

# Sorption, Desorption and Mobility of Microencapsulated Chlorpyrifos in Two Typical Soils

Liezhong Chen (✉ [zwsclz@163.com](mailto:zwsclz@163.com))

Zhejiang Academy of Agricultural Sciences <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1387-5469>

Yanli Li

Zhejiang Academy of Agricultural Sciences

Ting Wang

Zhejiang Academy of Agricultural Sciences

Yunlong Yu

Zhejiang University

---

## Research Article

**Keywords:** chlorpyrifos, soil, microcapsule, sorption/desorption, leaching

**Posted Date:** May 26th, 2021

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-165454/v1>

**License:**  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

---

# Abstract

The sorption, desorption, and mobility of microencapsulated chlorpyrifos (CPF-MC) in two typical soils, namely, silt loam and sandy, were investigated in this study. Sorption/desorption experiments were carried out by using the batch equilibration method. Results showed that the sorption isotherms of CPF-MC and emulsifiable concentrate of chlorpyrifos (CPF-EC) in silt loamy soil were similar. However, a considerable difference was observed in the sorption isotherms of two chlorpyrifos (CPF) formulations in sandy soil. The amounts of CPF desorbed from two soils in four desorption steps decreased sequentially in CPF-EC treatments, while the desorbed amounts remained stable in CPF-MC treatments. Hence, the sorption/desorption processes of CPF-EC were mainly controlled by soil affinity to CPF. However, these processes of CPF-MC were affected by the release rate of CPF from capsules. The mobility of two CPF formulations in soil were estimated in vertical columns packed with soils. Results showed that there was leaching of CPF-EC in silt loam column, whereas CPF-MC was not vertically mobile in silt loam column under the same leaching conditions. However, in column with sandy soil, the percentage of CPF-MC leaching from the column was 86.54%, which was higher than the 73.75% that leached from the column in the treatment with CPF-EC.

## Introduction

Microencapsulated technology, which involves entrapping active ingredient inside a hollow polymeric shell, has been applied to commercial pesticide manufacturing for many years (Frederidsen et al., 2002; Chen et al., 2009). Protection with a polymeric shell provides microencapsulated (MC) formulations with many advantages over the other conventional formulations, such as improved availability and decreased application dosage and toxicity to operators (Dailey and Dowler, 1998; Alonso et al., 2013). Since the first product, parathion-methyl MC, was developed by Pennwalt Company in 1974, hundreds of MC pesticides have been applied in agriculture (Hua, 2010; Hack et al., 2012).

By using MC, the environmental behaviors of pesticide are altered because of the shaping and entrapping by the polymeric shell (González-Pradas et al., 1999; Fernández-Pére et al., 2000). Chen et al. (2014) found that the degradation curve of microencapsulated chlorpyrifos (CPF-MC) in soil does not follow the first-order kinetics model, and it differs from the degradation kinetics of the emulsifiable concentrate (EC) of CPF. Montemurro et al. (2002) found that the residue levels of CPF in MC treatments were almost constant to 65 days and then began to decrease. Another study by González-Pradas et al. (1999) showed that entrapping imidacloprid with alginate produced less vertical mobility of the active ingredient than the technical product. In the technical-grade product treatment, the total amount of imidacloprid leached from columns packed with soil was 82.3% of that applied, but the leached percentage was 44.7% in encapsulated formulation treatment. Similar results were obtained in the soil mobility test of alginate-based formulation and technical-grade isoproturon (Fernández-Pére et al., 2000).

As an effective organophosphorous insecticide, CPF (O,O-diethyl-O-(3,5,6-trichloro-2-pyridinyl)phosphorothionate) is still widely used to control agricultural pests. MC was recently developed

as an important formulation for CPF to improve the usage effectivity and reduce environmental pollution (Frederiksen et al., 2002; Zhu et al., 2010). Many studies on CPF-MC have been conducted in the past years, mostly on the product development and efficacy evaluation, but few of them focused on the environmental risk assessment (Frederiksen et al., 2002; Montemurro et al., 2002; Ll acer et al., 2010; Zhu et al., 2010; Guo et al., 2011).

Sorption/desorption and mobility are the key processes that regulate the distribution of a pesticide in soil and the contamination of this pesticide to soil or water (Welhouse and Bleam, 1993; Hegeman et al., 1995; Friedman and Mualem, 1999). The relationship between the environmental behaviors of CPF and its side effects on soil and water have been widely studied (Montemurro et al., 2002; Yu et al., 2006; Fang et al., 2009; Chen et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2015). However, there have been few studies of the environmental behavior of the MC formulations of CPF. The use of MC formulation has been encouraged for controlling the underground pests (Richards, et al., 2015). Over 80 MC products containing CPF as active ingredient have been registered and applied in fields that vary in soil composition. It is essential to obtain information about environmental behavior of CPF-MC in soil to assess potential hazards to environment, especially for transport to surface waters and groundwater. In the present study, we used two typical types of soils to investigate the sorption/desorption and mobility of the CPF-MC in soils and compared the findings with the treatments with CPF-EC.

## Materials And Methods

### Chemicals and soils

The CPF-EC formulation, Dursban 48 was supplied by DOW Chemical Co., USA. CPF-MC (36%, *m/m*) with urea-formaldehyde resins as capsule material was prepared in our laboratory using the process of in-situ polymerization. The properties of CPF microcapsules were measured under wet condition by using a microscope. The average size of microcapsules was 8  $\mu\text{m}$ , and the microcapsules have smooth surface and spherical shape. Certified analytical standard CPF (99.5%) was supplied by Shanghai Pesticide Research Institute, China.

Two types of soils were used in this study. One was collected from farmland surface (0–20 cm in depth) in Zhejiang Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Hangzhou, China and labeled as “S-1”, while the other one was obtained from the market and labeled as “S-2”. Two soils were air-dried and sieved with 2-mm sieve, and then characterized using standard protocols (Institute of Soil Science, Academia Sinica, 1979). Based on the soil characteristics, S-1 and S-2 were classified as silt loam and sandy soil, respectively. Physical and chemical characteristics of the two soils are listed in Table 1.

### Sorption/desorption studies

Sorption and desorption studies were carried out using the standard batch equilibration method (US EPA, 1998). Serial dilutions of EC and MC formulations containing initial CPF concentrations ( $C_0$ ) between 2 and 29 mg/L were prepared using 0.01 mol/L  $\text{CaCl}_2$  aqueous solution. Then 25 mL of each dilution was

added to 3 g S-1/S-2. The soil-aqueous suspensions were transferred into 50-mL polypropylene centrifuge tubes and sealed with screw caps. Suspensions were centrifuged (10 min, 9,000 ×g, 25 °C) after being agitated on a shaker for 24 h at 25±1 °C to achieve equilibrium. The supernatants were transferred into 250-mL separatory funnels and extracted three times with petroleum ether (50 mL for each time). After dehydration with anhydrous sodium sulfate, the combined extracts were concentrated to near dryness and then re-dissolved with 10 mL of acetonitrile. All trials were conducted in triplicate. The same processes without CPF served as the control. The amount of CPF adsorbed by the soil was calculated based on the difference in CPF concentrations between the initial and equilibrium solutions.

Three treatments with initial CPF concentrations of 11, 17, and 23 mg/L were immediately used in the desorption experiments. After removing the supernatants, 25 mL of 0.01 mol/L CaCl<sub>2</sub> aqueous solution was added into each tube. The centrifuge tube was agitated to disperse the soil chunks. Then the processes of centrifugation, extraction, concentration, and re-dissolution were conducted as described in sorption experiment. Each sample was desorbed by 25 mL of 0.01 mol/L CaCl<sub>2</sub> aqueous solution for four times.

### **Mobility studies**

Mobility studies of two CPF formulations in soil were carried out in accordance with the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) guidelines (OECD 312, 2004). Glass leaching columns with inner diameter of 4 cm and 40 cm in height were used. The columns were packed with 30 cm-deep untreated S1/S2, then the soils were saturated with 0.01 mol/L CaCl<sub>2</sub> aqueous solution and left to drain for 24 h. Two CPF formulations containing 1 mg CPF were dispersed in 2 mL of 0.01 mol/L CaCl<sub>2</sub> aqueous solutions and then mixed with 1 g of untreated soil thoroughly. The mixtures were scattered evenly over the surface of the soil columns and covered by a round filter paper. A total volume of 1.2 L 0.01 mol/L CaCl<sub>2</sub> aqueous solution was added to each soil column dropwise at a rate of 8 mL/h through a dropping funnel and every 150 mL of eluent was collected. After leaching and leaving the column to drain for 48 h, each soil column was sectioned into five segments. All soil segments were air dried in low light to a constant weight. Each trial was replicated for three times.

Air-dried soil samples were transferred into Erlenmeyer flasks containing 50 mL of acetone-petroleum ether (1:1, V/V). The flask was successively agitated on a reciprocating shaker at 25 ± 2 °C for 2 h and then sonicated in an ultrasonic bath for 5 min. After a successive procedure of filtration, washing with 3% sodium sulfate, dehydration with anhydrous sodium sulfate, concentrating on a rotary evaporator and dissolution by 10.0 mL acetonitrile, the extracts were prepared and ready for gas chromatography (GC) analysis.

### **GC Analysis**

CPF concentration was determined on Shimadzu GC-2010 (Shimadzu Corp., Japan) equipped with a Ni<sup>63</sup> electron capture detector and fused silica capillary column (HP-5, Supelco, USA, 30-m length, 0.32-mm

internal diameter, and 0.33- $\mu\text{m}$  film thickness). The operating conditions were as follows: injector port temperature, 280 °C; detector temperature, 300 °C; column temperature, 240 °C; carrier gas ( $\text{N}_2$ ) flow rate, 50 mL/min; injection volume, 2  $\mu\text{L}$ . The peak area was used to calculate the concentration of CPF. CPF-certified standard was used for external calibration.

### Statistical analysis

The data were presented as means  $\pm$  standard errors ( $n=3$ ). The figures were generated using Microsoft Excel (Microsoft, USA). Data were statistically analyzed with the SPSS for Windows software (V13, IBM, USA). Differences in sample concentrations, sorption amounts, desorption amounts, distribution in soils were analyzed for significance by a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) at the level of  $P<0.05$ .

## Results And Discussion

### Sorption and desorption of CPF in soils

The sorption isotherms of CPF by S-1 and S-2 are shown in Figure 1. In the CPF-EC treatment, the sorption isotherm of CPF by S-1 was S-type. This result is consistent with the results reported by Yu et al. (2006), in which the sorption isotherms of CPF by five different soils were also S-type. Considering that CPF is non-polar, it exhibits strong partitioning to soils from aqueous solutions. Although the surfactants used in the EC formulation may have altered the sorption of soil for CPF (Ye, 2003; Sánchez et al., 2003), S-1 still exhibited high affinity for CPF.

The sorption isotherm of CPF by S-2 was L-type in the CPF-EC treatment (Fig. 1). In numerous cases, soil organic matter has been shown to influence pesticide sorption in soil (Worrall et al., 2001; Spark and Swift, 2002). The correlation of CPF's sorption behavior and soil organic matter has been observed in other studies (Yu et al., 2006). The organic matter content in S-2 is very low (Table 1). When the equilibrium concentration reached a certain level, the maximum sorption amount was reached, suggesting that the sorption capacity of S-2 for CPF is limited.

The sorption isotherm of CPF-MC in S-1 was similar to that of CPF-EC.

However, with the same initial concentration, the equilibrium concentration of CPF-MC was significantly lower than that in the EC treatment. Comparing with traditional formulations, MC features a controlled-release property (Chen et al., 2014). The release from the capsules and sorption by soil of CPF occurred simultaneously after CPF-MC entering the soil. If a sorbent has high affinity for pesticide, such as the affinity of S-1 for CPF, the pesticide released from the microcapsules into soil may not be biologically available at a concentration sufficient to be effective for the control of insect pests. Therefore, the rate of controlled release, the soil characteristics, and effective concentration of a pesticide on the target organism should be considered when verifying the recommended dosage of an MC pesticide. Otherwise, it may not be possible to achieve the desired level of pest control and only increase the risk of the pest developing resistance the pesticide.

A significant difference was found between the adsorption isotherms of CPF-MC and CPF-EC in S-2 (Fig. 1). The maximum adsorption amount was not reached with the CPF  $C_0$  range of 2-29 mg/L for CPF-MC. As shown in Figure 1, the CPF amounts released from the MC to the aqueous phase did not reach the level of saturation for the adsorption of CPF by S-2, therefore, the adsorption isotherms of CPF-MC by S-2 were of linear type. It should be noted that the calculated adsorption amount of CPF was not the actual amount of adsorption by the soil but the total amount of CPF in the capsules and adsorbed in the soil.

After the adsorption experiment, three treatments ( $C_0=11, 17, 23$  mg/L) were carried out for the desorption experiment immediately. The desorption amount of CPF from S-1 in EC treatment was positively correlated with  $C_0$ , and the desorption amount decreased successively with desorption time (Fig. 2A). Hence, hysteresis exists in the adsorption/desorption of CPF by S-1, thus confirming previous results (Laabs & Amelung, 2005). Yu et al. (2006) also found the desorption constant ( $K_{df}$ ) is greater than the adsorption constant ( $K_{ad}$ ) for CPF. The desorption of the MC formulation in S-1, however, differed from that of the EC formulation (Fig. 2C). The CPF in the capsules was released rapidly into the water at the beginning of the desorption test because of the large concentration difference inside and outside of the capsules (Frederiksen et al., 2002; Elbahri and Taverdet, 2005). Then, the released CPF was absorbed by the soil. Considering the strong affinity of the soil for CPF, the release-adsorption-desorption could not reach equilibrium within 24 h. Thus, the desorption amounts determined during the test reflected the overall CPF flux that occurred through the processes of releasing from the microcapsules, adsorption to soil and subsequent desorption. Previous study showed that release rate of CPF from the capsules in mineral salt solution was also affected by the change in inner capsule vapor pressure, the microcystic swelling after external moisture invasion, and the ion exchange inside and outside the capsules (Fan and Singh, 1989). In addition, some MCs may be ruptured with friction between the soil and capsules during agitation. Thus, the determined desorption amounts of four repeats were not stable. Desorption results indicated that the MC formulation had an excellent controlled-release property. CPF-MC could normally extend the effective period of control on pests compared with traditional formulations (Chen et al., 2015). The unextractable amount (bound residue) or bioavailability of pesticides is affected by the residence time or "ageing" of residue in soil (Gave et al., 2000). The desorption amounts of CPF from the soil in the four cycles under EC treatment decreased successively, indicating that the bound residue of CPF in the soil increased with time. In contrast, the "ageing" process of CPF in soil might be slowed down by microencapsulation because CPF was separated from the soil by the capsule wall.

The desorption processes of the two CPF formulations in S-2 are shown in Figure 2B and Figure 2D, respectively. The desorption amounts of the three treatments ( $C_0=23, 17, 11$  mg/L) of the EC formulation in the first and second cycles were higher than those of the third and fourth cycles, especially in the high-concentration treatments. At the early stage of the test, CPF was desorbed from S-2 to the aqueous phase rapidly because of the concentration difference between solid and water. Thereafter, the desorption was stable because of the low affinity of S-2 for CPF. The desorption amount in CPF-MC treatment reflected the released amount of CPF from the MC to the aqueous phase. The concentration of CPF in-capsule was considerably higher than that of out-capsule. Thus, the released amounts in each cycle were stable.

S-1 and S-2 are two typical soils in which CPF-MC may be used in agricultural application. Hence, the balance of release rate and sorption/desorption should be considered when an MC product is developed and applied in field. Otherwise, the MC product may decrease the effectiveness for control of the target pest and may result in more persistent contamination of the soil.

### **Leaching behavior in soils of two CPF formulations**

The leaching behaviors of the two CPF formulations in the two soil samples were estimated by conventional breakthrough experiments in vertical columns. As shown in Figure 3A, both CPF formulations were immobile in S-1. In the EC treatment, CPF was not detected in the leachate until the artificial rainfall volume reached 600 mL. An average of 0.051 mg CPF was eventually eluted out of the column, which was about 5% of the total amount added. This result supports the assumption that the immobility of CPF in soil is attributed to the adsorption of the pesticide to the organic material and clay components of the soil (Li et al., 2006; Xue et al., 2017). An average of 0.015 mg CPF (about 1.5% of total added amount) was eventually eluted out of the column in the MC treatment, and this amount is significantly lower than that in the EC treatment. The distributions of CPF in the column after the elution also differed between the two treatments (Fig. 3C). Almost 90% of the initial CPF amount remained in the first segment (0-6 cm) in the MC treatment, whereas about 70% of the initial amount had moved to the second (6-12 cm) and third (12-18 cm) segments in the EC treatment. Hence, the MC formulation caused less vertical mobility of the CPF compared with EC. Most of the CPF remained encapsulated and the movement of CPF was generally dependent on the mobility of MCs in the soil. Since soil porosity decreases with the increase of organic matter and clay contents, the immobility of MC formulation in soil may be caused by the resistance to the filtration of MCs through the soils, so most of the MCs were obstructed at the top of the column (Fernández-Pérez et al., 1999; González-Prasad et al., 1999; Hack et al., 2012). Friedman and Mualem (1999) also found that filtration was the main obstruction to the mobility of MCs in the soil column. These results suggested that the MC formulation could reduce the runoff of pesticides to surface waters.

Under the same test condition, the CPF in both formulations was mobile in the S-2 column (Figs. 3B and D). Approximately 0.865 and 0.738 mg of CPF were eventually eluted out of the column in the EC and MC treatments, respectively. Most CPF residues in the columns were also concentrated at the bottom segment of the columns. With lower organic matter and clay content in S-2, the soil porosity increased and the affinity of the soil for CPF decreased. When the speed of water flow was not considered, the mobility of MC in soil was mainly affected by some product texture in terms of shape, size, and qualities of coating surface (Friedman and Mualem, 1999). The increase in soil void facilitated the transport of MC in S-2. Hence, if MC formulations are applied in sandy soil, the risks of transport to surface waters should be a priority for assessment.

CPF-MC formulations are now widely applied in China to control soil pests. The results of this study showed that the mobility of MCs in soil is mainly dependent on the soil porosity. In the field, soil contains not only void volumes, but also cracks and fissures and the burrows of soil organisms that could

facilitate the passage of MCs through soils. Therefore, although microencapsulated pesticides can increase the efficiency of pests control and reduce environmental contamination, care must be taken when using MC formulations of pesticides in coarse granular soils, and especially in areas close to rivers and reservoirs.

## Declarations

**Funding** This work was supported by National High Technology R&D Program of China (Nos. 2013AA102804D, 2012AA06A204), the National Key R&D Program of China (2018YFD0200100), Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation (LZ13D010001).

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

**Availability of data and material** The raw data are stored in a data repository at the Zhejiang Academy of Agricultural Sciences and can be made available upon request to the author ([zwsclz@163.com](mailto:zwsclz@163.com)).

**Author contributions** Chen, Li, Wang, and Yu participated in the design and performance of the experiments and writing the manuscript. Chen did the initial analysis of the data.

**Ethics approval** Not applicable.

## References

- Alonso ML, Laza JM, Alonso RM, Jiménez RM, Vilas JL, Fañanás R (2014) Pesticides microencapsulation. A safe and sustainable industrial process. *Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology* 89: 1077-1085. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jctb.4204>
- Chen LZ, Chen JM, Zhao M, Zhang JF, He YP (2009) Microcapsulating and control efficacy of chlorpyrifos · beta-cypermethrin on grubs (*Anomala corpulenta*). *Chinese Journal of Pesticide Science* 11(4): 487-492.
- Chen LZ, Li YL, Wang T, Jiang YL, Li K, Yu YL (2014) Microencapsulated chlorpyrifos: degradation in soil and influence on soil microbial community structures. *Journal of Environmental Sciences* 26(11): 2322-2330. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2014.09.017>
- Chen LZ, Li YL, Wang T, Yu YL (2015) Molecular polymorphism of chlorpyrifos degrading strains in soil treated with controlled and non-controlled release formulations of chlorpyrifos. *Applied Soil Ecology* 86: 100-105. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2014.09.014>
- Dailey OD, Dowler CC (1998) Polymeric microcapsules of cyanazine: Preparation and evaluation of efficacy. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 46(9): 3823-3827. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf970856w>

- Fang H, Yu YL, Chu XQ, Wang XG, Yang XE, Yu JQ (2009) Degradation of chlorpyrifos in laboratory soil and its impact on soil microbial functional diversity. *Journal of Environmental Science* 21(3): 380-386. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1001-0742\(08\)62280-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1001-0742(08)62280-9)
- Fernández-Pérez M, Gonzalez-Pradas E, Villafranca-Sanchez M, Flores-Cespedes F (2000) Mobility of isoproturon from an alginate ± bentonite controlled release formulation in layered soil. *Chemosphere* 41: 1495-1501. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-6535\(99\)00516-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-6535(99)00516-0)
- Frederiksen HK, Hansen HCB, Bordggaard O, Pedersen M (2002) Starch-encapsulated chlorpyrifos: release rate, insecticidal activity and degradation in soil. *Journal of Microencapsulation* 19(3): 319-331. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02652040110105364>
- Friedman SP, Mualem Y (1999) Mobility of herbicide microcapsules in saturated granular media. *Transport in Porous Media* 36: 121-130. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1006545718608>
- Gevao B, Semple KT, Jones KC (2000) Bound pesticide residues in soils: a review. *Environmental Pollution* 108: 3-14. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0269-7491\(99\)00197-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0269-7491(99)00197-9)
- González-Pradas E, Fernández-Pérez M, Villafranca-Sánchez M, Flores-Céspedes F (1999) Mobility of imidacloprid from alginate-bentonite controlled-release formulations in greenhouse soils. *Pesticide Sciences* 55: 1109-1115. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1096-9063\(199911\)55:11<1109::AID-PS64>3.0.CO;2-T](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9063(199911)55:11<1109::AID-PS64>3.0.CO;2-T)
- Guo RF, Huang BB, Wei YX, Wu ZJ, Gang W (2011) Preparation and characteristics analysis of microspheres of chlorpyrifos and polylactic acid. *Chinese Journal of Pesticide Science* 13: 409-414.
- Hack N, Egger H, Uhlemann J, Henriet M, Wirth W, Vermeer AWP, Guff DG (2012) Advanced agrochemical formulations through encapsulation strategies? *Chemie Ingenieur Technik* 84(3): 223-234. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cite.201100212>
- Hegeman WJM, Van Der Weijden CH, Loch GJP (1995) Sorption of benzo[a]pyrene and phenanthrene on suspended harbor sediment as a function of suspended sediment concentration and salinity: a laboratory study using the cosolvent partition coefficient. *Environmental Science and Technology* 29: 363-371. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es00002a012>
- Hwang S, Cutright TJ (2003) Effect of expandable clays and cometabolism on PAH biodegradability. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 10 (5): 277-280. <https://doi.org/10.1065/espr2003.08.167>
- Hua NZ (2010) Development and recent progress of pesticide microencapsulates(I). *Modern Agrochemicals* 9: 10-14.
- Laabs V, Amelung W (2005) Sorption and aging of corn and soybean pesticides in tropical soils of Brazil. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 53(18): 7184-7192. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf050969c>

- Li JQ, Li XF, Shen FK, Zhang CL (2007) Study on Environmental Behavior of Chlorpyrifos in Soil. Chinese Agricultural Science Bulletin 1: 168-171.
- Llácer E, Dembilio O, Jacas JA (2010) Evaluation of the efficacy of an insecticidal paint based on chlorpyrifos and pyriproxyfen in a microencapsulated formulation against *Rhynchophorus ferrugineus* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). Journal of Economic Entomology 103: 402-408.  
<https://doi.org/10.1603/EC09310>
- Montemurro N, Grieco F, Lacertosa G, Visconti A (2002) Chlorpyrifos decline curves and residue levels from different commercial formulations applied to oranges. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 50(21): 5975-5980. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf0256687>
- OECD, 2004. OECD guidelines for the testing of chemicals. Test No.312: leaching in soil columns. OECD Publications, Paris.
- Richards EH, Wontner-Smith T, Bradish H, Dani MP, Cotterill JV (2015) Novel control methods for insect pests: development of a microencapsulation procedure for proteinaceous bioactives intended for oral delivery[J]. Pest Management Sciences 71: 1238-1246. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.3912>
- Sánchez L, Romero E, Sánchez-Rasero F, Gios G, Peña A (2003) Enhanced soil sorption of methidathion using sewage sludge and surfactants. Pesticide Management Sciences 59:857-864.  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.686>
- Spark KM, Swift RS (2002) Effect of soil composition and dissolved organic matter on pesticide sorption. Science of the Total Environment 298 (1-3): 147-161. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697\(02\)00213-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-9697(02)00213-9)
- US EPA, 1998. Fate, transport and transformation test guidelines. OPPTS 835.1110. Activated sludge sorption isotherm.
- Worrall F, Fernandez-Perez M, Johnson AC, Flores-Cesperedes F, Gonzalez-Pradas E (2001) Limitations on the role of incorporated organic matter in reducing pesticide leaching. Journal of Contaminant Hydrology 49 (3-4): 241-262. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-7722\(00\)00197-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-7722(00)00197-2)
- Xue ND, Liu HB, Yang B, Su XW, Wang DQ (2017) Progress on environmental behavior of chlorpyrifos in soils. Journal of Zhejiang University (Agriculture & Life Sciences) 43(6): 713-726. DOI: 10.3785/j.issn.1008-9209.2017.05.163
- Ye C (2003). Environmental behavior of the herbicide acetochlor in soil. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 71:919-923. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00128-003-0217-8>
- Yu YL, Wu XM, Li SN, Fang H, Zhan HY, Yu JQ (2006) An exploration of the relationship between adsorption and bioavailability of pesticides in soil to earthworm. Environmental Pollution 141: 428-433. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2005.08.058>

## Table

Table 1. Characteristics of two soils

Physical and chemical characters	S-1	S-2
Sand (%)	20.8	98.8
Silt (%)	74.3	0.2
Clay (%)	8.0	-
Organic matter (%)	3.23	0.4
Cationic exchange capacity (cmol/kg)	9.6	-
Total nitrogen (%)	0.18	-
pH	6.8	7.1
Soil type	silt loam	sand

## Figures

Figures 1-3 are not provided in this version.