

Evaluation of productive performance in synthetic maternal line (APRI rabbits) under Egyptian conditions.

Amira soliman EL-Deghadi (✉ amira872002@gmail.com)

Animal Production Research Institute, Agriculture Research Center, Dokki, Cairo, Egypt

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8736-8451>

Research Article

Keywords: APRI line rabbits, heritability, genetic and phenotypic correlations, parity, season, litter size at birth

Posted Date: May 12th, 2022

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1478894/v1>

License:   This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

1 **Evaluation of productive performance in synthetic maternal line (APRI rabbits) under**
2 **Egyptian conditions.**

3 **Amira Soliman El-Deghadi; Nabila Elsiad Mahmud Elkassas; Mervat Mahmoud**
4 **Mahmoud Arafa and Mohammed Ibrahim abd El-Naby Seif El-Naser.¹**

5 ¹ Animal Production Research Institute, Agriculture Research Center, Dokki, Cairo, Egypt
6 (APRI).

7 (A.S., amira872002@gmail.com), (N.E., Nabila2077@yahoo.com), (M.M.,
8 mervatarfa1@gmail.com) and (M.I., mohdseif_74@yahoo.co)

9 Corresponding author's Email: amira872002@gmail.com; ORCID: 0000-0002-8736-8451

10 **ABSTRACT**

11 Synthetic lines have been developed in hot climate countries over the last few decades
12 through selection for specific goals such as APRI rabbits, and depending on their
13 specialisation, these lines perform better than the standard of the original breeds, and
14 contemporary production tends to rely on them. The aim of the study was to identify and
15 explain genetic parameters in synthetic maternal line (APRI rabbits) under Egyptian
16 conditions. (DFREML) was used to assess data on body weights (BW) at 4, 8, and 12 weeks,
17 also daily gains (DG) at 4-8, 8-12 and 4-12 weeks. Highest heritability (h^2) estimate for BW
18 was at 4 weeks (0.10), while the lowest estimate was at 12 weeks (0.03). The highest estimate
19 (0.08) was for h^2 of DG at 4-8 weeks, while the lowest estimate was for DG at 8-12 weeks
20 (0.02). All genetic correlations (r_g) between BW at different ages were moderate to high and
21 positive; estimates of r_g for DG ranged from low to high and were positive, with the exception
22 of -0.84 between 4-8 and 8-12 weeks. BW and DG at different intervals had significance and
23 the highest value in the first parity. BW and DG were significantly different in different
24 seasons ($P < 0.05$), with the highest value in the autumn. Significant differences in BW owing
25 to litter size at birth (LSB) ($P < 0.05$). Moreover, LSB had a significant impact on DG at 8-12
26 and 4-12 weeks, but not at 4-8 weeks.

27 **Key Words:** APRI line rabbits; heritability; genetic and phenotypic correlations; parity;
28 season; litter size at birth.

29 **INTRODUCTION**

30 The APRI line was established by mating Baladi Red (BR) bucks to V line does, resulting
31 in F1, F2, and F3 generations, with selection beginning at this generation (**Youssef et al.,**
32 **2008**). Synthetic lines have been developed in hot climate countries during the last few decades
33 through selection for specific goals (**Youssef et al., 2008 and Khalil, 2010**). These lines
34 perform better than the standard of the original breeds, depending on their specialisation, and
35 contemporary production tends to rely on them. The degree of selection, heritability, and
36 standard deviation of the traits are all directly linked to the response to selection (**Falconer and**
37 **Mackay 1996**). One of the key factors determining the profit function is post-weaning average

38 body weights and average daily increase. Understanding post-weaning body weights and
39 growth is critical. Although the rabbit's pre-weaning environment and genotype have an impact
40 on post-weaning growth performance. It also has a significant impact on performance. Various
41 genetic and non-genetic variables such as parity, season and litter size at birth influence a
42 rabbit's post-weaning growth. To estimate genetic parameters for examined traits without bias
43 in predictions, environmental effects must be considered in the model analysis (**Amira El-**
44 **Dehadi, 2005**). Changes in heritabilities estimations between researches can be related to
45 differences in study design, rabbit breeds maintained under certain environmental conditions
46 for a set amount of time and the length of time, the size of the data, and the statistical
47 methodologies utilized all play a role (**El-Zanfaly, 1996**). The implementation of a typical
48 litter animal model is effective for partitioning phenotypic variations due to direct additive
49 genetics and environmental consequences inside litter (residual) (**Yossef et al., 2009**).
50 Quantitative techniques are used in animal genetic improvement programmes to aid the
51 selection of the finest animals based on their breeding values in order to genetically improve
52 their production and reproductive efficiency **Amira El-Dehadi, (2019)**. The goal of this study
53 was to evaluate and explain genetic parameters such as heritability, common litter effect,
54 genetic and phenotypic correlations, and breeding value in synthetic maternal line (APRI
55 rabbits) under Egyptian conditions, as well as to determine fixed effects such as parity, season,
56 and litter size at birth.

57

58 **Materials and Methods**

59 APRI line a maternal line rabbits are an improved line rabbit breed bred at the Animal
60 Production Research Institute's Gemmayzaha experimental rabbitery (APRI). APRI line data
61 on body weight at 4, 8, and 12 weeks, as well as daily gains at 4 to 8 weeks, 8 to 12 weeks, and
62 4 to 12 weeks, was collected during three seasons (autumn, winter, and spring). Breeding does
63 and bucks were housed separately in single-tier batteries with feeding and mechanical nipple
64 drinkers in individual welded wire cages. At 25 days after fruitful mating, rabbit doe houses
65 were equipped with nest boxes. The rabbits were all fed the same commercial pelleted diet,
66 which contained 18% protein, 2.39 percent crude fat, and 12.8 percent crude fiber. Water and
67 food were available throughout the day. Four weeks after kindling, the litter was weaned.
68 Before each kindling, the cages of the entire group of animals were cleaned and disinfected on
69 a regular basis. Throughout the study, animals were given the same medications and were kept
70 under the same management and environmental circumstances.

71

72 **Statistical and analysis**

73 APRI line data was collected on 666 bunnies from 130 does and 17 sires. Starting with the
74 mixed model procedure (Co) variance matrix, the REML method of the VARCOMP procedure
75 of SAS, 2003 was used to create the REML variance matrix for each of the analyzed traits. The
76 more accurate and trustworthy estimates of multi trait animal model variance and covariance

77 components were estimated using these beginning values. The Derivative Free Restricted
78 Maximum Likelihood Animal Model (**DFREML**) of **Boldman, (1995)** was used to assess data
79 on body weight at 4, 8, and 12 weeks, as well as daily gains at 4 to 8 weeks, 8 to 12 weeks, and
80 4 to 12 weeks. The model used to analyse the data included fixed effects like as parity, season,
81 and litter size at birth, as well as additive genetic and common litter effects (as random effects).

82

83 The animal model employed was as follows:

84

$$85 \quad y = X_b + Z_a u_a + Z_c u_c + e.$$

86

87 **Where:**

88 where y = vector of observations on animal for body weight at 4, 8, and 12 weeks, as well as
89 daily gains at 4 to 8 weeks, 8 to 12 weeks, and 4 to 12 weeks, b = vector of fixed effects
90 including parity (3 levels), season (3 levels) and litter size at birth and (7 levels); u_a = vector of
91 random additive genetic effects of the animal for the i^{th} trait; u_c = vector of random common
92 litter effect (doe-parity combination); e = vector of random error; X , Z_a and Z_c are incidence
93 matrices relating records of i^{th} trait to the fixed, random animal and random common litter
94 effects; respectively. **MTDFREML** evaluates also the proportions of additive genetic effects
95 (heritability; h^2_a , common litter effects (c^2), and error (e^2). The heritability in the narrow sense
96 (h^2_a) is computed as: $h^2_a = (\sigma^2_a / \sigma^2_a + \sigma^2_c + \sigma^2_e)$. Where: σ^2_a = additive genetic variance, σ^2_c =
97 common litter variance, and σ^2_e = error variance.

98

99 **Breeding values (BV), standard error (SE), and accuracy ranges (RI)**

100 The same software uses the (co)variances matrix derived via **MTDFREML** analysis to
101 forecast breeding values, their accuracies (r_{Ai}), and standard errors SE_{Ai} . The BLUP accuracies
102 for each subject were calculated using Henderson's equation (**Henderson, 1973**).

103

104 **Results**

105 **Heritability**

106 Estimates of heritability for body weights and daily gains at different ages ranged from
107 0.03 to 0.10, with the highest estimate for body weight at 4 weeks (0.10) and the lowest
108 estimate for body weight at 12 weeks (0.03). As well as the highest estimate was for daily
109 gains at 4 to 8 weeks (0.08) and the lowest estimate was for daily gains at 8 to 12 weeks (0.02)
110 in Table 1.

111

112

113 Table 1 shows heritability (h^2), common litter effect (c^2), and
 114 error (e^2) estimates for body weight (BW) at 4, 8, and 12
 115 weeks, as well as daily gains (DG) at 4 to 8 weeks, 8 to 12
 116 weeks, and 4 to 12 weeks of APRI rabbit, with standard
 117 errors.

Traits	$h^2 \pm SE$	$c^2 \pm SE$	$e^2 \pm SE$
BW4	0.10 \pm 0.09	0.47 \pm 0.06	0.42 \pm 0.07
BW8	0.04 \pm 0.08	0.31 \pm 0.06	0.66 \pm 0.06
BW12	0.03 \pm 0.09	0.36 \pm 0.07	0.61 \pm 0.06
DG4-8 weeks	0.08 \pm 0.10	0.34 \pm 0.06	0.58 \pm 0.07
DG8-12 weeks	0.02 \pm 0.06	0.25 \pm 0.05	0.73 \pm 0.05
DG4-12 weeks	0.07 \pm 0.10	0.34 \pm 0.06	0.59 \pm 0.07

118

119

120 **Common-litter effect (c^2)**

121 The common litter impact of weaning body weight was higher (0.47) than that of an
 122 elderly. As the rabbits grew older, it gradually decreased to 0.31 and 0.36. c^2 of daily gains at 4
 123 -8, 8-12 and 4-12 weeks were moderate, with ranging from 0.25, to 0.34 in Table 1.

124 **Genetic correlations (r_g)**

125 All genetic correlations between body weights at different ages were moderate to high
 126 and positive, with 0.27 between body weights at 4 weeks and 8 weeks, 0.84 between body
 127 weights at 8 weeks and 12 weeks, and 0.44 between body weights at 4 weeks and 12 weeks.
 128 Estimates of r_g for daily gain ranged from low to high and were positive, with the exception of
 129 -0.84 between DG4-8 and DG 8-12 weeks in Table 2.

130

131

132 Table 2 shows genetic (r_g), common-litter (r_c), environmental (r_e) and
 133 phenotypic (r_p) correlations estimates for body weight (BW) at 4,
 134 8, and 12 weeks, as well as daily gains (DG) at 4 to 8 weeks, 8 to
 135 12 weeks, and 4 to 12 weeks of APRI rabbit, with standard errors.

Traits	$r_g \pm SE$	$r_c \pm SE$	$r_e \pm SE$	r_p
BW4 & BW8	0.27 ± 0.99	0.59 ± 0.99	0.74 ± 0.05	0.62
BW8 & BW12	0.84 ± 0.57	0.81 ± 0.06	0.56 ± 0.04	0.67
BW4 & BW12	0.44 ± 0.90	0.40 ± 0.12	0.21 ± 0.09	0.31
DG4-8 & DG8-12	-0.84 ± 0.10	-0.18 ± 0.16	-0.37 ± 0.05	-0.33
DG4-8 & DG4-12	0.64 ± 0.92	0.57 ± 0.11	0.40 ± 0.04	0.46
DG8-12 & DG4-12	0.13 ± 0.11	0.64 ± 0.10	0.69 ± 0.03	0.64

136

137

138 **Common-litter correlations (r_c)**

139 The common litter correlation (r_c) estimations were moderate to high and positive, with
 140 0.59, 0.81 and 0.40 between body weights at 4 weeks and 8 weeks, between body weights at 8
 141 weeks and 12 weeks, and between body weights at 4 weeks and 12 weeks respectively. r_c
 142 estimations were high and positive, with 0.57 and 0.64 between DG4-8 and DG 4-12 weeks
 143 and between DG8-12 and DG 4-12 weeks but were negative with, -0.18 between DG4-8 and
 144 DG 8-12 weeks in Table 2.

145 **Phenotypic correlations (r_p)**

146 Table 2 shows that all feasible phenotypic correlations estimated among different body
 147 weights were positive and moderate to high, with 0.62, 0.67, and 0.31 between body weights at
 148 4 weeks and 8 weeks, 8 weeks and 12 weeks, and 4 weeks and 12 weeks, respectively. r_p
 149 estimations were also moderate to high and positive, with 0.46 and 0.64 between DG4-8 and
 150 DG 4-12 weeks and between DG8-12 and DG 4-12 weeks, respectively, but negative with -
 151 0.33 between DG4-8 and DG 8-12 weeks.

152

153 **Environmental correlations (r_e)**

154 Table 2 reveals that the estimations of environmental correlations were moderate to
 155 high and positive, with 0.74, 0.56, and 0.21 between body weights at 4 weeks and 8 weeks, 8
 156 weeks and 12 weeks, and 4 weeks and 12 weeks, respectively. The re estimates were moderate
 157 to high and favorable, with 0.040 and 0.69 between DG4-8 and DG 4-12 weeks and DG8-12
 158 and DG 4-12 weeks, respectively, but negative with -0.37 between DG4-8 and DG 8-12 weeks.

159 **Breeding value**

160 The breeding values and accuracy ranges for body weight at 4, 8, and 12 weeks, as well
 161 as daily gains at 4 to 8 weeks, 8 to 12 weeks, and 4 to 12 weeks, are shown in Table 3.

162 Table 3 shows the breeding values (BV), standard error (SE), and accuracy ranges (RI) for
 163 body weight (BW) at 4, 8, and 12 weeks, as well as daily gains (DG) at 4 to 8
 164 weeks, 8 to 12 weeks, and 4 to 12 weeks in the APRI rabbit.

Traits	Min			Max			Range		
	BV	SE	RI	BV	SE	RI	BV	SE	RI
BW4	-105.39	54.82	0.33	115.33	72.40	0.70	220.72	17.58	0.37
BW8	-33.42	33.60	0.17	35.66	37.45	0.47	69.08	3.85	0.30
BW12	-23.44	30.69	0.13	20.55	32.69	0.37	43.99	2.00	0.24
DG4-8 weeks	-1.56	1.27	0.24	2.12	1.50	0.57	3.68	0.23	0.33
DG8-12 weeks	-0.94	0.90	0.18	0.98	1.06	0.45	1.92	0.09	0.27
DG4-12 weeks	-0.72	0.88	0.14	0.14	0.94	0.38	1.43	0.06	0.24

165

166 **Parity effect**

167 Table 4 shows that the variations in body weight in different intervals were highly
 168 significant ($P < 0.05$), with the largest value of body weight in the first parity (455.56, 1064.57,
 169 and 1871.03 g at 4, 8, and 12 weeks, respectively). The first parity's distinction may be related
 170 to the small number of litters in it, which causes weight increase. As well as, in the first parity,
 171 the largest averages and significant daily gains were between 4 and 8 weeks and 4 to 12 weeks
 172 (21.75 and 25.28), respectively, but the effect of parity was not significant between 8 and 12
 173 weeks.

174 **Season effect**

175 In different seasons, body weights at 4, 8, and 12 weeks of age were significantly different
 176 ($P < 0.05$), having the highest body weight value in the autumn (460.60, 1091.31, and 1879.70
 177 g at 4, 8, and 12 weeks, respectively). The biggest averages and significant daily gains in the
 178 autumn were between 4 and 8 weeks and 4 to 12 weeks (22.5 and 25.34, respectively), but the
 179 largest averages in the winter were between 8 and 12 weeks (29.96) in Table 4.

180 Table 4 shows the actual means and standard errors (SE) for body weight (BW) at 4, 8, and 12
 181 weeks, as well as daily gains (DG) at 4 to 8 weeks, 8 to 12 weeks, and 4 to 12 weeks, as
 182 influenced by parity, season, and litter size at birth of APRI rabbit.

The effects	BW4	BW8	BW12	DG4-8	DG8-12	DG4-12
	Means ± SE	Means ± SE	Means ± SE	Means ± SE	Means ± SE	Means ± SE
Parity						
1	455.56 ± 4.65 ^a	1064.57 ± 10.80 ^a	1871.03 ± 12.34 ^a	21.75 ± 0.32 ^a	28.80 ± 0.36	25.28 ± 0.19 ^a
2	448.58 ± 4.83 ^a	992.58 ± 11.2 ^b	1817.62 ± 12.82 ^b	19.43 ± 0.33 ^b	29.47 ± 0.37	24.45 ± 0.20 ^b
3	413.06 ± 5.14 ^b	931.11 ± 11.97	1745.76 ± 13.66 ^c	18.50 ± 0.35 ^b	29.09 ± 0.39	23.80 ± 0.2 ^c
Season						
Autumn	460.60 ± 5.09 ^a	1091.31 ± 11.68 ^a	1879.70 ± 13.39 ^a	22.52 ± 0.34 ^a	28.15 ± 0.38 ^a	25.34 ± 0.21 ^a
Winter	445.31 ± 4.05 ^b	983.20 ± 9.30 ^b	1821.94 ± 10.74 ^b	19.21 ± 0.27 ^b	29.96 ± 0.31 ^b	24.58 ± 0.16 ^b
Spring	404.77 ± 5.80 ^c	918.20 ± 13.32	1719.90 ± 15.38 ^c	18.33 ± 0.39 ^b	28.63 ± 0.44 ^b	23.49 ± 0.24 ^c
Litter size at birth						
≥ 4	482.81 ± 12.76 ^a	1056.41 ± 31.04 ^{ab}	1835.63 ± 34.42 ^a	20.49 ± 0.91	27.83 ± 0.97 ^c	24.16 ± 0.53 ^b
5	475.6 ± 9.56 ^a	1025.96 ± 23.26 ^{ab}	1866.66 ± 25.79 ^a	19.64 ± 0.68	30.22 ± 0.72 ^{ab}	24.84 ± 0.39 ^{ab}
6	448.46 ± 7.08 ^b	1014.38 ± 17.22 ^{ab}	1860.53 ± 19.09 ^a	20.20 ± 0.50	30.21 ± 0.54 ^a	25.21 ± 0.29 ^{ab}
7	450.44 ± 6.21 ^b	1013.19 ± 15.11 ^{ab}	1815.23 ± 16.76 ^a	20.10 ± 0.44	28.64 ± 0.47 ^{ab}	24.37 ± 0.25 ^{ab}
8	433.08 ± 6.36 ^{bc}	1004.30 ± 15.46 ^{ab}	1847.05 ± 17.14 ^a	20.38 ± 0.45	30.09 ± 0.48 ^{ab}	25.24 ± 0.23 ^a
≤ 9	418.33 ± 4.99 ^c	967.77 ± 12.14 ^b	1757.56 ± 13.46 ^b	19.63 ± 0.36	28.21 ± 0.38 ^c	23.92 ± 0.21 ^b

183 **Litter size at birth effect**

184 The differences in body weight due to litter size at birth were significant ($P < 0.05$), with
185 the maximum body weight values for 4 to 6 litter (482.81, 1056.41, and 1866.66) at 4, 8, and
186 12 weeks, respectively, and decreasing with large litters. In addition, the influence of litter size
187 at birth was significant for daily gains between 8 and 12 weeks and 4 to 12 weeks, but not for
188 daily gains between 4 and 8 weeks in Table 4.

189

190 **Discussion**

191 **Heritability**

192 Low heritability values for body weights at 4, 8, and 12 weeks, also daily gains at 4-8,
193 8-12 and 4-12 weeks. Crossbreeding across breeds or lines, rather than selection, might be a
194 superior strategy to improve growth traits, according to this view. This result in present study
195 close to **Amira El-Deighadi, (2005)** who found the heritability estimate of body weight was
196 higher at younger ages of 4 and 8 weeks (0.23 and 0.15, respectively) than at later ages of 12
197 weeks (0.00). Heritability estimates between 4 and 8 weeks of age are moderate. These
198 moderate heritability estimates suggest that at 4 and 8 weeks, the response to body weight
199 selection is promising. Individual weight does not appear to be a good selection trait due to
200 weak heritability estimates. Heritabilities for post-weaning daily gain throughout various
201 intervals were estimated to be quite low, ranging from 0.02 to 0.09. **Elmin et al., (2011)**, who
202 found that in the first generation of Sudanese rabbits, estimates of heritability based on paternal
203 half sib analysis ranged from 0.211 to 0.372 for body weight at different ages (6 to 15 weeks).
204 The heritability estimates for the second generation ranged from 0.085 to 0.295 for body weight
205 at different ages (6 to 15 weeks), indicating that they were low to moderate. **Minguez et al.,**
206 **(2015)**, reported heritability estimates for weaning weight, slaughter weight, and average daily
207 gain were 0.07 ± 0.00 , 0.19 ± 0.00 , and 0.21 ± 0.00 , respectively. The small marginal posterior
208 standard deviations were notable; this was due to the large number of records. **Amira El-**
209 **Deighadi and Ibrahim (2017)** they reported at 4, 6, 8, 10, and 12 weeks of age, heritability
210 estimates for body weights were low to moderate, ranging from 0.13 to 0.20. Heritability
211 estimates for growth rate during the study periods were low and inconsistent, ranging from 0.06
212 to 0.13. **Amira El-Deighadi and Ibrahim (2018)** they reported, individual body weight at 4, 6,
213 8, 10, and 12 weeks of age was estimated to be 0.06, 0.18, 0.26, 0.11, and 0.10. **Abdel-Kafy et**
214 **al., (2021)** reported heritabilities estimates for body weights and relative growth rate were
215 generally moderate and ranged from 0.10 to 0.24. **Rym Ezzeroug et al., (2020)** revealed that
216 heritability estimates for growth traits were low, with 0.033 for weaning weight and 0.059 for
217 fattening period weight. As well as my results are lower than those of **Intear Ali (2021)** found
218 that the heritability values for body weight at weaning, weight at slaughter and daily growth
219 from weaning to slaughter weight in V line rabbits were 0.46, 0.32, and 0.43. On other hand
220 **Ajayi et al., (2014)**, reported the estimated heritability for individual body weight at weaning
221 and at 12 weeks was 0.02 ± 0.05 and 0.46 ± 0.26 , respectively. He suggests that variances from
222 other results could be due to differences in genotypes, geography, environmental factors, and
223 sample sizes. **Garcia and Argente (2020)** reported on a wide variety of heritability estimations

224 (0.03 to 0.48 for weaning weight and 0.06 to 0.67 for slaughter weight). The heritability
225 estimates for growth rate, on the other hand, show a narrow range (0.12 to 0.34) and a moderate
226 average value (0.22).

227 **Common-litter effect (c^2)**

228 Weaning body weight had a greater impact on the common litter than that of an elder. It
229 gradually decreased as the bunnies grew older, indicating that rabbits began to demonstrate
230 their genetic capabilities; also, its variations are increasing, while maternal influences are
231 decreasing. As well as the common litter effect for daily gains at 4 to 8 weeks, 8 to 12 weeks,
232 and 4 to 12 weeks were moderate. This result correspond with those of **Amira El-Deighadi**
233 **and Ibrahim (2017)** they reported, in comparison to later age, c^2 of body weight at weaning
234 was higher (0.69). It slowly decreased as individuals grew older 0.54, 0.44, 0.37 and 0.32 at 6,
235 8, 10 and 12 weeks of age. Between weaning weight and 6 weeks, the c^2 of growth rate were
236 larger than all other times. **Amira El-Deighadi and Ibrahim (2018)** they reported the estimate
237 of c^2 when compared to the phenotypic variance for body weight at weaning was larger than at
238 other ages, indicating that common-litter effects at weaning are highly variable. The greater
239 estimate was attributed to litters being nursed by the same dam and reared in the same cage, as
240 well as a rapid decrease in the maternal or common-litter effect as the animals got older. At 4,
241 6, 8, 10, and 12 weeks of age, the percentages were 74 percent, 46 percent, 34 percent, 41
242 percent, and 35 percent, respectively. Also the common litter influence of body weight and
243 relative growth rate were big as weaning then progressively dropped as the rabbit grew older at
244 20 weeks of age, according to **Abdel-Kafy et al., (2021)**. On other hand the common litter
245 effect, according to **Minguez et al., (2015)**, includes factors related to each female's pregnancy
246 and birth, such as uterine environment, milk production, and maternal behavior, but not the
247 litter size in which each rabbit was born, as this effect was included as a covariate in the model.
248 In rabbits, a significant portion of phenotypic variation in growth and feed efficiency is a result
249 of environmental factors connected to the dam or the litter; hence the estimates for c^2 were
250 larger than the heritability estimate. Also **Rym Ezzeroug et al., (2020)** showed that the
251 common environmental effect of litter (c^2), which was 0.636 for weaning weight and 0.381 for
252 fattening phase weight, explained the majority of phenotypic variance.

253 **Genetic correlations (r_g)**

254 Generally all genetic correlations between body weights and daily gains at different
255 ages were moderate to high. The genetic correlations among growth traits suggest that selection
256 can be utilised at any stage of the post-weaning phase because improving body weight at any
257 stage leads to improvements in growth traits at later stages. This conclusion is consistent with
258 the range of reviewed estimates obtained by **Amira El-Deighadi, (2005)** showed all the
259 probable genetic associations between body weight at different ages were determined to be low

260 or high and positive, r_g estimates for post-weaning daily gain were generally low, moderate, or
261 high, and all were positive. **Elmin et al., (2011)** found the genetic correlations among the
262 growth traits in the first generation were all positive, however were low to moderate between
263 weights at younger ages, but rather high between weights at older ages. These correlations, on
264 the other hand, were often high in the second generation. According to **Ajayi et al., (2014)**,
265 genetic correlations with weekly body weight from birth to week 12 ranged from low (0.09) to
266 very high (1.00). **Amira El-Deighadi and Ibrahim (2018)** they found r_g estimates ranged
267 from 0.37 to 0.91 for all conceivable genetic correlations between body weights at different
268 ages. **Rym Ezzeroug et al. (2020)** showed that the genetic correlations for weight at weaning
269 were positive and highly correlated with weight at slaughter (0.611). Also the genetic
270 connections between growth parameters, according to **Garcia and Argente (2020)**, are
271 positive and highly correlated with weight at slaughter, ranging from 0.61 to 0.74. The genetic
272 association between growth rate and weight at slaughter is stronger than the genetic correlation
273 between growth rate and weight at weaning (0.56 vs. 0.31).

274 **Common-litter correlations (r_C)**

275 Between body weights at different ages, the common litter correlation (r_C) values were
276 generally moderate to high and positive. Between daily gains at different ages, r_C estimations
277 were high and positive. These conclusions are in agreement with **Amira El-Deighadi (2005)**
278 revealed that correlations between body weight and daily body increase were usually positive
279 and moderate to high in magnitude. These estimations ranged from 0.85 to 0.94 for body
280 weight records and 0.41 to 0.94 for daily growth records. **Amira El-Deighadi and Ibrahim**
281 **(2018)** they reported all of the possible genetic correlations between body weights at different
282 ages were positive, with r_C estimates ranging from 0.53 to 0.94 for the majority of them. They
283 suggested obtaining unbiased estimates of genetic, phenotypic, and environmental correlations,
284 common environmental influences must be incorporated in the model of estimation of variance
285 and covariance components.

286 **Phenotypic correlations (r_P)**

287 All phenotypic correlations that could be assessed between different body weights and
288 daily gains at different ages were found to be positive and moderate to high. In reality, in the
289 current studies, moderate or high and positive estimations of phenotypic correlation
290 between body weights and daily gains at different ages give rabbit breeders a significant
291 benefit in their culling decisions and management. This conclusions are in agreement with
292 **Amira El-Deighadi (2005)** found that the r_P between records of different post-weaning body
293 weights and daily rise at various age stages was mainly positive and of moderate to high
294 amplitude. Estimates r_P varied from 0.63 to 0.82 between records of post-weaning body
295 weights, and from 0.42 to 0.89 between records of post-weaning daily growth. **Elmin et al.,**

296 (2011) reported in both generations, the phenotypic correlations between growth traits were
297 high (> 0.5). According to **Amira El-Deighadi and Ibrahim (2018)**, r_p between bodies
298 weights at different ages were positive, moderate to high magnitude, and ranging from 0.48 to
299 0.82. **Rym Ezzeroug et al. (2020)** showed that the phenotypic correlations for weight at
300 weaning were positive and highly correlated with weight at slaughter (0.631).

301 **Environmental correlations (r_e)**

302 Environmental correlations between body weights and daily gains at various ages were
303 estimated to be moderate to strong and positive. Some estimates of r_G and r_E are different in
304 magnitude, or even in sign, from others. Genetic and environmental sources of variation affect
305 the characters through different physiological mechanism (**Falconer, 1989**). A large difference,
306 and particularly a difference in signs, showed that there is a genetic and environmental source
307 of variation in these characters. This conclusion is consistent with the range of reviewed
308 estimates obtained by **Amira El-Deighadi (2005)** observed that the estimates of r_e between
309 various body weights were high and positive. Estimates of r_e ranged from 0.55 to 0.93 for body
310 weight records and 0.46 to 0.87 for post-weaning daily gain records. **Elmin et al., (2011)**
311 showed the environmental influences on both generations' growth features positive and
312 extremely high (approaching one). **Amira El-Deighadi and Ibrahim (2018)** found that r_e
313 estimations were moderate to high, positive, and ranged from 0.21 to 0.82 between body
314 weight records.

315 **Breeding value**

316 The breeding values for body weights and daily gains at various ages were lower than
317 those reported by **Hanaa et al., (2014)**, for weaning weight, slaughter weight, and daily weight
318 gain, the ranges of transmitting ability for all animals measured for growth traits were 512,
319 878, and 22.4, respectively. At 4, 6, 8, 10, and 12 weeks of age, **Amira El-Deighadi and**
320 **Ibrahim (2017)** found that estimations of all progeny breeding values for body weight varied
321 from -0.244 to 0.389, -0.245 to 0.362, -0.259 to 0.346, -0.195 to 0.235, and -0.233 to 0.265 g,
322 respectively. At 4, 6, 8, 10, and 12 weeks of age, the ranges of breeding values declined (0.633,
323 0.607, 0.605, 0.403, and 0.498 g, respectively). Furthermore, their accuracy was great.
324 Variations in breeding values can lead to the correct culling decision and the selection of the
325 best rabbits from those with high estimations of breeding values for growth traits.

326 **Parity effect**

327 The differences in body weight between intervals were very significant ($P < 0.05$), with the
328 first parity having the highest value of body weight. The first parity's distinction may be related
329 to the small number of litters in it, which causes weight increase. In addition, the greatest
330 averages and significant daily improvements were found between 4 and 8 weeks and 4 to 12
331 weeks in the first parity, while the effect of parity was not significant between 8 and 12 weeks.

332 Unlike **Desouky et al., (2021)**, who found extremely significant ($P < 0.05$) changes in body
333 weight across age intervals; this is the finding i obtained. The third parity had the heaviest body
334 weight at 4, 8, and 12 weeks, and body weight gain at 4-8, 8-12 and 4-12 weeks respectively.
335 In the first parity, the lowest body weight and body weight gain at 4-6, 6-12 and 4-12 weeks
336 were recorded. **Intear Ali, (2021)** found that the parity order was shown to be significantly
337 ($P \leq 0.05$) affecting weaning weight, slaughter weight, and daily gain from weaning to slaughter
338 weight in V line rabbits, the parity effect revealed a propensity for weaning weight to increase
339 until the sixth parity. On the other hand, **Hanaa (2014)** noted that the parity order, had no
340 significant effect on most rabbit post-weaning growth traits.

341 **Season effect**

342 Body weights at 4, 8, and 12 weeks of age were significantly varied ($P < 0.05$) in different
343 seasons, with the maximum body weight value in the autumn. In the autumn, the largest
344 averages and significant daily improvements were between 4 and 8 weeks and 4 to 12 weeks,
345 whereas in the winter, the largest averages were between 8 and 12 weeks. This could allude to
346 the quantity and nutritional worth of the available greens at the time of use, as well as the
347 moderate weather experienced throughout these months. Through the quantity and quality of
348 directly ingested food usage throughout the post-weaning period, these variables may have an
349 effect on rabbit weaning weight, amount of milk provided by suckling dams, and growth
350 performance at later ages. These results in agreement with (**El-Maghawry et al., 1999;**
351 **Soliman et al., 1999; Enab et al., 2000 and Amira El-Deghadi, 2005**). On other hand
352 **Desouky et al., (2021)** found a substantial change in body weight due to the seasons impact at
353 all measurement periods. Rabbits had the heaviest live body weights in the spring, while the
354 lightest live body weights were observed in the summer. While there was no statistically
355 significant difference in body weight gain between seasons, there was a non-significant
356 difference in body weight gain across seasons. In the spring, the best weight growth were
357 reported at 4 8, 8-12, and 4-12 weeks of age, respectively. In the summer, the lowest weight
358 gains were recorded during 4-8, 8-12, and 4-12 weeks of age, respectively. **Intear Ali, (2021)**
359 reported weaning weight, slaughter weight and daily growth from weaning to slaughter weight
360 in V line rabbits were significantly varied ($P \leq 0.001$) in different months, For weaning weight,
361 slaughter weight, and daily gain, the lowest averages denote rabbits born in July and August,
362 while the highest averages denote rabbits born in November, March, and March.

363 **Litter size at birth effect**

364 There were significant differences in body weight owing to litter size at birth ($P < 0.05$),
365 with the maximum body weight values for 4 to 6 litters and decreasing with bigger litters.
366 Furthermore, litter size at birth had a significant impact on daily increases between 8 and 12
367 weeks and 4 to 12 weeks, but not between 4 and 8 weeks. These findings correspond with
368 those of **Amira El-Deghadi (1996)**, who found that litter size had a highly significant effect on
369 body weight at 8 and 12 weeks in New Zealand White and Californian rabbits, and that less
370 weight was connected to larger litter size. As a result, the effect of litter size on kindling must

371 be addressed while making selection decisions. In every age group, **Szendroe et al. (1996)**
372 found a negative connection between litter size and body weight (3, 6, 10 and 12 weeks). They
373 also found that the size of the litter at birth had a minor impact on male body weight at 16
374 weeks of age. From 12 to 16 weeks of age, the litter size had no effect on daily gain, according
375 to the same author. Body weight and daily increase of rabbit's breastfed in tiny litters were
376 maximum until a particular litter size was reached (≤ 4 or 5 for N-line; ≤ 7 for Z-line and ≤ 6
377 for G-line) and thereafter reduced. With V Line rabbits, **Ghada, (2018)** observed that those
378 born in large litters have lower body weight at weaning than those born in small litters.
379 According to **Intear Ali, (2021)**, there were highly significant differences ($P \leq 0.001$) in body
380 weight at weaning between litter sizes born a live (BW4). There was a clear trend that BW4
381 decreased as the number of kits born alive increased. There were also significant differences in
382 body weight at slaughter (BW9) between the various litter sizes born alive, with rabbits raised
383 in litters of 8 kids having the best BW9 and those raised in litters of ≥ 10 bunnies having the
384 lowest.

385 **Conclusion**

386 Because body weights and daily growth have low heritability values, crossbreeding
387 between the same lines or different breeds, rather than selection, may be a better strategy to
388 improve body weights and daily gains. Since the APRI line rabbit contains 50% Egyptian strain
389 (Baldi Red) genes that are more acclimated to Egyptian climatic conditions and 50% V Line, a
390 maternal line that was selected for litter size at weaning. It may cross with Baldi Red or V Line
391 again in order to benefit from their features. Moderate or high and positive estimations of
392 phenotypic correlation between body weights and daily gains at different ages give rabbit
393 breeders a significant benefit in their culling decisions and management.

394 The most important non-genetic parameters impacting body weights and daily gains
395 were parity, season, and litter size at birth. As a result, these effects must be taken into account
396 in the model analysis in order to estimate genetic parameters for the traits being researched
397 without biasing predictions.

398 **Declarations**

399 **Funding** We would like to thank the Animal Production Research Institute for providing rabbits
400 and feeding care to complete this research.

401 **Conflict of interest** There is no conflicts of interest declared by the authors.

402 **Ethics approval and consent to participate** The Animal Production Research Institute agreed
403 to conduct research on rabbits at their Gemmayzaha experimental rabbitery Research Station.

404 **Data availability** The writing author declares database availability and sends a request to the
405 related writers for any query. We ask that you contact the author for access to and consultation of
406 supplemental information (databases).

407 **Author contribution** Nabila Elsiad Mahmod Elkassas; Mervat Mahmoud Mahmoud Arafa and
408 Mohammed Ibrahim abd El-Naby Seif El-Naser collated the data and Amira Soliman El-Deghadi

409 performed statistical analysis of the data, also prepared and reviewed the research. The final
410 manuscript was read and approved by all contributors.

411

412 REFERENCES

413 **Abdel-Kafy et al. (2021):** Genetic Evaluation of Growth Traits in New Synthetic Rabbit Line
414 in Egypt. Open Journal of Agricultural Research, 2021, 1, 62-73.

415 **Amira S. El-Deghadi, A. S. (1996):** Genetic and phenotypic analysis for fur traits in rabbits.
416 M. Sc. Thesis, Faculty of Agriculture, Moshtohor, Zagazig, University, Banha Branch,
417 Egypt.

418 **Amira S. El-Deghadi, (2005):** Genetic evaluation for some productive traits in rabbits. Ph. D.
419 Thesis, Faculty of Agriculture, Moshtohor, Zagazig, University, Banha Branch, Egypt.

420 **Amira El-Deighadi and Ibrahim (2017):** Genetic aspects of post-weaning for growth traits in
421 New Zealand white rabbits. Egyptian Journal of Rabbit Science, 27 (2): 507- 521.

422 **Amira El-Deighadi and Ibrahim (2018):** Selection indices for improving body weight in
423 gabali rabbits. Egypt. Poult. Sci. Vol. (38) (IV): (1115-1126).

424 **Amira S. El-Deghadi, (2019):** Factors affecting milk production and using application selection
425 indices to improve productivetraits of does in New Zealand white rabbits. Egyptian Journal of
426 Rabbit Science, 29(1):61-78.

427 **Ajayi, B. A., 2 Oseni, S. O. and Popoola, M. A.(2014):** Heritability estimates and genetic
428 correlations of some reproductive traits in heterogeneous rabbit population in South-west
429 Nigeria. Trop. Anim. Prod. Invest. 17 (1): 52-57.

430 **Desouky, A. T., EL-Gendi, G. M. , Iraqi, M. M. and Rashad, S. A (2021):** Influence of
431 Genotypes, Season of Birth, Parity Order and the Interactions between Them on Litter
432 Traits and Body Weight Measurements of Rabbits. Annals of Agric. Sci., Moshtohor, Vol.
433 59 (2), 399-408.

434 **Elamin, K. M. and Yousif, I. A. , Elkhairey, M A and Mekki , D M (2011):** Heritability estimates
435 and genetic correlations for post-weaning body weight traits in Sudanese rabbits. *Livestock*
436 *Research for Rural Development*, 23 (11).

437 **El-Maghawry, A. M. (1999):** Genetic effects on some doe productivity in New Zealand White
438 and Californian rabbits raised in Egypt. Egyptian Journal of Rabbit Science, 9 (2): 179-195.

439 **El-Zanfaly E S (1996):** Genetic and phenotypic analysis for some reproductive traits in
440 rabbits. M.Sc. Thesis, Faculty of Agriculture, Zagazig University, Banha branch,
441 Moshtohor. Egypt.

- 442 **Enab, A. A., El-Weshahy, O. A. and Abdou, F. H. (2000):** Genetic analysis of some
443 economic traits in rabbits. . Egyptian Journal of Rabbit Science,10 (2): 327-339.
- 444 **Falconer, D. S. (1989):** Introduction to quantitative genetics. Third Edition,Longman, London,
445 UK.
- 446 **Falconer, D.S., and Mackay, T.F.C. (1996):** Introduction to quantitative genetics, 4th ed.
447 Longmans Green. Harlow, Essex, UK.
- 448 **María-Luz García and María-José Argente (2020):** The Genetic Improvement in Meat
449 Rabbits See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: t:
450 <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/346205479>
- 451 **Hanaa, A. Moustafa (2014):** Genetic evaluation of some economic traits in a maternal line of
452 rabbits. Ph. D. Thesis. Fac. Agric., Alexandria Univ., Alexandria, Egypt.
- 453 **Henderson CR. (1973):** Sire Evaluation and Genetic Trends”. In: Proc. Anita. and Genet.
454 Symp. In Honor of JL Lush.: 10-41.
- 455 **Intear M. Ali, (2021):** Genetic study a maternal line of rabbits under Egyptian environmental
456 conditions. Ph. D. Thesis. Fac. Agric., Alexandria Univ., Alexandria, Egypt.
- 457 **Minguez, C. Sanchez, J.P. EL Nagar, A.G., Ragab, M. & Baselga, M. (2015):** Growth traits
458 of four maternal lines of rabbits founded on different criteria: comparisons at foundation
459 and at last periods after selection. J. Anim. Breed. Genet. ISSN: 0931-2668.
- 460 **Ossa SG. (2017):** Mejoramiento genético animal aplicado a los sistemas de producción de
461 carne. Editorial Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Bogotá, Colombia, pp 150–3.
- 462 **Prakash Singh, A. D., Saravanan, R., & Jeyakumar, M. (2013):** Analysis of production and
463 reproduction performances of soviet Chinchilla and white giant rabbits in tropical climatic
464 conditions of India. World Rabbit Sci., 21: 101-106.
- 465 **Rym Ezzeroug Rafik Belabbas, Maria José Argente, Ali Berbar, Samir Diss, Zoulikha
466 Boudjella, Djamal Talaziza, Nassima Boudahdir, and Maria de la Luz García (2020):**
467 Genetic correlations for reproductive and growth traits in rabbits. Canadian Journal of
468 Anml Science Volume, 100.
- 469 **Soliman, F. N. K., El-Sheikh, A. I. and Mandour, M. A. (1999):** Effects of restricted feeding
470 time, season and sex on postweaning performance of New Zealand White rabbits. Egypt.
471 Poul. Sci. Vol 19 (II): 407-418.
- 472 **Szendroe, ZS., Nemeth-Biro, E., Radnai, I., Milisits, G. and Zimanyi, A. (1996).**
473 Connection between reproductive performance and productive lifetime of rabbit doe. 6th
474 World Rabbit Congress, Toulouse, France. Vol., 2:123-126.

- 475 **Khalil, M.H.,(2010):** Programs established to synthesize new lines of rabbits in hot climate
476 countries. A review article presented in 6th International Rabbit Conference, 1-4 February
477 2010, Asuit, Egypt.
- 478 **Youssef Y.K., Iraqi M.M., El-Raffa A.M., Afifi E.A., Khalil M.H., García M.L., Baselga**
479 **M. (2008):** A joint project to synthesize new lines of rabbits in Egypt and Saudi Arabia:
480 emphasis for results and prospects. In Proc.: 9th World Rabbit Congress, 10-13 June,
481 2008. Verona, Italy, 1637-1642.
- 482 **Youssef Y M K, Farid A, Gad-Alla S A and Abo-warda M A (2009):** Genetic evaluation for
483 post weaning body weight traits in three genetic groups of rabbits under Egyptian
484 conditions, 5th International Poultry conference 10-13 March, Taba – Egypt.