

Prevention of postoperative nausea and vomiting after gynaecological day surgery under remimazolam general anesthesia: A randomized double-blind controlled study

Fuxia Yi

Weifang People' s Hospital

Hongyi xiao

Weifang Medical University

Teng Zhu

Weifang Medical University

Yan Man

Weifang Medical University

Fanceng Ji (✉ jjifanceng@163.com)

Weifang People' s Hospital

Research Article

Keywords: PONV, Remimazolam, Alfentanil, Dexamethasone, Droperidol, Tropisetron

Posted Date: April 15th, 2022

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1518383/v1>

License:   This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Purpose: To observe the effect of different antiemetic drugs for the prevention of postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV) after gynaecological day surgery under remimazolam general anesthesia.

Methods: 192 patients were selected for gynaecological day surgery and randomly divided into three groups: droperidol group (DD group), tropisetron group (DT group) and control group (DC group). Flurbiprofen axetil 50mg and dexamethasone 5mg were given intravenously before induction of anesthesia, and 2 minutes later droperidol 1mg was given intravenously to the DD group, tropisetron 5mg to the DT group and saline (5ml) to the DC group. Induction of anesthesia: remimazolam 6mg/kg/h was continuously pumped to sleep, mivacurium 0.2mg/kg and alfentanil 20ug/kg were slowly pushed, 3 minutes later intubation was performed to control breathing. Maintenance of anesthesia: 40ug/kg/h of alfentanil, 1mg/kg/h of remimazolam continuous infusion. After awakening and extubation, the patient was transferred to the PACU. PONV were recorded in the PACU and an electronic questionnaire was pushed 24 h after surgery.

Results: The incidence of PONV within the PACU was significantly lower in the DD (14.5%) and DT (26.7%) groups than in the DC (50%) group ($p < 0.01$), there was no significant difference between the DT and DD groups. There were no significant difference in the incidence of PONV in 24 h after surgery between the three groups (DD:DT:DC = 44.5%:45.1%:63.8%, $p > 0.05$).

Conclusions: Droperidol or tropisetron combined with dexamethasone is superior to dexamethasone alone for the prevention of PONV in the PACU after remimazolam combined with alfentanil anesthesia, with no significant difference in the incidence of PONV in 24 h after surgery.

Introduction

Postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV) is one of the most common complications of general anesthesia [1]. The risk factors of PONV include the patient's own factors, anesthetic factors and surgical factors. Gynecological day surgery patients are at high risk for PONV in terms of gender, age, motion sickness, gynecological surgery and opioids, with PONV being as high as 80% in high-risk patients.

Remimazolam is a novel benzodiazepine that acts on central GABA_A receptors to produce sedation and amnesia and is widely used for preoperative administration, endoscopic anesthesia, induction maintenance of general anesthesia and in ICU applications [2]. Alfentanil is a short-acting opioid with low respiratory depression, less cough induced and fast metabolism, which is suitable for daytime surgical anesthesia. The combination of different types of antiemetic drugs is better than single drugs for prevention and treatment, and reduces side effects [3]. 5-HT₃ receptor inhibitors, dexamethasone and droperidol are commonly used for the prevention of PONV. Whether the combination of the above drugs is effective in preventing PONV after remimazolam combined with alfentanil total intravenous anesthesia deserves clinical investigation.

Materials And Methods

Patients and study protocol

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Weifang People's Hospital and registered with the China Clinical Trials Registry (ChiCTR2100053316). The subjects was 192 patients of ASA1-2 level, aged between 18 and 65 years, who were about to undergo gynaecological day surgery at the First Clinical Medical College of Weifang Medical College. Randomly divided into 3 groups: DD group (dexamethasone combined with droperidol group), DT group (dexamethasone combined with Tropisetron group) and DC group (dexamethasone group). Exclusion criteria were breastfeeding, a history of chronic pain, a history of sedative and analgesic administration or allergy to any of the study drugs, severe hypertension, and diabetes mellitus. Reject criteria were a procedure time of more than 1 hour, discharged the next day, missing follow-up with the electronic questionnaire pushed 24 h after the procedure.

Patients were assessed by the anesthesia clinic before admission and confirmed to be ready for day surgery. On the day of surgery, patients were admitted to the room and re-confirmed to be free of contraindications and then intravenous access was established, lactated ringer's solution was infused and NIBP, HR and SPO₂ were monitored. flurbiprofen axetil 50mg and dexamethasone 5mg were given intravenously before induction of anesthesia and 2 minutes later droperidol 1mg was given to the DD group, tropisetron 5mg to the DT group and saline (5ml) to the DC group. Induction of anesthesia : remimazolam 6mg/kg/h was continuously pumped until sleep, mivacurium 0.2 mg/kg and alfentanil 20ug/kg were slowly injected, 3 minutes later tracheal intubation was performed to control breathing. Anesthesia maintenance: alfentanil 40ug/kg/h and remimazolam 1mg/kg/h continuous infusion, stop pumping at the end of the operation. A single dose of remimazolam 2mg or and alfentanil 80ug was given at the onset of intraoperative signs of decompensated anesthesia. After awakening and extubation, the patient was taken to the PACU and assessed for nausea and vomiting. Patients were discharged after meeting discharge criteria as assessed by the Post-anesthetic Discharge Scoring System (PADSS) criteria. An electronic follow-up questionnaire was pushed 24 h after the operation. Basic information about the patient's medical history and surgery was obtained through preoperative anesthesia clinic assessment, intraoperative anesthesia monitoring, in the inpatient electronic medical record, and observation notes in the PACU.

Main Outcome

The incidence of PONV in the PACU.

Secondary Outcome

The incidence of PONV within 24 h after surgery; Baseline data on age, height, weight, BMI, ASA grading, Apfel score, type of surgery and general data such as remimazolam and alfentanil consumption and

duration of surgery.

Sample Size And Statistical Analysis

There are no reports of PONV after general anesthesia with remimazolam combined with alfentanil. According to the literature, according to the literature we applied intravenous general anesthesia with remimazolam combined with alfentanil in gynaecological day surgery, and the anesthetic effect was good; in this study, $\alpha = 0.05$ and $\beta = 0.1$ were taken and the results of the pre-test study were that the incidence PONV in the PACU was approximately 55% in the DC group, 25% in the DD group and 15% in the DT group. The sample size with statistically significant differences between the DC and DD groups was calculated to be 57 cases, with a 10% missing sample rate, so 64 cases were included in each group, for a total of 192 cases in the three groups..

SPSS 18.0 software was used for statistical analysis, and measurement data that obeyed normal distribution were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation ($\bar{x} \pm s$) and compared using analysis of variance (ANOVA). Non-parametric rank sum test was used for non-normally distributed measurement data. Count data were expressed as rates or composition ratios, and the χ^2 test was used. A P value of < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Results

192 patients were included, six were excluded and six were rejected, and data from 180 patients in the PACU and 145 patients at 24 h postoperatively were obtained for statistical analysis (Fig. 1).

There were no significant differences between the three groups in the basic data of patients such as age, weight, height, BMI, ASA grade, Apfel score, type of surgery and the dosage of remimazolam and alfentanil and duration of surgery ($P > 0.05$) (Table 1).

Table 1
Patient demographics

Characteristic	DD group (n = 62)	DT group (n = 60)	DC group (58)	F χ^2 K- W	P value
Age, yr	43.41 \pm 1.35	43.85 \pm 1.15	43.63 \pm 1.28	0.068(F)	0.934
Height, m	1.62 \pm 0.01	1.62 \pm 0.01	1.61 \pm 0.01	0.357(F)	0.700
Weight, kg	61.80 \pm 1.03	62.05 \pm 1.30	60.82 \pm 1.15	0.357(F)	0.700
BMI, kg/m ²	23.59 \pm 0.41	23.74 \pm 0.46	23.46 \pm 0.44	0.132(F)	0.876
ASA class n(%)					
I	8(12.9%)	14(23.3%)	12(20.7%)	2.346(χ^2)	0.309
II	54(87.1%)	46(76.7%)	46(79.3%)		
Apfel score n(%)					
2	39(62.9%)	32(53.3%)	40(69.0%)	3.110(χ^2)	0.211
3	23(37.1%)	28(46.7%)	18(31.0%)		
Type of surgery, n(%)					
Hysteroscope	46(75.4%)	40(66.7%)	44(75.9%)	1.698(χ^2)	0.791
Cervical conization	14(23.0%)	19(31.7%)	13(22.4%)		
Others	1(1.6%)	1(1.7%)	1(1.7%)		
Duration of anesthesia, min Median (Range)	23.00(20.00– 30.00)	24.50(16.00– 36.75)	24.50(17.50– 29.50)	0.009(K- W)	P = 0.996
Dose, mg					
Remimazolam, induction	16.38 \pm 0.41	15.84 \pm 0.48	15.31 \pm 0.36	1.287(F)	0.279
Remimazolam, maintenance, Median (Range)	13.87(8.67– 20.85)	14.80(9.70– 20.75)	15.00(10.00– 23.00)	0.771(K- W)	0.680
Alfentanil, induction, Median (Range)	1.00(1.00– 1.00)	1.00(1.00– 1.00)	1.00(1.00– 1.10)	1.908(K- W)	0.385
Alfentanil, maintenance, Median (Range)	0.46(0.32– 0.68)	0.57(0.37– 1.06)	0.56(0.40– 0.90)	4.749(K- W)	0.093
Mivacurium Chloride induction, Median (Range)	10.00(10.00– 10.00)	10.00(10.00– 10.00)	10.00(10.00– 12.00)	1.834(K- W)	0.400
ASA American Society of Anesthesiologist, BMI Body Mass Index, Data are presented as mean \pm SD, number of patients or Median (Range)					

Table 2 Postoperative values

PONV	DD group	DT group	DC group	χ^2 H(K)	P value
PACU(n)	62	60	58		
0	53(85.5%)	44(73.3%)	29(50.0%)	18.443	0.000
1	9(14.5%)	16(26.7%)	29(50.0%) ^a		
24h(n)	47	51	47		
0	26(55.3%)	28(54.9%)	17(36.2%)	4.558	0.102
1	21(44.7%)	23(45.1%)	30(63.8%)		
PONV Postoperative nausea and vomiting PACU post-anesthesia care unit,24H after discharge					
0 = no of nausea 1 = nausea or/and vomiting					
^a $P < 0.01$ for DC group vs DD group and DT group					

The incidence of PONV in the PACU was significantly lower in the DD(14.5%) and DT (26.7%)groups than in the DC(50%) group ($P < 0.01$)(Table 1), and the difference between the DD and DT groups was not significantly ($P > 0.05$)(Table 2).The incidence of PONV in 24 h after surgery was no significantly difference between the three groups(DD:DT:DC = 44.5%:45.1%:63.8%, $P > 0.05$) (Table 2).

Discussion

Remimazolam is a new type of ultra-short-acting benzodiazepine that acts on the central GABA_A receptor, opening the channel and increasing the inward flow of chloride ions, causing hyperpolarization of the nerve cell membrane and thus inhibiting neuronal activity, producing sedation and amnesia etc. Remimazolam has the advantages of rapid onset of action, short elimination half-life and is not dependent on hepatic or renal metabolism [4]. Continuous infusion of 3 hours of context-sensitive half-time (CSHT) is similar to that of propofol (7.5 minutes) and significantly shorter than that of midazolam [5]. There are specific antagonists for remimazolam[6]. In 2020, remimazolam was approved for induction and maintenance of general anesthesia in Japan [7] and in March 2021,remimazolam besylate was approved for induction and maintenance of general anesthesia in China. Studies have confirmed that remimazolam(0.2mg/kg, 0.3mg/kg, 0.4mg/kg) is a safe and effective sedative drug with few side effects during induction of anesthesia in ASA-II patients and provides stable hemodynamics compared to propofol [8]; remimazolam can be used safely and effectively instead of propofol for induction of

anesthesia for valve replacement [9]. In this study, induction and maintenance of anesthesia was performed according to a regimen of 6mg/kg/h initial infusion of remimazolam induction and 1mg/kg/h maintenance [10, 11].

A large body of literature confirms that the incidence of PONV is approximately 25 ~ 50% [12] and that post-discharge nausea and vomiting (PDNV) occurs in 30% of patients[13]. Despite the widespread use of anti-emetic drugs, short-acting anesthetic drugs and minimally invasive surgery in the clinic, the incidence of PONV is still 20 ~ 40% [14, 15] and up to 80% in high-risk groups, mainly associated with increased day surgery and early activity and discharge after minor/major surgery [16]. High risk factors for early PONV in the PACU are opioid application, female gender, BMI > 35, major surgery, and duration of anesthesia over 60 minutes. The Apfel score of the PONV risk scale is a better predictor of the risk of PONV occurrence. The Apfel score for PONV risk assessment in the three groups of patients in this study was 2–3, which is an intermediate to high risk of PONV. There were no statistically significant differences in basic information such as age, weight, BMI, ASA grade and type of surgery among the three groups of patients. There were no statistically significant differences in the intraoperative application of alfentanil and remimazolam and the duration of surgery, so the baseline information of the three groups of patients was comparable.

The incidence of PONV in the PACU in this study was 14.5% in the dexamethasone combined with droperidol group, 26.7% in the dexamethasone combined with tropisetron group and 50% in the dexamethasone alone group. the incidence of PONV within 24 h was 44.7% in the dexamethasone combined with droperidol group, 45.1% in the dexamethasone combined with tropisetron group and 51% in the dexamethasone alone group. The incidence of PONV falls within the normal incidence range reported in the literature.

Dexamethasone is a corticosteroid antiemetic that is currently widely used in clinical practice and may be associated with anti-infective effects and stabilization of cell membranes. Dexamethasone 4-12mg given intravenously was effective in preventing PONV [18]. Because of the slow onset of action of dexamethasone, this study followed the recommendation to administer it at the start of surgery. Perioperative use of dexamethasone did not reduce the incidence of PONV in the PACU in a multi-centre study, but did reduce PDNV [19]. 5-HT₃ receptors are closely related to PONV and can act from the cerebral cortex, chemical trigger band, vomiting centre and visceral afferent nerves. Droperidol has strong Dopamine receptor antagonism, and low dose (0.625–1.25 mg) can effectively prevent PONV. Dexamethasone combined with ondansetron can effectively prevent early and late PONV [18]. The combination of different types of antiemetic drugs can block a variety of central nervous system receptors and have a better preventive effect than single drugs. Combination therapy is recommended to prevent PONV. The use of the least effective dose also reduced the incidence of side effects for each drug. Habib et al. found in a multi-centre randomized controlled trial that the combination of a 5-HT₃ receptor antagonist and dexamethasone was significantly more effective than the 5-HT₃ receptor antagonist alone in preventing PONV[20]. Dexamethasone, ondansetron and droperidol were used in combination at doses not exceeding 8mg, 4 mg and 1.25mg[21], and intravenous administration in this

study was within the recommended dose. 5-HT₃ combined with droperidol and droperidol combined with dexamethasone did not differ [22], and 5-HT₃ receptor inhibitors, dexamethasone and droperidol were effective in preventing PONV with few side effects. This study confirmed that either droperidol or tropisetron combined with dexamethasone reduced the incidence of PONV in the PACU better than dexamethasone alone, but whether droperidol was superior to tropisetron combined with dexamethasone (26.7% & 14.5%) may not be statistically different due to the sample size. There is no conclusive evidence on the most optimal drug combination and dose selection [23].

This study confirmed that the incidence of PONV in the three groups was 44.7–63.8% within 24 h postoperatively, with no difference, which may be related to the short duration of the antiemetic effect of a single application of droperidol or tropisetron, thus necessitating further research into postoperative interventions for PONV.

As to whether remimazolam itself has a preventive effect on PONV, it has been suggested in the literature that midazolam can reduce the incidence of PONV when applied either at the induction of anesthesia or at the end of surgery [24]; Midazolam 2mg given 30 min before the end of surgery can effectively prevent PONV and is equivalent to ondansetron 4 mg. Hari Y et al. found that remimazolam reduced the incidence of early postoperative nausea and vomiting compared with desflurane in gynaecological laparoscopic surgery, with no difference for PONV 24 h after surgery [1].

The pathogenesis of PONV is complex, and understanding the risk factors of PONV, the effectiveness of various antiemetic drugs and non-pharmacological treatment countermeasures will enable us to further understand PONV, optimise anesthetic management methods to reduce the risk factors for PONV under the premise of meeting surgical needs, and use antiemetics early and appropriately for high-risk patients or supplement with other non-pharmacological treatments.

Inadequacies of this study: The three groups of patients with Apfel scores of 2–3 were at moderate to high risk of PONV, and for ethical reasons there was no blank control group, so it was not possible to determine whether dexamethasone alone could reduce the incidence of PONV after anesthesia for this type of procedure. For the incidence of PONV within 24 h being significantly higher than the incidence of PONV in the PACU, are there any factors related to the patient's change in position and premature discharge activity? As we found that patients in the PACU were prone to PONV during position change. No combination of the three drugs was taken for high-risk patients., and 5-HT₃ receptor inhibitors have been shown to work best in combination with droperidol and dexamethasone. The gold standard for determining the effectiveness of clinical control of PONV is to achieve 24h effectiveness and complete absence of nausea and vomiting, so it is important to choose antiemetic drugs and timing of administration appropriately. This study protocol did not achieve effective prevention of PONV at 24 h and further optimization of the protocol is required. It is also uncertain whether the short-acting benzodiazepine remimazolam also has a preventive effect against PONV in this study. Further studies are therefore needed for the postoperative PONV of remimazolam and the interaction with opioids [25].

In conclusion, droperidol or tropisetron combined with dexamethasone was effective in reducing the incidence of PONV in the remimazolam combined with alfentanil PACU compared to dexamethasone alone, but had no effect on the incidence of PONV in 24 h after surgery.

Declarations

Acknowledgments

All authors thank Cuihuan Zhang, Shirong Fang, Xuelian Ji, Li Jing, Yanxia Lin, who served as nurse in PACU. They thank to Xiaobo Sun, Mingming Liu, Haiqiang Liu, Min Fu, who provided trial monitor in PACU.

Authors' contributions

Fuxia Yi, Hongyi Xiao, Fanceng Ji designed the study. Fanceng Ji, Hongyi Xiao, Teng Zhu, Yan Man recruited patients. Hongyi Xiao Teng Zhu performed statistical processing and Fuxia Yi wrote the manuscript. Fanceng Ji revised the manuscript. All authors are aware of and responsible for the research data. All authors read and approved the manuscript in its final version.

Funding

This study was self-financed.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets generated and analysed during the current study are not publicly available due to institutional restrictions but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was approved by the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of Weifang People's Hospital (2021029) and registered at <http://www.chictr.org.cn> (ChiCTR2100053316). The study protocol followed the CONSORT guidelines. The study protocol was performed in the relevant guidelines. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

Conflict of interest statement: All authors have no interests to declare.

All authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Hari Y, Satomi S, Murakami C, et al. Remimazolam decreased the incidence of early postoperative nausea and vomiting compared to desflurane after laparoscopic gynecological surgery. *J Anesth.* 2022;36(2):265–269. <https://doi:10.1007/s00540-022-03041-y>
2. Sneyd JR, Rigby-Jones AE. Remimazolam for anaesthesia or sedation. *Curr Opin Anaesthesiol.* 2020;33(4):506–511. <https://doi:10.1097/ACO.0000000000000877>
3. White PF. Prevention of postoperative nausea and vomiting—a multimodal solution to a persistent problem. *N Engl J Med.* 2004;350(24):2511–2512. <https://doi:10.1056/NEJMe048099>
4. Schüttler J, Eisenried A, Lerch M, Fechner J, Jeleazcov C, Ihmsen H. Pharmacokinetics and Pharmacodynamics of Remimazolam (CNS 7056) after Continuous Infusion in Healthy Male Volunteers: Part I. Pharmacokinetics and Clinical Pharmacodynamics. *Anesthesiology.* 2020;132(4):636–651. <https://doi:10.1097/ALN.00000000000003103>
5. Wiltshire HR, Kilpatrick GJ, Tilbrook GS, Borkett KM. A placebo- and midazolam-controlled phase I single ascending-dose study evaluating the safety, pharmacokinetics, and pharmacodynamics of remimazolam (CNS 7056): Part II. Population pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic modeling and simulation. *Anesth Analg.* 2012;115(2):284–296. <https://doi:10.1213/ANE.0b013e318241f68a>
6. Chen X, Sang N, Song K, et al. Psychomotor Recovery Following Remimazolam-induced Sedation and the Effectiveness of Flumazenil as an Antidote. *Clin Ther.* 2020;42(4):614–624. <https://doi:10.1016/j.clinthera.2020.02.006>
7. Masui K. Remimazolam besilate, a benzodiazepine, has been approved for general anesthesia!!. *J Anesth.* 2020;34(4):479–482. <https://doi:10.1007/s00540-020-02755-1>
8. Dai G, Pei L, Duan F, et al. Safety and efficacy of remimazolam compared with propofol in induction of general anesthesia. *Minerva Anesthesiol.* 2021;87(10):1073–1079. <https://doi:10.23736/S0375-9393.21.15517-8>
9. Liu T, Lai T, Chen J, et al. Effect of remimazolam induction on hemodynamics in patients undergoing valve replacement surgery: A randomized, double-blind, controlled trial. *Pharmacol Res Perspect.* 2021;9(5):e00851. <https://doi:10.1002/prp2.851>
10. Doi M, Hirata N, Suzuki T, Morisaki H, Morimatsu H, Sakamoto A. Safety and efficacy of remimazolam in induction and maintenance of general anesthesia in high-risk surgical patients (ASA Class III): results of a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, parallel-group comparative trial. *J Anesth.* 2020;34(4):491–501. <https://doi:10.1007/s00540-020-02776-w>
11. Lohmer LL, Schippers F, Petersen KU, Stoehr T, Schmith VD. Time-to-Event Modeling for Remimazolam for the Indication of Induction and Maintenance of General Anesthesia. *J Clin Pharmacol.* 2020;60(4):505–514. <https://doi:10.1002/jcph.1552>
12. Maraş G, Bulut H. Prevalence of Nausea-Vomiting and Coping Strategies in Patients Undergoing Outpatient Surgery. *J Perianesth Nurs.* 2021;36(5):487–491. <https://doi:10.1016/j.jopan.2020.10.004>

13. Dziadzko M, Aubrun F. Management of postdischarge nausea and vomiting. *Best Pract Res Clin Anaesthesiol.* 2020;34(4):771–778. <https://doi:10.1016/j.bpa.2020.10.008>
14. Phillips C, Brookes CD, Rich J, Arbon J, Turvey TA. Postoperative nausea and vomiting following orthognathic surgery. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2015;44(6):745–751. <https://doi:10.1016/j.ijom.2015.01.006>
15. Öbrink E, Jildenstål P, Oddby E, Jakobsson JG. Post-operative nausea and vomiting: update on predicting the probability and ways to minimize its occurrence, with focus on ambulatory surgery. *Int J Surg.* 2015;15:100–106. doi:10.1016/j.ijvsu.2015.01.024
16. White PF, O'Hara JF, Roberson CR, Wender RH, Candiotti KA; POST-OP Study Group. The impact of current antiemetic practices on patient outcomes: a prospective study on high-risk patients. *Anesth Analg.* 2008;107(2):452–458. <https://doi:10.1213/ane.0b013e31817b842c>
17. Johansson E, Hultin M, Myrberg T, Walldén J. Early post-operative nausea and vomiting: A retrospective observational study of 2030 patients. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand.* 2021;65(9):1229–1239. <https://doi:10.1111/aas.13936>
18. Maitra S, Som A, Baidya DK, Bhattacharjee S. Comparison of Ondansetron and Dexamethasone for Prophylaxis of Postoperative Nausea and Vomiting in Patients Undergoing Laparoscopic Surgeries: A Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. *Anesthesiol Res Pract.* 2016;2016:7089454. <https://doi:10.1155/2016/7089454>
19. Apfel CC, Philip BK, Cakmakkaya OS, et al. Who is at risk for postdischarge nausea and vomiting after ambulatory surgery?. *Anesthesiology.* 2012;117(3):475–486. <https://doi:10.1097/ALN.0b013e318267ef31>
20. Habib AS, El-Moalem HE, Gan TJ. The efficacy of the 5-HT3 receptor antagonists combined with droperidol for PONV prophylaxis is similar to their combination with dexamethasone. A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Can J Anaesth.* 2004;51(4):311–319. <https://doi:10.1007/BF03018234>
21. Tramèr MR. A rational approach to the control of postoperative nausea and vomiting: evidence from systematic reviews. Part I. Efficacy and harm of antiemetic interventions, and methodological issues. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand.* 2001;45(1):4–13. <https://doi:10.1034/j.1399-6576.2001.450102.x>
22. Habib AS, El-Moalem HE, Gan TJ. The efficacy of the 5-HT3 receptor antagonists combined with droperidol for PONV prophylaxis is similar to their combination with dexamethasone. A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Can J Anaesth.* 2004;51(4):311–319. <https://doi:10.1007/BF03018234>
23. Cao X, White PF, Ma H. An update on the management of postoperative nausea and vomiting. *J Anesth.* 2017;31(4):617–626. <https://doi:10.1007/s00540-017-2363-x>
24. Ahn EJ, Kang H, Choi GJ, Baek CW, Jung YH, Woo YC. The Effectiveness of Midazolam for Preventing Postoperative Nausea and Vomiting: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Anesth Analg.* 2016;122(3):664–676. <https://doi:10.1213/ANE.0000000000001062>

Figures




Figure 1

A total number of 192 patients were randomized into three groups (n=64). For various reasons (Hypertension, Allergy, Diabetes or Declined to participate), 2 patients in the DD group, 4 patients in the DT group, and 6 patients in the DC group did not receive trial medication. Thus 180 patients were analyzed: 62 patients in the DD group, 60 patients in the DT group, 58 patients in the DC group. For 35 patients lost to follow-up after discharge, 145 patients were analyzed: 47 patients in the DD group, 51 patients in the DT group, 47 patients in the DC group.