

Maternal Folic Acid Supplementation, Dietary Folate Intake and Low Birth Weight: A Birth Cohort Study

LiPing Yang

Guangdong Provincial Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine

Wenjuan Wang

Guangdong Provincial Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine

Baohong Mao

gansu provincial maternity and child care hospital

Jie Qiu

gansu provincial maternity and child care hospital

Huaqi Guo

Shanghai Jiaotong University

Bin Yi

Gansu provincial maternity and child care hospital

Xiaochun He

Gansu provincial Maternity and Children Hospital

Xiaojuan Lin

Gansu provincial maternity and child care hospital

Ling Lv

Gansu provincial maternity and child care hospital

XiaoYing Xu

Gansu Provincial maternity and child care Hospital

Qing Liu

Gansu Provincial maternity and child care Hospital

Yiming Cheng (✉ amalcom@163.com)

Gansu provincial maternity and child care hospital <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2766-5892>

Yongchun Cao

Gansu provincial maternity and child care hospital

Research

Keywords: Folic acid supplementation, Dietary folate intake, LBW, Cohort study

Posted Date: February 4th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-163378/v1>

License:  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Objectives

To investigate the independent and collective effects of maternal folic acid supplementation or dietary folate intake upon the risk of low birth weight (LBW), and to further comprehensively examine the joint associations of folic acid supplementation and dietary folate intake with LBW by various clinical subtypes.

Design

Participants were recruited in Gansu Provincial Maternity and Child Care Hospital. A standardized and structured questionnaire was distributed to collect demographic factors, reproductive and medical history, occupational and residential history, physical activity and diet. Data on pregnancy-related complications and birth outcomes were extracted from medical records. Unconditional logistic regression models were used to estimate odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (95%CI) for single and joint associations of folic acid supplementation and dietary folate intake with LBW.

Setting

A birth cohort data analysis using the 2010–2012 Gansu Provincial Maternity and Child Care Hospital in Lanzhou, China.

Participants

9231 pregnant women and their children were enrolled in the study.

Results

Compared to non-users, folic acid supplementation was associated with a reduced risk of LBW (OR: 0.80, 95%CI: 0.66-0.97), and the reduced risk was mainly seen for term-LBW (OR: 0.59, 95%CI: 0.41-0.85), and multiparous-LBW (OR: 0.72, 95%CI: 0.54-0.94). For dietary folate intake, there were no significant associations with LBW, and there was no interaction of folic acid supplement and dietary folate intake on LBW.

Conclusions

Our study results indicated that folic acid supplementation was associated with a reduced risk of LBW, and there was not interaction of folic acid supplement and dietary folate intake on LBW.

Introduction

Low birth weight (LBW) can increase neonatal mortality and is associated with various infant morbidities^(1; 2). Furthermore, it can lead to chronic diseases in later life^(3; 4), such as metabolic syndrome, diabetes

mellitus type 2, cardiovascular diseases, hypertension or cancer⁽⁵⁻⁷⁾, which result in the large economic costs in terms of immediate neonatal intensive care, ongoing long-term complex health needs, as well as lost economic productivity. Unfortunately, approximately 16% of infants are born weighing less than 2500g worldwide, which represents more than 22 million LBW babies per year⁽⁸⁾. Thus, it is an important public health problem and need to be solved urgently.

Folate is the generic term for compounds that have vitamin activity similar to that of pteroylglutamic acid and is an anti-anemic and growth factor. Folate acts as a co-enzyme in several single carbon transfers leading to the biosynthesis of purine nucleotides and deoxythymidylic acid essential for DNA and RNA synthesis⁽⁹⁾.

Epidemiological studies that investigated the association between folic acid intake and the risk of LBW have reached conflicting results. Eight studies found that folic acid supplementation before and/or during pregnancy reduced the risk of LBW⁽¹⁰⁻¹⁷⁾, but three studies reported no association between folic acid supplementation and LBW⁽¹⁸⁻²⁰⁾. Only two studies were about the relationship between dietary folate intake and LBW^(15; 21), Uno *et al* found that folate deficiencies are known risk factors for low birth weight⁽²¹⁾, and Rolschau *et al* found that The effects of supplementing the diet with folic acid given preconception ally or in the first half of pregnancy in an affluent Northern country were a slight increase of birth weight⁽¹⁵⁾. Scholl *et al* investigated that lower concentrations of serum folate at week 28 were also associated with a greater risk of low birth weight⁽²²⁾, and Bergen *et al* found that low folate concentrations (lowest quintile) were associated with birthweight⁽²³⁾. To further comprehensively examine the single and joint associations of folic acid supplementation and dietary folate intake with LBW by various clinical subtypes, we analyzed data from a birth cohort study conducted in Lanzhou, China.

Materials And Methods

Study population

A birth cohort was conducted in 2010–2012 at the Gansu Provincial Maternity and Child Care Hospital, the largest maternity and child care hospital in Lanzhou, China⁽²⁴⁾. The study population were described previously⁽²⁴⁻²⁸⁾, and 10542 participants completed in-person interviews. Of those, 323 was multiple births, 40 was stillbirth, 253 was birth defect, 30 was data missing on birth weight, 1 was less than 22 weeks in gestational age, and 664 was more than 4000g on birth weight, which yielded 9231 participants who were included in the current analysis. The in-person interview was conducted by trained study interviewers at the hospital. The questionnaire which was standardized and structured collected information on demographic factors, reproductive and medical history, environmental factors and lifestyle factors. Information on birth outcomes and maternal complications were abstracted from the medical records.

Low Birth Weight

The gestational age at delivery was calculated in completed weeks from the first day of the last menstrual period. Information on last menstrual period was extracted from medical records. All self-reported last menstrual period dates were further verified by ultrasound examinations during antenatal care in the hospital. LBW was defined as a birth weight < 2500g⁽²⁹⁾, and NBW was defined as a birth weight \geq 2500g and \geq 4000g.

Preterm-LBW infants in our study were defined as infants born LBW between 22 weeks + 0 days and 36 weeks + 6 days of gestation. And term- LBW infants in our study were defined as infants born LBW between 37 weeks + 0 days and 41 weeks + 6 days of gestation.

Multiparous-LBW infants in our study were defined as infants born LBW whose mothers' parity was more than 1. And nulliparous-LBW infants in our study were defined as infants born LBW whose mothers' parity was 0.

Folic acid supplementation and dietary folate intake

Information on folic acid supplementation was collected for the following two time periods: before conception and during pregnancy. For each time period, duration and frequency of folic acid supplementation alone and folic acid-containing multivitamins were ascertained. Folic acid supplementation users were defined as those who took folic acid supplementation alone or folic acid-containing multivitamins before conception or during pregnancy. Preconception and pregnancy users were defined as those who took folic acid supplementation alone or folic acid-containing multivitamins before conception and during pregnancy. Only preconception users were defined as those who took folic acid supplementation alone or folic acid-containing multivitamins before conception. Only pregnancy users were defined as those who took folic acid supplementation alone or folic acid-containing multivitamins during pregnancy. Nonusers were defined as those who never took folic acid supplementation alone or folic acid-containing multivitamins before conception and/or during pregnancy.

Dietary information was collected via a semiquantitative food frequency questionnaire. Daily dietary folate intake was estimated from the frequency of consumption and portion size of food items using the Chinese Standard Tables of Food Consumption⁽³⁰⁾.

Statistical analysis

Depending on intake levels among total study population, we determined the quartile, denoted as Q1(< 143.24), Q2(143.24-188.55), Q3(188.55-254.37), Q4(\geq 254.37), and folic acid supplementation was classified into no more than 12 weeks and more than 12 weeks.

Pearson's chi-square tests were used to compare selected characteristics between NBW and LBW. Unconditional logistic regression models were used to estimate odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (95%CI) for single and joint associations of folic acid supplementation and dietary folate intake with LBW and various clinical subtypes. Dose-response relationships (*P* for trend) were calculated based those categorical levels. In the Table 2 and Table 3, we adjusted for maternal age, monthly income per

capita, maternal education level, smoking, maternal employ, weight gain during pregnancy, preeclampsia, caesarean section, parity, total energy intake, dietary folate intake or folic acid supplement. In the Table 4, we adjusted for maternal age, monthly income per capita, maternal education level, smoking, maternal employ, weight gain during pregnancy, preeclampsia, caesarean section, total energy intake, dietary folate intake or folic acid supplement. In the Table 5, we adjusted for maternal age, monthly income per capita, maternal education level, smoking, maternal employ, weight gain during pregnancy, preeclampsia, caesarean section, parity, total energy intake. All analyses were performed using SAS software, version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, North Carolina).

Table 2
Associations of folic acid supplementation and dietary folate intake with the risk of LBW

Folic acid/folate intake duration	NBW (n = 8581)	LBW (n = 650)		
		Cases	OR ^a (95% CI)	OR ^b (95% CI)
Folic acid supplement				
Nonusers	1859	239	1.00	1.00
Users	6722	411	0.48(0.40-0.56)	0.80(0.66-0.97)
≤12 weeks	3500	262	0.58(0.48-0.70)	0.84(0.68-1.03)
> 12 weeks	3222	149	0.60(0.54-0.67)	0.71(0.55-0.92)
<i>P</i> for trend			< 0.001	< 0.001
Before conception and during pregnancy				
<24 weeks	1179	75	0.50(0.38-0.64)	0.85(0.62-1.17)
≥ 24 weeks	1363	54	0.56(0.48-0.65)	0.64(0.44-0.93)
<i>P</i> for trend			< 0.001	< 0.001
Before conception only				
≤8 weeks	138	10	0.56(0.29-1.09)	0.73(0.37-1.45)
> 8 weeks	188	9	0.61(0.43-0.86)	0.69(0.34-1.41)
<i>P</i> for trend			0.002	0.031
During pregnancy only				
<12 weeks	1656	138	0.65(0.52-0.81)	0.84(0.66-1.07)
≥ 12 weeks	2198	125	0.67(0.59-0.74)	0.72(0.56-0.93)
<i>P</i> for trend			< 0.001	< 0.001
Dietary folate intake(µg/day)				
Before pregnancy				
Q1 < 116.01	2090	218	1.00	1.00
Q2 116.01-158.54	2186	126	0.55(0.44-0.69)	0.70(0.54-1.00)
OR ^a univariate analyses.				
OR ^b adjusted for maternal age, monthly income per capita, maternal education level, smoking, maternal employ, weight gain during pregnancy, preeclampsia, caesarean section, parity, total energy intake, dietary folate intake or folic acid supplement.				

Folic acid/folate intake duration	NBW (n = 8581)	LBW (n = 650)		
		Cases	OR ^a (95% CI)	OR ^b (95% CI)
Q3 158.54-220.88	2134	168	0.87(0.78-0.97)	1.02(0.91-1.16)
Q4 ≥ 220.88	2171	138	0.85(0.79-0.91)	0.96(0.86-1.06)
<i>P</i> for trend			< 0.001	0.255
During pregnancy				
Q1 < 149.81	2086	224	1.00	1.00
Q2 149.81-197.59	2125	151	0.66(0.53-0.82)	1.01(0.79-1.29)
Q3 197.59-263.67	2144	138	0.77(0.69-0.86)	0.96(0.84-1.10)
Q4 ≥ 263.67	2226	137	0.83(0.77-0.90)	1.02(0.91-1.15)
<i>P</i> for trend			< 0.001	0.133
OR ^a univariate analyses.				
OR ^b adjusted for maternal age, monthly income per capita, maternal education level, smoking, maternal employ, weight gain during pregnancy, preeclampsia, caesarean section, parity, total energy intake, dietary folate intake or folic acid supplement.				

Table 3

Associations of folate acid supplementation and dietary folate intake with the risk of term-LBW and preterm-LBW

Folic acid/folate intake duration	NBW (n = 8581)	Term-LBW (37 ≥ weeks)		Preterm-LBW (< 37 weeks)	
		Cases(n = 153)	OR ^b (95% CI)	Cases(n = 497)	OR ^b (95% CI)
Folic acid supplement					
Nonusers	1859	60	1.00	179	1.00
Users	6722	93	0.59(0.41-0.85)	318	0.90(0.72-1.12)
≤12 weeks	3500	60	0.65(0.44-0.96)	202	0.92(0.73-1.16)
> 12 weeks	3222	33	0.71(0.55-0.90)	116	0.90(0.78-1.04)
<i>P</i> for trend			< 0.001		< 0.001
Before conception and during pregnancy					
<24 weeks	1179	15	0.54(0.29-1.01)	60	0.99(0.69-1.41)
≥ 24 weeks	1363	8	0.26(0.11-0.59)	46	0.92(0.75-1.13)
<i>P</i> for trend			< 0.001		0.002
Before conception only					
During pregnancy only	3854	64	0.67(0.45-0.98)	199	0.87(0.69-1.11)
<12 weeks	1656	29	0.65(0.41-1.03)	109	0.91(0.69-1.20)
≥ 12 weeks	2198	35	0.81(0.64-1.02)	90	0.88(0.76-1.02)
<i>P</i> for trend			0.013		0.001
Dietary folate intake(μg/day)					
Before pregnancy					
Q1 < 116.01	2090	48	1.00	170	1.00
Q2 116.01-158.54	2186	23	0.61(0.36-1.03)	103	0.72(0.54-0.99)
Q3 158.54-220.88	2134	41	1.08(0.86-1.36)	127	1.00(0.87-1.15)
Q4 ≥ 220.88	2171	41	1.07(0.89-1.29)	97	0.91(0.81-1.03)

OR^b adjusted for maternal age, monthly income per capita, maternal education level, smoking, maternal employ, weight gain during pregnancy, preeclampsia, caesarean section, total energy intake, dietary folate intake or folic acid supplement.

Folic acid/folate intake duration	NBW (n = 8581)	Term-LBW (37 ≥ weeks)		Preterm-LBW (< 37 weeks)	
		Cases(n = 153)	OR ^b (95% CI)	Cases(n = 497)	OR ^b (95% CI)
<i>P</i> for trend			0.352		0.049
During pregnancy					
Q1 < 149.81	2086	46	1.00	178	1.00
Q2 149.81-197.59	2125	29	0.88(0.53-1.47)	122	1.03(0.78-1.36)
Q3 197.59-263.67	2144	37	1.18(0.92-1.51)	101	0.88(0.75-1.04)
Q4 ≥ 263.67	2226	41	1.14(0.92-1.42)	96	0.97(0.84-1.12)
<i>P</i> for trend			0.170		0.009
OR ^b adjusted for maternal age, monthly income per capita, maternal education level, smoking, maternal employ, weight gain during pregnancy, preeclampsia, caesarean section, total energy intake, dietary folate intake or folic acid supplement.					

Table 4

Associations of folate acid supplementation and dietary folate intake with the risk of Nulliparous-LBW and Multiparous-LBW

Folic acid/folate intake duration	NBW (n = 8581)	Nulliparous -LBW		Multiparous -LBW	
		Cases(n = 374)	OR ^c (95% CI)	Cases(n = 276)	OR ^c (95% CI)
Folic acid supplement					
Nonusers	1859	122	1.00	117	1.00
Users	6722	252	0.88(0.68-1.13)	159	0.72(0.54-0.94)
≤12 weeks	3500	154	0.90(0.69-1.18)	108	0.77(0.58-1.04)
> 12 weeks	3222	98	0.94(0.80-1.10)	51	0.74(0.61-0.89)
P for trend			< 0.001		< 0.001
Before conception and during pregnancy					
	2542	88	0.98(0.70-1.38)	41	0.55(0.36-0.83)
<24 weeks	1179	49	1.01(0.68-1.50)	26	0.67(0.42-1.07)
≥ 24 weeks	1363	39	0.98(0.78-1.22)	15	0.61(0.45-0.83)
P for trend			0.020		< 0.001
Before conception only					
	326	12	0.85(0.45-1.62)	7	0.57(0.26-1.27)
During pregnancy only					
	3854	152	0.88(0.67-1.15)	111	0.76(0.57-1.02)
<12 weeks	1656	79	0.90(0.66-1.24)	59	0.79(0.56-1.12)
≥ 12 weeks	2198	73	0.90(0.76-1.06)	52	0.82(0.68-0.98)
P for trend			0.008		< 0.001
Dietary folate intake(µg/day)					
Before pregnancy					
Q1 < 116.01	2090	123	1.00	95	1.00
Q2 116.01-158.54	2186	75	0.69(0.50-0.95)	51	0.74(0.50-1.09)
Q3 158.54-220.88	2134	98	1.01(0.87-1.18)	70	1.04(0.87-1.24)
Q4 ≥ 220.88	2171	78	0.90(0.79-1.03)	60	1.05(0.90-1.22)

OR^c adjusted for maternal age, education level, monthly income per capita, smoking, employment, weight gain during pregnancy, preeclampsia, caesarean section, total energy intake, dietary folate intake or folic acid supplement.

Folic acid/folate intake duration	NBW (n = 8581)	Nulliparous -LBW		Multiparous -LBW	
		Cases(n = 374)	OR ^c (95% CI)	Cases(n = 276)	OR ^c (95% CI)
<i>P</i> for trend			0.171		0.894
During pregnancy					
Q1 < 149.81	2086	117	1.00	107	1.00
Q2 149.81-197.59	2125	87	1.00(0.73-1.39)	64	0.96(0.67-1.38)
Q3 197.59-263.67	2144	91	1.02(0.86-1.22)	47	0.86(0.70-1.06)
Q4 ≥ 263.67	2226	79	1.01(0.87-1.18)	58	1.03(0.87-1.23)
<i>P</i> for trend			0.308		0.135
OR ^c adjusted for maternal age, education level, monthly income per capita, smoking, employment, weight gain during pregnancy, preeclampsia, caesarean section, total energy intake, dietary folate intake or folic acid supplement.					

Table 5

Odd ratios (95%CI) of LBW by joint effects of folic acid supplement and dietary folate intake

Dietary folate intake levels (µg/day)	Folic acid supplementation non-users		Folic acid supplementation users		<i>P</i> for interaction
	case/controls	OR ^d (95% CI)	case/controls	OR ^d (95% CI)	
Q1 < 143.24	98/585	1.00	124/1480	0.82(0.59-1.15)	0.223
Q2 143.24-188.55	42/347	0.95(0.76-1.18)	103/1822	0.91(0.80-1.03)	
Q3 188.55-254.37	48/386	0.94(0.83-1.06)	100/1779	0.91(0.84-0.98)	
Q4 ≥ 254.37	51/541	0.97(0.87-1.09)	84/1641	0.96(0.88-1.04)	
OR ^d Adjusted for maternal age, education level, monthly income per capita, smoking, employment, weight gain during pregnancy, preeclampsia, parity, caesarean section, total energy intake.					

Results

Of the 9231 singleton live births, 650 were diagnosed with LBW and 8581 were NBW. Table 1 shows the distributions of selected characteristics in participants with LBW and NBW births. Women who had LBW births were more likely to be either younger than 25 years old or older than 30 years old, gain less than 3000 monthly income per capita (RMB), have less than college education, smoke, be unemployed during

pregnancy, gain lower weight during pregnancy, be diagnosed with preeclampsia, be multipara, adopt cesarean delivery. Distributions of drinking during pregnancy, prepregnancy BMI and gender of live birth were similar for LBW and NBW births.

Table 1
Distributions of selected characteristics in participants with NBW and LBW

Characteristics	NBW (n = 8581)		LBW (n = 650)		P-value
	n	%	n	%	
Maternal age					
< 25	1919	22.36	195	30.00	< 0.001
25~29	3630	42.31	196	30.15	
≥30	3032	35.33	259	39.85	
Monthly income per capita(RMB)					
< 3000	4233	54.56	436	73.90	< 0.001
≥ 3000	3525	45.44	154	26.10	
Missing	823		60		
Maternal education level					
< College	5131	60.89	516	81.52	< 0.001
≥ College	3295	39.11	117	18.48	
Missing	155		17		
Smoking (passive and active)					
No	6923	80.68	489	75.23	< 0.001
Yes	1658	19.32	161	24.77	
Drink during pregnancy					
No	8564	99.80	648	99.69	0.391*
Yes	17	0.20	2	0.31	
Maternal employ					
No	4050	47.20	409	62.92	< 0.001
Yes	4531	52.80	241	37.08	
Pre-pregnancy BMI (kg/m ²)					
< 18.5	1821	21.92	135	22.13	0.078

Calculated by χ^2 analysis without accounting for missing data

* Fisher exact test

Characteristics	NBW (n = 8581)		LBW (n = 650)		P-value
	n	%	n	%	
18.5–23.9	5644	67.93	396	64.92	
≥24.0	843	10.15	79	12.95	
Missing	273		40		
Weight gain during pregnancy (kg)					
< 15	2542	30.75	346	59.04	< 0.001
15–18.5	2753	33.30	132	22.53	
> 18.5	2972	35.95	108	18.43	
Missing	314		64		
Preeclampsia					
No	8382	97.68	535	82.31	< 0.001
Yes	199	2.32	115	17.69	
Parity					
Primipara	6310	73.53	374	57.54	< 0.001
Multipara	2271	26.47	276	42.46	
Caesarean section					
No	5428	63.98	290	47.08	< 0.001
Yes	3056	36.02	326	52.92	
Missing	97		34		
Gender of live birth					
Male	4427	51.69	326	50.31	0.498
Female	4138	48.31	322	49.69	
Missing	16		2		
Calculated by χ^2 analysis without accounting for missing data					
* Fisher exact test					

As shown in Table 2, folic acid supplementation was associated with a reduced risk of LBW overall (OR: 0.80, 95%CI: 0.66–0.97), and the risk of LBW decreased with the increasing duration of folic acid supplementation (P for trend < 0.001). After stratifying by time periods of folic acid supplementation,

slightly significant associations were observed for those who took supplements before conception and during pregnancy (OR: 0.78, 95%CI: 0.59–1.03) or during pregnancy only (OR: 0.80, 95%CI: 0.65–0.99), and significant duration of dose-responses for folic acid supplement were observed for both (P for trend < 0.001 and < 0.001 respectively). However, this pattern is not similar to women who took supplements before conception only at all. For dietary folate intake, it is not associated with LBW. And there was no interaction of folic acid supplement and dietary folate intake on LBW (Table 5, $P = 0.223$).

We then analyzed the data separately for term-LBW and preterm-LBW (Table 3). The protective effect of folic acid supplementation was increased on term-LBW (OR: 0.59, 95%CI: 0.41–0.85), but it was not presented at preterm-LBW (OR: 0.90, 95%CI: 0.72–1.12), and the similar associations were observed for women who took supplement before conception and during pregnancy (OR: 0.40, 95%CI: 0.23–0.70; P for trend < 0.001) or during pregnancy only (OR: 0.67, 95%CI: 0.45–0.98; P for trend < 0.013). For dietary folate intake, there were no significant associations with term-LBW and preterm-LBW.

Depending on parity, we divided LBW into nulliparous-LBW and multiparous-LBW (Table 4). A significantly protective effect on multiparous-LBW was observed among folic acid supplementation users (OR: 0.72, 95%CI: 0.54–0.94), but folic acid supplementation were not related to nulliparous-LBW (OR: 0.88, 95%CI: 0.68–1.13). We further analyzed multiparous-LBW by time periods of folic acid supplementation, The protective effect was significantly increased before conception and during pregnancy (OR: 0.55, 95%CI: 0.36–0.83), but not during pregnancy only (OR: 0.76, 95%CI: 0.57–1.02) or before conception only (OR: 0.57, 95%CI: 0.26–1.27), and significant duration of dose-responses for folic acid supplementation were observed before conception and during pregnancy (P for trend < 0.001), and during pregnancy only (P for trend < 0.001). For dietary folate intake, there were no significant associations with nulliparous-LBW and multiparous-LBW.

Discussion

Our study results indicated that folic acid supplementation was associated with a reduced risk of LBW, term-LBW, and multiparous-LBW, those risk decreasing with increasing duration of folic acid supplementation. After stratifying by time periods of folic acid supplementation, similar patterns were observed for those who took supplements before conception and during pregnancy or during pregnancy only. And there was not interaction of folic acid supplement and dietary folate intake on LBW.

Occurrence of LBW are exceedingly complex biologic processes, the exact protective mechanism of folic acid has yet to be elucidated. The epigenome is particularly susceptible during early stages of embryogenesis⁽³¹⁾, folate may cause epigenetic modifications resulting in increased placental and fetal growth patterns^(32; 33). In addition, folate may indirectly influence fetal growth by modulating placental growth and development^(34; 35), and folate plays a critical role in protein and DNA synthesis^(36; 37).

Earlier epidemiological researches investigating the associations between folic acid supplements and the risk of LBW have provided ambiguous results. In Europe, five studies^(10–14) based on cohort studies

indicated that folic acid supplementation was associated with birth weight and one cohort study⁽¹⁵⁾ indicated that the effects of supplementing the diet with folic acid given preconceptionally or in the first half of pregnancy were a slight increase of birth weight. Timmermans *et al* found that preconception start of folic acid was associated with a decreased risk of low birth weight, and start of folic acid supplementation after pregnancy recognition was also associated with a decrease of having a child with low birth weight⁽¹⁰⁾, Pastor-Valero *et al* thought periconceptional use of folic acid supplements greater than 1 mg/d may entail a risk of decreased birth weight⁽¹³⁾, and Papadopoulou *et al* indicated that high daily doses of supplementary folic acid in early-to-mid pregnancy may be protective for low birth weight⁽¹²⁾. In addition, Bergen *et al* found that low folate concentrations and erythrocyte folic acid were associated with birthweight⁽²³⁾. But one case control study indicated that there was no significant reduction in the rate of low birthweight in pregnant women with early or late onset pre-eclampsia after folic acid supplementation⁽²⁰⁾. In Japan, only one study indicated that lower dietary intake of protein, iron and folic acid are known risk factors for low birth weight⁽²¹⁾. In USA, Martinussen *et al*. found that there were no significant associations between folic acid supplementation and low birth weight⁽¹⁹⁾, but Scholl *et al* indicated that lower concentrations of serum folate at week 28 were also associated with a greater risk of preterm delivery and low birth weight⁽²²⁾. In China, Li *et al* indicated that statistically significant reductions in risk were evident in women who used folic acid peri or postconception, but not in those who took folic acid preconception⁽¹⁶⁾, Liu *et al* found that The risk of LBW among pregnant women who did not take folic acid during periconception was 1.30 times higher than those who took folic acid⁽¹⁷⁾, but Yang *et al* found folic acid supplementation was not associated with birth weight⁽¹⁸⁾.

The different recommendations about folic acid supplements and dietary pattern between international entities maybe contribute to the conflicting results. In order to prevent neural tube defects and other congenital anomalies, more than forty seven countries have recommended to take folic acid supplement in the periconceptional period⁽³⁸⁾ based on two randomized trials by the British Medical Research Council in 1991 and Hungarian National Institute of Hygiene in 1992^(39; 40). In Europe, none has mandatory fortification folic acid and the voluntary fortification was permitted⁽⁴¹⁾. In North America, folic acid fortification were mandatory in grain products. In china, women were recommend to supplement folic acid at least 4 weeks before conception and throughout the pregnancy. other countries/regions, Singapore and Taiwan emphasize the importance of a healthy diet with no need for supplementation, Slovenia, Sweden and Hong Kong published e-leaflets for the general public with detailed information about folate healthy diet during pregnancy⁽³⁸⁾. In addition to folate, variations in study populations, the time for initiating supplementation of folic acid, and the dosing of use of folic acid maybe also contribute to the conflicting results.

Our study found that the significant dose-response for duration of supplemented was observed for those who took supplements before conception and during pregnancy or during pregnancy only, indicating that risk of LBW, term-LBW, and multiparous-LBW decreased with increasing duration of folic acid supplementation. In China, Li *et al*⁽¹⁶⁾ found that the trend relative risks significantly decreased as

compliance with folic acid use increased. However, the significant dose-response for duration of supplemented was not shown, and other previous studies also did not explore this association. This result was important for preventing LBW, and suggested that starting folic acid supplementation should be earlier pregnancy and continuous at least 12 weeks.

To our knowledge, this is the first study investigating the associations of term-LBW and preterm-LBW with folic acid supplementation, and the associations of nulliparous-LBW and multiparous-LBW with folic acid supplementation. Significant associations were observed for term-LBW and multiparous-LBW but not for preterm-LBW or nulliparous-LBW, which indicated that may have different etiological profiles, and the biologic processes should be further studied.

Actually, there are some limitations in current study. Firstly, the study participants were predominantly from Lanzhou, so generalizability of our results to other populations with quite different demographic characteristics may not be appropriate. Secondly, dietary folate in a combination with other micronutrients could potentially confound our results. And in model b c and d, we have adjusted for total energy, which could control this problem effectively. Thirdly, although we have adjusted many important confounding factors, we cannot rule out the potential for residual confounding. Because information on folic acid supplementation and dietary folate intake was based on self-reported, it existed recall bias. But during the period of questionnaire design, field investigation and information input, there were enough professionals undertook the quality control ensuring the accuracy of information. In addition, one study have already suggested that a strong correlation between self-reported folate intake and serum folate concentrations during pregnancy ⁽²²⁾.

Conclusion

Our study results indicated that folic acid supplementation was associated with a reduced risk of LBW, term-LBW, and multiparous-LBW, those risk decreasing with increasing duration of folic acid supplementation. After stratifying by time periods of folic acid supplementation, similar patterns were observed for those who took supplements before conception and during pregnancy or during pregnancy only. And there was not interaction of folic acid supplement and dietary folate intake on LBW.

Abbreviations

LBW Low birth weight

NBW Normal birth weight

GPMCCH Gansu Provincial Maternity and Child Care Hospital

OR Odds ratio

CI Confidence interval

Declarations

Acknowledgements

The authors thank all the study personnel from the GPMCCH for their exceptional efforts on study subject recruitment.

Authors' contributions

LPY and WJW completed the experiment and wrote the article. YMC and YCC directed the conception or design of the work and did the analysis. QL, XYX, LL and XJL revised the article and optimized the language. XCH, BY, JQ, HG and BHM helped the acquisition and interpretation of data. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This study was financially supported BiosTime Maternal and Child Nutrition Health Research Projects of China CDC Maternal and Child Health Center (No.2018FYH007, 2019FYH002). The funders had no role in the design, analysis or writing of this article.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study has been approved by the ethics committee of Gansu Provincial Maternity and Child Care Hospital.

Consent for publication

Yes.

Competing interests

There is no conflict of interest.

References

1. Lawn JE, Cousens S, Zupan J (2005) 4 million neonatal deaths: when? Where? Why? *Lancet***365**, 891-900.
2. Bacak SJ, Baptiste-Roberts K, Amon E *et al.* (2005) Risk factors for neonatal mortality among extremely-low-birth-weight infants. *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology***192**, 862-867.

3. Barker DJ, Clark PM (1997) Fetal undernutrition and disease in later life. *Reviews of reproduction***2**, 105-112.
4. Ahmed S, Hassen K, Wakayo T (2018) A health facility based case-control study on determinants of low birth weight in Dasse town, Northeast Ethiopia: the role of nutritional factors. *Nutrition journal***17**, 103.
5. Gluckman PD, Hanson MA, Cooper C *et al.* (2008) Effect of in utero and early-life conditions on adult health and disease. *The New England journal of medicine***359**, 61-73.
6. Roseboom T, de Rooij S, Painter R (2006) The Dutch famine and its long-term consequences for adult health. *Early human development***82**, 485-491.
7. Whincup PH, Kaye SJ, Owen CG *et al.* (2008) Birth weight and risk of type 2 diabetes: a systematic review. *Jama***300**, 2886-2897.
8. United Nations Children's Fund (2014) Undernourishment in the womb can lead to diminished potential and predispose infants to early death. <https://data.unicef.org/topic/nutrition/low-birth-weight> (accessed 20 December 2019)
9. Krishnaswamy K, Madhavan Nair K (2001) Importance of folate in human nutrition. *British Journal of Nutrition***85 Suppl 2**, S115-124.
10. Timmermans S, Jaddoe VW, Hofman A *et al.* (2009) Periconception folic acid supplementation, fetal growth and the risks of low birth weight and preterm birth: the Generation R Study. *British Journal of Nutrition***102**, 777-785.
11. Bakker R, Timmermans S, Steegers EA *et al.* (2011) Folic acid supplements modify the adverse effects of maternal smoking on fetal growth and neonatal complications. *The Journal of nutrition***141**, 2172-2179.
12. Papadopoulou E, Stratakis N, Roumeliotaki T *et al.* (2013) The effect of high doses of folic acid and iron supplementation in early-to-mid pregnancy on prematurity and fetal growth retardation: the mother-child cohort study in Crete, Greece (Rhea study). *European journal of nutrition***52**, 327-336.
13. Pastor-Valero M, Navarrete-Munoz EM, Rebagliato M *et al.* (2011) Periconceptional folic acid supplementation and anthropometric measures at birth in a cohort of pregnant women in Valencia, Spain. *The British journal of nutrition***105**, 1352-1360.
14. Kim MW, Ahn KH, Ryu KJ *et al.* (2014) Preventive effects of folic acid supplementation on adverse maternal and fetal outcomes. *PloS one***9**, e97273.
15. Rolschau J, Kristoffersen K, Ulrich M *et al.* (1999) The influence of folic acid supplement on the outcome of pregnancies in the county of Funen in Denmark. Part I. *European journal of obstetrics, gynecology, and reproductive biology***87**, 105-110; discussion 103-104.
16. Li N, Li Z, Ye R *et al.* (2017) Impact of Periconceptional Folic Acid Supplementation on Low Birth Weight and Small-for-Gestational-Age Infants in China: A Large Prospective Cohort Study. *The Journal of pediatrics***187**, 105-110.
17. Liu A, Zhang R, Li Z *et al.* (2015) Incidence of low birth weight among single live birth neonates and influencing factors in Shaanxi. *Zhonghua liu xing bing xue za zhi***36**, 1244-1248.

18. Yang T, Gu Y, Wei X *et al.* (2017) Periconceptional folic acid supplementation and vitamin B12 status in a cohort of Chinese early pregnancy women with the risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes. *Journal of clinical biochemistry and nutrition***60**, 136-142.
19. Martinussen MP, Bracken MB, Triche EW *et al.* (2015) Folic acid supplementation in early pregnancy and the risk of preeclampsia, small for gestational age offspring and preterm delivery. *European journal of obstetrics, gynecology, and reproductive biology***195**, 94-99.
20. Banhidy F, Dakhlaoui A, Dudas I *et al.* (2011) Birth outcomes of newborns after folic Acid supplementation in pregnant women with early and late pre-eclampsia: a population-based study. *Advances in preventive medicine***2011**, 127369.
21. Uno K, Takemi Y, Hayashi F *et al.* (2016) Nutritional status and dietary intake among pregnant women in relation to pre-pregnancy body mass index in Japan. *Japanese journal of public health***63**, 738-749.
22. Scholl TO, Hediger ML, Schall JI *et al.* (1996) Dietary and serum folate: their influence on the outcome of pregnancy. *The American journal of clinical nutrition***63**, 520-525.
23. Bergen NE, Jaddoe VW, Timmermans S *et al.* (2012) Homocysteine and folate concentrations in early pregnancy and the risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes: the Generation R Study. *BJOG : an international journal of obstetrics and gynaecology***119**, 739-751.
24. Qiu J, He X, Cui H *et al.* (2014) Passive smoking and preterm birth in urban China. *American journal of epidemiology***180**, 94-102.
25. Zhao N, Qiu J, Zhang Y *et al.* (2015) Ambient air pollutant PM10 and risk of preterm birth in Lanzhou, China. *Environment international***76**, 71-77.
26. Wang Y, Zhao N, Qiu J *et al.* (2015) Folic acid supplementation and dietary folate intake, and risk of preeclampsia. *European journal of clinical nutrition***69**, 1145-1150.
27. Liu X, Lv L, Zhang H *et al.* (2016) Folic acid supplementation, dietary folate intake and risk of preterm birth in China. *European journal of nutrition***55**, 1411-1422.
28. Mao B, Qiu J, Zhao N *et al.* (2017) Maternal folic acid supplementation and dietary folate intake and congenital heart defects. *PloS one***12**, e0187996.
29. Schieve LA, Meikle SF, Ferre C *et al.* (2002) Low and very low birth weight in infants conceived with use of assisted reproductive technology. *The New England journal of medicine***346**, 731-737.
30. Institute of Nutrition and Food Hygiene Chinese Academy of Preventive Medicine (1999) *Table of Food Components (national representative values)*. Beijing: People's Hygiene Press.
31. Timmermans S, Jaddoe VW, Silva LM *et al.* (2011) Folic acid is positively associated with uteroplacental vascular resistance: the Generation R study. *Nutrition, metabolism, and cardiovascular diseases : NMCD***21**, 54-61.
32. Waterland RA, Jirtle RL (2004) Early nutrition, epigenetic changes at transposons and imprinted genes, and enhanced susceptibility to adult chronic diseases. *Nutrition (Burbank, Los Angeles County, Calif)***20**, 63-68.

33. Pennisi E (2005) Environmental epigenomics meeting. Supplements restore gene function via methylation. *Science (New York, NY)***310**, 1761.
34. Steegers-Theunissen RP, Steegers EA (2003) Nutrient-gene interactions in early pregnancy: a vascular hypothesis. *European journal of obstetrics, gynecology, and reproductive biology***106**, 115-117.
35. Bleker OP, Buimer M, van der Post JA *et al.* (2006) Ted (G.J.) Kloosterman: on intrauterine growth. The significance of prenatal care. Studies on birth weight, placental weight and placental index. *Placenta***27**, 1052-1054.
36. Tamura T, Picciano MF (2006) Folate and human reproduction. *The American journal of clinical nutrition***83**, 993-1016.
37. Bailey LB, Gregory JF, 3rd (1999) Folate metabolism and requirements. *The Journal of nutrition***129**, 779-782.
38. Gomes S, Lopes C, Pinto E (2016) Folate and folic acid in the periconceptual period: recommendations from official health organizations in thirty-six countries worldwide and WHO. *Public health nutrition***19**, 176-189.
39. Wald N (1991) Prevention of neural tube defects: results of the Medical Research Council Vitamin Study. MRC Vitamin Study Research Group. *Lancet (London, England)***338**, 131-137.
40. Czeizel AE, Dudas I (1992) Prevention of the first occurrence of neural-tube defects by periconceptual vitamin supplementation. *The New England journal of medicine***327**, 1832-1835.
41. European Food Safety Authority (2009) Folic acid: an update on scientific developments. *EFSA Supporting Publications***6**, 6-8.