Asymptomatic Urinary Tract Infections and Associated Risk Factors in Pakistani Muslim Type 2 Diabetic Patients

Azizul Hasan Aamir (✉ drahaamir@gmail.com)

Khyber Girls Medical College, Hayatabad Medical Complex

Umar Yousuf Raja

Shifa International Hospital

Ali Asghar

Fatimiyah Hospital

Saeed Ahmed Mahar

National Institute of Cardiovascular Diseases

Tahir Ghaffar

Khyber Girls Medical College, Hayatabad Medical Complex

Ibrar Ahmed

Habib Medical Center

Faisal Masood Qureshi

Al-Khaliq Hospital

Jamal Zafar

Hanif Medical Center

Mohammad Imtiaz Hasan

Diabetes Institute of Pakistan

Amna Riaz

Jinnah Hospital

Syed Abbas Raza

National Defense Center

Irshad Ahmed Khoso

Balochistan Medical Center

Jahanzeb Khan

Dow University of Health Sciences

Mahwish Raza

Shaheed Zulfiqar Ali Bhutto Institute of Science and Technology

Jaffer Bin Baqar

University of Karachi

Research Article

Keywords: Type II Diabetes Mellitus, urinary tract infections, asymptomatic, Pakistani Muslim Population

Posted Date: February 5th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-161787/v1>

License: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background: One of the leading long-term complications of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) includes renal dysfunction and urinary tract infections (UTI) which are considered to be prevalent in uncontrolled diabetes. Moreover, physiological factors like age, gender, duration of diabetes, other diabetic complications like neuropathy, autonomic neuropathy and glycosuria are also considered as predisposing factors for increased prevalence of UTI in diabetes which can be symptomatic or asymptomatic.

Methods: This was a cross-sectional, multi-centre study including diabetic patients from 12 clinical sites spread across major cities of Pakistan. The inclusion criteria were adult Pakistani population of age between 18 to 75 years both genders and suffering from T2DM irrespective of duration. A detailed clinical history of the past 3 months was recorded and, biochemical investigations of blood samples were conducted. Urine culture analysis performed identified the type of pathogen present and was done only for asymptomatic patients.

Results: A total of 745 type 2 diabetic patients were initially screened, out of 545 patients considered for final analysis 501 (91.92%) were negative and the rest 44 (8.08%) had positive urine culture. Female gender had a significantly higher proportion of positive urine culture (77.27%, p -value<0.001). Body mass index and mean age had insignificant distribution among the two groups of positive and negative urine culture, with age 40-59 years having higher proportion (70.45%) in the positive group. *Escherichia coli* was detected in most of the positive samples (52.3%). All bacterial samples were found resistant to Ciprofloxacin.

Conclusion: Diabetic female patients are identified to be at high risk of suffering from UTI and age more than 40 years is an important risk factor. *Escherichia coli* was the most common causative organism among people living in this geographical area.

Background

Type 2 Diabetes mellitus (T2DM) has become a global disease with millions suffering (1), primarily due to onset at a relatively early age because of a sedentary lifestyle and other contributing factors (2). Pakistan is also under the huge prevailing burden of T2DM, and health statistics estimates report 16.98% of the Pakistani population is currently living with T2DM (3). Moreover, uncontrolled, or untreated hyperglycaemia leads to micro and macro-vascular complications, which itself pose a considerable risk of premature death in T2DM patients (4). Accompanying financial burden and access to care adds further complexity in the treatment of T2DM patients with multiple comorbidities (5).

One of the leading long-term complications of T2DM includes renal dysfunction and associated urinary tract infections (UTI). High glucose concentration in urine promotes urinary colonization of microorganisms, and the patient becomes more prone to microvascular disease of the kidneys. This has also become a major concern as many studies have reported a high prevalence of UTI in T2DM patients

(6). Clinical profile of patients with diabetes shows poor circulation, decreased immune system due to reduced ability of white blood cells to fight infections, poor contractions of the bladder leading to bladder dysfunction are some of the contributing factors leading to increased cases of UTI among diabetics(7). Moreover, physiological factors like age, gender, duration of diabetes, long term use of anti-diabetic drugs, other diabetic complications like neuropathy, glycosuria are also considered as predisposing factors for increased prevalence of UTI in diabetics. UTI can be symptomatic or asymptomatic in patients with diabetes and encompasses asymptomatic bacteriuria (ABU), urethritis, cystitis, prostatitis and pyelonephritis(8).

Hence, this study was conducted to screen T2DM patients to determine the frequency of asymptomatic state of UTI based on clinical, pathological, medical and treatment history and other contributing risk factors in Pakistani Muslim population.

Methods

It was a cross-sectional, multi-centre study including diabetic patients presenting at 12 clinical sites spread across major cities of Pakistan, Karachi (n = 2), Lahore (n = 3), Islamabad (n = 2), Peshawar (n = 3), Multan (n = 1) and Quetta (n = 1). The study was conducted simultaneously at all sites for the period between June-2019 to May-2020.

The study included adult Pakistani Muslim population of age between 18 to 75 years from both genders, volunteering to participate by giving written informed consent, and suffering from T2DM irrespective of duration. Through consecutive (non-probability sampling technique) patients were screened at all sites for recruitment.

After explaining the study procedures and consent process, data obtained from participants regarding demographics including age, gender, and body mass index. A detailed clinical history of past 3 months was acquired regarding the diagnosis of urinary tract infection based on commonly reported symptoms like frequent urination, urgency, burning micturition, fungal infections, benign prostate hyperplasia (male patients only), premenopausal (female patients only) and excluded from the study. Along with urine culture biochemical investigations of blood samples were conducted at designated laboratories for the estimation of glycosylated haemoglobin (HbA1c) levels, serum ketones, liver function tests including Alanine aminotransferase (ALT), Alkaline phosphatase (ALP), Aspartate aminotransferase (AST) and estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR). Midstream urine samples were collected under sterile condition within the laboratory for confirmation of diagnosis. Laboratory testing protocols ensured that all urine samples collected for bacterial culture were not contaminated and standard storage conditions were maintained. Urine culture analysis identified the type of pathogen present and was performed only for asymptomatic patients (patients with no history of UTI in the past 3 months). Considering the study limitations, patients with a positive history of UTI based on symptoms were considered symptomatic and they were not referred for a confirmatory test to the laboratory.

Data was entered and analyzed using STATA version 15.0. Baseline characteristics, and laboratory results were compared between patients with the positive and negative outcome of urine culture. The normality of continuous variables was assessed using Shapiro Wilk tests and mean with standard deviation and median with interquartile range was reported according to the distribution. Student's t-test or Mann Whitney U test was used to assess the significant difference. Frequency and percentages were reported for categorical variables using Chi-square or Fisher's exact test depending upon cell count assumption for culture-positive and negative groups. Odds Ratio was calculated for age and gender. A p-value of < 0.05 was considered as a cut off for a significance for all results.

Results

A total of 745 type 2 diabetic patients were initially screened of which 200 (26.8%) participants were considered ineligible for further analysis due to patients not undergoing desired laboratory investigations 176 (23.6%) and symptomatic UTI 24 (3.2%). The final analysis was performed on 545 patients out of which 501 (91.9%) had negative and the rest 44 (8.1%) had positive urine culture. (Fig. 1)

Female gender had a significantly higher proportion of positive urine culture (77.27%, p-value < 0.001). Body mass index and mean age had insignificant distribution among the two groups of positive and negative urine culture, with age 40–59 years having higher proportion (70.45%) in the positive group. Table 1 showed the comparison of baseline characteristics among Urine culture positive and negative patients.

Table 1
Comparison of study characteristics between UTI and Non UTI patients (n = 545)

Study Characteristics	Urine Culture		Total (n = 545)	P-value
	Negative (n = 501)	Positive (n = 44)		
Gender	227 (45.3)	34 (77.3)	261 (47.9)	< 0.001*
Female	274 (54.7)	10 (22.7)	284 (52.1)	
Male				
Age (years); mean ± SD	49.60 ± 10.8	51.4 ± 8.3	49.8 ± 10.7	0.28
Age Groups, years	92 (18.4)	2 (4.5)	94 (17.2)	0.02*
< 40	409 (81.6)	42 (95.5)	451 (82.8)	
40 or above				
BMI (kg/m ²); mean ± SD	29.1 ± 4.9	30.0 ± 4.3	29.1 ± 4.8	0.24
BMI Groups	95 (19.0)	7 (15.9)	102 (18.7)	0.62
< 25	406 (81.0)	37 (84.1)	443 (81.3)	
25 or above				
BMI = Body Mass Index				
*significant at p < 0.05.				

Escherichia coli (E. coli) was detected in most of the positive samples (52.3%), followed by Enterococcus (22.7%), Streptococcus agactaiae (13.6%), and Klebsiella pneumoniae (11.4%) (Fig. 2).

Overall, laboratory results of HbA1C, APT, eGFR and serum ketone levels had insignificant distribution between two groups. Patients with HbA1C levels ≥ 7 had a higher prevalence in the group with positive urine culture (86.4%) (Table 2). Females were 1.7 times more likely to develop Urinary tract infection than males whereas age ≥ 40 years were 1.17 times more likely to acquire UTI (Table 3).

Table 2
Biochemical profile of participants (n = 545)

Variable	Urine Culture		Total (n = 545)	P-value
	Negative (n = 501)	Positive (n = 44)		
HbA1C level; mean $\pm$ SD	8.8 $\pm$ 1.8	8.5 $\pm$ 1.51	8.8 (1.8)	0.31
HbA1C level	57 (11.4)	6 (13.6)	63 (11.6)	0.65
< 7	444 (88.6)	38 (86.4)	482 (88.4)	
≥ 7				
eGFR	13 (2.6)	1 (2.3)	14 (2.6)	0.89 [^]
< 45	488 (97.4)	43 (97.7)	531 (97.4)	
45 and above				
eGFR; median (IQR)	97 (35.1)	93.4 (35.8)	97 (34.6)	0.44 [~]
ALT level; median (IQR)	32 (29.5)	27 (19.5)	32 (28.5)	0.02 ^{*~}
ALT level, IU/L	304 (60.4)	32 (72.7)	336 (61.7)	0.12
Normal (M < 45, F < 34)	197 (39.3)	12 (27.3)	209 (38.3)	
High (M = 45 & above, F = 34 & above)				
AST level; median (IQR)	28 (15)	23 (11.8)	27 (15)	0.01 ^{*~}
AST level, IU/L	339 (67.7)	33 (75.0)	372 (68.3)	0.32
Normal (M < 35, F < 31)	162 (32.3)	11 (25.0)	173 (31.7)	
High (M = 35 & above, F = 31 & above)				
significant at p < 0.05. [^] Fischer exact test was applied. [~] Mann Whitney U test applied for non-normal data.				
ALT = alanine aminotransferase, AST = aspartate aminotransferase, eGFR = Estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate (mL/min)				
ALT Normal level: Male < 45 IU/L and Female < 34 IU/L; ALT High level Male: =45 IU/L & above, Female = 34 IU/L & above; AST Normal level: Male < 35 IU/L and Female < 31 IU/L; AST High level: Male = 35 IU/L & above, Female = 31 IU/L & above				

Table 3
Risk Estimation for predicting positive urine culture

Variables	OR	95% C.I. for OR
Gender		
Male	0.416	0.240–0.721
Female	1.705	1.415–2.056
Age		
< 40 years	0.248	0.063–0.971
≥ 40 years	1.169	1.083–1.262
BMI		
< 25	0.839	0.415–1.695
≥ 25	1.038	0.906–1.188
HbA1c		
< 7	1.199	0.548–2.622
≥ 7	0.975	0.863–1.100
OR = odds ratio, C.I.=confidence interval, BMI = body mass index.		

Bacterial colonies were then assessed for antibiotic resistance in patients with positive urine culture. E.coli was found to be most resistant bacteria against most of the antibiotics with highest resistance with Nalidixic acid (52.2%), followed by Ciprofloxacin, Ceftriaxone and Cefotaxmine (34.7%). Enterococcus Sp. also showed resistance against Doxycycline (40%) and Ciprofloxacin (30%). All bacterial samples were found resistant to Ciprofloxacin with varying strength of 30–60% (Table 4).

Table 4

Analysis of antibiotic resistance of pathogens found in diabetic patients with positive urine culture

	E.coli (n = 23)	Enterococcus Sp. (n = 10)	Klebsiella Pneumoniae (n = 6)	Streptococcus agactiae (n = 5)
Amoxicillin clavulanic acid	26.1%	-	-	-
Cefuroxime	4.35%	-	-	-
Ceftriaxone	34.7%	-	-	-
Cefixime	4.35%	-	-	-
Cefotaxime	34.7%	-	-	-
Amikacin	4.35%	-	-	-
Gentamicin	17.4%	10%	-	20%
Doxycycline	21.7%	40%	-	-
Nalidixic Acid	52.2%	10%	16.6%	-
Fosfomycin	-	10%	-	20%
Ciprofloxacin	34.7%	30%	33.3%	60%
Levofloxacin	-	10%	-	-
Trimethoprim-sulphamethaxazole	21.7%	10%	16.6%	-
Clindamycin	-	10%	-	-

Discussion

We investigated the clinical profile of type 2 diabetes patients through a multisite study to assess the prevalence and associated factors of asymptomatic urinary tract infection (UTI) in adult Pakistani Muslim population. Using bacterial culture as standard diagnostic laboratory testing, a prevalence of 8.1% was observed in the study population with E. Coli as the most commonly occurring organism. This is also a common organism in non-diabetics (6), Female gender had a higher predisposition to the occurrence of UTI. Our study showed more infection in age 40 and above which could explain hormonal and muscular changes observed with the progressive age particularly in females (9). HbA1C levels were not found to be significantly associated with UTI status but those with positive cultures had Hba1c $\geq$ 7% and were poorly controlled.

Among patients with type 2 diabetes, both symptomatic and asymptomatic urinary tract infections occur more frequently as compared to the general population without type 2 diabetes disease (10). Corresponding to the prevalence of asymptomatic UTI in our study (8.1%), previous studies reported the

prevalence of asymptomatic UTI in diabetic population between 8% and 26% (11–13). Dominant presence of E.coli as most common organism in our study consistent to what recently reported earlier in similar population(6) with increasing trend of antibiotic resistance in the region for urinary tract infections pose a greater than expected threat to diabetic population (14).

A study based on administrative data of the United States population revealed a higher incidence of UTI in female versus male gender (12.9% vs. 3.9%) during a year (15). In addition to this, the tendency of female gender for UTI is also reported in previously published studies with a geographical population like ours (16). The higher occurrence is related more to the anatomy of the female urinary system short urethra and bacterial colonization in the perianal area and less associated with physiological changes in the body due to diabetes (17).

Distribution of positive urine culture in type 2 diabetes with respect to gender though, has diverse reporting, with somewhat underreporting for the male gender. The prevalence of UTI in female gender was reported in previous literature (18) which also showed in our study results.

Majority of the patient had HbA1c levels 7 and above, were not related to negative or positive UTI status of the patients, having an insignificant association. This strengthens the fact that the severity of derangement in HbA1c does not necessarily affect the biological flora or has any role in UTI susceptibility as reported in a meta-analysis of 22 studies (19).

The causal relationship of UTI among diabetic female and age > 40years was not possible due to limitation of cross-sectional study design. The study also lacks the evaluation of confounding factors like socioeconomic status and education. However, the study results presented with a healthy sample size covering the multiple sites across Pakistan.

Conclusion

Diabetic female patients are identified to be at high risk of suffering from asymptomatic UTI and age more than 40 years can significantly play an important role. There was more risk of infection in females with Hba1c 7 and above. Escherichia coli was the most common causative organism among patients with diabetes living in this geographical area.

List Of Abbreviations

T2DM: Type 2 Diabetes mellitus

UTI: Urinary tract infections

HBA1c: Glycated hemoglobin

ALT: Alanine aminotransferase

ALP: Alkaline phosphatase

AST: Aspartate aminotransferase

eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate

E.coli: Escherichia coli

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate:

All aspects of the study were performed under ethical regulations issued by national bioethics committee and approved by the institutional review board of Post Graduate Medical Institute, Peshawar, Pakistan (ERC No. 5579). Informed consent was obtained from all the participants at the time of enrolment after a thorough explanation of the study.

Consent for publication:

Not applicable

Availability of data and materials:

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study will be available from the corresponding author upon request.

Competing interests:

All the authors approved the final manuscript, and they have no conflict of interest to declare.

Funding:

The study was funded through an unrestricted research grant from Getz Pharma (Pvt.) Ltd.

Authors' contributions:

AHA conceived the idea and wrote the protocol, data collection, performed data analysis, manuscript writing, editing, review and finalization.

IA, AA, IH, TG, IK, SAM, FQ, UYR, SAR, AR, JZ, contributed in protocol editing, data collection and manuscript review.

JK, MR and JBB contributed in drafting protocol, data compilation, statistical analysis and manuscript formatting and review.

Acknowledgements:

Authors would like to like to acknowledge the support of Anum Touheed, Ashfaq Ahmed, Salman Hasan and Haseeb Zafar in the data collection process.

References

1. Kaiser AB, Zhang N, Van Der Pluijm W. Global prevalence of type 2 diabetes over the next ten years (2018-2028). *Am Diabetes Assoc*; 2018.
2. Kolb H, Martin S. Environmental/lifestyle factors in the pathogenesis and prevention of type 2 diabetes. *BMC medicine*. 2017;15(1):131.
3. Aamir AH, Ul-Haq Z, Mahar SA, Qureshi FM, Ahmad I, Jawa A, et al. Diabetes Prevalence Survey of Pakistan (DPS-PAK): prevalence of type 2 diabetes mellitus and prediabetes using HbA1c: a population-based survey from Pakistan. *BMJ open*. 2019;9(2):e025300.
4. Cannon A, Handelsman Y, Heile M, Shannon M. Burden of illness in type 2 diabetes mellitus. *J Manag Care Spec*. 2018;24(9-a Suppl):S5-S13.
5. Gillani AH, Aziz MM, Masood I, Saqib A, Yang C, Chang J, et al. Direct and indirect cost of diabetes care among patients with type 2 diabetes in private clinics: a multicenter study in Punjab, Pakistan. *EXPERT REV PHARM OUT*. 2018;18(6):647-53.
6. Kumar R, Kumar R, Perswani P, Taimur M, Shah A, Shaukat F. Clinical and microbiological profile of urinary tract infections in diabetic versus non-diabetic individuals. *Cureus*. 2019;11(8).
7. Vaishnav B, Bamanikar A, Maske P, Rathore VS, Khemka V, Sharma D. Study of clinico-pathological and bacteriological profile of urinary tract infections in geriatric patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *IJCRR*. 2015;7(21):13.
8. Lature LH, Lature ML, Pyadala N. Assessment of urinary tract infections among type 2 diabetic patients in a rural teaching hospital, Sangareddy. *IAIM*. 2020;7(1):28-32.
9. Copeland JL, Chu SY, Tremblay MSJJoa, activity p. Aging, physical activity, and hormones in women —a review. 2004;12(1):101-16.
10. Fu AZ, Iglay K, Qiu Y, Engel S, Shankar R, Brodovicz K. Risk characterization for urinary tract infections in subjects with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes. *JDC*. 2014;28(6):805-10.
11. Fünfstück R, Nicolle LE, Hanefeld M, Naber KG. Urinary tract infection in patients with diabetes mellitus. *Clinical nephrology*. 2012;77(1):40.

12. Geerlings SE, Stolk RP, Camps MJ, Netten PM, Hoekstra JB, Bouter PK, et al. Asymptomatic bacteriuria can be considered a diabetic complication in women with diabetes mellitus. *Genes and Proteins Underlying Microbial Urinary Tract Virulence*: Springer; 2002. p. 309-14.
13. Schneeberger C, Kazemier BM, Geerlings SE. Asymptomatic bacteriuria and urinary tract infections in special patient groups: women with diabetes mellitus and pregnant women. *Curr Opin Infect Dis*. 2014;27(1):108-14.
14. Choe H-S, Lee S-J, Cho Y-H, Çek M, Tandoğdu Z, Wagenlehner F, et al. Aspects of urinary tract infections and antimicrobial resistance in hospitalized urology patients in Asia: 10-Year results of the Global Prevalence Study of Infections in Urology (GPIU). *Journal of Infection and Chemotherapy*. 2018;24(4):278-83.
15. Yu S, Fu AZ, Qiu Y, Engel SS, Shankar R, Brodovicz KG, et al. Disease burden of urinary tract infections among type 2 diabetes mellitus patients in the US. *JDC*. 2014;28(5):621-6.
16. Sharma S, Govind B, Naidu SK, Kinjarapu S, Rasool M. Clinical and Laboratory Profile of Urinary Tract Infections in Type 2 Diabetics Aged over 60 Years. *JCDR*. 2017;11(4):OC25.
17. Nitzan O, Elias M, Chazan B, Saliba W. Urinary tract infections in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: review of prevalence, diagnosis, and management. *Diabetes, metabolic syndrome and obesity : targets and therapy*. 2015;8:129-36.
18. Al Benwan K, Al Sweih N, Rotimi VO. Etiology and antibiotic susceptibility patterns of community-and hospital-acquired urinary tract infections in a general hospital in Kuwait. *MED PRIN PRACT*. 2010;19(6):440-6.
19. Renko M, Tapanainen P, Tossavainen P, Pokka T, Uhari M. Meta-analysis of the significance of asymptomatic bacteriuria in diabetes. *Diabetes care*. 2011;34(1):230-5.

Figures

Figure 1

Patient recruitment flow chart

Figure 2

Bacterial pathogens in diabetic patients with positive urine culture (n=44)