

# Screening of Tree Species For Fuelwood Production in The Mid-Altitudes of North Shewa, Ethiopia

**Melese Bekele Nigussie** (✉ [mel21bekele@gmail.com](mailto:mel21bekele@gmail.com))

Amhara Agricultural Research Institute, Debere Birhan Agricultural Research Center Forestry Research Directorate

**Lemma Habteyohannes Habteyohannes**

Amhara Agricultural Research Institute

**Getabalew Teshome Reta**

Amhara Agricultural Research Center

**Damtew Ababu Wudinehe**

Amhara Agricultural Research institute

**Mesafint Minale Fenta**

Amhara Agricultural Research Institute

**Reta Eshetu Tesideke**

Amhara Agricultural Research Institute

**Abeje Tedila Tedila**

Amhara Agricultural Research Institute

**Hailemariam Fisiha Fisiha**

Amhara Agricultural Research Institute

**Haile Shiferaw Wolie**

Ethiopian forest and climate research institute

---

## Research

**Keywords:** Ash, cellulose, biomass, density, fuel wood, lignin, tree species

**Posted Date:** January 28th, 2021

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-153975/v1>

**License:**  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

---

# Abstract

The source of energy at household level in Ethiopia mostly comes from wood biomass. The increasing population and shrinkage of natural forests resulted in shortage of wood supply for energy. Hence, looking for fast-growing with better fuel wood characteristics is required to access wood and for the supply of fuelwood consumption. This study aims to select tree species with better biomass and good fuel wood characteristics. Seven tree species: *Acacia polyacantha*, *Acacia abyssinica*, *Eucalyptus camaldulensis*, *Albizia lophantha*, *Acacia melanoxylon*, *Acacia decurrens*, and *Eucalyptus globulus* were selected to study the growth performance, biomass and fuel wood characteristics. Six trees per species were randomly selected and harvested for fuel wood property (wood moisture, ash content and fiber content) analysis. *Acacia abyssinica*, *Acacia polyacantha* and *Eucalyptus camaldunensis* showed better survival rates than other species. The better height performance was recorded from *Acacia .decurrens*, *Acacia polyacantha*, *Eucalyptus camaldulensis*, and *Eucalyptus globules*. *A. polyacantha* and *A. decurrens* provided the highest biomass. *A. decurrens* showed the lowest wood moisture (31.1%) and wood density (0.76 g/cm<sup>3</sup>), and the lowest ash content (2.2%). Hence, *A. decurrens* and *A. polyacantha* are recommended for fuel wood due to high density, low moisture, and low ash content and good biomass production.

## Introduction

About 14% of the world's energy supplies come from biomass sources including fuel wood, charcoal, crop residues and animal dung (McKendry, 2002; Ryu *et al.*, 2006). About 35% of the energy supply in developing countries is from biomass (Mengjie and Suzhen, 1994). According to Belward *et al.* (2011) charcoal and firewood account for more than 80% of the energy use in the sub-Saharan African countries. Charcoal energy covers more than 95% of urban energy demand (Belward *et al.*, 2011). As the population increased in urban areas the demand for charcoal increased. The demand in rural areas also grows for charcoal. Wood fuel production in the sub-Saharan countries reaches 600 million m<sup>3</sup>/ year. Biomass energy sources also play a vital role in industrialized countries. For instance, in the United States, nearly 4% of its energy (Perlack, 2005) and Sweden close to 14% of their energy come from biomass (Hall, 1993; Mengjie and Suzhen, 1994).

Similarly, biomass is one of the major energy sources in Ethiopia, which triggers a huge loss of indigenous trees and natural forests (Guta, 2012). The supply of energy about 85% of urban communities in Ethiopia comes from natural forest and farmlands of rural community. From Ethiopian households, approximately 90% of energy source comes from wood, charcoal and animal dung (Mekonnen and Kohlin, 2009). Modern energy source development and usage in Ethiopia is mainly focused on petroleum and electricity/ Electricity consumption in the country per capita is 28 KW/h (Teka, 2006). Among biomass resources wood constitutes 95% of supply (Guta, 2012). In poor countries, wood is the major energy source since it requires no further processing (Arnold *et al.*, 2003).

The wood shortage for fuel wood led to use crop residues and animal dung for energy sources (Gebreegziabher, 2007; Mariame, 1997). Burning of dung and crop residues which were previously sources of soil humus and fertility resulted in poor crop production and productivity. This has exposed farmers' to food in security and increased poverty (Amsalu, 2006). .In Ethiopia, energy strategies and programs in the past have focused on to improve the fuel use efficiency i.e. to promote improved stoves (Arnold et al., 2003). However, it should be beyond promoting improved stoves. It should focus also on low cost energy efficient technology and fast growing species (Arnold et al., 2003). Ethiopia's green economy strategy emphasizes the environmental and economic benefits of forest resources (Eshetu, 2014). Some of the strategies are distributing fuel-saving stoves, encouraging agroforestry practices and planting trees. Hence, there is a growing interest in renewable biomass energy.

In the past decades, the introduction and expansion of Eucalyptus trees in Ethiopia have contributed a lot in terms of fuel and construction wood supplies. Lately, other alternative fast-growing tree species were introduced in the country to solve the fuel wood shortage and to minimize the ecological effects of Eucalyptus. .However, those newly introduced tree species are not being identified properly based on studies (Pohjonen and Pukkala, 1990). Studies on fuel characteristics of both exotic and indigenous tree species for fuel wood are also limited in Ethiopia, specifically in the North Shewa. Selecting a tree species with desirable fuel wood characteristics is required to improve wood supply for energy. Therefore, this study aimed to select tree species suitable for fuel wood in North Shewa.

## Materials And Methods

### *Study site*

The study was carried out at Merabete district in North Shewa Zone for seven years

(2011 to 2018). The site is located at Latitude 10°04'06.3"N and Longitude 38°59'42.1"E. The altitude of the study area is about 2200 Meters above sea level. The minimum and maximum temperatures of the study area were 13.1°C and 25.3°C, respectively. Annual rainfall is about 100 mm. The geology of the study area is basalt series and is intermittent with limestone or gypsies layers, at altitudes between 1700 m and 2500 m (Hurni, 1987). The soil type of study area is Eutric Vertisols. Soil texture is mainly clay. The soil depth of the study site is about 50 cm. Surface soil pH is about 6.3 (a little acidic), especially in subsurface horizons. The soil has very little organic carbon (0.659%) and poor total nitrogen (0.088%) (Esayas et al., 2006). Merhabete is a drought-prone area. Variety of crops like Teff (*Eragrostistef*) and sorghum are grown in the area.

### Experimental design and sampling

Seven selected tree species were planted in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) with three replications. The spacing between trees was 2m\*2m. The spacing between blocks and plots were 3 meters. Sixteen seedlings were planted in each plot. Tree species used in the experiment were *A. polyacantha*, *A. abyssinica*, *E. camaldulensis*, *A. lophantha*, *A. melanoxyton*, *A. decurrens*, and *E*

*.globulus*. Two trees of each species were randomly selected in each plot and a total of six trees per species were cut to characterize wood properties for fuelwood. The sample was taken at DBH. Graduated cylinder was used for volume measurement using displacement method. Wood samples with bark having 6cm long were taken to analyze fuelwood characteristics. These samples were dried at 105°C in an oven for 48 hours to measure density, moisture content and ash content.

## **Method of wood property analysis for fuel wood**

### **Moisture content**

The moisture content of the wood was determined after drying at 105°C for 48 hours

(Bhatt and Todaria, 1990) based on the following formula:

$$\text{Water content (\%)} = (\text{Fresh weight} - \text{Oven weight}) / (\text{Fresh weight}) * 100$$

### **Wood density**

Wood density was determined with the volume replacement method (Cornelissen *et al.*, 2003). The volume of fresh stem sample immersed in a volumetric flask which was filled with water and the difference was subtracted from initial water volume to calculate the volume of the sample. For volume measurement, the sample size was 6 cm which was easily fitted with a 1000 ml volumetric flask. Then the wood density was calculated according to the following formula:

$$\text{Wood density (g/cm}^3\text{)} = \text{Sample oven mass} / \text{Fresh sample volume}$$

### **Ash content**

Ash content was determined by burning wood samples in the furnace (TAPPI, 1992). Samples were grounded and placed in a Carbolite furnace at 575°C for 4 hours. Six grams of dried wood samples put into the crucible and burned in a furnace until all volatile elements vaporized. Then, the remaining ash was cooled and weighed using a sensitive analytical balance with an accuracy of 0.0001 g of ash percent calculation. The following formula was used to get ash percent.

$$\text{Ash\%} = \text{Ash weight} / \text{sample weight} * 100$$

### **Cellulose and lignin content**

Moisture free samples of wood with bark were chopped in to pieces and grounded in a laboratory using sample mill (Cyclotec™ mill, Foss, Denmark) to the size of 1mm mesh screen. A sample of 1 g grounded wood was taken for acid detergent fiber (ADF) and acid detergent lignin (ADL). Cellulose was calculated by subtracting ADL from ADF and lignin was taken equivalent to ADL. The acid detergent fiber was analyzed using acid detergent solution store cover cellulose and lignin. It boiled and refluxed for 2 hours in the fiber apparatus. ADF was determined gravimetrically as the residue remaining after extraction. Acid

detergent lignin (ADL) was determined from residues of the ADF by treating it with 72% sulfuric acid solution ( $H_2SO_4$ ) for three hours. The residues were dried at 105°C over night, weighed and burned to obtain ash at 550°C for three hours. Wood chemical analysis was carried out based on the wet chemistry method with which developed for fiber content analysis (Van Soest *et al.*, 1991). The instrument used for fiber analyses was Fibertec Hot Extractor Model 1010.

## Data analysis

All statistical analyses were performed with the statistical software R version 3.4.3 (R Core Team, 2017). Descriptive statistical analysis was carried out to note the overall result. Statistical differences between species were determined using one way ANOVA ( $p < 0.05$ ). Means separation was done using the Tukey HSD test at  $p < 0.05$  significance level. All graphics were done with ggplot2 packages developed for R software.

## Result Of The Study

### Survival, Growth Parameters, and Biomass

Survival rates of the studied tree species varied significantly ( $p < 0.001$ ) (Fig.1) .*A. abyssinica*, *A. polyacantha* and *E. camaldulensis* had shown better survival rates with more than 75%. The other four species *A. lophantha*, *A. melanoxydon*, *A. decurrens*, and *E. globulus* had shown less than 70% of survival rate. Survival rates declined after fifteen months of the planting of those tree species showed lower survival. *E. globules* and *A. decurrens* had shown the lowest survival rates compared to other tree species.

Analysis of one-way ANOVA showed there was a significant difference in tree height between tree species ( $p < 0.001$ ). The height of *A. polyacantha*, *A. decurrens*, *E. camaldulensis*, and *E. globulus* was higher than the rest of the tree species in the experiment (Fig.3a). The lowest height was recorded in *A. lophantha*.

Diameter at breast height was also significantly different among tree species ( $p < 0.001$ ). *A. polyacantha* demonstrated better DBH and similarly, *A. abyssinica*, *A. decurrens*, and *E. globulus* showed better DBH (Fig. 3b). The lowest DBH observed in *A. lophantha* and *A. melanoxydon*. Biomass was significantly different among studied tree species ( $p < 0.001$ ). *A. polyacantha* showed high biomass yield per tree at 7 years of age (Fig.3). At the same time, *A. decurrens* and *E. globulus* were provided better biomass yield. The lowest biomass yield recorded in *A. lophantha* and *A. melanoxydon* tree species.

### Wood moisture, density, and ash

One way ANOVA analysis showed that here was a significant difference in wood moisture and density ( $p < 0.001$ ). Ash content was also significantly different ( $p < 0.001$ ) among tree species. The highest moisture content was scored by *A. abyssinica*, *A. polyacantha* and *E. camaldulensis* while the lowest was by *A. decurrens* (Fig. 5a). The overall mean moisture content was 40.6% in this study. Basic density also varied among studied species ( $p < 0.05$ ). The highest density was recorded in *A. decurrens* ( $0.76 \text{ g/cm}^3$ )

and lowest density observed in the rest of tree species (Fig.5b). The mean density was 0.55g/cm<sup>3</sup> for all studied species. There was a significant difference in ash content between tree species (p <0.001). The highest ash content was measured in *E. camaldulensis* (5.7%), *A. abyssinica* (4.8%) and *A. polyacantha* (4.3%) (Fig.5). the lowest ash content was recorded in *A. decurrens* (2.2%) as well as in *E. globulus* (3.0%) (Fig.5).

### Lignin and cellulose content

Tree species were significantly different in lignin content as it is obtained from one-way ANOV Analysis (p<0.001) (Table 1). Fuelwood species also significantly differed in cellulose content (p<0.01) (Table 1). The overall mean of 2 lignin and cellulose content was 22.1 and 43.2%, respectively. The lowest lignin and cellulose content was measured in *A. abyssinica* (Table 1). The highest lignin content was measured in *E. globulus* (Table 1).

Table 1. Mean standard deviation (SD) and mean separation of a wood fiber of fuelwood species. Mean separation was done with the Tukey HSD test (p: S 0.05).

Tree species	N	Lignin*(mean±SD)	Cellulose*(mean±SD)
<i>A. abyssinica</i>	6	17.1±2.7 <sup>c</sup>	39.8±2.3 <sup>c</sup>
<i>A. decurrens</i>	6	22.3±3.6 <sup>abc</sup>	42.3±1.0 <sup>abc</sup>
<i>A. melanoxylon</i>	6	23.8±3.4 <sup>ab</sup>	44.5±3.1 <sup>ab</sup>
<i>A. polyacantha</i>	6	19.3±3.6 <sup>bc</sup>	41.6±1.2 <sup>bc</sup>
<i>E. camaldunesis</i>	6	24.5±2.5 <sup>ab</sup>	44.8±3.4 <sup>ab</sup>
<i>E. globulus</i>	6	25.8±1.1 <sup>a</sup>	46.1±3.0 <sup>a</sup>

Cellulose was calculated as Acid detergent fiber (ADF) minus Acid detergent lignin (ADL). Lignin was taken as it was ADL.

## Discussion

### Survival, Tree height and Biomass

The growth performance and productivity of trees can be determined by tree genetic qualities, edaphic conditions, environmental situations and management factors (Lugo *et al.*, 1988). *A. abyssinica* and *E. camaldunesis* had the highest survival rates than other species. *Acacia polyacantha* also showed comparable survival rates. *A. decurrens*, *A. lophantha*, *A. melanoxylon* and *E. globulus* had shown lowest survival rates in this study. Animal grazing could be another reason for low survival of *A. decurrens* and *A. lophantha*. These two species were browsed and damaged by animals especially after 15 months of planting. The poorest performance of the rest of tree species may be related to low moisture in the

experimental site. *E. globulus* also occurs mostly in wetter and high rainfall areas (Coppen, 2003) especially above 2000 m (Maundu and Tengnas, 2005). *A. decurrens* tolerates acidity and grows better in light, deep and drained fertile soils (Ruskin, 1980), but the experimental site was poor in fertility.

Height was different among tested species. *A. decurrens*, *A. polyacantha*, *E. camaldulensis*, and *E. globulus* showed better performance in terms of height which is in line with the study of Tesfaye et al. (2015). This study reported that *E. globulus* had highest height soil management i.e. mulching compared to other species. Similarly, Mekonnen et al. (2006) reported that *E. globulus* and *A. decurrens* scored the highest growth in 64m on the sold as compared to other indigenous and exotic trees and shrubs species. However, *A. abyssinica* showed the lowest growth performance. Biomass is one of the most important criteria for fuelwood production. Our study showed also a variation in tree biomass between tree species. *A. polyacantha* and *A. decurrens* had the highest biomass relative to other species. However, the result of this study was lower than Mekonnen et al. (2006) reported *A. decurrens* provided 29.9 kg/tree and *E. globulus* with 10.4 kg/tree. However, this study showed that *A. decurrens* and *E. globulus* provided 3.8 kg/tree and 3.1 kg/tree, respectively. The low biomass in our study was related to poor fertility, soil moisture and tree management.

### **Wood moisture, density, and ash**

*Acacia decurrens* had the lowest moisture content compared to the rest of the studied tree species. Lower moisture content increases the net calorific value of wood for heating (Senelwa and Sims, 1999). High moisture in wood decreases the heating value of fuelwood (Demirbas, and Demirbas, 2009). When wood fuel has moisture, it absorbs high energy during combustion and this resulted in heat escaping (Ince, 1979). One of the limiting issues in fuelwood combustion is moisture because of its effect on heating value. Because the combustion reaction is exothermic, but water evaporation is highly end other moisture content (Jenkins et al., 1998). Self-supporting combustion for the majority of biomass-based fuels is about 65% moisture content on a wet basis (Jenkins et al., 1998). Moreover, most of the energy is used to evaporate water rather than combustion (Jenkins et al., 1998). The results in our study showed that moisture content was below 65% on a fresh basis for all tree species. In biomass burning some of the energy is used to change water to vapor, this reduces the availability of energy for heating. Therefore, moisture content determines the energy value of biomass (Rosillo-Calle, 2012).

Wood density varied among the tested tree species. *A. decurrens* has the highest density and the lowest density was recorded in *A. abyssinica*. The density of *A. decurrens* in our study was 0.76 g/cm<sup>3</sup> and this is higher than Searle and Owen (2005) report i.e., 0.64 g/cm<sup>3</sup>. High-density characteristics make *A. decurrens* an excellent for firewood (National Research Council (US), 1980). The species suitable for fuelwood have a density of 0.55-0.58 g/cm<sup>3</sup> as indicated by Kumar et al. (2010). The density in *E. globulus* (0.49 g/cm<sup>3</sup>) and *E. camaldunesis* (0.48 g/cm<sup>3</sup>) in our study was less than Kumar et al. (2010). Higher basic density is an important parameter to fuelwood characteristics. Trees with the highest density can generate large energy per unit volume (Groves and Chivuya, 1989).

Ash content is one of the important factors which directly affect the heating value of firewood (Demirbas, 2003). The average ash content observed in this study was 4% which is higher than Alemu (1997) reported 1.86% for *Acacia abyssinica*, *Acacia seyal*, *Albizia gummifera*, *Croton macrostachyus*, *Grevillea robusta*, and *Millettia ferruginea*. The result of our study was also higher than Friedl *et al.* (2005) who reported 2.9% for wood materials. The lowest ash content was observed in *A. decurrens* and *E. globulus* in our study. Ash value in *A. decurrens* was 2.2%, which is lower than 2.7% in Hybrid poplar reported by Jenkins *et al.* (1998). High ash results may be related to wood bark. Ash contents in wood bark are high compared to ash contents in wood tissues (Werkelin *et al.*, 2005). Woods with less than 1% ash have high heating values when ash increases there is a decrease in heating value, even though some elements in the ash may catalyze thermal decomposition (Jenkins *et al.*, 1998). On the ash-free basis, almost all non-woody plant biomass has more or less the same energy value (Rosillo-Calle, 2012).

### Lignin and cellulose content

The overall mean value of lignin content in this study was 22.1%. This value was smaller than Demirbas (2001) with an overall average value of 32.0 % for different conifer and broad leaf trees and some crop husks. The overall lignin content was ranged from 14 to 28% in our study and this is different from Rowell (2005) reported that the content of lignin in wood ranges between 24-35%. Our results showed *E. globulus* has the highest lignin (25.8%) and cellulose content (46.1%) compared to the remaining species and this is supported in other studies. Eucalyptus woods have higher lignin content compared to Acacia woods (Kumar *et al.*, 1992). However, higher lignin content in *E. globulus* in our study was not in agreement with Rencoret *et al.* (2007) study which presented the lowest lignin content of *E. globulus* compared with other studied *eucalyptus* species. Still the lignin and cellulose content of *E. globulus* in this study was higher than Stackpole *et al.* (2011) reported 20.5% and 43.4% for lignin and cellulose, respectively. The lowest lignin and cellulose content was found in *A. abyssinica* in this study. Lignin content mostly and strongly correlated with a higher heating value of wood (White, 2007; Demirbas, 2001; Demirbas, 2002). The higher heating value increases with the increase in lignin and cellulose content. However, the thermal degradation process is complicated and affected by temperature and moisture content. Lignin contains high hydrogen and carbon and high calorific values compared to cellulose and hemi celluloses (Brebou and Vasile, 2010). There is also a correlation between extractive contents and the high heating value of woodfuels (Telmo and Lousada, 2011). Therefore, it is not only lignins that affecting the heating value or calorific value of woodfuels but, also extractives like phenolic compounds and resins have a greater effect (Moya and Tenorio, 2013). High extractive contents directly influence the heating value of the fuelwood material and these extractives also varied from species to species (Demirbas, 2003; Yang and Jaakkola, 2011). Ligno cellulose materials with high extractive contents are preferred for fuelwood because of extractives increase the heating value of the fuelwood (Demirbas, 2002; Telmo and Lousada, 2011). Kataki and Konwer (2001) described heating value affected directly by the content of extractives present in fuelwood. Extractives in *Eucalyptus grandis* reach up to 4.1% (Poletto *et al.*, 2012) and in *E. globulus* reaches up to 4.7% (Stackpole *et al.*, 2011). *Acacia decurrens* contain 74% tannin which is an extractive and part of polyphenol compound (Reid *et al.*, 2013). Extractive content in *Acacia meamsii* is higher than *Eucalyptus*. This is due to the presence of tannin and gum substance in

*Acacia meamsii* (Foelkel, 2008). *E. globules* have 0.26% dichloro methane lipophilic extractives which are lower than *A.mangium* 1.32% (Neto *et al.*, 2006). In general, higher calorific value due to high lignin content by itself could not be the only criteria to select fuel wood. The low moisture content and higher basic density of wood compensate for low carbon presence in fuelwood (Foelkel, 2008).

## Conclusions

This study revealed that tree species were different in terms of biomass yield and fuelwood properties. Desirable woodfuel characteristics such as high density, low moisture, and low ash content were obtained from *Acacia decurrens*. This species is suitable tree species compared to other species in this study. Higher biomass was provided by *A. polyacantha* and *E. globulus*. These species can be considered as an alternative species for the area. Fast growth performance combined with good fuel characteristics are the best criteria to recommend a species for fuelwood production. Alternative species through adaptation are needed that are adaptable in the degraded mid altitude areas of the region to reduce risk of disease and insect including biodiversity. The new direction to solve the fuelwood shortage should focus not only growth performance, but also fuelwood characteristics (wood properties).

## References

1. Alemu, M.B. (1997). Wood fuel carbonization and charcoal characterization of six agroforestry tree species of Ethiopia. Ethiopian MSc in Forestry Program Thesis Works.
2. Amsalu, A. (2006). Caring for the land: Best practices in soil and water conservation in Beressa watershed, highlands of Ethiopia Tropical Resource Management Papers, 76, 85.
3. Arnold, J., Kohlin, G., Persson R., and Shepherd, G. (2003). Fuelwood Revisited: What has changed in the last decade? CIFOR, Bogor, Indonesia.
4. Belward, A., Bisselink, B., Bdis, K., Brink, A., Dallemand, J., DeRoo, A., Huld, T., Kayitakire, F., Mayaux P, and Moner-Girona M., (2011). Renewable energies in Africa. F. Monforti (Ed.):1-62.
5. Bhatt, B., and Todaria, N. (1990). Fuelwood characteristics of some mountain trees and shrubs. Biomass 21(3):233-238.
6. Brebu, M. and Vasile, C. (2010). Thermal degradation of lignin Cellulose
7. Chemistry & Technology 44(9):
8. Coppen, J.J. (2003). Eucalyptus: the genus Eucalyptus, CRC Press
9. Cornelissen, J., Lavorel, S., Gamier, E., Diaz, S., Buchmann, N., Gurvich, D., Reich, P., Ter Steege, H., Morgan, H., and Van Der Heijden, M. (2003). A hand book of protocols for standardized and easy measurement of plant functional traits worldwide. Australian Journal of Botany 51(4):335-380.
10. Demirbas, (2001). Relationships between lignin contents and heating values of biomass. Energy conversion and management 42(2):183-188.
11. Demirbas, (2002). Relationships between heating value and lignin, moisture, ash and extractive contents of biomass fuels. Energy Exploration & Exploitation 20(1):105-111.

12. Demirbas, A. (2003). Fuelwood Characteristics of Six Indigenous Wood Species from the Eastern Black Sea Region. *Energy Sources* 25(4):309-316.
13. Demirbas, , and Demirbas, C. (2009). Fuel properties of wood species. *Energy*
14. *Sources, Part A* 31(16):1464-
15. Esayas, A., Tafesse, D., Ali, A., Belay, G., & Chekol, T. (2006). Soils of the Farms of Debre Birhan Agricultural Research Center and Its Testing Sites. Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research (EIAR).
16. Eshetu, A. (2014). Role of fuel efficient stoves in achieving the millennium development goals: the case of Ethiopia. *Journal of Environmental Research and Management* 5(9):0156-0168.
17. Foelkel, C. (2008). The Eucalyptus and the Leguminosae.Part01: Acacia mearnsii. Retrieved from <http://eucalyptus.com.br/capitulos/ENG08.pdf>.
18. Friedl, A., Padouvas, E., Rotter H., and Varmuza, K. (2005). Prediction of heating
19. Values of biomass fuel from elemental composition. *Analytica ChimicaActa*
20. 544(1-2):191-198.
21. Gebreegziabher, (2007). Household fuel consumption and resource use in rural- urban Ethiopia.
22. Groves, K. and Chivuya, A. (1989). Fuelwood evaluation of four Australian-grown tree species. *ACIAR Monograph* (10):159-169.
23. Guta, D. (2012). Assessment of biomass fuel resource potential and utilization in Ethiopia: sourcing strategies for renewable energies. *International Journal of Renewable Energy Research (IJRER)* 2(1):131-139.
24. Hall, D. (1993). *Biomass energy, Renewable energy*, Elsevier: 33-59.
25. Hurni,H. (1987). Ethiopia Northern Shewa Administrative Zone: Programme document: Merhabete Integrated Conservation and Development Programme (ICDP): First 5-year phase.
26. Ince, J. (1979). How to Estimate Recoverable Heat Energy in Wood or Bark Fuels, *FOREST PRODUCTS LAB MADISON WIS.*
27. Jenkins, B., Baxter, L., Miles Jr,, and Miles, T. (1998). Combustion properties of biomass. *Fuel processing technology* 54(1-3):17-46.
28. Kataki, R., and Konwer D., (2001). Fuelwood characteristics of some indigenous Woody species of north-east India. *Biomass and Bioenergy* 20(1):17-23.
29. Kumar, M., R. Gupta and T.Sharma (1992). Effects of carbonization conditions on
30. The yield and chemical composition of Acacia and Eucalyptus wood chars. *Biomass and Bioenergy* 3(6):411-417.
31. Kumar, R., Pandey K., Chandrashekar, N., and Mohan, S. (2010). Effect of tree-age on calorific value and other fuel properties of Eucalyptus hybrid. *Journal of Forestry Research* 21(4):514-516.
32. Lugo, A. , Brown, S., and Chapman J. (1988). An analytical review of production rates and stem wood biomass of tropical forest plantations. *Forest Ecology and Management*23 (2-3):179-200.

33. Mariame, A. (1997). Appraisal of woodfuel supply and demand: A case for Shashemene town, South Ethiopi MSc in Forestry Programme Thesis Works Sweden.
34. Maundu, P., and Tengnas, B. (2005). Useful trees and shrubs for Kenya. ICRAF
- 35.
36. McKendry, (2002). Energy production from biomass (part3): gasification technologies. *Bio resource technology* 83(1):55-63.
37. Mekonnen, A., & Kohlin, G. (2009). Determinants of household fuel choice in major cities in Ethiopia.
38. Mekonnen, K., Yohannes,, Glatzel, G., and Amha, Y., (2006). Performance of eight
39. Tree species in the high land Vertisols of central Ethiopia: growth, foliage nutrient concentration and effect on soil chemical properties. *New Forests* 32(3):285-298
40. Mengjie, W., & Suzhen, D. (1994). A potential renewable energy resource development and utilization of biomass energy.
41. Moya, R. and Tenorio, C., (2013). Fuelwood characteristics and its relation with extractives and chemical properties often fast-growth species in Costa Rica. *Biomass and Bioenergy* 56:14-21.
42. National Research Council (US). Advisory Committee on Technology Innovation.
43. (1980). Firewood Crops: Shrub and Tree Species for Energy Production: Report of an Ad Hoc Panel of the Advisory Committee on Technology Innovation, Board on Science and Technology for International Development, Commission on International Relations (Vol.1).National Academie
44. Neto, C.P., Freire,S., Pinto, P.C., Santiago, A.S., Silvestre, A.J., and Evtuguin, D.V. (2006). Comparative study of lipophilic extractives of hardwoods and corresponding ECF bleached kraft pulps. *BioResources* 1(1):3-17.
45. Perlack, R. (2005). Biomass as feedstock for a bioenergy and bioproducts industry: the technical feasibility of a billion-ton annual supply. Oak Ridge National Laboratoy
46. Pohjonen, V. and Pukkala, T. (1990). Eucalyptus globules in Ethiopian forestry.
47. *Forest Ecology and Management* 36(1):19-31.
48. Poletto, M., Zattera, A., Forte, M.M., and Santana, R.M. (2012). Thermal decomposition of wood: Influence of wood components and cellulose crystallite size. *Bioresource Technology* 109:148-153.
49. RCore Team (2017). R: A language and environment for statistical computing .R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL <https://ww R project.org/>.
50. Reid, G., Bonnet, S.L., Kemp, G., and vander Westhuizen (2013). Analysis of commercial proanthocyanidins. Part 4: Solid state <sup>13</sup>C NMR as a tool for in Situ analysis of proanthocyanid in tannins, in heartwood and bark of quebracho and acacia, and related species. *Phyto chemistry* 94:243-248.
51. Rencoret, J., A. Gutierrez and Jose, C. (2007). Lipid and lignin composition of woods from different eucalypts species. *Holzforschung* 61(2):165-174.
52. Rosillo-Calle, (2012). Overview of biomass energy. The biomass assessment hand book, Routledge: 23-48.

53. Rowell, R. (2005). Hand book of Wood Chemistry and Wood Composites. CRC Press, Boca Raton.
54. Ruskin, R. (1980). Fire wood crops, shrub and tree species for energy production.
55. Ryu, C., Yang, Y.B., Khor, A., Yates, N.E., Sharifi, N., and Swithen bank (2006). Effect of fuel properties on biomass combustion: Part I. Experiments-fuel type, Equivalence ratio and particle size. Fuel 85(7-8): 1039-1046.
56. Searle, S., and Owen, J. (2005). Variation in basic wood density and percentage heart wood in temperate Australian Acacia species. Australian Forestry 68(2):126-
57. Senelwa, K., and Sims, R.E. (1999). Fuel characteristics of short rotation forest biomass Biomass and Bioenergy 17 (2):127-140.
58. Stackpole, D.J., Vaillancourt, R.E., Alves,, Rodrigues J., and Potts, B.M. (2011).
59. Genetic variation in the chemical components of Eucalyptus globules wood. Genes, Genomes, Genetics 1(2):151-
60. TAPPI (1992). Ash in wood, pulp, paper and paper board: combustion at 575°C.
61. Committee of the Process and Product Quality Division, TAPPI, Atlanta, GA, USA.
62. Teka, M. (2006). Energy policy of Ethiopia. Geothermal Energy Conference, Addis
63. Ababa, Ethiopia
64. Telmo, C., and Lousada J. (2011). The explained variation by lignin and extractive contents on higher heating value of wood. Biomass and Bioenergy 35(5): 1663-1667.
65. Tesfaye, A., Bravo-Oviedo, A., Bravo, F., Kidane, B., Bekele, K., and Sertse D. (2015). Selection of tree species and soil management for simultaneous fuelwood production and soil rehabilitation in the Ethiopian central highlands. Land Degradation & Development 26(7):665-679.
66. Van Soest, P.J., Robertson, B., and Lewis, B.A. (1991). Methods for Dietary Fiber, Neutral Detergent Fiber, and None starch Polysaccharides in Relation to Animal Nutrition. Journal of Dairy Science 74 (10):3583-3597.
67. Werkelin, J., Skrifvars, B.J., & Hupa, M. (2005). Ash-forming elements in four
68. Scandinavian wood species. Part1: Summer harvest. Biomass and Bioenergy,
69. 29(6), 451-466.
70. White, R.H. (2007). Effect of lignin content and extractives on the higher heating value of wood. Wood and fiber science 19(4):446-452.
71. Yang, G. and Jaakkola, P. (2011). Wood chemistry and isolation of extractives from wood. Literature study for BIOTULI project, Saimaa University of Applied Sciences, South Karelia, Finland

## Figures




Figure 1

Location map of the study area






Figure 2

Survival rates of the studied tree species for fuelwood production. This survival data was taken from the time of planting to 51 months of age. A.ab=Acacia abyssinica, A.dee=Acacia decurrens, A.lo=Acacia lophantha, A.me = Acacia melanoxylon, A. po =Acacia polyacantha, E.cam=Eucalyptus camaldulensis, and E. glo =Eucalyptus globulus.




**Figure 3**

The means height (m) with a standard error (a), the DBH (cm) with a standard error (b). Treatments with the same letter are not significantly different at 0.05 significance level.



**Figure 4**

Mean yield of biomass in kilogram (kg) of studied species per individual tree species with a standard error of the mean. Treatments with the same letter are not significantly different at 0.05 significance level.



**Figure 6**

Mean ash content of fuelwood in percent the Error bars indicates standard error of the mean. Treatments with the same letter are not significantly different.