

Agricultural Management Practices Influence the Soil Enzyme Activity and Bacterial Community Structure in Tea Plantations

Yu-Pei Chen

Xiamen Medical College

Chai-Fang Tsai

National Chung Hsing University

PD Rekha

Yenepoya University

Sudeep Ghate

Yenepoya University

Hsi-Yuan Huang

The Chinese University of Hong Kong

Yi-Han Hsu

National Chung Hsing University

Li-Ling Liaw

Food Industry Research and Development Institute

Chiu-Chung Young (✉ ccyoung@mail.nchu.edu.tw)

National Chung Hsing University <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0828-3802>

Original Article

Keywords: Agricultural management, Arylsulfatase, Bacterial richness, Bacterial diversity, Next generation sequencing, Temporal change, soil health

Posted Date: February 9th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-165352/v1>

License:  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background

The soil quality and health of the tea plantations are dependent on the agriculture management practices, and long-term chemical fertilizer use is implicated in soil decline. Hence, several sustainable practices are used to improve and maintain the soil quality. Here, in this study, changes in soil properties, enzymatic activity, and dysbiosis in bacterial community composition were compared using three agricultural management practices, namely conventional (CA), sustainable (SA) and transformational agriculture (TA) in the tea plantation during 2016 and 2017 period. Soil samples at two-months intervals were collected and analyzed.

Results

The results of the enzyme activities revealed that acid phosphatase, arylsulfatase, β -glucosidase, and urease activities differed considerably among the soils representing the three management practices. Combining the redundancy and multiple regression analysis, the change in the arylsulfatase activity was explained by soil pH as a significant predictor in the SA soils. The soil bacterial community was predominated by the phyla Proteobacteria, Acidobacteria, Actinobacteria, Chloroflexi, and Bacteroidetes in the soil throughout the sampling period. Higher Alpha diversity scores indicated increased bacterial abundance and diversity in the SA soils. A significant relationship between bacterial richness indices (SOBS, Chao and ACE) and soil pH, K and P was observed in the SA soils. The diversity indices namely Shannon and Simpson also showed variations, suggesting the shift in the diversity of less abundant and more common species. Furthermore, the agricultural management practices, soil pH fluctuation and the extractable elements had a greater influence on bacterial structure than that of temporal change.

Conclusions

Based on the cross-over analysis of bacterial composition, enzymatic activity and the soil properties, the relationship between bacterial composition and biologically-driven ecological processes can identified as indicators of sustainability for the tea plantation.

Background

Soil microorganisms play a key role in ecological and biogeochemical processes including carbon and nitrogen cycling, nutrient uptake and also in soil formation (van der Heijden et al. 2008). The microbial community structure is affected by the environmental factors such as pH, temperature, moisture, and nutrient content (Arcand et al. 2017; Lauber et al. 2009; Wang et al. 2014). In the agricultural soils, these changes are dependent on the agricultural management practices, including tillage, crop rotation, fertilization, and pesticide application. Studies have established the effect of these practices on the microbial structure and soil metabolic capacity (Bronick and Lal 2005; Sun et al. 2016; Wang et al. 2014; Wang et al. 2017; Wang et al. 2019b). Bacterial community structure can also be altered according to the history of land-use, land management practices and edaphic properties (Jangid et al. 2011; Lauber et al. 2009).

Long-term chemical fertilizer use in conventional agriculture systems gives rise to substantial adverse effects on soil quality causing reduction in the soil biological activities, loss of soil organic matter, and deterioration of the soil structure and nutrient recycling (Bronick and Lal 2005; Zhao et al. 2016). In addition, residues of pesticide, can also cause dysbiosis in soil microbial biodiversity affecting the soil health irrespective of the region or soil types (Fang et al. 2018; Garcia-Delgado et al. 2019). Soil pH, geographical elevation and mean annual temperature are some of the main factors that influence the bacterial diversity (Lauber et al. 2009; Shen et al. 2019). Soil microbial profile significantly differs between organic and conventional farming systems, and the organic farming supports a more stable and uniform community structure in comparison to conventional farming (Li et al. 2012; Wang et al. 2016).

The microbial extracellular enzymes in the soil play a prime role in ecosystem processes by catalyzing diverse reactions and also involved in carbon and nutrient cycling (Allison 2006). The dynamics and turn-over times of enzymes involved in enzyme-mediated decay vary with their function and origin depending on the abundance of the microbes (Schimel et al. 2017). Enzymatic activities are associated with soil properties including soil pH, bulk density, nutrient levels, and organic matter (Ai et al. 2012; Dai et al. 2018).

Hydrolases, such as phosphatase, sulfatase, β -glucosidase, and urease, have been assessed as indices of P, S, C, and N cycling, respectively (Dai et al. 2018; Xian et al. 2015). These enzymes catalyze different substrates, releasing available inorganic forms of phosphates, sulfates, ammonia, and carbohydrates that serve as key energy sources for the plants and soil organisms. Due to their response to changing soil conditions, soil enzymes function as soil quality indicators and can be used to monitor the soil health across agriculture management systems.

Tea (*Camellia sinensis* L. O. Kuntze) is one of the most economically valuable crops in the tropical and subtropical regions occupying large share in the global market. Nitrogen fertilizers are extensively used in tea plantation to improve the yield and increase the amino acid content of the tea leaves (Ni et al. 2019; Watanabe 1995). This increased nitrogen fertilization leads to altered soil chemical composition, decreased pH, reduced soil fungal diversity and changes in the fungal composition in tea plantation (Yang et al. 2019). Only a few studies have been conducted to understand the effect different agriculture managements and fertilizer usage pattern on the microbial diversity in the tea plantations. A study comparing the soil bacterial community of tea plantation with the natural ecosystems such as wetland, grassland and forest soils showed lower diversity in the tea soils (Lynn et al. 2017). Different mulching practices in tea plantation brought about variations in bacterial and fungal profile showing organic mulching can improve the physicochemical properties of tea garden soils (Zhang et al. 2020).

However, the long-term effects of different fertilizer regimen and agricultural management on the microbial community structure and soil enzyme activity in tea plantation soil and ecosystem processes remains underexplored. Hence, in this study, the effects of long-term application of fertilizers and pesticides on the tea plantation soils under conventional management (20 years) and sustainable management (2 and 25 years) systems were compared. The study aimed to identify the indicators of soil quality by exploring the changes in soil enzyme activities, bacterial community structure and outline the factors that contribute for these changes.

Materials And Methods

Field site and analysis of soil properties

The study was carried out in tea plantations (*C. sinensis* cv. Taicha 13) of the Mingjian Township (Nantou County, Taiwan) maintained under three different agricultural management practices namely conventional (CA; 23°51'N, 120°38'E; elevation 321 m; 0.126 ha), sustainable (SA; 23°49'N, 120°39'E; elevation 419 m; 0.194 ha), and transformational agriculture (TA; 23°48'N, 120°39'E; elevation 420 m; 0.488 ha) (Fig. S1). The soils were all classified as Hapludults according to the soil taxonomy of United States Department Agriculture. CA comprised chemical fertilizer application, including basal dressing one-time, top dressing four times, and pesticide application four times all annually, and was maintained for 20 years. In the SA tea plantation, no herbicide, pesticide, or chemical fertilizer was applied for 25 years, but organic fertilizer was used instead. In the TA tea plantation, conventional agriculture was followed for 28 years and then reformed into sustainable agriculture since 2015.

Soil samples were collected at every two months between November 2016 and May 2017. The surface soils (depth 0–10 cm) from five plots of each treatment were sampled and pooled with replicates in sterile plastic tubes. These samples were directly transported to the laboratory, sieved using a 2-mm mesh, and homogenized using a centrifugal ball mill (S100, Retsch, hahn, Germany) for analyzing enzymatic activity. The other samples were stored at -20°C until used for DNA extraction and chemical analysis. The pH and electrical conductivity (EC) of the soil (slurry 1:1, w/v) were measured. Organic matter was determined as loss on ignition after burning at 430°C for 24 h. Total nitrogen was analyzed according to the Kjeldahl method. The extractable elements in soil including P, K, Ca, Mg, Fe, Mn, Cu, and Zn were determined using inductively coupled plasma (ICP) emission spectroscopy (Hendershot et al. 2008).

Estimation of Soil enzymatic activity

Acid phosphatase, arylsulfatase, and β -glucosidase activities were measured spectrophotometrically by determining of *p*-nitrophenol released from *p*-nitrophenyl phosphate, *p*-nitrophenyl sulfate, and *p*-nitrophenyl- β -D-glucopyranoside, respectively (Eivazi and Tabatabai 1988; Ho 1979; Tabatabai and Bremner 1970). Modified universal buffer (MUB) used for the assay was prepared according to the method of Tabatabai (Tabatabai 1982). For urease activity, 1 g of soil was mixed with 0.5 mL of 0.08 M urea and incubated at 37°C for 2 h (Kandeler and Gerber 1988). The acetylene reduction method was used to determine soil N_2 -fixing activity (Hardy et al. 1973).

DNA sequencing of soil bacterial community by Illumina MiSeq and bioinformatics analysis

To explore the soil microbial community, soil DNA sequencing was performed using the next-generation sequencing. Soil DNA was extracted using the UltraClean Soil DNA Isolation Kit (MO BIO Laboratories, Inc., USA) and quantified as OD_{260/280} using a spectrophotometer. The hypervariable V6 region of the 16S rRNA gene was used for DNA amplification. PCR products were converted into blunt ends, and adapter ligation was performed according to the Beijing Genomics Institute (BGI) experimental workflow (Ju et al. 2017). Library construction, high-throughput sequencing, and genome *de novo* analysis were conducted by the BGI (BGI, Shenzhen, China). The Agilent 2100 bioanalyzer instrument (Santa Clara, CA, USA) and real-time quantitative PCR were performed for quantification and qualification of the library. DNA sequencing was performed using a MiSeq platform and the raw data were analyzed by the BGI bioinformatics workflow. The taxonomic ranks of microbes were assigned to operational taxonomic unit (OTU) representative sequence by using Ribosomal Database Project (RDP) at 97% similarity (Cole et al. 2014). The different samples combined with the OTU representative sequences were grouped using Venn diagrams (R software v3.1.1) (Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). The principal component analysis (PCA) based on the OTU abundance performed using the *ade4* package of R software. Visualization of taxonomic distribution of microbes in a histogram was made using the R software. Heat maps for the species abundance were obtained using the *gplots* package of R software. Alpha diversity was calculated by Mothur (v1.31.2) including the observed species, Chao, ACE, Shannon, and Simpson indices.

Statistical analysis

The data was presented as mean and standard deviation (SD). Duncan's multiple range test at a confidence level of 95%, was determined with IBM SPSS Statistics v20 software package (SPSS Inc. Chicago, USA). Repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed to examine the interaction of temporal change and agricultural management affected by enzymatic activity. The relationship among the soil bacteria, enzymatic activities, and chemical properties was analyzed through redundancy analysis (RDA) performed using the *vegan* package of R software. ANOVA with multivariate linear regression was conducted to explore the differences in soil bacteria, enzymatic activities, and chemical properties among the sampled soils. Stepwise method (probability of $F < 0.05$) was used to interpret the responses of enzymatic activity and bacterial community to variance in soil properties.

Nucleotide sequence accession numbers and data availability

This soil high-throughput DNA sequencing project was deposited at DDBJ/ENA/GenBank under accession numbers BioProject PRJNA545380 and BioSample SAMN11890669, SAMN11890668, SAMN11890645, SAMN11890644, SAMN11890643, SAMN11890627, SAMN11890626, SAMN11890625, SAMN11890494, SAMN11890481, SAMN11890470, and SAMN11890468.

Results

Soil properties along the tea plantations

The temperatures at the sampling times, November 8, 2016, January 5, 2017, March 15, 2017, and May 10, 2017, were 26.5°C, 21.9°C, 15.1°C, and 29.7°C, respectively. The soil properties are presented in Fig. 1. The SA soil had the highest overall pH (5.41) followed by TA and CA soils, while the trend was reversed in EC of the soil with SA having the lowest EC (75.2 $\mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$). Total nitrogen and organic matter contents were the highest in TA soil followed by SA and CA soils. The extractable elements namely, P, K, Ca, Mg, Mn, Cu, and Zn in the SA soil were higher than that of the TA soil, followed by the CA soil (Fig. 2). Pearson correlation analysis indicated that soil pH was positively correlated with soil P and K contents and negatively correlated with soil EC (Fig. 3). Also, a significant correlation was observed between organic matter and total N.

Soil Enzymatic Activities Among The Tea Plantations

The activities of the enzymes, namely acid phosphatase, arylsulfatase, β -glucosidase, and urease, and nitrogen fixation involved in phosphorus, sulfur, carbon, and nitrogen cycles are presented in Fig. 4. Acid phosphatase in the TA soil was significantly higher than SA and CA soils ($p < 0.001$). Arylsulfatase and β -glucosidase activities were significantly higher in the SA soil ($p < 0.001$) than TA and CA soils. Though urease activity did not show significant difference between the management practices ($p = 0.003$), the activity of

CA soil was 4.3-times higher than that of SA soil in January 2017 sample. Nitrogen fixation was not significantly different across the agricultural practices; however, it was comparatively higher in the SA soil.

Repeated measures-ANOVA for the enzymatic activities was performed to evaluate the interaction between temporal changes and agricultural management practices (Table 1). Acid phosphatase, arylsulfatase and urease activities showed significant difference between agricultural management practices and time. The β -glucosidase activity showed marginally significant differences across sampling times and agricultural management practice. However, in the nitrogen fixing activity, there were no significant changes in agricultural management systems along with the temporal scale.

Table 1
Statistical significance (p-value) for repeated measures ANOVA on acid phosphatase, arylsulfatase, β -glucosidase, urease, and nitrogen fixing activity

Model term	Enzymatic activity									
	Acid phosphatase		Arylsulfatase		β -Glucosidase		Urease		Nitrogen fixation	
	F	p	F	p	F	p	F	p	F	p
Test of within-subjects effects										
Time	53.709	0.000**	7.899	0.001**	8.476	0.009**	80.172	0.000**	2.283	0.174
Time \times Management	3.246	0.016*	3.510	0.011*	2.918	0.081	18.060	0.001**	0.703	0.552
Test of between-subjects effects										
Intercept	6109.184	0.000**	4911.200	0.000**	1282.411	0.000**	243.964	0.000**	12.303	0.013*
Management	1297.194	0.000**	1116.072	0.000**	85.677	0.000**	7.665	0.022*	2.102	0.203
Significance is indicated by **p-value < 0.01, and *p-value < 0.05										

Changes In The Soil Bacterial Community Structure

To estimate the diversity of bacterial distribution in soil, the total eubacterial community was examined using high-throughput sequencing. After raw reads were trimmed and chimeric reads were removed, the average clean reads and clean data of each sample were 108,342 reads and 31.125 Mb, respectively (Table S1). The OTUs obtained for the different agricultural management practices and sampling times are represented as a Venn diagram (Fig. S2). The number of unique OTUs in the SA soil was significantly higher than those of the CA and TA soils. Based on the sampling time, the order of presence of unique OTUs is May 2017 > November 2016 > March 2017 > January 2017. PCA revealed that the TA soil clustered closely with the CA soil but was distinct from the SA soil (57.26%) (Fig. 5). However, no grouping was observed for the sampling times.

The soil community structure at the phylum level showed that Proteobacteria, Acidobacteria, Actinobacteria, Chloroflexi, and Bacteroidetes were the predominant phyla (Fig. 6). The relative abundance of Bacteroidetes in the SA soil was 7.3 and 6.0-fold higher than that in the CA and TA soils, respectively and the differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.01$; $p < 0.05$). Gemmatimonadetes and Nitrospirae were also higher in SA soil compared to soils with other management practices. By contrast, the relative abundance of Actinobacteria in the SA soil was significantly lower than the TA and CA soils ($p < 0.01$; $p < 0.001$). The abundance of Chloroflexi was significantly lower in SA soil compared to CA soil ($p < 0.05$). The distribution of the major phyla among the management practices and sampling times are given as supplementary figure (Fig. S3). Further, at the class level Gammaproteobacteria was dominant having a relative abundance of 17.3% and 15.1% in the CA and SA soils respectively. In the TA soil, Alphaproteobacteria was dominant with a relative abundance of 13.2%. The heat map showing the clustering based on the abundance of each phylum is shown in Fig. 6. Three clades are clearly divided and of the SA soil is clustered in the same group. The

CA and TA soils sampled in November 2016 and May 2017, and January 2017 and March 2017 showed the distribution of the bacterial community in two distinct clades.

Bacterial species abundance and diversity estimates such as observed species richness, SOBS, Chao, ACE, Shannon, and Simpson diversity indices across the management and sampling times are shown in Fig. 7. The SA soil had a higher number of bacterial species and greater community richness than the soils managed under TA and CA practices. This finding is consistent with the species diversity displaying a high Shannon and low Simpson indices in the SA soil. The bacterial species and community richness did not differ considerably with the sampling time.

Correlation between bacterial community, soil enzymatic activity, and soil properties

Redundancy analysis was used to explore the relationship between bacterial community, soil enzymatic activities and soil properties. The RDA components explain 88.19%, 81.62%, and 99.46% of the variation in enzymatic activity, bacterial composition, and bacterial alpha diversity, respectively from the data obtained for the soil samples (Fig. 8). For the enzymatic activity, the first component explained 56.8% of the total variation and also, separated the CA, TA, and SA samples. Arylsulfatase activity was dominant in the SA soil and was related to the pH changes, while the acid phosphatase activity was predominant in the TA soil and is associated with the total nitrogen and organic matter of the soil. On the other hand, urease activity was dominant in the CA soil and was related to the EC value. Further, for the bacterial community, an association was seen between available phosphorus, soil pH and the abundance of Acidobacteria. Additionally, Chloroflexi and Actinobacteria were positively correlated with total nitrogen and organic matter; however, they were negatively associated with the soil EC. According to their close groupings, Acidobacteria and Proteobacteria occurred predominantly in the TA soil, while Chloroflexi and Actinobacteria were predominant in all the soils in November 2016. The observed species richness of bacterial community clustered along RDA axis-2, which is highly correlated with soil pH, K and P and negatively correlated to soil EC.

Pearson correlation between enzymatic activity, bacterial community, and soil properties is shown in Table 2. A significant difference was observed between different enzymatic activity and soil properties. Moreover, the observed species and abundance indices including SOBS, Chao, and ACE were significantly related to soil pH. Nevertheless, no significant relationship was perceived in the dominant bacteria and bacterial diversity such as Acidobacteria, Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Proteobacteria, Shannon, and Simpson index. Chloroflexi showed a significant negative correlation (p -value < 0.05) with EC. Multivariate linear regression ANOVA with stepwise method was performed for modeling the enzymatic activity and bacterial community with soil chemical properties. To identify the major factors that influence the enzymatic activity, observed species, and bacterial abundance, the soil properties were used as independent variables for the stepwise multiple regressions. Based on this the regressions are constructed (Eq. 1–6) as follows:

$$\text{Acid phosphatase} = -0.461 + 0.123 \times \text{organic matter} \dots \dots \dots (1)$$

(Model: $r^2 = 0.676$, $F = 20.888$, p -value = 0.001)

$$\text{Arylsulfatase} = -0.227 + 0.07 \times \text{pH} \dots \dots \dots (2)$$

(Model: $r^2 = 0.963$, $F = 260.678$, p -value = 0.000)

$$\beta\text{-Glucosidase} = -0.023 + 0.001 \times \text{K} \dots \dots \dots (3)$$

(Model: $r^2 = 0.646$, $F = 18.276$, p -value = 0.002)

$$\text{SOBS} = 26.327 + 301 \times \text{pH} \dots \dots \dots (4)$$

(Model: $r^2 = 0.725$, $F = 26.423$, p -value = 0.000)

$$\text{Chao} = 117.262 + 330.738 \times \text{pH} \dots \dots \dots (5)$$

(Model: $r^2 = 0.761$, $F = 31.837$, p -value = 0.000)

$$\text{ACE} = 181.788 + 317.184 \times \text{pH} \dots \dots \dots (6)$$

(Model: $r^2 = 0.759$, $F = 31.486$, $p\text{-value} = 0.000$)

Table 2
Pearson correlation between enzymatic activity, bacterial community, and soil properties.

Pearson correlation	pH	EC	Organic matter	Total nitrogen	P	K
Acid phosphatase	-0.157	0.004	0.822**	0.757**	0.619*	-0.037
Arylsulfatase	0.981**	-0.663**	-0.209	-0.124	0.655*	0.962**
β -Glucosidase	0.784**	-0.463	0.077	0.190	0.761**	0.804**
Urease	-0.207	0.447	-0.199	-0.156	-0.193	-0.222
Acidobacteria	0.293	0.019	-0.124	-0.044	0.376	0.290
Actinobacteria	-0.254	-0.148	0.159	0.130	-0.141	-0.348
Bacteroidetes	0.414	-0.089	-0.282	-0.298	0.202	0.508
Chloroflexi	0.020	-0.559*	0.190	0.238	-0.128	-0.079
Proteobacteria	-0.325	0.308	0.115	0.076	-0.323	-0.326
SOBS	0.852**	-0.591*	-0.279	-0.196	0.434	0.808**
Chao	0.872**	-0.577*	-0.235	-0.132	0.505*	0.832**
ACE	0.871**	-0.599*	-0.223	-0.127	0.501*	0.823**
Shannon	0.381	-0.396	-0.042	-0.019	0.295	0.438
Simpson	0.361	-0.094	-0.144	-0.106	0.141	0.246
Significance is indicated by ** $p\text{-value} < 0.01$, and * $p\text{-value} < 0.05$						

Discussion

Different agricultural management practices used in the tea plantation have greater impact on the soil quality particularly on the biological parameters. The soil enzymes and bacterial composition are assumed to be indicators of soil quality (Acosta-Martinez et al. 2018; Arafat et al. 2017; Jeanbille et al. 2016), and the results of this study provide valuable insights into the relationship among soil physic-chemical properties, enzymatic activity, and bacterial community derived from the field data that included soil samples from three different agricultural management practices over the temporal scale.

Here, the SA soil managed without the addition of herbicides or pesticides and chemical fertilizers exhibited a higher pH, extractable elements, arylsulfatase, β -glucosidase, nitrogen fixation, bacterial richness, and bacterial diversity along with lower EC value in comparison with other soils. This provides evidence for the sustainability of this practice in maintaining the soil health. Long-term tea cultivation with the application of chemical fertilizers, are known to significantly reduce the soil pH and bacterial composition (Arafat et al. 2017; Li et al. 2016). A similar finding of acidification and pH reduction was observed in the CA and TA soils even though the latter was converted to sustainable agriculture from 2015. This shows that, the soil health recovery takes longer time after shifting to more sustainable practices. One of the key reasons may be time taken for the restoration of the healthy microbial community structure and the microbial processes. However, the recovery of soil microbial community on transitioning from chemical farming to organic farming remains to be studied.

Several enzymatic activities are involved in the soil process and are considered critical parameters of soil health (Dai et al. 2018). Acid phosphatase and arylsulfatase can catalyze the hydrolysis of various substrates attached to free phosphoryl and sulfate groups (Pettit et al. 1977; Tang et al. 2013). The enzyme β -glucosidase catalyzes the glycosidic bonds from complex carbohydrate molecules to terminal non-reducing residues, and urease hydrolyzes urea to form ammonia and carbon dioxide through ureolysis (Chae et al. 2017; Oshiki et al. 2018). Nitrogen fixation is accomplished by bacteria and archaea encoding nitrogenase complexes for ammonification (Stein and Klotz 2016). These processes are required to maintain a balance in the nutrient cycling and supply of available nutrients to the plantation. Soil enzymatic activity was greater in the SA system compared to CA for the all enzymes except

acid phosphatase that showed higher activity in the CA soil. Acid phosphatase activity is favoured by the acidic condition. Repeated measures ANOVA carried out within and between treatment across time, reflected the difference of enzymatic activity between three agricultural managements. The result demonstrated that the activity of acid phosphatase, arylsulfatase and urease was significantly affected by agricultural management practices across temporal scale, while the difference in the nitrogen fixation rate was not statistically significant among the practices. The β -glucosidase activity was sensitive to time and management practice but no clear response was repeated in the interaction of time and treatment.

The RDA analysis, using the results of enzymatic activities, soil properties, and agricultural management practices showed interesting clustering. A close association of acid phosphatase activity with organic matter and total nitrogen observed was similar to the findings in the hardwood forests soil and the surface sediments of *Ctenopharyngodon idellus* aquaculture ponds, that were showing the changes in C/N ratio in response to acid phosphatase activity (Dai et al. 2018). β -Glucosidase is known to be associated with the carbon cycle and also influenced by the level of organic carbon in the soil (Dai et al. 2018; Xian et al. 2015). However, the correlation co-efficient between β -glucosidase activity and the extractable elements such as K and P were higher than that of organic matter in this study. This was also reflected in the arylsulfatase activity that was correlated to the soil pH and K in the SA soil.

Using multiple regressions with the stepwise analysis method, the influence of soil properties on each enzymatic activity was identified. Among the six quantified soil properties, the multiple regression model picked out organic matter, pH and K content as significant predictors of acid phosphatase, arylsulfatase, and β -glucosidase activities respectively (Eq. 1–3). The soil pH as a significant predictor explained 96.3% of the variation in arylsulfatase activity, indicating that high soil pH might lead to high arylsulfatase activity or vice versa. On the other hand, the organic matter and potassium content explained 67.6% and 64.6% of the variation in acid phosphatase and β -glucosidase activities, respectively. Combining the RDA and multiple regression analysis, the arylsulfatase activity could be suggested as the soil quality index for sustainable management.

To compare the composition and distribution of bacterial communities among the soils under CA, TA, and SA systems, culture-independent technique of high-throughput sequencing was applied. This technique provides a large amount of information at the molecular level and directly used to interpret the microbial diversity (Arafat et al. 2017; Lauber et al. 2009). Proteobacteria, Acidobacteria and Actinobacteria were found to be the most abundant eubacteria corresponding to the previous study findings from the tea plantations (Arafat et al. 2017; Wang et al. 2019a). Proteobacteria, Acidobacteria, and Actinobacteria constituted respectively 29.3%, 27.4%, and 13.8% in the CA soil; 22.8%, 39.7%, 17.5% in the TA soils; and 37.1%, 24.3%, and 6.8% in the SA soil.

Proteobacteria had a higher relative abundance in SA soil followed by TA and CA which is in concordance with another microbial characterization of tea plantation. Proteobacteria are the highly diverse group of bacterial phyla playing a major role in biogeochemical cycle (Spain et al. 2009) and its abundance in the soil managed using SA practices indicate a higher availability of available nutrients in this soil. However, Bacteroidetes constituted a comparatively higher proportion in the SA soil (10.9%) than in the CA (1.5%) and TA (1.8%) soils. Higher abundance of Bacteroidetes was closely associated with increased soil moisture content in a 30 year old tea plantation (Arafat et al. 2017) while showing a positive correlation with soil pH and available K (Zhao et al. 2014). It was also significantly higher in organically cultivated lands compared to chemically cultivated land (Chaudhry et al. 2012). Bacteroidetes also showed a positive correlation with pH, K and P and a negative correlation with EC content of the soil. Thus, a high Bacteroidetes content in SA soil can be due to its differences in the soil properties along with the lack of application of chemical fertilizer. Contrasting reports exist on the relative abundance of Acidobacteria that is negatively associated to the pH in the soil of different aged tea plantations (Wang et al. 2019a). However, Arafat et al observed a positive correlation between root associated Acidobacteria and soil pH in the tea plantation (Arafat et al. 2017; Zhou et al. 2015). The changes in Acidobacteria abundance may be due to the influence of other edaphic factors. In this study, distribution of Acidobacteria was not only positively correlated with soil pH but also with P content. Nitrospirae, the bacterial phylum containing ammonia-oxidizing and nitrate-oxidizing bacteria was significantly higher in SA soil and lower abundance in other soils can be due to the addition of N fertilizers as indicated in other studies (Wang et al. 2018).

The bacterial species diversity is also an important parameter changing with the soil biotic and abiotic conditions. As evident, the alpha diversity analysis showed higher bacterial species richness and diversity in the SA soils. The positive relationships among bacterial species richness, soil pH, K and P contents in the SA soils are also interesting observation from this study. Phosphorous is the important nutrient and its availability is key for the plant growth due to its role in energy transfer, biological oxidation, cell

division, and other cellular metabolic activities. Inorganic phosphates are complex with iron and aluminum in acidic soils such as the soils in tea plantations, and P becomes limited (Chen et al. 2006). Moreover, acidic soils are also poor in extractable elements, such as K, Ca and Mg that are required for the plant (Rafael et al. 2018). The SA soil was higher in the content of extractable elements than in the CA and TA soils, except for Fe. This is also confirmed by the Pearson correlation indicating that the bacterial richness indices such as SOBS, Chao and ACE index had a significant correlation with pH, EC, P and K and potassium contents.

Under the probability of $F < 0.05$, SOBS, Chao, and ACE indices were considered for the significance level of variables. The soil pH as a significant predictor of the variation in the bacterial richness, indicated that high soil pH towards neutral range might favor higher bacterial abundance. Correlation and regression analysis between soil properties and microbial communities collectively revealed pH as an indicator of soil quality that positively influence the bacterial richness, diversity and community structure in the tea plantations.

From the cluster analysis using the bacterial abundance data across the soil management practices and time scale, three distinct clades were observed representing the distribution of bacterial community according to the soil. The time-related variation in the bacterial composition was in agreement with the findings of Calbrix et al. (Calbrix et al. 2007). Variation in the temperature and other seasonal changes are also considered to be significant factors in microbial community composition (Hayden et al. 2012). In the present study, the Shannon and Simpson diversity indices were comparatively lower in January 2017 than the other sampling times and contrary to the results of the previous studies while low bacterial abundance and diversity were observed in the winter with gradually decreased temperature conditions (Jung et al. 2012; Rasche et al. 2011).

Conclusions

The study provided evidence for the influence of different agricultural management practices on soil enzymes and microbial diversity in tea plantation. Enzymes such as acid phosphatase, arylsulfatase and urease are sensitive and get influenced by the agricultural management practices and temporal changes in the tea plantation. Further, the acid phosphatase, arylsulfatase, and β -glucosidase enzyme activities are affected by the soil organic matter, pH and K respectively. Soil pH was shown to be a significant predictor of species richness (SOBS, Chao, and ACE) and was also associated with arylsulfatase activity which can be suitable as the soil quality index for monitoring the sustainable management. The soils under organic management practice (SA) can harbor higher bacterial species richness and diversity indicative of enhanced ecosystem functioning and nutrient availability. On contrary, a decline in soil pH resulting in reduced bacterial abundance and enzymatic activities because of the long-term application of chemical fertilizer was seen in CA soil. The effect of agricultural management on bacterial composition was greater than the temporal variations in the bacterial composition, diversity, and enzymatic activities. Based on the cross-over analysis of bacterial composition, enzymatic activity and other soil properties, the relationship between bacterial composition and biologically-driven ecological processes can be defined for the tea plantation. Extensive multi-centric research studies should be undertaken by exercising uniform protocols to establish the most suitable farming practice that can improve the crop yield and maintain a resilient soil health of tea plantation.

Declarations

Author's contributions

Y.-P.C. designed the experiment, analyzed the data, and prepared the writing-original draft. C.-F.T. collected the samples and the data. P.D.R. analyzed the data and revised the manuscript. S.D.G. and H.-Y.H. performed the R software. Y.-H.H. collected the samples and the data. L.-L.L. performed the DNA analysis of soil bacterial community. C.-C.Y. designed the experiment, and reviewed the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology, Taiwan (Grant 105-2621-M-005-006), and the Innovation and Development Center of Sustainable Agriculture from The Featured Areas Research Center Program within the framework of the Higher Education Sprout Project by the Ministry of Education (MOE) in Taiwan.

Availability of data and materials

The data used and analyzed in this study can be provided from the corresponding author for scientific, non-profit purpose.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable, the study involves no human participants.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

1. Acosta-Martinez V, Cano A, Johnson J (2018) Simultaneous determination of multiple soil enzyme activities for soil health-biochemical indices. *Appl Soil Ecol* 126:121-128
2. Ai C, Liang G, Sun J, Wang X, Zhou W (2012) Responses of extracellular enzyme activities and microbial community in both the rhizosphere and bulk soil to long-term fertilization practices in a fluvo-aquic soil. *Geoderma* 173-174:330-338
3. Allison S (2006) Soil minerals and humic acids alter enzyme stability: implications for ecosystem processes. *Biogeochemistry* 81:361-373
4. Arafat Y, Wei X, Jiang Y, Chen T, Saqib HSA, Lin S, Lin W (2017) Spatial distribution patterns of root-associated bacterial communities mediated by root exudates in different aged ratooning tea monoculture systems. *Int J Mol Sci* 18:1727
5. Arcand MM, Levy-Booth DJ, Helgason BL (2017) Resource legacies of organic and conventional management differentiate soil microbial carbon use. *Front Microbiol* 8:2293
6. Bronick C, Lal R (2005) Soil structure and management: a review. *Geoderma* 124:3-22
7. Calbrix R, Barray S, Chabrierie O, Fourrie L, Laval K (2007) Impact of organic amendments on the dynamics of soil microbial biomass and bacterial communities in cultivated land. *Appl Soil Ecol* 35:511-522
8. Chae Y, Cui R, Woong Kim S, An G, Jeong SW, An YJ (2017) Exoenzyme activity in contaminated soils before and after soil washing: β -glucosidase activity as a biological indicator of soil health. *Ecotox Environ Safe* 135:368-374
9. Chaudhry V, Rehman A, Mishra A, Chauhan PS, Nautiyal CS (2012) Changes in bacterial community structure of agricultural land due to long-term organic and chemical amendments. *Microb Ecol* 64:450-460
10. Chen YP, Rekha PD, Arun AB, Shen FT, Lai WA, Young CC (2006) Phosphate solubilizing bacteria from subtropical soil and their tricalcium phosphate solubilizing abilities. *Appl Soil Ecol* 34:33-41
11. Cole JR, Wang Q, Fish JA, Chai B, McGarrell DM, Sun Y, Brown CT, Porras-Alfaro A, Kuske CR, Tiedje JM (2014) Ribosomal Database Project: data and tools for high throughput rRNA analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res* 42:D633-642
12. Dai L, Liu C, Yu L, Song C, Peng L, Li X, Tao L, Li G (2018) Organic matter regulates ammonia-oxidizing bacterial and archaeal communities in the surface sediments of *Ctenopharyngodon idellus* aquaculture ponds. *Front Microbiol* 9:2290
13. Eivazi F, Tabatabai MA (1988) Glucosidases and galactosidases in soils. *Soil Biol Biochem* 20:601-606
14. Fang H, Han L, Zhang H, Deng Y, Ge Q, Mei J, Long Z, Yu Y (2018) Repeated treatments of ciprofloxacin and kresoxim-methyl alter their dissipation rates, biological function and increase antibiotic resistance in manured soil. *Sci Total Environ* 628-629:661-671
15. Garcia-Delgado C, Barba-Vicente V, Marin-Benito JM, Mariano Igual J, Sanchez-Martin MJ, Sonia Rodriguez-Cruz M (2019) Influence of different agricultural management practices on soil microbial community over dissipation time of two herbicides. *Sci Total Environ* 646:1478-1488
16. Hardy RW, Burns RC, Holsten RD (1973) Application of the acetylene-ethylene assay for measurement of nitrogen fixation. *Soil Biol Biochem* 5:47-81
17. Hayden HL, Mele PM, Bougoure DS, Allan CY, Norng S, Piceno YM, Brodie EL, Desantis TZ, Andersen GL, Williams AL, Hovenden MJ (2012) Changes in the microbial community structure of bacteria, archaea and fungi in response to elevated CO₂ and

- warming in an Australian native grassland soil. *Environ Microbiol* 14:3081-3096
18. Hendershot WH, Lalande H, Reyes D, MacDonald D (2008) Trace element assessment in: Carter MR and Gregorich EG (Ed.) *Soil sampling and methods of analysis*. 2nd ed. Boca Raton, FL, USA, CRC Press Taylor & Francis, pp 109-120
 19. Ho I (1979) Acid phosphatase activity in forest soil. *Forest Sci* 25:567-568
 20. Jangid K, Williams M, Franzluebbers A, Schmidt T, Coleman D, Whitman W (2011) Land-use history has a stronger impact on soil microbial community composition than aboveground vegetation and soil properties. *Soil Biol Biochem* 43:2184-2193
 21. Jeanbille M, Buee M, Bach C, Cebren A, Frey-Klett P, Turpault MP, Uroz S (2016) Soil parameters drive the structure, diversity and metabolic potentials of the bacterial communities across temperate beech forest soil sequences. *Microb Ecol* 71:482-493
 22. Ju Y, Tian H, Zhang R, Zuo L, Jin G, Xu Q, Ding X, Li X, Chu Z (2017) Overexpression of OsHSP18.0-Cl enhances resistance to bacterial leaf streak in rice. *Rice (NY)* 10:12
 23. Jung J, Yeom J, Han J, Kim J, Park W (2012) Seasonal changes in nitrogen-cycle gene abundances and in bacterial communities in acidic forest soils. *J Microbiol* 50:365-373
 24. Kandeler E, Gerber H (1988) Short-term assay of soil urease activity using colorimetric determination of ammonium. *Biol Fert Soils* 6:68-72
 25. Lauber CL, Hamady M, Knight R, Fierer N (2009) Pyrosequencing-based assessment of soil pH as a predictor of soil bacterial community structure at the continental scale. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 75:5111-5120
 26. Li R, Khafipour E, Krause DO, Entz MH, de Kievit TR, Fernando WG (2012) Pyrosequencing reveals the influence of organic and conventional farming systems on bacterial communities. *PLoS One* 7:e51897
 27. Li YC, Li Z, Li ZW, Jiang YH, Weng BQ, Lin WX (2016) Variations of rhizosphere bacterial communities in tea (*Camellia sinensis* L.) continuous cropping soil by high-throughput pyrosequencing approach. *J Appl Microbiol* 121:787-799
 28. Lynn TM, Liu Q, Hu Y, Yuan H, Wu X, Khai AA, Wu J, Ge T (2017) Influence of land use on bacterial and archaeal diversity and community structures in three natural ecosystems and one agricultural soil. *Arch Microbiol* 199:711-721
 29. Ni K, Liao W, Yi X, Niu S, Ma L, Shi Y, Zhang Q, Liu M, Ruan J (2019) Fertilization status and reduction potential in tea plantations of China. *J Plant Nutr* 25:421–432
 30. Oshiki M, Araki M, Hidakata Y, Hatamoto M, Yamaguchi T, Araki N (2018) Ureolytic prokaryotes in soil: community abundance and diversity. *Microbes Environ* 33:230-233
 31. Pettit NM, Gregory LJ, Freedman RB, Burns RG (1977) Differential stabilities of soil enzymes. Assay and properties of phosphatase and arylsulphatase. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 485:357-366
 32. Rafael RBA, Fernandez-Marcos ML, Cocco S, Ruello ML, Weindorf DC, Cardelli V, Corti G (2018) Assessment of potential nutrient release from phosphate rock and dolostone for application in acid soils. *Pedosphere* 28:44-58
 33. Rasche F, Knapp D, Kaiser C, Koranda M, Kitzler B, Zechmeister-Boltenstern S, Richter A, Sessitsch A (2011) Seasonality and resource availability control bacterial and archaeal communities in soils of a temperate beech forest. *ISME J* 5:389-402
 34. Schimel J, Becerra CA, Blankinship J (2017) Estimating decay dynamics for enzyme activities in soils from different ecosystems. *Soil Biol Biochem* 114:5-11
 35. Shen C, Shi Y, Fan K, He JS, Adams JM, Ge Y, Chu H (2019) Soil pH dominates elevational diversity pattern for bacteria in high elevation alkaline soils on the Tibetan Plateau. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 95:fiz003
 36. Spain AM, Krumholz LR, Elshahed MS (2009) Abundance, composition, diversity and novelty of soil Proteobacteria. *ISME J* 3:992-1000
 37. Stein LY, Klotz MG (2016) The nitrogen cycle. *Curr Biol* 26:R94-98
 38. Sun B, Jia S, Zhang S, McLaughlin NB, Liang A, Chen X, Liu S, Zhang X (2016) No tillage combined with crop rotation improves soil microbial community composition and metabolic activity. *Environl Sci Pollut R* 23:6472-6482
 39. Tabatabai MA (1982) Soil enzyme. In: Page, A.L. (Ed.), *Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 2. Chemical and Microbiological Properties*. No. 9. . Agronomy Monograph, Madison, Iowa
 40. Tabatabai MA, Bremner JM (1970) Arylsulfatase activity of soils. *Soil Sci Soc Am J* 34:225-229
 41. Tang H, Li X, Zu C, Zhang F, Shen J (2013) Spatial distribution and expression of intracellular and extracellular acid phosphatases of cluster roots at different developmental stages in white lupin. *J Plant Physiol* 170:1243-1250

42. van der Heijden MG, Bardgett RD, van Straalen NM (2008) The unseen majority: soil microbes as drivers of plant diversity and productivity in terrestrial ecosystems. *Ecol Lett* 11:296-310
43. Wang C, Liu D, Bai E (2018) Decreasing soil microbial diversity is associated with decreasing microbial biomass under nitrogen addition. *Soil Biol Biochem* 120:126-133
44. Wang H, Yang SH, Yang JP, Lv YM, Zhao X, Pang JL (2014) Temporal changes in soil bacterial and archaeal communities with different fertilizers in tea orchards. *J Zhejiang Univ Sci B* 15:953-965
45. Wang S, Li T, Zheng Z, Chen HYH (2019a) Soil aggregate-associated bacterial metabolic activity and community structure in different aged tea plantations. *Sci Total Environ* 654:1023-1032
46. Wang W, Wang H, Feng Y, Wang L, Xiao X, Xi Y, Luo X, Sun R, Ye X, Huang Y, Zhang Z, Cui Z (2016) Consistent responses of the microbial community structure to organic farming along the middle and lower reaches of the Yangtze River. *Sci Rep* 6:35046
47. Wang Y, Li C, Tu C, Hoyt GD, DeForest JL, Hu S (2017) Long-term no-tillage and organic input management enhanced the diversity and stability of soil microbial community. *Sci Total Environ* 609:341-347
48. Wang Z, Liu Y, Zhao L, Zhang W, Liu L (2019b) Change of soil microbial community under long-term fertilization in a reclaimed sandy agricultural ecosystem. *PeerJ* 7:e6497
49. Watanabe I (1995) Effect of fertilizer application at different stages on the quality of green tea. *Soil Sci Plant Nutr* 41:763-768
50. Xian Y, Wang M, Chen W (2015) Quantitative assessment on soil enzyme activities of heavy metal contaminated soils with various soil properties. *Chemosphere* 139:604-608
51. Yang X, Ma L, Ji L, Shi Y, Yi X, Yang Q, Ni K, Ruan J (2019) Long-term nitrogen fertilization indirectly affects soil fungi community structure by changing soil and pruned litter in a subtropical tea (*Camellia sinensis* L.) plantation in China. *Plant and Soil* 444:409-426
52. Zhang S, Wang Y, Sun L, Qiu C, Ding Y, Gu H, Wang L, Wang Z, Ding Z (2020) Organic mulching positively regulates the soil microbial communities and ecosystem functions in tea plantation. *BMC Microbiol* 20:103
53. Zhao J, Ni T, Li J, Lu Q, Fang Z, Huang Q, Zhang R, Li R, Shen B, Shen Q (2016) Effects of organic–inorganic compound fertilizer with reduced chemical fertilizer application on crop yields, soil biological activity and bacterial community structure in a rice–wheat cropping system. *Appl Soil Ecol* 99:1-12
54. Zhao J, Zhang R, Xue C, Xun W, Sun L, Xu Y, Shen Q (2014) Pyrosequencing reveals contrasting soil bacterial diversity and community structure of two main winter wheat cropping systems in China. *Microb Ecol* 67:443-453
55. Zhou J, Guan D, Zhou B, Zhao B, Ma M, Qin J, Jiang X, Chen S, Cao F, Shen D, Li J (2015) Influence of 34-years of fertilization on bacterial communities in an intensively cultivated black soil in northeast China. *Soil Biol Biochem* 90:42-51

Figures




Figure 1

Soil pH, EC, total nitrogen and organic matter of tea plantations. These parameters were determined from CA, TA, and SA soils between November 2016 and May 2017. Results are shown as mean \pm standard deviation. The different letters labeled at columns are significantly different according to Duncan's test ($p < 0.05$).




Figure 2

Soil extractable elements of tea plantations. The extractable elements including P, K, Ca, Mg, Mn, Cu, and Zn from the CA, TA, and SA soils were determined by inductively coupled plasma emission spectroscopy (ICP-MS). Results are shown as mean \pm standard deviation. The different letters labeled at columns are significantly different according to Duncan's test ($p < 0.05$).

Figure 4

Soil enzymatic activities of tea plantations. Acid phosphatase, arylsulfatase, and β -glucosidase activities were determined according to the p-nitrophenol method. These reactions were incubated at 37°C for 1 h and measured as OD400 by a spectrophotometer. To detect the urease activity, soil samples were mixed with urea and incubated at 37°C for 2 h. The 0.1% dichloroisocyanuric acid sodium, and sodium salicylate were added to the mixture for 30 min and measured at OD690 by a spectrophotometer. The nitrogen-fixing activity was determined by the acetylene reduction method using gas chromatography. Results are shown as mean \pm standard deviation. The different letters labeled at columns are significantly different according to Duncan's test ($p < 0.05$).




Figure 5

Principal component analysis of OTUs obtained from CA, TA, and SA soils between November 2016 and May 2017. The x-axis and y-axis indicate principal component 1 (PC1) and principal component 2 (PC2), respectively. The clustering groups of samples are present based on different agricultural management and sampling times. The PCAs were implemented by the R software.




Figure 6

Distribution and heat map of OTUs at the phylum level obtained from CA, TA, and SA soils between November 2016 and May 2017. The taxonomic composition distribution and heat map were implemented by the R software.




Figure 7

Alpha diversity of the soil bacteria in the tea plantations. The alpha diversity including observed species, Chao, ACE, Shannon, and Simpson from CA, TA, and SA soils between November 2016 and May 2017 are given. The indices are calculated by Mothur (v1.31.2) and implemented by the R software.




Figure 8

Redundancy analysis for the soil parameters of the studied tea plantations. The correlation between the soil properties, and (a) enzymatic activities, (b) the most abundant bacterial phylum, and (c) alpha diversity of species was respectively analyzed by RDA. The RDAs were implemented by the R software.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [FigS1.docx](#)
- [FigS2.docx](#)

- [FigS3.docx](#)
- [TableS1.docx](#)