Molecular Characteristics and Antimicrobial Susceptibility Profile of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolated from patients attending Healthcare Facilities in Mthatha, South Africa

Mojisola C. Hosu

Walter Sisulu University

Sandeep D. Vasaikar

Walter Sisulu University

Grace E. Okuthe

Walter Sisulu University

teke apalata (✉ ruffinapalata@gmail.com)

Walter Sisulu University

Research

Keywords: *P. aeruginosa*, healthcare-associated infections, ESBL, MBL, blaSHV, blaTEM, blaCTX-M, Real-time PCR

Posted Date: March 11th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-16668/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background: *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is a common pathogen causing healthcare-associated infections most especially in critically ill and immunocompromised patients. This pathogen poses a public health threat due to its innate resistance to many antimicrobial agents and its ability to acquire new resistance mechanisms under pressure. Infections with Extended spectrum β -lactamases (ESBL)-producing isolates result into outbreaks that lead to serious antibiotic management concerns with higher mortality and morbidity and significant economic causatives. In this study, we evaluated the antimicrobial resistance patterns and characterized genetically the ESBLs and Metallo- β -lactamases (MBL) produced by this pathogen.

Methods: Isolates of *P. aeruginosa* cultured from patients who attended Nelson Mandela Academic Hospital and other clinics in the four district municipalities of the Eastern Cape between August 2017 and May 2019 were identified; and their antibiotic resistance patterns were tested against amikacin, aztreonam, cefepime, ceftazidime, ciprofloxacin, doripenem, gentamicin, imipenem, levofloxacin, meropenem, piperacillin, piperacillin/tazobactam and tobramycin using the bioMérieux VITEK® 2 and confirmed by Beckman autoSCAN-4 System. Real-time PCR was done using Roche Light Cycler 2.0 to detect the presence of ESBLs; *bla*_{SHV}, *bla*_{TEM} and *bla*_{CTX-M} genes; and MBLs; *bla*_{IMP}, *bla*_{VIM}.

Results: High antibiotic resistance in decreasing order was observed in piperacillin (64.2%), aztreonam (57.8%), cefepime (51.5%), ceftazidime (51.0%), piperacillin/tazobactam (50.5%), and imipenem (46.6%). A total of 75 (36.8%) multidrug resistant (MDR) isolates were observed of the total pool of isolates. The *bla*_{TEM}, *bla*_{SHV} and *bla*_{CTX-M} was detected in 79.3%, 69.5% and 31.7% isolates (n=82), respectively. The *bla*_{IMP} was detected in 1.25% while no *bla*_{VIM} was detected in any of the isolates tested.

Conclusions: The study showed a high rate of MDR *P. aeruginosa* in our setting. The vast majority of these resistant isolates carried *bla*_{TEM} and *bla*_{SHV} genes. Continuous monitoring of antimicrobial resistance and strict compliance towards infection prevention and control practices are the best defence against spread of MDR *P. aeruginosa*.

Background

P. aeruginosa is an opportunistic pathogen causing infections especially in immunocompromised patients. It is the leading cause of nosocomial infections such as urinary tract infections, surgical site infections, pneumonia, bacteremia and septicaemia [1–3] It is one of the ESKAPE pathogens that is most medically and epidemiologically significant and has been implicated as a principal cause of chronic lung infections in cystic fibrosis (CF) patients and severe infections in burn victims [4–7]. The World Health Organization (WHO) has categorized *P. aeruginosa* as a critical priority pathogen which needs urgent novel antibiotics intervention and has been given a serious threat level due to multidrug resistance displayed to many antibiotics [8, 9]. The growing resistance of *P. aeruginosa* to several antibiotics, as a result of excessive antibiotic administration, has resulted to the accumulation of antibiotic resistance and

cross-resistance between antibiotics and the advent of multidrug-resistant (MDR) forms of *P. aeruginosa*. *P. aeruginosa* infections are generally linked with high mortality; this is due to its innate resistance to several antimicrobial agents and acquired resistance via mutation and horizontal transfer [10, 11]. Various mechanisms involved in the resistance of *P. aeruginosa* include over expression of efflux pump, acquisition of Extended-Spectrum β -Lactamases (ESBLs) and Metallo- β -Lactamases (MBLs) [12]. ESBLs are a cluster of β -lactamases that inactivates β -lactams especially oxymino- β -lactams and monobactams, and are repressed by β -lactamase inhibitors, such as clavulanic acid. They are encoded on plasmids and can easily be conveyed from one organism to another [13, 14]. ESBLs were first discovered in 1983 and ever since over 500 diverse β -lactamases have been described to date [15, 16]. ESBL enzymes according to Ambler classification are categorized into two, A and D. The most prevalent enzymes in class A include bla_{TEM}, bla_{CTX-M} and bla_{SHV}, and has been described in *P. aeruginosa* strains [13, 17, 18]. The emergence of beta-lactamase enzymes is majorly due to chromosomal mutation and procurement of resistance genes which are moved about on various mobile genetic elements (MGEs) such as bacteriophages, genomic islands, integrons, plasmids and insertion sequences [19]. The production of these enzymes is a going concern for infection control supervision because it restricts therapeutic choices. Continuous monitoring and timely detection of ESBL and MBL producing organisms is critical to establish suitable antimicrobial therapy and to thwart their spread [16]. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based methods are critical to establish the prevalence and characterization of beta lactamases due to the presence of multiple resistance genes in some microorganisms [20]. Real-time PCR detection of ESBL enhances faster diagnosis and timely management of epidemiological information for monitoring outbreak situations [21]. Several studies have documented the antimicrobial resistance in *P. aeruginosa* including genotypic detection of ESBLs and MBLs [21–25]. Studies on ESBL-producing *P. aeruginosa* in South Africa have also been documented [26–29] but scarce data exist in the Eastern Cape particularly in the former Transkei region on the molecular characteristics of ESBLs and MBLs in *P. aeruginosa*. Antibiotic surveillance studies are important for the design of control strategies for preventing bacterial resistance and establishing therapeutic guidelines as well as for a better understanding of bacterial epidemiology. The aim of this study was to examine the antimicrobial susceptibility profiles of clinical isolates of *P. aeruginosa* from Mthatha and surrounding districts and to investigate their ESBL resistance mechanisms.

Methods

Study Design

A prospective, descriptive study based on laboratory analysis. Samples from patients were collected from August 2016 to May 2019.

Study Setting

This was a laboratory analysis at the Microbiology Laboratory of the National Health Laboratory Services (NHLS) at Nelson Mandela Academic Hospital (NMAH) and the Department of Laboratory Medicine and

Pathology, Faculty of Health Sciences, WSU. In this study, 204 non-duplicate isolates from patients were arbitrarily obtained from August 2016 to May 2019. The samples were drawn from four district municipalities of the Eastern Cape Province which include Alfred Nzo, Amathole, Joe Gqabi and Oliver Reginald (OR) Tambo district Municipalities. Health facilities include primary health centres/clinics, secondary district hospitals and a tertiary academic hospital located in Mthatha. Clinical samples were sent from various primary and secondary clinics in the afore- mentioned municipalities to Mthatha at the NHLS for analysis.

Specimen collection and analysis

Non-duplicate *P. aeruginosa* isolates were collected from Mthatha, other clinics and hospitals from the four district municipalities. Specimens included throat swabs, wound swabs, swabs from abscesses, sputum, urine, blood culture and catheter tips. Demographic characteristics of patients and medical histories were collected from medical records including date of specimen collection, gender, age, test ordered and hospital/clinic. All samples were routinely cultured on MacConkey and Blood agar plates. Blood and sputum were also cultured on chocolate agar. Suspected colonies were plated on Cetrimide agar and identified by gram staining, colony characteristics, motility, pyocyanin production and characteristics grape-like odour (30, 31). Strains were identified to the species level with Vitek 2 GN (bioMérieux, Inc. USA) ID cards and confirmed by Microscan NID 2 panels (Beckman Coulter, Inc. USA).

Antimicrobial susceptibility

Antimicrobial susceptibility was obtained by determining MIC using Microscan dehydrated broth microdilution negative MIC Panel Type 44 (NM44) (Beckman Coulter, Inc. USA) following the manufacturer's guidelines [32, 33] and Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute. MICs were interpreted following CLSI guidelines (M100-S27 breakpoints) [34]. The following antibiotics were tested in the panels: amikacin, aztreonam, cefepime, ceftazidime, ciprofloxacin, doripenem, gentamicin, imipenem, levofloxacin, meropenem, piperacillin/tazobactam, piperacillin and tobramycin.

Criterion for Multidrug resistance

The classification of MDR was performed according to Magiorakos *et al.*, [35]. (MDR was defined as non-susceptibility to at least one agent in three or more antimicrobial categories).

Molecular confirmation of strains and Real-Time PCR for identification

DNA extraction was done using Roche MagNA Pure Bacteria lysis buffer, MagNA Pure Compact Nucleic Acid Isolation kit and PCR grade water (Roche Applied Science, Indianapolis), following manufacturer's instructions.

Real time PCR was carried out in the Light Cycler 2.0 instrument (Roche Applied Science, Germany) using Fast start Light Cycler 480 Hybprobes Master kit (Roche Diagnostics, USA). Specific primers targeting the genes *gyrB* were amplified by singleplex *rPCR* using primers and probes shown in Table 1 and were used

to confirm identity of the isolates. Primers were designed by TIB-Molbiol (Berlin, Germany). rPCR assay was performed according to previously published protocol [18]. Absolute quantification was carried out using the Light Cycler software 4.05. *P. aeruginosa* ATCC 27853 was used as a positive control.

Molecular ESBL and MBL Detection by Singleplex rPCR

Real-time PCR for *bla*_{CTX-M}, *bla*_{SHV}, *bla*_{TEM}, *bla*_{IMP} and *bla*_{VIM}. Real time PCR was carried out in the Light Cycler 2.0 instrument (Roche Applied Science, Germany) using Fast start Light Cycler 480 Hybridization probes Master kit (Roche Diagnostics, USA). Specific primers and probes (Table 1) targeting the genes *bla*_{CTX-M}, *bla*_{SHV}, *bla*_{TEM}, *bla*_{IMP} and *bla*_{VIM} were amplified by singleplex rPCR. Primers were designed by TIB-Molbiol (Berlin, Germany). rPCR assay was performed in a 32 carousels using 20 µL capillaries with each capillary containing a total volume of 20 µL including 2µL of Light Cycler FastStart DNA Master Hybridization Probe, 2 µL of primers (0.5mM for each forward and reverse), 2.4 µL of MgCl2, 2 µL of extracted DNA, and water to make up the volume of 20 µL. DNA amplification was carried out with the following run conditions: Pre-incubation for 5 minutes at 95⁰C, followed by 45 cycles of amplification with denaturation at 95⁰C for 30 seconds, annealing and extension for 1 minute at 60⁰C without the third step, and then a single cycle of cooling for 30 seconds at 40⁰C [18]. Absolute quantification was carried out using the Light Cycler software 4.05. Positive control strains used include *Escherichia coli* NCTC 13461 (CTX-M). *Klebsiella pneumoniae* ATCC 700603 (SHV), *Escherichia coli* NCTC 13351 (TEM). *P. aeruginosa* NCTC 13437 (VIM), *Escherichia coli* NCTC 13476 (IMP). These were obtained from the National Institute of Communicable Diseases (NICD), Johannesburg, South Africa.

Statistical analysis

The data was coded and entered into a database on an Excel spreadsheet and analyzed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 23.0. The descriptive analysis was performed to calculate the frequency and categorical variables were expressed as proportions (%). All statistical analysis was done with statistical significance set at ≤0.05.

Table 1: Primer sequences for detection of *bla*_{CTX-M}, *bla*_{SHV}, *bla*_{TEM}, *bla*_{IMP}, *bla*_{VIM} genes and *gyrB*

Target gene	Primers	Primers sequences (5'-3')	T _m in 0°C	References
<i>bla</i> _{CTX-M}	CTX-M forward primer	ATGAGYACCAGTAARGTKATGGC	58.7	36
	CTX-M reverse primer	ATCACKCGGRTCGCCIGGRAT	59.3	
	CTX-M Probe	FAM-CCCGACAGCTGGGAGACGAAACGT-BBQ	70.2	
<i>bla</i> _{SHV}	SHV forward primer	TCCCATGATGAGCACCTTTAAA	56.8	37
	SHV reverse primer	TCCTGCTGGCGATAGTGGAT	58.6	
	SHV Probe	FAM-TGCCGGTGACGAACAGCTGGAG-BBQ	68.3	
<i>bla</i> _{TEM}	TEM forward primer	GCATCTTACGGATGGCATGA	56.6	37
	TEM reverse primer	GTCCTCCGATCGTTGTCAGAA	57.7	
	TEM Probe	FAM-CAGTGCTGCCATAACCATGAGTGA-BHQ1	62.2	
<i>bla</i> _{IMP}	IMP forward primer	GGGCGGAATAGAGTGGCTTA	57.6	38
	IMP reverse primer	GGCTTGAACCTTACCGTCTTTTT	59.3	
	IMP Probe	FAM-CGATCTATCCCCACGTATGCATCTGAATTAACA-BHQ1	67.4	
<i>bla</i> _{VIM}	VIM forward primer	TGCGCTTCGGTCCAGTAGA	59.0	38
	VIM reverse primer	TGACGGGACGTATACAACCAGAT	58.5	
	VIM Probe	FAM-CTTCTATCCTGGTGCTGCGCATTCG-BHQ1	67.6	
<i>gyrB</i>	<i>gyrB</i> forward primer	CCT GAC CAT CCG TCG CCA CAA		39
	<i>gyrB</i> reverse primer	CGC AGC AGG ATG CCG ACG CC		
	<i>gyrB</i> probe	6-FAM-CCG TGG TGG TAG ACC TGT TCC CAG ACC-BHQ		
				This study

6-FAM-CCG TGG TGG TAG ACC TGT TCC CAG
ACC-BBQ

Results

Identification of *P. aeruginosa* and demographics

During the study period, a total of 204 *P. aeruginosa* isolates were recovered from a range of clinical specimens of patients hospitalized in various wards of NMAH, Mthatha Regional hospital, Secondary district hospitals and primary health care clinics in Mthatha and other towns in four District municipalities of the Eastern Cape Province. The isolates were confirmed by Vitek 2 system (bioMérieux, Inc., USA), Microscan autoscan-4 system (Beckman Coulter, Inc. USA) and rPCR using specific primer and probes targeting *gyrB* [39]. The majority of the isolates were recovered from male patients (60%) while 40% belonged to female patients. The isolates were predominantly from pus and wound swabs (80.4%) while the samples originated from Surgical (33.3%), General (18.1%) and Paediatrics (11.3%) wards.

Table 2: General characteristics of patients and specimen from whose isolates were recovered

Variable	Number, n (%)
Gender	
Male	122 (59.8)
Female	82 (40.2)
Age (Years) (mean $\pm$SEM)	
≤ 15 (7.4 $\pm$ 0.6)	44 (21.6)
16-30 (23.9 $\pm$ 0.7)	48 (23.5)
31-45 (37.2 $\pm$ 0.6)	44 (21.6)
46-60 (54.1 $\pm$ 0.8)	30 (14.7)
>60 (71.7 $\pm$ 1.3)	21 (10.3)
Specimen source	
Pus and wound swab	164 (80.4)
Sputum	22 (10.8)
Fluid aspirate	7 (3.4)
Catheter tip	6 (2.9)
Urine	3 (1.5)
Tissue	2 (1.0)
MDR status	
MDR	75 (36.8)
Non-MDR	129 (63.2)
District Municipality	
Alfred Nzo	27 (13.2)
Amathole	12 (5.9)
Joe Gqabi	1 (0.5)
OR Tambo	164 (80.4)

The mean age of patients was 32.8 years ranging from 6 days to 84 years. The male population have a mean age of 30.5 years ranging from 6 days to 83 years while the female mean age was 36.2 years with

age ranging from 22 days to 84 years. These patients were drawn from four district municipalities with OR Tambo having the most at 80.4% with the least patient drawn from Joe Gqabi at 0.5% (Table 2)

Antimicrobial Susceptibility

Out of 204 isolates tested to various antibiotics, high antibiotic resistance in decreasing order was observed in piperacillin (64,2%), aztreonam (57.8%), cefepime (51.5%), ceftazidime (51.0%), piperacillin/tazobactam (50.5%), and imipenem (46.6%). Percentages of low resistance in reducing order were observed in gentamicin (35.3%), meropenem (24.0%), amikacin (20.1%), levofloxacin (19.1%), ciprofloxacin (11.3%), doripenem (11.3%), and tobramycin (8.3%) (Figure 1) The study also revealed a total of seventy-five isolates (36.8%) were multidrug resistant out of the tested isolates while non-MDR constituted 63.2% of the total.

Figure 1. Antibiotic resistant pattern of the isolates (N=204)

Molecular Detection of ESBL- and MBL-encoding genes

Of 204 *P. aeruginosa* isolates, 82 were tested by singleplex rPCR for molecular detection of ESBL and MBL. These data revealed that ESBL- genotypic resistance is driven in Mthatha by *bla*_{TEM} (79.3%) followed by *bla*_{SHV} (69.5%) and lastly *bla*_{CTX-M} (31.7%). MBL-genotypic resistance, *bla*_{VIM}, was not detected in all isolated tested while only one *bla*_{IMP} (1.25%) was detected in all the tested isolates (Table 3) The most common ESBL-genotype combination among the *P. aeruginosa* was a combination of *bla*_{TEM} + *bla*_{SHV} at 49 (40.5%).

Table 3 ESBL genotypes in *P. aeruginosa* isolates

Positive by PCR for ESBL genes	Number amplified (N=82)	Total (%)
A. Single ESBL gene		
<i>bla</i> _{TEM} only	65	79.3
<i>bla</i> _{SHV} only	57	69.5
<i>bla</i> _{CTX-M} only	26	31.7
<i>bla</i> _{IMP} only	1	1.25
<i>bla</i> _{VIM} only	0	0
B. Two or more ESBL genes		
<i>bla</i> _{TEM} + <i>bla</i> _{SHV}	49	40.5
<i>bla</i> _{TEM} + <i>bla</i> _{CTX-M}	22	18.2
<i>bla</i> _{SHV} + <i>bla</i> _{CTX-M}	26	21.5
<i>bla</i> _{TEM} + <i>bla</i> _{IMP}	1	0.8
<i>bla</i> _{TEM} + <i>bla</i> _{SHV} + <i>bla</i> _{CTX-M}	22	18.2
<i>bla</i> _{TEM} + <i>bla</i> _{SHV} + <i>bla</i> _{IMP}	1	0.8
<i>bla</i> _{TEM} + <i>bla</i> _{SHV} + <i>bla</i> _{CTX-M} + <i>bla</i> _{IMP}	0	0

In ESBL-producing *P. aeruginosa* the highest level of resistance was observed against piperacillin (52.7%), followed by aztreonam (47.3%), ceftazidime (44.6%), cefepime (41.9%) and imipenem and piperacillin/tazobactam (both at 40.5%); while in non-ESBL-producing isolates, highest level of resistance was observed to gentamicin and ceftazidime (62.5%) and low resistance level to levofloxacin (12.5%) (Table 4).

Table 4 Resistance pattern of ESBL and non-ESBL *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates

Antibiotics	Total (N = 82) No (%)	ESBL (N = 74) No (%)	Non-ESBL (N = 8) No (%)
Amikacin	26 (31.7)	23 (31.1)	3 (37.5)
Aztreonam	38 (46.3)	35 (47.3)	3 (37.5)
Cefepime	37 (45.1)	31 (41.9)	6 (75.0)
Ceftazidime	38 (46.3)	33 (44.6)	5 (62.5)
Ciprofloxacin	15 (18.3)	13 (17.6)	2 (25.0)
Doripenem	17 (20.7)	17 (23.0)	0 (0.0)
Gentamicin	33 (40.2)	28 (37.8)	5 (62.5)
Imipenem	33 (40.2)	30 (40.5)	3 (37.5)
Levofloxacin	16 (19.5)	15 (20.3)	1 (12.5)
Meropenem	27 (32.9)	25 (33.8)	2 (25.0)
Piperacillin	43 (52.4)	39 (52.7)	4 (50.0)
Piperacillin/tazobactam	34 (41.5)	30 (40.5)	4 (50.0)
Tobramycin	16 (19.5)	13 (17.6)	3 (37.5)

Different parameters were tested in relationship to the production of ESBL by rPCR in our tested isolates. The samples were drawn from both secondary district hospitals and tertiary level of hospital setting. No sample was recovered from the primary health care center. Our data revealed that the hospital type had no significant effect on the acquisition of ESBL and that the effect of tertiary hospital on ESBL is 43% lesser than the secondary hospital (OR: 0.57; CI: 0.132 – 2.49; P:0.458). (Table 5).

The relationship between specimen type and ESBL production revealed that sputum was found to be associated with ESBL-producing *P. aeruginosa* at 95% confidence interval range of 0.03 – 0.92 and OR of 0.16 (Table 6).

Table 5 Multivariate analysis of factors associated with ESBL-positive and ESBL-negative isolates

Variable	ESBL No (%)	Non-ESBL No (%)	OR (95% CI)	p-value
Gender				
Male	49 (91)	5 (9)		
Female	25 (89)	3 (11)	0.85 (0.187-3.90)	0.833
Hospital type				
Secondary	47 (92.2)	4 (7.8)	0.57 (0.132-2.49)	0.458
Tertiary	27 (87.1)	4 (12.9)		

Table 6 Relationship between ESBL and selected parameters (Adjusted OR)

Variables		OR (95% CI)	CI	P
Hospital	Secondary	1		
	Tertiary	0.574	0.132 -2.48	0.458
Age	Less 15 years	1		
	16 – 30	0.68	0.08 - 5.49	0.721
	31 – 45	1.10	0.14 -8.63	0.924
	46 and more	1		
Gender	Male	1		
	Female	0.85	0.18 – 3.85	
Specimen	Pus and wound	1		
	Sputum	0.16	0.03 – 0.92	0.040
	Fluid	0.11	0.07 – 1.54	0.101
	Urine	0.11	0.07 – 1.54	0.101

Discussion

The current study revealed a moderate to high antibiotic resistance in decreasing order was observed in piperacillin (64.2%), aztreonam (57.8%), Cephalosporins (cefepime 51.5% and ceftazidime 51.0%), antipseudomonal penicillins + β -lactamase inhibitor (piperacillin/tazobactam 50.5%) and imipenem (46.6%). (Fig. 1). Low resistance in reducing order were observed in gentamicin (35.3%), meropenem (24.0%), amikacin (20.1%), fluoroquinolones (levofloxacin 19.1% and ciprofloxacin 11.3%), doripenem (11.3%), and tobramycin (8.3%). Data from surveillance on isolates of *P. aeruginosa* in the South African private laboratories is not in agreement with our study [40]. They reported a much lower resistance rates of 28.2%, 26.3%, 31.9%, 37.8%, 35.5% and 35.5% in cefepime, ceftazidime, doripenem, imipenem, meropenem and piperacillin respectively. The isolates were recovered from blood culture only, possibly this might account for the difference in resistance rate, alternatively this might be due to regional variations in the empirical use of these antimicrobials [40]. In our study, the percentage of resistance of 11.3% to ciprofloxacin was within the same range of 13.4% described by Ramsamy et al., [28]. The data obtained were from nine public sector hospitals in Kwazulu-Natal Province. Additionally, gentamicin resistance of 17% and imipenem resistance of 13% as reported in the study was lower in comparison with resistance reported in our study at 35.3% and 46.6% respectively. The susceptibility ranges of 75%-92% of *P. aeruginosa* isolates in this study to routine antibiotics considered for therapy is encouraging but the increase in resistance exhibited to cephalosporins and imipenem is concerning. This might be due to selective pressure to those antibiotics and it will be important to monitor the prescription of these antibiotics. Owing to endless alteration, resistance exhibited to range of β -lactam antibiotics is challenging, thus making β -lactamase production the commonest cause of drug resistance and antimicrobial treatment tragedy [10, 41]. Our study detected an average resistance of 45.7% to cephalosporins (ceftazidime and cefepime) in ESBL *P. aeruginosa*. Piperacillin and gentamicin resistance in ESBL isolates was 52.4% and 40.2% respectively (Table 4) which is similar to the findings of Farhan et al., [10]. The emerging level of resistance displayed to the cephalosporins highlight the development of cephalosporinases among resistant strains of these organisms. The cephalosporins due to their wide spectrum of activity are a significant class of antimicrobials used in controlling several infections however, the emergence of cephalosporinases can in effect hamper their clinical usefulness [42]. The reported increasing penicillinase-producing β -lactamases strains among these organisms validates the noticeably observable high rate resistance of our isolates to piperacillin [42]. Antibiotic resistance is a public health menace with an alarming proportion that is getting collective attention more so that several studies have found a correlation between level of antibiotic prescription with the prevalence of antibiotic resistance [43–44]. Patients with resistant *P. aeruginosa* infections have a poor prognosis hence it is imperative that *P. aeruginosa* strains presenting severe drug resistance is monitored [23]. The swift spread and the emergence of MBL- and ESBL-producing *P. aeruginosa* of clinical origin is distressing and of great threat. Furthermore, level of antibiotic usage, horizontal gene transfer (HGT) event and environmental factors may account for variations in resistance patterns among strains isolated from

diverse countries and regions. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing and proper screening for ESBL and MBL production has to be embarked upon before antimicrobial therapy [10]. In the present study, 36.8% of our isolates were MDR (defined as non-susceptibility to at least one agent in three or more antimicrobial categories). Similarly, MDR rate of 45% was reported by Fazeli et al., [45] however Sahoo et al., [46] reported a higher rate of resistance at 72.69%.

The emergence of ESBL-producing *P. aeruginosa* is increasingly reported as a major cause of health-care associated infections. In the hospital locale, infections resulting from these resistant organisms are increasingly challenging to treat due to the intensity of resistance exhibited to the most commonly recommended antibiotics. Antimicrobial treatment is further hampered by the production of extended spectrum beta-lactamases and metallo beta-lactamases [20, 47]. As at now, ESBLs in *P. aeruginosa* are described globally with MBLs also being reported on a growing basis in recent years [15, 22]. Various studies have reported the existence of ESBLs in clinical isolates of *P. aeruginosa* [16, 19, 48]. Class A ESBLs are typically recognized in *P. aeruginosa* isolates presenting resistance to extended-spectrum cephalosporin. Traditional ESBLs have developed from restricted-spectrum class A TEM and SHV β -lactamases although a variety of non-TEM and non-SHV class A ESBLs have been described including CTX-M, PER, VEB, GES, and BEL [49]. The ESBL genotype differs in various parts of the world. This study found out that the most prevalent genotype for ESBL production was bla_{TEM} which was detected in 65 (79.3%) isolates followed by bla_{SHV} at 57 (69.5%) and bla_{CTX-M} at 26 (31.7%) (Table 3) Since it has been reported that ESBL genes show variation depending on the geographical location, the findings of Erhlers et al., [50], Chen et al., [15], Miranda et al., [51], Bokaeian et al., [52] and Khurana et al., [20] in South Africa, China, Brazil, Iran and India respectively corroborated the prevalent genotype to be bla_{TEM} while contrarily Jamali et al., [53] and Rezai et al., [54] reported the prevalent gene to be bla_{SHV} at 75% and 86.66% respectively. The predominant ESBL and MBL genes detected in a study conducted in Durban on MDR *P. aeruginosa* isolates were GES-2, OXA-21, and VIM-2 [55]. The least detected ESBL genotype was CTX-M in 26 (31.7%) isolates similar to Miranda et al., [51]. The MBL genotype, bla_{IMP} was only detected in 1 sample (1.25%) while bla_{VIM} was not detected in any of the sample. Similar findings were reported in Egypt by Abbas et al., [56], where no VIM genes was detected by PCR analysis. In contrast, Zafer et al., and Adjei et al., [49, 55] reported a prevalence of 58.2% and 29% respectively in VIM-2. MDR *P. aeruginosa* encoding bla_{VIM-2} gene have been reported in a tertiary hospital in Cape Town, which was responsible for an outbreak, and in a public hospital in Port Elizabeth [57, 58]. The study of Arunagiri et al., [59] reported low rate of bla_{IMP} in 2(3%) isolates which is similar to our study. The phenotypic resistance displayed to the carbapenems particularly imipenem which is not validated by the genotypic MBL result may be due to other resistance mechanism such as efflux over expression or forfeiture of exterior membrane protein [60, 61].

Several researchers have reported on the concurrence of different β -lactamase genes found in the same isolates [46, 49, 62]. The most common ESBL combination among our isolates by genotype was a combination of bla_{TEM} + bla_{SHV} at 49 (40.5%) but contrary to Chen et al., [15] and Sahoo et al., [46], who reported the commonest to be bla_{SHV} + bla_{CTX-M}. The second most common genotype combination was

a combination of bla_{SHV} + bla_{CTX-M} which is similar to the study from Syria and Philippines [63, 64]. Our study showed the most predominant ESBL gene to be TEM which was corroborated by other studies. Prior to now, TEM used to be the most prevalent but recent reports suggest that the CTX-M-type group of ESBLs may now be the most predominant type globally [46, 65].

Conclusion

Our study is the first surveillance report on molecular characteristics and antimicrobial susceptibility testing of *P. aeruginosa* isolates from clinical samples of patients in Mthatha, Eastern Cape Province South Africa. The study showed a high rate of MDR *P. aeruginosa* in our setting. The vast majority of these resistant isolates carried bla_{TEM} and bla_{SHV} genes. Fortunately, we did not detect any bla_{VIM} in all the tested isolates with bla_{IMP} reported as just one. ESBLs are becoming progressively multifaceted and diverse. Early detection and characterization is critical to contain their dissemination, prevent outbreak and enhance intervention strategies and optimise therapy. Continuous monitoring of antimicrobial resistance and strict compliance towards infection control practices are the best defence against continuous spread of MDR *P. aeruginosa*.

Abbreviations

ESBL
extended-spectrum beta-lactamase; MBL:metallo-β-lactamases; AST:antimicrobial susceptibility testing; rPCR:Real-time polymerase chain reaction; MDR:multidrug-resistant; HGT:horizontal gene transfer; NMAH:Nelson Mandela Academic Hospital; NHLS:National Health Laboratory Services; NICD:National Institute of Communicable Diseases; CLSI:Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute; WHO:World Health Organization; CDC:Centre for Disease Prevention and Control.

Declarations

Authors' contributions

M.C.H., T.A., S.V Conceived and designed the experiment. M.C.H., S.D. performed the experiments: M. C. H., T. A. analysed the data: M.C.H. wrote the manuscript: M.C.H., T.A., S.V., G.E.O analysis and interpretation of data; proof reading of manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript

Authors' details

¹Division of Medical Microbiology, Department of Laboratory Medicine and Pathology, Faculty of Health Sciences, Walter Sisulu University, Private Bag X1, and National Health Laboratory Services, Mthatha, Eastern Cape 5117, South Africa. ²Department of Biological and Environmental Sciences, Faculty of Natural Sciences, Walter Sisulu University, Private Bag X1, Mthatha, Eastern Cape 5117, South Africa

Funding

The authors declare that they did not have any funding source or grant to support their research work.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the Research Directorate of the Walter Sisulu University. The Nelson Mandela Academic Hospital (NMAH) and the Microbiology Laboratory at the National Health Laboratory Services (NHLS) at NMAH are also acknowledged. The National Institute of Communicable Diseases (NICD) is appreciated for supply of reference strains. The effort of Dr. Ndebia J. in data analysis is highly appreciated as well.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Ethical approval for the study was granted by the Health Research Ethics and Biosafety Committee of the Faculty of Health Sciences, Walter Sisulu University (WSU) Reference number 024/2016 while permission to conduct the study was obtained from the National Health Laboratory Services (NHLS).

Consent for publication

Not applicable

References

1. Breidenstein EB, de la Fuente-Núñez C, Hancock RE. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: all roads lead to resistance. Trends Microbiol. 2011;19(8):419-26.
2. Henrichfreise B, Wiegand I, Pfister W, Wiedemann B. Resistance mechanisms of multiresistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strains from Germany and correlation with hypermutation. Antimicrob Agents and Chemother. 2007;51(11):4062-70.
3. Yayan J, Ghebremedhin B, Rasche K. Antibiotic Resistance of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in Pneumonia at a Single University Hospital Center in Germany over a 10-Year Period. PLoS ONE. 2015; 10(10): e0139836.
4. Subedi D, Vijay AK, Kohli GS, Rice SA, Willcox M. Comparative genomics of clinical strains of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strains isolated from different geographic sites. Sci Rep. 2018; 23;8(1):1-4.

5. Ciofu O, Tolker-Nielsen T. Tolerance and resistance of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilms to antimicrobial agents - how *aeruginosa* can escape antibiotics. *Front Microbiol.* 2019; 10:913.
6. de Melo AC, da Mata Gomes A, Melo FL, Ardisson-Araújo DM, de Vargas AP, Ely VL, Kitajima EW, Ribeiro BM, Wolff JL. Characterization of a bacteriophage with broad host range against strains of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolated from domestic animals. *BMC Microbiology.* 2019;19(1):134.
7. Rocha AJ, Barsottini MR, Rocha RR, Laurindo MV, Moraes FL, Rocha SL. *Pseudomonas Aeruginosa*: Virulence Factors and Antibiotic Resistance Genes. *Brazilian Archives of Biology and Technology.* 2019;62.
8. Frieden T. Antibiotic resistance threats in the United States, 2013. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, US Department of Health and Human Services. 2013; 23:11-28.
9. Tacconelli E, Magrini N, Kahlmeter G, Singh N. Global priority list of antibiotic-resistant bacteria to guide research, discovery, and development of new antibiotics. *World Health Organization.* 2017;27.
10. Farhan SM, Ibrahim RA, Mahran KM, Hetta HF, El-Baky RM. Antimicrobial resistance pattern and molecular genetic distribution of metallo- β -lactamases producing *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolated from hospitals in Minia, Egypt. *Infect Drug Resist.* 2019; 12:2125.
11. Hwang W, Yoon SS. Virulence Characteristics and an Action Mode of Antibiotic Resistance in Multidrug-Resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Sci Rep*,2019;9:487
12. Chaudhary M, Payasi A. Rising Antimicrobial Resistance of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Isolated from Clinical Specimens in India. *J Proteomics Bioinform*, 6(1): 005-9.
13. Laudy AE, Rog P, Smolińska-Król K, Ćmiel M, Słoczyńska A, Patzer J, Dzierżanowska D, Wolinowska R, Starościak B, Tyski S. Prevalence of ESBL-producing *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates in Warsaw, Poland, detected by various phenotypic and genotypic methods. *PloS One.* 2017;12(6).
14. Kaur A, Singh S. Prevalence of Extended Spectrum Beta lactamase (ESBL) and Metallobetalactamase (MBL) Producing *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Acinetobacter baumannii* Isolated from Various Clinical Samples. *J Pathog.* 2018;2018.
15. Chen Z, Niu H, Chen G, Li M, Li M, Zhou Y. Prevalence of ESBLs-producing *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates from different wards in a Chinese teaching hospital. *Int J Clin Exp Med.* 2015;8(10):19400.
16. Bharti NM, Sharma PC. Molecular characterization of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates recovered from human patients in Himachal Pradesh (India) for selective genes: Extended spectrum β -lactamase (ESBL) Ampicillin Class C (AmpC) and metallo β -lactamase (MBL) genes. *IJPSR*, 2016. 7(12): 4905-4916.
17. Gniadkowski M. Evolution and Epidemiology of Extended-spectrum β -lactamases (ESBLs) and ESBL-producing microorganisms. *Clin Microbiol Infect*, 2001 7(11): 597–608.
18. Vasaikar S, Obi L, Morobe I, Bisi-Johnson M. Molecular characteristics and antibiotic resistance profiles of *Klebsiella* isolates in Mthatha, Eastern Cape province, South Africa. *Int. J. Microbiol.* 2017;2017.
19. Founou RC, Founou LL, Essack SY. Extended spectrum beta-lactamase mediated resistance in carriage and clinical gram-negative ESKAPE bacteria: a comparative study between a district and

- tertiary hospital in South Africa. *Antimicrob Resist Infect Control*, 2018; 7:134.
20. Khurana S, Mathur P, Kapil A, Valsan C, Behera B. Molecular epidemiology of beta-lactamase producing nosocomial Gram-negative pathogens from North and South Indian hospitals. *J Med Microbiol*. 2017; 66: 999-1004.
 21. Alfaresi MS, Elkoush AA. Real-time polymerase chain reaction for rapid detection of genes encoding SHV extended-spectrum β -lactamases. *Indian J Med Microbiol*, 2010 ,28(4): 332-336.
 22. Lin SP, Liu MF, Lin CF, Shi ZY. Phenotypic detection and polymerase chain reaction screening of extended-spectrum β -lactamases produced by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* J Microbiol, Immunol Infect. 2012;45(3):200-7.
 23. Dou Y, Huan J, Guo F, Zhou Z, Shi Y. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* prevalence, antibiotic resistance and antimicrobial use in Chinese burn wards from 2007 to 2014. *J Int Med Res*. 2017;45(3):1124-37.
 24. Piri F, Ebrahimi TM, Amini K. Molecular investigation of CTX-M gene in Extended Spectrum β Lactamases (ESBLs) producing *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolated from Iranian patients with burn wound infection. *Arch Med Lab Sci*. 2018; 4(1): 1-4.
 25. Osawa K, Shigemura K, Kitagawa K, Fukuda T, Takasaka A, Wakabayashi S, Sato K, Yamamichi F, Shirakawa T, Fujisawa M. Molecular characteristics of carbapenem-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolated from urine in Hyogo, Japan. *Int J Urol*. 2019;26(1):127-33.
 26. Poirel L, Weldhagen GF, De Champs C, Nordmann P. A nosocomial outbreak of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates expressing the extended-spectrum β -lactamase GES-2 in South Africa. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2002;49(3):561-5.
 27. Mudau M, Jacobson R, Minenza N, Kuonza L, Morris V, Engelbrecht H, Nicol MP, Bamford C. Outbreak of multi-drug resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* bloodstream infection in the haematology unit of a South African Academic Hospital. *PloS One*. 2013;8(3).
 28. Ramsamy Y, Essack SY, Sartorius B, Patel M, Mlisana KP. Antibiotic resistance trends of ESKAPE pathogens in Kwazulu-Natal, South Africa: A five-year retrospective analysis. *Afr J Lab Med*. 2018; 7(2), a887.
 29. Founou RC, Founou LL, Allam M, Ismail A, Essack SY. Whole genome sequencing of extended spectrum β -lactamase (ESBL)-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolated from hospitalized patients in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. *Sci Rep*. 2019; 9(1):1-1.
 30. Selim S, El Kholy I, Hagagy N, El Alfay S, Aziz MA. Rapid identification of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis. *Biotechnol Biotech Eq*. 2015; 29(1):152-6.
 31. Solomon FB, Wadilo F, Tufa EG, Mitiku M. Extended spectrum and metallo β -lactamase producing airborne *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Acinetobacter baumannii* in restricted settings of a referral hospital: a neglected condition. *Antimicrob Resist Infect Control*. 2017; 6(1):106.
 32. Govender N, Perovic O. GERMS-SA Laboratory-based Surveillance for Antimicrobial-resistant Bacterial and Fungal Bloodstream Infections, 2016.
 33. Lutgring JD, Kim A, Campbell D, Karlsson M, Brown AC, Burd EM. Evaluation of the MicroScan colistin well and gradient diffusion strips for colistin susceptibility testing in Enterobacteriaceae. *J*

Clin Microbiol. 2019;57(5): e01866-18.

34. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing; 20th Informational Supplement. CLSI document M100-S20, 2010. CLSI, Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute.
35. Magiorakos AP, Srinivasan A, Carey RB, Carmeli Y, Falagas ME, Giske CG, Harbarth S, Hindler JF, Kahlmeter G, Olsson-Liljequist B, Paterson DL. Multidrug-resistant, extensively drug-resistant and pandrug-resistant bacteria: an international expert proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2012;18(3):268-81.
36. Angeletti S, Gherardi G, De Florio L, Avola A, Crea F, Riva E, Vitali MA, Galluzzo S, Dicuonzo G. Real-time polymerase chain reaction with melting analysis of positive blood culture specimens in bloodstream infections: diagnostic value and turnaround time. New Microbiol. 2013; 36:65-74.
37. Roschanski N, Fischer J, Guerra B, Roesler U. Development of a multiplex real-time PCR for the rapid detection of the predominant beta-lactamase genes *CTX-M*, *SHV*, *TEM* and *CIT*-type AmpCs in Enterobacteriaceae. PloS One. 2014;9(7).
38. Wendel AF, Brodner AH, Wydra S, Ressina S, Henrich B, Pfeiffer K, Toleman MA, MacKenzie CR. Genetic characterization and emergence of the metallo- β -lactamase GIM-1 in *Pseudomonas* and Enterobacteriaceae during a long-term outbreak. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2013;57(10):5162-5.
39. Anuj SN, Whiley DM, Kidd TJ, Bell SC, Wainwright CE, Nissen MD, Sloots TP. Identification of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* by a duplex real-time polymerase chain reaction assay targeting the *ecfX* and the *gyrB* genes. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis. 2009;63(2):127-31.
40. Perovic O, Ismail H, Van Schalkwyk E, Lowman W, Prentice E, Senekal M, Govind CN. Antimicrobial resistance surveillance in the South African Private Sector Report for 2016. S Afr J Infect Dis. 2018 Oct 2;33(4):114-7.
41. Pitout JD. Enterobacteriaceae Producing ESBLs in the Community: Are They a Real Threat? Infect Med. 2007; 24(2):57-65.
42. Osundiya OO, Oladele RO, Oduyebo OO. Multiple Antibiotic Resistance (MAR) Indices of *Pseudomonas* and *Klebsiella* species in Lagos Teaching Hospital. Afr. J. Clin. Exper. Microbiol. 2013; 14(3): 164-168.
43. Goldstein E, MacFadden DR, Lee RS, Lipsitch M. Outpatient prescribing of four major antibiotic classes and prevalence of antimicrobial resistance in US adults. bioRxiv. 2018:456244.
44. Sanz-García F, Hernando-Amado S, Martínez JL. Mutational Evolution of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Resistance to Ribosome-Targeting Antibiotics. Front. Genet. 2018; 9:451.
45. Fazeli H, Sadighian H, Esfahani BN, Pourmand MR. Genetic characterization of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*-resistant isolates at the university teaching hospital in Iran. Adv Biomed Res. 2015;4.
46. Sahoo S, Otta S, Swain B, Kar SK. Detection and genetic characterization of extended-spectrum beta-lactamases producers in a tertiary care hospital. J Lab Physicians, 2019. 11: 253-258.
47. Amirkamali S, Naserpour-Farivar T, Azarhoosh K, Peymani A. Distribution of the *bla* OXA, *bla* VEB-1, and *bla* GES-1 genes and resistance patterns of ESBL-producing *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolated

- from hospitals in Tehran and Qazvin, Iran. *Rev Soc Bras Med Trop*. 2017;50(3):315-20.
48. Zeynudin A, Pritsch M, Schubert S, Messerer M, Liegl G, Hoelscher M, Belachew T, Wieser A. Prevalence and antibiotic susceptibility pattern of CTX-M type extended-spectrum β -lactamases among clinical isolates of gram-negative bacilli in Jimma, Ethiopia. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2018;18(1):524.
 49. Zafer MM, Al-Agamy MH, El-Mahallawy HA, Amin MA, Ashour MS. Antimicrobial resistance pattern and their beta-lactamase encoding genes among *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strains isolated from cancer patients. *BioMed Res Int*. 2014;2014.
 50. Ehlers MM, Veldsman C, Makgotlho EP, Dove MG, Hoosen AA, Kock MM. Detection of *bla*_{SHV}, *bla*_{TEM} and *bla*_{CTX-M} antibiotic resistance genes in randomly selected bacterial pathogens from the Steve Biko Academic Hospital. *FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol*. 2009 Aug 1;56(3):191-6.
 51. Miranda CC, de Filippis I, Pinto LH, Coelho-Souza T, Bianco K, Cacci LC, Picão RC, Clementino MM. Genotypic characteristics of multidrug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* from hospital wastewater treatment plant in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. *J Appl Microbiol*. 2015; 118(6):1276-86.
 52. Bokaeian M, Zahedani SS, Bajgiran MS, Moghaddam AA. Frequency of PER, VEB, SHV, TEM and CTX-M genes in resistant strains of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* producing extended spectrum β -lactamases. *Jundishapur J Microbiol*. 2015;8(1).
 53. Jamali S, Shahid M, Sobia F, Singh A, Khan HM. Phenotypic and molecular characterization of cefotaximases, temoniera, and sulfhydryl variable β -lactamases in *Pseudomonas* and *Acinetobacter* isolates in an Indian tertiary health-care center. *Indian J Pathol Microbiol*. 2017; 60:196-201.
 54. Rezai MS, Ahangarkani F, Rafiei A, Hajalibeig A, Bagheri-Nesami M. Extended-Spectrum Beta-Lactamases Producing *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Isolated From Patients With Ventilator Associated Nosocomial Infection. *Arch Clin Infect Dis*. 2018;13(4).
 55. Adjei CB, Govinden U, Moodley K, Essack SY. Molecular characterisation of multidrug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* from a private hospital in Durban, South Africa. *S Afr J Infect Dis*. 2018;33(2):38-41.
 56. Abbas HA, El-Ganiny AM, Kamel HA. Phenotypic and genotypic detection of antibiotic resistance of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolated from urinary tract infections. *Afri Health Sci*. 2018; 18(1): 11-21.
 57. Jacobson RK, Minenza N, Nicol M, Bamford C. VIM-2 metallo- β -lactamase-producing *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* causing an outbreak in South Africa. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2012; 67(7):1797-1798.
 58. Govender S, Masunda T, Black J. VIM-2 carbapenemase-producing *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in a patient from Port Elizabeth, South Africa. *SAMJ: S Afr Med J*. 2015 May;105(5):328-.
 59. Arunagiri K, Sekar B, Sangeetha G, John J. Detection and characterization of metallo- β -lactamases in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* by phenotypic and molecular methods from clinical samples in a tertiary care hospital. *West Indian Med J*. 2012;61(8):778-83.
 60. Polloto M, Casella T, Oliveria M, Rubio F, Nogueira M, Almeida M. Detection of *P. aeruginosa* harboring *bla*_{CTX-M-2}, *bla*_{GES-1} and *bla*_{GES-5}, *bla*_{IMP-1} and *bla*_{SPM-1} causing infections in Brazilian tertiary-care hospital. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2012; 12:176.

61. Lee CH, Su TY, Ye JJ, Hsu PC, Kuo AJ, Chia JH, Lee MH. Risk factors and clinical significance of bacteremia caused by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* resistant only to carbapenems. *J Microbiol, Immunol Infect.* 2017;50(5):677-83.
62. Bahrami M, Mohammadi-Sichani M, Karbasizadeh V. Prevalence of SHV, TEM, CTX-M and OXA-48 β -Lactamase Genes in Clinical Isolates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in Bandar-Abbas, Iran. *Avicenna J Clin Microbiol Infect.* 2018;5(4):86-90.
63. Ibrahim AS, Youssef N. Prevalence of CTX-M, TEM and SHV Beta-lactamases in Clinical Isolates of *Escherichia Coli* and *Klebsiella Pneumoniae* Isolated From Aleppo University Hospitals, Aleppo, Syria. *Arch Clin Infect Dis.* 2015. 10(2).
64. Gundran RS, Cardenio PA, Villanueva MA, Sison FB, Benigno CC, Kreausukon K, Pichpol D, Punyapornwithaya V. Prevalence and distribution of bla CTX-M, bla SHV, bla TEM genes in extended-spectrum β -lactamase-producing *coli* isolates from broiler farms in the Philippines. *BMC Vet Res.* 2019;15(1):227.
65. Rawat D, Nair D. Extended-spectrum β -lactamases in Gram Negative Bacteria. *J. Glob Infect Dis.* 2010; 2(3): 263-274.

Figures

Figure 1

Antibiotic resistant pattern of the isolates (N=204) Molecular Detection of ESBL- and MBL-encoding genes