

# Sedative and analgesic validity and administration routes of dexmedetomidine and fentanyl combined with ketamine in awake fiberoptic intubation: An exploratory randomized controlled trial

**Chunzhu Li**

Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine

**Jiali Peng**

Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine

**Yu Sun**

Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine

**Rong Hu**

Tongren Hospital Shanghai Jiaotong University School of Medicine

**Hao Wang**

Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine

**Jia Yan** (✉ [mzkyanj@163.com](mailto:mzkyanj@163.com))

Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4507-7469>

**Hong Jiang**

Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine

---

## Research article

**Keywords:** ketamine, dexmedetomidine, fentanyl, awake intubation, sedation, analgesia

**Posted Date:** March 5th, 2020

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-16108/v1>

**License:**  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

---

# Abstract

**Background:** Awake fiberoptic bronchoscope intubation (AFOBI) is the gold standard technique for the management of patients with difficult airways. Adequate sedation and analgesia are essential for successful AFOBI. The aim of this study was to evaluate the sedative and analgesic validity and administration routes of dexmedetomidine and fentanyl combined with ketamine in awake fiberoptic intubation.

**Methods:** Patients undergoing head and neck surgery under general anesthesia with predicted difficult airways were included. Participants were randomly assigned to 6 different groups (n=6): groups 1-3 were intravenous (IV), while groups 4-6 were intranasal(IN) (group 1: dexmedetomidine (DEX) 1 µg/kg + fentanyl (FEN) 1 µg/kg; groups 2-3: DEX 1 µg/kg+ FEN 0.7 µg/kg + ketamine (KTM) 0.1/0.2 mg/kg; group 4: DEX 1.5 µg/kg + FEN 1.4 µg/kg; and groups 5-6: DEX 1 µg/kg + FEN 1 µg/kg + KTM 0.4/0.6 mg/kg). The visual analog scale (VAS) score during intubation, time required for the modified observer's assessment of alertness/sedation scale (OAA/S) score to reach above 2 and for the bispectral index (BIS) to decrease to 60-80, motor activity assessment scale (MAAS) score, changes in vital signs and adverse effects were recorded.

**Results:** Among the IV groups, the VAS score of group 1 ( $5.65\pm 2.11$ ) was higher than those of group 2 ( $1.89\pm 2.16$ ,  $P = 0.012$ ) and group 3 ( $1.15\pm 0.98$ ,  $P = 0.001$ ). Among the IN groups, the VAS score was lower in group 6 ( $0.86\pm 1.27$ ) than in group 4 ( $7.20\pm 2.70$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ) and group 5 ( $3.93\pm 2.73$ ,  $P = 0.031$ ). Participants in group 5 and group 6 were less likely to cough when intubated than those in group 4 ( $P = 0.002$ ), while the differences among IV groups were not significant. There were no significant differences in the other endpoints.

**Conclusions:** Our study indicates that the addition of subanesthetic doses of ketamine, either intravenous or intranasal, could reduce the fentanyl and dexmedetomidine consumption used in AFOBI and provide better sedative and analgesic effects.

**Trial registration:** Chinese Clinical Trial Registry ([www.chictr.org.cn](http://www.chictr.org.cn); ChiCTR1900021185), prospectively registered on February 1st, 2019.

## Background

The estimated incidence of difficult airways during clinical anesthesia is 1–18% [1, 2]. Severe events may occur, such as respiratory depression, hypoventilation, hypoxemia, brain damage or even death if the patient's airway is not secured [3]. Although local anesthesia and many types of medicines are used to mitigate anxiety and pain, awake intubation can be an extremely unpleasant experience. The sedative and analgesic effect of a low dose of a single agent is poor, resulting in obvious pain and an inability to cooperate well with the tracheal intubation. However, high doses may cause adverse effects, such as different degrees of inhibition of the respiratory and circulatory systems, that threaten the lives and safety of patients [4,5].

Dexmedetomidine (DEX) is a selective  $\alpha$ -2-adrenoceptor agonist that has the ability to sedate, functions as an anxiolysis, is analgesic sparing, and reduces salivary secretion [6]. It can induce a 'wakable' state that is similar to normal sleep. There are many studies on the use of dexmedetomidine in awake fiberoptic bronchoscopy intubation (AFOBI) [7]. A recent review reported that dexmedetomidine can cause fewer desaturation episodes than propofol and opioids when used in AFOBI [8]. As a classic opioid analgesic, fentanyl (FEN) can pass through the blood-brain barrier and takes effect quickly due to its high lipid solubility. When used in large doses, fentanyl can cause respiratory depression, asphyxia, muscle stiffness and bradycardia. However, it cannot provide adequate analgesia at low doses. Thus, it is always combined with other anesthetics in the clinic to achieve sufficient analgesia and avoid adverse effects.

Ketamine (KTM) is an N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor antagonist and is used for sedation and analgesia in children and adults. As a strong analgesic agent, it provides an adequate analgesic effect at subanesthetic doses (< 1 mg/kg) and has a fast onset [9]. In addition to inhibiting respiration slightly, it has a sympathetic effect that can increase heart rate, blood pressure and bronchiectasis. It is suitable for patients with circulatory instability or asthma [10, 11]. Higher doses can achieve satisfactory analgesic effects clinically. However, the adverse reactions caused by larger doses of ketamine, including increased sputum production, brain metabolism and cerebral blood flow, can be alleviated by combining it with other intravenous anesthetics with complementary pharmacological properties [12]. Low-dose ketamine can reduce the target concentration of dexmedetomidine required for the loss of consciousness, enhance the sedative effect of the dexmedetomidine, and ensure more stable hemodynamics [13]. Furthermore, low-dose ketamine combined with dexmedetomidine significantly reduces the necessary dosage of fentanyl, providing a better sedative effect [14].

In addition to intravenous application, intranasal application is another common route of drug administration. The bioavailability of intranasal dexmedetomidine can reach 82% of that of intravenous administration. Regarding fentanyl, the efficacy of intranasal fentanyl spray has been reported in several studies; these studies showed a rapid time to analgesic effect and indicated that the bioavailability of intranasal fentanyl was 89% of the intravenous bioavailability [15, 16]. A more recent study indicated that pain could be significantly alleviated in 5 min in cancer patients with the intranasal administration of fentanyl; it is as effective as intravenous morphine in adults, and its bioavailability is 70% [17]. The intranasal bioavailability of ketamine can reach 50% and 45% of the intravenous bioavailability in children and adults, respectively [18]. To date, there have been few reports about the combined use of ketamine, dexmedetomidine and fentanyl in AFOBI, either intravenously or intranasally.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the sedative and analgesic validity and the different administration routes of dexmedetomidine and fentanyl combined with ketamine in awake fiberoptic intubation.

## Methods

### Ethical considerations

The trial protocol was approved by the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of Shanghai Ninth People's Hospital affiliated with Shanghai Jiaotong University, School of Medicine (approval number, SH9H-2018-T38-3). It was registered with the Chinese Clinical Trial Registry ([www.chictr.org.cn](http://www.chictr.org.cn); ChiCTR1900021185). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants or their legal representatives the day before surgery. This study adhered to CONSORT guidelines.

## Participants and groups

We screened the patients the day before surgery and recruited those who met all the following criteria: (1) undergoing elective head and neck surgery with a predictive difficult airway and with BMI > 26 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, toothless, obstructive sleep apnea hypopnea syndrome (OSAHS)/snoring, Mallampati grade III/IV, limited mandibular protrusion, short hyperthyroidism (<6 cm) and mass that may influence intubation; (2) age ≥ 16 and < 60 years old; and (3) ASA physical status I or II. Patients who met any of the following criteria were excluded: (1) had chronic systemic diseases, such as high blood pressure, coronary disease, asthma, or thyroid disease (hyperthyroidism); (2) had cardiac electrophysiological disease, such as sinus bradycardia and atrioventricular heart blockage; (3) had intracranial hypertension or mental illness; and (4) special situations that needed to be determined by the chief surgeon.

A total of 36 patients in Shanghai Ninth People's Hospital were randomly assigned to 6 different groups (n=6): groups 1-3 received intravenous medication, while groups 4-6 received intranasal medication (group 1 DEX 1 µg/kg + FEN 1 µg/kg; groups 2-3: DEX 1 µg/kg + FEN 0.7 µg/kg + KTM 0.1/0.2 mg/kg; group 4: DEX 1.5 µg/kg + FEN 1.4 µg/kg; groups 5-6: DEX 1 µg/kg + FEN 1 µg/kg + KTM 0.4/0.6 mg/kg). Random numbers were generated by professionals in the department of statistics and placed in random envelopes that were opened on the day of operation. Anesthesiologists administered drugs according to the patient's assigned subgroup. Patients and the personnel who collected and analyzed the data were blinded to the specific grouping.

## Anesthesia procedure and data collection

Standard fasting guidelines were followed. No preoperative medication was administered. Patients lay in the supine position, and a peripheral vein in the dorsal venous hand or a cephalic vein was established. At the same time, the patient inhaled oxygen (2 L/min), and the electrocardiogram (ECG), noninvasive blood pressure (NIBP), pulse oxygen saturation (SpO<sub>2</sub>), respiration rate (RR), and bispectral index (BIS) were recorded.

For the intravenous (IV) route (Fig. 1A), each group was given dexmedetomidine (Guorui Medical, Inc., Sichuan, China; 0.1 mg/ml) at a total dose of 1 µg/kg of body weight, which was diluted with sterile saline solution (Chimin Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Zhejiang, China) to a final concentration of 4 µg/ml and intravenously pumped for 10 min. Fentanyl (Humanwell Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Yichang, China; 0.05 mg/ml) was given intravenously 6 min after dexmedetomidine administration. The dose given to group 1 was 1 µg/kg, and that given to groups 2 and 3 was 0.7 µg/kg. Nine minutes later, groups 2 and 3 were intravenously injected with ketamine (Gutian Medical, Inc., Fujian, China; 50 mg/ml) at doses of 0.1

mg/kg or 0.2 mg/kg of body weight, respectively. After 10 min, the patients underwent thyrocricocentesis with 2% lidocaine hydrochloride (Hualu Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Shandong, China; 0.02 g/ml) for local anesthesia. Lidocaine aerosol (Xiangxue Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Guangzhou, China; 50 g:1.2 g) was sprayed into nose in preparation for intubation, and then the nasal cavity was contracted with ephedrine hydrochloride and nitrofurazone nasal drops (Winguide Huangpu, Shanghai, China; 10 ml:2 mg). Then, the patients were intubated intranasally with a fiberoptic bronchoscope. At the same time, the motor activity assessment scale (MAAS) score was determined. All patients breathed spontaneously during the procedure.

For the intranasal (IN) groups (Fig. 1B), monitoring was the same as for the intravenous groups, and anesthetics were administered intranasally using a mucosal atomizer device (MAD, Wolfe Troy Medical Inc., Utah, USA). An independent investigator prepared and administered the anesthetics or placebo (0.9% saline) with a 2.5-ml syringe that was attached to an MAD via a lure lock connector. All the anesthetics used were diluted with 0.9% saline to a final volume of 1.5 ml. The unilateral nasal cavity administration volume was no more than 0.3 ml each time, and administration intervals of 1 min were observed to ensure that the drug was completely absorbed through the nasal mucosa. Dexmedetomidine was given to group 4 or groups 5-6 at a dose of 1.5 µg/kg or 1 µg/kg of body weight, respectively. Ten minutes later, ketamine at 0.4 mg/kg or 0.6 mg/kg was given to group 5 or group 6, respectively. Then, 20 min later, fentanyl at 1.4 µg/kg or 1 µg/kg was given to group 4 or groups 5-6, respectively. Thirty minutes later, local anesthesia was administered as described above, and nasal tracheal intubation was performed using fiberoptic bronchoscopy.

Vital signs such as RR, HR, NIBP, and SpO<sub>2</sub> were recorded before drug administration as the baseline and were collected every 5 min during the procedure. The modified observer's assessment of alertness/sedation Scale (OAA/S) score was assessed every 3 min three times and each minute after 9 min. The MAAS score and the time required for the BIS to reach 60-80 were recorded. Atropine (0.5 mg) was given when HR was lower than 45 beats per minute, and 6 mg ephedrine was given when systolic blood pressure (SBP) was lower than 90 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure (DBP) was lower than 60 mmHg or either dropped below 70% of the baseline value. The visual analog scale (VAS) score during intubation was noted. All intubations were performed by the same senior anesthesiologist.

The primary endpoint was the VAS score. Secondary endpoints included the time required for the modified OAA/S score to reach above 2 and for the BIS value to decrease to 60-80; the MAAS score; changes in HR, RR, NIBP, and SpO<sub>2</sub>; and the incidence of adverse reactions such as nausea, vomiting, coughing, sinus bradycardia and low blood pressure (a decrease in SBP/DBP of more than 30% compared to the baseline value).

### Statistical analysis

Continuous variables were analyzed with one-way ANOVA or paired *t*-test. Categorical variables were analyzed with Chi-square test or Fisher's exact test. A *P*-value <0.05 was considered statistically

significant. SPSS 24.0 for Windows (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) software was used for all statistical analyses.

## Results

### Participant characteristics

Between February 1, 2019, and March 25, 2019, 36 patients were enrolled and randomized; all participants completed the study, with 6 in each final group (Fig. 2). All patients could be woken up during the intubation procedure. There was no significant difference in age, sex, or BMI (Table 1).

Table 1  
Baseline characteristics.

target	1	2	3	4	5	6
Age(yr)	44.83 ±	41.33 ±	35.17 ±	37.50 ±	25.33 ±	39.67 ±
Sex (Male)	15.88	13.98	14.76	16.23	5.47	12.03
Sex (Female)	50%	83.33%	50%	33.33%	66.67%	33.33%
BMI	22.03 ±	21.60 ±	22.23 ±	20.00 ±	21.17 ±	21.05 ±
	2.55	1.79	3.16	1.46	3.78	2.61

Data are presented as the mean (SD), median (interquartile range) or percentage (%) of patients.

### Outcome Analysis

#### Primary outcome

For IV groups, the VAS score of group 1 ( $5.65 \pm 2.11$ ) was higher than those of group 2 ( $1.89 \pm 2.16$ ,  $t = 3.043$ ,  $df = 10$ ,  $P = 0.012$ , 95% CI 1.01 to 6.50) and group 3 ( $1.15 \pm 0.98$ ,  $t = 4.733$ ,  $df = 10$ ,  $P = 0.001$ , 95% CI 2.38 to 6.61). The difference between group 2 and group 3 was not significant (Fig. 3A). For IN groups, the VAS score was lower in group 6 ( $0.86 \pm 1.27$ ) than in group 4 ( $7.20 \pm 2.70$ ,  $t = 5.198$ ,  $df = 10$ ,  $P < 0.001$ , 95% CI 3.62 to 9.05) and group 5 ( $3.93 \pm 2.73$ ,  $t = 2.499$ ,  $df = 10$ ,  $P = 0.031$ , 95% CI 0.33 to 5.81) (Fig. 3B). Group 6 had the lowest score of all the groups; its score was significantly different from those of groups 1, 4 and 5.

#### Secondary outcomes

The time required for the OAA/S score to reach above 2 was not significantly different among IV groups or IN groups (Fig. 3C, D). There was no obvious difference in the time needed for the BIS to decrease to 60–80 (Fig. 3E, F) or in the MAAS score (Fig. 3G, H). The same results were obtained for HR, NIBP, and SpO<sub>2</sub> (Fig. 4). In addition, we found that the respiration rates of group 1 and group 2 differed at the 10-, 15-, and 20-min time points, with a  $P$  value  $< 0.05$ . Considering that they were also different at 0 min, it was difficult to determine whether the differences between the two groups were caused by different drug administrations.

We found that the participants in group 5 and group 6 were less likely to cough when intubated than those in group 4 (both were 16.67% vs. 100.00%,  $P = 0.002$ ), while no difference was found among IV groups. The differences in the incidences of other adverse effects (nausea, vomiting, sinus bradycardia and low blood pressure) were not significant among the groups.

## Discussion

Our study found that the VAS score was inversely proportional to the dose of ketamine, meaning that the more ketamine the patients in our study received, the less pain they felt during the AFOBI procedure. The MAAS score of all groups reached below 4, which meant that the degree of analgesia and sedation was adequate for AFOBI.

The main purpose of medication during AFOBI is to keep patients responsive and cooperative without respiratory and cardiovascular function depression [6, 19]. To achieve these effects, the agent used must have an immediate onset of action, be easily titratable, and produce adequate sedation [20]. The three anesthetics used in our study all act quickly when administered intravenously and have strong sedation and analgesia effects. Dexmedetomidine is usually used at 1  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$  over 10 min as a loading dose with or without a maintenance dose of 0.3  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}/\text{h}$  to 0.7  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}/\text{h}$  [9,21–26]. Considering that we combined dexmedetomidine with other anesthetics, we finally chose a loading dose of 1  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$  infused intravenously over 10 min with no continuous infusion. Fentanyl takes 1 min to take effect when injected intravenously and 4 min to reach a peak, and the analgesia effect is maintained for 30 to 60 min. The induction dosages of fentanyl in general anesthesia differ with the type of surgery, ranging from 1  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$  to 4  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ . The final intravenous injection dosage of fentanyl was set at 1  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ , consistent with the current literature [2]. A previous study reported that low doses of ketamine could produce analgesia and modulate opioid tolerance, which is consistent with our study [9]. Ketamine is typically administered intravenously and has a relatively short half-life (2–3 h). However, it is inconvenient for use in ambulatory settings [27]. There have been few studies on the use of ketamine in awake intubation. Considering that dexmedetomidine and fentanyl were combined, we finally set a dosage gradient of 0.1 mg/kg and 0.2 mg/kg for IV, which is lower than the dosage used to treat cancer pain intravenously [28].

In our study, the incidences of coughing were lower in group 5 and group 6 than in group 4 ( $P = 0.002$ ), indicating that ketamine could suppress coughing. A previous study reported that a mixture of ketamine and dexmedetomidine could suppress coughing induced by fentanyl, consistent with our study's findings [29]. However, no difference was found among the IV groups. The results of our study suggest that the addition of ketamine could reduce coughing, especially when administered intranasally. This outcome may result from the local anesthetic effect of ketamine [30].

In this study, we calculated the dosages of intranasally administered drugs according to their bioavailability. Considering that the dosage of ketamine was 0.75 mg/kg in the study of Andolfatto G. et al. [31], we chose lower doses of 0.4 and 0.6 mg/kg. To achieve the maximum analgesic effect of drugs at the same time, we set the dosing interval by referring to the pharmacokinetic data reported in other

studies. Intubation was performed when the blood concentrations of the three anesthetics were at their highest - that is, when sedation and analgesia were at their best - to minimize patients' pain and anxiety.

There were a few limitations in our study. On one hand, the sample size was not large enough because this was an exploratory study, and more samples will be recruited in the future. On the other hand, the pharmaceutical concentration of dexmedetomidine was not high enough, so a small dose was given repeatedly.

We also noted a few tips that might ensure the effectiveness of intranasal administration. First, we examined the patients' nasal structure and mucosa, cleaned the nasal cavities and minimized the barriers to drug absorption. Second, we tried to increase the concentration of the drugs by not diluting them. Third, we used a special nasal spray device to distribute the drug evenly onto the nasal mucosa to reduce drug loss. Fourth, we performed nasal administration in both nasal cavities to increase the nasal mucosal surface area that could absorb the drugs. Fifth, an administration method that repeatedly provided a small amount of the drug was used, and the amount delivered to a single nasal cavity was controlled to be no more than 0.3 ml at a time. Finally, the participants were asked to lean their heads back during spraying and to breathe with their mouths temporarily.

## **Conclusions**

Our study indicated that the addition of subanesthetic doses of ketamine could reduce the dosages of fentanyl and dexmedetomidine used in AFOBI and provide better sedative and analgesic effects both intravenously and intranasally. Furthermore, the addition of intranasal ketamine could suppress coughing, while no difference was found for the groups that received intravenous ketamine.

## **Abbreviations**

AFOBI: awake fiberoptic bronchoscope intubation; DEX: dexmedetomidine; FEN: fentanyl; KTM: ketamine; IV: intravenous; IN: intranasal; VAS: visual analog scale; OAA/S: observer's assessment of alertness/sedation scale; BIS: bispectral index; MAAS: motor activity assessment scale; ECG: electrocardiogram; HR, heart rate; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; NIBP, noninvasive blood pressure; SpO<sub>2</sub>, pulse oxygen saturation; RR, respiration rate.

## **Declarations**

### **Acknowledgments**

We thank all participants and their families and the nurses for their cooperation and support with this study.

### **Authors' contributions**

JH, YJ, LC, and PJ designed the project. LC wrote the manuscript with PJ and YJ providing revisions of the manuscript. LC and PJ recruited the subjects and clinical assessments and acquired the data. SY, HR and WH helped to design the overall study and analyze the data. All authors reviewed the final manuscript. All authors have given their final approval for the manuscript.

## **Funding**

This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (81870818, 81901070) and Shanghai Pujiang Program (2019PJD025), Shanghai Sailing Program (19YF1427700), Seed Founding of Shanghai Ninth People's Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine (JYZZ047), Funding of Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine (TM201715), Natural Science Foundation of Shanghai (17DZ1205403). The funding agents play no role in the study design, data collection, analysis, interpretation of data or in writing the manuscript.

## **Availability of data and materials**

The datasets supporting the conclusions of this article are included within the article.

## **Ethics approval and consent to participate**

The trial protocol was approved by the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of Shanghai Ninth People's Hospital affiliated with Shanghai Jiaotong University, School of Medicine (approval number, SH9H-2018-T38-3). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants or their legal representatives the day before surgery.

## **Consent for publication**

Participants have expressed their consent for anonymized data publication in written or verbal form.

## **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

## **References**

- [1] Shiga T, Wajima Z, Inoue T, Sakamoto A. Predicting difficult intubation in apparently normal patients: a meta-analysis of bedside screening test performance. *Anesthesiology*. 2005;103:429–37.
- [2] Liu H, Zhou T, Wei J. Comparison between remifentanyl and dexmedetomidine for sedation during modified awake fiberoptic intubation. *Exp Ther Med*. 2015;9:1259–64.
- [3] Rosenstock CV, Thogersen B, Afshari A, Christensen AL, Eriksen C, Gatke MR. Awake fiberoptic or awake video laryngoscopic tracheal intubation in patients with anticipated difficult airway management: a randomized clinical trial. *Anesthesiology*. 2012;116:1210–6.

- [4] Mendonca C, Mesbah A, Velayudhan A, Danha R. A randomised clinical trial comparing the flexible fibroscope and the Pentax Airway Scope (AWS)(®)for awake oral tracheal intubation. *Anaesthesia*. 2016;71:908–14.
- [5] Tsai CJ, Chu KS, Chen TI, Lu DV, Wang HM, Lu IC. A comparison of the effectiveness of dexmedetomidine versus propofol target-controlled infusion for sedation during fibreoptic nasotracheal intubation. *Anaesthesia*. 2010;65:254–9.
- [6] He XY, Cao J, He Q, Shi XY. Dexmedetomidine for the management of fibreoptic intubation. *Cochrane Db Syst Rev* [Online serial]. 2014;1:CD009798.
- [7] Li CW, Li YD, Tian HT, Kong XG, Chen K. Dexmedetomidine-midazolam versus sufentanil-midazolam for awake fiberoptic nasotracheal intubation: A randomized double-blind study, *Chin. Med. J. (Engl)*. 2015;128:3143–8.
- [8] Cabrini L, Baiardo RM, Ball L, et al. Awake Fiberoptic Intubation Protocols in the Operating Room for Anticipated Difficult Airway: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. *Anesth Analg*. 2019;128:971–80.
- [9] Schmid RL, Sandler AN, Katz J. Use and efficacy of low-dose ketamine in the management of acute postoperative pain: A review of current techniques and outcomes. *Pain*. 1999;82:111–25.
- [10] Ferguson I, Alkhoury H, Fogg T, Aneman A. Ketamine use for rapid sequence intubation in Australian and New Zealand emergency departments from 2010 to 2015: A registry study. *Emerg Med Australas*. 2019;31:205–10.
- [11] Mogahd MM, Mahran MS, Elbaradi GF. Safety and efficacy of ketamine-dexmedetomidine versus ketamine-propofol combinations for sedation in patients after coronary artery bypass graft surgery. *Ann Card Anaesth*. 2017;20:182–7.
- [12] Chen J-Y, Jia J-E, Liu T-J, Qin M-J, Li W-X. Comparison of the effects of dexmedetomidine, ketamine, and placebo on emergence agitation after strabismus surgery in children. *Can J Anesth Can d’anesthésie*. 2013;60:385–92.
- [13] Chun EH, Han MJ, Baik HJ, Park HS, Chung RK, Han JI, et al. Dexmedetomidine-ketamine versus Dexmedetomidine-midazolam-fentanyl for monitored anesthesia care during chemoport insertion: A Prospective Randomized Study. *BMC Anesthesiol*. 2016;16:1–9.
- [14] Li A, Yuen VM, Goulay-Dufay S, Sheng Y, Standing JF, Kwok PCL, et al. Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic study of intranasal and intravenous dexmedetomidine. *Br J Anaesth*. 2018;120:960–8.
- [15] Thronæs M, Popper L, Eeg M, Jaatun E, Kvitberg M, Kaasa S. Efficacy and Tolerability of Intranasal Fentanyl Spray in Cancer Patients with Breakthrough Pain. *Clin Ther*. 2015;37:585–96.

- [16] Foster D, Upton R, Christrup L, Popper L. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of intranasal versus intravenous fentanyl in patients with pain after oral surgery. *Ann Pharmacother.* 2008;42:1380–7.
- [17] Chew KS, Shaharudin AH. An open-label randomised controlled trial on the efficacy of adding intranasal fentanyl to intravenous tramadol in patients with moderate to severe pain following acute musculoskeletal injuries. *Singapore Med J.* 2017;58:601–5.
- [18] Yanagihara Y, Ohtani M, Kariya S, Uchino K, Hiraishi T, Ashizawa N, et al. Plasma concentration profiles of ketamine and norketamine after administration of various ketamine preparations to healthy Japanese volunteers. *Biopharm Drug Dispos.* 2003;24:37–43.
- [19] Zhang Y, Shan GJ, Zhang YX, Cao SJ, Zhu SN, Li HJ, et al. Propofol compared with sevoflurane general anaesthesia is associated with decreased delayed neurocognitive recovery in older adults. *Br J Anaesth.* 2018;121:595–604.
- [20] Cattano D, Lam NC, Ferrario L, Seitan C, Vahdat K, Wilcox DW, et al. Dexmedetomidine versus remifentanil for sedation during awake fiberoptic intubation. *Anesthesiol Res Pract.* 2012;2012.
- [21] Shen SL, Xie Y hong, Wang WY, Hu SF, Zhang YL. Comparison of dexmedetomidine and sufentanil for conscious sedation in patients undergoing awake fiberoptic nasotracheal intubation: A prospective, randomised and controlled clinical trial. *Clin Respir J.* 2014;8:100–7.
- [22] Hu R, Liu JX, Jiang H. Dexmedetomidine versus remifentanil sedation during awake fiberoptic nasotracheal intubation: A double-blinded randomized controlled trial. *J Anesth.* 2013;27:211–7.
- [23] Soliman PT, Langley G, Munsell MF, Vaniya HA, Frumovitz M, Ramirez PT. Analgesic and antiemetic requirements after minimally invasive surgery for early cervical cancer: A comparison between laparoscopy and robotic surgery. *Ann Surg Oncol.* 2013;20:1355–9.
- [24] El Mourad MB, Elghamry MR, Mansour RF, Afandy ME. Comparison of intravenous dexmedetomidine-propofol versus ketofol for sedation during awake fiberoptic intubation: A prospective, randomized study. *Anesthesiol Pain Med.* 2019;9:1–8.
- [25] Xu T, Li M, Ni C, Guo X yang. Dexmedetomidine versus remifentanil for sedation during awake intubation using a Shikani optical stylet: A randomized, double-blinded, controlled trial. *BMC Anesthesiol.* 2016;16:1–7.
- [26] Kumar P, Kaur T, Atwal GK, Bhupal JS, Basra AK. Comparison of intubating conditions using fentanyl plus propofol versus fentanyl plus midazolam during fiberoptic laryngoscopy. *J Clin Diagnostic Res.* 2017;11:UC21-UC24.
- [27] Palareti G, Legnani C, Cosmi B, Antonucci E, Erba N, Poli D, et al. Comparison between different D-Dimer cutoff values to assess the individual risk of recurrent venous thromboembolism: Analysis of results obtained in the DULCIS study. *Int J Lab Hematol.* 2016;38:42–9.


[28] Mercadante S, Prestia G, Adile C, Casuccio A. Intranasal fentanyl versus fentanyl pectin nasal spray for the management of breakthrough cancer pain in doses proportional to basal opioid regimen. *J Pain*. 2014;15:602–7.

[29] Saleh AJ, Zhang L, Hadi SM, Ouyang W. A priming dose of intravenous ketamine-dexmedetomidine suppresses fentanyl-induced coughing: A double-blind, randomized, controlled study. *Ups J Med Sci*. 2014;119:333–7.

[30] Farnia MR, Jalali A, Vahidi E, Momeni M, Seyedhosseini J, Saeedi M. Comparison of intranasal ketamine versus IV morphine in reducing pain in patients with renal colic. *Am J Emerg Med*. 2017;35:434–7.


[31] Andolfatto G, Innes K, Dick W, Jenneson S, Willman E, Stenstrom R, et al. Prehospital Analgesia With Intranasal Ketamine: A Randomized Double-Blind Trial in Adults. *Ann Emerg Med*. 2019;:1–10.

## Figures




**Figure 1**

Drug administration flow. A. Intravenous (IV) administration. B. Intranasal (IN) administration. KTM, ketamine; DEX, dexmedetomidine; FEN, fentanyl. IV groups: group 1: DEX 1  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$  + FEN 1  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ ; groups 2-3: DEX 1  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$  + FEN 0.7  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$  + KTM 0.1/0.2  $\text{mg}/\text{kg}$ ; IN groups: group 4: DEX 1.5  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$  + FEN 1.4  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ ; and groups 5-6: DEX 1  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$  + FEN 1  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$  + KTM 0.4/0.6  $\text{mg}/\text{kg}$ .



**Figure 2**


CONSORT diagram.



**Figure 3**

Degree of analgesia and sedation. A-B. VAS score. For intravenous administration, the ketamine-conjugated group received reduced doses of dexmedetomidine and fentanyl, and the VAS score was lower in group 2 and group 3 than in group 1 (P values are 0.012 and 0.001). For intranasal

administration, the VAS score of group 6 was significantly lower than that of group 4 ( $P < 0.001$ ) and group 5 ( $P = 0.031$ ) (G, group; \*,  $P < 0.05$ ; \*\*,  $P \leq 0.01$ ; \*\*\*,  $P \leq 0.001$ ). C-D. The times required for OAA/S to reach above 2. E-F. The times required for BIS to decrease to 60-80. G-H. MAAS scores. For C-H, there were no significant differences among the IV groups or the IN groups.



**Figure 4**

Changes in vital signs. There were no significant differences in vital signs among the IV groups or the IN groups. A-B. Heart rate; C-D. Respiration rate; E-F. Systolic blood pressure; G-H. Diastolic blood pressure.

## Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [CONSORTChecklist.doc](#)