. 2019; 5(1), 12.

2. Hodes A, Lodish MB, Tirosh A, et al. Hair cortisol in the evaluation of Cushing syndrome. Endocrine. 2017; 56(1), 164-174.

3. Putignano P, Bertolini M, Losa M, et al. Screening for Cushing's syndrome in obese women with and without polycystic ovary syndrome. Journal of Endocrinological Investigation. 2003; 26(6): 539-544.

4. Levine A, Zagoory-Sharon O, Feldman R, et al. Measuring cortisol in human psychobiological studies. Physiology & Behavior. 2007; 90(1): 43-53.

5. Gatti R, Antonelli G, Prearo M, et al. Cortisol assays and diagnostic laboratory procedures in human biological fluids. Clinical biochemistry. 2009; 42(12): 1205-1217.

6. Abdulateef DS, Mahwi TO. Assessment of hair cortisol in euthyroid, hypothyroid, and subclinical hypothyroid subjects. Endocrine. 2019; 63(1), 131-139.

7. Cieszynski L, Berendt-Obolonczyk M, Szulc M, et al. (2016). Cushing's syndrome due to ectopic ACTH secretion. Endocrinol Pol. 2016; 67(4): 458-471.

8. Meulenberg PMM, Hofman JA. The effect of oral contraceptive use and pregnancy on the daily rhythm of cortisol and cortisone. Clinica Chimica Acta. 1990; 190(3): 211-221.

9. Stalder T, Kirschbaum C, Kudielka BM, et al. Assessment of the cortisol awakening response: expert consensus guidelines. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2016; 6: 3 414-432.

10. Fries E, Dettenborn L, Kirschbaum C. The cortisol awakening response (CAR): facts and future directions. International journal of Psychophysiology. 2009; 72(1): 67-73.

11. Hellman L, Nakada F, Zumoff, B, et al. Renal capture and oxidation of cortisol in man. The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism. 1971; 33(1): 52-62.

12. Viardot A, Huber P, Puder JJ, et al. Reproducibility of nighttime salivary cortisol and its use in the diagnosis of hypercortisolism compared with urinary free cortisol and overnight dexamethasone suppression test. The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism. 2005; 90(10): 5730-5736.

13. Raul JS, Cirimele V, Ludes B, et al. Detection of physiological concentrations of cortisol and cortisone in human hair. Clinical biochemistry. 2004; 37(12): 1105-1111.

14. Wennig R. Potential problems with the interpretation of hair analysis results. Forensic science international. 2000; 107(1-3): 5-12.

15. Gow R, Thomson S, Rieder M, et al. An assessment of cortisol analysis in hair and its clinical applications. Forensic science international. 2010; 196(1-3): 32-37.

16. Henderson GL. Mechanisms of drug incorporation into hair. Forensic Science International. 1993; 63(1-3): 19-29.

17. Wosu AC, Valdimarsdottir U, Shields AE, et al. Correlates of cortisol in human hair: implications for epidemiologic studies on health effects of chronic stress. Annals of epidemiology. 2013; 23(12): 797-811.

18. Stalder T, Steudte-Schmiedgen S, Alexander N, et al. Stress-related and basic determinants of hair cortisol in humans: A meta-analysis. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2017; 77: 261-274.

19. Staufenbiel SM, Penninx BW, Spijker AT, et al. Hair cortisol, stress exposure, and mental health in humans: a systematic review. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2013; 38(8): 1220-1235.

20. Dettenborn L, Tietze A, Kirschbaum C, et al. The assessment of cortisol in human hair: associations with sociodemographic variables and potential confounders. Stress. 2012; 15(6): 578-588.

21. Gerber M, Jonsdottir IH, Kalak N, et al. Objectively assessed physical activity is associated with increased hair cortisol content in young adults. Stress. 2013; 16(6): 593-599.

22. Garcia-Leon MA, Peralta-Ramirez MI, Arco-Garcia L, et al. Hair cortisol concentrations in a Spanish sample of healthy adults. PloSone. 2018; 13(9): e0204807.

23. Kristensen SK, Larsen SC, Olsen NJ, et al. Hair dyeing, hair washing and hair cortisol concentrations among women from the healthy start study. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2017; 77: 182-185.

24. Ito N, Ito T, Kromminga A, et al. Human hair follicles display a functional equivalent of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis and synthesize cortisol. The FASEB journal. 2005; 19(10): 1332-1334.

25. Slominski R, Rovnaghi CR, Anand KJ. Methodological considerations for hair cortisol measurements in children. Therapeutic Drug Monitoring. 2015; 37(6): 812.

26. Russell E, Kirschbaum C, Laudenslager ML, et al. Toward standardization of hair cortisol measurement: results of the first international interlaboratory round robin. Therapeutic Drug Monitoring. 2015; 37(1): 71-75.

27. Cieszynski L, Jendrzejewski J, Wisniewski P, et al. Hair cortisol concentration in a population without hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis disorders. Advances in clinical and experimental medicine: official organ Wroclaw Medical University. 2019; 28(3): 375-379.

28. Teruhisa U, Ryoji H, Taisuke I, et al. Use of saliva for monitoring unbound free cortisol levels in serum. Clinica Chimica Acta. 1981; 110(2-3): 245-253.

29. Poll EM, Kreitschmann-Andermahr I, Langejuergen Y, et al. Saliva collection method affects predictability of serum cortisol. Clinica Chimica Acta. 2007; 382(1-2): 15-19.

30. Hellhammer DH, Wust S, Kudielka BM. Salivary cortisol as a biomarker in stress research. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2009; 34(2): 163-171.

31. Gozansky WS, Lynn JS, Laudenslager ML, et al. Salivary cortisol determined by enzyme immunoassay is preferable to serum total cortisol for assessment of dynamic hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis activity. Clinical endocrinology. 2005; 63(3): 336-341.

32. Arafah BM, Nishiyama FJ, Tlaygeh H, et al. Measurement of salivary cortisol concentration in the assessment of adrenal function in critically ill subjects: a surrogate marker of the circulating free cortisol. The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism. 2007; 92(8): 2965-2971.

33. Short SJ, Stalder T, Marceau K, et al. Correspondence between hair cortisol concentrations and 30-day integrated daily salivary and weekly urinary cortisol measures. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2016; 71: 12-18.

34. Sauve B, Koren G, Walsh G, et al. Measurement of cortisol in human hair as a biomarker of systemic exposure. Clinical & Investigative Medicine. 2007; 30(5): 183-191.

35. Xie Q, Gao W, Li J, et al. Correlation of cortisol in 1-cm hair segment with salivary cortisol in human: hair cortisol as an endogenous biomarker. Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine. 2011; 49(12): 2013-2019.

36. Vanaelst B, Huybrechts I, Bammann K, et al. Intercorrelations between serum, salivary, and hair cortisol and child-reported estimates of stress in elementary school girls. Psychophysiology. 2012; 49(8): 1072-1081.

37. Steudte S, Kolassa IT, Stalder T, et al. Increased cortisol concentrations in hair of severely traumatized Ugandan individuals with PTSD. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2011; 36(8): 1193-1200.

38. Davenport MD, Tiefenbacher S, Lutz CK, et al. Analysis of endogenous cortisol concentrations in the hair of rhesus macaques. General and comparative endocrinology. 2006; 147(3): 255-261.

39. Iglesias S, Jacobsen D, Gonzalez D, et al. Hair cortisol: A new tool for evaluating stress in programs of stress management. Life sciences. 2015; 141: 188-192.

40. Russell E, Koren G, Rieder M, et al. Hair cortisol as a biological marker of chronic stress: current status, future directions and unanswered questions. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2012; 37(5): 589-601.

41. Karlen J, Ludvigsson J, Frostell A, et al. Cortisol in hair measured in young adults-a biomarker of major life stressors?. BMC clinical pathology. 2011; 11(1): 12.

42. Wosu AC, Gelaye B, Valdimarsdóttir U, et al. Hair cortisol in relation to sociodemographic and lifestyle characteristics in a multiethnic US sample. Annals of epidemiology. 2015; 25(2): 90-95.

Figures

Figure 1

Correlation analysis between HCC vs. UFC, EFSC, MSC and ESC. HCC hair cortisol concentration; UFC urinary free cortisol; EFSC evening free salivary cortisol; MSC morning serum cortisol; ESC evening serum cortisol; rho Spearman's rank correlation coefficient