

A follow-up to the geographical distribution of Anopheles species in malaria-endemic and non-endemic areas of Honduras

Denis Escobar

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de Honduras

Osman Archaga

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de Honduras

Allan Reyes

Secretaría de Salud de Honduras

Adalid Palma

Vysnova Partners, Inc

Ryan T. Larson

U.S. Naval Medical Research Unit

Gissella M. Vásquez

U.S. Naval Medical Research Unit

Gustavo Fontecha (✉ gustavo.fontecha@unah.edu.hn)

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de Honduras

Research Article

Keywords: Anopheles spp, Honduras, genetic diversity, COI gene

Posted Date: April 4th, 2022

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1511047/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background: Identification of *Anopheles* species can be challenging, particularly in regions where cryptic complexes coexist. Due to their epidemiological relevance and biological complexity, monitoring of anopheline populations in current and former malaria-endemic areas is critical for malaria risk assessment. Recent efforts have described the anopheline species present in the main malaria foci in Honduras. This study updates and expands knowledge about *Anopheles* species composition, geographic distribution, and genetic diversity in Honduras.

Methods: Outdoor insect collections were carried out at 25 sites in 8 municipalities in 5 departments of Honduras between 2018 and 2021. Specimens were identified using taxonomic keys. Partial *COI* gene sequences were used for molecular species identification and phylogenetic analyses. In addition, detection of *Plasmodium* spp. DNA was carried out in 255 female mosquitoes.

Results: Overall, 288 *Anopheles* mosquitoes were collected from 8 municipalities. Eight species were morphologically identified. *Anopheles albimanus* was the most abundant and widely distributed species (79.5%). A subset of 175 partial *COI* gene sequences from 8 species was obtained. Taxonomic identifications were confirmed by sequence analysis. *Anopheles albimanus* and *An. apicimacula* showed the highest haplotype diversity and nucleotide variation, respectively. Phylogenetic clustering was found for *An. argyritarsis* and *An. neomaculipalpus* when compared with mosquitoes from other Neotropical countries. *Plasmodium* spp. DNA was not detected in any of the mosquitoes tested.

Conclusions: This report builds upon recent records of the distribution and diversity of *Anopheles* species in malaria-endemic and non-endemic areas of Honduras. New *COI* sequences are reported for three anopheline species. This is also the first report of *COI* sequences of *An. albimanus* collected on the island of Roatán with apparent gene flow relative to mainland populations.

Background

The genus *Anopheles* (Culicidae: Anophelinae) includes almost 500 species grouped into at least 7 subgenera [1, 2]. In Honduras, 13 species of the genus *Anopheles* belonging to three subgenera have been identified: *Anopheles Anopheles* (*An. crucians*, *An. pseudopunctipennis*, *An. vestitipennis*, *An. punctimacula*, *An. neomaculipalpus*, *An. apicimacula*, *An. gabaldoni*, and *An. grabhamii*), *An. Nyssorhynchus* (*An. albimanus*, *An. darlingi*, *An. argyritarsis*, and *An. albitarsis*), and *An. Kerteszia* (*An. neivai*). Four of these species are considered dominant vector species of human malaria: *Anopheles* (*Nys.*) *albimanus*, *An.* (*An.*) *pseudopunctipennis*, *An.* (*Nys.*) *darlingi*, and *An.* (*Nys.*) *albitarsis* [3, 4, 5]. *Anopheles albimanus* is the most abundant and widespread species in the country [6] and malaria control and elimination measures are mainly focused on this species.

Each anopheline species displays different bionomic traits that are relevant to malaria epidemiology, such as feeding preference, endophagic/exophagic behavior, resting behavior, larval habitat preference, among others [7, 8, 9, 10, 11]. For instance, *An. albimanus* is considered a generalist vector, with opportunistic feeding preferences and capable of colonizing a wide range of habitats [8, 12]. On the other hand, *An. darlingi* requires high levels of humidity to develop its life cycle [13], yet both species are considered dominant malaria vectors [4, 5]. Climate change is predicted to have a direct effect on the distribution and dynamics of human malaria vectors [14, 15]. Consequently, continuous monitoring of changes in the distribution of vector species and their bionomic characteristics is relevant for decision-makers in each country to efficiently channel resources for malaria control.

Identification of anopheline species for entomological surveillance purposes requires in-depth morphological knowledge and considerable experience. However, the genus *Anopheles* includes several cryptic species [16] and it is not always possible to morphologically identify all species, particularly in geographic areas cohabited by sibling species belonging to a taxonomic Complex [17, 18]. To overcome the limitations of taxonomic keys, sequencing of the *cytochrome c oxidase 1* (*COI*) gene has proven to be a valuable tool due to its low level of recombination, absence of introns, and haploidy [19, 20, 21].

This research was built on a previous study [6] by assessing the species composition, geographic distribution, and genetic diversity of *Anopheles* species in five departments of Honduras using conventional taxonomic keys and a mitochondrial genetic marker (*COI*) and will further contribute to malaria entomological risk assessment in Honduras. Molecular screenings of mosquitoes infected with *Plasmodium* spp. sporozoites were also carried out.

Methods

Study sites, mosquito collection, and morphological identification

Insect collections were carried out between 2018 and 2021 at 25 sites in 8 municipalities and 5 departments of Honduras (Fig. 1, Table 1). Collection sites coordinates were recorded using a handheld GPS. The sites in the municipalities of Gracias a Dios, Roatán, and El Paraíso are endemic for malaria. Gracias a Dios contributed more than 96% and 97% of malaria cases in Honduras in 2020 and 2021 respectively. No malaria cases were reported in the municipalities of Comayagua and Cortés during the study collection period. Collection sites were all rural areas and included distinct climates. Gracias a Dios, Bay Islands, and Cortés are very humid, coastal tropical ecosystems, while Comayagua and El Paraíso are dry and mountainous subtropical regions.

Table 1
Collection sites coordinates, altitude, date of collection, and number of *Anopheles* mosquitoes captured

Department	Municipality	Coordinates	Altitude (masl)	Number of specimens collected	Date of collection
Gracias a Dios	Puerto Lempira	14.93567985, -83.84507528 / 14.94412734, -83.82883771 / 15.25098087, -83.77352977 / 15.31331402, -83.5747129 / 14.700630, -84.335100	7–35	211	July to September 2021
Bay Islands	Roatán	16.323647, -86.563377	62	32	September 2021
Comayagua	Comayagua	14.650778, -87.608472 / 14.627806, -87.605806 / 14.650778, -87.608472 / 14.651083, -87.609444 / 14.650333, -87.607472	430–640	23	June 2018 to May 2021
Comayagua	La Libertad	14.759750, -87.614861	392		March 2019
Comayagua	San José	14.735295, -88.029439	701		July 2021
El Paraíso	Morocelí	14.103917, -86.918417 / 14.104639, -86.919111 / 14.102944, -86.917694	605	19	August 2019
Cortés	Pimienta	15.289617, -88.029439 / 15.289617, -87.977116	47–237	3	August 2021
Cortés	Santa Cruz de Yojoa	14.855360, -87.929549	742		June 2021

Ecological differences among collection sites are considerable; the economic activities are also diverse. Agriculture and livestock prevail in all the collection sites, however, fishing and activities related to tourism are relatively more common in Gracias a Dios and Bay Islands.

Collections sites were selected based on historical and current malaria case reports. Several mosquito collection methods were employed (Supplementary Table 1). All mosquito captures were conducted outdoors in both peridomicile and extradomicile areas. Overall, adult mosquito traps (CDC light traps, Shannon-type tent traps), were installed between 10 and 50 m away from the selected dwellings (2 to 5 per locality) and operated between 18:00 and 06:00 hours. In addition, mosquitoes resting outdoors were collected with manual aspirators between 18:00 and 21:00 hours.

Mosquitoes were placed in conic microtubes with silica gel and transported to Tegucigalpa city for species morphological identification. All specimens were identified morphologically under a stereoscope using keys for anophelines of Central America and Mexico [22]. Each mosquito was stored individually in 1.5 mL microtubes at -20°C for subsequent molecular analyses.

DNA extraction, COI gene amplification, and sequencing

DNA was extracted from each specimen collected following DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit® (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) protocol. First, the head and thorax were dissected for each mosquito. Single maceration was carried out with a pestle in a 1.5 mL conical tube following the manufacturer's instructions. Overnight lysis at 56°C was carried out. DNA was eluted in 150 μL of elution buffer and stored at -20°C until further use. Molecular analyses were performed on *Anopheles* mosquitoes to identify or confirm species using a barcoding approach, which also allowed genetic variation within species and between species to be calculated. The *cytochrome c oxidase 1* gene (*COI*) was amplified with the following primers: LCO1490 (5' - GGT CAA CAA ATC ATA AAG ATA TTG G - 3') and HCO2198 (5' - TAA ACT TCA GGG TGA CCA AAA ATC A - 3') [23].

Reactions were carried out as described previously [6] in a volume of 50 μL , with 25 μL of Taq Master Mix 2 \times (Promega, Madison, Wisconsin, USA), 2.0 μL of each primer (10 μM), 2 μL of acetylated bovine albumin (BSA) (10 mg/mL), 4 μL of DNA, and nuclease-free water. The PCR program was as follows: 1 cycle at 95°C for 10 min, 37 cycles at 94°C for 1 min, 48°C for 1 min, 72°C for 1 min, and 1 cycle at 72°C for 7 min. Some mosquito specimens that could not be amplified as described above, were amplified using LCO1490 and a reverse primer described by Kumar et al. [24] (5' - AAA AAT TTT AAT TCC AGT TGG AAC AGC - 3'); under the following conditions: 25 μL of Taq Master Mix 2 \times (Promega, Madison, Wisconsin, USA); 1 μL of each primer (10 μM); 2 μL of DNA; and 21 μL of nuclease-free water. The cycling conditions were: 1 cycle at 95°C for 5 min, 5 cycles at 94°C for 40 s, 45°C for 1 min, and 1 cycle at 72°C for 1 min, 37 cycles at 94°C for 1 min, 54°C for 1 min, 72°C for 90 s and a final extension step at 72°C for 10 min. PCR products of approximately 700 bp were separated by electrophoresis in 1% agarose gels with ethidium bromide.

The amplification products were sequenced on both strands using the same primers that were also used for the PCR. Sequencing services were provided by Psomagen® (www.psomagen.com, Maryland, USA). The sequences were edited with the Geneious® 9.1.7 software and deposited into the NCBI GenBank.

Sequences analyses

Partial sequences of the *COI* gene were analysed together for the eight species, and separately for each species. Sequences were aligned with the MUSCLE algorithm of the Geneious® 9.1.7 software. The length of the nucleotide sequences, the number and percentage of identical sites, and the pairwise % identity, were calculated. The percentage of identical bases within and between species was calculated. The number of haplotypes was calculated according to the nucleotide sequences. Nucleotide sequences were translated using the correct open reading frame (ORF) and using the invertebrate mitochondrial genetic code. The amino acid length of each polypeptide and the number of different haplotypes were calculated. MEGA v10.0 software [25] with 500 bootstrap replicates was used to calculate the overall mean diversity (π) using the Maximum Composite Likelihood substitution method, and 95% as the site coverage cut-off.

The number of haplotypes (h) and haplotype diversity (Hd) were calculated for each species with Dna SP software v. 6.12.03 [26]. Alignment sequences were imported, and parameters were adjusted for mitochondrial DNA with genetic code for *Drosophila* mtDNA. Haplotype data were generated using the Roehl data file function and default parameters.

Phylogenetic trees were constructed using the sequences obtained in this study for *An. argyritarsis*, *An. apicimacula*, and *An. neomaculipalpus*, together with homologous sequences from individuals from other regions of the Americas and downloaded from GenBank. The remaining five species described in this study (*An. albimanus*, *An. crucians*, *An. vestitipennis*, *An. punctimacula*, and *An. pseudopunctipennis*) were not subjected to phylogenetic analyses with individuals from other countries because that analysis had already been described in a previous study [6]. Phylogenetic analyses used the Tamura-Nei distance model, the Neighbor-Joining method, and a bootstrap of 1000 replicates with a sequence of *Culex nigripalpus* as an outgroup.

cox1 gene PCR for Plasmodium sporozoite detection

To detect DNA from sporozoites of *Plasmodium* spp., 43 pools of DNA from 228 female mosquitoes visibly engorged or not were analysed. A total of 128 mosquitoes from Puerto Lempira, 38 from Comayagua, 28 from El Paraíso, 6 from Cortés and 28 from Roatán were analysed. Across sites, 165 *An. albimanus*, 3 *An. apicimacula*, 18 *An. argyritarsis*, 10 *An. crucians*, 10 *An. neomaculipalpus*, 15 *An. pseudopunctipennis*, 2 *An. punctimacula* and 5 *An. vestitipennis* were tested.

Using a stereoscope, the head and thorax of the specimens were separated, and DNA was extracted as described above. The detection of the parasite genome was based on the amplification of the *cytochrome oxidase I (cox1)* gene as described by Echeverry et al. [27]. Briefly, reactions were carried out in a final volume of 25 μ L containing 12.5 μ L of Master Mix 2X (Promega Corp. Madison, Wisconsin, USA), 1 μ L of each primer (COX1F 5'-AGA ACG AAC GCT TTT AAC GCC TG - 3' / COX-IR 5'-TGW CCT ACC TGA AAT ATA GGT GGT AAT TCT - 3') at a concentration of 10 μ M, 9.5 μ L of nuclease-free water, and 1 μ L of genomic DNA 20–40 ng/ μ L). Mosquito DNA was analysed in pools [28] of 6 individuals per reaction. The amplification program included one cycle of 5 minutes at 94°C, followed by 40 cycles of 1 minute at 94°C, 1 minute at 62°C, and 90 seconds at 72°C, with a final extension of 10 minutes at 72°C. The PCR products were separated by electrophoresis in 1% agarose gels with ethidium bromide. Positive and negative controls were included in all experiments. Any sample with a band of approximately 540 bp was considered positive.

To determine the lower limit of detection of the PCR, 10 consecutive serial decimal dilutions of the *Plasmodium* sp. culture number 04/176 were carried out. Dilutions included DNA concentrations from 35,000 IU/ μ L to 3.5×10^{-6} . Samples were tested in triplicate, and each experiment included positive and negative controls. In addition, analyses were conducted to assess the ability of the PCR to detect DNA from a *Plasmodium* sp. positive sample when pooled with up to other 9 negative blood samples.

Results

Distribution of Anopheles species

Of all the insects captured in the 8 municipalities, 288 anopheline mosquitoes were selected according to their morphological identification. Taxonomic identification revealed eight species: *Anopheles (Nyssorhynchus) albimanus* Wiedemann; *An. (Nys.) argyritarsis* Robineau-desvoidy; *An. (An.) crucians* Wiedemann; *An. (An.) neomaculipalpus* Curry; *An. (An.) vestitipennis* Dyar & Knab; *An. (An.) pseudopunctipennis* Theobald; *An. (An.) apicimacula* Dyar & Knab; and *An. (An.) punctimacula* Dyar & Knab.

The majority (79.5%) of the anophelines were identified as *An. albimanus*, followed distantly by *An. crucians* (4.5%) and *An. argyritarsis* (3.8%). The least frequent species were *An. apicimacula* (1.04%) and *An. punctimacula* (0.7%) (Table 2, Fig. 2). *Anopheles albimanus* was found in all five departments, in six of eight localities, while *An. pseudopunctipennis* was described only in Comayagua. The greatest abundance of specimens and the greatest richness of species was found in the department of Gracias a Dios (73.3%).

Table 2
Distribution and abundance of *Anopheles* species by geographical region

Department	Municipality	<i>An. (Nyssorhyncus) albimanus</i>	<i>An. (Nyssorhyncus) argyritarsis</i>	<i>An. (Anopheles) pseudopunctipennis</i>	<i>An. (Anopheles) apicimacula</i>	<i>An. (Anopheles) neomaculipalpus</i>	<i>An. (Anopheles) punctimacula</i>	<i>An. (Anopheles) crucians</i>
Gracias a Dios	Puerto Lempira	168	4	-	3	11	2	13
Bay Islands	Roatán	32	-	-	-	-	-	-
Comayagua	Comayagua	7	-	7	-	-	-	-
Comayagua	La Libertad	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
Comayagua	San José	-	7	-	-	-	-	-
El Paraíso	Morocelí	19	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cortés	Pimienta	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cortés	Santa Cruz de Yojoa	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total (%)		229 (79.51%)	11 (3.82%)	9 (3.13%)	3 (1.04%)	11 (3.82%)	2 (0.69%)	13 (4.51%)

Nucleotide sequences and diversity

A subset of 175 mosquitoes was sequenced and included specimens from all eight species and all geographic regions. A total of 175 partial sequences of the mitochondrial *COI* gene were obtained. All sequences were deposited in GenBank under the following accession numbers: *An. albimanus* (OL473449 – OL473511, OM366057 – OM366082), *An. apicimacula* (OL473781 – OL473784, OM366084 – OM366086), *An. neomaculipalpus* (OL473750–OL473769), *An. argyritarsis* (OL471412 – OL471427), *An. pseudopunctipennis* (OL473573 – OL473586), *An. punctimacula* (OL473785 – OL473786), *An. crucians* (OL514242 – OL514261), *An. vestitipennis* (OL515123 – OL515128). This study is the first report of *COI* gene sequences for three anopheline species collected in Honduras (*An. argyritarsis*, *An. apicimacula*, and *An. neomaculipalpus*).

The percentage of intra- and inter-specific identity for the eight species was non-overlapping, averaging 98.74% (94.16–100%) and 88.23% (85.47–91.1%) respectively. The sequences were analysed with the NCBI BLAST tool to confirm the taxonomic identification based on the morphological structures of the insects. The eight species were confirmed with identity percentages between 93.5% (*An. apicimacula*) and 100% (*An. crucians*) and 100% query coverage. The phylogenetic cladogram built from the sequences obtained for the eight species shows a clear and coherent separation into clusters (Fig. 3).

Despite including only three sequences, *An. apicimacula* showed the highest intraspecific nucleotide variation ($\pi = 0.04$). On the other hand, the species with the lowest diversity was *An. neomaculipalpus* ($\pi = 0.00$), with 11 sequences. *Anopheles albimanus* showed the highest haplotype diversity and number of haplotypes (Table 3).

Table 3

Intraspecific comparison of nucleotide sequences, genetic diversity, and number of haplotypes for *COI* in 8 species of *Anopheles* from Honduras

Feature	<i>An. (Nys.) albimanus</i>	<i>An. (Nys.) argyritarsis</i>	<i>An. (An.) pseudopunctipennis</i>	<i>An. (An.) apicimacula</i>	<i>An. (An.) neomaculipalpus</i>	<i>An. (An.) punctimacula</i>	<i>An. (An.) crucians</i>	<i>An. (An.) vestitipennis</i>
Nucleotide sequence length	581	596	598	652	572	530	611	615
n	89	16	14	7	21	2	20	6
Identical sites	544	589	583	612	567	-	584	607
Identical sites (%)	93.6%	98.8%	97.5%	94.0%	99.1%	-	95.6%	98.9%
Pairwise % identity	99.1%	99.7%	99.3%	96.0%	99.7%	-	98.2%	99.4%
π	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.00	-	0.02	0.01
Haplotype								
n	80	10	8	3	11	2	8	5
N° of haplotypes (nucleotide)	35	6	5	3	4	-	8	3
Haplotypes/N	0.44	0.6	0.62	1	0.4	-	1	0.6
Haplotype diversity	0.94	0.84	0.79	1	0.8	-	1	0.7
Aminoacid sequence length	209	198	198	216	190	176	203	204
N° of haplotypes (amino acid)	5	2	1	1	1	-	1	1

Phylogenetic analysis

Phylogenetic analyses were performed using three independent alignments with sequences from *An. argyritarsis*, *An. apicimacula*, and *An. neomaculipalpus* obtained in this study and homologous sequences downloaded from GenBank from anophelines collected in other countries. The analysis of *An. argyritarsis* included 10 sequences from Honduras and 15 sequences from Colombia, Brazil, and Mexico with a size of 596 bp. The analysis of *An. apicimacula* included 3 sequences from Honduras and 26 sequences from Colombia with a size of 585 bp. Finally, the analysis of *An. neomaculipalpus* included 11 sequences from Honduras and 33 sequences from Colombia with a size of 572 bp. The dendrogram obtained from the sequences of *An. argyritarsis* revealed an evident clustering with high bootstrap indices that separates individuals from Brazil and Colombia from individuals from Honduras/Mexico (Fig. 4(a)). Similarly, *An. neomaculipalpus* mosquitoes from Honduras are separated from those from Colombia (Fig. 4(c)). In the case of the dendrogram of *An. apicimacula*, no clusters were detected based on geographic origin (Fig. 4(b)).

Plasmodium spp. DNA detection

The *Plasmodium* spp. *cox1* gene was amplified in 43 pools of DNA from 228 female *Anopheles* females comprising 8 species. *Plasmodium* spp. DNA was not detected in any of the 228 *Anopheles* mosquitoes tested.

Discussion

In this study, 288 anophelines from five departments of Honduras (Gracias a Dios, El Paraíso, Comayagua, Cortés, and Bay Islands) were identified through morphology and molecular biology. The relative abundance of mosquitoes is also described and the presence of *Plasmodia* in the head/thorax of mosquitoes is reported. The results described here build upon a recent study published in 2020 [6], which included the taxonomic and molecular identification of 1,320 *Anopheles* mosquitoes collected in five departments of Honduras (Gracias a Dios, El Paraíso, Comayagua, Atlántida, and Colón).

The majority (73%) of the anophelines were collected in the department of Gracias a Dios, which comprises a region known as La Moskitia, shared with Nicaragua, and endemic for malaria. La Moskitia exhibits unique ecological and socio-cultural characteristics. This region is separated from the rest of the country by the Río Plátano biosphere reserve, with a population of more than 98,000 people distributed in almost 17,000 km² [29]. Fishing and hunting are the main activities. Access to health services is inadequate, and communication routes outside the region are almost non-existent. This region currently contributes 98% of malaria cases – 195 out of 199 cases reported until epidemiological week number 5 of 2022 (Personal communication, Honduras Ministry of Health). The humid tropical ecosystem explains the great abundance of anophelines collected in the area.

In total, most of the specimens (80%) were identified as *An. albimanus*. This species was present in the five departments despite the ecological differences among the study sites. *Anopheles albimanus* has been described as the dominant species in most regions of Mesoamerica and northern South America [4]. This finding is also consistent with a previous study conducted in Honduras where 74% of anophelines identified were *An. albimanus* [6]. *Anopheles albimanus* was also found to be a dominant anopheline in other countries in Mesoamerica and northern South America. A study where 22,000 larvae of 13 species of anophelines were collected in 19 states of Mexico revealed that *An. albimanus* and *An. pseudopunctipennis* were the two most abundant species

[30]. These two species were also found to be the most abundant and widely distributed anophelines along the Pacific coast of Mexico [31]. Two recent studies conducted among indigenous communities in Panama identified between 43% and 98% of mosquitoes as *An. albimanus* [32, 33]. In Colombia, a retrospective descriptive study showed that *An. albimanus*, *An. nuneztovari s.l.*, and *An. darlingi* were the main vectors in receptive areas for malaria [34], and a study assessing the potential distribution of the three main malaria vectors in Colombia determined that *An. albimanus* had the greatest niche breadth mainly in coastal areas [35].

The second species reported in this study and considered a dominant vector of malaria [4] was *An. pseudopunctipennis*, which was recorded only in Comayagua. The remaining 17.4% of the collected mosquitoes belong to the following six species: *An. crucians*, *An. argyritarsis*, *An. neomaculipalpus*, *An. vestitipennis*, *An. apicimacula*, and *An. punctimacula*. Three of these species were not described in the 2020 study (*An. argyritarsis*, *An. apicimacula*, *An. neomaculipalpus*) [6], and are not considered dominant malaria vectors [3, 36]. A notable difference from the 2020 study is the decrease in the proportion of two species collected in Gracias a Dios. *Anopheles vestitipennis* went from 49.4–4.7% and *An. crucians* went from 29.5–6.2% [6]. However, the differences in the relative abundance of these species could be influenced by the dates and collection sites. The low proportions of uncommon *Anopheles* species found in this study and the previous study suggest that malaria control in Honduras should continue to focus on the most abundant *An. albimanus* and *An. pseudopunctipennis*. No *An. darlingi* or *An. neivai* specimens were collected in this study, which could be related to the limited geographical distribution of these species in Honduras, having been reported in the department of Atlántida [6].

Anopheles argyritarsis was collected in Puerto Lempira, Gracias a Dios, and in San José de Comayagua. Although this species is widely distributed in the Neotropics [37, 38, 39, 40], its potential as a malaria vector is controversial with evidence for insufficient, or non-existent vectorial capacity [39, 41]. *Anopheles apicimacula* and *An. neomaculipalpus* were collected only in Gracias a Dios. Both species have been widely reported in Mesoamerica [42, 43, 44] and South America [45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54], and have been historically reported by the Honduran health authorities (unpublished data), but natural infections with *Plasmodium* sporozoites have only been detected in *An. neomaculipalpus* [54].

In addition to taxonomic identification, a partial segment of the *COI* gene was amplified and sequenced to confirm the identity of some specimens and decipher intraspecies genetic variability. All morphologically identified individuals were molecularly confirmed by barcoding. These results confirm the usefulness of the mitochondrial genome as a genetic marker [1, 19], especially when cryptic species or complexes of species difficult to distinguish solely by their phenotype coexist in the same area [55, 56]. In this study, the molecular identification of specimens collected in Roatán (Bay Islands), located more than 68 km from the mainland, was performed for the first time. All mosquitoes collected on the island were identified as *An. albimanus* and the phylogenetic analyses revealed no geographic region-based clustering, suggesting genetic flow between both populations. This result supports those of Molina-Cruz et al [57] after analyzing a large population of mosquitoes from the Caribbean, Central America, and South America using microsatellites. These authors demonstrated little genetic variation among the populations of northern Central America and weak isolation by distance. However, it has been suggested that there might be some barrier to gene flow [57], or contemporary isolation by distance in the isthmus [58] between the populations of *An. albimanus* from northern Central America and those of Panama and South America.

Herein, we report the first *COI* sequences for *An. argyritarsis*, *An. neomaculipalpus*, and *An. apicimacula* from Honduras. When comparing the sequences obtained here with homologous sequences of *An. argyritarsis*, a clear separation was found between the populations of Brazil/Colombia and the populations of Honduras/Mexico. A similar pattern was observed in the *An. neomaculipalpus* cladogram, in which the sequences from Colombia and Honduras are separated. Although the number of sequences is small, it is possible to speculate that there is geographic isolation between the Central American and South American populations. Further analyses including a greater number of individuals and the use of more robust molecular markers such as microsatellites could help decipher the evolutionary relationship of this species in the Neotropics. For *An. apicimacula*, no geographical separation was observed between the sequences from Honduras and those from Colombia even though the low percentage of identity yielded by the BLAST tool (93.5%) with respect to the sequences previously deposited in GenBank. According to the “barcoding gap” hypothesis, pairwise genetic differences greater than 3% are suggestive of separation between two species. Recent studies have described two geographically isolated lineages of *An. apicimacula* in Colombia [45, 47], which supports the existence of an Apicimacula species Complex that would encompass several species, including the specimens from Honduras. This question should be studied further in the future to clarify the taxonomy of this species.

Finally, no mosquitoes tested were positive for *Plasmodium* DNA by conventional *mt cox1* gene PCR, which has been proven to be more sensitive than the CSP antigen detection by ELISA [59]. Results coincide with the absence of parasite infections in 36 engorged female *Anopheles* collected from Gracias a Dios, Honduras, in 2019 [8]. Several authors have reported mosquitoes infected by *Plasmodium* spp, especially in highly endemic regions such as Africa [60, 61, 62, 63]. Similar studies in South America have also detected infected mosquitoes in Colombia, Brazil, or Venezuela [46, 50, 54], but with infection rates of less than 1% in direct relation to a lower prevalence of malaria compared to Africa.

Conclusions

This study revises the distribution, diversity, and abundance of anopheline populations in Honduras, revealing a notable predominance of *An. albimanus*. This is the first report of *COI* gene sequences for three anopheline species collected in Honduras (*An. argyritarsis*, *An. apicimacula*, and *An. neomaculipalpus*). Our results suggest that geographic isolation between *An. argyritarsis* and *An. neomaculipalpus* populations in Central and South America is possible. This is also the first report of *COI* sequences of *An. albimanus* collected on the island of Roatán with apparent gene flow relative to mainland populations.

Abbreviations

COI: cytochrome c oxidase 1 gene

cox1: cytochrome c oxidase 1 gene

BSA: Acetylated bovine albumin

PCR: Polymerase chain reaction

NCBI: National Center for Biotechnology Information

ORF: Open reading frame

CSP: Circumsporozoite surface protein

ELISA: Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay

Declarations

• Acknowledgments

Thanks to Joint Task Force Bravo - Preventive Medicine Unit for mosquito field collections in Comayagua and Cortés as well as local Ministry of Health entomologists and collaborators. Thanks to the Ministry of Health in Gracias a Dios department, especially to Dr. Duval Alvarado, Dr. Mayra Haylock, and Mr. Francisco Javier Cevallos for support of entomological collections. Thanks to Mr. Oscar Orlando Urrutia and Mr. Raúl Castro for providing mosquito samples from the island of Roatán.

• Funding

Funding for this study was provided by the Genetic Research Center, CIG-UNAH, and by the Armed Forces Health Surveillance Division (AFHSD), Global Emerging Infections Surveillance (GEIS) Branch, ProMIS ID P0144_20_N6_01 for FY2020. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

• Disclaimer

The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official policy or position of the Department of the Navy, Department of Defense, nor the U.S. Government.

• Copyright statement

Some authors of this manuscript are military service members and employees of the U.S. Government. This work was prepared as part of their official duties. Title 17 U.S.C. §105 provides that copyright protection under this title is not available for any work of the United States Government. Title 17 U.S.C. §101 defines a U.S. Government work as work prepared by a military service member or employee of the U.S. Government as part of that person's official duties.

• Availability of data and materials

Data supporting the conclusions of this article are included within the article and its additional files. The raw data used and/or analysed during the present study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

• Authors' contributions

Conceptualization DE and GF; methodology DE, OA, AG, AP, GV, and GF; validation DE, GF, RL and GV; formal analysis DE, and GF; investigation DE, OA, AG, AP, GV, RL and GF; resources DE, GV, RL and GF; data curation DE, GF, AP, RL and GV; writing—original draft preparation, DE, and GF; writing—review and editing DE, OA, AG, AP, GV, RL and GF; supervision DE, and GF; project administration DE and GF; funding acquisition GF, DE, and GV. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

• Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable

• Consent for publication

Not applicable

• Competing interests

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Ghassemi-Khademi T, Oshaghi MA, Vatandoost H, Madjdzadeh SM, Gorouhi MA. Utility of Complete Mitochondrial Genomes in Phylogenetic Classification of the Species of Anopheles (Culicidae: Anophelinae). *J Arthropod Borne Dis*. 2021;15 1:1–20; doi: 10.18502/jad.v15i1.6483. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34277853>.
2. Sumruayphol S, Chaiphongpachara T, Samung Y, Ruangsittichai J, Cui L, Zhong D, et al. Seasonal dynamics and molecular differentiation of three natural Anopheles species (Diptera: Culicidae) of the Maculatus group (Neocellia series) in malaria hotspot villages of Thailand. *Parasit Vectors*. 2020;13 1:574; doi: 10.1186/s13071-020-04452-0. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33176862>.
3. Massey NC, Garrod G, Wiebe A, Henry AJ, Huang Z, Moyes CL, et al. A global bionomic database for the dominant vectors of human malaria. *Sci Data*. 2016;3:160014; doi: 10.1038/sdata.2016.14. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26927852>.
4. Sinka ME, Bangs MJ, Manguin S, Rubio-Palis Y, Chareonviriyaphap T, Coetzee M, et al. A global map of dominant malaria vectors. *Parasit Vectors*. 2012;5:69; doi: 10.1186/1756-3305-5-69. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22475528>.
5. Sinka ME, Rubio-Palis Y, Manguin S, Patil AP, Temperley WH, Gething PW, et al. The dominant Anopheles vectors of human malaria in the Americas: occurrence data, distribution maps and bionomic precis. *Parasit Vectors*. 2010;3:72; doi: 10.1186/1756-3305-3-72.

- <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20712879>.
6. Escobar D, Ascencio K, Ortiz A, Palma A, Fontecha G. Distribution and phylogenetic diversity of *Anopheles* species in malaria endemic areas of Honduras in an elimination setting. *Parasit Vectors*. 2020;13 1:333; doi: 10.1186/s13071-020-04203-1. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32611432>.
 7. Ambrose L, Ortiz-Barrientos D, Cooper RD, Lobo NF, Burkot TR, Russell TL, et al. Gene flow between island populations of the malaria mosquito, *Anopheles hinesorum*, may have contributed to the spread of divergent host preference phenotypes. *Evol Appl*. 2021;14 9:2244–57; doi: 10.1111/eva.13288. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34603496>.
 8. Escobar D, Ascencio K, Ortiz A, Palma A, Sanchez A, Fontecha G. Blood Meal Sources of *Anopheles* spp. in Malaria Endemic Areas of Honduras. *Insects*. 2020;11 7; doi: 10.3390/insects11070450. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32708582>.
 9. Stoops CA, Rusmiarto S, Susapto D, Munif A, Andris H, Barbara KA, et al. Bionomics of *Anopheles* spp. (Diptera: Culicidae) in a malaria endemic region of Sukabumi, West Java, Indonesia. *J Vector Ecol*. 2009;34 2:200–7; doi: 10.1111/j.1948-7134.2009.00027.x. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20836823>.
 10. Avila MI, Vajda EA, Gutierrez EJ, Gibson DA, Renteria MM, Presley N, et al. *Anopheles* drivers of persisting malaria transmission in Guna Yala, Panama: an operational investigation. *Malar J*. 2021;20 1:443; doi: 10.1186/s12936-021-03972-z. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34819092>.
 11. Hinne IA, Attah SK, Mensah BA, Forson AO, Afrane YA. Larval habitat diversity and *Anopheles* mosquito species distribution in different ecological zones in Ghana. *Parasit Vectors*. 2021;14 1:193; doi: 10.1186/s13071-021-04701-w. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33827667>.
 12. Fuller DO, Ahumada ML, Quinones ML, Herrera S, Beier JC. Near-present and future distribution of *Anopheles albimanus* in Mesoamerica and the Caribbean Basin modeled with climate and topographic data. *Int J Health Geogr*. 2012;11:13; doi: 10.1186/1476-072X-11-13. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22545756>.
 13. Rubio-Palis Y, Zimmerman RH. Ecoregional classification of malaria vectors in the neotropics. *J Med Entomol*. 1997;34 5:499–510; doi: 10.1093/jmedent/34.5.499. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9379453>.
 14. Valderrama L, Ayala S, Reyes C, Gonzalez CR. Modeling the Potential Distribution of the Malaria Vector *Anopheles* (Ano.) *pseudopunctipennis* Theobald (Diptera: Culicidae) in Arid Regions of Northern Chile. *Front Public Health*. 2021;9:611152; doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2021.611152. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34046385>.
 15. Hertig E. Distribution of *Anopheles* vectors and potential malaria transmission stability in Europe and the Mediterranean area under future climate change. *Parasit Vectors*. 2019;12 1:18; doi: 10.1186/s13071-018-3278-6. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30621785>.
 16. Collins FH, Paskewitz SM. A review of the use of ribosomal DNA (rDNA) to differentiate among cryptic *Anopheles* species. *Insect Mol Biol*. 1996;5 1:1–9; doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2583.1996.tb00034.x. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8630529>.
 17. Smits N, De Wolf K, Gheysen A, Deblauwe I, Vanslebrouck A, Meganck K, et al. DNA identification of species of the *Anopheles maculipennis* complex and first record of *An. daciae* in Belgium. *Med Vet Entomol*. 2021;35 3:442–50; doi: 10.1111/mve.12519. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33951205>.
 18. Singh T, Hussain SSA, Rawat M, Kar NP, Pasi S, Dhiman RC. Prevalence of sibling-species of *Anopheles* (*Cellia*) *fluviatilis* complex in Himachal Pradesh, India. *J Vector Borne Dis*. 2021;58 1:85 – 9; doi: 10.4103/0972-9062.321745. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34818868>.
 19. Murugan K, Vadivalagan C, Karthika P, Panneerselvam C, Paulpandi M, Subramaniam J, et al. DNA barcoding and molecular evolution of mosquito vectors of medical and veterinary importance. *Parasitol Res*. 2016;115 1:107 – 21; doi: 10.1007/s00436-015-4726-2. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26358100>.
 20. Motoki MT, Linton YM, Conn JE, Ruiz-Lopez F, Wilkerson RC. Phylogenetic Network of Mitochondrial COI Gene Sequences Distinguishes 10 Taxa Within the Neotropical *Albitarsis* Group (Diptera: Culicidae), Confirming the Separate Species Status of *Anopheles albitarsis* H (Diptera: Culicidae) and Revealing a Novel Lineage, *Anopheles albitarsis* J. *J Med Entomol*. 2021;58 2:599–607; doi: 10.1093/jme/tjaa211. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33033825>.
 21. Fang Y, Shi WQ, Zhang Y. Molecular phylogeny of *Anopheles hyrcanus* group (Diptera: Culicidae) based on mtDNA COI. *Infect Dis Poverty*. 2017;6 1:61; doi: 10.1186/s40249-017-0273-7. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28478763>.
 22. Wilkerson RC, Strickman D, Litwak TR. Illustrated key to the female anopheline mosquitoes of Central America and Mexico. *J Am Mosq Control Assoc*. 1990;6 1:7–34. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2324726>.
 23. Folmer O, Black M, Hoeh W, Lutz R, Vrijenhoek R. DNA primers for amplification of mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I from diverse metazoan invertebrates. *Mol Mar Biol Biotechnol*. 1994;3 5:294–9. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7881515>.
 24. Kumar NP, Rajavel AR, Natarajan R, Jambulingam P. DNA barcodes can distinguish species of Indian mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae). *J Med Entomol*. 2007;44 1:1–7; doi: 10.1603/0022-2585(2007)44[1:dbcso]2.0.co;2. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17294914>.
 25. Kumar S, Stecher G, Li M, Knyaz C, Tamura K. MEGA X: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis across Computing Platforms. *Mol Biol Evol*. 2018;35 6:1547–9; doi: 10.1093/molbev/msy096. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29722887>.
 26. Rozas J, Ferrer-Mata A, Sanchez-DelBarrio JC, Guirao-Rico S, Librado P, Ramos-Onsins SE, et al. DnaSP 6: DNA Sequence Polymorphism Analysis of Large Data Sets. *Mol Biol Evol*. 2017;34 12:3299–302; doi: 10.1093/molbev/msx248. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29029172>.
 27. Echeverry DF, Deason NA, Makuru V, Davidson J, Xiao H, Niedbalski J, et al. Fast and robust single PCR for *Plasmodium* sporozoite detection in mosquitoes using the cytochrome oxidase I gene. *Malar J*. 2017;16 1:230; doi: 10.1186/s12936-017-1881-1. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28569159>.
 28. Vidhya PT, Sunish IP, Maile A, Zahid AK. *Anopheles sudaicus* Mosquitoes as Vector for *Plasmodium knowlesi*, Andaman and Nicobar Islands, India. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2019;25 4:817 – 20; doi: 10.3201/eid2504.181668. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30882328>.

29. Honduras E: Departamento de Gracias A Dios. <https://> (2022). 2022.
30. Villarreal-Trevino C, Rios-Delgado JC, Penilla-Navarro RP, Rodriguez AD, Lopez JH, Nettel-Cruz JA, et al. Composition and abundance of anopheline species according to habitat diversity in Mexico. *Salud Publica Mex.* 2020;62 4:388–401; doi: 10.21149/10111. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32549083>.
31. Bond JG, Casas-Martinez M, Quiroz-Martinez H, Novelo-Gutierrez R, Marina CF, Ulloa A, et al. Diversity of mosquitoes and the aquatic insects associated with their oviposition sites along the Pacific coast of Mexico. *Parasit Vectors.* 2014;7:41; doi: 10.1186/1756-3305-7-41. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24450800>.
32. Torres-Cosme R, Rigg C, Santamaria AM, Vasquez V, Victoria C, Ramirez JL, et al. Natural malaria infection in anophelines vectors and their incrimination in local malaria transmission in Darien, Panama. *PLoS One.* 2021;16 5:e0250059; doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0250059. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33939707>.
33. Hurtado LA, Rigg CA, Calzada JE, Dutary S, Bernal D, Koo SI, et al. Population Dynamics of *Anopheles albimanus* (Diptera: Culicidae) at Ipeti-Guna, a Village in a Region Targeted for Malaria Elimination in Panama. *Insects.* 2018;9 4; doi: 10.3390/insects9040164. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30453469>.
34. Padilla-Rodriguez JC, Olivera MJ, Ahumada-Franco ML, Paredes-Medina AE. Malaria risk stratification in Colombia 2010 to 2019. *PLoS One.* 2021;16 3:e0247811; doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0247811. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33705472>.
35. Espinosa-Velez Y, Altamiranda-Saavedra M, Correa MM. Potential distribution of main malaria vector species in the endemic Colombian Pacific region. *Trop Med Int Health.* 2020;25 7:861 – 73; doi: 10.1111/tmi.13399. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32279390>.
36. Project TMA: The Malaria Atlas Project. <https://malariaatlas.org/bionomics/> (2022).
37. Marteis LS, Sallum MA, Natal D, Oliveira TM, Gama RA, Dolabella SS, et al. First Record of *Anopheles oryzalimnetes*, *Anopheles argyritarsis*, and *Anopheles sawyeri* (Diptera: Culicidae) in the Caatinga Biome, Semiarid Scrubland of Sergipe State, Brazil. *J Med Entomol.* 2015;52 5:858–65; doi: 10.1093/jme/tjv118. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26336257>.
38. Jimenez P, Conn JE, Wirtz R, Brochero H. [*Anopheles* (Diptera: Culicidae) vectors of malaria in Puerto Carreno municipality, Vichada, Colombia]. *Biomedica.* 2012;32 Suppl 1:13–21; doi: 10.1590/S0120-41572012000500003. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23235809>.
39. Dantur Juri MJ, Claps GL, Santana M, Zaidenberg M, Almiron WR. Abundance patterns of *Anopheles pseudopunctipennis* and *Anopheles argyritarsis* in northwestern Argentina. *Acta Trop.* 2010;115 3:234 – 41; doi: 10.1016/j.actatropica.2010.04.003. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20398619>.
40. Silva Jdos S, Acel AM, Guimaraes AE, Alencar J. [*Anopheles* (Nyssorhynchus) *argyritarsis* larvae found in artificial breeding sites in the State of Mato Grosso]. *Rev Soc Bras Med Trop.* 2008;41 3:313–4; doi: 10.1590/s0037-86822008000300019. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18719817>.
41. Lardeux F, Aliaga C, Tejerina R, Torrez L. Comparison of transmission parameters between *Anopheles argyritarsis* and *Anopheles pseudopunctipennis* in two ecologically different localities of Bolivia. *Malar J.* 2013;12:282; doi: 10.1186/1475-2875-12-282. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23941216>.
42. Chan-Chable RJ, Martinez-Arce A, Mis-Avila PC, Ortega-Morales AI. Confirmation of occurrence of *Anopheles* (*Anopheles*) *veruslanei* Vargas in Quintana Roo, Mexico using morphology and DNA barcodes. *Acta Trop.* 2018;188:138–41; doi: 10.1016/j.actatropica.2018.08.036. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30171837>.
43. Dix M, Darsie RF, Jr., Molina PA, Rodriguez L, Padilla N, Juarez J. *Anopheles neomaculipalpus*, first record for Guatemala. *J Am Mosq Control Assoc.* 1991;7 2:330–1. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1895097>.
44. Roberts DR, Chan O, Pecor J, Rejmankova E, Manguin S, Polanco J, et al. Preliminary observations on the changing roles of malaria vectors in southern Belize. *J Am Mosq Control Assoc.* 1993;9 4:456–9. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8126482>.
45. Alvarez N, Gomez GF, Naranjo-Diaz N, Correa MM. Discrimination of *Anopheles* species of the Arribalzagia Series in Colombia using a multilocus approach. *Infect Genet Evol.* 2018;64:76–84; doi: 10.1016/j.meegid.2018.06.018. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29929008>.
46. Ahumada ML, Orjuela LI, Pareja PX, Conde M, Cabarcas DM, Cubillos EF, et al. Spatial distributions of *Anopheles* species in relation to malaria incidence at 70 localities in the highly endemic Northwest and South Pacific coast regions of Colombia. *Malar J.* 2016;15 1:407; doi: 10.1186/s12936-016-1421-4. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27515166>.
47. Gomez GF, Bickersmith SA, Gonzalez R, Conn JE, Correa MM. Molecular taxonomy provides new insights into anopheles species of the neotropical arribalzagia series. *PLoS One.* 2015;10 3:e0119488; doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0119488. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25774795>.
48. Morales Viteri D, Herrera-Varela M, Albuja M, Quiroga C, Diaz G, Del Aguila Morante C, et al. New Records of *Anopheles benarrochi* B (Diptera: Culicidae) in Malaria Hotspots in the Amazon Regions of Ecuador and Peru. *J Med Entomol.* 2021;58 3:1234–40; doi: 10.1093/jme/tjaa293. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33511394>.
49. Schiemann DJ, Pinzon ML, Hankeln T. Anthropophilic *Anopheles* species composition and malaria in Tierradentro, Cordoba, Colombia. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz.* 2014;109 3:384–7. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24863977>.
50. Neves A, Urbinatti PR, Malafronte Rdos S, Fernandes A, Paganini Wda S, Natal D. Malaria outside the Amazon region: natural *Plasmodium* infection in anophelines collected near an indigenous village in the Vale do Rio Branco, Itanhaem, SP, Brazil. *Acta Trop.* 2013;125 1:102–6; doi: 10.1016/j.actatropica.2012.08.014. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22989665>.
51. Rondon S, Leon C, Link A, Gonzalez C. Prevalence of *Plasmodium* parasites in non-human primates and mosquitoes in areas with different degrees of fragmentation in Colombia. *Malar J.* 2019;18 1:276; doi: 10.1186/s12936-019-2910-z. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31426810>.
52. Gonzalez C, Molina AG, Leon C, Salcedo N, Rondon S, Paz A, et al. Entomological characterization of malaria in northern Colombia through vector and parasite species identification, and analyses of spatial distribution and infection rates. *Malar J.* 2017;16 1:431; doi: 10.1186/s12936-017-2076-5. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29078770>.

53. Stein M, Luduena-Almeida F, Willener JA, Almiron WR. Classification of immature mosquito species according to characteristics of the larval habitat in the subtropical province of Chaco, Argentina. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz*. 2011;106 4:400–7; doi: 10.1590/s0074-02762011000400004. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21739026>.
54. Moreno JE, Rubio-Palis Y, Paez E, Perez E, Sanchez V, Vaccari E. *Anopheles (Anopheles) neomaculipalpus*: a new malaria vector in the Amazon basin? *Med Vet Entomol*. 2005;19 3:329 – 32; doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2915.2005.00572.x. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16134983>.
55. Loaiza JR, Scott ME, Bermingham E, Sanjurjo OI, Rovira JR, Dutari LC, et al. Novel genetic diversity within *Anopheles punctimacula* s.l.: phylogenetic discrepancy between the Barcode cytochrome c oxidase I (COI) gene and the rDNA second internal transcribed spacer (ITS2). *Acta Trop*. 2013;128 1:61–9; doi: 10.1016/j.actatropica.2013.06.012. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23806568>.
56. Chan-Chable RJ, Martinez-Arce A, Mis-Avila PC, Ortega-Morales AI. DNA barcodes and evidence of cryptic diversity of anthropophilic mosquitoes in Quintana Roo, Mexico. *Ecol Evol*. 2019;9 8:4692 – 705; doi: 10.1002/ece3.5073. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31031936>.
57. Molina-Cruz A, de Merida AM, Mills K, Rodriguez F, Schoua C, Yurrita MM, et al. Gene flow among *Anopheles albimanus* populations in Central America, South America, and the Caribbean assessed by microsatellites and mitochondrial DNA. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. 2004;71 3:350–9. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15381818>.
58. Loaiza JR, Scott ME, Bermingham E, Rovira J, Conn JE. Evidence for pleistocene population divergence and expansion of *Anopheles albimanus* in Southern Central America. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. 2010;82 1:156 – 64; doi: 10.4269/ajtmh.2010.09-0423. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20065014>.
59. Hendershot AL, Esayas E, Sutcliffe AC, Irish SR, Gadisa E, Tadesse FG, et al. A comparison of PCR and ELISA methods to detect different stages of *Plasmodium vivax* in *Anopheles arabiensis*. *Parasit Vectors*. 2021;14 1:473; doi: 10.1186/s13071-021-04976-z. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34526109>.
60. Finney M, McKenzie BA, Rabaovola B, Sutcliffe A, Dotson E, Zohdy S. Widespread zoophagy and detection of *Plasmodium* spp. in *Anopheles* mosquitoes in southeastern Madagascar. *Malar J*. 2021;20 1:25; doi: 10.1186/s12936-020-03539-4. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33413398>.
61. Zhong D, Hemming-Schroeder E, Wang X, Kibret S, Zhou G, Atieli H, et al. Extensive new *Anopheles* cryptic species involved in human malaria transmission in western Kenya. *Sci Rep*. 2020;10 1:16139; doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-73073-5. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32999365>.
62. Ant T, Foley E, Tytheridge S, Johnston C, Goncalves A, Ceasay S, et al. A survey of *Anopheles* species composition and insecticide resistance on the island of Bubaque, Bijagos Archipelago, Guinea-Bissau. *Malar J*. 2020;19 1:27; doi: 10.1186/s12936-020-3115-1. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31941507>.
63. Zogo B, Soma DD, Tchiekoi BN, Some A, Ahoua Alou LP, Koffi AA, et al. *Anopheles* bionomics, insecticide resistance mechanisms, and malaria transmission in the Korhogo area, northern Cote d'Ivoire: a pre-intervention study. *Parasite*. 2019;26:40; doi: 10.1051/parasite/2019040. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31298995>.

Figures




Figure 1
Map of Honduras showing study sites in five departments where entomological collections were conducted. (a) Gracias a Dios; (b) Comayagua; (c) Cortés; (d) El Paraíso; (e) Bay Islands




Figure 2

Map of Honduras showing pie charts with the proportion of *Anopheles* species collected at each department. Chart size is proportional to the number of specimens collected. (a) Gracias a Dios; (b) Bay Islands; (c) Comayagua; (d) El Paraíso; (e) Cortés




Figure 3
Phylogenetic cladogram of *COI* sequences of eight *Anopheles* species constructed using the Neighbor-Joining method with a bootstrap of 1000 replicates and the Geneious 9.1.7 software. Shades of blue indicate different *An. albimanus* collection sites




Figure 4
Dendrograms constructed using the Neighbor-Joining method and the Geneious 9.1.7 software with a bootstrap of 1000 replicates. (a) *Anopheles argyritarsis*, (b) *An. apicimacula*, (c) *An. neomaculipalpus*

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [Supplementarymaterial1.xlsx](#)