

Determinants of maternal near miss events among women admitted to tertiary hospitals in Mogadishu, Somalia: A facility-based case-control study

Hassan Abdullahi Dahie (✉ dahie@simad.edu.so)
SIMAD University

Research Article

Keywords: Maternal near-miss, pregnancy, childbirth, maternity services

Posted Date: May 18th, 2022

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1619212/v1>

License:   This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background

A maternal near-miss is a situation in which a woman was on the verge of death but survived a life-threatening obstetric complication that happened during pregnancy, childbirth, or within 42 days after the pregnancy's termination. Maternal near-misses are now seen as a suitable indicator of maternal care services compared to maternal death reviews, owing to the fact that maternal death is commonly regarded as the tip of the iceberg, whereas maternal near-miss as the base. Therefore, this study was designed to identify determinants of maternal near miss incidents among women hospitalized to tertiary hospitals in Mogadishu, Somalia.

Methods

A facility-based unmatched case-control study was undertaken from May 1 to July 31, 2021. A total of five hundred thirty-three (178 cases and 355 controls) study participants were involved in the study. Cases were recruited consecutively as they appeared, whereas controls were chosen by systematic sampling approach. Women who were hospitalized during pregnancy, delivery, or within 42 days of termination of pregnancy and met at least one of the maternal near-miss disease specific criteria were classified as cases, while women who were admitted and gave birth by normal vaginal delivery were considered controls. Participants were interviewed by well-trained research assistants using pre-tested questionnaire and the medical records were reviewed to identify maternal near-miss cases. Data were entered into and analyzed with SPSS 25.0. Logistic regression was used, and the significance level was set at p value ≤ 0.05 .

Results

The most common maternal near-miss morbidities identified were severe anemia (32%), severe pre-eclampsia (19.6%), severe ante partum haemorrhage (15.0%), abortion complications (8.4%) eclampsia (6.1%), ICU admission (5.6%), severe PPH (2.8%) and severe systemic infection (2.8%). The main factors associated with maternal near-miss were rural residency [OR=2.685, 95%CI: (1.702-4.235)], early marriage [OR=2.728, 95%CI: (1.604-4.5640)], unmarried [OR=2.18, 95%CI (1.247-3.81)], lack of formal education [OR=2.829, 95%CI: (1.262-6.341)], husband's unemployment [OR=2.992, 95%CI: (1.886-4.745)], low family income [OR=3.333, 95%CI (1.055-10.530)], early marriage [OR=3.413, 95%CI: (1.912-6.091)], first pregnancy before 18 years of age [OR=3.091, 95% CI: (2.044-4.674)], short birth interval [OR=5.922, 95%CI: (3.891-9.014)], previous history of obstetric complication [OR=6.568, 95%CI: (4.286-10.066)], never attended ANC services [OR=2.687, 95%CI: (1.802-4.006)], lack of autonomy in seeking medical help [OR=3.538, 95%CI: (1.468-8.524)], delivery at non-health facility setting [OR=4.672, 95%CI: (3.105-7.029)], experiencing the second delay [OR=1.773, 95% CI: (1.212-2.595)] and stillbirth of the last pregnancy [OR=5.543, 95%CI: (2.880-10.668)].

Conclusion and recommendation

Early marriage, lack of maternal education, lack of antenatal care, lack of autonomy to seek medical assistance, short birth interval, rural residence and delay in accessing obstetric services were identified as factors associated with maternal near-miss morbidity. As a result, the study suggests that those modifiable characteristics must be improved in order to avoid severe maternal complications and consequent maternal death.

Background

Pregnancy and childbirth are significant events in woman's life and a transition to motherhood. These can be times of great hope and blissful expectation. It can also be a period of terror, pain, and even death. Although pregnancy is not an

illness but rather a natural physiological process, it is associated with certain health and survival concerns for both the mother and her fetus. These risks are present to a varying degree in every society and in every setting. But they were largely overcome in developed countries, as all pregnant women receive professional care during pregnancy and childbirth. However, such is not the case in many developing countries, where pregnancy is a long journey fraught with dangers that frequently result in health issues or death (WHO, 1998). This is against the global safe motherhood initiative initiated in 1987 which states that “no woman or foetus or baby should die or be harmed by pregnancy or birth”. Unfortunately, the many women in the developing world die due to pregnancy and childbirth complications (WHO, 2020).

In spite of the fact that maternal mortality remains a serious public health issue, maternal passings are uncommon in outright numbers within a community, so that assessment on the quality and effects of maternal care is troublesome (Koblinsky, 1995). To address this challenge, maternal near miss initiative was introduced into maternal health care to complement the information obtained from maternal deaths reviews (Souza, Cecatti, Parpinelli and Serruya, 2007). A maternal near-miss (MNM) occurs when a woman is on the verge of dying but survives from a life-threatening obstetric complication that occurred during her pregnancy, childbirth, or within 42 days of termination of pregnancy (WHO, 2011). This initiative has gained global recognition as an appropriate indicator of maternal care and services (Say and Souza, 2009). The concept arose from the awareness that women who survive life-threatening health issue associated with pregnancy and childbirth have many characteristics with those who die as a result of such complications. As argued, for every woman who dies, an estimated 20 or 30 more suffer morbidity related to pregnancy and childbirth (Datta, et al, 1980; Koblinsky, 1995). Investigating the similarities, the differences and the relationships between women who died and those who survived life-threatening maternal conditions provide a more comprehensive assessment of maternal health care quality. Moreover, near-misses are more prevalent and statistically robust than maternal death (WHO, 2004; Pattinson, 2003), and it helps to identify what goes wrong in pregnancy related care when an MNM occurs in a given setting” (Filippi, et al, 2005).

Despite the fact that girls in Somalia have a one in 22 lifetime risk of maternal demise making the maternal mortality rates in the country among the highest in the world (ARC, 2018), factors associated with these maternal risks have not been adequately studied. As a result, the aim of this study was to determine the factors that influence maternal near-miss events in Somalia.

Methods And Materials

Design

A facility-based unmatched case-control study design was employed at selected maternity wards of tertiary hospitals in Benadir region from May 1 to July 31, 2021 using WHO criteria for maternal near miss.

Study setting and period

This case-control study was conducted in four selected public hospitals of Benadir region, Somalia. The four selected hospitals were: SOS Mother & Child Hospital, Benadir Hospital, De Martini Hospital and Mogadishu Somali Türkiye Training and Research Hospital. These hospitals were selected purposively based on the number of deliveries conducted per year and the availability of comprehensive emergency obstetric and newborn care services. These institutions, which operate as referral hospitals, are the main providers of comprehensive obstetric and newborn care services in Benadir region and across the country.

Study Populations

Women who were admitted to the selected hospitals during pregnancy, labor, or within the first 42 days of termination of pregnancy from May 1 to July 31, 2021 were considered as the study population.

Selection of the cases

Cases were women who were admitted to the hospitals for pregnancy-related complications, labour/ abortion, or within 42 days of termination of pregnancy. As in line with the WHO's maternal near-miss inclusion criteria, any women experiencing at least one of the severe maternal complications (obstructed labor, obstetric hemorrhage, pregnancy-induced hypertension disorders, sepsis and severe anemia), been admitted to intensive care unit for critical interventions (interventional radiology, laparotomy or use of blood products) or developed a life-threatening condition leading to system dysfunction (cardiovascular, renal, hepatic, respiratory, haematological and neurological dysfunctions) were taken as cases (Table 1). Thus, cases were recruited consecutively as they appeared.

Inclusion criteria of cases

Table 1: Inclusion criteria for near miss mother (WHO)

Severe maternal complications	Critical interventions or intensive care unit use
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Severe postpartum hemorrhage • Severe pre-eclampsia or eclampsia • Sepsis or severe systemic infection • Ruptured uterus • Severe complications of abortion 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Admission to intensive care unit • Interventional radiology • Laparotomy (includes hysterectomy, excludes caesarean section) • Use of blood products
Life-threatening conditions (near-miss criteria)	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cardiovascular dysfunction <p>Shock, cardiac arrest (absence of pulse/heart beat and loss of consciousness), use of continuous vasoactive drugs, cardiopulmonary resuscitation, severe hypoperfusion (lactate >5 mmol/l or >45 mg/dl), severe acidosis (pH <7.1)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Respiratory dysfunction <p>Acute cyanosis, gasping, severe tachypnea (respiratory rate >40 breaths per minute), severe bradypnea (respiratory rate <6 breaths per minute), intubation and ventilation not related to anesthesia, severe hypoxemia (O2 saturation <90% for ≥60 minutes or PAO2/FiO2 <200)</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Renal dysfunction <p>Oliguria non-responsive to fluids or diuretics, dialysis for acute renal failure, severe acute azotemia (creatinine ≥300 µmol/ml or ≥3.5 mg/dl)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Coagulation/haematological dysfunction <p>Failure to form clots, massive transfusion of blood or red cells (≥5 units), severe acute thrombocytopenia (<50 000 platelets/ml)</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hepatic dysfunction <p>Jaundice in the presence of pre-eclampsia, severe acute hyperbilirubinemia (bilirubin >100 µmol/l or >6.0 mg/dl)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Neurological dysfunction <p>Prolonged unconsciousness (lasting ≥12 hours)/coma (including metabolic coma), stroke, uncontrollable fits/status epilepticus, total paralysis</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Uterine dysfunction <p>Uterine hemorrhage or infection leading to hysterectomy</p>	

Adopted from WHO (2011).

Selection of controls

Controls were those women who admitted to the same hospital with normal labor and gave birth in normal vaginal delivery without complications. For each near-miss case selected, two (2) controls were chosen using systematic

random sampling.

Sample size determination

Sample size determination for unpaired case-control studies was used to determine the sample size employing Epi Info 7 software. The parameters considered to determine the sample size were a confidence level of 95%, a power of 80%, a case-control ratio of 1:2, and predicted frequency of exposure in cases and controls of 23.4% and 13.3%, respectively as shown by similar study conducted in neighboring Ethiopia.

Based on the above assumptions the estimated sample size was 483 (161 cases and 322 controls). After considering the non-response of 10%, the final sample size used for this study was 533(178 cases and 355 controls).

Sampling procedures

The sample size was proportionally allocated for the four selected hospitals based the hospitals' annual admissions. Cases were then recruited consecutively as they appear, whereas controls were chosen systematically from normal deliveries conducted at the hospital that day.

Data collection tool, procedure, and personnel

A structured questionnaire, as well as near-miss data abstraction methods from a variety of sources, were employed (Say, Souza, and Pattinson, 2009; Witteveen et al, 2017). World Health Organization criteria were used to identify maternal near-miss incidents. The diagnoses of maternal near miss cases and controls were made by general practitioners, obs-gyn physicians, and certified midwives working for the designated institutions, and data was collected from medical records of the cases and controls by well-trained data collectors of nursing and midwifery background. The selected cases and controls were interviewed by the data collectors using the pre-tested questionnaire to get the required information. The data collection tool consisted of 33 items categorized into three main sections namely: - sociodemographic characteristics, obstetric characteristics and healthcare services related characteristics.:

Demographic characteristics: Place of residence, age, marital status, level of education, occupation, husband's education level, income, family size and age at marriage were considered as demographic variables.

Obstetric characteristics: Age at marriage, age at first pregnancy, gravidity, parity, history of obstetric complications.

Healthcare services related characteristics: ANC attendance, number of ANC attendance, timing of ANC attendance, place of last ANC visit, place of last birth, mode of delivery, history of S/C, birth preparedness, circumstances of birth preparation, knowledge of pregnancy danger signs, referral type, means of transportation, decision to seek health services and the 3 maternal delays: The first delay occurs when the time between identifying health problems and deciding to seek maternal health care exceeds 24 hours, the second delay occurs when the time between deciding to seek health care and arriving at the facility exceeds one hour, and the third delay occurs when the time between arriving at the facility and accessing services exceeds one hour.

Quality Assurance Procedures

Senior qualified nursing-midwives who fluently speak English and Somali languages were selected as data collectors and then trained for two days about the study objectives, interviewing techniques, identification and selection of cases & controls, data collection tools, ethical issues, responsibilities of data collectors and the techniques of research quality control.

Similarly, the soft copies of data collected were coded and the hard copies locked in a locker in the office of the principal researcher. The data collection team had access to data only when permission was granted by the principal researcher. The returned and completed tools have been cross-checked by the principal researchers to ascertain their completeness. Questionnaires with missing data were re-administered to the respondents for correction if the respondent was still available or the missing data could be found in patient admission card.

Informed consent

All the individuals identified for recruitment into the study were informed of the study's purpose. Subsequently, verbal consent was obtained from all the individuals willing to participate in the study before answering the questionnaires through the research assistants. They were also informed that their involvement was entirely voluntary, and they were free to withdraw at any moment if they so desired. They were guaranteed that there was no victimization or punishment for refusing to participate or withdrawing.

Privacy and Confidentiality

Respondents were granted full privacy and confidentiality. They were assured that any information they provide during the interview sessions would be kept totally secret and their records would be securely stored in a locker.

Anonymity

The participant's personal identifiers were kept anonymous. Only their responses and findings were presented in the study.

Data analysis and Management

The data were entered into, cleaned and analyzed using SPSS version 25.0. Univariate and bivariate analysis: proportions, frequencies, averages and crosstabulation were calculated for study variables to compare cases and controls. Binary logistic regression was used to identify predictor variables for maternal near miss. A $p < 0.05$ was considered significant.

Results

Socio-demographic characteristic

A total of 533 (178 cases and 355 controls) mothers recruited from four public hospitals were included in the study, most of which (82.5%) were urban dwellers. The mean age (standard deviation) of the respondents was 26.89 (± 6.42) years, with minimum and maximum ages of 14 and 50 years, respectively. Similarly, of these respondents, 440 (89.5%) were married, nearly two-third (58.0%) had no formal education, 441 (82.7%), were unemployed, 474 (88.9%) had monthly family income of between 100-500 USD and more than two-third 371 (69.6%) were in households with more than 5 individuals.

The study also showed that maternal near was significantly associated with the residence, age, marital status, maternal education, husband's employment and the family size. The odd of maternal near-miss occurrence was 2.7 times higher among rural dwellers than urban residents [OR=2.685, 95%CI: (1.702-4.235), $p < 0.001$]. Similarly, regarding maternal age, the odd of maternal near miss was 2.7 times higher among those younger than 20 years compared to those whose age is between 20-35 years [OR=2.728, 95%CI: (1.604-4.5640), $p < 0.001$]. According to study findings, respondents who were not in marriage contract (i.e. divorced or widowed) at the time of the study were two times more likely to have maternal near miss event compared to those in marriage contract [OR=2.18, 95%CI: (1.247-3.81), $p < 0.05$]. Moreover, the odds of

maternal near miss were 2.8, 3.0, 3.3 and 1.5 times higher among women without formal education were (OR=2.829, 95%CI: (1.262-6.341), $p<0.05$), women whose husband were unemployed [OR=2.992, 95%CI: (1.886-4.745), $p<0.001$], women with monthly family income < 100 USD [OR=3.333, 95%CI: (1.055-10.530), $p<0.05$] and women with family size comprising of ≤ 5 members [OR=1.530, 95%CI: (1.042-2.247), $p<0.05$], respectively. Other demographic variables considered were not statistically significant as shown in table 2.

Table 2

Socio-demographic characteristic of respondents

Maternal Near-miss status					
Socio-demographic Characteristics	N (%)	Near-miss (n=178)	Non-near-miss (n=355)	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	P Value
Residence					
Urban	440 (82.5)	129(72.5)	311(87.6)	Ref	
Rural	93(17.5)	49(27.5)	44(12.4)	2.685(1.702-4.235)	0.0001*
Mother's Age (years)					
<20 years	65(12.2)	35(19.7)	30(8.5)	2.728(1.604-4.5640)	0.0001*
>35 years	54(10.1)	19(10.7)	35(9.9)	1.270(0.699-2.306)	0.433
20-35 years	414(77.7)	124(69.7)	290(81.7)	Ref	
Marital Status					
Married	477(89.5)	150(84.3)	327(92.1)	Ref	
Unmarried	56(10.5)	28(15.7)	28(7.9)	2.18 (1.247-3.81)	0.006*
Mother's education					
No formal education	309(58.0)	128(71.9)	181(51.0)	2.829 (1.262-6.341)	0.012*
Primary education (1-8 th)	121(22.7)	30(16.9)	91(25.6)	1.319 (0.548-3.172)	0.537
Secondary(9-12 th)	63(11.8)	12(6.7)	51(51.4)	0.941 (0.347-2.553)	0.905
College and above	40(7.5)	8(4.5)	32(9.0)	Ref	
Husband's Education					
No formal education	201(37.7)	89(50.0)	112(31.5)	1.987 (1.234-3.199)	0.005*
Primary education (1-8 th)	84(15.8)	31(17.4)	53(14.9)	1.462 (0.812-2.633)	0.205
Secondary(9-12 th)	122(22.9)	22(12.4)	100(28.2)	0.550 (0.301-1.004)	0.052
College and above	126(23.6)	36(20.2)	90(25.4)	Ref	
Maternal employment status					
Employed	92(17.3)	36(20.2)	56(15.8)	1.354(0.851-2.153)	0.201

Unemployed	441(82.7)	142(79.8)	299(84.2)	Ref	
Husband's employment status					
Employed	442(82.9)	128(71.9)	314(88.5)	Ref	
Unemployed	91(17.1)	50(28.1)	41(11.5)	2.992(1.886-4.745)	0.0001*
Monthly family income					
<100 USD	19(3.6)	10(5.6)	9(2.5)	3.333(1.055-10.530)	0.040*
100-500 USD	474(88.9)	158(88.8)	316(89.0)	1.500(0.715-3.146)	0.283
>500 USD	40(7.5)	10(5.6)	30(8.5)	Ref	
Household size					
≤5	162(30.4)	65(36.5)	97(27.3)	1.530(1.042-2.247)	0.030*
>5	371(69.6)	113(63.5)	258(72.7)	Ref	

Obstetric characteristics of respondents

The study has revealed that maternal near miss events were associated with the maternal age at marriage, maternal age at first pregnancy, mother's birth interval, previous history of obstetric complication and last birth outcome. Regarding maternal age at marriage, odd of maternal near-miss occurrence was 3.4 times higher among women who had married at the age of 15 years or earlier [OR=3.413, 95%CI: (1.912-6.091), $p<0.001$]. The study has also shown that odds of maternal near-miss were 3.1, 5.9 and 6.6 times higher among women who had their first pregnancy before 18 years of age [OR=3.091, 95% CI: (2.044-4.674) $p<0.001$], those having birth interval of less than 2 years [OR=5.922, 95%CI: (3.891-9.014), $p<0.001$] and those with previous history of obstetric complications [OR=6.568, 95%CI: (4.286-10.066) $p<0.001$], respectively. On the other hand, however, the study has found that maternal near miss events were not associated with maternal gravidity and parity (Table 3).

Table 3

Obstetric characteristics associated with maternal near-miss

Maternal Near-miss status					
Obstetric Characteristics	N (%)	Near-miss (n=178)	Non near-miss (n=355)	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	P Value
Age at Marriage (years)					
≤ 15	84(15.8)	52(29.2)	32(9.0)	3.413(1.912-6.091)	0.0001*
16-20	325(61.0)	86(48.3)	239(67.3)	0.756(0.482-1.185)	0.222
≥21	124(23.3)	40(22.5)	84(23.7)	Ref	
Age at first Pregnancy (years)					
<18 years	125(23.5)	67(37.6)	58(16.3)	3.091(2.044-4.674)	0.0001*
≥18 years	408(76.5)	111(62.4)	297(83.7)	Ref	
Gravidity					
≤3	216(40.5)	73(41.0)	143(40.3)	Ref	
>3	317(59.5)	105(59.0)	212(59.7)	0.970(0.673-1.399)	0.871
Parity					
0-2	183(34.3)	56(31.5)	127(35.8)	Ref	
3-5	209(39.2)	66(37.1)	143(40.3)	1.047(0.682-1.607)	0.835
>5	141(26.5)	56(31.5)	85(23.9)	1.494(0.924-2.369)	0.088
Birth interval					
<24 months	280(52.5)	141(79.2)	139(39.2)	Ref	
≥ 24 months	253(47.5)	37(20.8)	216(60.8)	5.922(3.891-9.014)	0.0001*
Previous history of obstetric complication					
Yes	134(25.1)	88(49.4)	46(13.0)	6.568(4.286-10.066)	0.0001*
No	399(74.9)	90(50.6)	309(87.0)	Ref	

Healthcare services related characteristics:

With respect to the association between respondent's healthcare service characteristics and maternal near miss events, the study has identified that odd of maternal near miss events were associated with ANC attendance, ANC booking, means of transportation, referral point, autonomy in seeking medical help, delays and birth outcomes.

In terms of ANC attendance, odds of maternal near-miss occurrence were 2.7 times higher among women who never received ANC services [OR=2.687, 95%CI: (1.802-4.006), $p<0.001$]. Moreover, the study has also found out that odd of maternal near miss events were 70% lower among pregnant women who booked ANC services before 12 weeks of gestation compared to those who never booked antenatal services at all [OR=0.301, 95%CI: (0.197-0.459), $p<0.001$] and 11% lower among pregnant who booked ANC services late gestation compared to those who never booked antenatal services at all [OR=0.894, 95%CI: (0.514-1.554), $p<0.001$].

Similarly, the odds of maternal near events were 4.5, 4.7, 4.5, 3.5, 1.8 and 5.5 times higher among women who never prepared for birth [OR=4.5, 95%CI:(3.058-6.688), $p<0.001$], mothers giving birth in non-health facility setting compared to those who gave birth in health facilities [OR=4.672, 95%CI: (3.105-7.029), $p<0.001$], self-refers [OR=4.479, 95%CI: (2.777-7.223), $p<0.001$], women who were non-autonomous in seeking medical help [OR=3.538, 95%CI: (1.468-8.524), $p<0.005$], women who took more than an hour to reach health facility [OR=1.773, 95% CI: (1.212-2.595), $p<0.005$]. Furthermore, the incidences of maternal near misses were 5.543 times higher among those women whose last birth outcomes was stillbirth [OR=5.543, 95%CI: (2.880-10.668), $p<0.001$], (Table 4).

Table 4

Healthcare services related characteristics

Maternal Near-miss status					
Maternal health service-related characteristics	N (%)	Near-miss (n=178)	Non-near-miss (n=355)	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	P Value
ANC Attendance					
Yes	394(73.9)	108(60.7)	286(80.6)	Ref	
No	139(26.1)	70(39.3)	69(19.4)	2.687(1.802-4.006)	<0.001*
Number of ANC visits					
No ANC visits	139(26.1)	69(38.8)	70(19.7)	2.456(1.561-3.862)	<0.001*
1-2	195(36.6)	52(29.2)	143(40.3)	0.906(0.582-1.409)	0.6610
≥3	199(37.3)	57(32.0)	142(40)	Ref	
ANC Booking					
No ANC booking	139(26.1)	69(38.8)	70(19.7)	Ref	
Early booking (≤12weeks)	315(59.1)	72(40.4)	243(68.5)	0.301(0.197-0.459)	<0.001*
Late booking (>12weeks>	79(14.8)	37(20.8)	42(11.9)	0.894(0.514-1.554)	0.690
Birth Preparedness					
Yes	364(68.3)	82(46.1)	282(79.4)	Ref	
No	169(31.7)	96(53.9)	73(20.6)	4.523(3.058-6.688)	<0.001*
Place of Last Delivery					
Health facility	392(73.5)	92(52.8)	298(83.9)	Ref	
Home	141(26.5)	84(47.2)	57(16.1)	4.672(3.105-7.029)	<0.001*
Knowledge of danger signs					
Yes	258(48.4)	93(52.2)	165(46.5)	1.260(0.879-1.807)	0.209
No	275(51.6)	85(47.8)	190(53.5)	Ref	
Means of transportation					
Ambulance	45(8.4)	25(14.0)	20(5.6)	1.176(0.478-2.897)	0.724

Private transport	326(61.2)	89(50.0)	237(66.8)	0.353(0.171-0.730)	0.005*
On foot	129(24.2)	47(26.4)	82(23.1)	0.539(0.249-1.166)	0.117
Other	33(6.2)	17(9.6)	16(4.5)	Ref	
Referred by					
Self	444(83.3)	122(68.5)	322(90.7)	4.479(2.777-7.223)	<0.001*
Health facility	89(16.7)	56(31.5)	33(9.3)	Ref	
Autonomy in seeking medical help					
Yes	45(8.4)	6(3.4)	39(11.0)	Ref	
No	488(91.6)	172(96.6)	316(89.0)	3.538(1.468-8.524)	0.005*
Fist Delay (decision to seek medical help took >24 hours)					
Yes	31(5.8)	13(7.3)	18(5.1)	1.475(0.706-3.083)	0.301
No	502(94.2)	165(92.7)	337(94.9)	Ref	
Second Delay (time to reach to the facility took more than 60 minutes)					
Yes	321(60.2)	123(69.1)	198(55.8)	1.773(1.212-2.595)	0.003*
No	212(39.8)	55(30.9)	157(44.2)	Ref	
Third Delay (time to receive required health service took 60 minutes)					
Yes	423(79.4)	138(77.5)	285(80.3)	1.180(0.761-1.830)	0.459
No	110(20.6)	40(22.5)	70(19.7)	Ref	
Last birth outcome					
Live birth	486(91.2)	145(81.5)	341(96.1)	Ref	
Stillbirth	47(8.8)	33(18.5)	14(3.9)	5.543(2.880-10.668)	<0.001*

Distribution of severe maternal morbidity among near miss events

The study has identified that the most common cause of maternal near misses was severe anemia (32.4%) followed by severe pre-eclampsia (19.6%), severe ante-partum haemorrhage (15.0%), abortion complications (8.4%) and eclampsia

(6.1%). Similarly, the study has revealed that 5.6%, 2.8%, 2.8%, 2.2%, 2.2%, 2.2%, 0.6%, 0.6%, 0.6% and 0.6% of the maternal near miss cases were due to ICU admission, severe post-partum haemorrhage, systemic infection, rupture of uterus, use of blood products, cardio-vascular dysfunction, hepatic dysfunction, laparotomy, renal dysfunction and uterine dysfunction, respectively (figure 1).

Discussion

Women in Somalia face one of the greatest lifetime risks of maternal death in the world, with a one in 22 lifetime risk of maternal death. These lifetime risks are the very main causes of maternal mortality in Somalia, which is among the highest in the world (Geleto et al., 2020). In order to tackle maternal deaths, clear understanding of the magnitude and associated factors of MNM in a given setting is crucial (Hogan et al., 2010). The study has identified that more than 80% of maternal near misses were severe anemia, severe pre-eclampsia, eclampsia, severe APH and abortion complications. This was almost in line with several studies conducted in Harare (Chikadaya et al, 2018; Kumela et al, 2020).

Most surprisingly, this study revealed that severe anemia was the leading cause of maternal near-misses. Although this result is quite contrary to most of the studies, it is consistent with a study conducted in Ethiopia which found almost similar results (Liyew et al, 2018). This may be explained by a number of factors, the first of which is that due to the country's poor health system, most pregnant women do not receive antenatal care. Secondly, many pregnant & lactating women do not have adequate nutrition due low economic profile. Thirdly, there is a common belief in the Somali community that if a pregnant mother eats a well-balanced diet, her baby will grow up and therefore be unable to give birth normally, necessitating surgery. This lead that the mothers deliberately avoid nutritious foods, resulting in severe anemia.

This study identified numerous sociodemographic, obstetric and healthcare factors associated with maternal near misses. Regarding socio-demographic characteristics, it has been found that residence, age, marital status, education, employment and family size were significantly associated with maternal near miss events. It has been revealed that mothers residing in the rural were almost three times more likely to have a maternal near miss event compared to mothers who resided in the urban [OR=2.685, 95%CI: (1.604-4.5640), $p < 0.0001$]. This can be explained by the fact that majority of the pregnant women in rural areas do not have access to basic maternity services. As a result, they are compelled to seek healthcare to the hospitals & health centers in large cities like, Mogadishu. The findings of this study are consistent with the results of other similar study conducted in Ethiopia's Oromia region (Danel, Graham and Boerma, 2011; Liyew et al, 2018). It is not surprising that the two studies are identical, as the two societies share many commonalities, including socio-economic status. However, on the other hand, the result is quite lower than the result of the same study conducted in Ethiopia, in which rural dwellers were six times more likely to have near misses compared to urban dwellers (Kumela, Tilahun, and Kifle, 2020).

Regarding the maternal age, the study found that odd of maternal near miss was almost three times higher among those younger than 20 years compared to those between 20-35 years of age [OR=2.728, 95%CI:(1.604-4.564)]. The findings of this study are consistent with other studies conducted in Brazil, Ethiopia and Lao which all found that young age was an important predictor of maternal near miss (CSA, 2012, De Moraes, 2011, Luexay, 2014; FMOH, 2015). This can be explained that young women are more susceptible to childbearing complications such as anemia in pregnancy, pregnancy-induced hypertensions, preterm labour, abortions, septicemia and so on. In addition, many young Somalis marry secretly without the knowledge of the families & communities or the pregnancy may occur outside the context of proper marriage, exposing the young women to adverse social consequences. Furthermore, many young Somalis marry surreptitiously without their families' or communities' knowledge, or pregnancies may occur outside of the framework of a traditional marriage, exposing young women to negative societal implications.

Early marriage and pregnancy are well-known social issues that have been widely discussed in a variety of social and health forums, but they continue to exist in the community, particularly in rural regions and among low-income families.

Similarly, the odd of MNM was somewhat rising with increasing age, however this was not statistically significant [OR=1.270, 95%CI: (0.699-2.306), p=0.433]. This is in line with the results of other studies conducted in Africa and Latin America (Tenaw et al, 2021; Oliveira et al, 2014).

According to study findings, women who were not in marriage contract at the time of the study were two times more likely to have maternal near miss event compared to those in marriage contract [OR=2.18, 95%CI, (1.247-3.81, p=0.006)]. The finding of this study regarding marriage contract is consistent with another study conducted in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia which found almost similar result (Liyew et al, 2018).

Moreover, the odds of maternal near miss were 3 times higher among women without formal education. It is not surprising that low-educated women face the greatest risk of maternal near miss as they are always the vulnerable to health risks. The result of this study coincided with that of Liyew et al (2018) which discovered that illiteracy increases maternal near miss by more than three folds.

Regarding husband's employment, it has been identified that the odds of maternal near-miss events were three times higher among women whose husbands were unemployed compared to those whose husbands were employed [OR=2.992, 95%CI:(1.886-4.745, p<0.0001]. Although no studies investigating the association between husband's employment and maternal near miss events were conducted, this result could be explained by the fact that when husbands are unemployed, the pregnant woman becomes the family's breadwinner, and as a result of this double burden, the pregnant mother experiences a variety of problems, including a higher incidence of maternal near miss events.

Moreover, the study has revealed that the odds of developing maternal near-miss events among women with family income of less than 100 USD were 3.33 times more compared to those with more than 500 USD [OR=3.333, 95%CI= (1.055-10.530)]. The result of this study was consistent with the work of Asaye (2020) divulged that women with the lowest monthly income (1000 ETB) had 3.99 times the chance of having maternal near-miss than those with a monthly income more than or equal to 3001 ETB. This is not surprising since over 99% of maternal deaths occur in low- and middle-income countries due to extreme poverty resulting in lack of access to quality healthcare and education of women (WHO, 2015). The magnitude of maternal near miss varies between and within countries; however, the highest rates are found in low- and middle-income countries (Abdel-Raheem et al, 2016; Yasmin et al, 2016, Jyoti and Garima, 2016 and Assarag et al, 2015). Education increases women's access to relevant information and may facilitate the financial means required to pay for transportation to care (Mekango et al, 2017).

Regarding obstetric factors, the study revealed that maternal near miss events were associated with the maternal age at marriage, maternal age at first pregnancy, mother's birth interval, previous history of obstetric complication and last birth outcome.

This has found that age at marriage and age at first pregnancy were significant predictor of maternal near-miss occurrence. The odd of maternal near-miss occurrence was 3.4 times higher among women who had married at the age of 15 years or earlier than those aged twenty-one years (OR=3.4, 95%CI, 1.912-6.091). On the other hand, when one's age approached 35, there was a growing odd (Oliveira et al, 2006). The observed association between maternal age at marriage and first pregnancy and maternal near miss is consistent with another study in Ethiopia (Mekango et al, 2017).

The study has also shown that odds of maternal near-miss were 3.1 times higher among women who had their first pregnancy before 18 years of age compared to those who had their first pregnancy after 18 years of age (OR=3.091,

95% CI, 2.044-4.674). Almost similar study results were presented by several studies (Habte and Wondimu, 2021; Adedokun, Adeyemi, and Dauda, 2016). This could be explained that younger women are often not physically capable of childbearing. Furthermore, girls married early are more likely to experience violence, abuse and forced sexual relations due to unequal power relations, exposing women to adverse social consequences. They are also vulnerable to sexual transmitted infections and severe pregnancy symptoms.

The study has also shown that the odds of a maternal near-miss were six times higher among women with a birth interval of less than two years compared to those with a birth interval of more than two years [OR=5.922, 95%CI, (3.891-9.014)]. This is in line with several studies conducted in several counties in East Africa (Habte and Wondimu, 2021; Mekango et al. 2017; Bauserman et al, 2020).

Another important predictor those with previous history of obstetric complications. This showed that the women with previous history obstetric complications were 6.5 times more likely to have maternal near miss than those without history of obstetric complications [OR=6.568, 95%CI, (4.286-10.066)]. Several studies found the almost same results (Sikder, et al, 2014; Mekango et al. 2017). On the other hand, contrary to study conducted in Bangladesh, the study has found that maternal near miss events were not significantly associated with maternal gravidity and parity (Sikder et al, 2014). This can be attributable to many factors, including differences in the socio-economic situations and healthcare systems of the two countries.

With respect to the association between respondent's healthcare service characteristics and maternal near miss events, the study has identified that odd of maternal near miss events were associated with ANC attendance, ANC booking, means of transportation, referral point, autonomy in seeking medical help, delays and birth outcomes.

In terms of ANC attendance, the odds of maternal near-miss occurrence were 2.7 times higher among women who never received ANC services [OR=2.687, 95%CI, (1.802-4.006)]. This finding is consistent with many other studies conducted in several places in the world (Tenaw, et al, 2021; Kumela, Tilahun, and Kifle, 2020; Dessalegn et al, 2020). Moreover, the study has also found out that odd of maternal near miss events were 70% lower among pregnant women who booked ANC services before 12 weeks of gestation compared to those who never booked antenatal services at all (OR=0.301, 95%CI, 0.197-0.459) and 11% lower among pregnant who booked ANC services late gestation compared to those who never booked antenatal services at all [OR=0.894, 95%CI, (0.514-1.554)]. These findings are consistent with several studies conducted in several countries (Habte and Wondimu, 2021; Kabakyenga et al, 2011; Mekango et al. 2017; Assarag et al, 2015). This can be explained that utilization of ANC reduces the maternal morbidity and mortality rates by screening high-risk mothers for complications and facilitating a rapid diagnosis and management of life-threatening obstetric conditions (Carroli, Rooney and Villar, 2001).

Similarly, the odds of maternal near events were 4.7 times higher among mothers giving birth in non-health facility setting compared to those who gave birth in health facilities [OR=4.672, 95%CI, (3.105-7.029)]. This is in line with a study conducted in Morocco (Assarag et al, 2015). The advantages of hospital birth over home delivery are widely established, including adequate pain management, access to a NICU in the event of an emergency, professional staff assistance, and the availability of advanced interventions.

Women who were non-autonomous in seeking medical help were 3.5 times more likely to have maternal near-miss events [OR=3.538, 95%CI, (1.468-8.524)]. This is consistent with the work of Habte and Wondimu (2021). This could be explained that respecting the *autonomy* of the women allows *them* to make *decisions* that are in their best interests, as they are usually the best judges of those interests.

The study discovered that women who took more than an hour to reach health facility were almost two times more likely to be maternal near miss than those who arrived in less than one hour [OR=1.773, 95% CI, (1.212-2.595)]. Although

statistically insignificant, the other two delays also exhibited a greater odd. The findings of this study correspond to the results of other studies conducted in some parts of Africa like Ethiopia and Morocco (Habte and Wondimu, 2021; Assarag et al, 2015). Delays in obtaining care were collected according to the 3-delay model (Thaddeus and Maine, 1994), which was adapted as follows. Firstly, **delay in decision to seek care as a consequence of** women's low socio-economic status, lack of understanding of life-threatening complications and risk factors in pregnancy and when to seek medical help, previous poor experience of health care and financial implications. Secondly, delay in reaching care due to; distance to health centres and hospitals, unavailability of transportation, lack of cost of transportation, insecurity and poor roads and infrastructure. Thirdly, delay in accessing and receiving adequate health care mainly due to poor facilities and lack of medical supplies, inadequately trained and poorly motivated medical staff and inadequate referral systems (Maternity worldwide, 2014).

A lot of reasons contribute to this, including poor public infrastructure such as roads, low community awareness, and a health system that is too limited in terms of availability, accessibility, affordability and skilled birth attendants especially in remote areas.

In this study, still birth as the outcome of last pregnancy was positively related to maternal near-miss [OR=5.543, 95%CI: (2.880-10.668)] and is compatible with studies conducted in Nigeria and Ethiopia (Adeoye, Onayade and Fatusi, 2013; Habte and Wondimu, 2021).

This can be explained by the fact that women with a history of stillbirth may experience a variety of psychological and relational issues, which may raise the risk of maternal complications in subsequent pregnancies. The link between maternal chronic hypertension and stillbirth may also be an alternative explanation. Moreover, the argument of Todd et al was handy here, in which women who have experienced a stillbirth might have a history of chronic hypertension, and thereby increase the odds of maternal near-miss. Todd et al. in their study on correlates of severe acute maternal morbidity in Kabul also established that prior stillbirth or spontaneous abortion was an immense predictive factor for maternal near-miss (Todd et, 2015).

Strength and limitation of the study

The strength of the study is that it is the first of its kind in Somalia to document the determinants of maternal near-miss events among women admitted to tertiary hospitals using the WHO case identification criteria of near-miss mothers. The study looked into the women's experiences as well as the timeliness of their medical care using multiple sources of information like patient cards, hospital records and interviews with women represented another strength of our study. Furthermore, using a regression analysis model that incorporates interrelationships between variables reduces the likelihood of erroneous conclusions.

The selection of women was limited to those who reached the study hospitals. On the other hand, even though the study adapted WHO's maternal near-miss criteria, due to limited resources, few advanced laboratory investigations and management-based criteria were not used, however, this had no impact on diagnosis and outcome since all other clinical-based criteria were applied.

Conclusion

In this study, the most common causes of maternal near misses were severe anemia (32%), severe pre-eclampsia (19.6%), severe ante partum haemorrhage (15.0%), abortion complications (8.4%) eclampsia (6.1%), severe post-partum haemorrhage (2.8%) and severe systemic infection (2.8%).

Rural residence, early marriage, lack of formal education, unemployment, previous history of maternal complications, short birth interval and lack of ante natal care attendance were all found to be significantly associated with maternal near miss cases.

These findings of this study ought to propose in rural areas, particularly those areas high number of unschooled women; emphasizing on maternity service coverage is a critical step in preventing major maternal problems by enhancing healthcare extension packages and strengthening free high-quality antenatal care (ANC) services. Moreover, the study recommends to establish a community awareness program to raise the awareness of the public especially women of reproductive age and address the socio-cultural factors perpetuating early marriage.

Lastly, the study suggests that a longitudinal multicenter study be conducted to generate a more consistent and complete national picture of maternal near misses.

Declarations

Institutional Review Board Statement:

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of SIMAD University, Somalia (Ref#: 2021IMRSU/FMHS/FR18/P023), and the protocols used in the study were approved by the committees of human subject's protection of the selected hospitals. All the methods were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulation for the protection of human subjects as enshrined in the declaration of Helsinki.

Competing interests

The author declares that he has no competing interests. He is currently the head of nursing and midwifery department of SIMAD University, Somalia.

Data Availability

Data will be made available upon request. Readers who wish to gain access to the data may write to the corresponding author, Dr. Hassan Abdullahi Dahie, at dahie@simad.edu.so.

Authors' contributions

The idea was conceived, designed and coordinated by H.A.D. The author performed the data collection supervision and guidance, statistical analysis, manuscript drafting and editing. H.A.D. The author read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments

The researcher would like to acknowledge all participants of the study and Simad University for their due cooperation and involvement.

Funding

There was no funding for this study.

Consent for publication

The author consented this paper for publication.

References

1. Adedokun, O., Adeyemi, O., & Dauda, C. (2016). Child marriage and maternal health risks among young mothers in Gombi, Adamawa State, Nigeria: implications for mortality, entitlements and freedoms. *African health sciences*, 16(4), 986–999. <https://doi.org/10.4314/ahs.v16i4.15>
2. Adeoye I.A., Onayade A.A., and Fatusi A.O., Incidence, determinants and perinatal outcomes of near miss maternal morbidity in Ile-Ife Nigeria: a prospective case control study. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth.*, 2013. 13. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2393-13-93> PMID: 23587107
3. ARC (2018). Maternal Mortality in Somalia: What ARC is doing to combat this crisis <https://reliefweb.int/report/somalia/maternal-mortality-somalia-what-arc-doing-combat-crisis#:~:text=Unfortunately%2C%20stories%20like%20this%20are,deaths%20per%20100%2C000%20live%20births>.
4. Asaye M. M. (2020). Proportion of Maternal Near-Miss and Its Determinants among Northwest Ethiopian Women: A Cross-Sectional Study. *International journal of reproductive medicine*, 2020, 5257431. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/5257431>
5. Asaye M. M. (2020). Proportion of Maternal Near-Miss and Its Determinants among Northwest Ethiopian Women: A Cross-Sectional Study. *International journal of reproductive medicine*, 2020, 5257431. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/5257431>.
6. Assarag B, Dujardin B, Delamou A, Meski F-Z, De Brouwere V (2015) Determinants of Maternal Near-Miss in Morocco: Too Late, Too Far, Too Sloppy?. *PLoS ONE* 10(1): e0116675. doi:10.1371/ journal.pone.0116675.
7. Assarag B, Dujardin B, Delamou A, Meski FZ, De Brouwere V. Determinants of maternal near-miss in Morocco: too late, too far, too sloppy? *PLoS One*. 2015 Jan 22;10(1):e0116675. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar].
8. Bauserman, M., Nowak, K., Nolen, T.L. et al. The relationship between birth intervals and adverse maternal and neonatal outcomes in six low and lower-middle income countries. *Reprod Health* **17**, 157 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12978-020-01008-4>.
9. Binda Jyoti, Solanki Garima. Clinical and Etiological Study of Maternal Near-Miss at a Tertiary Referral Hospital of Central India. *Indian Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Research*. 2016;3(1):28–31. [Google Scholar]
10. Carroli G, Rooney C, Villar J. How effective is antenatal care in preventing maternal mortality and serious morbidity? An overview of the evidence. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol*.2001;15:1-42.
11. Central Statistical Agency [Ethiopia]: Ethiopia Demographic and Health Survey 2011. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia and Calverton, Maryland, USA; 2012.
12. Chikadaya et al. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth* (2018) 18:458 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-018-2092-7>.
13. Danel I, Graham WJ, Boerma T. Maternal death surveillance and response. *Bull World Health*
14. De Moraes AP, Barreto SM, Passos VM, Golino PS, Costa JE, Vasconcelos MX: Severe maternal morbidity: a case-control study in Maranhao, Brazil. *Reproductive health* 2013, 10:11. pmid:23399443
15. Federal Ministry of Health [Ethiopia]: Health Sector Transformation Plan: 2015/16–2019/20. 2015.
16. Fernando Ce´sar de Oliveira Jr., Maria Laura Costa, Jose Guilherme Cecatti, Joa˜o Luiz Pinto e Silva, Fernanda Garanhani Surita (2006). Maternal morbidity and near miss associated with maternal age: the innovative approach of the 2006 Brazilian demographic health survey. University of Campinas (UNICAMP), School of Medical Sciences, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Campinas/SP, Brazil.
17. Fikadu Nugusu Dessalegn, Feleke Hailemichael Astawesegn, Nana Chea Hankalo, "Factors Associated with Maternal Near Miss among Women Admitted in West Arsi Zone Public Hospitals, Ethiopia: Unmatched Case-Control Study", *Journal of Pregnancy*, vol. 2020, Article ID 6029160, 10 pages, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/6029160>.

18. Filippi V, Ronsmans C, Gohou V, Goufodji S, Lardi M, Sahe A. et al. Maternity wards or emergency obstetric rooms. Incidence of near-miss events in African hospitals. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand* 2005; 84:11–16.
19. Gazala Yasmin, Rehana Najam, Shahreyar Ghazi, Astha L. Maternal near miss events: a prospective observational study in a tertiary care centre. *Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol.* 2016;5(9):3088–3093. [Google Scholar]
20. Geze Tenaw, S., Girma Fage, S., Assefa, N., & Kenay Tura, A. (2021). Determinants of maternal near-miss in private hospitals in eastern Ethiopia: A nested case-control study. *Women's health (London, England)*, 17, 17455065211061949. <https://doi.org/10.1177/17455065211061949>.
21. Habte A, Wondimu M (2021) Determinants of maternal near miss among women admitted to maternity wards of tertiary hospitals in Southern Ethiopia, 2020: A hospitalbased case-control study. *PLoS ONE* 16(5): e0251826. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0251826>.
22. Habte A, Wondimu M (2021) Determinants of maternal near miss among women admitted to maternity wards of tertiary hospitals in Southern Ethiopia, 2020: A hospital based case-control study. *PLoS ONE* 16(5): e0251826. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0251826>.
23. Kabakyenga JK, Östergren PO, Turyakira E, Pettersson KO. Knowledge of obstetric danger signs and birth preparedness practices among women in rural Uganda. *Reprod Health.* 2011;8:33. doi:10.1186/1742-4755-8-33. pmid:22087791
24. Khan KS, Wojdyla D, Say L, Gülmezoglu AM, Van Look PF. WHO analysis of causes of maternal death: a systematic review. *Lancet.* 2006;367(9516):1066–74.
25. Koblinsky MA. Beyond maternal mortality - Magnitude, interrelationship, and consequences of women's health, pregnancy-related complications and nutritional status on pregnancy outcomes. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet.* 1995;48(Suppl):S21–32.
26. Kumela, L., Tilahun, T., & Kifle, D. (2020). Determinants of Maternal Near Miss in Western Ethiopia. *Ethiopian journal of health sciences*, 30(2), 161–168. <https://doi.org/10.4314/ejhs.v30i2.3>
27. L. Say, J. P. Souza, and R. C. Pattinson, "Maternal near miss –towards a standard tool for monitoring quality of maternal health care," *Best Practice & Research Clinical Obstetrics & Gynaecology*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 287–296, 2009.
28. Liyew, E.F., Yalew, A.W., Afework, M.F. et al. Distant and proximate factors associated with maternal near-miss: a nested case-control study in selected public hospitals of Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. *BMC Women's Health* **18**, 28 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12905-018-0519-y>.
29. Luexay P, Malinee L, Pisake L, Marie-Helene BC: Maternal near-miss and mortality in Sayaboury Province, Lao PDR. *BMC public health* 2014, 14:945. pmid:25213771
30. M.C. Hogan, K.J. Foreman, M. Naghavi, S.Y. Ahn, M. Wang, S.M. Makela, A.D. Lopez, R. Lozano, C.J. Murray. **Maternal mortality for 181 countries, 1980–2008: a systematic analysis of progress towards millennium development goal 5.** *Lancet*, 375 (9726) (2010), pp. 1609-1623.
31. Maternity worldwide (2014): The Three Delays Model and our Integrated Approach. <https://www.maternityworldwide.org/what-we-do/three-delays-model/>.
32. Mekango DE, Alemayehu M, Gebregergs GB, Medhanyie AA, Goba G (2017) Determinants of maternal near miss among women in public hospital maternity wards in Northern Ethiopia: A facility based case-control study. *PLoS ONE* 12(9): e0183886. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0183886>.
33. Mekango, D. E., Alemayehu, M., Gebregergs, G. B., Medhanyie, A. A., & Goba, G. (2017). Determinants of maternal near miss among women in public hospital maternity wards in Northern Ethiopia: A facility based case-control study. *PloS one*, 12(9), e0183886. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0183886>
34. Oliveira, F.C., Surita, F.G., Pinto e Silva, J.L. et al. Severe maternal morbidity and maternal near miss in the extremes of reproductive age: results from a national cross- sectional multicenter study. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* **14**, 77

- (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2393-14-77>
35. Organ. 2011;89(11):779. 16. Chhabra P. Maternal near
 36. Pattinson R, Hall M. Near misses: a useful adjunct to maternal death enquiries. *Br Med Bull.* 2003;67:231–43. 8. The World Health Organization (WHO). Beyond the numbers :reviewing maternal deaths and complications to make pregnancy safer. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2004.
 37. Rodriguez, L. (2021) Why Maternal Mortality Is So High in Sub-Saharan Africa. <https://www.globalcitizen.org/en/content/maternal-mortality-sub-saharan-africa-causes/>
 38. Save the children (2015). The Urban Disadvantage. State of the world’s mothers 2015. 5 Save the Children Federation, Inc. <https://www.savethechildren.org/content/dam/usa/reports/advocacy/sowm/sowm-2015.pdf>
 39. Say L, Souza JP; Pattinson RC for the WHO working group on maternal mortality and morbidity classification. Maternal near miss-towards a standard tool for monitoring quality of maternal health care. *Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol.* 2009;23:287–296.)
 40. Sikder, S.S., Labrique, A.B., Shamim, A.A. et al. Risk factors for reported obstetric complications and near misses in rural northwest Bangladesh: analysis from a prospective cohort study. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* **14**, 347 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2393-14-347>
 41. Sikder, S.S., Labrique, A.B., Shamim, A.A. et al. Risk factors for reported obstetric complications and near misses in rural northwest Bangladesh: analysis from a prospective cohort study. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* **14**, 347 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2393-14-347>
 42. Souza JP, Cecatti JG, Parpinelli MA, Serruya SJ, Amaral E. Appropriate criteria for identification of near-miss maternal morbidity in tertiary care facilities: A cross sectional study. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth.* 2007;7:20.
 43. Datta KK , Sharma RS, Razack PMA , et al Morbidity pattern among rural women in Alwar-Rajasthan - A cohort study. *Health Popul Perspect Issues* 1980;3:282–92. Google Scholar
 44. Koblinsky MA Beyond maternal mortality–magnitude, interrelationship, and consequences of women’s health, pregnancy-related complications and nutritional status on pregnancy outcomes. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet* 1995;48:S21–S32. doi:10.1016/0020-7292(95)02322-4 CrossRefPubMedGoogle Scholar
 45. T. Witteveen, H. Bezstarosti, I. de Koning et al., “Validating the WHO maternal near miss tool: comparing high- and low-resource settings,” *BMC pregnancy and childbirth*, vol. 17, no. 1, p. 194, 2017.
 46. Thaddeus S, Maine D (1994) Too far to walk: maternal mortality in context. *Soc Sci Med* 38: 1091–1110.
 47. The World Health Organization (WHO). Evaluating the quality of care for severe pregnancy complications. The WHO near-miss approach for maternal health. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2011.
 48. Todd CS, Mansoor GF, Haider S, Hashimy P, Mustafavi N, Nasir A, Miller S. A case-control study of correlates of severe acute maternal morbidity in Kabul, Afghanistan. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet.* 2015;130(2):142–7.
 49. WHO (1998). World Health Day Safe Motherhood 7 April 1998
 50. WHO (2011) Evaluating the quality of care for severe pregnancy complications The WHO near-miss approach for maternal health. http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/44692/9789241502221_eng.pdf?sequence=1
 51. WHO (2020): Safe Motherhood Day 2020: <https://www.who.int/bangladesh/news/detail/27-05-2020-safe-motherhood-day-2020#:~:text=Safe%20motherhood%20means%20ensuring%20all,her%20family%20and%20the%20community.>
 52. WHO (2022). Maternal Near Miss. accessed on 18th January, 2022 from [https:// www. who. int/ reproductive health/topics/maternal_perinatal/nmconcept/en/](https://www.who.int/reproductive-health/topics/maternal_perinatal/nmconcept/en/)
 53. WHO: Maternal mortality in 2005: estimates developed by WHO, UNICEF, UNFPA, and the World Bank. 2007, Geneva: WHO Press, 16-

54. World Health Organization & UNICEF. Trends in maternal mortality: 1990 to 2013: estimates by WHO, UNICEF, UNFPA, The World Bank and the United Nations Population Division. 2015. Available: http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/194254/1/9789241565141_eng.pdf?ua=1. Accessed, 2 June 2021.
55. World Health Organization, author. Trends in maternal mortality: 1990 to 2015: estimates by WHO, UNICEF UNFPA, World Bank Group and the United Nations Population Division. [25 April 2018]. Available <https://www.afro.who.int/sites/default/files/2017>.
56. World Health Organization. Evaluating the Quality of Care for Severe Pregnancy Complication: The WHO Near Miss Approach for Maternal Health. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2011. [Last accessed on 2020 Aug 18]. Available from: https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/44692/9789241502221_eng.pdf?sequence=1 . [Google Scholar]

Figures




Figure 1

Distribution of maternal near miss events