A Randomised Computer-assisted Rehabilitation Trial of Attention in Pediatric Multiple Sclerosis: A Post-hoc Analysis.

Marta Simone

University of Bari Aldo Moro

Rosa Gemma Viterbo

University of Bari Aldo Moro

Lucia Margari

University of Bari Aldo Moro

Pietro Iaffaldano (✉ pietro.iaffaldano@uniba.it)

University of Bari Aldo Moro

Research Article

Keywords: Pediatric Multiple sclerosis, Simbol Digit Modalities Test , Cognitive Impairment, Attention, Rehabilitation

Posted Date: January 27th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-151114/v1>

License: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background: Cognitive decline is one of the most remarkable features of Multiple Sclerosis (MS) and particularly in pediatric onset MS (POMS). The Symbol Digit Modalities Test (SDMT), a simple, brief measure of information processing speed (IPS) has been proposed and it is increasingly used to explore cognitive functions in MS clinical trials. Recently a 4-point worsening on the SDMT score has been demonstrated to significantly correlate with a clinically meaningful cognitive decline.

Methods: The primary objective of this post-hoc analysis of a randomised computer-assisted rehabilitation trial for attention impairment in POMS was to test the clinical meaningfulness of the changes of SDMT scores by applying a 4-point SDMT cut-off. POMS exposed to specific computer training (ST) and nonspecific training (nST) were compared. All analyses were post hoc and not pre-specified. To evaluate the clinical meaningfulness of longitudinal changes over time of the SDMT in the ST and nST groups we applied a categorization of the delta SDMT scores (delta SDMT: SDMT score at T1 – SDMT score at T0) as follow: between -3 and 3 = not clinically significant; ≤ -4 = clinically significant worsening; ≥ 4 = clinically significant improvement. The proportion of patients reporting a clinically significant SMDT improvement were compared between the 2 groups by using the chi-square test.

Results: Twenty-five % of POMS reported no clinically significant changes, 12.5% a clinically significant worsening and 62.5% patients a clinically significant improvement in the SDMT score at the end of the training program. The proportion of patients reporting a clinically significant improvement of the SDMT was significantly ($p=0.008$) higher (100%) in patients exposed to the ST in comparison to that (25%) in nST group.

Conclusions: In our RCT the use of the 4-point SDMT cut-off allows us to demonstrate the clinical meaningfulness of the results obtained by a home-based computerized program for retraining attention dysfunction in POMS patients with attention impairment. Further studies are needed to confirm the clinical validity of this cut-off and its applicability in the routine clinical practice setting

Introduction

There's a growing need to find new and more robust disability outcome measures to be used in multiple sclerosis (MS) randomised clinical trials (RCTs) and in clinical practice. The most common outcomes currently used, annualized relapse rate and sustained Expanded disability Status Scale (EDSS) progression, miss an important dimension of MS-related disability, namely, decline in cognitive function. Indeed, cognitive dysfunction is one of the most remarkable features of MS (1) and particularly in pediatric onset MS (POMS).

The percentage of patients with POMS with at least a mild cognitive deficit ranges from 30 to 50%. (2–6) Therefore, the Multiple Sclerosis Functional Composite (MSFC), including a cognitive test, the Paced Auditory Serial Addition Test (PASAT), has been suggested (7) as an alternative and more complete

outcome measure in MS RCTs, but it has some limitations due to the difficulty interpreting the clinical meaning of z-score change and because it seems to be not fully accepted by MS patients (8, 9).

Currently the Symbol Digit Modalities Test (SDMT), a simple, brief measure of information processing speed (IPS) has been proposed (10) and it is increasingly used to explore cognitive functions in MS clinical trials, since it requires little time and no special equipment, does not demonstrate significant ceiling effects, has a good test–retest reliability and very low practice effects as there are alternate forms available (11)

Recently a detailed analysis of the psychometric qualities, sensitivity to change and clinical meaningfulness of SDMT in comparison to the Paced Auditory Serial Addition Test (PASAT) has been performed by the Multiple Sclerosis Outcome Assessments Consortium (MSOAC) (10). The results of this analysis proved the SDMT to be superior to the PASAT suggesting SDMT should be considered the measure of choice for MS trials in assessing IPS. In particular, they found that a 4-point worsening on the SDMT score significantly correlated with clinically meaningful cognitive decline as evidenced by a 5-point worsening on the Physical Component Summary (PCS) of the Health Status Questionnaire (SF-36). Moreover, previous studies confirmed that this degree of change in the SDMT is clinically meaningful, when correlated to relapses and employment status. (12, 13)

In a recent double-blind RCT (14) we assessed the efficacy of a home-based computerized program for retraining attention dysfunction in a cohort of POMS patients with attention impairment. We found that after a 3-month cognitive training, the specific computer training (ST) exposure was associated to a significantly more pronounced reduction of the Cognitive Impaired Index (CII) in comparison to the non-specific training (nST) exposure. In particular, POMS treated with a ST had a significant higher improvement in their performances on SDMT in comparison to those receiving a n-ST suggesting that a cognitive rehabilitation program that targets attention is a suitable tool for improving global cognitive functioning in POMS patients.

Here, we present a post-hoc analysis aimed to assess the robustness of treatment effects, applying the 4-point SDMT cut-off, as proposed by MSOAC (10), on the results of our cognitive rehabilitation trial.

Methods

Study population, Procedures and intervention

A detailed description of the study population, procedures and intervention has been previously reported elsewhere (14).

Briefly, 16 POMS patients failing in at least 2/4 attention tests on a neuropsychological battery were randomized to ST or nST (15), performed at home, in one-hour sessions, twice/week for three months. A neuropsychological test battery was administered, using alternative versions of the tests, at baseline (T0), and within one week following the end of the three months training program (T1).

The neuropsychological test battery comprised tests which cover different cognitive domains.

The SDMT was administered to assess IPS.

The primary objective of this post-hoc analysis was to test the effects of our cognitive rehabilitation trial by comparing the delta SDMT scores, applying a 4-point SDMT cut-off, in ST and nST groups.

Statistical analysis

All analyses were post hoc and not pre-specified. To quantify the clinical impact of longitudinal changes over time of the SDMT in the cohorts of patients exposed to ST and to nST we have applied the following categorization of the delta SDMT scores (delta SDMT:=SDMT score at T1 – SDMT score at T0) by using a 4-point SDMT cut-off:

- delta SDMT between - 3 and 3 = not clinically significant SDMT change;
- delta SDMT $\leq$ - 4 = clinically significant SMDT worsening;
- delta SDMT $\geq$ 4 = clinically significant SMDT improvement.

Thereafter, we compared the proportion of patients reporting a clinically significant SMDT improvement at the end of the 3 months training program by using the chi-square test.

Statistical analysis was performed by using SPSS software (SPSS, version 22.0; SPSS, Chicago, Ill).

Results

The comparisons of baseline demographic and clinical characteristics and of the baseline NP of POMS subgroups who underwent ST and n-ST are reported in Table 1.

Table 1
Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of POMS subgroups underwent specific and non specific training

Variable	Specific Training (n = 8)	Non Specific Training (n = 8)	p - value (t, U, or Fisher's exact test)
Sex (F/M)	5/3	4/4	1.0
Age, years	15.8 (2.0)	15.7 (1.5)	1.0
Disease Duration, years	3.5 (3.5)	3.3 (2.6)	0.96
Handedness, n. right-handed (%)	7 (87.5)	8 (100)	0.97
Disease modifying therapy, n			
Nothing	2	2	0.67
Interferon beta	6	4	
Glatiramer Acetate	0	1	
Natalizumab	0	1	
Annualized Relapse Rate	0.4 (0.5)	0.3 (0.5)	0.72
EDSS, median (min - max)	2.0 (1.0–3.5)	3.0 (1.0–3.5)	0.28
Baseline neuropsychological performances			
SRT- LTS	29.9 (12.6)	24.6 (6.5)	0.2
SRT - CLTR	22.1 (11.0)	20.4 (7.5)	0.6
SPART	19.3 (4.4)	22.8 (2.0)	0.1
SDMT	24.5 (4.6)	20.5 (3.6)	0.1
Trail Making Test A	39.4 (11.5)	34.6 (9.8)	0.5
Trail Making Test B	108.4 (61.4)	107.9 (79.4)	1.0
SRT-D	6.3 (2.8)	5.8 (1.5)	0.2
SPART-D	6.8 (1.0)	7.0 (1.4)	1.0

Abbreviations: POMS = pediatric onset multiple sclerosis; EDSS = Expanded Disability Status Scale; ST = specific training; nST = non specific training; SRT-LTS = Selective Reminding Test Long Term Storage; SRT-CTLR = Selective Reminding Test – Consistent Long-Term Retrieval; SRT-D = Selective Reminding Test–Delayed; SPART = Spatial Recall Test; SPART-D = Spatial Recall Test–Delayed; SDMT = Symbol Digit Modalities Test.

Variable	Specific Training (n = 8)	Non Specific Training (n = 8)	p - value (t, U, or Fisher's exact test)
Tower of London	15.8 (5.4)	15.6 (6.6)	0.8
Cognitive Impairment Index	22.5 (3.9)	22.3 (2.4)	0.9
Abbreviations: POMS = pediatric onset multiple sclerosis; EDSS = Expanded Disability Status Scale; ST = specific training; nST = non specific training; SRT-LTS = Selective Reminding Test Long Term Storage; SRT-CTLR = Selective Reminding Test – Consistent Long-Term Retrieval; SRT-D = Selective Reminding Test–Delayed; SPART = Spatial Recall Test; SPART-D = Spatial Recall Test–Delayed; SDMT = Symbol Digit Modalities Test.			

At baseline, no differences were found between the 2 treatment arms regarding sex, age, and in terms of NP performances.

After the 3-month cognitive training, patients exposed to the ST showed a significant improvement in SDMT performances ($p < 0.0001$) in comparison to those treated with nST.

More in details, a significant effect for time (Baseline (T0) vs Post – Treatment (T1) comparison) was found for the mean (SD) SDMT values (ST group: 24.5 (4.6) vs 46.3 (6.7); nST group: 20.5 (3.6) vs 20.8 (4.1), $p < 0.0001$).

By applying the 4 points cut-off of the delta SDMT scores, 4 (25%) patients reported no clinically significant changes, 2 (12.5%) patients a clinically significant worsening and 10 (62.5%) patients a clinically significant improvement in the SDMT score at the end of the training program.

The proportion of patients reporting a clinically significant improvement of the SDMT was significantly ($p = 0.008$) higher in patients exposed to the ST (8/8;100%) in comparison to that in patients exposed to nST (2/8; 25%) (Table 2).

Table 2
Classes of SDMT changes in POMS subgroups underwent specific and non specific training

Classes of SDMT changes	Specific Training (n = 8)	Non Specific Training (n = 8)	p - value
Not clinically significant changes	0	4	0.008
Clinically significant worsening	0	2	
Clinically significant improvement	8	2	

Among the other patients exposed to the nST 4/8 (50%) reported stable SDMT scores (delta SDMT between - 3 and + 3, meaning a not clinically significant change), 2/8 (25%) had a significant deterioration.

Moreover, the overall improvement of the delta-CII was significantly higher in patients reporting a clinically significant improvement of the SDMT at the end of training in comparison to those who presented with a not clinically significant change and those with a clinically significant worsening of the SDMT ($p = 0.038$). (Fig. 1)

Discussion

Rehabilitation treatment with a computerized cognitive training specifically designed to exercise the attention domain resulted in a significant improvement of overall cognitive performances and in particular of the SDMT scores. With this post-hoc analysis we have also demonstrated that a specific attention training is associated with clinically meaningful changes of SDMT scores in the short term.

It is noteworthy that all patients exposed to the ST exhibited a clinically meaningful improvement of the SDMT scores in comparison to only 2/8 patients exposed to the nST at the end of the 3-month cognitive training .

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of the application of the 4-point SDMT cut-off, proposed by MSOAC (10), to the results of a RCT in order to test if the degree of changes in the SDMT scores obtained after a specific cognitive training were clinically meaningful.

The research about functional measures capable to explore from different perspectives, including the non-motor disability, the overall impact of MS on disability has a long-lasting history.

In 1996 the MSFC was proposed as a multiple domains measure to detect and summarize walking impairment (via the time 25-foot walk test), upper extremity dexterity (via the 9-hole peg test) and cognition (via the PAST) abilities in patients with MS. (16)

Thereafter, most of the RCTs performed to evaluate the efficacy of disease modifying therapies included the MSFC as an outcome measure, but due to the difficulty interpreting the clinical meaning of z-score change and because it seems that MS patients not fully accept the tests (especially the PASAT), MSFC has not been extensively used in clinical practice. (8, 9)

The use of SDMT as an outcome measure in RCTs and observational studies has progressively gained more attention in the recent years. The SDMT performances have been found to be associated with different magnetic resonance imaging measures of MS disease progression (17–20).

Moreover, SDMT scores are predictive of different patient-related outcomes, such as employment and driving abilities (21–24), but also of future cognitive decline (25).

Given all these premises and based on its predictive validity, the very high level of sensitivity and specificity and the facility of administration, this cognitive test is often used in clinical practice to perform a basic cognitive screening helping to identify patients at high risk for cognitive impairment who need a more structured neuropsychological evaluation.

Recently, the psychometric properties of SDMT and PASAT have been compared and the former proved to be superior to the PASAT in assessing the IPS in patients with MS. (10)

Furthermore, a 4-point change in the SDMT has been proved to be a cut-off able to discriminate clinically meaningful changes from test scores changes due to practice effect or simply to the chance. (10, 26)

In our RCT the use of the 4-point SDMT cut-off allows us to demonstrate the clinical meaningfulness of the results obtained by a home-based computerized program for retraining attention dysfunction in POMS patients with attention impairment. Further studies are needed to confirm the clinical validity of this cut-off and its applicability in the routine clinical practice setting.

Declarations

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Authors' contributions

MS defined the study concept and design, recruited patients and collected, analysed and interpreted the data.

RGV performed the neuropsychological evaluations and collected, analysed and interpreted the data.

LM performed the study supervision and a critical revision of the manuscript for intellectual content.

PI defined the study concept and design and collected, analysed and interpreted the data.

All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate.

The study was conducted with approval of the institutional review board

(Comitato Etico Indipendente Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria Consorziata Policlinico - Approval Number: 0070059/CE). Parents of the participants signed an informed consent.

Competing interests

Marta Simone reports no disclosures.

Rosa Gemma Viterbo has received speaker honoraria from Biogen Idec and Teva.

Lucia Margari reports no disclosures.

Pietro Iaffaldano has served on scientific advisory boards for Biogen Idec and Bayer-Shering, and has received funding for travel and/or speaker honoraria from Genzyme, Biogen Idec, Merck-Serono, Teva and Novartis.

Funding

Not applicable

Acknowledgements

This paper and the research behind it would not have been possible without the collaboration of patients and their families.

References

1. Bobholz JA, Rao SM. Cognitive dysfunction in multiple sclerosis: a review of recent developments. *Curr Opin Neurol.* 2003;16(3):283–8
2. Banwell BL, Anderson PE. The cognitive burden of multiple sclerosis in children. *Neurology.* 2005;64(5):891–4
3. MacAllister WS, Belman AL, Milazzo M, et al. Cognitive functioning in children and adolescents with multiple sclerosis. *Neurology.* 2005; 64(8):1422–5;
4. Julian L, Serafin D, Charvet L, et al. Cognitive impairment occurs in children and adolescents with multiple sclerosis: results from a United States network. *J Child Neurol.* 2013;28(1):102–7.
5. Parrish JB, Farooq O, Weinstock-Guttman B. Cognitive deficits in pediatric-onset multiple sclerosis: what does the future hold? *Neurodegener Dis Manag.* 2014;4(2):137–46
6. Amato MP, Goretti B, Ghezzi A, et al. Cognitive and psychosocial features of childhood and juvenile MS. *Neurology.* 2008;70(20):1891–7
7. Polman CH and Rudick RA. The Multiple Sclerosis Functional Composite: A clinically meaningful measure of disability. *Neurology* 2010; 74(Suppl. 3): S8–S15.
8. Ontaneda D, LaRocca NG, Coetzee T, et al. Revisiting the multiple sclerosis functional composite: Proceedings from the National Multiple Sclerosis Society (NMSS) task force on clinical disability measures. *Mult Scler Houndmills Basingstoke Engl* 2012; 18(8): 1074–1080.

9. Aupperle RL, Beatty WW, Shelton FNAP, et al. Three screening batteries to detect cognitive impairment in multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler Houndmills Basingstoke Engl* 2002; 8(5): 382–389.
10. Strober L, DeLuca J et al. Symbol Digit Modalities Test: A valid clinical trial endpoint for measuring cognition in multiple sclerosis *Multiple Sclerosis Journal* 2019, Vol. 25(13) 1781–1790
11. Benedict RHB, Smerbeck A, Parikh R, et al. Reliability and equivalence of alternate forms for the Symbol Digit Modalities Test: Implications for multiple sclerosis clinical trials. *Mult Scler Houndmills Basingstoke Engl* 2012; 18(9): 1320–1325.
12. Morrow SA, Drake A, Zivadinov R, et al. Predicting loss of employment over three years in multiple sclerosis: Clinically meaningful cognitive decline. *Clin Neuropsychol* 2010; 24(7): 1131–1145.
13. Benedict RHB, Morrow S, Rodgers J, et al. Characterizing cognitive function during relapse in multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler Houndmills Basingstoke Engl* 2014; 20(13): 1745–1752.
14. Marta Simone, Rosa Gemma Viterbo, Lucia Margari and Pietro Iaffaldano. Computer-assisted rehabilitation of attention in pediatric multiple sclerosis and ADHD patients: a pilot trial. *BMC Neurology* (2018) 18:82
15. Amato M P, Goretti B, Viterbo RG et al. Computer-assisted rehabilitation of attention in patients with multiple sclerosis: results of a randomized, double-blind trial. *Mult Scler* 2014 20(1): 91-98.
16. Rudick RA, Antel J, Confavreux C, et al. Clinical outcomes assessment in multiple sclerosis. *Ann Neurol* 1996; 40(3): 469–479.
17. Sumowski JF, Benedict RHB,ENZINGER C, et al. Cognition in multiple sclerosis: State of the field and priorities for the future. *Neurology* 2018; 90(6): 278–288.
18. Christodoulou C, Krupp LB, Liang Z, et al. Cognitive performance and MR markers of cerebral injury in cognitively impaired MS patients. *Neurology* 2003; 60(11): 1793–1798.
19. Lazeron RHC, Boringa JB, Schouten M, et al. Brain atrophy and lesion load as explaining parameters for cognitive impairment in multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler Houndmills Basingstoke Engl* 2005; 11(5): 524–531.
20. Toledo J, Sepulcre J, Salinas-Alaman A, et al. Retinal nerve fiber layer atrophy is associated with physical and cognitive disability in multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler Houndmills Basingstoke Engl* 2008; 14(7): 906–912.
21. Rao SM, Martin AL, Huelin R, et al. Correlations between MRI and information processing speed in MS: A meta-analysis. *Mult Scler Int* 2014; 2014: 975803.
22. Goverover Y, Strober L, Chiaravalloti N, et al. Factors that moderate activity limitation and participation restriction in people with multiple sclerosis. *Am J Occup Ther Off Publ Am Occup Ther Assoc* 2015; 69(2): 6902260020p1–6902260020p9.
23. Strober L, Chiaravalloti N, Moore N, et al. Unemployment in multiple sclerosis (MS): Utility of the MS Functional Composite and cognitive testing. *Mult Scler Houndmills Basingstoke Engl* 2014; 20(1): 112–115.

24. Schultheis MT, Weisser V, Ang J, et al. Examining the relationship between cognition and driving performance in multiple sclerosis. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2010; 91(3): 465–473.

25. Amato MP, Portaccio E, Goretti B, et al. Relevance of cognitive deterioration in early relapsing-remitting MS: A 3-year follow-up study. Mult Scler Houndmill Basingstoke Engl 2010; 16(12): 1474–1482.

26. Benedict RHB, DeLuca J, Phillips G, et al. Validity of the Symbol Digit Modalities Test as a cognition performance outcome measure for multiple sclerosis. Mult Scler Houndmills Basingstoke Engl 2017; 23:721–733.

Figures

Figure 1

Delta-CII at the end of the training stratified by the delta-SMDT score.