

Selected Coffee (*Coffea arabica* L.) Extracts Inhibit Intestinal α -Glucosidases Activities in- vitro and Postprandial Hyperglycemia in SD Rats

Haimanot Mitiku

Hannam University

Tae Yang Kim

Hannam University

Hanna Kang

Framingham State University

Emmanouil Apostolidis

Framingham State University

Jung-Yun Lee

Framingham State University

Young-In Kwon (✉ youngk@hnu.kr)

Hannam University

Research Article

Keywords: α -glucosidases, α -amylase hyperglycemia, coffee arabica, inhibition, diabetes

Posted Date: May 17th, 2022

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1634487/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

One of the therapeutic approaches for decreasing postprandial hyperglycemia is to retard absorption of glucose by the inhibition of carbohydrate hydrolyzing enzymes, α -amylase, and α -glucosidases, in the digestive organs. Coffee consumption has been reported to beneficial effects for controlling calorie and cardiovascular diseases, however, the clear efficacy and mode of action are yet to be proved well. Therefore, in this study we evaluated *in-vitro* rat intestinal α -glucosidases and porcine α -amylase inhibitory activities as well as *in vivo* (Sprague-Dawley rat model) blood glucose lowering effects of selected coffee extracts. The water extracted Sumatra coffee (SWE) showed strong α -glucosidase inhibitory activity (IC_{50} , 4.39 mg/mL) in a dose-dependent manner followed by Ethiopian water extract (EWE) (IC_{50} , 4.97) and Guatemala water extract (GWE) (IC_{50} , 5.19). Excepted for GWE all the coffee types significantly reduced the plasma glucose level at 0.5 hour after oral intake (0.5 g/kg-body weight) in sucrose and starch-loaded SD rats. In sucrose loading test SWE ($p < 0.001$) and EWE ($p < 0.05$) had significantly postprandial blood glucose reduction effect, when compared to control. The maximum blood glucose levels (C_{max}) of EWE administration group were decreased by about 18% (from 222.3 ± 16.0 to 182.5 ± 15.4 , $p < 0.01$) and 19% (from 236.2 ± 25.1 to 191.3 ± 13.2 hr·mg/dL, $p < 0.01$) in sucrose and starch loading tests, respectively. These results indicate that selected coffee extract may improve exaggerated postprandial spikes in blood glucose via inhibition of intestinal sucrase and thus delays carbohydrate absorption. These *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies therefore could provide the biochemical rationale for the benefit of coffee-based dietary supplement and the basis for further clinical study.

Introduction

Recent studies showed that more than 463 million people around the world are affected by Type 2 Diabetes (T2D) in 2019 and in 2045 there will be more than 700 million people with diabetes worldwide [1]. Non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus (NIDDM) is a metabolic disorder characterized by hyperglycemia with resistance to ketosis [2]. Diabetes mellitus is a disease of excess glucose in the plasma, qualitative and quantitative abnormalities of carbohydrate and lipid metabolism, characteristic pathological changes in nerves and small blood vessels, and intensification of atherosclerosis [2] and is characterized by either a total or partial loss of insulin secretion and/or resistance to insulin action leading to a chronic state of hyperglycemia in the blood [3, 4]. Microvascular complication, such as retinopathy that could lead to blindness, or nephropathy, is common in poor glycemic control patients. Furthermore, an increased risk of cardiovascular disease is obvious in T2D patients, which is attributable to endothelial dysfunction [5, 6].

Hyperglycemia, a rapid rise in blood glucose levels in NIDDM patients occurs when the multiple homeostatic mechanisms that minimize glucose fluctuations and restore normal glucose levels following a meal are blunted due to hydrolysis of starch by pancreatic α -amylase and subsequent absorption of glucose in the small intestine by α -glucosidases such as sucrase, maltase, and glucoamylase [7, 8]. These enzymes play a pivotal role in the final stage of carbohydrate digestion and one of the useful approaches to reduce post-prandial hyperglycemia is to retard the absorption of glucose by inhibition of

carbohydrate hydrolyzing enzymes, such as α -glucosidase and α -amylase, in the digestive organs [3, 7, 9, 10].

The necessity of pharmacology therapy for the proper control of hyperglycemia has been emphasized [11] though other natural treatments have significant importance. Drugs that inhibit carbohydrate hydrolyzing enzymes for the management of type 2 diabetes include acarbose, voglibose® and miglitol® [12]. However, these drugs carry undesired side effects such as abdominal distension, flatulence, meteorism, and possibly diarrhea [10]. Therefore, the attention of many researchers has been directed towards searching for natural inhibitors with no or very minimal side effects.

Coffee is among the widely consumed and one of the most popular beverages of the world brewed from roasted coffee beans [13, 14] with approximately 500 billion cups of coffee being consumed worldwide annually [15]. And it is the most important food commodity globally and ranks second, next to crude oil, among all commodities [16]. Next to fuel it's also the most traded [17] and globally it is the most consumed functional food. The coffee beverage is known for its stimulating properties attributed mainly to caffeine; however, coffee contains a large number of chemical compounds with many biologically active properties, including phenolic compounds [18].

The health benefits of coffee consumption have been well discussed [19]. Several epidemiological studies also reported that coffee consumption may reduce the risk of chronic diseases such as T2D [12], Alzheimer's disease and Parkinson's disease [20, 21], and liver disease [22]. The disease-reducing potential may be associated with the phenolic compounds found in coffee [23]. The phenolic compounds found in green bean includes chlorogenic acids (CGA), caffeic, ferulic and dimethoxycinnamic acids, conjugation of hydroxycinnamic acids with amino acids (cinnamoyl amides) or glycosides (cinnamoyl glycosides). CGA, an ester of caffeic acid has been reported to be the main component of coffee [24]. Coffee beans are also alleged to be a good dietary source of CGA [25]. The anti-diabetic potential of coffee through its phenolic constituents such as chlorogenic acid caffeic acid and diterpenes in animal models and clinical trials has been reported [24], [26]. However, little is known on the effect of different coffee varieties on the rat intestinal α -glucosidase inhibitory activity in *in-vitro* and *in-vivo* animal experiments.

Thus, in this study we extracted Sumatra, Guatemala, and Ethiopia coffee using distilled water (DW) and ethanol and then the following studies were conducted: (i) to measure total phenolic content (ii) to compare the *in-vitro* inhibitory activities on α -glucosidases and α -amylase (iii) to conduct *in-vivo* animal study to investigate the effect of the water and ethanol extract coffees on postprandial glycemc response and compared their effects to a known pharmacological α -glucosidase inhibitor, acarbose, in sucrose-fed Sprague-Dawley rats.

Materials And Methods

Materials

Guatemala, Ethiopia and Sumatra Medium, roasted commercial coffee (*Coffea arabica* L.) powders were purchased from the Folgers coffee company (Orrville, OH, USA). Porcine pancreatic α -amylase (EC 3.2.1.1), rat intestinal acetone powders of α -glucosidase (EC 3.2.1.20), and soluble starch (S9765-250G) were also purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA). Unless noted, all chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA).

Extraction

Sample extraction was conducted according to [27] with little modification. Ground coffee beans were subjected to two types of extraction methods namely, water and ethanol extraction methods. The ground roasted beans (each 50 g) were dissolved 500 mL of dis-tilled water and 70% ethanol. Water dissolved samples were boiled in autoclave adjusted to 121 °C for 3 hrs and then centrifuged to 15 minutes. Whereas ethanol extracted samples were stirred in an electrical shaker for 2 hrs at 40°C. Water and ethanol dissolved sample solutions were filtered using a Büchner funnel and then percolated using Whatman no. 2 filter paper. The solvent from extracted samples was removed using a vacuum rotary evaporator under reduced pressure conditions in a water bath set at 60 °C. The concentrated samples were stored in a deep freezer set at -70 °C for 1 day and the remaining solvent was removed by freeze-drier. The dried samples were stored at -20 °C until use.

Total Phenolic Content Analysis

The coffee extracts' total polyphenol contents (TPCs) were analyzed using the Folin–Ciocalteu method following a method [7]. One mL of coffee extract was transferred into a test tube and mixed with 1 mL of distilled water or 95% ethanol, and 5 mL of distilled water. To each sample 0.5 mL of 50% (v/v) Folin-Ciocalteu reagent was added and mixed. After 5 min, 1 mL of 5% Na₂CO₃ was added to the reaction mixture and allowed to stand for 1 hr. The absorbance was read at 725 nm using a spectrophotometer (UV-160A; Shimadzu Inc., Kyoto, Japan). The absorbance values were converted to total phenolics and were expressed in mg equivalents of gallic acid/mL of the sample. Standard curves were established using various concentrations of gallic acid in 95% ethanol.

Rat Small Intestinal α -glucosidase Inhibition Assay

In order to investigate the inhibitory effect of coffee extracts on the absorption of glucose, rat intestinal α -glucosidase inhibitory activity was determined using the substrate *p*-nitrophenyl- α -D-glucopyranoside (*p*NPG) according to [28] with a slight modification. A total of 0.6 g of rat-intestinal acetone powder was suspended in 9 mL of 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer 0.9%, and the suspension was sonicated for 12 times 30 s at 4 °C. It was then centrifuged (13,000 × g, 30 min, 4 °C), and the resulting supernatant was used for the assay. Sample solution (50 μ L) and 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 6.9, 100 μ L) containing rat intestinal α -glucosidase solution (1.0 U/mL) was incubated at 37 °C for 10 min. After the incubation, 5 mM *p*NPG solution (50 μ L) in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 6.9) was added to each well at timed intervals. The reaction mixtures were further incubated at 37 °C for 30 min. Absorbance was measured at 405 nm and compared to a control that had 50 μ L of buffer solution in place of the extract by Micro-Plate Reader

(SpectraMAX® i3, Molecular devices LLC., Wals, Austria). The rat α -glucosidase inhibitory activity was expressed as percent inhibition and was calculated as follows:

$$\text{Inhibition (\%)} = \left[\frac{\Delta A_{\text{Control405}} - \Delta A_{\text{Extract 405}}}{\Delta A_{\text{Control405}}} \right] \times 100$$

Porcine α -Amylase Inhibition Assay

Porcine pancreatic α -amylase assay was conducted based on the method to [29] with slight modification. Porcine pancreatic α -amylase (EC 3.2.1.1) was purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. Sample solution (200 μ L) and 0.02 M sodium phosphate buffer (pH 6.9 with 0.006 M sodium chloride, 500 μ L) containing α -amylase solution (0.5 mg/mL, 15U/mL) were incubated at 25°C for 10 min. After pre-incubation, 500 μ L of a 1% starch solution in 0.02 M sodium phosphate buffer was added. The reaction mixture was then incubated at 25 °C for 10 min. The reaction was stopped with 1.0 mL of dinitrosalicylic acid (DNS). The reaction mixture was then incubated in a boiling water bath for 5 min and cooled to room temperature. The reaction mixture was then diluted after adding 1.0 mL distilled water, and absorbance was measured at 540 nm with micro-plate reader (SpectraMAX® i3; Molecular Devices LLC., Wals, Austria).

Sucrase, Maltase and Glucoamylase Inhibition Assay

Sucrase, Maltase, and Glucoamylase Inhibition assay was performed by a method described in [3] The crude enzyme solution prepared from rat intestinal acetone powder was used as the small intestinal maltase, sucrase, and glucoamylase. Rat intestinal ace-tone powder (600 mg) was suspended in 9 mL of 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer, and the suspension was sonicated 12 times for 30 s at 4 °C. After centrifugation (10,000 \times g, 30 min, 4 °C), the resulting supernatant was used for the assay. The inhibitory activity was deter-mined by incubating a solution of an enzyme (100 μ L), 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.0, 50 μ L) containing 50 μ L of 36 and 68 mg/mL maltose and sucrose respectively, or 1 % soluble starch, and a solution (50 μ L) with various concentrations of sample solution. In the reaction mixture 200 μ L of 12 N of H₂SO₄ was added to stop the reaction, and then the amount of liberated glucose was measured by the glucose oxidase method. The inhibitory activity was calculated from the formula as follows.

$$\text{Inhibition (\%)} = \left[\frac{\Delta A_{\text{Control530}} - \Delta A_{\text{Extract530}}}{\Delta A_{\text{Control530}}} \right] \times 100$$

In-vivo Animal Model

All animal procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Com-mittee (IACUC) of Hannam University (Approval number: HNU2016-0015-1). Four-week-old male Sprague-Dawley (SD) rats were purchased from Joongang Experimental Animal Co. (Seoul, Korea) and fed with a standard diet (Samyang Diet Co., Seoul, Korea) and with water ad libitum for one week. Effect on hyperglycemia-

induced by carbohydrate loads in Sprague-Dawley (SD) rats was determined by the inhibitory action of coffee extracts on postprandial hyperglycemia as described in [30]. The rats were housed in a ventilated room at 25 ± 2 °C with $50 \pm 7\%$ relative humidity and under an alternating 12-hour light/dark cycle. After 6 groups of 5 male SD rats (180 ~ 200 g) were fasted for 24 h, 2.0 g/kg body weight of sucrose or starch were orally administrated concurrently with the control group (no treatment) and a known pharmacological α -glucosidase inhibitor, acarbose (5 mg/kg body weight) as a positive control.

Blood Analysis

The blood samples were then taken from the tail after administration and blood glucose levels were measured at 0, 0.5, 1, 2, and 3 hours. The glucose level in blood was determined by the glucose oxidase method and compared with that of the control group. The parameters for blood glucose levels will be calculated Maximum observed peak blood glucose level (C_{max}) and the time at which it is observed (T_{max}) were determined based on the observed data. The area under the blood glucose-time curve up to the last sampled time-point (AUC_{last}) was estimated by the trapezoidal rule.

Statistical analysis

All data are presented as mean \pm S.D. Statistical analyses were carried out using the statistical packages SPSS 11 (Statistical Package for Social Science 11, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) program and the significance of each group was verified with the analyses of one-way ANOVA followed by Duncan's test of $p < 0.05$. In addition, statistical significances in the animal study were determined by Student's *t*-test ($*p < 0.05$; $**p < 0.01$; and $***p < 0.001$).

Results And Discussion

Total phenolic contents of the selected coffee extracts

The total phenolic contents (TPC) of selected coffee extracts were analyzed using the Folin-Ciocalteu method. Fig. 1 shows the TPC of the tested water and ethanol coffee extracts. The highest average TPC value from water extract samples was observed in Sumatra coffee (67.65 ± 1.59 mg GAE/100 g) followed by Guatemala (71.58 ± 1.55) and Ethiopia (73.00 ± 0.57). In the case of coffee extracted by ethanol the higher value of TPC was recorded for Guatemala coffee with Sumatra and the lowest value was recorded from Ethiopia.

An excess of free radicals in the body is one of the causes of lifestyle diseases such as cancer or diseases of the circulatory system [14]. Therefore, it is essential that the human diet contains, among other nutrients, phenolic compounds. phenolic compounds have a number of beneficial health properties related to their potent antioxidant activity as well as hepatoprotective, hypoglycemic, and antiviral activities [23]. It has been reported that coffee is one of the dietary sources of phenolic compounds [24]. Also, it is reported that plant variety, species and growing/harvesting conditions can affect phenolic content in plants [31].

α -Glucosidase Inhibitory Activity

The α -glucosidases inhibitors, which interfere with enzymatic action in the brush-border of the small intestine, could slow the liberation of D-glucose from oligosaccharides and disaccharides resulting in reduced postprandial plasma glucose levels [28].

α -Glucosidase inhibitory activities of water and ethanol extracts of coffee samples are listed in Figs. 2 and 3 respectively.

In water extracts, the higher α -glucosidase inhibitory activity was obtained from SWE (4.39 mg/mL of IC₅₀) followed by EWE (4.97 mg/mL of IC₅₀) and GWE (5.19 mg/mL of IC₅₀) (Table 1).

Table 1. The half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) values for rat intestinal α -glucosidase by water extracted coffee types

Extracts	IC ₅₀ (mg/mL)		
	SWE	GWE	EWE
α -glucosidase	4.39	5.19	4.97

The dose-dependent α -glucosidase inhibitory activity water extracted coffee samples were observed in Fig. 2. At 5 mg/mL, SWE showed the higher α -glucosidase inhibitory activity (4.39 mg/mL of IC₅₀); followed by EWE and GWE; however, there was no significant difference between SWE and EWE.

In similar pattern ethanol extracted coffee showed α -glucosidase inhibitory activity in a dose-dependent manner. SEE showed higher α -glucosidase inhibitory activity at higher concentrations followed by GEE and EEE (Fig. 3). Table 2 showed that the IC₅₀ (mg/mL) values of ethyl alcohol extracted coffee.

Table 2. The half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) values for rat intestinal α -glucosidase by ethyl alcohol extracted coffee types

Extracts	IC ₅₀ (mg/mL)		
	SEE	GEE	EEE
α -glucosidase	2.97	3.19	3.27

α -Amylase Inhibition assay

The α -amylase inhibitors, which interfere with enzymatic action in the small intestine, could slow the liberation of maltose from starch, resulting in delaying maltose conversion to glucose and decreasing postprandial plasma glucose levels [30]. In our case, little or no inhibition of α -amylase was observed by

coffee extracts (data not shown) linked to the side-effect due to increase non-digested starch in large intestine. reported that chlorogenic acid and phenolic acid from coffee are very weak inhibitors of human salivary α -amylase [32].

Sucrase, Maltase, and Glucoamylase Inhibition Assay

It has been reported that most yeast α -glucosidase inhibitors did not show significant activities against mammalian α -glucosidase, due to the difference in molecular recognition in the target binding site of these enzymes. Therefore, rat small intestinal sucrase, maltase, and glucoamylase, the key α -glucosidases that catalyze the hydrolysis of disaccharides to glucose were used for estimating the inhibitory activities of coffee extracts [33]. To determine the specificity of the observed inhibitory activity, we examined the effect of coffee extracts of all three coffee types on rat small intestinal sucrase, maltase, and glucoamylase. Both water and ethanol coffee extracts showed intestinal sucrase inhibitory activity in a dose-dependent manner. Water extracted coffee EWE showed higher inhibitory activity in all concentrations followed by SWE and GWE (Fig. 4a). In ethanol extracts, SEE showed higher inhibitory activity than EEE and GEE (Fig. 4b). At 3 mg/mL concentrations GWE showed higher maltase inhibitory activity in water extracts followed by EWE and EWE whereas in ethanol extracts SEE showed maltase inhibitory activity in a similar percentage with GEE but significantly higher than EEE (Figs. 4 c and d). For glucoamylase, a higher inhibitory percentage was obtained by GWE in water extracts and GEE in ethanol extracts and showed in both water and ethanol extracts (Figs. 4e and 4f).

The IC_{50} value of sucrase in both extracts and all coffee types was lower than maltase and glucoamylase implying that sucrase inhibitory activity of all coffee types was higher than that of maltase and glucoamylase (Table 3). With the exception of glucoamylase, ethanol extracts of all coffee types showed higher inhibitory activity than DW extracts. The overall result revealed that in a dose-dependent manner the coffee extracts showed similar but significant inhibitory effects on sucrase, maltase, and glucoamylase. This suggested that the coffee extracts may be used as potential inhibitors of α -glucosidases with particular inhibitory effect on sucrase than maltase and glucoamylase.

Table 3. Half maximal inhibitory concentration (IC_{50}) of coffee water (DW) extract and ethyl alcohol extract on rat small intestinal sucrase, maltase, and glucoamylase activity

		IC ₅₀ (mg/mL)		
		Sumatra	Guatemala	Ethiopia
Sucrase	DW	0.52	0.50	0.47
	Ethanol	0.43	0.39	0.41
Maltase	DW	2.03	1.93	1.94
	Ethanol	1.60	1.67	1.83
Glucoamylase	DW	1.11	1.01	1.08
	Ethanol	1.38	1.20	1.27

Inhibition of sucrase, maltase, and glucoamylase plays an important role in controlling the rapid rise in blood glucose levels after excessive mixed carbohydrate meals. Therefore, limiting the amount of glucose/calories that can be absorbed by inhibiting the activity of α -glucosidases in the small intestine plays an important role in improving postprandial hyperglycemia.

Blood glucose-lowering effect of coffee extracts *in-vivo*

To confirm the *in vitro* inhibition of sucrase activity, the time courses of plasma glycemic response were measured at 0, 30, 60, and 120 min after sucrose-loading (2.0 g/kg body weight (bw)) in SD rats. Since all the three coffee types showed similar inhibitory potential *in vitro* we assessed the blood-lowering effect of the three coffee types in *in-vivo*. Figs. 5 and 6 show the comparison of the plasma glucose-lowering effect of water and ethanol extracts of Sumatra, Guatemala, and Ethiopia coffee types at the concentration of 0.5 g/Kg bw with the control group (sucrose or starch only) and a known pharmacological α -glucosidase inhibitor, acarbose (5 mg/kg bw) as a positive control.

At half an hour after sucrose loading, SWE and EWE significantly reduced plasma glucose level when compared to the control in SD rats (Fig. 5a). However, no significant plasma glucose-lowering effect was observed from GWE. On the other hand, EEE and GEE significantly decreased plasma glucose levels as compared to the control in SD rats at 30 minutes following sucrose loading (Fig. 6).

When male SD rats were administered with starch solution EWE and SWE significantly decreased blood glucose levels (Fig. 7). Meanwhile, SD rats treated with SEE, EEE, and GEE showed significant decreases in plasma glucose levels when compared to the control (starch). Acarbose, the well-known α -glucosidase inhibitor pharmacology therapy drug, showed significantly higher blood lowering than all the coffee types and the controls.

Both EWE and EEE showed significant blood lowering effect in sucrose and starch loading tests showing its potential as an α -glucosidase inhibitor (Fig. 8). Overall, these results revealed the potential of both water and ethanol coffee extracts to reduce plasma glucose levels after a meal.

Pharmacodynamics Parameters

Pharmacodynamics (PD) parameters of the sucrose and starch loading tests with GWE, EWE, SWE and acarbose are shown in Table 4. Changes in PD parameters of control and after administration of GWE, EWE, SWE, and acarbose with sucrose or starch ingestions⁴. EWE-treated groups resulted significantly reduced C_{max} (Both sucrose and starch were $p < 0.01$) and AUC_t (sucrose was $p < 0.05$), however this reduction was less effective than the acarbose-treated group.

Table 4. Changes in pharmacodynamics (PD) parameters of control and after administration of GWE, EWE, SWE, and acarbose with sucrose or starch ingestions

Groups	PD Parameters		
	C_{max} (mg/dL)	T_{max} (hr)	AUC_t (hr·mg/dL)
Sucrose 2.0 g/kg	222.3 ± 16.0	0.7 ± 0.3	516.0 ± 29.0
Acarbose 5.0 mg/kg	137.4 ± 8.3 ^{***}	0.8 ± 0.3	376.2 ± 17.2 ^{***}
GWE 0.5 g/kg	208.8 ± 14.4	0.8 ± 0.3	496.3 ± 23.9
EWE 0.5 g/kg	182.5 ± 15.4 ^{**}	1.4 ± 0.9	434.8 ± 50.8 [*]
SWE 0.5 g/kg	191.0 ± 9.1 ^{**}	1.0 ± 0.0 [*]	482.3 ± 12.7
Starch 2.0 g/kg	236.2 ± 25.1	0.5 ± 0.0	529.1 ± 13.4
Acarbose 5.0 mg/kg	144.1 ± 18.8 ^{***}	1.3 ± 0.5 ^{**}	389.0 ± 36.8 ^{***}
GWE 0.5 g/kg	218.3 ± 20.6	1.0 ± 0.6	536.3 ± 49.4
EWE 0.5 g/kg	191.3 ± 13.2 ^{**}	0.8 ± 0.3 [*]	490.5 ± 34.6
SWE 0.5 g/kg	222.9 ± 30.1	0.5 ± 0.0	548.9 ± 47.2

The results were expressed as mean ± S.D. All parameters were compared between control and treatment group (GWE, EWE, SWE, and Acarbose) by unpaired Student's *t*-test ($*p < 0.05$; $**p < 0.01$; and $***p < 0.001$).

On the other hand, in Table 5. Changes in PD parameters of control and after administration of GEE, EEE, SEE and acarbose with sucrose or starch ingestions. All the ethanol extract coffee was shown significantly reduced C_{max} (GEE: $p < 0.01$, $p < 0.05$; EEE: $p < 0.05$, $p < 0.01$; SEE $p < 0.01$, $p < 0.05$). However, in terms of AUC_t there was no significant difference among sucrose and all of ethanol extract coffee. Starch loading tests are shown GEE, EEE, and SEE significantly reduced AUC_t (GEE: $p < 0.01$; EEE: $p < 0.05$; SEE $p < 0.01$) of blood glucose in rats ingested with starch compared to control.

Table 5. Changes in pharmacodynamics (PD) parameters of control and after administration of GEE, EEE, SEE, and acarbose with sucrose or starch ingestions

Groups	PD Parameters		
	C_{max} (mg/dL)	T_{max} (hr)	AUC_t (hr·mg/dL)
Sucrose 2.0 g/kg	222.3 ± 16.0	0.7 ± 0.3	516.0 ± 29.0
Acarbose 5.0 mg/kg	137.4 ± 8.3 ^{***}	0.8 ± 0.3	376.2 ± 17.2 ^{***}
GEE 0.5 g/kg	188.7 ± 13.5 ^{**}	0.8 ± 0.3	480.7 ± 34.1
EEE 0.5 g/kg	194.1 ± 18.3 [*]	0.7 ± 0.3	483.6 ± 27.6
SEE 0.5 g/kg	185.9 ± 8.9 ^{**}	0.9 ± 0.2	481.4 ± 11.8
Starch 2.0 g/kg	236.2 ± 25.1	0.5 ± 0.0	529.1 ± 13.4
Acarbose 5.0 mg/kg	144.1 ± 18.8 ^{***}	1.3 ± 0.5 ^{**}	389.0 ± 36.8 ^{***}
GEE 0.5 g/kg	188.0 ± 25.7 [*]	0.6 ± 0.2	454.9 ± 46.7 [*]
EEE 0.5 g/kg	195.0 ± 13.9 ^{**}	0.7 ± 0.3	477.8 ± 34.5 [*]
SEE 0.5 g/kg	203.4 ± 14.5 [*]	0.8 ± 0.3 [*]	468.2 ± 37.0 ^{**}

The results were expressed as mean ± S.D. All parameters were compared between control and treatment group (GEE, EEE, SEE, and Acarbose) by unpaired Student's *t*-test (* $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; and *** $p < 0.001$)

Conclusions

Non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus (NIDDM) is a metabolic disorder characterized by hyperglycemia. It is a global health problem with a lot of microvascular complications. Searching for natural drugs with minimum or no side effects is the better approach for the treatment of NIDDM. Our results revealed that coffee can be a potential source of phenolic compounds which can reduce postprandial blood glucose levels. Our data suggested the use of coffee extracts as practical substitutes for pharmacological inhibitors of α -glucosidases such as acarbose for glycemic control. The coffee extracts did not or less show α -amylase inhibitory activity. Our *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies suggest a biochemical rationale for further animal and clinical studies. However, further work is still needed to identify specific anti-hyperglycemic components in the coffee extracts and evaluate pharmacological and biological effects. Finally, in our case, we used only mild roasted coffee but since phenolic contents in coffee can be affected by roasting degree and methods additional studies are needed using coffee extracts roasted by various degrees and methods.

Declarations

Acknowledgments: This study was supported by a research grant from Hannam University (2019).

Funding: This study was financially supported by the Ministry of education, Korea, under the “Global Korea scholarship program” supervised by National Institute for International Education (NIIED).

Data Availability: Data is available upon request.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

Authors' contributions: H. M., J.-Y. L. and Y.-I. K. designed the experiment. T. Y. K. and H.K. performed the experiments. H. M. wrote the manuscript and E. A., J.-Y. L. and Y.-I. K. revised the manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Ethics approval: All animal procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of Hannam University (Approval number: HNU2016-0015-1).

Consent to participate/Consent for publication: I and all authors give our consent for the publication of identifiable details, which can include photograph(s) and/or videos and/or case history and/or details within the text (“Material”) to be published in the Journal (Plant foods for human nutrition). I confirm that I understand journal Plant Foods for Human Nutrition is a transformative journal. When research is accepted for publication, there is a choice to publish using either immediate gold open access or the traditional publishing route.

References

1. IDF Diabetes Atlas 2021 - 10th edition. International Diabetes Federation. www.diabetesatlas.org
2. Rodger W, Joseph S, Centre H, and St G (1991) Non-insulin-dependent (type II) diabetes mellitus. *CMAJ* 145:1571-1581.
3. Jo SH, Cho CY, Lee JY, Ha KS, Kwon YI, Apostolidis E (2016) In vitro and in vivo reduction of postprandial blood glucose levels by ethyl alcohol and water *Zingiber mioga* extracts through the inhibition of carbohydrate hydrolyzing enzymes. *BMC Complementary Altern Med* 16:111. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12906-016-1090-4>
4. Costabile A, Samsamak K, Hauge-Evans AC (2018) Coffee, type 2 diabetes and pancreatic islet function – A mini-review. *Journal of Functional Foods* 45:409–416. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jff.2018.04.011>
5. Gerich J (2013) Pathogenesis and management of postprandial hyperglycemia: Role of incretin-based therapies. *Int J Gen Med* 6:877–895. <https://doi.org/10.2147/IJGM.S51665>
6. Hiyoshi T, Fujiwara M, Yao Z (2019) Postprandial hyperglycemia and postprandial hypertriglyceridemia in type 2 diabetes. *J Biomed Res* 33:1–16. <https://doi.org/10.7555/JBR.31.20160164>

7. Kim MH, Jo SH, Jang HD, Lee MS, Kwon YI (2010) Antioxidant Activity and α -Glucosidase Inhibitory Potential of Onion (*Allium cepa* L.) Extracts. *Food Sci Biotechnol* 19:159-164. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10068-010-0022-1>
8. Kang YR, Choi HY, Lee JY, Jang SY, Kang HN, Oh JB, Jang HD, Kwon YI (2018) Calorie restriction effect of heat-processed onion extract (ONI) using in vitro and in vivo animal models. *Int J Mol Sci* 19(3):874. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms19030874>
9. Kumar S, Narwal S, Kumar V, Prakash O (2011) α -glucosidase inhibitors from plants: A natural approach to treat diabetes. *Pharmacogn Rev* 2011, 5(9):19–29. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0973-7847.79096>
10. Oboh G, Agunloye OM, Adefegha SA, Akinyemi AJ, Ademiluyi AO (2015) Caffeic and chlorogenic acids inhibit key enzymes linked to type 2 diabetes (in vitro): A comparative study. *J Basic Clin Physiol Pharmacol* 26:165–170. <https://doi.org/10.1515/jbcpp-2013-0141>
11. Cheng AYY, Fantus IG (2005) Oral antihyperglycemic therapy for type 2 diabetes mellitus. *CMAJ* 172(2):213–226. <https://doi.org/10.1503/cmaj.1031414>
12. Alongi M, Anese M (2018) Effect of coffee roasting on in vitro α -glucosidase activity: Inhibition and mechanism of action. *Food Res Int* 111:480–487. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2018.05.061>
13. Gloess AN, Schönbächler B, Klopprogge B, D`Ambrosio L, Chatelain K, Bongartz A, Strittmatter A, Rast M, Yeretzian C (2013) Comparison of nine common coffee extraction methods: Instrumental and sensory analysis. *Eur Food Res Technol* 236:607–627. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00217-013-1917-x>
14. Olechno E, Puścion-Jakubik A, Markiewicz-Żukowska R, Socha K (2020) Impact of Brewing Methods on Total Phenolic Content (TPC) in Various Types of Coffee. *Molecules* 25(22):5274. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules25225274>
15. Ludwig IA, Clifford MN, Lean MEJ, Ashihara H, Crozier A (2014) Coffee: Biochemistry and potential impact on health. *Food Funct* 5:1695–1717. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C4FO00042K>
16. Esquivel P, Jiménez VM (2012) Functional properties of coffee and coffee by-products. *Food Research International* 46(2):488–495. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2011.05.028>
17. Beder-Belkhir W, Zeghichi-Hamri S, Kadri N, Boulekbache-Makhlouf L, Cardoso S, Ou-khmanou-Ben sidhoum S, Madani K (2018) Hydroxycinnamic acids profiling, in vitro evaluation of total phenolic compounds, caffeine and antioxidant properties of coffee imported, roasted and consumed in Algeria. *Mediterranean Journal of Nutrition and Metabolism* 11:51–63. <https://doi.org/10.3233/mMNM-17181>
18. Preedy V (2015) COFFEE IN HEALTH AND DISEASE PREVENTION.
19. Higdon Jv, Frei B (2006) Coffee and health: A review of recent human research. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr* 46:101–123. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10408390500400009>
20. Hu G, Bidel S, Jousilahti P, Antikainen R, Tuomilehto J (2007) Coffee and tea consumption and the risk of Parkinson's disease. *Mov Disord* 22(15):2242–2248. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.21706>
21. Wierzejska R (2017) Can coffee consumption lower the risk of Alzheimer's disease and Parkinson's disease? A literature review. *Arch Med Sci* 13(3):507–514.

<https://doi.org/10.5114/aoms.2016.63599>

22. Heath RD, Brahmabhatt M, Tahan AC, Ibdah JA, Tahan V (2017) Coffee: The magical bean for liver diseases. *World J Hepatol* 9(15):689–696. <https://doi.org/10.4254/wjh.v9.i15.689>
23. Farah A, Donangelo CM (2006) Phenolic compounds in coffee. *Braz. J. Plant Physiol* 18.<https://doi.org/10.1590/S1677-04202006000100003>
24. Tajik N, Tajik M, Mack I, Enck P (2017) The potential effects of chlorogenic acid, the main phenolic components in coffee, on health: a comprehensive review of the literature. *Eur J Nutr* 56:2215–2244. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00394-017-1379-1>
25. Król K, Gantner M, Tatarak A, Hallmann E (2020) The content of polyphenols in coffee beans as roasting, origin and storage effect. *European Food Research and Technology* 246:33–39. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00217-019-03388-9>
26. Kusumah J, Mejia de EG (2022) Coffee constituents with antiadipogenic and antidiabetic potentials: A narrative review. *Food Chem Toxicol* 161. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2022.112821>
27. Kwon YI, Vattam DA, Shetty K (2006) Evaluation of clonal herbs of Lamiaceae species for management of diabetes and hypertension. *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr* 15:107-18. <https://doi.org/10.2254/0964-7058/15.1.0235>
28. Kim SH, Jo SH, Kwon YI Hwang JK (2011) Effects of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) extract administration on intestinal α -glucosidases activities and spikes in postprandial blood glucose levels in SD rats model. *Int J Mol Sci* 12(6):3757–3769. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms120643757>
29. Kim DS, Kwon HJ, Jang HD, Kwon YL (2009) In vitro α -Glucosidase Inhibitory Potential and Anti-oxidant Activity of Selected Lamiaceae Species Inhabited in Korean Peninsula. *Food Sci Biotechnol* 18:239-244.
30. Jo SH, Ha KS, Moon KS, Lee OH, Jang HD, Kwon YI (2011) In vitro and in vivo anti-hyperglycemic effects of Omija (*Schizandra chinensis*) fruit. *Int J Mol Sci* 12(2):1359–1370. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms12021359>
31. Tsao R (2010) Chemistry and biochemistry of dietary polyphenols. *Nutrients* 2(12):1231–1246. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu2121231>
32. Nyambe-Silavwe H, Williamson G (2018) Chlorogenic and phenolic acids are only very weak inhibitors of human salivary α -amylase and rat intestinal maltase activities. *Food Res Int* 113:452–455. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2018.07.038>
33. Oh JB, Jo SH, Kim JS, Ha KS, Lee JY, Choi HY, Yu SY, Kwon YI, Kim YC (2015) Selected tea and tea pomace extracts inhibit intestinal α -glucosidase activity in vitro and postprandial hyperglycemia in vivo. *Int J Mol Sci* 16(4):8811–8825. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms16048811>

Figures




Figure 1

Total phenolic content (mg GAE/g sample) of water (DW) and ethyl alcohol (Ethanol) extracts of coffee variety. The results represent the mean \pm S.D. Different uppercase letters indicate significant differences among different samples within same extraction method whereas different lowercase letters indicate significant differences among different extraction method within same sample at $p < 0.05$ by Duncan's multiple range test.




Figure 2

Dose dependent changes in rat intestinal α -glucosidase inhibitory activity (%inhibition) of water extracted coffee types. Different uppercase letters indicate significant differences among different samples within same concentration whereas different lowercase letters indicate significant differences among different concentration within same sample at $p < 0.05$ by Duncan's multiple range test.




Figure 3

Dose dependent changes in rat intestinal α -glucosidase inhibitory activity (%inhibition) of ethyl alcohol extracted coffee types. Different uppercase letters indicate significant differences among different samples within same concentration whereas different lowercase letters indicate significant differences among different concentration within same sample at $p < 0.05$ by Duncan's multiple range test




Figure 4

Dose dependent changes in rat intestinal sucrase, maltase and glucoamylase in-hibitory activity (% inhibition) of water extracted coffee (Fig. a, c, and e, respectively) and ethyl alcohol extracted coffee (Fig. b, d, and f) types The results represent the mean \pm S.D. Different uppercase letters indicate significant differences among different samples within same concentration whereas different lowercase letters indicate significant differences among different concentration within same sample at $p < 0.05$ by Duncan's multiple range test.




Figure 5

Effect of Coffee of water extracts on sucrose loading test. After fasting for 24 hours, 4-week-old, male SD rats were orally administered with sucrose solution (2.0 g/kg-body weight (bw)) with or without samples (GWE 0.5 g/kg-bw, EWE 0.5 g/kg-bw, SWE 0.5 g/kg-bw, Acarbose 5.0 mg/kg-bw, positive control: sucrose). Each point represents mean \pm S.D.(n=5). * $p < 0.05$ and *** $p < 0.001$ compared to different samples at the same concentration by unpaired Student's *t*-test.




Figure 6

Effect of Coffee of ethyl alcohol extracts on sucrose loading test. After fasting for 24 hours, 4-week-old, male SD rats were orally administered with sucrose solution (2.0 g/kg-body weight (bw)) with or without samples (GEE 0.5 g/kg-bw, EEE 0.5 g/kg-bw, SEE 0.5 g/kg-bw, Acarbose 5.0 mg/kg-bw, positive control: sucrose). Each point represents mean \pm S.D. (n=5). * $p < 0.05$ and *** $p < 0.001$ compared to different samples at the same concentration by unpaired Student's *t*-test.




Figure 7

Effect of Coffee of water extracts on starch loading test. After fasting for 24 hours, 4-week-old, male SD rats were orally administered with starch solution (2.0 g/kg-body weight (bw)) with or without samples (GWE 0.5 g/kg-bw, EWE 0.5 g/kg-bw, SWE 0.5 g/kg-bw, Acarbose 5.0 mg/kg-bw, positive control: starch). Each point represents mean \pm S.D.(n=5). * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$ and *** $p < 0.001$ compared to different samples at the same concentration by unpaired Student's t -test.




Figure 8

Effect of Coffee of ethyl alcohol extracts on starch loading test. After fasting for 24 hours, 4-week-old, male SD rats were orally administered with starch solution (2.0 g/kg-body weight (bw)) with or without samples (GEE 0.5 g/kg-bw, EEE 0.5 g/kg-bw, SEE 0.5 g/kg-bw, Acarbose 5.0 mg/kg-bw, positive control: starch). Each point represents mean \pm S.D. (n = 5). * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, and *** $p < 0.001$ compared to different samples at the same concentration by unpaired Student's t -test.