

# Efficient marker-assisted breeding for clubroot resistance in elite Pol-CMS rapeseed varieties by updating the PbBa8.1 locus

**Yiming Guo** (✉ [kittybsb@163.com](mailto:kittybsb@163.com))

Hunan Academy of Agricultural Sciences <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0708-7108>

**Bao Li**

Hunan Academy of Agricultural Sciences

**Mei Li**

Hunan Academy of Agricultural Sciences

**Hongjian Zhu**

Hunan Agricultural University

**Qian Yang**

Hunan Academy of Agricultural Sciences

**Xinhong Liu**

Hunan Academy of Agricultural Sciences

**Liang Qu**

Hunan Academy of Agricultural Sciences

**Lianyi Fan**

Hunan Academy of Agricultural Sciences

**Tonghua Wang**

Hunan Academy of Agricultural Sciences

---

## Research Article

**Keywords:** Brassica napus, clubroot resistance, recurrent breeding, marker-assisted selection, KASP platform

**Posted Date:** April 12th, 2022

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1531391/v1>

**License:**  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

---

# Abstract

Clubroot disease poses a severe threat to rapeseed (*Brassica napus*) production worldwide and has recently been spreading across China at an unprecedented pace. Breeding and cultivation of resistant varieties constitute a promising and environment-friendly approach to mitigating this threat. In this study, the clubroot resistance locus *PbBa8.1* was successfully transferred into SC4, a shared paternal line of three elite varieties in five generations by recurrent selection. Specific KASP markers of clubroot resistance gene *PbBa8.1* and its linked high erucic acid gene (*FAE1*) were designed and applied for foreground selection, while a 1000 + SNPs were selected and used for the background selection. This breeding strategy resulted recombinants had the highest recovery ratio of the recurrent parent genome (> 95%) at BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub>, while breaking the linkage with *FAE1* during the selection. An updated version of the paternal line (SC4R) was generated at BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub>, showing significantly improved clubroot resistance at seedling stage by artificial inoculation and was comparable to that of the donor parent. Field trials of the three elite varieties and their updated versions in five environments indicated similar agronomic appearance and final yield. The introduced breeding strategy precisely pyramiding the *PbBa8.1* and *FAE1* loci with the assistants of technical markers in a shorter period and could be applied to other desirable traits for directional improvement in the future.

## Introduction

Rapeseed is a globally cultivated commercial crop, primarily grown for its oil. Clubroot disease (*Plasmodiophora brassicae*) is an increasing pathogenic threat to rapeseed (*Brassica napus*) plantations worldwide. Outbreaks of this disease have been frequently reported in *Brassica* growing areas. Recently, more than 20% total yield loss has been reported in highly infected fields of Canada, China, India, Europe, and Australia (Bhattacharya et al. 2014; Chai et al. 2014; Donald and Porter 2014; Rahman et al. 2014; Wallenhammar et al. 2014). In China, mechanized agricultural production across rapeseed growing regions has accelerated the spread of clubroot. Affected areas have expanded to Sichuan, Hubei, Hunan, Yunnan, and Anhui provinces, increasing by approximately 6.67 Mhm<sup>2</sup> in a short period of time and causing heavy yield loss or no harvest at all in growing regions (Chai et al. 2014; Wang et al. 2021).

Seedling transplantation, crop rotation, late seed sowing, biological prevention, and application of resistant varieties are technical approaches to clubroot disease prevention used by the rapeseed industry (Niwa et al. 2007; Pang et al. 2014). However, the resting spores of *P. brassicae* can survive for over 20 years in the soil, making it difficult to control the infection using cultural or chemical approaches (Donald et al. 2001). Cultivation of resistant cultivars is a more reliable, low-cost, environmentally sustainable, and effective approach to limiting disease spread (Pang et al. 2018). Further, the utilization of clubroot resistance (CR) genes is the premise of disease resistance breeding. More than 26 CR genes have been identified and located on chromosomes A01, A02, A03, A06, and A08 of the A genome in European turnips and Chinese cabbage (Chen et al. 2013; Hirani et al. 2018; Huang et al. 2019; Karim et al. 2020; Pang et al. 2018). The resistance genes *CRa* and *Crr1a* have been cloned, are known to encode TIR-NBS-LRR (Toll-interleukin-1 receptor-like domain-nucleotide binding site-leucine-rich repeat) proteins, and share

the same locus as *CRb* (Hatakeyama et al. 2017; Shah et al. 2019). In *B. rapa*, the resistance genes *CRK*, *Crr3*, *PbBa3.3*, and *PbBa3.2* are clustered in the same proximal region of chromosome A03 as *CRa*; however, it remains unclear if they represent a single or multiple genes (Chen et al. 2013; Hirai et al. 2004; Piao et al. 2004; Sakamoto et al. 2008). Additionally, *Crr1*, *Rcr3*, and *PbBa8.1* have been identified in chromosome A08 from European folder turnips (Matsumoto et al. 1998; Pang et al. 2014; Suwabe et al. 2003, 2006).

Most of these genes were from different genetic resources and were associated with distinct *P. brassicae* pathotypes. *PbBa8.1* was highly resistant to race 4, the most widespread group of *P. brassicae*, especially in China's main rapeseed production area (Chen et al. 2013). When crossed with the bridging line ECD04, the clubroot resistant (CR) locus *PbBa8.1* was successfully transferred into the elite conventional variety Huashuang5 (HS5), locating the gene within a 2.9 Mb region on chromosome A08, resulting in development of the ZHE226 line (Zhan et al. 2017; Zhan et al. 2020). However, the seeds of the improved CR homozygous line contained high levels of erucic acid (approximately 18%) which is associated with potential health risks when ingested by humans and some animals. Therefore, selecting CR materials with low erucic acid content is crucial for rapeseed breeding programs (Tian et al. 2018). The erucic acid content determining gene *FAE1* (fatty acid elongase 1) extends fatty acid chain length from C18 to C20 and C22 in *B. napus* (Han et al. 2001). It has been identified as the main gene that catalyzes the condensation step in the elongation of very long chain fatty acids, resulting in the accumulation of erucic acid (Sun et al., 2013). A close linkage between *PbBa8.1* and *FAE1* was revealed and a co-segregating SSR marker CAP-134 has been designed which has the potential to assist in breaking the linkage drag between *PbBa8.1* and *FAE1* (Zhan et al. 2020).

These CR genes and their closely linked markers have greatly improved CR breeding through marker-assisted selection (MAS) in *Brassica* crops. Molecular markers have been developed from AFLP, RFLP, RAPD, SSR, InDel, and single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) markers (Lander and Botstein, 1989; Liu et al. 2015; Lynch et al. 1994; Qu et al. 2013; Rakalski et al. 2002; Vos et al. 1995). Based on advanced SNP genotyping, competitive allele-specific polymerase chain reaction (KASP) has emerged as an accurate, cost-effective, and high-throughput SNP genotyping method (Pabinger et al. 2014) which has already been applied to MAS in wheat (Kaur et al. 2020; Rasheed et al. 2016), lentils (Wang et al. 2020), maize (Chen et al. 2021), and rice (Cheon et al. 2020; Feng et al. 2019). However, MAS using DNA markers may result in the loss of desirable traits, or a gain in unwanted genes; therefore, clear genetic background selection is required during MAS to preserve original traits. Recently, the Bnapus50K array was developed specifically for *B. napus*. It provides more accurate and high-density SNPs and can be used for genomic background selection for *B. napus* breeding (Xiao et al. 2021).

In this study, the CR gene *PbBa8.1* from the ZHE226 line was successfully transferred into a polima cytoplasmic male sterility (Pol-CMS) paternal line (SC4) through recurrent selection combining KASP markers and a 1000 + SNP panel. The breeding goal was achieved within five generations and produced an improved paternal line (SC4R), as well as three updated hybrid accessions (Fengyou 306R (FY306R); Fengyou 737R (FY737R); and Fengyou 792R (FY792R)) by crossing corresponding maternal lines. The

CR level of SC4R was validated, and the agronomic performance of previous and updated varieties were evaluated and compared to provide resistant varieties for rapeseed production in disease affected areas. Additionally, a modified MAS-based breeding strategy was presented as a reference for selecting other traits in the future.

## Materials And Methods

### Plant materials

The CR gene donor parent ZHE226 (provided by Prof. Zhang Chunyu, Huazhong Agricultural University) contained the resistance locus *PbBa8.1*, which had been transferred from an ECD04 donor into the elite rapeseed conventional variety HS5 following the method described by Zhan et al. (2020).

F1 plants were generated by crossing ZHE226 and SC4, the recurrent parent, which is a restoring line for Pol-CMS. A series of selfing or segregating populations were produced in the fields of Changsha (28.48N, 113.36E) and Xining (36.57N, 101.75E), as well as in a controlled environmental room from 2019 to 2021. Field evaluations of agronomic traits and yield were conducted at five different locations in Hunan Province, China (Fig. 1).

### Genotyping and design of specific KASP markers

Seedlings from BC<sub>1</sub>F<sub>1</sub> and BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>1</sub> populations were subjected to foreground and/or background selection before further backcrossing or selfing. The SNP panel used for background selection was designed based on the SNP results for SC4 and ZHE226 from the Bnapus50K array (Xiao et al. 2021). Over 1,000 SNPs were initially selected, and a total of 330 SNP markers showing polymorphisms were screened for the SNP panel, with an average density of 1 SNP marker per 3 Mb (Fig. S1).

Foreground selection included a fertility gene (restorer gene of Pol-CMS, *Rfp*), CR gene (*PbBa8.1* locus), and *FAE1*. Specific KASP markers for each gene were designed for SNPs around the coding region. KASP markers for *Rfp* were designed around the flanking sequences of *Rfp* with 1953 bp coding region about 29.2 K of A9 from *B. rapa* genome (Liu et al. 2012; Liu et al. 2016). They were designed and validated in Pol-CMS hybrid purity identification and published as technical regulations in Hunan Province (Wang et al. 2020).

KASP markers for *PbBa8.1* and *FAE1* were designed based on the polymorphic SNPs between HS5R and SC4, which were co-segregated with the previously reported closely linked marker CAP-134 (Zhan et al. 2020). In total, 14 SNP polymorphic markers around the position of CAP-134 (physical location: chrA08, 9.5 Mb) were obtained and used to design KASP markers for CR and *FAE1* selection (Table S1). Three SNPs were selected and designed for KASP markers based on the sequences and applicable conditions and are highlighted in Table S1.

### Plasmodiophora brassicae inoculation and resistance tests

The clubroot pathogen *P. brassicae* was isolated from a seriously infected *B. napus* plant collected from Xupu, Hunan Province, and was identified as race 4 using the Williams classification (Williams, 1966). For the CR test, four steps were followed:

- a) *Seedling preparation for inoculation*: The seeds of SC4, BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub>-L49, BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub>-L59, and ZHE226 (control) were sown in nursery trays (2 cm × 2 cm per hole) using sterile soil, and the resulting seedlings were transferred into 10 cm × 10 cm × 9 cm plastic pots in a controlled environment room, at 20–25°C room temperature and 70% relative humidity, with an 18 h light period between 06:00 and 24:00. The plants were watered regularly to maintain soil moisture.
- b) *Preparation of conidial suspension*: Approximately 50 g of disease-affected clubroots were smashed then filtered with four-layer gauze, centrifuged for 15 min at 2,500 g, precipitated twice using 30 ml sterile water after discarding the upper layer, then precipitated using 50% sucrose solution, and further centrifuged twice using sterile water before counting on a blood cell counting plate (Pang et al. 2014; Pang et al. 2018).
- c) *Inoculation to roots using conidial suspension*: prepared seedlings from step a) were inoculated at the 4–5 leaf stage on July 8, 2021 and again on July 15, 2021 with a spore concentration of  $1.59 \times 10^8$  and  $2.12 \times 10^8$  per ml, respectively. Seedlings were in separate pots to avoid cross infection, and 20 ml of conidial suspension was dripped onto roots using a pipette.
- d) *Infection investigation*: the seedling infection investigation was conducted 50 d post-inoculation (dpi). The roots were washed carefully and examined for *P. brassicae* infection; the number of plants at different infection levels was recorded; and the disease rate and index were calculated using the following equations:

$$\text{Disease rate} = \text{No of infected plants} / \text{No of total plants} \times 100\%$$

$$\text{Disease index (DI)} = \frac{\sum (\text{No of infected plants} \times \text{Identified level})}{\text{No of the highest level} \times \text{No of total plants}} \times 100\%$$

## Evaluation of agronomic traits and erucic acid in seeds

The improved restorer SC4R was crossed with the corresponding maternal lines of FY306, FY737, and FY792 (H20A, X5A, and 167A) to produce the updated hybrid varieties FY306R, FY737R, and FY792R, respectively (Fig. 1).

Six accessions (FY792, FY792R, FY737, FY737R, FY306, and FY306R) were used to evaluate agronomic traits in fields from five environments in Hunan Province: Changsha (28.48N, 113.36E), Changde (29.05N, 111.69E), Hengyang (26.98N, 112.39E), Huaihua (27.52N, 109.95E), and Jishou (28.3N, 109.71E). The field experiments followed a randomized complete block design with three replicates (10 m long × 2 m wide for each plot). Between 25–27 plants were kept in each row with 33 cm between rows. Field management followed the regular methods of the China National Field Trials.

At harvest time, 10 representative plants from the middle of each plot were selected randomly for evaluation of agronomic traits, ensuring that each plant had normal growth vigor. Plant height, branch number (BN), main inflorescence length, plant silique number, seed number per pod, 1000-seed weight,

and total seed yield were measured and calculated for comparison. Quality traits such as oil, glucosinolate, and erucic acid content were tested using a near-infrared spectrometer (DS 2500F, Foss NIRSystems Inc., Denmark) with dried clean seeds (water content < 9%).

## Microscopy investigation and data analysis

Epidermal tissue was scraped from the roots into a drop of water on a clean microscope slide using a sharp blade. The tissue sample was covered with a coverslip, and examined under a microscope (DS-Ril, Nikon, Japan).

Agronomic trait data from 10 sample plants from five environments and quality trait data from five environments were analyzed and plotted using R version 4.0.3 (R Core Team, 2020).

## Results

### Validation of specific KASP markers for *PbBa8.1* and *FAE1*

Based on the genomic information of the previously reported SSR marker, CAP-134, which was co-segregated with *PbBa8.1* and *FAE1*, three KASP markers were designed for *PbBa8.1* and *FAE1*. They were SNP1 (seq-new-rs36374), SNP2 (seq-new-rs42497), and SNP3 (BN-A08-p11380260), which were approximately 130, 50, and 280 Kb away from CAP-134 and named BN900051, BN900052, and BN900053, respectively. BN900051 and BN900053 were used for selection of the CR gene *PbBa8.1*, and BN900052 was used for the selection of the *FAE1* gene. The sequences of all the applied KASP markers in this study are presented in Table S2.

A total of 188 plants from the *F<sub>2</sub>* population from crosses between ZHE226 and SC4 were used to validate the three KASP markers, and showed consistent results as the previous SSR marker CAP-134 and well-distinguished in the genotyping diagram by the KASP platform (Fig. 2). Based on the results from BN900051 and BN900053, 132 plants were identified as clubroot-resistant (*PbBa8.1/-*), of which 44 were homologous at the locus (*PbBa8.1/PbBa8.1*). Two recombinants of the locus between *PbBa8.1* and *FAE1* were identified (*PbBa8.1/FAE1*) using BN900052. The erucic acid content of selfing seeds from the two recombinants varied between 0.34–0.56% (undetectable), while the erucic acid value varied between 16.25–18.95% for the non-recombinant lines (Table S3).

### Breeding strategies for obtaining CR and minimizing erucic acid content

In the *BC<sub>1</sub>F<sub>1</sub>* population, 731 plants were subjected to foreground selection, 179 containing heterozygous *PbBa8.1* (*PbBa8.1/pbBa8.1*) and homozygous *Rfp* (*Rfp/Rfp*) loci, and were used for genetic background analysis. The recovery ratio of the recurrent parent genome ranged from 64.7–88.5%, with an average of 75.0% (Fig. 3a). The two plants with the highest recovery ratio of the recurrent parent genome (> 87%) were used for further backcrossing with SC4 to produce *BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>1</sub>* (Fig. 1; Fig. 3b). For the *BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>1</sub>* generation,

foreground selection and recombinant selection were applied to 198 plants, identifying 2 recombinants with a heterozygous *PbBa8.1* locus (*PbBa8.1/pbBa8.1*) and homozygous recessive *BnA.FAE1* locus (*fae1/fae1*), which were used for selfing to produce BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub>. In the two populations of BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub>, 50 of 224 plants contained the homozygous *PbBa8.1* locus (*PbBa8.1/PbBa8.1*). These 50 plants were subjected to further selfing to BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub>, before testing for low erucic acid and clubroot resistance.

## **CR test of improved lines at BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> generation, and SC4 at seedling stage**

At 50 dpi, seedlings from two improved BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> lines (BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub>-L49; BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub>-L59), SC4, and ZHE226 were examined for disease infection. Infections occurred in all four lines, with a 100% infection rate for SC4, and 5.88%, 7.70%, and 2.17% for BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub>-L49, BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub>-L59, and ZHE226, respectively. The disease indices were calculated as 61.90 for susceptible SC4, 1.96 for BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub>-L49, 2.56 for BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub>-L59, and 1.40 for ZHE226. There were no significant differences between the two BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> lines and the ZHE226 control (Table 1).

Table 1  
Survey of *Plasmodiophora brassicae* inoculation in BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> lines and ZHE226 at seedling stage

Tested lines	Disease infection rate (%)	Disease index
BC <sub>2</sub> F <sub>3</sub> -L49	5.88	1.96
BC <sub>2</sub> F <sub>3</sub> -L59	7.70	2.56
SC4	100	61.90
ZHE226	2.17	1.40

Microscopic and phenotypic examination of all the tested lines (Fig. 4), found disease introgression into the roots of SC4 (Fig. 4a) and clearer root cells in the BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> improved lines (Fig. 5b, 5c), as well as the corresponding enlarged and healthy roots (Fig. 5d). As a result, the improved lines of BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub>-L49 and BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub>-L59 had significantly improved CR compared to the original SC4, with comparable resistance levels to the donor parent ZHE226.

## **Evaluation of agronomic performance between FY306, FY737, FY792 and their corresponding updated version (FY306R, FY737R, FY792R)**

The updated versions of the three hybrids were produced by crossing SC4R with their corresponding maternal lines (H20A, X5A, and 167A). Field trials were conducted in five locations in Hunan Province to determine whether the updated version retained the original characters. Plant height, branch number, main inflorescence length, total pod number, seed number per pod, 1000-seed weight, seed yield, oil content, glucosinolate and erucic acid content were measured and evaluated across five environments. The average value of each trait is presented in Table 2. Student's t-test between the three varieties and their updated version showed no differences for any of the agronomic traits nor the quality traits, except for the most variable plant pod number, indicating that the updated version was similar to the original version.

Table 2

Agronomic, yield and quality performances of Fengyou 306, Fengyou 737, and Fengyou 792 and their improved versions Fengyou 306R, Fengyou 737R, and Fengyou 792R in five environments in Hunan Province during 2020–2021

	FY306R	FY306	FY737R	FY737	FY792R	FY792
PH (cm)	182.4 ± 23.2	186.1 ± 19.6	168.6 ± 13.7	166 ± 15.6	180.7 ± 15.7	186.6 ± 20.7
BN	7.4 ± 2.0	7.0 ± 1.3	7.6 ± 1.5	7.9 ± 1.7	7.5 ± 1.5	7.5 ± 1.5
MIL (cm)	58.3 ± 9.4	58.8 ± 7	58 ± 10	61.7 ± 11.5	56.8 ± 8	59.4 ± 7.5*
PN	309.7 ± 129.7	309.4 ± 116.2	304.1 ± 107.5	378.3 ± 144.1*	257.4 ± 84.9	299.3 ± 99.8**
SN	19.4 ± 5.1	19.9 ± 4.9	20.4 ± 5.3	21.0 ± 4.1	21.7 ± 3.6	21.0 ± 4.3
SW (g)	3.6 ± 0.5	3.5 ± 0.5	3.6 ± 0.4	3.5 ± 0.6	3.5 ± 0.4	3.5 ± 0.4
SY (g)	17.7 ± 7.5	17.6 ± 7.1	19.2 ± 6.9	21.4 ± 6.7	16.2 ± 6.4	18.1 ± 7.7
OC (%)	45.3 ± 2.4	44.1 ± 2.1	43.8 ± 3.8	44.4 ± 2.3	42.7 ± 1.7	42.5 ± 1.2
GC (μmol/g)	30.4 ± 2.6	28.3 ± 4.1	22.3 ± 1.4	23.4 ± 2.0	25.2 ± 4.2	26.9 ± 4.1
EA (%)	0.06 ± 0.01	0.14 ± 0.03	0.00 ± 0	0.09 ± 0.01	0.08 ± 0.02	0.15 ± 0.03

Note: Agronomic trait data presented as the means ± standard deviations (SD) were obtained from 10 plants with three replicates under natural conditions in Changsha, Hengyang, Changde, Jishou, and Huaihua, while quality trait data represent the means ± SD from five environments.

PH, plant height; BN, branch number; MIL, main inflorescence length; PN, plant pod number; SN, seed number per pod; SW, 1,000-seed weight; SY, seed yield; OC, oil content, GC, glucosinolate content; EA, erucic acid .

\* and \*\* represent significant differences at  $p < 0.05$  and  $p < 0.01$  respectively based on Student's t-test.

## Discussion

An increasing number of clubroot disease outbreaks in rapeseed growing areas have caused severe yield loss, resulting in urgent demand from growers for updated CR varieties with local adaptations to control the threat (Pang et al. 2018). Pol-CMS derived hybrids are the dominant varieties and transfer CR genes into the parental lines, and the corresponding hybrids are promising approaches to limit the threat of disease. Compared to sterile maternal lines, paternal lines with good combining ability are shared among hybrids; therefore, the common recovery line of three local varieties (FY306, FY737, and FY792) was chosen as the recurrent parent in the breeding program.

The donor parent ZHE226 is an earlier version of Huashuang5R (HS5R) and shares the same CR locus as HS5R, which has been widely applied as a CR variety in China. Unlike HS5R, ZHE226 has a high erucic acid content due to the *FAE1* gene (Zhan et al., 2020). A combined KASP-assisted foreground selection and an SNP panel-assisted background selection strategy were applied to the breeding program, achieving the breeding goal within five generations. Ultimately, the homologous CR gene *PbBa8.1*, which was successfully transferred from ZHE226 to SC4, retained the original agronomic and quality traits of SC4 while improving CR to a level similar to that of ZHE226 (Fig. 1).

## Efficient indoor selection by KASP and SNP panel based-genotyping

Recurrent selection is a traditional method of disease resistance breeding, transferring targeted R-genes from a donor parent into a recurrent parent by crossing and backcrossing (Wiersma et al. 2001). It typically requires 7–8 generations, and field phenotypic selection during the process is often constrained by individual development, leading to low selection efficiency. In this study, in addition to the CR locus (*PbBa8.1*), the fertility gene of Pol-CMS (*Rfp*), and the high erucic acid determining gene *FAE1* were also target genes during selection, making it even harder to complete the breeding goal in the same period. MAS was required to shorten breeding time, and co-dominant SSR markers for those loci that had been previously developed (Liu et al. 2016; Wang et al. 2020; Zhan et al. 2020). Nevertheless, standard procedures using SSR and running agarose gel for gene validation are labor-intensive and time consuming, not accessible for the current breeding scale, and require a large population to screen three genes and the recombinant individual between *PbBa8.1* and *FAE1* loci because they were closely linked (Zhan et al. 2020). Compared to SSR and other molecular markers, KASP can complete genotyping in 96-well or 384-well plates simultaneously, and distinguish the allele sources accurately; moreover, it is very flexible and can genotype sequences containing multiple SNPs if mixed bases are added to the primer sequences (Patterson et al. 2017). Therefore, in this study the SNP-based KASP platform enabled

utilization of polymorphic SNPs near the targeted genes, and development of five specific KASP markers for high-throughput screening of the BC<sub>1</sub>F<sub>1</sub> and BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>1</sub> generations (Table S1, S2).

## High recovery ratio of recurrent parent genome to shorten the backcrossing cycle

Traditional MAS may result in the introduction of undesirable genes, or loss of desirable traits with unclear genetic backgrounds. In addition to foreground selection, background selection is essential as it is more accurate and efficient (Feng et al. 2019). Recently, a Bnapus50K array that provides precise genomic information on Chinese local accessions of *B. napus* was developed (Xiao et al. 2021). The recovery ratio of the recurrent parent genome was the key value required prior to further backcrossing or selfing. In this study, 330 polymorphic SNPs widely distributed across the 19 chromosomes of *B. napus* were used to identify the recovery ratio compared to the SC4 genome at the BC<sub>1</sub>F<sub>1</sub> generation; the values ranged from 64.7–88.5% for 179 individual plants, with the average at 75% (Fig. 4a). Two plants that had the highest recovery ratio of the recurrent parent genome (> 87%) were used for further backcrossing with SC4 to produce BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>1</sub> (Fig. 4b), making us confident in obtaining a > 95% recovery ratio for the BC<sub>2</sub> generation. Moreover, recombinant selection between *PbBa8.1* and *FAE1* was possible at BC<sub>2</sub>, which is earlier than at BC<sub>4</sub> as reported by Zhan et al. (2020), and is consistent with their discussion.

## Similar phenotypic and yield performance, and necessity of pyramiding more CR genes

FY306, FY737, and FY792 are the representative local varieties, which are Pol-CMS hybrids and share the same parental line as SC4. Within five generations, an updated version of SC4 was produced (SC4R), as well as the corresponding hybrid varieties (FY306R, FY737R, and FY792R). The field trials of the updated hybrid varieties in the five environments showed that phenotypic and yield-quality performance was consistent with previous versions, and the artificial inoculation during CR testing led to confidence in the next stage of resistance testing in the field.

Large-scale cultivation of CR-improved local varieties tends to be the main approach to controlling loss caused by soil-borne root diseases in the rapeseed industry. In the long term, and with the development of mechanized production in China and other countries, the spread of *P. brassicae* spores will continue, resulting in different pathotypes with a wider distribution. Therefore, pyramiding different sources of CR genes into one variety is necessary to provide alternative durable resistance to a broader spectrum of pathotypes. Following a similar strategy, introducing other CR genes into maternal lines and obtaining combined CR genes in hybrid varieties would be accessible and efficient strategies for future CR breeding.

In conclusion, the breeding strategy introduced here combined specifically designed KASP markers and a 1000 + SNP panel for foreground selection, recombinant selection, and background selection to precisely introduced a CR gene (*PbBa8.1*) into a Pol-CMS recovery line within five generations while retaining the original phenotypic traits of the recurrent parent. The demonstrated process not only provided accessible

CR-improved varieties for disease-infected rapeseed growing regions, but also set a model reference for efficient breeding for more traits in the future.

## Declarations

### Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

### Consent for publication

The authors consented for the publication.

### Competing interests

The authors declared no conflict of interest.

### Funding

This project was supported by grants from the National Key Research and Development Program of China (Grant No. 2021YFD1600500), Natural Science Foundation for Young Scientists of Hunan Province (Grant No. 2021JJ40330), Changsha Municipal Natural Science Foundation (Grant No. kq2014179), and the China Agricultural Research System (CARS-12).

### Authors' contributions

YiMing Guo and Bao Li conducted the experiments and drafted the manuscript. Mei Li, Liang Qu, and Lianyi Fan were involved in the agronomic evaluation of the previous and updated varieties. Qian Yang took part in data analysis and plotting. Hongjian Zhu and Xinhong Liu participated in the artificial inoculation of seedlings. Tonghua Wang designed the experiment and had oversight of the entire process. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

### Acknowledgments

The clubroot-resistant donor parent was generously shared by Prof. Chunyu Zhang. We appreciate Prof. Zhongyun Piao for earlier examination of pathotypes from the Hunan clubroot-infected region. We are deeply thankful for the assistance with agronomic evaluation and field sampling provided by the five local agricultural research institutes of Changsha, Changde, Hengyang, Jishou, and Huaihua.

### Data availability statement

Additional datasets generated by this study are included in the Supplementary Materials.

## References


1. Bhattacharya I, Dutta S, Mondal S, Mondal B (2014). Clubroot disease on *Brassica* crops in India. *Can J Plant Pathol*, 36(1): 154-160.
2. Chai AL, Xie XW, Shi YX, Li BJ (2014). Research status of clubroot (*Plasmodiophora brassicae*) on cruciferous crops in China. *Can J Plant Pathol*, 36:142-153
3. Chen J, Jing J, Zhan Z, Zhang T, Zhang C, Piao Z, et al. (2013). Identification of novel QTLs for isolate-specific partial resistance to *Plasmodiophora brassicae* in *Brassica rapa*. *Plos One*, 8(12): e85307
4. Chen ZJ, Tang DG, Ni JX, Li P, Wang L, Zhou JH, et al. (2021). Development of genic KASP SNP markers from RNA-Seq data for map-based cloning and marker-assisted selection in maize. *BMC Plant Biol*, 21: 157-167
5. Cheon KS, Jeong YM, Oh H, Oh J, Kang DY, Kim N, et al. (2020). Development of 454 new kompetitive allele-specific PCR (KASP) markers for temperate japonica rice varieties, *Plants*, 9: 1531-1544
6. Donald EC, Porter IJ (2014) .Clubroot in Australia: the history and impact of *Plasmodiophora brassicae* in *Brassica* crops and research efforts directed towards its control. *Can J Plant Pathol*, 36(1): 66-84
7. Donald EC, Porter IJ, and Lancaster RA (2001). Band incorporation of fluazinam (Shirlan) into soil to control clubroot of vegetable brassica crops. *Aust J Exp Agric*, 41:1223-1226.
8. Feng XM, Lin KX, Zhang WQ, Nan JZ, Zhang XH, Wang C, et al. (2019). Improving the blast resistance of the elite rice variety Kongyu-131 by updating the pi21 locus. *BMC Plant Biol*, 19: 249-260
9. Han J, Lühs W, Sonntag K, Zähringer U, Borchardt DS, Wolter FP, et al. (2001). Functional characterization of β-ketoacyl-CoA synthase genes from *Brassica napus* L. *Plant Mol Biol*, 46: 229-239
10. Hatakeyama K, Niwa T, Kato T, Ohara T, Kakizaki T, and Matsumoto S (2017). The tandem repeated organization of NB-LRR genes in the clubroot resistant CRb, locus in *Brassica rapa* L. *Mol Genet Genomics*, 292: 397-405
11. Hirai M, Harada T, Kubo N, Tsukada M, Suwabe K, and Matsumoto S (2004). A novel locus for clubroot resistance in *Brassica rapa* and its linkage markers. *Theor Appl Genet*, 108(4): 639-643
12. Hirani AH, Gao F, Liu J, Fu GH, Wu CR, McVetty, PBE, et al. (2018). Combinations of independent dominant loci conferring clubroot resistance in all four turnip accessions (*Brassica rapa*) from the European clubroot differential set. *Front Plant Sci*, 9: 1628
13. Huang Z, Peng G, Gossen BD, Yu F (2019). Fine mapping of a clubroot resistance gene from turnip using SNP markers identified from bulked segregant RNA-Seq. *Mol Breed*, 39: 131-140
14. Karim MM, Dakouri A, Zhang Y, Chen Q, and Yu F (2020). Two clubroot resistance genes, Rcr3 and Rcr9wa, mapped in *Brassica rapa* using bulk segregant RNA sequencing. *Inter J Mol Sci*, 21(14): 5033
15. Katsunori H, Keita S, Norio TR, Takeyuki K, Tsukasa N, Hiroyuki F, et al. (2013). Identification and characterization of *Crr1a*, a gene for resistance to clubroot disease *Plasmodiophora brassicae Woronin* in *Brassica rapa* L. *PLoS One*, 8: e54745.

16. Kaur B, Mavi GS, Gill MS, and Saini DK (2020). Utilization of KASP technology for wheat improvement. *Cereal Res Commu*, 8057
17. Lander ES, and Botstein D (1989). Mapping mendelian factors underlying quantitative traits using RFLP linkage maps. *Genetics*, 121(1): 185-199
18. Liu Z, Liu PW, Hong DF, He QB and Yang GS (2012). Fine mapping and candidate gene analysis of the nuclear restorer gene Rfp for pol CMS in rapeseed (*Brassica napus*). *Theor Appl Genet*, 125(4): 773-779
19. Liu Z, Yang ZH, Wang X, Li KD, An H, Liu J, et al. (2016). A mitochondria-targeted PPR protein restores pol cytoplasmic male sterility by reducing orf224 transcript levels in oilseed rape. *Mol Plant*, 9(7): 1082-1084
20. Liu J, Qu J, Yang C, Tang D, Li J, Lan H, et al. (2015). Development of genome-wide insertion and deletion markers for maize, based on next-generation sequencing data. *BMC Genomics*, 16(1): 601.
21. Lynch M, and Milligan BG (1994). Analysis of population genetic structure with RAPD markers. *Mol Ecol*, 3(2): 91-99.
22. Malosetti M, Zwep LB, Forrest K, Eeuwijk FAV, and Dieters M (2021). Lessons from a GWAS study of a wheat pre-breeding program: pyramiding resistance alleles to *Fusarium* crown rot. *Theor Appl Genet*, 134(6): 1-12.
23. Matsumoto E, Yasui C, Ohi M, and Tsukada M (1998). Linkage analysis of RFLP markers for clubroot resistance and pigmentation in Chinese cabbage (*Brassica rapa* ssp. *Pekinesis*). *Euphytica*, 104(2): 79-86
24. Niwa R, Kumei T, Nomura Y, Yoshida S, Osaki M, Ezawa T (2007). Increase in soil PH due to Ca-rich organic matter application causes suppression of the clubroot disease of crucifers. *Soil Biol Biochem*, 39: 778-785
25. Pabinger S, Dander A, Fischer M, Snajder R, Sperk M, Efremova M, et al. (2014). A survey of tools for variant analysis of next-generation genome sequencing data. *Brief Bioinform*, 15(2): 256-278.
26. Pang W, Fu P, Li X, Zhan Z, Yu S, and Piao Z (2018). Identification and mapping of the clubroot resistance gene *CRd* in Chinese cabbage (*Brassica rapa* ssp. *pekinensis*). *Front Plant Sci*, 9: 653.
27. Pang WX, Liang S, Li XN, Li PP, Yu S, Lim YP, et al. (2014). Genetic detection of clubroot resistance loci in a new population of *Brassica rapa*. *Hort Environ Biotechnol*, 55(6): 540-547
28. Patterson El, Fleming MB, Kessler KC, Nissen SJ, Gaines TA (2017). A KASP genotyping method to identify northern watermilfoil, eurasian watermilfoil, and their interspecific hybrids. *Front Plant Sci*, 8: 752
29. Piao ZY, Deng YQ, Choi SR, Park YJ, and Lim YP (2004). SCAR and CAPS mapping of CRb, a gene conferring resistance to *Plasmodiophora brassicae* in Chinese cabbage (*Brassica rapa* ssp. *Pekinesis*). *Theor Appl Genet*, 108(8): 1458-1465
30. Qu J, and Liu J (2013). A genome-wide analysis of simple sequence repeats in maize and the development of polymorphism markers from next-generation sequence data. *BMC Res Notes*, 6(1): 403.

31. Rafalski A (2002). Applications of single nucleotide polymorphisms in crop genetics. *Curr Opin Plant Biol*, 5(2): 94-100
32. Rahman H, Peng G, Yu F, Falk KC, Kulkarni M, and Selvaraj G (2014). Genetics and breeding for clubroot resistance in Canadian spring canola (*Brassica napus* L.). *Can J Plant Pathol*, 36(1): 122-134
33. Rasheed A, Wen W, Gao FM, Zhai SN, Jin H, Liu JD, et al. (2016). Development and validation of KASP assays for genes underpinning key economic traits in bread wheat. *Theor Appl Genet*, 129(10): 1843-1860
34. Shah N, Sun J, Yu S, Yang Z, Wang Z, Huang F et al. (2019). Genetic variation analysis of field isolates of clubroot and their responses to *Brassica napus* lines containing resistant gene *CRb*, *PbBa8.1* and their combination in homozygous and heterozygous state. *Mol Breed*, 39: 153.
35. Song YX, Duan XY, Wang PF, Li X, Yuan X, Wang ZY, et al (2021). Comprehensive speed breeding: a high-throughput and rapid generation system for long-day crops, *Plant Biotech J*, 10.1111
36. Steele KA, Quinton-Tulloch MJ, Amgai RB, Dhakal R, Khatiwada SP, Vyas D, et al. (2018). Accelerating public sector rice breeding with high-density KASP markers derived from whole genome sequencing of Indica rice. *Mol Breed*, 38: 38-51.
37. Sun X, Pang H, Li M, Peng B, Guo H, Yan Q, et al. (2013). Evolutionary pattern of the FAE1 gene in Brassicaceae and its correlation with the erucic acid trait. *PLoS One*, 8:e83535
38. Suwabe K (2006). Simple sequence repeat-based comparative genomics between *Brassica rapa* and *Arabidopsis thaliana*: the genetic origin of clubroot resistance. *Genetics*, 173(1): 309-319
39. Suwabe K, Tsukazaki H, Iketani H, Hatakeyama K, Fujimura M, Nunome T et al. (2003) Identification of two loci for resistance to clubroot (*Plasmodiophora brassicae* Woronin) in *Brassica rapa* L. *Theor Appl Genet*, 107(6): 997-1002
40. Vos P, Hogers R, Bleeker M, Reijans M, Tvd L, Hornes M, et al. (1995). AFLP: a new technique for DNA fingerprinting. *Nucleic Acids Res*, 23(21): 4407-4414.
41. Wallenhammar AC, Almquist C, Schwelm A, Roos J, Marzec-Schmidt K, Jonsson A, et al. (2014). Clubroot, a persistent threat to Swedish oilseed rape production. *Can J Plant Pathol*, 135-141
42. Wang TH, Li B, Guo YM, Liu XH, Li B, Peng P et al.(2020). Technical regulation for Pol CMS hybrids purity identification of *Brassica napus* L. by KASP method. HNZ267-2020, Department of agriculture and rural areas, Hunan Provinces.
43. Wang D, Yang T, Liu R, Li NN, Wang XW, Ashutosh S et al.(2020). RNA-Seq analysis and development of SSR and KASP markers in lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medikus subsp. *culinaris*). *The Crop J*, 8: 953-965
44. Wang TH, Li B, Guo YM, Zhang YT, Liu XH, Fan LY et al. (2020). Development of SSR markers associated with Polima CMS and its application. *Mol Plant Breed*, 11.10
45. Wang YY, Yang ZQ, Yang QY, Zhang CY (2021). Genetic improvement and application of clubroot resistance in *Brassica napus*. *J. Huazhong Agric Uni*, 40(2): 1-5


46. Wiersma JJ, Busch RH, Fulcher GG, et al. (2001). Recurrent selection for kernel weight in Spring Wheat Crop Sci, 41(4): 568-572.
47. Williams PH (1966). A system for the determination of races of *Plasmodiophora brassicae* that infect cabbage and rutabaga. Phytopathol, 56: 624-626
48. Xiao Q, Wang HD, Song N, Yu Z, Khan I, Xie WB et al.(2021). The Bnapus50K array: a quick and versatile genotyping tool for *Brassica napus* genomic breeding and research. G3, 11(10), jkab241
49. Yang GL, Chen SP, Chen LK, Sun K, Huang CH, Zhou DH, et al. (2019). Development of a core SNP arrays based on the KASP method for molecular breeding of rice. Rice, 12(1): 21
50. Zhan ZX, Nwafor CC, Hou ZK, Gong JF, Zhu BB, Jiang YF, et al (2017). Cytological and morphological analysis of hybrids between *Brassicoraphanus* and *Brassica napus* for introgression of clubroot resistant trait into *Brassica napus* L. PLoS One, 12: e0177470.
51. Zhan ZX., Jiang YF, Shah N, Hou ZK, Zhou YW, Dun BC et al. (2020). Association of clubroot resistance locus *PbBa8.1* with a linkage drag of high erucic acid content in the seed of the European turnip. Front Plant Sci, 11: 810

## Figures




**Figure 1**

Procedure for population development and selection scheme




## Figure 2

Genotyping diagram of BN900051 (a), BN900053 (b), and BN900052 (c). In BN900051, G:G, A:A, and G:A represent clubroot resistant, sensitive and hybrid genotypes, respectively; while C:C, A:A, and C:A represent the corresponding genotypes in BN900053, respectively. In BN900052, T:T, A:A, and T:A represent high erucic acid, low erucic acid, and hybrid genotypes, respectively



## Figure 3

(a) Distribution of the recovery ratio of recurrent parent genome at BC<sub>1</sub>F<sub>1</sub> generation and (b) the SNP sources of the 330 polymorphic loci from different parents at the individual with the highest recovery ratio. The red, blue, and green bars represent the genotypic resources from SC4, ZHE226, and heterozygous in the BC<sub>1</sub>F<sub>1</sub>, respectively



**Figure 4**

Microscopic examination (Scale bar: 10 $\mu$ m) and their corresponding phenotypes (Scale bar: 1cm) of *Plasmodiophora brassicae* inoculated roots at 50 dpi for three tested lines: a) SC4; b) BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub>-L40; c) BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub>-L49; d) the corresponding phenotypes of the above 3 lines with 3 individual plants per line.

## Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [FigS1.docx](#)
- [SupplementaryTables.xlsx](#)