Predictive value of extubation failure diagnosed by decrease in central venous oxygen saturation: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Chenxia Wu
Emergency Department,The First Affiliated Hospital of Zhejiang Chinese Medical University
Luoxia Hu
Emergency Department,The First Affiliated Hospital of Zhejiang Chinese Medical University
Qinkang Shen
Emergency Department,The First Affiliated Hospital of Zhejiang Chinese Medical University
Hua Xu
Emergency Department,The First Affiliated Hospital of Zhejiang Chinese Medical University
Haijun Huang
hhaijun@126.com

Emergency Department,The First Affiliated Hospital of Zhejiang Chinese Medical University

Research Article

Keywords: Ventilator weaning, Central venous oxygen saturation, Sensitivity, Specificity

Posted Date: May 17th, 2022

DOI: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1647779/v1

Additional Declarations: No competing interests reported.

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at Heliyon on July 1st, 2023. See the published version at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e18227.

Abstract

Background: The predictive power of extubation failure diagnosed by decrease in central venous oxygen saturation(ΔScvO2) varies by studies. Here we summarized the diagnostic value of extubation failure tested by ΔScvO2.

Methods: A comprehensive online search was performed to select potentially eligible studies that evaluated the predictive power of extubation failure tested by ΔScvO2. A manual search was also performed to identify additional studies. Data were extracted to calculate the pooled sensitivity, specificity, positive likelihood ratio (LR),negative LR, diagnostic odds ratio (DOR), and area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC) to evaluate the predictive power of extubation failure.

Results: Overall, five studies including 353 patients were included in this review, of whom 105 (30%) were extubation failure. The cutoff values of ΔScvO2 varied across studies, ranging from 3.8% to 5.4%. Heterogeneity between studies was assessed with an overall Q = 0.007, I^2 = 0%, and P = 0.498. The pooled sensitivity and specificity for the overall population were 0.83 (95% CI: 0.74-0.90) and 0.88 (95% CI: 0.83-0.92), respectively. The pooled positive LR and negative LR were 7.2 (95%CI: 4.6-11.2) and 0.19 (95%CI: 0.12-0.31), respectively. The DOR was 38 (95% CI: 17–86). Overall, the pooled AUROC was 0.92 (95% CI: 0.90–0.94).

Conclusions: The ΔScvO2 performed well in predicting extubation failure in adult mechanical ventilation patients.Further studies with a larger data set and well-designed models are required to confirm the diagnostic accuracy and utility of ScvO2 in predicting extubation outcomes in mechanical ventilation patients.

Introduction

Weaning from mechanical ventilation is one of the key elements in the care of critically ill intubated patients receiving mechanical ventilation and could represent 40–50% of the total duration of mechanical ventilation[1].The spontaneous breathing trial (SBT) has been recommended to help determine whether a patient can be weaned from mechanical ventilation[2–4]. After a successful SBT, extubation is recommended. However,10–20% of patients who successfully complete a SBT experience extubation failure, extubation failure is associated with prolonged mechanical ventilation and extremely high mortality rates of 25–50%[5, 6]. Patients who experience extubation failure were more likely to die in hospital than those who succeeded[7, 8]. Therefore, the early identification of critically ill patients who are likely to experience extubation failure is vital for improved outcomes.

Central venous oxygen saturation (ScvO2) represents the saturation of hemoglobin by O2 from the central venous catheter, provides insight into the balance between oxygen delivered to and consumed by all tissues of the body. ScvO2 monitoring has been used as a prognostic indicator in a variety of conditions in people with cardiac disease, trauma, and sepsis[9, 10]. Evidence form published studies[11, 12], decreases in ScvO2 (ΔScvO2) during SBT were associated with weaning and extubation failure, and were a useful marker for the reliable prediction of extubation outcomes. however, another study reported that ScvO2 did not differ between patients who experienced extubation success and failure[13]. Given the inconsistent results found by different studies, we reviewed the literature systematically and performed a meta-analysis to assess the efficacy of diagnostic tests that use ScvO2 for the detection of extubation failure.

Materials And Methods

This meta-analysis was conducted according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses guidance [14, 15].

Registration and protocol

This meta-analysis was registered on PROSPERO(CRD42022325145)

PICO statement

P-patient: adult patients were under mechanical ventilation through endotracheal intubation for ≥ 48 hours. I-index test: ΔScvO2 was measured in all included patients. C-complement: an SBT was given to all included patients who were deemed ready to be liberated from mechanical ventilation. O-outcome: the efficacy of ΔScvO2 for predicting extubation failure was estimated.

Search strategy

Relevant studies up to May 2022 were searched in the PubMed, Embase via OVID, and Cochrane Library databases with the following terms and their combinations: “central venous oxygen saturation or ScvO2” and “reintubation or wean or extubation.” All scanned abstracts, studies, and citations were reviewed. Moreover, references of the retrieved manuscripts were also manually cross-searched for further relevant publications.

Selection criteria

The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) studies on adult patients were under mechanical ventilation through endotracheal intubation for ≥ 48 hours;(2) a SBT was completed before extubation; (3)studies with SBT-induced decrease in ScvO2 as the index test; (4) studies published with full-text in any language; (5) studies providing sufficient data for constructing 2-by-2 tables, including true positive (TP), false positive (FP), true negative (TN), and false negative (FN). The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) studies that used the same population or overlapping database;(2) reviews, case reports, editorials, letters, and conference abstracts; (3) articles with no available data for patients with ScvO2 and (4) articles without a definition of extubation failure.

Data extraction and quality assessment

All the available data were extracted from each study by two investigators independently according to the aforementioned inclusion criteria, and any differences were resolved by discussion with a third investigator. The following data were collected from each study: (1) basic characteristics of studies, including first author name, publication year, country where the research was performed, selected patients, gender, mean age, number of patients, study design, method and time of SBT, index test device for the ScvO2, definition of extubation failure; (2) diagnostic performance, including cutoff value, sensitivity, specificity, area under the receiver operator characteristic curve (AUROC), TP, FP, FN, and TN. If numbers of TP, FP, FN, and TN were unavailable, we communicated with the corresponding author to obtain these data.The quality of included studies was scored independently by two reviewers using the revised Quality Assessment of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies (QUADAS-2) criteria [16]. The quality of studies was assessed using RevMan 5.4.

Statistical analysis

All analyses were performed using the Stata 16.0 software (Stata Corp., College Station, TX, USA). The bivariate meta-analysis model was employed to summarize sensitivity, specificity, positive likelihood ratio, negative likelihood ratio, and diagnostic odds ratio (DOR) [17, 18]. The sensitivity and specificity of each included study were used to plot the summary receiver operator characteristic (SROC) curve and calculate the area under the SROC curve (AUC). Diagnostic power was good, moderate, and poor if the AUC was more than 0.8, between 0.7 and 0.8, and less than 0.7, respectively[19]. As publication bias is a concern for meta-analyses, the Deeks’ funnel plot asymmetry test was used, with P <0.10 indicating statistical significance [20].

Spearman’s correlation coefficient between the logit of sensitivity and logit of 1-specificity was calculated to determine any threshold effect; A strong positive correlation would suggest threshold effect[21]. The between-study heterogeneity was evaluated using Q test and I^2 statistics. A P value less than 0.10 for the Q test or I^2 value ≥ 50% indicated substantial heterogeneity. A fixed effects model was used if no heterogeneity was observed. A random effects model was selected if significant heterogeneity was observed.

Results

Characteristics of the studies

This meta-analysis yielded 120 primary studies after the initial independent review, comprising 118 published studies identified through electronic database searches and 2 published study identified through a manual search. Figure 1 shows the study selection process. A total of 15 records were initially excluded due to duplicate records; 98 records were excluded due to the source not related to the research topic or being conference abstract or editoral; and 3 records[13, 22, 23] were excluded because no enough data for constructing 2-by-2 tables. Finally, five studies[11, 12, 24–26] fulfilled all the inclusion criteria and were considered for analysis. Two of the five studies are multicentric prospective studies, other three studies are single center prospective studies,

the main characteristics of the eligible studies are shown in Table 1. The quality of the included studies was assessed using QUADAS-2 available in Fig. 2.

Table 1
Characteristics of the studies included in this meta-analysis

First author/ Year of publication	Country	Patients	Gender (M/f)	Age (year) Mean ± SD	Cases	Method and time of SBT	Index test device	Definition for failure extubation	Study design
Ashmawi/2020 [26]	Egypt	Patients intubation and mechanical ventilation ≥ 2 days and meeting the weaning criteria	37/13	64.7 ± 8.6	50	PSV ≤ 7 cmH2O 30min	Blood gas analyzer	Reintubation within 2 days	Single central prospective study
Mallat/2020 [20]	France	Patients received MV for at least 48 hours and satisfied the weaning criteria	56/19	68 ± 11	75	T-tube trial 60min	Blood gas analyzer	Mechanical ventilation within 48 hours	Multicentric prospective study
Helmy/2014 [25]	Egypt	COPD patients intubated and ventilated ≥ 48 hours and meeting the weaning criteria	31/4	56.51 ± 6.05	35	PSV ≤ 7 cmH2O 30min	Blood gas analyzer	Reintubation in 48 hours	Single central prospective study
Shalaby/2014 [24]	Saudi Arabia	Patients mechanically ventilated for > 48 hours and meeting the weaning criteria	68/52	54 ± 20	120	T-tube trial 30min	Blood gas analyzer	Reintubation in 48 hours	Single central prospective study
Teixeira/2010 [11]	Brazil	Patients mechanically ventilated for 48hours and meeting the weaning criteria	39/24	57 ± 19	73	T-tube trial 30min	Blood gas analyzer	Reintubation within 48 hours	Multicentric prospective study

COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; PSV, pressure support ventilation.

Quantitative synthesis

Study data and individual diagnostic estimates are summarized in Table 2. Overall, 353 patients were included in this review, of whom 105 (30%) were extubation failure. The cutoff values of ΔScvO2 varied across studies, ranging from 3.8–5.4%. Three studies gave the AUROC, ranged from 0.856 to 0.87. Heterogeneity between studies was assessed with an overall $Q = 0.007$, $I^2 = 0\%$, and $P = 0.498$, indicated no heterogeneity. Spearman's correlation coefficient was 0.1 ($p = 0.87$), indicating no threshold effect. The pooled sensitivity and specificity for the overall population were 0.83 (95% CI: 0.74–0.90) and 0.88 (95% CI: 0.83–0.92), respectively

(Fig. 3). The pooled positive likelihood ratio and negative likelihood ratio were 7.2 (95%CI: 4.6–11.2) and 0.19 (95%CI: 0.12–0.31), respectively. The DOR was 38 (95% CI: 17–86) (Fig. 4). The pooled AUROC was 0.92 (95% CI: 0.90–0.94) (Fig. 5).

Table 2
Summary of results of the studies included in this meta-analysis

First author/ Year of publication	Sample size	Cutoff value	Subject numbers could be calculated				Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	AUROC (95% CI)
			TP	FP	FN	TN			
Ashmawi/2020 [26]	50	> 3.8%	10	4	1	35	89.7	90.9	NA
Mallat/2020 [20]	75	≥ 5.4%	12	8	6	49	67	86	0.856 (NA)
Helmy/2014 [25]	35	≥ 4%	7	7	1	20	87	74	0.861(0.702–0.954)
Shalaby/2014 [24]	120	> 5%	32	8	5	75	87	90	NA
Teixeira/2010 [11]	73	> 4.5%	27	2	4	40	88	95	0.87(NA)

AUROC, Area under the receiver operator characteristics curve; CI, confidence interval; FN, false negative; FP, false positive; TN, true negative; TP, true positive; NA,no available.

Publication bias

The publication bias of the studies was assessed using the Deeks' funnel plot asymmetry test. The slope coefficient of the five studies was associated with a *P* value of 0.59 (Fig. 6). The aforementioned results indicated no publication bias.

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first systematic review and meta-analysis to explore the diagnostic accuracy of $\Delta ScvO_2$ in predicting extubation failure in adult mechanical ventilation patients. The results confirmed that, overall, the $\Delta ScvO_2$ performed well diagnostic power for predicting extubation failure, with a pooled AUROC of 0.92 (95% CI: 0.90–0.94).A positive ΔScO2 was well predictive of extubation failure, with a pooled specificity of 0.88 (95% CI: 0.83–0.92). A negative ΔScO2 also was used well to rule out extubation failure, with a pooled sensitivity of 0.83 (95% CI: 0.74–0.90).Our results are clinically important and add significant data to the existing literature, for patients with central venous during SBT, the tracking of ScvO2 showed excellent predictability in extubation outcomes. Further diagnostic studies are warranted to obtain the appropriate cutoff value and validate the pooled results.

Weaning from mechanical ventilation is usually associated with increased oxygen consumption (VO2) linked to the augmented work of breathing[27, 28]. To meet the metabolic demand during SBT, increases in VO2 should be accompanied by increases in cardiac output and oxygen delivery (DO2). Mixed venous oxygen saturation (SvO2) well reflects the balance between VO2 and DO2. Although ScvO2 is less accurate than SvO2, it has been successfully used as a target for adequate resuscitation in critically ill patients[29, 30]. Earlier studies[10, 31, 32] had demonstrated adequate correlation between ScvO2 and SvO2,as such, measurement of ScvO2 using central venous catheters seems to be a simple method and an attractive alternative to measurement of SvO2 for the evaluation and monitoring of critically ill patients, since it can be obtained in an easier, less risky and less costly manner. In the present study, we showed that extubation failure can be well predicted using ScvO2 measurement during SBT with a sensitivity and specificity of 83% and 0.88%.

The present systematic review and meta-analysis had some limitations. First, this analysis included only five studies with a relatively small sample size. Therefore, the power and precision of the results were limited. Second, the quality assessment showed a high risk of bias in the index test due to insufficient information to judge whether their test results were interpreted blind for all the included studies. This bias might have restricted the interpretation of the true diagnostic efficacy of ΔScvO2 in predicting

extubation failure. Third, since more detailed individual patient data were not available, a more comprehensive analysis of diagnostic effect could not be conducted. Finally, the relatively small sample size in the included studies led to strong diagnostic factors that might not be significant.

Conclusions

This meta-analysis confirmed that the ΔScvO2 performed well in predicting extubation failure in adult mechanical ventilation patients. Further studies with a larger data set and well-designed models are required to confirm the diagnostic accuracy and utility of ScvO2 in predicting extubation outcomes in mechanical ventilation patients.

Abbreviations

ScvO2
Central venous oxygen saturation
ΔScvO2
Decrease in central venous oxygen saturation
SBT
Spontaneous breathing trial
DOR
Diagnostic odds ratio
CI
Confidence interval
TP
True positive
FP
False positive
TN
True negative
FN
False negative
AUROC
Aarea under the receiver operator characteristic curve
QUADAS-2
Quality Assessment of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies
SROC
Summary receiver operator characteristic
AUC
Area under the SROC curve
VO2
Oxygen consumption
DO2
Oxygen delivery
SvO2
Mixed venous oxygen saturation

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and material

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests

All authors declare that they have no any conflict of interests.

Funding

None.

Authors' contributions

Haijun Huang and Chenxia Wu carried out the studies, participated in collecting data, and drafted the manuscript. Hua Xu and Luoxia Hu performed the statistical analysis and participated in its design. Qinkang Shen helped to draft the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

None.

References

1. Esteban A, Anzueto A, Frutos F, Alía I, Brochard L, Stewart TE, Benito S, Epstein SK, Apezteguía C, Nightingale P *et al*: **Characteristics and outcomes in adult patients receiving mechanical ventilation: a 28-day international study**. Jama 2002, **287**(3):345–355.
2. Boles JM, Bion J, Connors A, Herridge M, Marsh B, Melot C, Pearl R, Silverman H, Stanchina M, Vieillard-Baron A *et al*: **Weaning from mechanical ventilation**. The European respiratory journal 2007, **29**(5):1033–1056.
3. Rose L: **Strategies for weaning from mechanical ventilation: a state of the art review**. Intensive Crit Care Nurs 2015, **31**(4):189–195.
4. Fan E, Zakhary B, Amaral A, McCannon J, Girard TD, Morris PE, Truwit JD, Wilson KC, Thomson CC: **Liberation from Mechanical Ventilation in Critically Ill Adults. An Official ATS/ACCP Clinical Practice Guideline**. Annals of the American Thoracic Society 2017, **14**(3):441–443.
5. Thille AW, Richard JC, Brochard L: **The decision to extubate in the intensive care unit**. American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine 2013, **187**(12):1294–1302.
6. Joffe A, Barnes CR: **Extubation of the potentially difficult airway in the intensive care unit**. Current opinion in anaesthesiology 2022, **35**(2):122–129.
7. Kaur R, Vines DL, Patel AD, Lugo-Robles R, Balk RA: **Early Identification of Extubation Failure Using Integrated Pulmonary Index and High-Risk Factors**. Respiratory care 2021, **66**(10):1542–1548.
8. Ionescu F, Zimmer MS, Petrescu I, Castillo E, Bozyk P, Abbas A, Abplanalp L, Dogra S, Nair GB: **Extubation Failure in Critically Ill COVID-19 Patients: Risk Factors and Impact on In-Hospital Mortality**. Journal of intensive care medicine 2021, **36**(9):1018–1024.
9. Mayer K, Trzeciak S, Puri NK: **Assessment of the adequacy of oxygen delivery**. Current opinion in critical care 2016, **22**(5):437–443.

10. Rivers EP, Ander DS, Powell D: **Central venous oxygen saturation monitoring in the critically ill patient**. Current opinion in critical care 2001, **7**(3):204–211.
11. Teixeira C, da Silva NB, Savi A, Vieira SR, Nasi LA, Friedman G, Oliveira RP, Cremonese RV, Tonietto TF, Bressel MA *et al*: **Central venous saturation is a predictor of reintubation in difficult-to-wean patients**. Critical care medicine 2010, **38**(2):491–496.
12. Mallat J, Baghdadi FA, Mohammad U, Lemyze M, Temime J, Tronchon L, Thevenin D, Fischer MO: **Central Venous-to-Arterial PCO2 Difference and Central Venous Oxygen Saturation in the Detection of Extubation Failure in Critically Ill Patients**. Critical care medicine 2020, **48**(10):1454–1461.
13. Chittawattanarat K, Kantha K, Tepsuwan T: **Central Venous Oxygen Saturation Is not a Predictor of Extubation Success after Simple Weaning from Mechanical Ventilation in Post-Cardiac Surgical Patients**. Journal of the Medical Association of Thailand = Chotmaihet thangphaet 2016, **99 Suppl 6**:S145-s152.
14. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG: **Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement**. Annals of internal medicine 2009, **151**(4):264–269, w264.
15. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, Shamseer L, Tetzlaff JM, Akl EA, Brennan SE *et al*: **The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews**. BMJ 2021, **372**:n71.
16. Whiting PF, Rutjes AW, Westwood ME, Mallett S, Deeks JJ, Reitsma JB, Leeflang MM, Sterne JA, Bossuyt PM: **QUADAS-2: a revised tool for the quality assessment of diagnostic accuracy studies**. Annals of internal medicine 2011, **155**(8):529–536.
17. Deeks JJ: **Systematic reviews in health care: Systematic reviews of evaluations of diagnostic and screening tests**. BMJ (Clinical research ed) 2001, **323**(7305):157–162.
18. Glas AS, Lijmer JG, Prins MH, Bonsel GJ, Bossuyt PM: **The diagnostic odds ratio: a single indicator of test performance**. Journal of clinical epidemiology 2003, **56**(11):1129–1135.
19. Mandrekar JN: **Receiver operating characteristic curve in diagnostic test assessment**. Journal of thoracic oncology: official publication of the International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer 2010, **5**.
20. Deeks JJ, Macaskill P, Irwig L: **The performance of tests of publication bias and other sample size effects in systematic reviews of diagnostic test accuracy was assessed**. Journal of clinical epidemiology 2005, **58**(9):882–893.
21. Zamora J, Abraira V, Muriel A, Khan K, Coomarasamy A: **Meta-DiSc: a software for meta-analysis of test accuracy data**. BMC medical research methodology 2006, **6**:31.
22. Mostafa H, Mattar M, Gouda N, Alkhatip A, Hamza MKM: **The Use of Transesophageal Doppler and Central Venous Oxygen Saturation as Predictors of Weaning Success**. Journal of cardiothoracic and vascular anesthesia 2022.
23. Georgakas I, Boutou AK, Pitsiou G, Kioumis I, Bitzani M, Matei K, Argyropoulou P, Stanopoulos I: **Central Venous Oxygen Saturation as a Predictor of a Successful Spontaneous Breathing Trial from Mechanical Ventilation: A Prospective, Nested Case-Control Study**. The open respiratory medicine journal 2018, **12**:11–20.
24. Shalaby MA, Alsagheir MM, Salama AM, Eweida TM, Salah M: **Central Venous Oxygen Saturation as a Predictor of the Outcome of Weaning From Mechanical Ventilation**. Journal of Education and Practice 2014, **5**(7):175–182.
25. Helmy TA, Baess AI, Abdelazez KMI: **Central Venous Oxygen Saturation as a predictor of extubation failure in mechanically ventilated Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease patients**. Journal of American Science 2014, **10**(6):67–74.
26. Ashmawi SA, Salem HM, Nagy ME: **Central venous oxygen saturation as a predictor of failure of weaning from mechanical ventilation**. Egyptian Journal of Chest Diseases and Tuberculosis 2020, **69**(1):155–161.
27. Bellani G, Foti G, Spagnolli E, Milan M, Zanella A, Greco M, Patroniti N, Pesenti A: **Increase of oxygen consumption during a progressive decrease of ventilatory support is lower in patients failing the trial in comparison with those who succeed**. Anesthesiology 2010, **113**(2):378–385.
28. Hubmayr RD, Loosbrock LM, Gillespie DJ, Rodarte JR: **Oxygen uptake during weaning from mechanical ventilation**. Chest 1988, **94**(6):1148–1155.
29. Walley KR: **Use of central venous oxygen saturation to guide therapy**. American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine 2011, **184**(5):514–520.
30. Reid M: **Central venous oxygen saturation: analysis, clinical use and effects on mortality**. Nursing in critical care 2013, **18**(5):245–250.

31. Ladakis C, Myrianthefs P, Karabinis A, Karatzas G, Dosios T, Fildissis G, Gogas J, Baltopoulos G: **Central venous and mixed venous oxygen saturation in critically ill patients**. Respiration; international review of thoracic diseases 2001, **68**(3):279–285.
32. el-Masry A, Mukhtar AM, el-Sherbeny AM, Fathy M, el-Meteini M: **Comparison of central venous oxygen saturation and mixed venous oxygen saturation during liver transplantation**. Anaesthesia 2009, **64**(4):378–382.

Figures



Figure 1

Flow diagram of identification of studies.



Figure 2

Risk of bias and applicability concerns for the studies included in the meta-analysis. (a) Risk-of-bias graph; (b) Risk-of-bias summary.



Figure 3

Forest plots of the pooled sensitivity and specificity. Each solid square represents an individual study. Error bars represent 95% CI. Diamond indicates the pooled sensitivity and specificity for all of the studies.



Figure 4

Forest plots of the pooled diagnostic odds ratio. Each solid square represents an individual study. Error bars represent 95% CI. Diamond indicates the pooled diagnostic odds ratio for all of the studies.



Figure 5

SROC curve of decrease in central venous oxygen saturation for predicting extubation failure. Each circle represents individual study estimates. The diamond is the summary point representing the average sensitivity and specificity estimates. The ellipses around this summary point are the 95% confidence region(dashed line) and the 95% prediction region (dotted line). The cutoff value of included studies: (1) Ashmawi/2020 [26]:> 3.8%; (2) Mallat/2020 [20]:≥5.4%; (3) Helmy/2014[25]:≥ 4%;(4) Shalaby/2014 [24]:> 5%; (5) Teixeira/2010 [11]:>4.5%.



Figure 6

Deeks' funnel plot of publication bias among studies. ESS = effective sample size. Numbers 1 to 5 represent the study arms (Ashmawi/2020;Mallat/2020;Helmy/2014;Shalaby/2014;Teixeira/2010).

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- PRISMA2020checklist.docx