Research Square

# Graph Theoretical analysis and in silico modeling and molecular dynamic studies of fused indolin-2-one derivatives for the treatment of inflammation 

Panneerselvam Theivendren ( $\sim$ tpsphc@gmail.com )<br>Swamy Vivekananda College of Pharmacy https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8197-4688<br>\section*{Selvaraj Kunjiappan}<br>Kalasalingam University: Kalasalingam Academy of Research and Education<br>Parasuraman Pavadai<br>MS Ramaiah College of Pharmacy: MS Ramaiah University of Applied Sciences<br>\section*{Saravanan Govindaraj}<br>MNR College of Pharmacy<br>Murugananthan Gopal<br>Swamy Vivekananda College of Pharmacy<br>Sudhakar Pachiappan<br>Swamy Vivekananda College of Pharmacy<br>Yashoda Mariappa Hegde<br>Swamy Vivekananda College of Pharmacy<br>Nivetha Shanmugam<br>Swamy Vivekananda College of Pharmacy

## Research Article

Keywords: Fused indolin-2-one derivatives, Antiinflammatory activity, Graph network analysis, In silico modelling
Posted Date: April 26th, 2022
DOI: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1539919/v1
License: ©(i) This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. Read Full License


#### Abstract

In the present work, novel 3-(4-(5-(substituted phenyl)-3-phenyl-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrazole-1-carbonyl)phenylimino)-1-(substitutedmethyl)indolin-2-one derivatives were obtained via the reaction of 4-(1-(substituted methyl)-2-oxoindolin-3ylideneamino) benzohydrazide with appropriate aromatic chalcone. The synthesized compounds were investigated for their in silico chemokine/CXCR6 inhibitory activity. The most effective chemokine inhibitors were also evaluated for their in vivo antiinflammatory activity. The 3-(4-(5-(4-Chlorophenyl)-3-phenyl-4,5-dihydro-1 H-pyrazole-1-carbonyl) phenylimino)-1-(morpholino methyl) indolin-2-one (5a) derivative showed significant chemokine inhibition with eleven amino acid interaction as compared with standard Acetaminophen whereas Celecoxib, Diclofenac, Indomethacin, Naproxen, Ibuprofen, Aspirin showed less amino acid interaction. Based on the theoretical study reports the in vivo antiinflammatory activity was performed for the 3-(4-(5-(substituted phenyl)-3-phenyl-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrazole-1carbonyl) phenylimino)-1-(substitutedmethyl)indolin-2-one (5a-5l). The observed results of in vivo study indicate that the compounds $\mathbf{5 a} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{5 c}$ exhibited significant antiinflammatory activity.


## Introduction

Chemokines are a group of cytokines that regulate staffing of leukocyte and a term derived from "chemotactic cytokines," were given to these tiny ( $8-14 \mathrm{kDa}$ ) proteins. These chemokines share conserved sequences and four conserved cysteines joined by disulfide bonds. The arrangement of cysteine residues at the $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ terminus of chemokines is separated into four groups that are CC, CXC, C, and CX3C, where $X$ signifies a separating amino acid. Inflammatory chemokines, that are raised in inflammatory circumstances. Chemokines are created in response to inflammatory cytokines, and they preferentially attract monocytes, lymphocytes, and neutrophils by activating G-protein-coupled receptors, resulting in chemotaxis [1]. Chemokines that bind to promiscuous cCKRs and ACKRs (i.e., CCR1, CCR2, CCR3, CCR5, CXCR1, CXCR2, CXCR3, ACKR1, and ACKR2) are frequently seen in these inflammatory chemokine patterns[2]. Many inflammatory diseases in humans have been linked to complicated chemokine expression patterns. CXCR6 neutralisation protects against a variety of inflammatory challenges, including lung ischemia-reperfusion injury, pleuritis, and glomerulonephritis, which is consistent with CXCR6's close association with neutrophil-mediated inflammatory diseases like bacterial pneumonia and ischemia-reperfusion injury. Chemokines have sparked a lot of interest as potential therapeutic targets because of their critical function in inflammation[3]. Chemokine inhibition is a viable strategy for pharmacological intervention in inflammation. Nonsteroidal antiinflammatory medications (NSAIDs) are a class of medicinal agents that work by blocking the production of chemokines Fig. 1. Long-term use of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory medicines (NSAIDs) can cause serious gastrointestinal adverse effects, limiting their use. The harmful effects of nonselective NSAIDs are caused by the suppression of chemokines, which results in a decrease in the amounts of beneficial prostaglandins in the gastrointestinal (GI) tract. Heterocycle-fused scaffolds, being one of the most favoured structures in drug discovery, demonstrate a wide range of biological activities, allowing them to operate as anti-inflammatory, anti-cancer, antipsychotic, and anti-diabetic drugs[4-9]. Indoline is a common type of heterocycle-fused scaffold that has a wide range of pharmacological applications, including anti-inflammatory properties. Based on the foregoing, the current study aimed to investigate the relationships between chemical structure and antiinflammatory activity of 3-(4-(5(substituted phenyl)-3-phenyl-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrazole-1-carbonyl) phenylimino)-1-(substituted methyl) indolin-2-one (5a-5l) heterocyclic derivatives, as well as their mechanism of action.

## Materials And Methods

## Materials

The chemicals and reagents used were obtained from various chemical units Qualigens, E. Merck India Ltd., CDH, and SD Fine Chem. These solvents used were of LR grade and purified before their use. The silica gel G used for analytical chromatography (TLC) was obtained from E. Merck India Ltd. All the melting points were taken in open glass capillary and are uncorrected. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectra were recorded at 500 MHz on Bruker Avance- 500 NMR spectrometer in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ using tetramethylsilane (TMS) as an internal standard. The chemical shifts are reported in ppm scale. Mass spectra were obtained on a JEOL-SX-102 instrument using electron impact ionization. All the IR spectra were recorded in KBr pellets on a Jasco FT-IR 410 spectrometer. Elemental analyses were performed on a Perkine Elmer model 2400C analyzer and were within $\pm 0.4 \%$ of the theoretical values.

## Methods

## Graph Theoretical Analysis

The network of protein interaction (hsa: 04062) in homo sapiens was chosen to recognize the influential proteins, and the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes database https://www.genome.jp/pathway/hsa04062 was used for graph theoretical analysis[9, 10].

## Druglikeness Analysis

For all of the test compounds 5a-5l, druglikeness analysis was performed, which is a complex balancing of multiple chemical features and structure factors that determines whether a molecule is related to previously recognized drugs. The Molinspiration online tool was utilized to perform druglikeness analysis [11] https://www.molinspiration.com/docu/miscreen/druglikeness.html

## Protein Preparation

The Protein Data Bank of the Research Collaboratory for Structural Bioinformatics (RCSB) (PDB: 6KVA) https://www.rcsb.org/ was used to obtain the X-ray crystal structures of the chemokine receptor complex with CXCR6 for this study. The protein was pre-processed using the CHARMM-GUI programme (https://www.charmm-gui.org/) to add missing residue and remove superfluous ligand and water molecules [12].

## Ligand Preparation

## The synthetic 3-(4-(5-(substituted phenyl)-3-phenyl-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrazole-1-carbonyl) phenylimino)-1-(substitutedmethyl) indolin-2-one (5a-5l) test substance, as well as the standards Acetaminophen, Celecoxib, Diclofenac, Indomethacin, Naproxen, Ibuprofen against CXCR6, sdp files were collected by using public database PubChem ( https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/).

The collected test compound and standards were pre-processed for the preparation of cluster by using BIOVIA Discovery Studio Visualizertool https://discover.3ds.com/discovery-studio-visualizer-download.

## Docking Protocol

To complete intermediate steps such as protein and ligand preparation pdbqt files, the AutoDock vina-PyRx programme was used, and the configuration file contained protein and ligand information as well as grid box settings. The AutoDock vina-PyRx tool was used to give the protein polar hydrogen, solvation parameters, and fragmental volumes. The grid map, which contained a grid box, was created using AutoGrid. The grid centre was given dimensions ( $x, y, z$ ). To reduce time on computation, the ligand structure is used to create a score grid. In AutoDock vina-PyRx/Vina, which
uses iterated local search global optimise, both the protein and the ligands are considered stiff throughout the docking stage. The data were merged and represented by the findings with the lowest free energy of binding, with the exception of 1.0 A in positional root-mean square deviation. The posture with the lowest binding energy or affinity was identified for future research, and the amino acid interaction was visualised using the BIOVIA Discovery Studio Visualizer tool.

## Binding site identification

In order to carry out a chemical process, an enzyme or protein must have a binding site where it may bond to a target molecule. The key strategy that we have to ensure adequate and favourable catalytic regions supports bioactive compounds in creating sufficient contact sites for strong engagement with target enzymes is the binding of ligands or bioactive substances to a protein or enzyme's specific location. We were able to find all of the target compounds' active binding sites using the Prank Web server (https://prankweb.cz/). The AutoDock vina-PyRx tool was used to generate a receptor grid after finding the protein's active site.

## In vivo antiinflammatory activity

The antiinflammatory effect was assessed using the carrageenan-induced mouse paw edema paradigm, which was previously described method [13]. In a batch of 10 mice (body weight 19-21 g each), inflammation was generated by injecting a 1 percent solution of carrageenan lambda (sigma) dissolved in a 0.5 percent saline solution containing 2.5 percent Tween 80 into the right hind paw. After 30 minutes, the compounds were given intraperitoneally to a group of mice at a dose of $250 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}$. The standard antiinflammatory medicine was ASA, which was given at a dose of 250 $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}$. Despite the discovery of numerous new medicines, standard Diclofenac Celecoxib remains the most often given analgesic, antipyretic and antiinflammatory agent in medicine, and it is used to evaluate new pharmaceuticals in pharmacological assays. The title work Graph Theoretical analysis and in silico modeling and molecular dynamic studies of fused indolin-2-one derivatives for the treatment of inflammation work was reviewed by IAEC members and approved SVCP/IAEC/PA/02/02/2022.

## Density functionality theory

The PubChem public database (https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) was used to obtain the synthesized test compound $5 \mathrm{a}, 5 \mathrm{c}$, and standard Celecoxib sdp files, which were then placed into the Spartan14 Graphical User Interface tool for energy minimization. It was also introduced to GaussView 6.0.16 so that Hatree energy estimations for HOMO and LUMO may be obtained. The measured Hatree energy values must be converted into electron volt energy values in order to calculate the HOMO and LUMO energy gaps. The stability of the test compound, standard, and reference compound is represented by the energy gap [14].

## Simulation-based drug metabolism and pharmacokinetic modelling

In silico ADME and physicochemical property predictions were utilised to analyse the overall potency and efficacy of the 5a, 5 c , and standard Celecoxib. The SwissADME online server (http://www.swissadme.ch/) was used to examine molecular weight, molar refractivity, solubility, bioavailability and bioavailability radar map, egg boiled model, brain penetration, and human gastro intestinal absorption. The SwissADME is a free website that assists researchers in predicting the pharmacokinetic and drug-likeness properties of test standards and references [11].

## Synthesis

A mixture of isatin ( $1.47 \mathrm{~g} ; 0.01 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), formaldehyde ( $0.45 \mathrm{~g} ; 0.015 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and morpholine/piperidine ( $0.87 / 0.85 \mathrm{~g} ; 0.01$ mol ) in ethanol ( 25 ml ) was stirred for 2 h in magnetic stirrer. Then the resulting mixture was refluxed on water bath for

4 h . The above mixture was poured on crushed ice and mixed well. The solid $\mathbf{2 a} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{2 b}$ which obtained was filtered, dried, and recrystallised using rectified spirit. Equimolar quantity of 1 -(substitutedmethyl) indoline-2,3-dione $\mathbf{2 a} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{2 b}(2.46 / 2.44$ $\mathrm{g} ; 0.01 \mathrm{~mol})$ and $p$-amino benzoic acid ( $1.37 \mathrm{~g} ; 0.01 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in ethanol ( 30 ml ) was refluxed on sand bath for 5 h . The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, poured in crushed ice and kept aside overnight in refrigerator. The product separated $\mathbf{3 a} / 3 \mathbf{b}$ was filtered, dried and recrystallised using ethanol. To 4-(1-(substitutedmethyl)-2-oxoindolin-3ylideneamino) benzoicacid 3a/3b (3.65/3.63 g; 0.01 mol ), thionyl chloride ( $1.78 \mathrm{~g} ; 0.015 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added slowly with stirring and refluxed gently for 2 h . The excess of thionyl chloride was distilled off, and the reaction mixture was cooled in ice bath. The product thus formed was immediately used for next step. To the above product, ethanol ( 50 ml ) was added. To this solution $95 \%$ hydrazine hydrate ( $1 \mathrm{~g} ; 0.02 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was slowly added with stirring. Then the mixture was refluxed for 10 h followed by removal of excess solvent under reduced pressure, and resultant solution was poured in ice cold water. The product separated $\mathbf{4 a} / \mathbf{4 b}$ was filtered, and recrystallised from ethanol. Equimolar quantity of various aromatic/heterocyclic aldehydes ( 0.01 mol ) and acetophenone ( $1.20 \mathrm{~g} ; 0.01 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was dissolved in minimum quantity of ethanol. To this mixture, a catalytic quantity of sodium hydroxide pellet was added. Then the reaction mixture was stirred for 2-8 h and poured on ice cold water. The product separated (chalcone) was filtered, dried and utilized for further step. Various aromatic chalcone ( 0.01 mol ) was added to the 4-(1-(substitutedmethyl)-2-oxoindolin3 -ylideneamino) benzohydrazide $\mathbf{4 a} / 4 \mathrm{~b}(3.79 / 3.77 \mathrm{~g} ; 0.01 \mathrm{~mol})$ in round bottom flask containing $N, N$-dimethyl formamide ( 50 ml ). The above mixture was refluxed in oil bath for a period of 12 h . Then the reaction mixture was cooled, poured in to a beaker containing ice cold water, and kept aside for 24 h . The obtained product $5 \mathrm{a}-5 \mathrm{l}$ was separated by filtration, dried over the filter paper, and recrystallised using ethanol.

## Results And Discussion

## Graph theoretical analysis

Proteins (nodes) and interactions (edges) were re-created as a graph, which was depicted in Figure 2 and Table 1. The network has 59 nodes and 82 edges, and the characteristics were used to determine the relevance of proteins. CXCR6/Chemokine, FGR, PIK3CA, PTK2, GNB5, CDC42, AKT3, HRAS, RAC1, PIK3R6, PLCB1, GNAI1, VAV3, ITK, PRKCB, PRKCZ, RASGRP2, PTK2B, and PREX1 are high significant proteins based on the average measure of each parameter. CXCR6/Chemokine had a Centrality score of 2262 for Stress, a score of 9 for Radiality, a score of 0.439326 for Eigenvector, a score of 0.125 for Eccentricity, a score of 0.007299 for Closeness, a score of 944.0443 for Betweenness, and a score of 12 for Degree from the network among the best 19 nodes. Based on significant metrics with its threshold values, the target CXCR6/Chemokine was identified as a therapeutic target for the treatment of inflammation. Because of its interaction with 19 main proteins that are involved in inflammation, CXCR6/Chemokine has gotten increasing attention. CXCR6/Chemokine was picked as a noteworthy target based on the graph theoretical analysis report and importance.

## Druglikeness analysis

The activity scores for the drug relative to "average drug-like molecules" are displayed in the Figure 3. The greater the value of the score, the more likely it is that the particular molecule will be active. The analysis report was conforming that the chosen $\mathbf{5 a - 5 l}$ test compounds were having kinase protein inhibitory activity.

## Insilico Modelling

Molecular docking studies of was carried out with the synthesized 3-(4-(5-(substituted phenyl)-3-phenyl-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrazole-1-carbonyl) phenyl imino)-1-(substitutedmethyl)indolin-2-one (5a-5l) test substance, as well as the standards Acetaminophen, Celecoxib, Diclofenac, Indomethacin, Naproxen, Ibuprofen against CXCR6 (PDB: 6KVA). Among tested
ligands $\mathbf{5 a}, \mathbf{5 c}$, and standardCelecoxib showed high amino acid interaction and significant binding affinity towards CXCR6 protein with the biding energy of $-11.4,-11.0$ and $-8.8 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ respectively. The amino acids interaction with the 5 a was ARG39[2.64 Å], ARG86[3.06 Å, 4.71Å], LEU87[3.14 Å], ARG88[3.96 Å], ASP90[4.05 Å], GLNP111[3.13 $\AA$ Å, 11.72Å], VAL154[2.63 Å],THR155[3.68 Å],VAL156[2.10 Å]. The amino acids interaction with the $\mathbf{5 b}$ was GLN1[3.12 Å], PR046[3.15 Å], PHE106 [16.51 Å,3.15Å], GLY108[3.52 Å], GLN179[4.12 Å], SER180[3.78 Å], SER185[3.19 Å]. The amino acids interaction with the $5 \mathbf{c}$ was GLN1[ $2.00 \AA$, $3.31 \AA, 3.31 \AA, 4.53 \AA, 15.37 \AA \AA]$, PRO42[ $3.94 \AA ̊], G L U 47[3.55 \AA \AA]$, LYS64[3.59 Å], TRP104[3.32 Å], VAL105[3.14 Å],PHE106[2.16 Å]. The amino acids interaction with the 5 d was GLN6[3.59 Å], ARG39[5.17 Å], ALA41[2.87 Å], GLY45[ $2.24 \AA 2.97 \AA \AA], G L U 47[3.19 \AA, 3.84 \AA ̊], G L Y 108[3.33 \AA ̊]$. The amino acids interaction with the $\mathbf{5 e}$ was GLN44[2.39 Å, $2.39 \AA$ A , $3.51 \AA \AA]$, ASP90[4.75 Å], VAL154[3.88 Å], VAL169[3.84 Å], THR171[11.12 Å]. The amino acids interaction with the $\mathbf{5 f}$ was ARG88[5.12 Å], PRO89[5.00 Å], ASP90[4.26 Å], GLY152[2.87 Å], ALA153[3.51 Å], THR171[ $3.80 \AA$ Å]. The amino acids interaction with the $\mathbf{5 g}$ was GLN1 [ $2.05 \AA 8,3.69 \AA ̊]$, GLU47 [ 3.72 Å], LYS64 [ $4.59 \AA$ Å, 5.06 Å], TRP104[ $3.64 \AA ̊]$, THR173[ $3.50 \AA \AA$ Å, ALA176[3.04 Å]. The amino acids interaction with the 5 h was GLN1[ $3.25 \AA \AA$ A ], ARG39[2.78 Å], GLN44[2.29 Å], GLY45[2.71 Å], GLU47[3.64 Å], ASP90[3.31 Å], GLP168[3.37 Å], SER180[2.67 Å]. The amino acids interaction with the $5 \mathbf{i}$ was GLP40[2.49 Å, $3.93 \AA ̊], G L Y 43[4.18 \AA$, $3.71 \AA ̊], G L N 44[2.45 \AA ̊]$, ASP93[ $3.65 \AA \AA$ ], TYR96[1.88 Å]. The amino acids interaction with the $5 j$ was TRP158[1.26 A], VAL165[1.93 Å], LYS166[3.67 Å], TYR184[5.48 Å]. The amino acids interaction with the $\mathbf{5 k}$ was GLN44 [2.36 Å, $3.24 \AA ̊]$, GLU47[3.49 Å], ASP90[4.70 Å], VAL154[3.85 Å, $3.00 \AA ̊]$, VAL169[ 3.80 Å], THR171[11.18 Å]. The amino acid interaction
 LYS166[2.29 Å]. The amino acids interaction with the Celecoxib was GLN1[4.16 Å], LEU4[3.03 Å], GLU47[4.26 Å, 4.26 $\AA ̊]$, TRP48[2.25 Å], TRP104[1.95 Å], PHE106[3.04 Å, $3.80 \AA \AA, 2.99 \AA ̊], ~ G L Y 108[2.89 ~ A ̊], ~ S E R 180[2.97 ~ A ̊] . ~ T h e ~ a m i n o ~ a c i d s ~$ interaction with the Aspirin was ARG34[4.83 $\AA, 2.97 \AA$ A ], TRP99[ $3.06 \AA \AA$ ]. The amino acids interaction with the Acetaminophen was HSD100[2.21 Å], VAL119[2.88 Å], SER121[1.96 Å]. The amino acids interaction with the Diclofenac was ALA2[3.28 Å], PRO46[4.07 Å], TRP48[2.11 Å], GLN63[2.46 Å], TRP104[3.36 Å, $4.03 \AA ̊], V A L 105[3.90 \AA ̊$, $3.99 \AA ̊]$. The amino acids interaction with the Ibuprofen was GLN1[2.39 Å], ARG25[15.81 Å], SER121[2.16 Å], ALA122[2.14 Å]. The amino acids interaction with the Indomethacin was GLN1[2.67 Å], PRO46[2.96 Å], GLU47[3.40 Å, $4.00 \AA ̊]$, PHE106[3.27 Å], GLY107[2.21 Å]. The amino acids interaction with the Naproxen was ARG25[15.76 Å], HSD100[3.29 Å], SER120[2.70 Å], SER121[2.27 Å], ALA122[2.25 Å]. The binding interactions, 2D and 3D model of 5a, 5c, and Celecoxib were shown in the Table 2 and Figure 4-6.

## In vivo antiinflammatory activity

Antiinflammatory tests have been performed for compounds $5 \mathrm{a}-5 \mathrm{l}$ and standard Diclofenac Celecoxib by using groups of Swiss albino mice at the dose of $250 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}$. All of the tested compounds were exhibited antiinflammatory activity compared with the standards. Both of these standards were commercially available and also it was used for relating purposes with dose level of $250 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}$. Compound 5 a showed the highest activity reducing the paw edema by $74.2 \%$. Pyrimidines 5 c and 5 l presented $48.1 \%$ and $40.4 \%$ of inflammation reductions, respectively (Table 3, 4 and Figure 7).

## Density functionality theory

The stability and bioactive nature of $5 \mathrm{a}, 5 \mathrm{c}$, and Celecoxib was measured by using energy values of HOMO and LUMO. From the energy values of HOMO and LUMO, the energy gap was calculated to measure the stability and bioactivity of test compound, and standard. The test compound 5 ( HOMO energy value was 0.28634, LUMO energy value was 0.21216 and HOMO and LUMO energy gap was 2.018541652. Likewise the 5 c HOMO and LUMO energy gap was 1.98776355 and Celecoxib HOMO and LUMO energy gap was 3.57253219 . Based on the obtained data was suggesting that the test compounds was having significant stability as par with the standard. The energy values of HOMO and LUMO of test compound, and standard were shown in the Table 5 and Figure 8-10

## Pharmacokinetic and physicochemical properties prediction analysis

The ADME and physicochemical properties of selected $5 \mathrm{a}, 5 \mathrm{c}$, and Celecoxib were assessed through SwissADME (http://www.swissadme.ch/) webserver and these are presented in Table 6. From the assessed data in Table 6, the 5a, 5 c , and Celecoxib was found not to violate Lipinski's rule of five. The polar surface area of test compound $\mathbf{5 a}$ was $64.68 \AA^{2}, 5$ c was $90.70 \AA^{2}$ and Celecoxib $88.99 \AA^{2}$. The findings also showed that the $5 \mathrm{a}, 5 \mathrm{c}$, and Celecoxib had high human gastro intestinal (GI) absorption, In general, increased GI absorption leads to increased chemical bioavailability. As a result, oral administration of the test substance $\mathbf{5 a}, \mathbf{5 c}$ may result in improved absorption from the gastrointestinal system.

The higher numbers of H-bonds are possibly measured to be involved during protein ligand binding. From the result, the bioavailability score of three compounds showed better results +0.55 for $5 \mathrm{a}, 5 \mathrm{c}$, and Celecoxib. Thus relating with molecular properties $5 \mathrm{a}, 5 \mathrm{c}$, and Celecoxib was predicted to have better chances as a possible drug-relevant candidate with antiinflammatory potential.

The test compounds and standard are soluble in nature, the synthetic accessibility score was found to be $>6$ for $\mathbf{5 a}$ with 3.66 , 5 a with 3.57 , Celecoxib with 3.70 , which indicated that the all the compounds are very feasible to synthesize.

The graphical representations of lipinski rule of selected compounds are presented in the Figure 11. The pink area within the hexagon represents the optimal range of the compounds. The recommended range for drug-like compound was in saturation (INSATU): fraction of carbons in the $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridization not less than 0.25 , insolubility (INSOLU): $\log \mathrm{S}$ not higher than 6, hydrophobicity (LIPO): between -0.7 and +5.0 , rotatable bonds (FLEXI): no more than 9 rotatable bonds, molecular weight (SIZE): between 150 and $500 \mathrm{~g} . \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$, polar surface area (POLAR): The red slanted hexagon inside the pink tint represents the reference compounds, which have drug-like characteristics. Based on the observation $5 \mathrm{a}, 5 \mathrm{c}$, and Celecoxib had drug-like qualities.

Furthermore, the pharmacokinetic parameters of the chosen chemical, and standard, were studied using the egg-boiled model represented in Figure 12. Predicting passive gastrointestinal absorption and BBB penetration using the eggboiled model is useful because it takes into account two important pharmacokinetic features at once. Egg-shaped plot demonstrates that chemical in yolk (i.e. yellow area) represents very possible BBB permeability and albumin (i.e. white region) represents highly probable human intestine absorption, as shown by the egg-shaped plot of organisational structure. From the Figure 12, the 5a, 5c, and Celecoxib found in albumin (white region) elucidated the good absorption in gastrointestinal region. From the above observed results, it can be interpreted that the $\mathbf{5 a}$ and $\mathbf{5 c}$ compounds have sufficient potential to be drug.

## Synthesis of 1-(substitutedmethyl)indoline-2,3-dione (2a-2b)

A mixture of isatin ( $1.47 \mathrm{~g} ; 0.01 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), formaldehyde ( $0.45 \mathrm{~g} ; 0.015 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and morpholine/piperidine ( $0.87 / 0.85 \mathrm{~g} ; 0.01$ mol ) in ethanol ( 25 ml ) was stirred for 2 h in magnetic stirrer. Then the resulting mixture was refluxed on water bath for 4 h . The above mixture was poured on crushed ice and mixed well. The solid $\mathbf{2 a} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{2 b}$ which obtained was filtered, dried, and recrystallised using rectified spirit.

## 1-(Morpholinomethyl)indoline-2,3-dione (2a)

Yield: $77 \%$ ( 1.89 g ), m.p.: $243-245{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ Value: 0.49 [Toluene: n -Propanol ( $40: 60$ )]. IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3094 (Ar-CH), 2937 $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}\right), 1692(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1613(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}), 1040(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}^{\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm}: 2.13-4.35\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \text { of }\right.}$
morpholine), 4.96 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ linkage), $7.28-7.90(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(\mathrm{\delta}: \mathrm{ppm}): 51.7$ (C-2' \& C-6'), 63.2 (C-3' \& C-5'), 74.5 ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ linkage), 119.1 (C-8), 124.0 (C-7), 125.8 (C-5), 130.3 (C-4), 136.9 (C-6), 151.6 (C-9), 158.2 (C-2), 188.4 (C-3). ElMS m/z. $246\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. Cald for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : C, 63.40; H, 5.73; N, 11.38. Found: C, 63.62; H, 5.71; N, 11.35.

## 1-(Piperidin-1-ylmethyl)indoline-2,3-dione (2b)

Yield: 73 \% ( 1.78 g ), m.p.: 219-221 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ Value: 0.62 [Toluene: n-Propanol ( $40: 60$ )]. IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}^{2} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ): 3069 (Ar-CH), 2922 $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}\right), 1687(\mathrm{C}=0), 1591(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm}: 1.87-2.99\left(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ of piperidine), 4.53 (s, 2H, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ linkage), 7.02-7.97 (m, 4H, Ar-H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( $\delta: \mathrm{ppm}$ ): 24.7 ( $\left.\mathrm{C}-3^{\prime} \& ~ C-5^{\prime}\right), 25.1$ ( $\left.\mathrm{C}-4^{\prime}\right), 52.3$ (C-2' \& C-6'), 72.8 ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ linkage), 118.0 (C-8), 123.3 (C-7), 125.2 (C-5), 128.6 (C-4), 133.5 (C-6), 148.9 (C-9), 159.3 (C-2), 185.0 (C-3). El-MS m/z. $244\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. Cald for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 68.83; $\mathrm{H}, 6.60 ; \mathrm{N}, 11.47$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 69.06 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.61 ; \mathrm{N}, 11.43$.

## Synthesis of 4-(1-(substituted methyl)-2-oxoindolin-3-ylideneamino) benzoic acid (3a-3b)

Equimolar quantity of 1-(substitutedmethyl)indoline-2,3-dione $\mathbf{2 a} \mathbf{a} / \mathbf{2 b}(2.46 / 2.44 \mathrm{~g} ; 0.01 \mathrm{~mol})$ and $p$-amino benzoic acid ( $1.37 \mathrm{~g} ; 0.01 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in ethanol ( 30 ml ) was refluxed on sand bath for 5 h . The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, poured in crushed ice and kept aside overnight in refrigerator. The product separated $\mathbf{3 a} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{3} \mathbf{b}$ was filtered, dried and recrystallised using ethanol.

## 4-(1-(Morpholinomethyl)-2-oxoindolin-3-ylideneamino)benzoic acid (3a)

Yield: $70 \%(2.56 \mathrm{~g})$, m.p.: $142-144^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ Value: 0.35 [Toluene: n-Propanol ( $40: 60$ )]. IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}^{2} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ): $3405(\mathrm{OH}), 3071$ (Ar$\mathrm{CH}), 2946\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}\right), 1729(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1668(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}), 1594(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}), 1072(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm}: 2.01-4.14$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ of morpholine), $4.48\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ linkage), $6.95-8.22(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 10.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(\mathrm{\delta}: \mathrm{ppm}): 50.8$ (C-2' \& C-6'), 65.1 (C-3' \& C-5'), 72.0 ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ linkage), 119.4 (C-8), 122.5 (C-7), 123.0 (C-2" \& C-6"), 124.7 (C-5), 127.6 (C-4"), 129.1 (C-4), 132.3 (C-6), 132.9 (C-3" \& C-5"), 150.2 (C-9), 157.5 (C-1"), 162.9 (C-3), 163.4 (C-2), 168.6 (COOH). El-MS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$. $365\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. Cald for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 65.74; H, 5.24; $\mathrm{N}, 11.50$. Found: C, 65.51; H, 5.26; $\mathrm{N}, 11.53$.

## 4-(2-0xo-1-(piperidin-1-ylmethyl)indolin-3-ylideneamino)benzoicacid (3b)

Yield: $78 \%(2.83 \mathrm{~g})$, m.p.: $130-132{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ Value: 0.48 [Toluene: n -Propanol ( $40: 60$ )]. IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}^{2} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ): $3422(\mathrm{OH}), 3058$ (Ar$\mathrm{CH}), 2910\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}\right), 1719(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1681(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}), 1596(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm}: 1.95-3.27\left(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ of piperidine), 4.32 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ linkage), 7.11-8.38 ( $\mathrm{m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), $9.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( $\delta: \mathrm{ppm}$ ): 26.0 (C-3' \& C-5'), 26.4 (C-4'), 52.7 (C-2' \& C-6'), 69.8 ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ linkage), 115.2 (C-8), 121.6 (C-7), 122.9 (C-2" \& C-6"), 123.4 (C-5), 130.1 (C-4"), 130.8 (C-4), 132.6 (C-6), 133.0 (C-3" \& C-5"), 149.5 (C-9), 156.2 (C-1"), 164.6 (C-3), 164.9 (C-2), 170.3 (COOH). El-MS m/z. 363 $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. Cald for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : C, 69.41; $\mathrm{H}, 5.82 ; \mathrm{N}, 11.56$. Found: C, $69.62 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.81 ; \mathrm{N}, 11.54$.

## Synthesis of 4-(1-(substitutedmethyl)-2-oxoindolin-3-ylideneamino) benzohydrazide (4a-4b)

To 4-(1-(substitutedmethyl)-2-oxoindolin-3-ylideneamino)benzoicacid 3a/3b (3.65/3.63 g; 0.01 mol ), thionyl chloride ( $1.78 \mathrm{~g} ; 0.015 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added slowly with stirring and refluxed gently for 2 h . The excess of thionyl chloride was distilled off, and the reaction mixture was cooled in ice bath. The product thus formed was immediately used for next step. To the above product, ethanol ( 50 ml ) was added. To this solution $95 \%$ hydrazine hydrate ( $1 \mathrm{~g} ; 0.02 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was slowly added with stirring. Then the mixture was refluxed for 10 h followed by removal of excess solvent under reduced pressure, and resultant solution was poured in ice cold water. The product separated $\mathbf{4 a} / \mathbf{4 b}$ was filtered, and recrystallised from ethanol.

## 4-(1-(morpholinomethyl)-2-oxoindolin-3-ylideneamino)benzohydrazide (4a)

Yield: 72 \% ( 2.73 g), m.p.: $166-168^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ Value: 0.76 [Hexane: Chloroform: n-Butanol (20:30:50)]. IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}^{2} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ): 3347 \& 3283 (NH), 3075 ( $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}$ ), $2920\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}\right), 1681$ (C=O), 1657 (C=N), 1589 (C=C), 1056 (C-O-C). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (CDCl 300 $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta \mathrm{ppm}$ : 2.70-4.29 ( $\mathrm{m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ of morpholine), $4.55\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ linkage), $5.23\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 6.86-8.12(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H})$, 8.61 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ of hydrazide). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(\mathrm{\delta}: \mathrm{ppm}): 51.5$ (C-2' \& C-6'), 67.9 (C-3' \& C-5'), 71.3 ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ linkage), 116.1 (C-8), 120.6 (C-7), 123.2 (C-2" \& C-6"), 124.4 (C-5), 127.5 (C-3" \& C-5"), 128.0 (C-4), 130.8 (C-6), 134.8 (C-4"), 145.6 (C-9), 155.3 (C-1"), $161.8(\mathrm{C}-3), 162.1(\mathrm{C}-2), 163.5\left(\mathrm{CONHNH}_{2}\right)$. El-MS $m / z .379\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. Cald for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}: \mathrm{C}, 63.31 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.58 ; \mathrm{N}$, 18.46. Found: C, 63.50; H, 5.57; N, 18.48.

## 4-(2-0xo-1-(piperidin-1-ylmethyl)indolin-3-ylideneamino)benzo hydrazide (4b)

Yield: $75 \%(2.83 \mathrm{~g})$, m.p.: $181-183^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ Value: 0.60 [Hexane: Chloroform: n-Butanol (40: 20: 40)]. $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3362$ \& 3319 (NH), 3056 (Ar-CH), 2928 ( $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}\right), 1674$ (C=O), 1641 (C=N), $1604(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ ppm: 1.73-2.96 (m, 10H, CH 2 of piperidine), $4.62\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ linkage), $5.08\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.25-8.31(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 8.94(\mathrm{~s}$, 1H, NH of hydrazide). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( $(\mathrm{B}: ~ p p m): 25.4$ (C-3' \& C-5'), 25.9 (C-4'), 52.1 ( $\mathrm{C}-2^{\prime} \& \mathrm{C}-6^{\prime}$ ), 72.5 ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ linkage), 117.6 (C-8), 121.3 (C-7), 123.8 (C-2" \& C-6"), 125.2 (C-5), 128.2 (C-3" \& C-5"), 130.7 (C-4), 132.4 (C-6), 133.5 (C-4"), 146.8 (C-9), 154.0 (C-1"), $164.2(\mathrm{C}-3), 164.8(\mathrm{C}-2), 165.3\left(\mathrm{CONHNH}_{2}\right)$. El-MS $m / z .377\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. Cald for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 66.83 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.14 ; \mathrm{N}$, 18.55. Found: C, 66.64; H, 6.16; N, 18.52.

## Synthesis of 3-(4-(5-(substituted phenyl)-3-phenyl-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrazole-1-carbonyl) phenylimino)-1-(substitutedmethyl)indolin-2-one (5a-5l)

Equimolar quantity of various aromatic/heterocyclic aldehydes ( 0.01 mol ) and acetophenone ( $1.20 \mathrm{~g} ; 0.01 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was dissolved in minimum quantity of ethanol. To this mixture, a catalytic quantity of sodium hydroxide pellet was added. Then the reaction mixture was stirred for 2-8 h and poured on ice cold water. The product separated (chalcone) was filtered, dried and utilized for further step. Various aromatic chalcone ( 0.01 mol ) was added to the 4-(1-(substitutedmethyl)-2-oxoindolin-3-ylideneamino)benzohydrazide 4a/4b ( $3.79 / 3.77 \mathrm{~g} ; 0.01 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in round bottom flask containing $N, N$-dimethyl formamide ( 50 ml ). The above mixture was refluxed in oil bath for a period of 12 h . Then the reaction mixture was cooled, poured in to a beaker containing ice cold water, and kept aside for 24 h . The obtained product 5 a-5l was separated by filtration, dried over the filter paper, and recrystallised using ethanol.

## 3-(4-(5-(4-Chlorophenyl)-3-phenyl-4,5-dihydro-1 H-pyrazole-1-carbonyl) phenylimino)-1-(morpholinomethyl)indolin-2-one

 (5a)Yield: $71 \%(4.28 \mathrm{~g})$, m.p.: $265-267^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ Value: 0.51 [Hexane: Chloroform: n -Butanol (20:30:50)]. IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3024 (Ar-CH), 2971 ( $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}\right), 1740(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1677$ (C=N), 1591 (C=C), 1029 (C-O-C), 756(C-Cl). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta$ ppm: $1.84\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ of pyrazole), 2.31-2.87 ( $\mathrm{m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ of morpholine), $4.26\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ linkage), $5.14(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ of pyrazole), 6.93-8.25 (m, 17H, Ar-H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(\mathrm{\delta}: \mathrm{ppm}): 38.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ of pyrazole), 52.6 (C-2' \& C-6'), 59.5 (C-5 of pyrazole), 65.8 (C-3' \& C-5'), 70.6 ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ linkage), 115.2 (C-8), 122.3 (C-7), 123.0 (C-2" \& C-6"), 125.9 (C-5), 130.7 (C-2"' \& C-6"'), 130.9 (C-3"' \& C-5"'), 131.0 (C-3" \& C-5"), 131.3 (C-3"" \& C-5""), 131.9 (C-2"" \& C-6""), 132.1 (C-4), 132.5 (C-4""), 133.0 (C-6), 133.2 (C-4"), 134.5 (C-4"), 135.7 (C-1""), 140.2 (C-1"), 146.4 (C-9), 150.4 (C-3 of pyrazole), 154.6 (C-1"), 166.2 (C-3), 166.1 (C-2), $170.8(C O)$. El-MS $m / z .603\left(M^{+}\right), 605\left(M^{+2}\right)$. Anal. Cald for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{ClN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}: \mathrm{C}, 69.59 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.01 ; \mathrm{N}, 11.59$. Found: C, 70.25; H, 4.99; N, 11.56.

## 1-(Morpholinomethyl)-3-(4-(3-phenyl-5-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-4,5-dihydro-1 H-pyrazole-1-carbonyl)phenylimino)indolin-2-one (5b)

Yield: 79 \% ( 5.03 g ), m.p.: $209-212{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ Value: 0.84 [Hexane: Chloroform: n -Butanol (20:30:50)]. $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}^{\mathrm{Cm}} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : 3072 (Ar-CH), 2939 ( $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}\right), 1681$ (C=O), 1654 (C=N), 1596 (C=C), 1135 (C-O-C), 1127(C-F). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta$ ppm: 1.87 ( $\mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ of pyrazole), 2.52-3.04 ( $\mathrm{m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ of morpholine), 4.11 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ linkage), $5.08(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ of pyrazole), 7.13-8.22 (m, 17H, Ar-H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(\mathrm{\delta}: \mathrm{ppm}): 40.1$ ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ of pyrazole), 50.6 (C-2' \& C-6'), 60.2 (C-5 of pyrazole), 64.5 (C-3' \& C-5'), 71.0 ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ linkage), 116.2 (C-8), 120.8 (C-7), 121.0 ( $\left.\mathrm{C}-2^{\prime \prime} \& ~ C-6 "\right), 125.3\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right), 125.8(\mathrm{C}-5), 126.0$ (C-3"' \& C-5"'), 127.4 (C-2"' \& C-6""), 129.3 (C-3" \& C-5"), 129.6 (C-3"" \& C-5""), 129.8 (C-2"" \& C-6""), 130.1 (C-4), 130.4 (C-4""), 131.2 (C-6), 129.5 (C-4"), 133.9 (C-4"), 135.3 (C-1""), 145.1 (C-1""), 148.7 (C-9), 153.1 (C-3 of pyrazole), 156.8 (C-1"), 165.0 (C-3), 165.4 (C-2), 169.5 (CO). El-MS m/z: $637\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. Cald for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : C, 67.81; H, 4.74; N, 10.98. Found: C, 67.63; H, 4.73; N, 11.02.

## 1-(Morpholinomethyl)-3-(4-(5-(4-nitrophenyl)-3-phenyl-4,5-dihydro-1 H-pyrazole-1-carbonyl)phenylimino)indolin-2-one

 (5c)Yield: $76 \%(4.67 \mathrm{~g})$, m.p.: $234-236{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ Value: 0.47 [Hexane: Chloroform: n -Butanol (20:30:50)]. $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : 3056 (Ar-CH), $2943\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}\right), 1687(\mathrm{C}=0), 1642(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}), 1588(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}), 1550$ \& $1321\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right), 1119(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (CDCl ${ }_{3}$, 500 MHz ) $\delta$ ppm: 2.10 ( $\mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ of pyrazole), 2.44-2.91 ( $\mathrm{m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ of morpholine), $4.23\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ linkage), $5.25(\mathrm{t}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ of pyrazole), $7.09-8.07(\mathrm{~m}, 17 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(\mathrm{\delta}: \mathrm{ppm}): 37.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ of pyrazole), 50.2 (C-2' \& C-6'), 57.7 (C-5 of pyrazole), 67.6 ( $\left.\mathrm{C}-3^{\prime} \& \mathrm{C}-5^{\prime}\right), 69.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ linkage), 118.5 (C-8), 120.1 (C-3"" \& C-5"), 121.9 (C-7), 123.6 (C-2" \& C-6"), 125.4 (C-5), 127.0 (C-2"" \& C-6""), 129.4 (C-3" \& C-5"), 129.7 (C-3"" \& C-5""), 130.4 (C-2"" \& C-6""), 131.0 (C-4), 132.9 (C-4""), 133.5 (C-6), 133.6 (C-4"), 135.2 (C-1""), 146.8 (C-4"), 149.3 (C-9), 151.8 (C-1"'), 152.3 (C-3 of pyrazole), 159.4 (C-1"), 164.5 (C-3), 165.6 (C-2), 168.0 (CO). El-MS m/z. $614\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. Cald for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{C}, 68.39 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.92 ; \mathrm{N}, 13.67$. Found: C, 68.53; H, 4.93; N, 13.64.

## 1-(Morpholinomethyl)-3-(4-(3-phenyl-5-p-tolyl-4,5-dihydro-1 H-pyrazole-1-carbonyl) phenylimino)indolin-2-one (5d)

Yield: $73 \%(4.26 \mathrm{~g})$, m.p.: $250-252{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ Value: 0.55 [Hexane: Chloroform: $n$-Butanol (20: 30: 50 )]. $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : 3068 (Ar-CH), 2951 ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}$ ), 1665 (C=O), 1647 (C=N), 1594 (C=C), 1120 (C-O-C). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta$ ppm: 1.83 ( $\mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ of pyrazole), $2.12\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.58-3.16\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ of morpholine), $4.30\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ linkage), $5.09\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\right.$ of pyrazole), 6.84-7.91 (m, 17H, Ar-H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(\mathrm{\delta}: \mathrm{ppm}): 26.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 39.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ of pyrazole), $49.7\left(\mathrm{C}-2^{\prime} \&\right.$ C-6'), 56.1 ( $\mathrm{C}-5$ of pyrazole), 65.0 ( $\mathrm{C}-3^{\prime} \& \mathrm{C}-5^{\prime}$ ), 73.9 ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ linkage), 114.5 (C-8), 118.2 (C-7), 120.8 (C-2" \& C-6"), 123.6 (C5), 126.3 (C-2"" \& C-6"'), 128.1 (C-3"' \& C-5"'), 127.5 (C-3" \& C-5"), 127.7 (C-3"" \& C-5""), 129.0 (C-2"" \& C-6""), 129.2 (C-4), 130.3 (C-4""), 132.6 (C-6), 135.9 (C-4"), 133.4 (C-4"), 133.8 (C-1""), 142.7 (C-1"), 147.5 (C-9), 150.6 (C-3 of pyrazole), 158.1 (C-1"), 162.9 (C-3), 163.8 (C-2), 167.3 (CO). El-MS m/z. $583\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. Cald for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}: \mathrm{C}, 74.08 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.70 ; \mathrm{N}$, 12.00. Found: C, 74.26 ; $\mathrm{H}, 5.68$; $\mathrm{N}, 11.97$.

## 3-(4-(5-(4-Aminophenyl)-3-phenyl-4,5-dihydro-1 H-pyrazole-1-carbonyl)phenylimino)-1-(morpholinomethyl)indolin-2-one (5e)

Yield: $78 \%(4.56 \mathrm{~g})$, m.p.: $197-199^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ Value: 0.42 [Hexane: Chloroform: n -Butanol (20: 30: 50)]. IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3301 $(\mathrm{NH}), 3023(\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}), 2946\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}\right), 1740(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1668(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}), 1631(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}), 1110(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (CDCl $\left.{ }_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ ppm: 1.91 (d, 2H, CH2 of pyrazole), 2.70-3.23 ( $\mathrm{m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ of morpholine), $4.02\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 4.63\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ linkage),
5.49 ( $\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ of pyrazole), 7.21-8.68 (m, 17H, Ar-H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(\mathrm{\delta}: \mathrm{ppm}): 39.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ of pyrazole), 51.3 (C-2' \& C-6'), 60.8 (C-5 of pyrazole), 66.1 (C-3' \& C-5'), 72.4 ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ linkage), 114.3 (C-3"' \& C-5"'), 117.8 (C-8), 119.5 (C-7), 120.4 (C-2" \& C-6"), 123.0 (C-5), 126.7 (C-2"' \& C-6"'), 129.5 (C-3" \& C-5"), 130.2 (C-3"" \& C-5""), 130.6 (C-2"" \& C-6""), 130.9 (C-4), 132.4 (C$4^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}$ ), 132.8 (C-6), 133.1 (C-4"), 134.0 (C-1"'), 135.6 (C-1""), 144.3 (C-4"'), 148.9 (C-9), 151.9 (C-3 of pyrazole), 156.1 (C-1"), 161.3 (C-3), 161.4 (C-2), 166.2 (CO). El-MS $m / z .584\left(M^{+}\right)$. Anal. Cald for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : C, 71.90; H, 5.52; N, 14.37. Found: C, 71.68; H, 5.54; N, 14.41.

## 3-(4-(5-(4-Hydroxyphenyl)-3-phenyl-4,5-dihydro-1 Hpyrazole-1-carbonyl)phenylimino)-1-(morpholinomethyl)indolin-2-one (5f)

Yield: $80 \%(4.68 \mathrm{~g})$, m.p.: $228-230^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ Value: 0.69 [Hexane: Chloroform: n-Butanol (20:30:50)]. IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3557 (OH), 3040 ( $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}$ ), $2934\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}\right), 1679$ (C=O), 1665 (C=N), 1599 ( $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ ), 1136 ( $\mathrm{C}=0$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta$ ppm: 1.86 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ of pyrazole), 2.49-3.03 ( $\mathrm{m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ of morpholine), $4.25\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ linkage), $5.24(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ of pyrazole), $5.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 7.01-8.37(\mathrm{~m}, 17 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(\mathrm{\delta}: \mathrm{ppm}): 37.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ of pyrazole), 54.9 ( $\left.\mathrm{C}-2^{\prime} \& \mathrm{C}-6^{\prime}\right), 57.0$ (C-5 of pyrazole), 68.3 (C-3' \& C-5'), 70.5 ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ linkage), 112.4 (C-3"' \& C-5"'), 118.7 (C-8), 122.3 (C-7), 123.2 (C-2" \& C-6"), 126.1 (C-5), 130.6 (C-2"" \& C-6"'), 131.2 (C-3" \& C-5"), 132.5 (C-3"" \& C-5""), 132.8 (C-2"" \& C-6""), 133.0 (C-4), 133.7 (C$4^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}$ ), 134.3 (C-6), 134.6 (C-4"), 135.4 (C-1""), 137.1 (C-1"'), 149.0 (C-9), 152.5 (C-3 of pyrazole), 154.8 (C-4"), 155.9 (C-1"), 163.1 (C-3), 164.0 (C-2), 168.6 (CO). El-MS $m / z .585\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. Cald for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 71.78 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.34 ; \mathrm{N}, 11.96$. Found: C, 71.56; H, 5.35; N, 11.99.

## 3-(4-(5-(4-Chlorophenyl)-3-phenyl-4,5-dihydro-1 H-pyrazole-1-carbonyl)phenylimino)-1-(piperidin-1-ylmethyl)indolin-2-one (5g)

Yield: $75 \%(4.51 \mathrm{~g})$, m.p.: $293-295^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ Value: 0.53 [Hexane: Chloroform: n -Butanol ( $40: 20: 40$ )]. $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : 3076 ( $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}$ ), 2957 ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}$ ), 1683 (C=O), 1658 (C=N), 1570 (C=C), 735 (C-Cl). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta$ ppm: 1.92 ( $\mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ of pyrazole), 2.57-3.39 ( $\mathrm{m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ of piperidine), $4.26\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ linkage), $5.31(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ of pyrazole), 7.25-8.10 (m, 17H, Ar-H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(\mathrm{\delta}: ~ \mathrm{ppm}): 24.9$ (C-3' \& C-5'), 25.3 (C-4'), 38.1 ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ of pyrazole), 53.9 (C-2' \& $\left.\mathrm{C}-6^{\prime}\right), 58.4$ (C-5 of pyrazole), 71.7 ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ linkage), 115.3 (C-8), 120.5 (C-7), 121.9 (C-2" \& C-6"), 124.5 (C-5), 127.2 (C-2"' \& C-6"'), 129.0 (C-3"' \& C-5"'), 129.8 (C-3" \& C-5"), 130.0 (C-3"" \& C-5""), 130.7 (C-2"" \& C-6""), 131.0 (C-4), 131.1 (C-4""), 131.4 (C-6), 133.5 (C-4"), 133.9 (C-4"), 136.2 (C-1""), 143.4 (C-1"'), 147.6 (C-9), 150.7 (C-3 of pyrazole), 157.0 (C-1"), 162.3 (C-3), 162.7 (C-2), 168.9 (CO). El-MS m/z. $601\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 603\left(\mathrm{M}^{+2}\right)$. Anal. Cald for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{ClN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 71.81 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.36 ; \mathrm{N}$, 11.63. Found: C, $72.04 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.34 ; \mathrm{N}, 11.59$.

## 3-(4-(3-Phenyl-5-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-4,5-dihydro-1 H-pyrazole-1-carbonyl) phenylimino)-1-(piperidin-1-ylmethyl)indolin-2-one (5h)

Yield: 72 \% ( 4.57 g ), m.p.: $152-154{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ Value: 0.45 [Hexane: Chloroform: n -Butanol (40: 20: 40)]. IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3059 (Ar-CH), 2946 ( $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}\right), 1678$ (C=O), 1641 (C=N), 1585 (C=C), 1142 (C-F). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (CDCl ${ }_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta$ ppm: 2.09 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ of pyrazole), 2.51-3.48 ( $\mathrm{m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ of piperidine), $4.12\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ linkage), $5.17(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ of pyrazole), 7.05-8.25 (m, 17H, Ar-H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(\mathrm{\delta}: ~ \mathrm{ppm}): 23.2$ (C-3' \& C-5'), 23.6 (C-4'), $38.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ of pyrazole), 52.4 (C-2' \& C-6'), 62.9 (C-5 of pyrazole), 69.8 ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ linkage), 119.5 (C-8), 121.8 (C-7), 122.1 (C-2" \& C-6"), 123.0 ( $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ ), 123.5 (C-5), 127.2 (C-3"' \& C-5"'), 129.7 (C-2"' \& C-6"'), 130.2 (C-3" \& C-5"), 130.4 (C-3"" \& C-5""), 130.5 (C-4"), 131.3 (C-2"" \& C-6""), 132.1 (C-4), 133.3 (C-4""), 133.6 (C-6), 134.0 (C-4"), 135.5 (C-1""), 148.7 (C-1"), 151.5 (C-9), 154.8 (C-3 of pyrazole),
157.5 (C-1"), 161.2 (C-3), 161.8 (C-2), 168.4 (CO). El-MS m/z. $635\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. Cald for $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 69.91 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.07$; N, 11.02. Found: C, 69.73; H, 5.09; N, 11.05.

## 3-(4-(5-(4-Nitrophenyl)-3-phenyl-4,5-dihydro-1 Hpyrazole-1-carbonyl)phenylimino)-1-(piperidin-1-ylmethyl)indolin-2-one

 (5i)Yield: $77 \%(4.71 \mathrm{~g})$, m.p.: $190-192^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ Value: 0.71 [Hexane: Chloroform: n -Butanol (40: 20: 40$)$ ]. $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : 3071 ( $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}$ ), $2948\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}\right), 1674(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1649(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}), 1582(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}), 1538 \& 1343\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ ppm: $1.98\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ of pyrazole), 2.65-3.29 ( $\mathrm{m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ of piperidine), $4.36\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ linkage), $5.22(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ of pyrazole), 6.90-8.04 (m, 17H, Ar-H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(\mathrm{\delta}: ~ \mathrm{ppm}): 25.8$ (C-3' \& C-5'), 25.9 (C-4'), 40.7 ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ of pyrazole), 50.1 (C-2' \& C-6'), 61.4 (C-5 of pyrazole), 68.4 ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ linkage), 117.0 (C-8), 120.5 (C-3"' \& C-5"'), 122.6 (C-7), 124.3 (C-2" \& C-6"), 126.2 (C-5), 127.5 (C-2"' \& C-6"'), 128.3 (C-3" \& C-5"), 128.7 (C-3"" \& C-5""), 129.8 (C-2"" \& C-6""), 130.2 (C-4), 130.9 (C-4""), 131.7 (C-6), 134.1 (C-4"), 136.0 (C-1""), 145.2 (C-4"'), 150.9 (C-9), 151.5 (C-1"'), 153.4 (C-3 of pyrazole), 154.9 (C-1"), 165.0 (C-3), 165.3 (C-2), 170.7 (CO). El-MS m/z. 612 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$). Anal. Cald for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 70.57; H, $5.26 ; \mathrm{N}, 13.72$. Found: C, 70.78; H, 5.27; N, 13.69.

## 3-(4-(3-Phenyl-5-p-tolyl-4,5-dihydro-1 H-pyrazole-1-carbonyl) phenylimino)-1-(piperidin-1-ylmethyl)indolin-2-one (5j)

Yield: $74 \%(4.30 \mathrm{~g})$, m.p.: $281-284{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ Value: 0.49 [Hexane: Chloroform: n -Butanol (40:20:40)]. $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : 3015 (Ar-CH), 2952 ( $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}\right), 1666(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1643(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}), 1597(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm}: 1.80\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ of pyrazole), $2.28\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.46-3.02\left(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ of piperidine), $4.14\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ linkage), $5.27(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ of pyrazole), 7.11-8.36 (m, 17H, Ar-H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(\mathrm{\delta}: \mathrm{ppm}): 22.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 23.7\left(\mathrm{C}-3^{\prime} \& \mathrm{C}-5^{\prime}\right), 24.0\left(\mathrm{C}-4^{\prime}\right), 41.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ of pyrazole), 51.5 (C-2' \& C-6'), 58.6 (C-5 of pyrazole), 73.2 ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ linkage), 117.5 (C-8), 120.4 (C-7), 123.8 (C-2" \& C-6"), 125.3 (C-5), 126.1 (C-2"' \& C-6"), 127.5 (C-3"" \& C-5""), 127.8 (C-3" \& C-5"), 128.0 (C-3"" \& C-5""), 128.6 (C-2"" \& C-6""), 129.2 (C-4), 129.7 (C-4""), 131.5 (C-6), 132.4 (C-4"), 135.1 (C-1""), 136.8 (C-4"), 143.4 (C-1""), 148.6 (C-9), 149.2 (C-3 of pyrazole), 156.7 (C-1"), 164.5 (C-3), 164.7 (C-2), 167.9 (CO). El-MS m/z: 581 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$). Anal. Cald for $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 76.40; H, 6.06; N, 12.04. Found: C, 76.25 ; H, 6.08; N, 12.03.

## 3-(4-(5-(4-Aminophenyl)-3-phenyl-4,5-dihydro-1 H-pyrazole-1-carbonyl) phenylimino)-1-(piperidin-1-ylmethyl)indolin-2one (5k)

Yield: 71 \% ( 4.13 g ), m.p.: 257-259 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ Value: 0.80 [Hexane: Chloroform: n-Butanol (40: 20: 40)]. IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3324 ( NH ), 3067 (Ar-CH), 2935 ( $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}\right), 1682$ (C=O), 1676 (C=N), 1573 (C=C). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm}: 1.95(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ of pyrazole), 2.53-3.35 (m, 10H, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ of piperidine), 3.97 (s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), $4.28\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ linkage), $5.36(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ of pyrazole), 6.82-8.13 (m, 17H, Ar-H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(\mathrm{\delta}: ~ p p m): ~ 26.5$ (C-3' \& C-5'), 27.1 (C-4'), 39.7 ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ of pyrazole), 51.3 (C-2' \& C-6'), 59.0 (C-5 of pyrazole), 70.7 ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ linkage), 113.2 (C-3"' \& C-5"'), 119.2 (C-8), 120.9 (C-7), 121.4 (C-2" \& C-6"), 124.9 (C-5), 126.7 (C-2"' \& C-6""), 127.6 (C-3" \& C-5"), 127.8 (C-3"" \& C-5""), 128.1 (C-2"" \& C-6""), 128.6 (C-4), 128.9 (C-4""), 129.3 (C-6), 131.7 (C-4"), 132.5 (C-1"'), 133.4 (C-1""), 147.1 (C-4"'), 151.9 (C-9), 152.5 (C-3 of pyrazole), 157.3 (C-1"), 161.0 (C-3), 162.7 (C-2), 168.1 (CO). El-MS $m / z .582\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. Cald for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 74.20; H, 5.88; N, 14.42. Found: C, 74.03; H, 5.86; N, 14.47.

## 3-(4-(5-(4-Hydroxyphenyl)-3-phenyl-4,5-dihydro-1 H-pyrazole-1-carbonyl)phenylimino)-1-(piperidin-1-ylmethyl)indolin-2one (51)

Yield: 76 \% ( 4.43 g ), m.p.: 222-224 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ Value: 0.38 [Hexane: Chloroform: n-Butanol (40: 20: 40)]. IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}^{2} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ): 3528 ( OH ), 3023 (Ar-CH), 2947 ( $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}\right), 1740(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1667(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}), 1629(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm}: 2.01(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ of pyrazole), 2.61-3.27 ( $\mathrm{m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ of piperidine), 4.11 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ linkage), 4.70 ( $\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ of pyrazole), 5.31 ( s , $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}$ ), 7.01-8.54 (m, 17H, Ar-H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(\mathrm{\delta}: ~ p p m): 24.1$ ( $\left.\mathrm{C}-3^{\prime} \& \mathrm{C}-5^{\prime}\right), 24.5$ ( $\left.\mathrm{C}-4^{\prime}\right), 42.6$ ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ of pyrazole), 50.7 (C-2' \& C$6^{\prime}$ ), 62.3 (C-5 of pyrazole), 71.4 ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ linkage), 114.7 (C-3"' \& C-5"'), 116.3 (C-8), 121.9 (C-7), 122.9 (C-2" \& C-6"), 126.2 (C5), 129.8 (C-2"" \& C-6"'), 130.0 (C-3" \& C-5"), 130.1 (C-3"" \& C-5""), 130.9 (C-2"" \& C-6""), 131.5 (C-4), 131.8 (C-4""), 132.4 (C-6), 133.6 (C-4"), 134.9 (C-1""), 139.5 (C-1"'), 150.2 (C-9), 151.4 (C-3 of pyrazole), 154.3 (C-4"), 155.2 (C-1"), 162.7 (C3), 163.1 (C-2), 166.8 (CO). EI-MS m/z. 583 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$). Anal. Cald for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : C, 74.08; H, $5.70 ; \mathrm{N}, 12.00$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 74.36$; H, 5.71; N, 11.96.

## CHEMISTRY

A range of novel pyrazole substituted isatin derivatives were synthesized by multistep synthesis from indole-2,3-dione in the present study. Initially, isatin 1 was treated with morpholine/piperidine and formaldehyde to produce 1-(substitutedmethyl)indoline-2,3-dione 2a-2b by Mannich reaction. In the succeeding stair, 4-(1-(substitutedmethyl)-2-oxoindolin-3-ylideneamino) benzoic acid $\mathbf{3} \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{3} \mathbf{b}$ was synthesized through the condensation of compound $\mathbf{2 a} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{-} \mathbf{b}$ with $p$ aminobenzoic acid in ethanol by Schiff base reaction. On treating with thionyl chloride followed by hydrazine hydrate, compounds $3 \mathrm{a}-3 \mathrm{~b}$ converted to its respective hydrazide derivatives [4-(1-(substitutedmethyl)-2-oxoindolin-3ylideneamino)benzohydrazide] 4a-4b. In the final step, the title compounds $5 \mathrm{a}-5 \mathrm{I}$ were synthesized by a ring closure reaction, in which a different chalcones ( $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated carbonyl compound) and hydrazide derivative of isatin derivatives $\mathbf{4 a} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{4 b}$ were reacted. TLC was performed throughout the reactions to optimize the reactions for purity and completion.

IR, ${ }^{1}$ H-NMR, Mass spectra, and elemental analyses of the synthesized compounds are in accordance with the assigned structures. The IR spectra of all synthesized compounds showed some characteristic peaks indicating the presence of particular groups. Formation of the methylene linkage in compound $\mathbf{2 a} / \mathbf{2 b}$ was confirmed by its absorption peak at $2937 / 2922 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in IR corresponds to $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}$ stretching respectively. This was further confirmed by appearance of singlet for two protons of $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ linkage at $\delta 4.96$ and 4.53 ppm for compound $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{b}$ respectively. The absorption bands at 3405-3422 and $1668-1681 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, which can be assignable to OH of COOH and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ (azomethine linkage) vibrations respectively confirms the formation of compounds 3a-3b. Appearance of singlet at $\delta 9.94-10.09 \mathrm{ppm}$ for single protons in its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectra which might be assigned to OH of COOH group additionally support the structure of compounds $\mathbf{3 a - 3 b}$. The conversion of hydrazide $\mathbf{4 a} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{4 b}$ from carboxylic acid $\mathbf{3 a - 3 b}$ can be recognized by strong absorption peak at $3283-3362 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in IR due to $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ stretching and appearance of singlet in its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra at $\delta$ $5.08-5.23 \mathrm{ppm}$ for two protons which might be assigned to $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ of hydrazide group. Presence of NH in hydrazide was confirmed by appearance of singlet for single proton in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra at $\delta 8.61-8.94 \mathrm{ppm}$. The structure of title compounds 5a-5l was confirmed by the absence of absorption band around $3300 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ due to absence of NH stretching vibration. In addition appearance of doublet for two protons of $\mathrm{C}-4$ pyrazole at $\delta 1.80-2.10 \mathrm{ppm}$ and triplet for one proton of C-5 pyrazole at $\delta 4.70-5.49 \mathrm{ppm}$ also confirms the structure of title compounds $5 \mathrm{a}-5 \mathrm{I}$. The IR spectrum of title compounds 5 a-5l shows absorption bands at $3015-3076 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 2934-2971 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1665-1740 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, $1641-1677 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $1570-1631 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, which can be assignable to $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ vibrations respectively. The following conclusions can be derived by comparing the NMR spectra of synthesized compounds $\mathbf{5 a}$ 5l: a) A doublet at $\delta 1.80-2.10 \mathrm{ppm}$ for $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ of pyrazole, b) A multiplet at $\delta 2.31-3.48 \mathrm{ppm}$ for $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ of morpholine/piperidine, c) A singlet at $\delta 4.11-4.63 \mathrm{ppm}$ for $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ linkage, d) A triplet at $\delta 4.70-5.49 \mathrm{ppm}$ for CH of pyrazole, e) A multiplet at $\delta 6.82-8.68 \mathrm{ppm}$ for Ar-H. Further mass spectrum confirmed their molecular weight.

Elemental analysis of all synthesized compounds was found to be within $\pm 0.4 \%$ with respect to calculated value which confirmed the purity of synthesized compounds.

## Conclusions

IR, ${ }^{1}$ HNMR, and Mass spectral analyses were used to examine and characterize the 3-(4-(substitutedphenyl)-3-phenyl-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrazole-1-carbonyl)phenylimino)-1-(substituted methyl)indolin-2-one (5a-5l). In the active region of the target protein CXCR6, 3-(4-(5-(4-Chlorophenyl)-3-phenyl-4,5-dihydro-1 H-pyrazole-1-carbonyl) phenylimino)-1-
(morpholino
methyl) indolin-2-one (5a) showed considerable binding affinity and higher amino acid interaction, as well as a superior docking score of -11.4 kcal mol-1 (PDB: 6KVA). 5a displayed persistent binding affinity with the target CXCR6 receptor, according to molecular dynamics analysis. Among the other compounds studied, the $\mathbf{5 a}$ had the strongest antiinflammatory properties that to the novel $\mathbf{5} \mathbf{a}$ could be used as an anti-inflammatory agent.
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## Tables

Table 1. The results of network analysis with threshold parameter values

| Label | Degree | Betweenness | Closeness | Eccentricity | EigenVector | Radiality | Stress |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CXCR6/Chemokine | 12 | 944.0443 | 0.007299 | 0.125 | 0.439326 | 9 | 2262 |
| FGR | 9 | 584.7075 | 0.006944 | 0.111111 | 0.373908 | 8.877193 | 1574 |
| PIK3CA | 9 | 722.8035 | 0.007874 | 0.142857 | 0.425905 | 9.175439 | 1824 |
| PTK2 | 5 | 155.8221 | 0.005952 | 0.125 | 0.216215 | 8.684211 | 448 |
| GNB5 | 5 | 525.3959 | 0.006803 | 0.166667 | 0.243112 | 8.824561 | 1544 |
| CDC42 | 5 | 325.54 | 0.005025 | 0.125 | 0.01427 | 7.912281 | 598 |
| AKT3 | 5 | 494 | 0.00625 | 0.111111 | 0.18449 | 8.596491 | 1004 |
| HRAS | 5 | 286.0268 | 0.006135 | 0.111111 | 0.226833 | 8.54386 | 874 |
| RAC1 | 5 | 294.9494 | 0.005682 | 0.111111 | 0.043618 | 8.315789 | 564 |
| PIK3R6 | 5 | 90.51833 | 0.005814 | 0.1 | 0.235612 | 8.385965 | 316 |
| PLCB1 | 4 | 575.5103 | 0.005882 | 0.166667 | 0.071534 | 8.421053 | 1830 |
| GNAI1 | 4 | 231.2901 | 0.006494 | 0.125 | 0.208358 | 8.701754 | 372 |
| VAV3 | 4 | 468.9595 | 0.005682 | 0.111111 | 0.052148 | 8.315789 | 876 |
| ITK | 4 | 426.4313 | 0.006289 | 0.111111 | 0.206495 | 8.614035 | 852 |
| PRKCB | 4 | 474.3308 | 0.004878 | 0.142857 | 0.018399 | 7.807018 | 1402 |
| PRKCZ | 4 | 159.6648 | 0.00641 | 0.2 | 0.217477 | 8.894737 | 540 |
| RASGRP2 | 3 | 152.3333 | 0.00495 | 0.142857 | 0.018248 | 7.859649 | 282 |
| PTK2B | 3 | 79.44365 | 0.005181 | 0.1 | 0.08669 | 8.245614 | 274 |
| PREX1 | 3 | 267.0604 | 0.006897 | 0.2 | 0.135306 | 8.859649 | 458 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2. The docking score and amino acid interaction of 5a-5I

| Code | R | Score | Amino acid interaction | Amino acid interaction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5a | 4-Cl | -11.4 | ARG39[2.64 Å], ARG86[3.06 Å, 4.71Å], LEU87[3.14 Å], ARG88[3.96 Å], ASP90[4.05 Å], GLNP111[3.13 Å,11.72Å], VAL154[2.63 Å],THR155[3.68 Å],VAL156[2.10 Å] | 11 |
| 5b | $\stackrel{4-}{\mathrm{CF}_{3}}$ | -11.4 | GLN1[3.12 Å], PRO46[3.15 Å], PHE106 [16.51 Å,3.3.15Å], <br> GLY108[3.52 Å], GLN179[4.12 Å], SER180[3.78 Å], SER'185[3.19 Å] | 8 |
| 5c | $\stackrel{4-}{\mathrm{NO}_{2}}$ | -11 | GLN1[2.00 Å, $3.31 \AA$ A , $3.31 \AA$ Å, $4.53 \AA$ Å, $15.37 \AA$ Å], PRO42[ $3.94 \AA ̊]$, GLU47[3.55 Å], LYS64[3.59 Å], TRP104[3.32 Å], VAL105[3.14 Å],PHE106[2.16 Å] | 11 |
| 5d | $\stackrel{4-}{\mathrm{CH}_{3}}$ | -10.7 | GLN6[3.59 Å], ARG39[5.17 Å], ALA41[2.87 Å], GLY45[, 2.24 Å 2.97 A ], GLU47[3.19 A , 3.84 Å], GLY108[3.33 Å] | 8 |
| 5 e | $\stackrel{4-}{\mathrm{NH}_{2}}$ | -10.8 | GLN44[2.39 Å, $2.39 \AA$ Å, 3.51 Å], ASP90[4.75 Å], VAL154[3.88 Å], VAL169[3.84 Â], THR171[11.12 Å] | 7 |
| 5 f | $\stackrel{4-}{\mathrm{OH}}$ | -11.1 | ARG88[5.12 Ål, PRO89[5.00 Å], ASP90[4.26 Å], GLY152[2.87 Å], ALA153[3.51 Á], THR171[3.80 Å] | 6 |
| 5 g | $4-\mathrm{Cl}$ | -10.8 | GLN1 [2.05 Å, 3.69 Å], GLU47 [3.72 Å], LYS64 [4.59 Å, 5.06 Å], TRP104[3.64 Å], THR173[3.50 Å], ALÀ'176[3.04 À] | 8 |
| 5h | $\stackrel{4-}{\mathrm{CF}_{3}}$ | -11.2 | GLN1[3.25 Å], ARG39[2.78 Å], GLN44[2.29 Å], GLY45[2.71 Å], GLU47[3.64 A ], ASP90[3.31 Å], GLP168[3.37 Å], SER180[2.67 Å] | 8 |
| $5 i$ | $\stackrel{4-}{\mathrm{NO}_{2}}$ | -11 | GLP40[2.49 Å, 3.93 Å], GLY43 [4.18 Å, 3.71 Å], GLN44[ $2.45 \AA ̊]$, ASP93[3.65 Å], TYR96[1.88 Å] | 7 |
| 5 j | $\stackrel{4-}{\mathrm{CH}_{3}}$ | -10.5 | TRP158[1.26 Å], VAL165[1.93 Å], LYS166[3.67 Å], TYR184[5.48 Å] | 4 |
| 5k | $\stackrel{4-}{\mathrm{NH}_{2}}$ | -11.1 | GLN44 [2.36 Å, 3.24 Å], GLU47[3.49 Å], ASP90[4.70 Å], VAL154[3.85 Å, 3.00 Å], VAL169[3.80 Á], THR171[11.18 Å] | 8 |
| 51 | $\stackrel{4-}{\mathrm{O}}$ | -11.7 | GLP40 [2.46 Å, 4.00 Å], GLY43[3.78 Å], GLN44[2.62 Å], ASP93[3.57 Å],VAL94[3.81 Å], TYR96[1.97 Å], LYS166[2.29 À] | 8 |
| Acetaminophen |  | -7.5 | HSD100[2.21 Å], VAL119[2.88 Å], SER121[1.96 Å] | 3 |
| Celecoxib |  | -8.8 | GLN1[4.16 Å], LEU4[3.03 Å], GLU47[4.26 Å,4.26 Å], TRP48[2.25 Å], TRP104[1.95 Ål], PHE106[3.04 Å, 3.80 Å, 2.99 Å], GLY108[2.89 Å], SER180[2.97 Å] | 11 |
| Diclofenac |  | -7.4 | ALA2[3.28 Å], PRO46[4.07 Å], TRP48[2.11 Å], GLN63[2.46 Å], TRP104[3.36 Å, 4.03 Å], VAL'105[3.90 Å, $3.99 \AA ̊]$ | 8 |
| Indomethacin |  | -7.3 | GLN1[2.67 Å], PRO46[2.96 Å], GLU47[3.40 Å, 4.00 Å], PHE106[3.27 Å], GLY107[2.21 Å] | 6 |
| Naproxen |  | -6.8 | ARG25[15.76 Å], HSD100[3.29 Å], SER120[2.70 Å], SER121[2.27 Å], ALA122[2.25 Å] | 5 |
| Ibuprofen |  | -6.8 | GLN1[2.39 Å], ARG25[15.81 Å], SER121[2.16 Å], ALA122[2.14 Å] | 4 |
| Aspirin |  | -5.9 | ARG34[4.83 Å, 2.97 Å], TRP99[3.06 Å] | 3 |

Table 3. Anti-inflammatory activity of test compounds

| Treatment | Mean paw volume (ml) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 hr | 1 hr | 2 hr | 4hr |
| Inflammatory control (receives 0.5\% CMC) | $0.35 \pm 0.04$ | $0.56 \pm 0.05$ | $0.63 \pm 0.03$ | $0.70 \pm 0.04$ |
| Diclofenac ( $15 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) p. o. | $0.33 \pm 0.01$ | $0.48 \pm 0.02$ | $0.50 \pm 0.04^{* *}$ | $0.33 \pm 0.03^{* * *}$ |
| Celecoxib ( $6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) p.o. | $0.38 \pm 0.03$ | $0.55 \pm 0.01$ | $050 \pm 0.04^{\star *}$ | $0.40 \pm 0.04^{* * *}$ |
| $5 \mathrm{a}(50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$ p. o. | $0.35 \pm 0.02$ | $0.55 \pm 0.05$ | $0.58 \pm 0.03$ | $0.48 \pm 0.03^{* * *}$ |
| 5b | $0.21 \pm 0.03$ | $0.40 \pm 0.03$ | $0.36 \pm 0.03^{*}$ | $0.29 \pm 0.03^{* * *}$ |
| 5 c | $0.35 \pm 0.05$ | $0.48 \pm 0.04$ | $0.53 \pm 0.03^{*}$ | $0.50 \pm 0.05^{* * *}$ |
| 5d | $0.24 \pm 0.03$ | $0.42 \pm 0.03$ | $0.31 \pm 0.01^{*}$ | $0.23 \pm 0.02^{* * *}$ |
| 5 e | $0.27 \pm 0.01$ | $0.44 \pm 0.02$ | $0.33 \pm 0.04 *$ | $0.29 \pm 0.01^{* * *}$ |
| $5 f$ | $0.23 \pm 0.04$ | $0.42 \pm 0.02$ | $0.34 \pm 0.02^{*}$ | $0.27 \pm 0.03^{* * *}$ |
| 5 g | $0.26 \pm 0.01$ | $0.47 \pm 0.03$ | $0.32 \pm 0.04 *$ | $0.25 \pm 0.03^{* * *}$ |
| 5h | $0.25 \pm 0.04$ | $0.41 \pm 0.01$ | $0.32 \pm 0.04 *$ | $0.24 \pm 0.01^{* * *}$ |
| $5 i$ | $0.22 \pm 0.02$ | $0.41 \pm 0.04$ | $0.34 \pm 0.01^{*}$ | $0.22 \pm 0.02^{* * *}$ |
| 5j | $0.24 \pm 0.03$ | $0.43 \pm 0.05$ | $0.36 \pm 0.04^{*}$ | $0.21 \pm 0.02^{* * *}$ |
| 5k | $0.24 \pm 0.01$ | $0.45 \pm 0.07$ | $0.31 \pm 0.03^{*}$ | $0.25 \pm 0.04^{* * *}$ |
| 51 | $0.26 \pm 0.03$ | $0.44 \pm 0.03$ | $0.33 \pm 0.01^{*}$ | $0.29 \pm 0.05^{* * *}$ |

Values are expressed as mean $\pm$ SEM of six rats in each group. Statistically significant ***p<0.001, **p<0.01,*p<0.05 compared to inflammation control.

Table 4. Inflammation Percentage inhibition of test compounds

| Treatment | Percent inhibition |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{~ h r}$ | $\mathbf{2 ~ h r}$ | 4hr |
| Diclofenac $(15 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$ p. o. | 14.29 | 20.63 | 52.85 |
| Celecoxib $(6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$ p.o. | 1.79 | 20.63 | 42.86 |
| $\mathbf{5 a}(50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$ p. o. | $\mathbf{1 4 . 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 . 5 7}$ |
| $\mathbf{5 b}$ | 2.49 | 7.94 | 21.43 |
| $\mathbf{5 c}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 . 8 6}$ |
| $\mathbf{5 d}$ | 4.12 | 7.92 | 16.41 |
| $\mathbf{5 e}$ | 7.16 | 8.92 | 21.44 |
| $\mathbf{5 f}$ | 5.36 | 7.46 | 28.51 |
| $\mathbf{5 g}$ | 2.71 | 7.94 | 21.42 |
| $\mathbf{5 h}$ | 5.12 | 7.71 | 31.41 |
| $\mathbf{5 i}$ | 4.13 | 17.12 | 28.52 |
| $\mathbf{5 j}$ | 4.36 | 17.46 | 28.57 |
| $\mathbf{5 k}$ | 6.79 | 5.92 | 21.45 |
| $\mathbf{5 l}$ | 4.72 | 9.94 | 31.43 |

Table 5: The docking HOMO and LUMO value of $\mathbf{5 a}, \mathbf{5 c}$ test compounds, and standard Celecoxib

| Code | HOMO Energy | LUMO Energy | Energy Gap |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5a | 0.28634 | 0.21216 | 2.018541652 |
| 5c | 0.30413 | 0.23108 | 1.98776355 |
| Celecoxib | 0.30864 | 0.17735 | 3.57253219 |

Table 6: The ADME and physicochemical properties of $\mathbf{5 a}, 5 \mathrm{c}$ test compounds, and standard Celecoxib

| Code | Violation of <br> Lipinski's rule | The polar surface <br> area (TPSA) | Gastro intestinal (GI) <br> absorption | bioavailability <br> score | Synthetic <br> accessibility |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{5 a}$ | Yes | $77.81 \AA^{2}$ | High | +0.55 | 4.99 |
| $\mathbf{5 c}$ | Yes | $123.63 \AA^{2}$ | High | +0.17 | 5.11 |
| Celecoxib | No | $86.36 \AA^{2}$ | High | +0.55 | 2.74 |
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The CXCR6/Chemokine signalling pathway


Figure 2
The CXCR6/Chemokine pathway with nodes and edges interaction


Figure 3

The druglikeness graph of 5a-5l


Figure 4
The binding interactions, 2D and 3D model of test compound 5a


## Figure 5

The binding interactions, 2D and 3D model of test compound 5c
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Figure 7
The anti-inflammatory activity of 3-(4-(5-(4-Chlorophenyl)-3-phenyl-4,5-dihydro-1 1 -pyrazole-1-carbonyl)phenylimino)-1-(morpholinomethyl)indolin-2-one (5a)
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Figure 8

The energy values of HOMO and LUMO of test compound $\mathbf{5 a}$
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The energy values of HOMO and LUMO of test compound 5c


Figure 10

The energy values of HOMO and LUMO of test compound Celecoxib
5a

Figure 11
The Lipinski properties of $\mathbf{5 a}, \mathbf{5 c}$ and standard Celecoxib


Figure 12

The egg-boiled model of $\mathbf{5 a}, \mathbf{5 c}$ and standard Celecoxib

