

Malament Stitch and Increased Risk of Bladder Neck Stenosis: Any Association Following Open Prostatectomy in Enugu Southeast Nigeria.

okwudili Amu (✉ amuokwy@yahoo.com)

college of Medicine, university of Nigeria, Enugu Campus

Emmanuel Affusim

college of Medicine, Chukwuemeka Odumegwu Ojukwu university, Awka, Nigeria

Ugochukwu Nnadozie

federal teaching hospital, Abakiliki, Nigeria

Okezie Mbadiwe

college of Medicine, university of Nigeria, Enugu Campus

Research Article

Keywords: Malament stitch, open prostatectomy, bladder neck stenosis

Posted Date: February 8th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-147614/v1>

License:  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at BMC Urology on January 13th, 2022. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12894-021-00944-y>.

Abstract

Background: Malament stitch is one of the effective techniques employed to minimize bleeding in simple open prostatectomy but concerns about possibility of increased risk of bladder neck stenosis has limited its routine use.

Aim: We studied patients who had open prostatectomy with malament stitch to determine the incidence of bladder neck stenosis amongst them.

Material and methods: this was a prospective study of 72 patients who had simple open prostatectomy in which malament stitch was applied from 2010 to 2020. A proforma was designed to collect data. Pretreatment variables were transrectal ultrasound (TRUS) volume of prostate, pretreatment IPSS value, postvoidal residual urine volume before surgery, weight of enucleated prostate adenoma, time to removal of Malament stitch. Outcome measures were done with post treatment IPSS and PVR at 6 weeks, 3 months and 6 months. Cystoscopy was done at 3 months or 6 months for patients with rising outcome measures to determine presence of bladder neck stenosis.

RESULTS: The mean age of patients in this study was 68.3 years (SD=7.1, range = 52-82). The mean of the pretreatment score for IPSS was 30.7 (SD= 3.9, range= 18- 34) and 5.9 (SD= 0.2) for QOLS. The mean weight of prostate estimated with ultrasound was 169.5g and mean weight of enucleated adenoma of the prostate was 132.5g. The mean time of removal of Malament stitch was 23.1hrs

Only 3 (4.2%) patients required cystoscopy because of increasing IPSS and PVR at three months postprostatectomy . 2 (2.8%) patients out of 72 patients were confirmed to have bladder neck stenosis at cystoscopy.

Conclusion: Malament stitch did not lead to significant incidence of bladder neck stenosis in this study.

Introduction

Benign prostatic hyperplasia remains a common disease of aging men¹. Over the years, improvements in its evaluation and treatment have continued to be witnessed. Presently the gold standard for surgical treatment is transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP).² Holmium laser enucleation of the prostate (HOLEP) is also gaining grounds as a possible improvement on TURP^{3,4}, however simple open prostatectomy still has its indications and remains the commonly done surgical operation in resource poor countries like Nigeria because of the high cost of establishing endoscopic suites⁵. Moreover, we are often faced with far larger prostates and increased likelihood of complications of LUTS like bladder stones, diverticulum that will definitely necessitate an open transvesical prostatectomy⁵.

One of the complications of simple open transvesical prostatectomy is bleeding which may be intraoperative or postoperative. Bleeding leads to recurrent episodes of clot retention postoperatively and frequent multiple blood transfusions with its attendant risks. Over the years, one of the haemostatic stitch

developed was the Malament stitch which significantly stopped or reduced postoperative bleeding and incidence of clot retention ^{6,7,8,9,10,11}.

However a lot of concern has arisen over the possibility of increased incidence of bladder neck stenosis as a late complication following the application of Malament stitch ¹². This has limited its use and many surgeons are not keen on acquiring the skill of applying it.

We studied prospectively patients who had transvesical prostatectomy with Malament stitch applied in an attempt to determine if there was an increased risk of bladder neck stenosis in such patients in our own environment.

Patients And Methods

This is a prospective study carried out at 82 Division Military Hospital in Enugu state in Nigeria from 2010 to 2020. 82 patients were recruited into the study. Ethical clearance was obtained from 82 division military hospital health research ethics committee and informed consent obtained from patients to include them in the study. Research was carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations of the institution's ethics committee. All patients who had open transvesical prostatectomy in which Malament stitch was applied were recruited into the study and followed up for a period of three months to one year. Patients recruited were established to have BPH. Patients who had PSA above 4ng/ml had prostate biopsies to rule out cancer of the prostate. All patients were optimized. Hypertension and diabetes mellitus were controlled. Patients with deranged clotting profile were excluded from the study. Patients with deranged kidney function were placed on continuous drainage until kidney function normalized. Patients on antiplatelets stopped the drugs for 4 weeks before recruitment into the study. Severity of lower urinary tract symptoms were initially assessed with international prostate symptom score (IPSS) and postvoidal urine volume (PVR) for those who were not on catheter at presentation. Maximum flow rate was discarded because many of the patients presented to outpatients clinic in acute retention, acute on chronic retention or chronic retention and were already on urethral catheter. Patients whose enucleated prostate adenoma revealed cancer on histology were also excluded from the study.

The procedure: spinal anaesthesia was used for all patients. Patient is placed on supine position, routine cleaning and draping of the lower abdomen was done. A Pfannenstiel incision was done two fingerbreaths above the pubic symphysis. Incision was deepened to the rectus fascia which was incised transversely. Each lip of the incised fascia was developed and a flap of it raised superiorly and inferiorly exposing the rectus muscles and pyrimidalis muscle. The muscles were bluntly separated in the midline exposing the bladder. A Balfour retractor was inserted to keep the rectus muscles separated exposing the bladder more. A gauze on a sponge holding forcep is used to tease off the perivesical fat and mobilize the peritoneal reflection superiorly. Bladder is opened longitudinally between two stay sutures making sure that the inferior lip of the incision does not go too close to the bladder neck. Urine is suctioned out. The Balfour retractor is replaced by the Millins retractor which is inserted into the bladder. The internal ureteric orifices are identified. Using diathermy, a semicircular incision is made on the mucosa overlying the

median lobe just below the posterior prostatovesical junction. The adenoma is bluntly enucleated carefully avoiding trauma to the prostatic capsule to avoid increased bleeding. Adenoma is removed and the prostatic fossa is immediately packed with hot roll of gauze. The edges of the prostatic capsule with the bladderneck are picked up with Allis tissue forceps. Vicryl 2-0 is used to approximate the mucosa of the bladder neck to the prostatic capsule between the 5'0clock position and 7'0clock position achieving haemostasis. A figure of eight suture is also applied to the 5'0clock and 7'0clock position as described by Harris to further achieve haemostasis using same vicryl 2-0. A Malament stitch is then applied which involves a non absorbable nylon or prolene2 suture which is introduced about 4cm below the inferior lip of the skin incision in the midline. It passes through skin, subcutaneous tissue then through the inferior aspect of the rectus fascia flap appearing at the anterior aspect of the bladder neck at 12'0clock position, it traverses the bladder wall into the anterior aspect of the prostatic fossa. The suture is then taken round the bladder neck at its junction with the prostatic capsule making sure to stay closer to the capsule and taking a good bite to avoid avulsion of the tissue. The suture crosses over to the opposite side of the entry point while exiting the bladder and is brought out through the skin at least 3-4cm opposite its entry point. The gauze pack is then removed and a size 22 haematuric silicone catheter is passed through the urethra into the bladder and its balloon inflated with 10mls of sterile water. The malament suture is then tied over a roll of gauze confirming that it is firmly applied to the catheter passing through the bladderneck. In that way the bladder cavity is completely separated from prostatic fossa except for the catheter passing through. The Millins retractor is removed and a double layer closure of bladder wall is done using vicryl1. A retropubic drain is left insitu and the wound closed in layers with nylon 2-0 to skin.

Postoperative management involved removal of Malament stitch between 18hrs to 36hrs post application. Time of removal of malament depended mostly on confirming absence of active soaking of penile dressing by blood.

Urethral catheter was removed between 10days and 14days.

Patients lower urinary outcome was assessed at 6weeks, 3months and 6months using IPSS and postvoidal residual urine volume (PVR). To achieve this, the cell phone numbers of the patient and at least one relative was obtained and saved.

Patients who had increasing IPSS and or increasing postvoidal urine volume after initial improvement were subjected to cystoscopy after confirming a sterile urine on culture at three or six months post surgery.

Patients with bladder neck stenosis confirmed on cystoscopy were documented and had endoscopic bladder neck incision/resection. A predesigned proforma is used to collect all data.

Results were analyzed using SPSS version 20 with the assistance of a statistician. Results were expressed using tables as means and standard deviation. Pictograms and graphs were used where necessary. Paired t-test was used to compare means of variables measured to test for significance. P-values < 0.05 were considered significant.

Results

The number of patients recruited for the study was 81. However 9 patients were lost to follow up and could not be traced with their submitted cell phone numbers. They were excluded from the study. 72 patients completed the study and were analyzed. The mean age of patients in this study was 68.3 years (SD = 7.1, range = 52–82). The mean of the pretreatment score for IPSS was 30.7 (SD = 3.9, range = 18–34) and 5.9 (SD = 0.2) for QOLS. The mean weight of prostate estimated with ultrasound was 169.5g and mean weight of enucleated adenoma of the prostate was 132.5g. The mean time of removal of Malament stitch was 23.1hrs

Tables 1 and 2 shows a summary of key variables studied

Table 1
Mean and ranges of values of key variables

Variables	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	S. D.
Age	72	52.0	82.0	68.3	7.1
Weight of patient(kg)	72	51	97	73.3	11.0
TRUS volume/weight of prostate(g)	72	66.0	589.5	169.5	76.6
Pretreatment IPSS score	72	18	34	30.7	3.9
Weight of enucleated prostate(g)	72	59.0	426.90	132.5	59.2
Time of removal of malament stitch postoperatively(in hours)	72	18	32	23.1	3.6

Table 2
PVR responses of Patients

Categories of PVR	Percentage	Mean (S.D)
On Catheter	58.3	-
Not on Catheter	41.7	191.0(183.0)

Only 3 (4.2%) patients required cystoscopy because of increasing IPSS at three months postprostatectomy. 2 (2.8%) patients out of 72 patients were confirmed to have bladder neck stenosis at cystoscopy, Fig. 1 and one patient was found to have partial bulbar stricture.

Comparison of variables in patients who had bladder neck stenosis with those who did not is depicted in Table 3.

Table 3

Comparison of variables among the malament stitched patients with or without bladder neck stenosis

Variables	Confirmed Bladder Neck Stenosis status		P-values
	Mean values of (S.D)		
	Yes (n = 2)	No (N = 70)	
Weight of Patients	77.00(4.24)	73.24(11.13)	0.480
Trus Volume/ Weight of Prostate (g)	70.75(6.71)	172.39(75.88)	0.000*
Pretreatment IPPS Score	25.50(9.19)	30(3.73)	0.561
Weight of Enucleated prostate	65.95(8.56)	134.41(59.03)	0.001*
Time of removal of Malament Stitch post-Operatively (Hours)	19.50(2.12)	23.16(3.56)	0.227
<i>*Significant with the P < 0.05 for equal variance not assumed</i>			

The changes in IPSS and PVR in patients with confirmed bladder neck stenosis compared to those without bladder neck stenosis is depicted in Tables 4 and 5 and in Figs. 2 and 3. The increasing IPSS and PVR necessitated cystoscopy in these patients which finally confirmed bladder neck stenosis

Table 4
Trends of IPSS

Confirmed Bladder Neck Stenosis status	IPSS mean values cross periods post Operation		
	6 Weeks	3 Months	6 Months
Yes (n = 2)	7.07	11.50	15.00
No (n = 70)	5.11	3.04	2.16

Table 5
Trends of PVR post Operation

Confirmed Bladder Neck Stenosis status	PVR mean values cross periods post Operation		
	6 Weeks	3 Months	6 Months
Yes (n = 2)	14.00	34.50	90.0
No (n = 70)	0.17	1.20	0.14

Discussion

Transvesical prostatectomy has remained a veritable tool in the management of BPH. It is invaluable for very big prostates exceeding 100g, concomitant bladder stones and bladder diverticulum which could be handled at same time and despite the advent of HOLEP for big prostates, it has remained useful. Moreover HOLEP is not readily available in resource poor countries. However excessive bleeding and recurrent episodes of clot retentions have been a recurring challenge in transvesical prostatectomy. Several attempts have been made to control bleeding during and after transvesical prostatectomy. Malament and co-workers⁶ came up with this technique that excludes the bladder from the prostatic fossa in an attempt to reduce bleeding using a temporary stitch. Many other researchers have modified the technique with same principle. Malament stitch has been found to reduce blood loss perioperatively in open prostatectomies and morbidity and mortality is linked to blood loss and excessive blood transfusions as reported in several studies.

However, the concern has been that the malament stitch may predispose the patient to subsequent bladder neck stenosis as a late complication¹². We decided to report our own findings in Africans in Enugu State of Nigeria as it is known that Africans because of their pigmentation seem to have exaggerated response to wound healing with likelihood to have increased scarring and formation of hypertrophic scars and keloids^{13, 14, 15, 16}.

Only two patients(2.8%) in this study were found to have cystoscopy confirmed bladder neck stenosis out of 72patients. This was not significant and this figure compares to reported incidence of bladder neck contractures where malament stitch was not used^{17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22}. The reported incidence of bladder neck stenosis in these studies ranged from 1.7–6.3%. In other words, this finding was not because Malament stitch was used but may be due to other patient's factors. Further studies on the patients that had bladder neck stenosis may help elucidate these factors. Dakum et al⁸ in their series of 104 patients found bladder neck contractures in 2patients who had malament stitch and in one patient amongst those in whom malament stitch was not used. They noted that there was no significant difference statistically between the groups and concluded that malament stitch did not lead to increased incidence of bladder neck stenosis. Several other studies had similar findings and concluded that use of malament suture does not increase risk of bladder neck stenosis^{9, 10}.

Interestingly, the two patients that had bladder neck contractures had a significant smaller enucleated prostate adenomas compared to those who did not have bladder neck contractures. This may be related to the fibrous nature of smaller obstructing prostates and healing with a more pronounced fibrosis and not necessarily because Malament stitch was applied.

Time of removal of Malament stitch in this study (between 16hrs and 36hrs) had no relationship with development of bladder neck contractures.

It is important to subject a patient to further studies postoperatively once the IPSS and PVR values progressively worsens over time. In this study cystoscopy was done for such patients confirming bladder

neck stenosis in two patients and bulbar stricture in one patient. All were successfully treated endoscopically.

Limitations of the study

some patients were lost to follow up despite attempt to reach them on submitted cell phone numbers. This was not a randomized controlled experimental study.

Conclusion

Malament stitch applied during transvesical prostatectomy and removed between 16hrs and 36hrs does not significantly increase the possibility of developing bladder neck contracture in our BPH patients .

Recommendation:

we will encourage urologists to consider applying malament stitch routinely in open transvesical prostatectomy to reduce the incidence of bleeding.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Ethical approval was obtained from 82division military hospital health research ethics committee and written informed consent obtained from the participants in this study. A copy of ethical clearance certificate is available on request.

Consent for publication

NA/ Not Applicable

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing Interest

There are no conflicts of interests in this study

funding

This publication will be funded by the college of Medicine, university of Nigeria Enugu Campus, Nigeria.

Authors contributions

- a. Drs Amu and Affusim designed the paper and wrote the manuscript
- b. DRs Nnadozie and Mbadiwe contributed the tables and figures and were involved in the surgeries
- c. All the doctors were involved in editing and reviewing the manuscript

Acknowledgements

I wish to thank the theatre staff of 82 division military hospital for assistance in all the surgeries

References

1. Barry, MJ, Adolfsson, J, Batista, JE Measuring the symptoms and health impact of benign prostatic hyperplasia and its treatments. In: Denis, L, Griffiths, K, Khoury, S eds. (1998) Proceedings of the 4th International Consultation of Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia (BPH). SCI, Paris, pp. 265-321
2. Madersbacher S, Marberger M. Is transurethral resection of the prostate still justified? *BJU Int.* 1999;83:227
3. Elzayat EA, Habib EI, Elhilali MM. Holmium laser enucleation of the prostate: a size-independent new 'gold standard'. *Urology* 2005; 66: 108–113
4. Mmeje CO, Nunez-Nateras R, Warner JN, Humphreys MR. Age-stratified outcomes of holmium laser enucleation of the prostate. *BJU Int* 2013; 112: 982-989
5. Ibrahim , Hamid I, Mohammed , Aliyu S, Ali N. Open Prostatectomy among Elderly Patients at The University of Maiduguri Teaching Hospital, North Eastern Nigeria. *Bo Med J* 2012;9: 10 -15
6. Malament M. Maximal haemostasis in suprapubic prostatectomy. *Surg., Gynec. & Obst.*, 1965; 120:1307
7. Cohen SP, Kopilnick MD, Robbins MA. Removable purse string suture of the vesical neck during suprapubic prostatectomy. *J Urol.* 1969; 102: 720
8. Dakum NK, Ramyil VM, Agbo S, Ogwuche E, Malu D, Makama BS. The Malament stitch: Any role in transvesical prostatectomy? *Sahel Medical Journal* 2007; 10: 111-114.
9. Nielson HO, Hojsgaard A, Larsen A, Gravgaard E, Holm-Moller S. The haemostatic effect of purse-string suture in transvesical prostatectomy. A controlled clinical trial. *Urol Int* 1979; 34:147-152
10. Alfthan O, Koskela E. Removable purse-string suture of the bladder neck in transvesical prostatectomy. *Ann Chir Gynaecol.* 1977;66:206-208

11. Alfthan O, Koskela E. An absorbable purse-string suture around the prostatic capsule. A method to control the bleeding during transvesical prostatectomy *Ann Chir Gynaecol*. 1979; 68:130-132
12. Meier DE, Tarpley JL, Imediegwu OO, Olaolorun DA, Nkor SK, Amao EA, Hawkins TC, McConnell JD. The outcome of suprapubic prostatectomy: a contemporary series in the developing world. *Urology*. 1995;46:40-44
13. Cosman B, Crikelair GF, Ju DM, Gaulin JC, Lattes R. The surgical treatment of keloids. *Plast. Reconstr. Surg*. 1961;27: 335-358
14. Oluwasanmi JO, keloids in the African. *Clin Plast Surg*. 1974; 1:179-195
15. Rockwell WB, Cohen IK, Ehrlich HP. Keloids and hypertrophic scars: a comprehensive review. *Plast. Reconstr. Surg*. 1998; 84: 827-837
16. Wolfram D, Tzankov A, Pulzl P, Piza-Katzer H. Hypertrophic scars and keloids- a review of their pathophysiology, risk factors and therapeutic management. *Dermatologic Surg*.2009; 35:171-181
17. Varkarakis I, Kyriakakis Z, Delis A, Protogerou V, Deliveliotis C (2004). Long-term results of open transvesical prostatectomy from a contemporary series of patients. *Urology*, 64(2): 306-310
18. Ajape AA, Kuranga SA, Babata A, Kura MM, Bello JO. An appraisal of a technical modification for prevention of bladder neck stenosis in retropubic prostatectomy: An initial report. *Urol Ann*. 2016; 8:1-5
19. Miller EA, Ellis W. Complications of open prostatectomy. In: Taneja SS, Smith RB, Ehrlich RM, editors. *Complications of Urologic Surgery: Prevention and Management*. 3rd Philadelphia, USA: W.B. Saunders Company; 2001.: 339-403
20. Suer E, Gokce I, Yaman O, Anafarta K, Gögüs O. Open prostatectomy is still a valid option for large prostates: A high-volume, single-center experience. 2008;72:90
21. Serretta V , Morgia G, Fondacaro L, Curto G, Lo bianco A, Pirritano D, et al. Open prostatectomy for benign prostatic enlargement in southern Europe in the late 1990s: a contemporary series of 1800 interventions. . *Urology*. 2002 ;60:623-627.
22. Tubaro A, Carter S, Hind A, Vincentini C, Miano L. A prospective study of the safety and efficacy of suprapubic transvesical prostatectomy in patients with benign prostatic hyperplasia. *J. Urol*. 2001; 166:172-176

Figures




Figure 1

Percentage of confirmed Bladder Neck Stenosis: At Cystoscopy




Figure 2

Trends of the Mean values of IPSS post operation




Figure 3

Trends of the Mean values of PVR post operation