

# Nurses' perception of the quality of procedural sedation in children comparing different pharmacological regimens

**Antonietta Curatola**

Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore

**Martina D'Agostin** (✉ [martina.dagostin@gmail.com](mailto:martina.dagostin@gmail.com))

University of Trieste

**Elena Favaretto**

University of Trieste

**Giada Vittori**

Institute of Maternal and Child Health – IRCCS Burlo Garofolo

**Viviana Vidonis**

Institute of Maternal and Child Health – IRCCS Burlo Garofolo

**Tamara Strajn**

Institute of Maternal and Child Health – IRCCS Burlo Garofolo

**Nicole De Vita**

Institute of Maternal and Child Health – IRCCS Burlo Garofolo

**Alessia Saccari**

Institute of Maternal and Child Health – IRCCS Burlo Garofolo

**Egidio Barbi**

University of Trieste

**Luisa Cortellazzo Wiel**

Bradford Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

---

## Research Article

**Keywords:** pediatric procedural sedation, nurses' satisfaction, propofol, ketamine, dexmedetomidine, midazolam

**Posted Date:** May 23rd, 2022

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1656462/v1>

**License:** © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

---

## Abstract

## Purpose

Nurses play a pivotal role during pediatric procedural sedation and their perspective is an important indicator of the quality of care. The aim of this study is to examine nurses' satisfaction comparing four different pharmacological regimens used for pediatric sedation outside of the operating room.

## Methods

A prospective observational study was conducted in a third level pediatric teaching hospital, involving all the nurses with experience in the field of pediatric procedural sedation. A 13-items survey was used to assess the level of nurses' satisfaction for the quality of sedation with four different analgesic-sedative drugs.

## Results

Fifty-one questionnaires were completed by pediatric nurses with a median length of experience of 10 years. Regarding the overall quality of the sedation, the highest median satisfaction scores were observed for propofol (8, IQR 7–9), dexmedetomidine (8, IQR 6–8) and midazolam (8, IQR 7–9). Ketamine (5, IQR 3–7) displayed the lowest score. When asked to rate their level of perceived safety, nurses gave high scores to all the four drugs studied, with no statistically significant difference between them. Non-pharmacological techniques during procedural sedation were judged as important by 38 (74.5%) nurses.

## Conclusions

According to this sample of pediatric nurses, the best quality of procedural sedation in children outside of the operating room is obtained with propofol, dexmedetomidine and midazolam. During procedural sedation, nurses feel overall safe regardless of the pharmacological regimen used. Moreover, they highlighted the relevance on non-pharmacological approaches in the preparation of the child for the procedure.

## What Is Known

- Patient and family's centered care plays a pivotal role in clinical practice, and procedural sedation is a mainstay of care in many settings in children.
- Parents perspectives' may be limited by the experience of a limited number of pharmacological options and biased by different variables surrounding the event.

## What Is New

- Due to their pivotal role and experience in pediatric care, nurses' opinions on quality of sedation could be a valuable proxy of the quality of sedation.
- We investigated nurses' opinion on different pharmacological sedation regimens in a third level pediatric teaching hospital.
- Nurses rated the quality of ketamine sedation as lower compared to the other regimens (based on midazolam, propofol and dexmedetomidine) and highlighted the relevance on non-pharmacological approaches in the preparation of the child for the procedure

## Introduction

Diagnostic and therapeutic procedures can be stressful for children, and their perception of pain can be enhanced by emotional factors, such as anxiety, distress, or anger<sup>1</sup>. The best management relies on careful patient assessment and effective use of non-pharmacologic and pharmacologic treatments<sup>2</sup>. Indeed, pediatric sedation represents the standard of care to reduce the fear and anxiety of the patients and of their parents, while optimizing the length of the procedures. Commonly used pharmacologic agents for pediatric procedural sedation are propofol, dexmedetomidine, ketamine, fentanyl and midazolam<sup>3</sup>. As a matter of fact, any of these agents or various possible combinations can be relied upon by sedationists to provide the best care to each child. Establishing the best sedative choice for each patient is of relevance, especially for children with chronic illnesses who will likely undergo repeated procedures throughout their life.

In the pediatric field, parents' satisfaction represents a quality indicator in healthcare. Furthermore, awareness of parents' perception about the different available drug regimens may be relevant to guide the pediatrician in tailoring the best pharmacological option to each child. In literature, caregivers' satisfaction for pediatric sedation is rated as high, regardless of the pharmacological regimen used<sup>4</sup>. However, caregivers' overall perception is likely influenced by several variables (from parking facilities to waiting times, staff pleasantness, specific procedure's related issues), and may be also limited by the fact that the sedation regimen examined is often the only one they ever experienced, with none or limited experience of the other existing options. On the other hand, pediatric nurses may be more objective in judging the sedation quality for their patients. In fact, nurses play a pivotal role during procedural sedation, since they are involved in both patients' and parents' preparation, drugs' administration, and recovery monitoring. We believe that understanding nurses' opinion could help improving the standard of care. Remarkably, very little is known about the pediatric nurses' perception of the quality of sedation performed with the different available pharmacological regimens<sup>5</sup>.

The aim of this study was to examine the nurses' perception of the analgesic-sedative drug regimens used in the pediatric age outside of the operating room.

## Materials And Methods

### Survey structure

A prospective observational study was conducted at the University teaching, tertiary children's hospital, Institute for Maternal and Child Health – IRCCS Burlo Garofolo of Trieste, Italy, involving all the nurses with experience in the field of pediatric peri-procedural sedation.

As no previous validated instrument existed, a questionnaire was specifically developed for the aim of the study by a group of pediatricians, pediatric anesthesiologists, and pediatric nurses, coordinated by a professional with specific experience in the design of self-report surveys. Before developing the final version, the questionnaire was tested on a convenience sample of 30 nurses, assessing the accuracy of the questions and their applicability on a larger scale. Subsequently, the questions were refined or modified based on the recommendations obtained from the initial participants. An email containing a link to an online survey was sent to the institutional addresses of the eligible nurses. Participants completed an anonymous online survey, after giving online consent to participate in this study. The survey consisted of thirteen multiple-choice questions (Supplementary material 1), regarding the nurses' experience, the preferred route of administration of sedative drugs, the perceived quality of the overall sedation and safety with each pharmacological regimen according to a 10-point numerical scale (NRS), the frequency of adverse events (AE) and the need for a rescue drug. For some questions more than one answer was possible. Finally, the survey contained two open-narrative questions to provide other comments or feedbacks about the perception of the different pharmacological options. Participants were allowed to answer all or only some of the questions according to their own experience. The surveys were collected through a Google web-based platform.

### Sedation standard of care in our hospital

As per institutional protocol, in this facility pediatricians and pediatric residents are specifically trained in sedation by joining the Pediatric Sedation Unit and performing daily sedations for both painful and non-painful procedures outside the operating room. This Unit is supervised by two pediatric anesthesiologists who guarantee training, monitoring, and emergency response. Trained pediatric nurses aid during admission, intravenous (IV) line establishment, monitoring of sedation and recovery.

The most used analgesic-sedative pharmacological regimens are: propofol, ketamine, midazolam, and dexmedetomidine, alone or in association. The pharmacological strategy is chosen in view of several factors, including the patient's age, history, physical examination, procedure performed, degree of expected pain, history of allergy or previous adverse-drug reactions, and expected difficulty in establishing an IV line according to the child's previous history or Difficult Intravenous Access (DIVA) score <sup>6</sup>.

Generally, dexmedetomidine is used for long imaging procedures (e.g., MRI, scintigraphy), propofol for non-painful or minimally painful procedures requiring deeper levels of sedation such as endoscopies, lumbar punctures or bone marrow aspirates, and ketamine, either alone or in combination with propofol, for painful procedures such as bone, liver and kidney biopsies, fracture reductions or teeth extractions. Premedication with oral (PO) midazolam or intranasal (IN) dexmedetomidine is generally used to facilitate venous cannulation in young children (under six years of age) or children with high DIVA score; IV midazolam before IV propofol is used for older children experiencing fear and anxiety before starting propofol infusion. Eutectic Mixture of Local Anesthetics cream is usually applied locally any time a puncture is performed. Distraction techniques, such as playing with favorite toys, watching videos/movies, reading a book, or listening to music/songs are routinely used with children of all ages in addition to the specific pharmacological regimen. A standardized consent for sedation is required by parents, who are actively involved in supporting their child during venous cannulation or drugs administration, and as a rule remain next to their child until an adequate sedation level is achieved.

### Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were conducted using software R version 4.1.0. All descriptive statistics data are presented as number and percentage (%) or median and interquartile range (IQR) as appropriate. Kruskal Wallis rank sum test with Bonferroni post-test adjustment were used to compare categorical or continuous variables between the different groups. A p-value less than 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

### Results

The survey was sent to 60 nurses. Nine nurses did not participate in the survey. Thus, a total of 51 questionnaires were collected. The participating nurses were employed in the following departments: 18 in the Pediatric Clinic, 13 in the Pediatric Day Hospital, 13 in the Oncology Department, 5 in the Orthopedics Department and 2 in the radiology department. The nurses' median age of experience was 10 years (IQR 2.75–23.75). Twenty-seven nurses (53%) attended less than 10 peri-procedural sedations per month, 14 (27.4%) from 10 to 20, 8 (15.7%) from 21 to 30 and 2 (3.9%) more than 30. Propofol was indicated as the favorite sedative regimen by 25 nurses (49%). Fifteen nurses (29.5%) preferred midazolam alone, 9 (17.6%) dexmedetomidine and 2 (3.9%) ketamine. When asked about their favorite routes of administration of sedative drugs, 28 (55%) nurses indicated the IV route, 15 (29.5%) the PO and IN route, 7 (13.5%) the IN route and 1 (2%) the intramuscular (IM) route. Distraction techniques during procedural sedation were judged as very important by 31 (60.8%) nurses, important by 7 (13.7%) nurses, scarcely important by 9 (17.6%) nurses, and not important at all by 4 (7.9%) nurses.

Forty (78.4%) nurses wished to have a venous access established in all children while 11 (21.6%) wished it was placed at least in the most difficult cases (e.g., children with genetic conditions, autism, psycho-motor impairment, or high DIVA score).

### Nurses' satisfaction with the overall quality of sedation

Regarding the overall quality of the sedation, the highest median satisfaction scores in the patients' perspective were observed for propofol, dexmedetomidine and midazolam. Ketamine displayed the lowest score. The median satisfaction scores for all the pharmacological regimens are shown in Table 1. Overall, a statistically significant difference was observed between the medians of the nurses' satisfaction scores for the different pharmacological regimens (Kruskal-Wallis p-value 0.005): ketamine displayed significantly lower satisfaction scores than propofol (p-value Bonferroni < 0.001), midazolam (p-value Bonferroni 0.005), and dexmedetomidine (p-value Bonferroni 0.003), whereas propofol, dexmedetomidine and midazolam showed the same satisfaction scores.

Table 1  
Nurses' perception about overall quality of sedation and safety using four different pharmacological regimens

Pharmacological regimens	Overall quality of sedation		Safety of sedation	
	Median	IQ range	Median	IQ range
Propofol	8.00	7.00–9.00	8.00	7.00–9.00
Dexmedetomidine	8.00	6.00–8.00	7.50	4.00–8.00
Midazolam	8.00	7.00–9.00	9.00	7.00–9.50
Ketamine	5.00	3.00–7.00	8.00	6.00–9.00
IQ = inter quartile				

To better understand what drove nurses' satisfaction, they were asked to indicate which aspects of the sedation process influenced their satisfaction more. Almost all the nurses (90%) reported that their satisfaction was negatively influenced by both the presence of adverse events (AE) and parental/children dissatisfaction. The type of sedative drug, the route of administration, the quality and timing of recovery, the use of rescue drugs and the nursing staff availability were less reported as factors affecting nurses' satisfaction.

## Nurses' perception of safety and adverse effects

Nurses' opinion about safety is reported in Table 1. No statistically significant difference was observed between the drugs used (Kruskal-Wallis p-value 0.08). Nurses gave high scores to almost all the drugs used. Secondly, nurses were asked to indicate all the AE they had experienced with each drug and to report how much the presence of an AE during and after the sedation reduced their overall satisfaction (Table 2). Furthermore, the need for a rescue medication (mainly antiemetic drugs for vomiting, flumazenil for midazolam-related paradoxical reaction or dextrose infusion for low blood sugar levels in patients with long awakening times) was reported by 40 (78.4%) nurses after sedation with ketamine, 16 (31.4%) with midazolam, and 5 (9.8%) with propofol. Finally, when asked for additional comments to improve the standard of care in the sedation unit, most of the nurses suggested to carry out training courses and updates on procedural sedation more frequently, to improve communication between clinicians and nurses and to better implement the non-pharmacological and distraction techniques.

Table 2  
Nurses' opinion about the frequency of adverse effects experienced with four different pharmacological regimens and their influence in the reduction on n

	Sleepiness	Irritability	Restlessness - hyperactivity	Hallucinations	Unsteadiness	Headache	Dizziness	Alterations in appetite	Nausea - vomiting	Res
Propofol	29 (56.9)	3 (5.9)	0 (0)	2 (3.9)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (2)	0 (0)
Dexmedetomidine	16 (31.4)	7 (13.7)	5 (9.8)	2 (3.9)	2 (3.9)	0 (0)	1 (2)	1 (2)	3 (5.9)	0 (0)
Midazolam	19 (37.3)	12 (23.5)	8 (15.7)	4 (7.8)	4 (7.8)	0 (0)	3 (5.9)	1 (2)	3 (5.9)	0 (0)
Ketamine	5 (9.8)	10 (19.6)	13 (25.5)	21 (41.2)	6 (11.8)	0 (0)	2 (3.9)	7 (13.7)	18 (35.3)	0 (0)

	Sleepiness	Irritability	Restlessness - hyperactivity	Hallucinations	Unsteadiness	Headache	Dizziness	Alterations in appetite	Nausea - vomiting	Respiratory distress
<b>No influence</b>	29 (56.8)	12 (23.5)	8 (15.7)	9 (17.7)	15 (29.4)	12 (23.5)	9 (17.6)	22 (43.1)	6 (11.8)	6 (11.8)
<b>Low influence</b>	19 (37.3)	10 (19.6)	13 (25.5)	8 (15.7)	25 (49.1)	18 (35.4)	16 (31.3)	25 (49.1)	13 (25.6)	3 (5.9)
<b>Medium influence</b>	1 (2)	14 (27.5)	16 (31.3)	17 (33.3)	7 (13.7)	16 (31.3)	18 (35.4)	2 (3.9)	16 (31.3)	7 (13.7)
<b>High influence</b>	2 (3.9)	15 (29.4)	14 (27.5)	17 (33.3)	4 (7.8)	5 (9.8)	8 (15.7)	2 (3.9)	16 (31.3)	35 (68.6)

## Discussion

Nurses' perspective is an important indicator of the quality of care in pediatric sedation practice. This is an interesting and understudied area of work. In the literature, few studies are available about caregivers' perception of pediatric peri-procedural sedation and in most of the cases parents' satisfaction is high regardless of the sedative drug used<sup>4,7,8</sup>. While several factors are reported to influence parental satisfaction, such as environment, timeliness and communication issues, the most relevant one is the quality of the child's recovery from sedation<sup>4,8,9</sup>. However, parents' perceptions may be limited by a lack of knowledge about the different available drug regimens and by the experience of only one or limited types of sedation in their child. Very few data are available on nurses' perception of periprocedural sedation. In a study performed to implement guidelines for the management and treatment of pain in the pediatric cardiac intensive unit, team members (physicians and nurses) were surveyed before and after the implementation of guidelines, and improvements in teamwork and patient care were demonstrated<sup>10</sup>. To our knowledge, this is the first study analyzing nurses' satisfaction for peri-procedural sedations in children comparing different sedative drugs. We believe that nurses' perception plays a relevant role whenever different choices are available, since a teamwork between physicians and nurses can be the key to a more successful patient and family-centered care.

This study shows that in the nurses' opinion the best sedation regimens are propofol, dexmedetomidine and midazolam, whereas all nurses were dissatisfied with the use of ketamine. In addition, we found that the nurses' satisfaction for propofol increased with the number of sedations per month attended by the responding nurse. Regarding the nurses' preferred route of administration of sedative drugs, the IV route and the IN combined with the OS route were favored in this survey.

The 74.5% of the surveyed nurses rated the distraction techniques as important. The latter are simple, cost effective, easy to learn, and not time-consuming. Previous studies analyzed non-pharmacological interventions in pediatric patients to reduce the pain associated with minimally painful procedures, such as venous cannulation, and showed that these techniques, alone or in association with pharmacological treatments, can reduce pain and fear, beside promoting the cooperation of the child and their parents<sup>11</sup>. Nurses' awareness of the relevance of non-pharmacological techniques and adequate communication with patients and parents is a strong reminder for all physicians of the importance of this pivotal component of care.

Regardless of the pharmacological regimen used, nurses reported to feel safe during procedural sedation, even if the latter is not completely risk-free<sup>12</sup>. This result should encourage the systematic use of sedative drugs in adequate settings with defined levels of training and monitoring, for children who undergo diagnostic or therapeutic procedures causing pain or excessive stress. The AE most frequently reported by nurses are the ones widely known in literature<sup>12,13</sup>. Interestingly, when assessing which AE reduced nurses' satisfaction more, respiratory distress, hallucinations and nausea/vomiting had the largest impact.

The low appreciation of ketamine by nurses in this study should prompt some considerations. As a matter of fact, ketamine is considered a gold standard by pediatricians due to its safety and effectiveness. However, in the setting of minimally to moderately painful procedures, propofol may be equally effective and safe. This evidence further suggests that nurses' opinion should be endorsed in the setting of a teamwork when defining a sedation strategy for a specific patient.

This study has some limitations. Firstly, a non-validated questionnaire was used, as a validated instrument to measure the specific outcome of this study was not available in the literature. Secondly, the number of surveyed nurses was relatively small as the study was limited to our single center. In addition, many nurses may not have enough experience with all types of sedative drugs, so their satisfaction may also have been biased by this limit.

The points of strength are the enrolment of pediatric nurses with relevant experience in peri-procedural pediatric sedation outside the operating room, and the use of a questionnaire developed in two steps through a joint effort of physicians, nurses, and parents. Finally, it offers an interesting insight into why nurses favor one drug regimen over another. The aspects of the sedation process that seem to influence the nurses' satisfaction most are the presence of AE and parental/children satisfaction, suggesting that these areas should be targeted to enhance the quality of children's care.

Drugs will change over the years but understanding the components of the quality of care is an enduring piece of knowledge.

## Conclusion

In conclusion, this study showed that nurses' preferred pharmacological regimens for procedural sedation outside the operating room are propofol and dexmedetomidine with a low degree of satisfaction for ketamine. Future studies are needed to confirm these findings.

## Declarations

### FUNDING

This work was supported by the Ministry of Health, Rome - Italy, in collaboration with the Institute for Maternal and Child Health IRCCS Burlo Garofolo, Trieste - Italy.

### COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

### AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Antonietta Curatola and Martina D'Agostin collaborated with the drafting of the questionnaire, collected data, and wrote the first draft of this work; Elena Favaretto collected data and wrote the first draft of this work; Egidio Barbi, Alessia Saccari and Luisa Cortellazzo Wiel drafted the questionnaire and critically reviewed the manuscript; Giada Vittori, Viviana Vidonis, Nicole De Vita and Tamara Strajn collaborated with the drafting of the questionnaire and with the collection of data. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

### ETHICS APPROVAL

No ethical approval is required.

### CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

### CONSENT TO PUBLISH

Not applicable.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Lotte Wijers for the English revision of the manuscript.

## References

1. Oliveira NC, Santos JL, Linhares MB. Audiovisual distraction for pain relief in paediatric inpatients: A crossover study. *Eur J Pain*. 2017 Jan;21(1):178–87.
2. American Academy of Pediatrics. Committee on Psychosocial Aspects of Child and Family Health, Task Force on Pain in Infants, Children, and Adolescents. The assessment and management of acute pain in infants, children, and adolescents. *Pediatrics*. 2001 Sep;108(3):793–7.
3. Krauss B, Green SM. Procedural sedation and analgesia in children. *Lancet*. 2006 Mar 4;367(9512):766–80.
4. Cortellazzo Wiel L, Monasta L, Pascolo P, et al. Recovery characteristics and parental satisfaction in pediatric procedural sedation. *Pediatr Anesth*. 2022; 32: 452– 461.
5. Saraçoğlu KT, Dal D, Baygın Ö. Parental Satisfaction Assessment After Paediatric Procedural Sedation: There Are Still Issues to Address. *Turk J Anaesthesiol Reanim*. 2014 Dec;42(6):332–40.
6. Yen K, Riegert A, Gorelick MH. Derivation of the DIVA score: a clinical prediction rule for the identification of children with difficult intravenous access. *Pediatr Emerg Care*. 2008 Mar;24(3):143–7.
7. Lew VK, Lalwani K, Palermo TM. Factors affecting parental satisfaction following pediatric procedural sedation. *J Clin Anesth*. 2010 Feb;22(1):29–34.
8. Connor MP, Dion GR, Borgman M, Maturo S. The pediatric sedation unit: a prospective analysis of parental satisfaction. *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol*. 2014 Dec;78(12):2165–8.
9. Coyle TT, Helfrick JF, Gonzalez ML, Andresen RV, Perrott DH. Office-based ambulatory anesthesia: Factors that influence patient satisfaction or dissatisfaction with deep sedation/general anesthesia. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2005 Feb;63(2):163–72.
10. Staveski SL, Wu M, Tesoro TM, et al. Interprofessional Team's Perception of Care Delivery After Implementation of a Pediatric Pain and Sedation Protocol. *Crit Care Nurse*. 2017 Jun;37(3):66–76.
11. Tibaldo C, Castagno E, Aguzzi S, et al. [Non pharmacologic interventions for pain associated to venipuncture in children: a literature review]. *Assist Inferm Ric*. 2020 Dec;39(4):179–87.
12. Bellolio MF, Puls HA, Anderson JL, et al. Incidence of adverse events in paediatric procedural sedation in the emergency department: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ Open*. 2016 Jun 15;6(6):e011384.
13. Krauss B, Green SM. Procedural sedation and analgesia in children. *Lancet*. 2006 Mar 4;367(9512):766–80.

## Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [Supplementarymaterial.docx](#)