Comparison of the clinical outcomes of percutaneous vertebroplasty vs. kyphoplasty for the treatment of osteoporotic Kümmell's disease: a prospective cohort study

Jian-Zhong Chang

CR & WISCO General Hospital

Ming-Jian Bei

Emergency General Hospital

Dong-Ping Shu

CR & WISCO General Hospital

Cheng-Jun Sun

CR & WISCO General Hospital

Ji-Bin Chen

Wuhan Hanyang Hospital

Ya-Ping Xiao (✉ xypine1314@163.com)

CR & WISCO General Hospital

Research article

Keywords: Kümmell's disease, Osteoporosis; Vertebral compression fracture; Percutaneous vertebroplasty; Percutaneous kyphoplasty

Posted Date: March 4th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-15968/v1>

License: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders on April 13th, 2020. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12891-020-03271-9>.

Abstract

Background Percutaneous vertebroplasty (PVP) and percutaneous kyphoplasty (PKP) are widely used in the treatment of Kümmell's disease. The purpose of this article is to investigate the clinical efficacy of PVP and PKP for Kümmell's disease. **Methods** The clinical data that 56 cases of OVCF treated with either PVP (28 cases) or PKP (28 cases) and met the selection criteria from December 2015 to December 2017 were prospectively analyzed. Gender, age, course of disease, injury segment, BMD, VAS, ODI, imaging measurement indexes before surgery between the two groups showed no significant difference (all $P > 0.05$). The bone cement leakage rate, bone cement injection amount, operation time, VAS, ODI, the rate of vertebral compression, correction rate of kyphosis and refracture rate of adjacent vertebra in 2 years were compared between the two groups to calculate clinical efficacy. **Results** The two groups were followed up for 24-48 months. There was no significant difference in the follow-up time, amount of bone cement injected, incidence of bone cement leakage and refracture rate of adjacent vertebra between the two groups (all $P > 0.05$). The operation time, intraoperative blood loss and fluoroscopy times of the PVP group were significantly lower than those of the PKP group (all $P = 0.000$). VAS score and ODI of the two groups were significantly lower at 1 d, 1 year and 2 years after surgery than before surgery (all $P < 0.05$), but there was not statistically significant difference between the two groups at each time point after surgery (all $P > 0.05$). The rate of vertebral compression and kyphosis correction in the two groups were significantly corrected ($P < 0.05$, respectively) and decreased significantly with time (all $P < 0.05$), But there was not significant difference between the two groups at any time point (all $P > 0.05$). **Conclusion** Both PVP and PKP can achieve similar effects in the treatment of Kümmell's disease. Because the cost, operation time, blood loss, radiation exposure and surgical procedure of PVP are less than those of PKP, PVP has more clinical priority value.

Background

Kümmell's disease is a special type of osteoporotic vertebral compression fracture (OVCF), which is relatively rare in clinical practice [1]. Kümmell's disease is also known as nonunion after OVCF, delayed vertebral osteonecrosis after trauma, and delayed vertebral collapse [2, 3]. Imaging examination can discover the late-onset collapse of vertebrae and characteristic change of intravertebral vacuum cleft (IVC), which is considered the one of important inducing factors of injured vertebral progress after the collapse, deformity of kyphosis, intractable back pain and spinal cord damage after OVCF [4].

With the widespread development of percutaneous vertebroplasty (PVP) and percutaneous kyphoplasty (PKP) in the treatment of OVCF, Many patients seek PVP or PKP treatment in order to restore the stability of the injured vertebrae and relieve low back pain [5, 6]. Therefore, the literature on the use of PVP and PKP to treat Kümmell's disease has gradually increased, whose results show that PKP and PVP can achieve good clinical results in treating this disease [7]. However, the selection criteria for PVP and PKP treatment are inconclusive at present.

Therefore, we conducted a comparative study on Kümmell's patients who received either PVP or PKP treatment in our hospitals from December 2015 to December 2017 to investigate the clinical efficacy, advantages and disadvantages of the two surgical methods, and so as to provide a reference for clinical selection of treatment methods.

Methods

Selection criteria

The inclusion criteria are as follows: ☐ Patients suffered single-segment Kümmell's disease without new OVCF in the adjacent vertebrae; ☐ CT or MRI examination confirmed IVC; ☐ Dual energy X-ray measurement of bone mineral density T value was less than -2.5 ; ☐ PVP or PKP was conducted with bilateral pedicle; ☐ Follow-up time was more than 2 years; ☐ Postoperative calcium supplementation and anti-osteoporotic drugs were applied; ☐ No fall or other trauma occurred. Exclusion criteria are as follows: ☐ Patients with severe cardiopulmonary dysfunction; ☐ Patients with coagulopathy; ☐ Patients with local or systemic infection; ☐ Patients with nerve root or spinal cord compression symptoms; ☐ Patients with pathological fractures other than osteoporosis.

Baseline Data

From December 2015 to December 2017, 56 of the 64 patients with Kümmell's disease met the selection criteria for inclusion in the study, of which 28 received PVP treatment (PVP group) and 28 received PKP treatment (PKP group) (Fig. 1). This study was approved by the ethics committees of authors' hospitals. All patients with clinical data and pictures gave written informed consent.

The PVP group included 6 males and 22 females, aged 63–85 years, with an average of 75.0 ± 5.8 years. The course of disease was 2–14 months, with an average of 8.7 ± 3.0 months. Twenty cases had no obvious history of trauma, and 8 cases had a history of falls. Injured vertebra segments included 1 case in T9, 1 case in T10, 5 cases in T11, 8 cases in T12, 8 cases in L1, 2 cases in L2, 2 cases in L3, and 1 case in L4. The dual energy X-ray bone mineral density (BMD) T value was -2.83 - -6.13 , and the average value was -4.35 ± 0.91 . (Table 1)

Table 1
Baseline data of two groups

Parameter	PVP group	PKP group	t/ χ^2	P
Cases	28	28	0.381	0.537
Gender	6	8	-0.632	0.533
Male (cases)	22	20	0.960	0.338
Female (cases)	75.0 $\pm$ 5.8	75.1 $\pm$ 5.7	0.327	0.567
Age (years)	8.7 $\pm$ 3.0	8.4 $\pm$ 2.9	-1.024	0.307
Course of disease (months)	8	10		
Fall history	20	18		
Yes(cases)	- 4.35 $\pm$ 0.91	-4.47 $\pm$ 0.89		
No (cases)				
BMD (T value)				

The PKP group included 8 males and 20 females, aged 62–86 years, with an average of 75.1 $\pm$ 5.7 years. The course of disease was 2–13 months, with an average of 8.4 $\pm$ 2.9 months. Eighteen cases had no obvious history of trauma, and 10 cases had history of falls. Injured vertebral segment included 2 cases of T9, 3 cases of T11, 7 cases of T12, 9 cases of L1, 4 cases of L2, and 1 case of L3 and 2 cases of L4. The dual energy X-ray BMD T value was - 2.54 - -5.91, and the average value was - 4.47 $\pm$ 0.89.(Table 1)

Gender, age, course of disease, injury segment, BMD, VAS, the Oswestry disability index (ODI) and imaging indexes of the two groups were comparable (all P > 0.05, Table 1–3).

Table 3
Comparison of two groups of imaging data

Parameter	PVP group	PKP group	t/ χ^2	P
Rate of vertebral compression	0.74 $\pm$ 0.11	0.75 $\pm$ 0.12	-0.088	0.930
Pre-operation	0.84 $\pm$ 0.11*	0.86 $\pm$ 0.09*	-0.515	0.609
At 1 day after operation	0.82 $\pm$ 0.13	0.82 $\pm$ 0.09	0.248	0.805
At 1 year after operation	0.79 $\pm$ 0.15	0.80 $\pm$ 0.11	-0.290	0.773
At 2 years after operation	31.0 $\pm$ 6.34	32.6 $\pm$ 6.19	-2.467	0.053
Correction rate of kyphosis	30.0 $\pm$ 6.24#	29.9 $\pm$ 5.96#	0.093	0.926
At 1 day after operation	28.8 $\pm$ 6.37#&	28.7 $\pm$ 6.59#&	0.509	0.611
At 1 year after operation				
At 2 years after operation				
* Compared to preoperation, P < 0.05				
# Compared with 1 day after operation, P < 0.05				
& Compared with 1 year after operation, P < 0.05				

Surgical Procedures

Both groups of surgeries were performed by the same group of physicians, and the PVP and PKP procedures followed the same principle. The patients were placed in the prone position to maintain the

spine's posterior extension for position reduction. Bilateral pedicle puncture with local anesthesia guided by C-arm X-ray machine. PVP procedure: the working sleeve reached the IVC area in the anterior 1/3 of the vertebra or close to the IVC area. Polymethylmethacrylate (Tecres SPA, Verona, Italy) was injected into the IVC region using a 3.5 mm side opening bone cement injector (Shanghai Kinetic Medical Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China) until the entire crack was fully filled and the cement was well dispersed. PKP procedure: after the working sleeve reached the IVC area, the extended balloon catheter (Shanghai Kinetic Medical Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China) was first delivered to the IVC area through the work channel. The balloon was expanded, and the pressure of the balloon system was controlled within 15 atmospheres to make the satisfactory reduction of the fractures around the IVC area and the corresponding endplate. Finally, the bone cement was injected into the IVC region through the side opening injector until the entire fracture was filled.

Postoperative Management

The patient remained in a supine position for 8–12 h after the operation. Patients were still confined to bed rest. Lumbar and back braces were used to protect the patient from bed during 1–2 months after the operation. Oral bisphosphonates, vitamin D and calcium tablets and other drugs were used for anti-osteoporosis treatment.

Outcome Measurement

Clinical efficacy: the leakage rate of intraoperative bone cement, injection amount of bone cement, and operation time of the two groups were recorded. VAS score was used to evaluate the pain degree of low back [8]. The ODI was used to evaluate the severity of the dysfunction [9]. The incidence of new adjacent vertebral fracture was recorded in 2 years.

Imaging measurement indexes: the height of the injured vertebra and the kyphosis angle were measured before and after the operation by the anteroposterior and lateral X-ray images[10]. And the following indexes were calculated. 1) Rate of vertebral compression = the height of the injured vertebral body / the average height of adjacent upper and lower vertebral body heights [10]; 2) Correction degree of kyphosis $R = (\text{preoperative kyphosis angle} - \text{postoperative kyphosis angle}) / \text{preoperative kyphosis angle} \times 100\%$ [11].

Statistical analysis

SPSS19.0 statistical software (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) was used for statistical analysis.

Measurement data were expressed by mean $\pm$ standard deviation. The Levene test was used to test the homogeneity of variance. The independent sample t test and the One-Way ANOVA (Bonferroni or Dunnett T3) were used for inter-group comparisons at different time points. The repeated measures ANOVA was used for intra-group comparisons at different time points. The counting data were tested with χ^2 test. Test level α was 0.05.

Results

Clinical outcomes

The two groups were followed up for 24–48 months. The follow-up time and intraoperative amount of bone cement injection between the two groups was not significant difference ($P > 0.05$, respectively). The operation time, intraoperative blood loss and fluoroscopy times of the PVP group were significantly lower than those of the PKP group (all $P = 0.000$). Bone cement leakage occurred in 4 cases (14.3%) of the PVP group, including 2 cases of anterior wall, 1 case of upper intervertebral space, 1 case of lower intervertebral space and 1 case of lateral wall. Bone cement leakage occurred in 3 cases (10.7%) of the PKP group, including 1 case of anterior wall, 1 case of upper intervertebral space and 1 case of lateral wall. But no related clinical symptoms occurred in both groups. There was not significant difference in the number of incidence of bone cement leakage between the two groups ($P > 0.05$). (Table 2)

Table 2
Comparison of clinical efficacy between the two groups

Parameter	PVP group	PKP group	t/ χ^2	P
Follow-up time(months)	35.3 $\pm$ 6.99	35.2 $\pm$ 7.63	0.115	0.909
Amount of bone cement injected(ml)	4.2 $\pm$ 1.15	4.6 $\pm$ 1.55	-1.875	0.065
Operation time (min)	34.8 $\pm$ 3.47	45.1 $\pm$ 5.15	-56.032	0.000
Intraoperative blood loss (ml)	16.9 $\pm$ 3.49	21.2 $\pm$ 5.29	-15.479	0.000
Fluoroscopy times	15.8 $\pm$ 3.50	20.5 $\pm$ 4.16	-19.060	0.000
Bone cement leakage	4	3	0.163	0.686
Yes (cases)	24	25	0.698	0.486
No (cases)	8.0 $\pm$ 0.77	8.0 $\pm$ 0.75	0.301	0.764
VAS scores	2.8 $\pm$ 0.75*	2.7 $\pm$ 0.81*	-1.398	0.165
Before surgery	2.4 $\pm$ 0.70*	2.6 $\pm$ 0.84*	-0.356	0.722
At 1 day after surgery	2.5 $\pm$ 0.70*	2.5 $\pm$ 0.84*	-2.293	0.062
At 1 year after surgery	84.5 $\pm$ 5.94	84.9 $\pm$ 8.23	-3.533	0.056
At 2 years after surgery	29.0 $\pm$ 7.62*	30.4 $\pm$ 7.73*	-0.407	0.684
OD	29.8 $\pm$ 7.02*	29.9 $\pm$ 7.01*	-2.872	0.054
Before surgery	29.9 $\pm$ 7.11*	31.0 $\pm$ 7.56*	0.220	0.639
At 1 day after surgery	2	3		
At 1 year after surgery	26	25		
At 2 years after surgery				
Adjacent vertebral fractures				
Yes (cases)				
No (cases)				
* Compared to before surgery, $P = 0.000$				

VAS score and ODI of the two groups were significantly lower at 1 days=, 1 year and 2 years after surgery than before surgery (all $P < 0.05$), and there was not statistical difference between the two groups at each time point after surgery (all $P > 0.05$). During the 2 years of follow-up after the operation, 2 cases (7.1%) of the PVP group and 3 cases (10.7%) of the PKP group had adjacent vertebral fractures, and the difference between the two groups was not statistical difference ($P > 0.05$). (Table 2)

Imaging Evaluation Outcomes

The correction rate of kyphosis and rate of vertebral compression in the two groups were significantly corrected ($P < 0.05$, respectively) and gradually decreased significantly with time (all $P < 0.05$) (Tables 2 and 3), which indicates that the height of vertebral body and kyphosis angle were significantly recovered and gradually lost after surgery. These indexes between the two groups at any time point was not significant difference (all $P > 0.05$, Table 3).

Discussion

We found that follow-up time, incidence of bone cement leakage, refracture rate of adjacent vertebra and intraoperative amount of bone cement injection between the two groups was not statistical difference. Both groups significantly relieved patients' pain of low back, recovered the height of vertebral body and kyphosis angle and improved their quality of life, but PVP was associated with less surgical time, blood loss, and radiation exposure than those of PKP. The rate of vertebral compression and kyphosis correction between the two groups was not found significantly difference, but decreased significantly with time, which suggests that the correction was gradually lost.

Since 1984, Galiber et al. [12] applied PVP in the treatment of 1 case of C 2 vertebra invasive hemangioma to find its advantages of simple operation and definite effect. PVP and PKP have gradually become one of the effective methods to treat vertebral tumor and OVCF [8, 13, 14]. Kümmell's disease is a rare type in OVCF after minor trauma, which is progressive occurrence of vertebral collapse and kyphosis due to vertebral ischemia and necrosis. Kümmell's disease is often manifested as intractable pain of low back, pseudojoint formation, and may be accompanied by nerve injury in severe cases [15, 16]. X-ray and CT examination shows the presence of vacuum fractures in the vertebral body. MRI suggests limited fluid filling in the vertebral body. So Kümmell's disease is also referred to as delayed post-traumatic vertebral collapse disease, nonunion of vertebral fractures, and vertebral ischemic necrosis [1, 2, 17]. Previous studies have suggested that IVC is mainly located in the thoracolumbar region [4, 18], which is similar to the segmental distribution of the two groups of patients in this study. 87.5% of the fractured vertebral bodies were located in the thoracolumbar segment, most of which were wedge fractures. And the fissures mostly occurred near the upper or lower endplate of the vertebral body. These suggests that the occurrence of the disease may be related to the repeated stress activity and high mobility in the thoracolumbar segment, leading to vertebral nonunion and ischemic necrosis.

Previous studies have found that a small dose of bone cement can restore the mechanical properties of the fractured vertebral body, and the injection amount of bone cement has no significant correlation with the analgesic effect, even believed that 1.5 ml of bone cement is injected into each vertebral body to obtain satisfactory analgesic effect [19, 20]. Biomechanics studies in vitro have confirmed that the strength of the vertebral body can be restored by injecting about 2 ml bone cement or 16% of the vertebral volume with bone cement, and the stiffness of the vertebral body can be restored by injecting about 4 ml bone cement or 24% of the vertebral volume with bone cement [20]. In this study, the average injection

amount of bone cement was 4.2 ± 1.15 ml in the PVP group and 4.6 ± 1.55 ml in the PKP group, both of which met the requirements of restoring the strength and stiffness of the vertebral body without significant difference between the two groups.

Significant pain relief was observed after surgery in both groups, and maintained until the last follow-up. However, no significant difference was seen between the groups. After filling the fissures in the vertebral body with bone cement, the height and kyphosis deformity of the vertebral body were partially restored and corrected, and the abnormal activity of the injured vertebral body was eliminated, which was an important reason for pain relief [11]. Previous studies have found that both PVP and PKP can effectively relieve the lower back pain of Kümmell's disease, achieve satisfactory clinical effect, and at the same time partially restore the height of the vertebra and correct the kyphosis [11, 21–28]. During spinal flexion and extension, due to the presence of IVC and the formation of false joints, the injured vertebra of Kümmell's disease can stretch and expand, which can widen the fractured vertebra. Therefore, the height of the collapsed vertebral body can be retracted and kyphosis has been partially corrected during spinal hyperextension. In PVP treatment, bone cement is usually limited to diffusion in the fissure, which can maintain the effect of hyperextension kyphotic correction without the help of balloon dilation for reduction in PKP treatment. Previous studies have also found that patients with Kümmell's disease can achieve spontaneous reduction in the postextensor position without further balloon expansion reduction [29, 30]. Heo et al. [31] reported that excessive reduction tends to accelerate the process of vertebral ischemia and necrosis, leading to severe re-collapse. Therefore, excessive reduction of intraoperative injured vertebra should be avoided. We found that the rates of vertebral compression and kyphosis correction both in PVP and PKP groups obtained obvious correction, but no statistical difference of the rates between the two groups was observed, which further confirmed the point of view "patients of Kümmell's disease can have spontaneous reduction in the posterior extension without further balloon expansion".

We found that the rates of vertebral compression and kyphosis correction in the two groups gradually decreased significantly with time, suggesting that vertebral height and kyphosis angle gradually lost after surgery, which was consistent with previous findings [7, 14]. In the treatment of OVCF without IVC by PVP and PKP, the injected bone cement of the former is mainly embedded in cancellous bone, while the bone cement of the latter is mainly filled with clumps, so stress occlusion is more likely to occur after PKP and lead to recollapse [32]. However, in this study, there was no significant difference of the vertebral recollapse between the two groups after 2 years of post-operation. The reason was that the distribution of bone cement in the IVC region was inconsistent with that in the non-IVC region. Due to the low pressure in the IVC region and the obstruction of the surrounding fibrous membrane, IVC was used as a "reservoir" during intraoperative injection of bone cement in both groups, and bone cement was filled in the IVC region in the form of solid masses. The limited bone cement mass cannot connect with the adjacent endplates of the upper and lower levels and strengthen cancellous bone of the vertebrae, thus failing to support the normal physiological stress from the body and causing the collapse again [33].

The most common complications of PVP and PKP are bone cement leakage and adjacent vertebral fractures [34, 35]. The incidences of cement leakage of PVP and PKP for OVCF were 54.7% and 18.4%, respectively [35]. Krauss et al. [38] reported that in the treatment of OVCF with IVC by PVP, the bone cement leakage rate was 18.2%. Wang et al. [39] reported that the bone cement leakage rate of OVCF with IVC was 7.4% in PKP treatment. This study found that the leakage rates of bone cement were 14.3% and 10.7% in PVP and PKP group respectively, and both are similar to previous studies, which may be related to accurate preoperatively measurement of surgical approach, careful operation by the operator and not pursuing the maximum amount of bone cement. Besides, Kümmell's disease exists obvious hardened zone and "crack" of vertebral body. A closed space is formed by the fissure, the surfaces of hardened zone, and the anterior longitudinal ligament. The injection of bone cement is confined in the closed space, and less prone to spread to other parts of the vertebral body. Therefore, the abnormal leakage of bone cement both in PKP group and PVP group was lower than that in the treatment of OVCF. In this study, although there was no significant difference of the bone cement leakage rate between the PVP group and the PKP group, the bone cement leakage rate of the PKP group was lower than that of the PVP group. The reason was mainly related to the fact that the PKP group could squeeze the surrounding cancellous bone during balloon expansion and reduce the bone cement leakage. In the other study, among the 219 patients of OVCF with single thoracolumbar fractures, 29 cases (13.2%) occurred non-surgical vertebral fractures in the PKP treatment [36]. Eleven patients (14.1%) in the early PVP group (n = 78) and 18 patients (39.1%) in the late PVP group (n = 46) experienced an adjacent vertebral fractures during the first year following PVP [37]. In this study, the secondary fracture rates of PVP and PKP were 7.1% and 10.7%, respectively, which were both lower than previous studies, and may be related to postoperative restriction of premature activity, lumbar protection and continuous anti-osteoporosis treatment.

However, this study also had the following limitations. Despite the use of the blinded and random fashion, researchers failed to fully implement the principle of blindness. This was a prospective study with a small number of patients in each group. Furthermore, there is a lack of time biomechanical study on cement distribution in vertebrae to support the results. The current findings require further validation in multicenter, randomized, double-blind clinical trials.

Conclusion

Both PVP and PKP can achieve similar effects in the treatment of Kümmell's disease with the advantages of simple operation, short operation time, small trauma, and quick recovery, which can restore the partial height and kyphosis of the vertebral body, quickly alleviate pain and improve the living quality of patients. Because the cost, operation time, blood loss, radiation exposure and surgical procedure of PVP are less than those of PKP, PVP has more clinical priority value.

Abbreviations

PVP: Percutaneous vertebroplasty; PKP: Percutaneous kyphoplasty; OVCF Osteoporotic vertebral compression fracture; IVC: Intravertebral vacuum cleft; ODI: Oswestry disability index; VAS: Visual

analogue scale.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study were approved by the institutional review boards/Ethics Committees of CR & WISCO General Hospital, and was conducted in compliance with the ethical principles of the Helsinki Declaration of 1975. Written informed consent was obtained from the patients or their family members.

Consent for publication

Not Applicable.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

Fundings

MJ B and YP X receive research support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No: 31671235) for the collection, analysis, and interpretation of data.

Authors' contributions

All authors made substantive intellectual contributions in this study to qualify as authors. X YP and C JZ designed this study. MJ B, DP S, CJ S and C JB participated in collecting and analyzing raw materials. An initial draft of the manuscript was written by X YP and C JZ. MJ B, DP S, CJ S and X YP re-drafted parts of the manuscript and provided helpful advice on the final revision. All authors were involved in writing the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the authors' hospitals, and we really appreciate the help given by medical staff from the departments of anesthesiology, radiology and other orthopedic medical staff and rehabilitation nurse. Ya-Ping Xiao would like to thank Cong-Hui Qian for English language editing as well as silent support for life and work.

Authors' Information

1. Department of Orthopedic Surgery, CR & WISCO General Hospital, Wuhan University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430000, P.R. China.
2. Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Emergency General Hospital, Xibahenanli29, Chaoyang dis. Beijing, 100028, P.R. China
3. Department of Orthopedics, Wuhan Hanyang Hospital, Wuhan University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430050, P.R. China

References

1. Lim J, Choi S-W, Youm J-Y, Kwon H-J, Kim S-H, Koh H-S: **Posttraumatic Delayed Vertebral Collapse : Kummell's Disease**. *Journal of Korean Neurosurgical Society* 2018, **61**(1):1-9.
2. D'Oria S, Delvecchio C, Dibenedetto M, Zizza F, Somma C: **Case report of Kummell's disease with delayed onset myelopathy and the literature review**. *Eur J Orthop Surg Traumatol* 2018, **28**(2):309-316.
3. Young WF, Brown D, Kendler A, Clements D: **Delayed post-traumatic osteonecrosis of a vertebral body (Kummell's disease)**. *Acta Orthop Belg* 2002, **68**(1):13-19.
4. Yu W, Liang D, Yao Z, Qiu T, Ye L, Jiang X: **The therapeutic effect of intravertebral vacuum cleft with osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures: A systematic review and meta-analysis**. *Int J Surg* 2017, **40**:17-23.
5. Zuo X-H, Zhu X-P, Bao H-G, Xu C-J, Chen H, Gao X-Z, Zhang Q-X: **Network meta-analysis of percutaneous vertebroplasty, percutaneous kyphoplasty, nerve block, and conservative treatment for nonsurgery options of acute/subacute and chronic osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures (OVCFs) in short-term and long-term effects**. *Medicine* 2018, **97**(29):e11544-e11544.
6. Zhang H, Xu C, Zhang T, Gao Z, Zhang T: **Does Percutaneous Vertebroplasty or Balloon Kyphoplasty for Osteoporotic Vertebral Compression Fractures Increase the Incidence of New Vertebral Fractures? A Meta-Analysis**. *Pain physician* 2017, **20**(1):E13-E28.
7. Patel A, Carter KR: **Percutaneous Vertebroplasty And Kyphoplasty**. In: *StatPearls*. edn. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2020.
8. Khan M, Kushchayev SV: **Percutaneous Vertebral Body Augmentations: The State of Art**. *Neuroimaging Clin N Am* 2019, **29**(4):495-513.
9. Zhang Y, Shi L, Tang P, Zhang L: **Comparison of the Efficacy Between Two Micro-Operative Therapies of Old Patients With Osteoporotic Vertebral Compression Fracture: A Network Meta-Analysis**. *J Cell Biochem* 2017, **118**(10):3205-3212.
10. Chen C, Fan P, Xie X, Wang Y: **Risk Factors for Cement Leakage and Adjacent Vertebral Fractures in Kyphoplasty for Osteoporotic Vertebral Fractures**. *Clin Spine Surg* 2020:10.1097/BSD.0000000000000928.

11. Jiang J, Gu F-L, Li Z-W, Zhou Y: **The clinical efficacy and experience of bipedicular percutaneous vertebroplasty combined with postural reduction in the treatment of Kümmell's disease.** *BMC musculoskeletal disorders* 2020, **21**(1):82-82.
12. Galibert P, Deramond H, Rosat P, Le Gars D: **Preliminary note on the treatment of vertebral angioma by percutaneous acrylic vertebroplasty.** *Neurochirurgie* 1987, **33**(2):166-168.
13. Dydyk AM, M Das J: **Vertebral Augmentation.** In: *StatPearls*. edn. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2020.
14. Huang S, Zhu X, Xiao D, Zhuang J, Liang G, Liang C, Zheng X, Ke Y, Chang Y: **Therapeutic effect of percutaneous kyphoplasty combined with anti-osteoporosis drug on postmenopausal women with osteoporotic vertebral compression fracture and analysis of postoperative bone cement leakage risk factors: a retrospective cohort study.** *Journal of orthopaedic surgery and research* 2019, **14**(1):452-452.
15. Liu F, Chen Z, Lou C, Yu W, Zheng L, He D, Zhu K: **Anterior reconstruction versus posterior osteotomy in treating Kümmell's disease with neurological deficits: A systematic review.** *Acta Orthop Traumatol Turc* 2018, **52**(4):283-288.
16. Huang Y-S, Hao D-J, Wang X-D, Sun H-H, Du J-P, Yang J-S, Gao J, Xue P: **Long-Segment or Bone Cement-Augmented Short-Segment Fixation for Kummell Disease with Neurologic Deficits? A Comparative Cohort Study.** *World neurosurgery* 2018, **116**:e1079-e1086.
17. He D, Yu W, Chen Z, Li L, Zhu K, Fan S: **Pathogenesis of the intravertebral vacuum of Kümmell's disease.** *Experimental and therapeutic medicine* 2016, **12**(2):879-882.
18. Kim H, Jun S, Park SK, Kim G-T, Park SH: **Intravertebral vacuum cleft sign: a cause of vertebral cold defect on bone scan.** *Skeletal Radiol* 2016, **45**(5):707-712.
19. Molloy S, Mathis JM, Belkoff SM: **The effect of vertebral body percentage fill on mechanical behavior during percutaneous vertebroplasty.** *Spine* 2003, **28**(14):1549-1554.
20. Graham J, Ahn C, Hai N, Buch BD: **Effect of bone density on vertebral strength and stiffness after percutaneous vertebroplasty.** *Spine* 2007, **32**(18):E505-E511.
21. Huang Y, Peng M, He S, Tang X, Dai M, Tang C: **Clinical Efficacy of Percutaneous Kyphoplasty at the Hyperextension Position for the Treatment of Osteoporotic Kümmell Disease.** *Clin Spine Surg* 2016, **29**(4):161-166.
22. Kim P, Kim SW: **Balloon Kyphoplasty: An Effective Treatment for Kummell Disease?** *Korean J Spine* 2016, **13**(3):102-106.
23. Li H-K, Hao D-J, Yang J-S, Huang D-G, Yu C-C, Zhang J-N, Gao L, Li H, Qian B: **Percutaneous kyphoplasty versus posterior spinal fixation with vertebroplasty for treatment of Kümmell disease: A case-control study with minimal 2-year follow-up.** *Medicine* 2017, **96**(51):e9287-e9287.
24. Park JW, Park J-H, Jeon HJ, Lee JY, Cho BM, Park S-H: **Kümmell's Disease Treated with Percutaneous Vertebroplasty: Minimum 1 Year Follow-Up.** *Korean journal of neurotrauma* 2017, **13**(2):119-123.
25. Sun Y, Xiong X, Wan D, Deng X, Shi H, Song S, Wu X, Zhou J, Yang M: **Effectiveness comparison between unilateral and bilateral percutaneous kyphoplasty for Kümmell disease.** *Zhongguo xiu fu*

chong jian wai ke za zhi = Zhongguo xiufu chongjian waikē zazhi = Chinese journal of reparative and reconstructive surgery 2017, **31**(9):1086-1091.

26. Xia Y-H, Chen F, Zhang L, Li G, Tang Z-Y, Feng B, Xu K: **Percutaneous kyphoplasty treatment evaluation for patients with Kümmell disease based on a two-year follow-up.** *Experimental and therapeutic medicine* 2018, **16**(4):3617-3622.
27. Zhang J, Fan Y, He X, Meng Y, Huang Y, Jia S, Du J, Wu Q, Hao D: **Is percutaneous kyphoplasty the better choice for minimally invasive treatment of neurologically intact osteoporotic Kümmell's disease? A comparison of two minimally invasive procedures.** *International orthopaedics* 2018, **42**(6):1321-1326.
28. Xiong X-M, Sun Y-L, Song S-M, Yang M-Y, Zhou J, Wan D, Deng X-G, Shi H-G: **Efficacy of unilateral transverse process-pedicle and bilateral puncture techniques in percutaneous kyphoplasty for Kummell disease.** *Experimental and therapeutic medicine* 2019, **18**(5):3615-3621.
29. Hur W, Choi SS, Lee M, Lee DK, Lee JJ, Kim K: **Spontaneous Vertebral Reduction during the Procedure of Kyphoplasty in a Patient with Kummell's Disease.** *The Korean journal of pain* 2011, **24**(4):231-234.
30. Hur W, Lee JJ, Kim J, Kang SW, Lee IO, Lee MK, Choi SS: **Spontaneous air reduction of vertebra plana with Kummell's disease during vertebroplasty: subsequent experience with an intentional trial.** *Pain Med* 2014, **15**(7):1240-1242.
31. Heo DH, Chin DK, Yoon YS, Kuh SU: **Recollapse of previous vertebral compression fracture after percutaneous vertebroplasty.** *Osteoporosis international : a journal established as result of cooperation between the European Foundation for Osteoporosis and the National Osteoporosis Foundation of the USA* 2009, **20**(3):473-480.
32. Niu J, Zhou H, Meng Q, Shi J, Meng B, Yang H: **Factors affecting recompression of augmented vertebrae after successful percutaneous balloon kyphoplasty: a retrospective analysis.** *Acta Radiol* 2015, **56**(11):1380-1387.
33. Kim Y-Y, Rhyu K-W: **Recompression of vertebral body after balloon kyphoplasty for osteoporotic vertebral compression fracture.** *European spine journal : official publication of the European Spine Society, the European Spinal Deformity Society, and the European Section of the Cervical Spine Research Society* 2010, **19**(11):1907-1912.
34. Chen W, Xie W, Xiao Z, Chen H, Jin D, Ding J: **Incidence of Cement Leakage Between Unilateral and Bilateral Percutaneous Vertebral Augmentation for Osteoporotic Vertebral Compression Fractures: A Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials.** *World neurosurgery* 2019, **122**:342-348.
35. Zhan Y, Jiang J, Liao H, Tan H, Yang K: **Risk Factors for Cement Leakage After Vertebroplasty or Kyphoplasty: A Meta-Analysis of Published Evidence.** *World neurosurgery* 2017, **101**:633-642.
36. Wu J, Guan Y-H, Fan S-L: **Risk factors of non-surgical vertebral fracture after percutaneous kyphoplasty of single segment thoracolumbar fracture.** *Zhongguo gu shang = China journal of orthopaedics and traumatology* 2017, **30**(9):833-837.
37. Yang C-C, Chien J-T, Tsai T-Y, Yeh K-T, Lee R-P, Wu W-T: **Earlier Vertebroplasty for Osteoporotic Thoracolumbar Compression Fracture May Minimize the Subsequent Development of Adjacent**

Fractures: A Retrospective Study. *Pain physician* 2018, **21**(5):E483-E491.

38. Krauss M, Hirschfelder H, Tomandl B, Lichti G, Bär I: **Kyphosis reduction and the rate of cement leaks after vertebroplasty of intravertebral clefts.** *European radiology* 2006, **16**(5):1015-1021.
39. Wang G, Yang H, Chen K: **Osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures with an intravertebral cleft treated by percutaneous balloon kyphoplasty.** *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 2010, **92**(11):1553-1557.

Figures

Figure 1

Cases of Kümmell 's disease and follow-up period