HPV16 E6-178G/E7-647G Promotes Proliferation and Inhibits Apoptosis in Cervical Cancer C33A Cells

Xiangyi Zhe

Key Laboratory of Xinjiang Endemic and Ethnic Disease <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2504-2536>

Chunhe Zhang

Shihezi University School of Medicine

Huizhen Xin

Shihezi University School of Medicine

Zhenzhen Pan

Xinjiang Production and Construction corps of the Fourth Division Hospital, Yining

Dongmei Li

Shihezi University School of Medicine

Hongtao Li

Shihezi University School of Medicine

Fuyuan Jin

Shihezi University School of Medicine

Haichen Long

Shihezi University School of Medicine

Weinan Zheng

Chengdu Medical College

Renfu Shao

Sunshine Coast University College: University of the Sunshine Coast

Zemin Pan (✉ bio-panzemin@shzu.edu.cn)

School of Medicine, Shihezi University <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5833-0369>

Primary research

Keywords: HPV16, cervical cancer, mutation, cell proliferation, cell apoptosis

Posted Date: January 28th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-153936/v1>

Abstract

Background

HPV16 is the main cause of cervical cancer. In our study, we aimed to investigate the role of HPV mutants HPV16 E6-178G/E7-647G in the proliferation and apoptosis of cervical cancer C33A cells.

Methods

Plasmids encoding the HPV16 E7 prototype (E7-647A)-GV144, E7 mutant (E7-647G)-GV144, HPV16 E6/E7 prototype (E6-178T/E7-647A)-GV144, and E6/E7 mutant (E6-178G/E7-647G)-GV144 were stably transfected into cervical cancer C33A cells. Western blot analysis, CCK8 proliferation assay, cell cloning assay and flow cytometry were used to detect the effects of the different polymorphism sites in HPV16 on cell proliferation and apoptosis.

Results

HPV16 mutations promoted the proliferation and inhibited the apoptosis of cervical cancer C33A cells, and the effect of the E6-178G/E7-647G co-mutation was significantly greater than that of the single E7-647G mutant ($P<0.05$).

Conclusions

HPV16 E6-178G/E7-647G can thus promote the proliferation and inhibit the apoptosis of cervical cancer cells.

Importance: HPV16 is the most common type and the main cause of cervical cancer. It is a fatal threat to the health of millions of women. The genetic differences among HPV16 sub-types may be related to their carcinogenic potential. HPV mutations can differ in biology and etiology, leading to differences in tumor development and behavior. However, little is known about the carcinogenic potential of the HPV16 variant in Asian women compared to many studies in European and American populations.

Introduction

According to GLOBOCAN's latest estimate, there will be 570,000 cases of cervical cancer and 311,000 deaths from this disease worldwide in 2018. Cervical cancer is also the fourth major cause of cancer deaths in women. Its morbidity and mortality have always been high, second only to breast cancer [1]. Human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccination programs have been successful in preventing cervical cancer in some developed countries, but global coverage remains low [2, 3]. The incidence and mortality rates of cervical cancer in China are 7.5 and 3.4 per 100,000 women, respectively [4, 5]. Therefore, cervical cancer remains an important public health issue in China.

Currently, more than 200 gene sequences have been identified from HPV genotype-specific sequence information. According to the transformation characteristics of HPV, 14 types, including HPV-16, -18 and -31, were classified as high-risk by WHO [6–8]. Epidemiological data has confirmed[9] that persistent infection by high-risk HPV can lead to cervical atypical hyperplasia and cancer through the *E6* and *E7* genes, which are the two major cancer genes which target a variety of tumor suppressor proteins including p53 and pRb.

Evolutionary analysis has shown that globally, the diversity of the HPV16 genome has been evolving for more than 200,000 years [10]. Epidemiological studies have shown that mutations in the HPV16 gene may contribute to persistent viral infection and the development of cervical cancer. For example, Villa et al [11] showed that non-European variants have a general tendency to lead to persistent infection and are associated with cervical lesions. Zhang et al.[12] found that the European variant containing the T350G mutation results in the replacement of valine by leucine in the E6 protein, which is considered to be an additional risk factor for persistent infection and cervical lesions. In addition, the prevalence of polymorphic cervical cancer with *E6* T178G mutations was much higher in Asia (65.5% in China, 85.2% in South Korea and 44% in Japan) than in Europe (2%) and North America (3%). However, little is known about the carcinogenic potential of the HPV16 variant in Asian women compared to many studies in European and American populations [13]. Because of the *E6* gene encodes the major transforming protein that inhibits cell apoptosis and promotes cell proliferation, and it is associated with cancer invasiveness, most studies of HPV16 variations have focused on the *E6* gene [14], while relatively few studies have analyzed gene variations in *E7* as the other major oncogene of HPV16. Studies have shown [15] that HPV16 E7 induces upregulation of KDM2A, inhibits mir-132 and promotes proliferation of cervical cancer cells, thus leading to malignant progression of cervical cancer that is related to poor prognosis for cervical cancer patients.

Materials And Methods

Tissue samples

The study subjects were female patients who were treated at the Friendship Hospital (central Xinjiang, China) in 2016 and the People's Hospital of Kashgar during 2011–2014 (southern Xinjiang, China) and the People's Hospital of the Autonomous Region (northern Xinjiang, China) who were diagnosed with cervical lesions by pathologists, and the HPV16 *E6* primer was used to screen samples from women with cervical lesions or women with cervical squamous. A total of 66 cases of HPV16 infection in non-cancerous lesions or in squamous cell carcinoma were obtained. The samples were stored at -80 °C for further processing. This study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki and all procedures involving human subjects were approved by the First Affiliated Hospital, Shihezi University School of Medicine Ethics Review Board (approval number 2019-017-01), and informed consent was obtained from all of the participants.

DNA extraction and HPV16 identification

DNA was extracted using an DP304 Genomic DNA extraction kit (Beijing Tiangen biochemical technology Company, Beijing, China) according to the kit instructions. The HPV16 *E6* primers were designed and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was used to detect the HPV16 virus. The primer sequences for identification of HPV16 *E6* were as follows: HPV16 *E6*-F, 5'-gacccagaaagttaccacag-3' and HPV16 *E6*-R, 5'-cacaacggtttgtgtattg-3' (F, forward primer; R, reverse primer)[16].

PCR amplification and sequencing

The HPV16 *E6* and *E7* gene fragments were amplified by PCR. A 50 µl reaction mixture containing 20 pmoles of each primer, 50 mM KCl, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.3, 0.1% Triton X-100, 50 µM of each dNTP and, 1.8 U of HotStarTaq polymerase (Qiagen Inc.) and 5 µl template DNA. The cycling program was 94°C for 5 min; 30 cycles of 55 °C for 45 s, 72 °C for 60 s, 94 °C for 15 s; 55 °C for 45 s, 72°C for 5 min. The sequencing primers are shown in Table 2 [16].

Cell culture and transfection

The *E6 + E7* gene was cloned into GV144 recombinant vector and verified by sequencing. In addition, GV144(-) vectors were used as controls. C33A cells were seeded at 1×10⁶ per well in a six-well plates 24 h before transfection. Subsequently, 2 µg *E6/E7* recombinant vector and the control vector were mixed with 5 µL of FuGENE HD (Roche), respectively. The FuGENE/DNA complexes were added to C33A cells. Transfected C33A cells were then incubated at 37 °C in 5% CO₂ for 24 h.

Western blotting

Cell lysates were prepared in RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris-Cl, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 0.1% SDS, 1% NP-40). Equal amounts of protein were fractionated by SDS-PAGE and blotted onto membranes. The membranes were incubated with primary antibodies against HPV16 *E7* (bs-4623R, Bioss, 1:500), and antibodies against β-actin (66009-1-Ig; Abmart, 1:1,000) were used as the loading control. HRP-conjugated anti-rabbit and anti-mouse secondary antibodies (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) were then applied, and signals were detected using Western ECL Substrate (Bio-Rad).

Analysis of cell proliferation

Proliferation of C33A cells transfected by the *E6/E7* GV144 construct and GV144 was determined using CCK-8 assays. C33A cells were seeded in 96-well plates at 3000 cells per well in DMEM(Gibco, USA)containing 10% FBS(Biological Industries, Israel). The cells were incubated for 24 h at 37 °C. Then, 10 µL of CCK-8 solution(Dojindo, Japan) was added to each well and incubated for 4 h.

For analysis of clonal formation, C33A stably transfected cells, selected by G418, were inoculated into six-well plates, 1,000 cells per well, and after 14 d, colonies were fixed in 2 mL of 4% paraformaldehyde, followed by the addition of 0.4% crystalline purple staining dye. The number of clones with more than 50 cells were counted under a microscope.

Scratch wound healing assay

Cells were seeded onto six-well plates (1×10^6 cells/dish). When cells reached 90% confluence, a scratch was made across the cell monolayer. The cells were washed with PBS for three times to remove detached cells and debris, and cultured in fresh medium without serums in an incubator of 5%CO₂ at 37°C. Then, size of wounds were observed and measured at the indicated times and photographed using an inverted tissue culture microscope at 40× magnification. Assays were performed at least three times, and data are presented as means ± SD.

Cell apoptosis detection by flow cytometry

Apoptosis analysis was assessed with Annexin V-APC/7-AAD Apoptosis Detection kit (Joint Biology, Hangzhou). Cells were plated in a 6-well plate at a density of 1×10^6 per well. After incubation for 48 h post-transfection, cells were harvested. Apoptosis was induced in accordance with the experimental protocol, the cells were washed with precooling PBS for three times to remove detached cells and debris, and incubated with 5 µl Annexin V-APC and 10 µl 7-AAD Staining Solution.

Model of xenotransplanted tumors in nude mice

For xenograft experiments, 7×10^6 C33A cells stably expressing NV-GV144, HPV16 E7 or E6/E7 prototype, E7 mutation or E6/E7 co-mutations from recombinant expression vectors were injected subcutaneously into 5-week-old female nude mice. The tumor volumes were measured using digital calipers every 3 days and calculated using the equation: length (mm) × width² (mm) × 0.52. All animals were treated in accordance with institutional guidelines, and the experimental protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee guidelines of the First Affiliated Hospital, Shihezi University School of Medicine (approval number A2019-038-01).

GenBank accession number

The HPV16 prototype (European prototype, GenBank accession: NC_001526.2)

Statistical analysis

All data were analyzed using SPSS 22.0 software (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). The measurement data is represented by means ± SD. The data were normally distributed, displayed homogeneous variance and was subsequently analyzed by ANOVA. A *P*-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Mutation of the HPV16 E7 gene at nt 647 in cervical cancer and non-cancerous tissues

In this study, a total of 66 cervical cancer and non-cancerous tissue samples were collected from women in Xinjiang with HPV16 infections. Among them, the A647G mutation was found in four out of 28 cases (14.3%) with non-cancerous tissues and in 14 out of 38 cases (36.8%) with cervical cancer (Table 1).

Construction of HPV16 E7 prototype and HPV16 E7 mutant recombinant vectors

According to the sequencing results, we found 18 cases with the A647G mutation in the E7 gene and 17 cases with the T178G mutation in the E6 gene. Therefore, the following four recombinant vectors were designed and constructed (Fig. 1): HPV16 E7 prototype (647A), HPV16 E7-647G mutation, HPV16 E6/E7 prototype (E6-178T/E7-647A) and HPV16 E6-178G/E7-647G mutation. Sequencing of the recombinant vectors showed successful construction.

Western blot analysis of HPV16 E7 protein expression

Transfection of NC-GV144, HPV16 E7 prototype (E7-647A)-GV144, HPV16 E7 mutant (E7-647G)-GV144, HPV16 E6/E7 prototype (E6-178T/E7-647A)-GV144 and HPV16 E6/E7 co-mutant (E6-178G/E7-647G)-GV144 was performed in C33A cells. As shown in the Fig. 2, HPV16 E7 protein expression bands were observed in the four transfected recombinant vector groups. Since C33A cells do not contain the HPV virus, there was no E7 protein expression, and no E7 bands were observed in the NC negative control. The results indicated that the recombinant vector was successfully transfected and could be used for subsequent experiments.

Effect of the HPV16 E7 mutation and E6/E7 co-mutation on proliferation of C33A cells

The HPV16 E7 prototype (E7-647A)-GV144 vector, HPV16 E7 mutant (E7-647G)-GV144 vector, HPV16 E6/E7 prototype (E6-178T/E7-647A)-GV144 vector and HPV16 E6/E7 mutant (E6-178G/E7-647G)-GV144 vector were transfected into C33A cells in 96-well plates. Non-transfected C33A cells were used as a blank control group, and the NC-GV144 vector group was used as a negative control group. OD values in the above groups at 450 nm were detected at 0 h, 24 h, 48 h, and 72 h after the addition of CCK-8 to determine the effect of transfection on proliferation of C33A cells. As shown in the Fig. 3A, compared with the NC-GV144 control group, E7 and E6/E7 prototypes significantly promoted the proliferation of C33A cells 72 h after transfection ($P < 0.05$). The HPV16 E7-647G mutant group and the E6-178G/E7-647G co-mutant group showed significant cell proliferation 48 h and 72 h after transfection ($P < 0.05$). The four recombinant expression vectors were then combined and compared (Fig. 3B). At 24 h and 48 h, the HPV16 E6-178G/E7-647G co-mutant group showed significantly increased proliferation of C33A cells ($P < 0.05$). The results suggested that compared to NC-GV144 control group, the recombinant vector in the experimental group had a significant effect on the proliferation of C33A cells and that cell proliferation in the HPV16 mutant group was higher than in the HPV16 prototype group. In the mutant group, co-mutation of HPV16 E6-178G/E7-647G had the greatest impact on the proliferation of C33A cells.

Effects of HPV16 E7 mutation and E6/E7 co-mutation on clonal formation of C33A cells

C33A cells stably transfected with NC-GV144, HPV16 E7 prototype (E7-647A)-GV144 vector, HPV16 E6/E7 prototype (E6-178T/E7-647A)-GV144 vector and HPV16 E6/E7 mutant (E6-178G/E7-647G)-GV144 vector were seeded on 6-well plates at a cell density of 1,500 cells per well for 2 weeks and then fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde. Colonies were stained with 0.1% Crystal Violet at room temperature and the number of cell colonies were counted at low power under a microscope. The results showed that compared with the control group of NC-GV144, the number of cell colonies in the four recombinant expression vector groups was significantly increased ($P < 0.05$) and the number of cell colonies in the HPV16 mutant group was higher than in the HPV16 prototype group. Among the mutant groups, the co-mutation of HPV16 E6-178G/E7-647G had the greatest effect on clonal formation of C33A cells, indicating that the proliferation of C33A cells was greatest after co-mutation of HPV16 E6-178G/E7-647G (Fig. 3C and 3D).

Effect of HPV16 E7 mutation and E6/E7 co-mutation on migration of C33A cells

The effects of HPV16 E7 prototype (E7-647A), HPV16 E7-647G mutation, HPV16 E6/E7 prototype (E6-178T/E7-647A) and HPV16 E6-178G/E7-647G co-mutation on C33A cell migration were studied by cell scratch experiment. C33A cells that were stably transfected with NC-GV144, HPV16 E7 prototype (E7-647A)-GV144, HPV16 E7 mutant (E7-647G)-GV144, HPV16 E6/E7 prototype (E6-178T/E7-647A)-GV144 and HPV16 E6/E7 mutant (E6-178G/E7-647G)-GV144 were inoculated in 6-well plates and scratched after reaching confluency. The gap healing width was observed at 0, 24 and 48 hours after the scratch, and the images were recorded. The results showed that 48 h after the scratch, the relative gap width of the HPV16 E7-647G mutant group and the HPV16 E6-178G/E7-647G mutant group was significantly smaller than that of the control group and the HPV16 prototype group. In the mutant groups, the relative gap width of HPV16 E6-178G/E7-647G co-mutation was smaller than that of the mutation group E7-647G, and the difference was statistically significant ($P < 0.05$, $n = 3$; Fig. 4A and 4B). These results suggested that HPV16 E7-647G and HPV16 E6-178G/E7-647G can promote cell migration ($P < 0.05$) and that co-mutation of E6-178G/E7-647G promoted C33A cell migration to the greatest extent.

Effects of HPV16 E7 mutation and E6/E7 co-mutation on apoptosis of C33A cells

We used flow cytometry to detect stable transfection of the GV144 empty vector, HPV16 E7 prototype (E7-647A) vector, HPV16 E7-647G mutant vector, HPV16 E6/E7 prototype (E6-178T/E7-647A) vector and HPV16 E6-178G/E7-647G co-mutation vector. The apoptosis rate of C33A cells in these five groups showed that the number of apoptotic cells in the NC-GV144 group was significantly higher than in the HPV16 E7 mutation group and the E6/E7 co-mutation group ($P < 0.05$). Stable expression of the HPV16 prototype had no significant effect on apoptosis in C33A cells ($P > 0.05$). This result suggested that both the HPV16 E7-647G mutation and E6-178G/E7-647G co-mutation could inhibit apoptosis of C33A cervical cancer cells (Fig. 4C and 4D).

Xenograft tumor model in nude mice

C33A cells stably transfected with the four recombinant expression vectors were inoculated into nude mice. Tumor size was measured every 2–3 days. After 30 days, the nude mice were euthanatized (Fig. 5A-E), the tumors were removed and the average terminal tumor volume was determined with the following results: Control group, 52.8040.68 mm³; E7-647A prototype group, 59.9342.51 mm³; E7-647G mutation group, 70.9636.07 mm³; HPV16 E6-178T/E7-647A prototype group, 49.387.92 mm³; HPV16 E6-178G/E7-647G mutation group, 260.8210.27 mm³. Compared with the control group, there was no significant difference in tumor volume for E7-647A, E7-647G and E6-178T/E7-647A mice ($P > 0.05$), while tumor size in the HPV16 E6-178G/E7-647G mutation group was significantly greater than the control group and other experimental groups ($P < 0.05$; Fig. 5F-G). Mean weights of the terminal tumors were as follows: control group, 0.0740.031 g; E7-647A prototype group, 0.0920.015 g; E7-647G mutation group, 0.0910.122 g; E6-178T/E7-647A prototype group, 0.0900.029 g; E6-178G/E7-647G mutation group, 0.1380.043 g. Compared to the control group, the tumor weights in E7-647A, E7-647G and E6-178T/E7-647A mice were not significantly different ($P > 0.05$), but the tumor weights in E6-178G/E7-647G mice were significantly greater than in the control group and other experimental groups ($P < 0.05$). These results showed that the HPV16 E6-178G/E7-647G promoted the growth of cervical cancer to a greater extent than did the control and other experimental groups.

Discussion

In the present study, we found that the rate of the *E7* gene A647G mutation in cervical cancer tissue was higher than in non-cervical cancer tissue (Table 1). In addition, HPV16 E7-A647G and E6-T178G nucleotide co-mutations have been demonstrated in our previous studies [16], consistent with results of Ding et al. [17] The *E7* oncoprotein encoded by HPV16 *E7* is 11 kDa in size and contains about 100 amino acids. *E7* protein can promote the malignant transformation of cervical epithelial cells that are infected with HPV and maintain the malignant phenotype of cervical epithelial cells. The interaction between the *E7* gene and the retinoblastoma tumor suppressor Rb is one of the leading causes of cervical cancer. The region where the *E7* protein binds to Rb is on amino acids 21–34, which include the 29th amino acid encoded by the nucleotide at position 647. The A647G mutation suppresses the physiological functions of Rb so as to maintain the HPV infection in the host over the long-term. Other studies have found that the incidence of A645C (L28F) can reach 19% in the cervical cancer tissues of Korean women [18], and the mutation is also present in Japan and Italy [19, 20]. However, only one mutation at this site was found in our study, further suggesting that HPV mutations have regional characteristics.

In order to study the effect of the HPV16 *E7* mutation on malignant progression of cervical cancer, we conducted cytological experiments to analyze and verify its function. Initially, four recombinant expression vectors of GV144, namely, HPV16 *E7* prototype (E7-647A), HPV16 *E7* mutant (E7-647G), HPV16 E6/*E7* prototype (E6-178T/E7-647A) and HPV16 E6/*E7* co-mutant (E6-178G/E7-647G) were designed and constructed according to sequencing results. HPV-negative C33A cervical cancer cells were selected for stable transfection, and the expression of HPV16 *E7* protein in each group of cells after transfection was detected by western blot. The results suggested that the recombinant vector was

successfully transfected (Fig. 2), and further *in vitro* cytology experiments were conducted to study and analyze the effect of different HPV16 E7 gene mutants on cervical cancer cells. The results of CCK-8, colony formation and proliferation experiments showed that among the four recombinant expression vectors, the co-mutation of HPV16 E6-178G/E7-647G had the strongest effect on proliferation of cervical cancer cells, followed by the mutation of HPV16 E7-647G. Flow cytometry detection showed that both HPV16 E6-178G/E7-647G co-mutation and the E7-647G mutation inhibited apoptosis of cervical cancer cells. Through cell scratch experiments, we also observed that co-mutation of HPV16 E6-178G/E7-647G promoted the migration of cervical cancer cells to the greatest extent, followed by the mutation of E7-647G. These results thus demonstrated that the mutations changed the carcinogenic properties of the virus compared to the HPV16 prototype, and the mutations of these two HPV16 variants in this experiment promoted the malignant progression of cervical cancer cells, especially the co-mutation of HPV16 E6-178G/E7-647G. It has been reported that HPV16 E6 directly induces cervical cancer cell migration by regulating p53 signaling [21]. In order to further study the effect of the HPV16 E7-647G mutation and E6-178G/E7-647G co-mutation on cervical cancer cells, a xenograft tumor model was established in nude mice. Four days after inoculation of C33A cells stably transfected with the four recombinant expression vectors, tumor formation was observed in nude mice at the inoculation site. Tumor growth curve(Fig. 5H) analysis showed that 20 days after inoculation, the tumors of nude mice with the HPV16 E6-178G/E7-647G co-mutation showed significant differences from the other experimental groups. As could be seen from the appearance of the mice, the tumor volume was largest in the co-mutation group of HPV16 E6-178G/E7-647G. The tumors were removed and the terminal weight and volume of the tumors were analyzed, which showed that the HPV16 E6-178G/E7-647G polymorphic site promoted the growth of cervical cancer to a greater extent than did HPV16 E7-647G.

To summarize, our *in vivo* and *in vitro* experimental studies confirmed that the co-mutation of HPV16 E6-178G/E7-647G promoted the malignant progression of cervical cancer, and we intend to investigate the cancer-causing molecular mechanism of this mutation type.

Conclusions

The genetic differences among HPV16 sub-types may be related to their carcinogenic potential. HPV mutations can differ in biology and etiology, leading to differences in tumor development and behavior. In our research, HPV16 E6-178G/E7-647G can thus promote the proliferation and inhibit the apoptosis of cervical cancer cells.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki and all procedures involving human subjects were approved by the First Affiliated Hospital, Shihezi University School of

Medicine Ethics Review Board (approval number 2019-017-01), and informed consent was obtained from all of the participants.

All animals were treated in accordance with institutional guidelines, and the experimental protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee guidelines of the First Affiliated Hospital, Shihezi University School of Medicine (approval number A2019-038-01).

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current study are not publicly available because (The data belong to our group) but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

Funding was generously provided by the International Science and Technology Collaboration Projector of Xinjiang Production and Construction Corps (grant numbers 2019BC007), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant numbers: U1503125, 81660462), the Youth Project Development and Regeneration Key Laboratory of Sichuan Province, the General Project Scientific Research Fund of Chengdu Medical College (grant number: SYS18-07), and Xinjiang Production and Construction Corps Key Areas Innovation Team Project (grant number: 2018CB002).

Authors' contributions

Zm P designed the study. XZ and CZ performed the cell and animal studies, participated in the gene analysis and wrote the manuscript. Zz P and HX carried out specimen collection and DNA extraction. FJ and Hc L carried out HPV16 identification. WZ and Ht L performed the statistical analysis. DL and RS participated in the experimental design and revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge funding support from the International Science and Technology Collaboration Project of Xinjiang Production and Construction Corps (grant numbers 2019BC007), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant numbers: U1503125, 81660462), the Youth Project Development and Regeneration Key Laboratory of Sichuan Province, the General Project Scientific Research Fund of Chengdu Medical College (grant number: SYS18-07), and Xinjiang Production and Construction Corps Key Areas Innovation Team Project (grant number: 2018CB002). We thank International Science Editing (<http://www.internationalscienceediting.com>) for editing this manuscript.

References

1. Freddie B. Jacques, et al. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries.[J]. CA: a cancer journal for clinicians; 2018.
2. Bruni L, Diaz M, Barrionuevo-Rosas L, et al. Global estimates of human papillomavirus vaccination coverage by region and income level: a pooled analysis.[J]. Lancet Glob Health. 2016;4(7):e453–63.
3. Cohen PA, Jhingran A, Oaknin A, Denny L. Cervical cancer. Lancet. 2019;393:169–82.
4. Vaccarella S, Laversanne M, Ferlay J, Bray F. Cervical cancer in Africa, Latin America and the Caribbean and Asia: regional inequalities and changing trends. Int J Cancer. 2017;141(10):1997–2001.
5. Xiong-Fei P, Zhi-Mei Z, Jing S, et al. Acceptability and Correlates of Primary and Secondary Prevention of Cervical Cancer among Medical Students in Southwest China: Implications for Cancer Education[J]. Plos One. 2014;9(10):e110353.
6. Brancaccio RN, Robitaille A, Dutta S, et al. Generation of a novel next-generation sequencing-based method for the isolation of new human papillomavirus types[J]. Virology. 2018;520:1–10.
7. Bouvard V, Baan R, Straif K, et al WHO International Agency for Research on Cancer Monograph Working Group. A review of human carcinogens-part B: biological agents. Lancet Oncol. 2009;10(4):321–2.
8. Muñoz N, Bosch FX, de Sanjosé S, et al. International Agency for Research on Cancer Multicenter Cervical Cancer Study Group. Epidemiologic classification of human papillomavirus types associated with cervical cancer. N Engl J Med. 2003;348(6):518–27.
9. Iulia V. Iancu, et al. LINC01101 and LINC00277 expression levels as novel factors in HPV-induced cervical neoplasia[J]. Journal of Cellular Molecular Medicine. 2017;21(12):3787–94.
10. Robert Jackson BA, Rosa S, Lameiras, et al. Functional variants of human papillomavirus type 16 demonstrate host genome integration and transcriptional alterations corresponding to their unique cancer epidemiology. [J]BMC Genomics. 2016;17:851.
11. Villa L, Caballero O, Ferenczy A, et al. Molecular variants of human papillomavirus types 16 and 18 preferentially associated with cervical neoplasia[J]. J Gen Virol. 2000;81(12):2959–68.
12. Zhang L, Liao H, Yang B, et al. Variants of human papillomavirus type 16 predispose toward persistent infection[J]. Int J Clin Exp Pathol. 2015;8(7):8453–9.

13. Hang D, Yin Y, Han J, et al. Analysis of human papillomavirus 16 variants and risk for cervical cancer in Chinese population.[J]. *Virology*. 2016;488(5):156–61.
14. Alfaro A, Eligia Juárez-Torres I, Medina-Martínez, et al. Different Association of Human Papillomavirus 16 Variants with Early and Late Presentation of Cervical Cancer[J]. *Plos One*. 2016;11(12):e0169315.
15. Rongying O, Linyu Z, Liang Z, et al. HPV16 E7-induced upregulation of KDM2A promotes cervical cancer progression by regulating miR-132-radixin pathway[J]. *Journal of Cellular Physiology*. 2018.
16. Xiangyi Z. Huizhen, et al. Genetic variations in E6, E7 and the long control region of human papillomavirus type 16 among patients with cervical lesions in Xinjiang, China.[J]. *Cancer Cell Int*. 2019;19:65.
17. Ding T, Wang X, Ye F. et al. Distribution of human papillomavirus 16 E6/E7 variants in cervical cancer and intraepithelial neoplasia in Chinese women[J]. *Int J Gynecol Cancer*. 2010;20(8):1391–8.
18. Youk EG, Ku JL, Park JG. Detection and typing of human papillomavirus in anal epidermoid carcinomas: Sequence variation in the E7 gene of human papillomavirus type 16. *Dis Colon Rectum*. 2001;44(2):236–42.
19. Fujinaga Y, Okazawa K, Nishikawa A, Yamakawa Y, Fukushima M, Kato I, et al. Sequence variation of human papillomavirus type 16 E7 in preinvasive and invasive cervical neoplasias. *Virus Genes*. 1994;9(1):85–92.
20. Tornesello ML, Duraturo ML, Salatiello I, Buonaguro L, Losito S, Botti G, et al. Analysis of human papillomavirus type-16 variants in Italian women with cervical intraepithelial neoplasia and cervical cancer. *J Med Virol*. 2004;74(1):117–26.
21. Au Yeung CL, Tsang TY, Yau PL, et al. Human papillomavirus type 16 E6 induces cervical cancer cell migration through the p53/microRNA-23b/urokinase-type plasminogen activator pathway[J]. *Oncogene*. 2011;30(21):2401–10.

Tables

Due to technical limitations, tables are only available as a download in the Supplemental Files section.

Figures

Fig. 1.

Figure 1

Sequencing maps of the GV144 recombinant expression vectors. A, HPV16 E7-647A. B, HPV16 E6-178T/E7-647A. C, HPV16 E7-647G. D, HPV16 E6-178G/E7-647G.

Fig. 2.

Figure 2

Protein expression of HPV16 E7. The proteins expression of HPV16 E7 in human cervical cancer cell lines C33A were detected by Western blotting after transfection.

Fig. 3.

Figure 3

Effect of stable transfection of recombinant vectors on C33A cell proliferation. (A, B) CCK8 assays were used to evaluate the proliferation of C33A cells after transfection with NC-GV144, HPV16 E7 prototype (E7-647A)-GV144 vector, HPV16 E7 mutant (E7-647G)-GV144 vector, HPV16 E6/E7 prototype (E6-178T/E7-647A)-GV144 vector, HPV16 E6/E7 mutant (E6-178G/E7-647G)-GV144 vector. (C) Clonal formation of C33A cells after transfection with NC-GV144, HPV16 E7 prototype (E7-647A)-GV144 vector, HPV16 E7 mutant (E7-647G)-GV144 vector, HPV16 E6/E7 prototype (E6-178T/E7-647A)-GV144 vector, HPV16 E6/E7 mutant (E6-178G/E7-647G)-GV144 vector or after no transfection. (D) Statistical analysis of the number of clones formed. Data are representative of three independent experiments. * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$ vs control.

Fig. 4.

Figure 4

Effect of stable transfection of recombinant vectors on C33A cell migration and apoptosis. (A) Wound healing assay to analyze the effect of the HPV16 E7 mutation and E6/E7 co-mutation on C33A cell migration. (B) Statistical analysis of the percentage of wound closure. Data are representative of three independent experiments. * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$ vs control. (C) The effect of the HPV16 E7 mutation and E6/E7 co-mutation on apoptosis. (D) Statistical analysis of the rate of apoptosis. Data are representative of three independent experiments. * $P < 0.05$.

Fig. 5.

Figure 5

HPV16 E6-178G/E7-647G promoted tumor growth in a nude mouse model. (A) Tumors in nude mice subcutaneously inoculated with NC-GV144. (B) Tumors in nude mice subcutaneously inoculated with E7-647A-GV144. (C) Tumors in nude mice subcutaneously inoculated with E7-647G-GV144. (D) Tumors in nude mice subcutaneously inoculated with E6-178T/647A-GV144. (E) Tumors in nude mice subcutaneously inoculated with E6-178G/647G-GV144. (F) Tumor size of five groups after tumorigenesis

in female athymic nude mice. (G) Quantitation of tumor weights after tumorigenesis in female athymic nude mice. (H) Quantitation of tumor volumes during tumorigenesis in female athymic nude mice.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [Tables.pdf](#)